

1 David S. Stellings (*pro hac vice*)
2 dstellings@lchb.com
3 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
4 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10013-1413
5 Telephone: 212.355.9500
6 Facsimile: 212.355.9592

7 Roland Tellis (SBN 186269)
8 rtellis@baronbudd.com
9 BARON & BUDD, P.C.
10 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
Encino, CA 91436
11 Telephone: 818.839.2333

12 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

13 *Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Members Listed on Signature Page*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16
17
18 *In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units*
Products Liability Litigation

19 ALL CASES

MDL No. 2905

Case No. 2:19-ml-02905-JAK-FFM

**VOLUME ONE OF CONSOLIDATED
AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT (FACTUAL
ALLEGATIONS)**

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION..... 1

II. THE PARTIES 8

 A. Defendants.....8

 2. The Supplier Defendants.....9

 3. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants..... 13

 B. Plaintiffs 18

 1. Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs21

 2. FCA Plaintiffs.....66

 3. Toyota Plaintiffs85

 4. Honda Plaintiffs 112

 5. Mitsubishi Plaintiffs..... 130

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.....138

 A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction 138

 B. Personal Jurisdiction over Domestic Defendants..... 139

 1. California Defendants 139

 2. Michigan Defendants 139

 3. ST USA 140

 4. Toyota Engineering USA..... 141

 5. Honda Engineering USA 142

 C. Personal Jurisdiction Over Foreign Defendants..... 142

 1. ZF Germany..... 144

 2. ST Italy..... 151

1	3.	ST Malaysia.....	153
2	4.	Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis	155
3	5.	Honda Motor Co., Ltd.....	170
4	6.	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	178
5			
6	D.	Venue	187
7	IV.	GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.....	188
8	A.	The Class Vehicles, DS84 ACUs, and DS84 ASICs are defective. ..	188
9			
10	1.	A properly functioning ACU is supposed to detect crashes and activate important safety features, including airbags and seatbelts.	188
11			
12	2.	A properly-designed ACU can withstand transient electricity.....	191
13			
14	3.	The DS84 ACUs are defective because they contain a defective DS84 ASIC that makes the DS84 ACUs much less resistant to transient electricity than other ACUs.	193
15			
16	4.	The defective DS84 ASIC is the root cause of the defect in the DS84 ACUs and Class Vehicles.....	195
17			
18	5.	Several types of evidence show when EOS caused a DS84 ASIC to malfunction.	199
19			
20	6.	Toyota Engineering USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA have admitted the DS84 ACUs in 5,406,228 Class Vehicles are defective.	202
21			
22	7.	Hundreds of consumer complaints report that airbags have failed in Class Vehicles during serious collisions.....	211
23			
24	8.	The abandonment of the DS84 ASIC by all Defendants confirms the ACU Defect.....	226
25			
26	9.	Defendants’ statements blaming DS84 ACU malfunctions on vehicle-specific features, such as wire harnesses, are misleading.	227
27			
28			

1 B. There are millions of Class Vehicles equipped with defective DS84
2 ACUs..... 231

3 C. Installation of the DS84 ASIC and ACU in the Class Vehicles was
4 the result of a joint effort that involved every Defendant group. 233

5 1. Between 2005 and 2008, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, and ST Italy
7 jointly designed the DS84 ASIC. 233

8 2. Pursuant to agreements between ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
9 Electronics USA, ST USA, and ST Italy, ST Malaysia
10 manufactured DS84 ASICs and shipped them to ST USA in
11 California. 238

12 3. Between 2006 and 2012, ZF Electronics USA reached
13 separate agreements with each of the Vehicle Manufacturer
14 Defendant groups regarding the use of the defective DS84
15 ACUs..... 239

16 D. Defendants have known the DS84 ACUs and ASICs were
17 defective for many years. 250

18 1. By no later than January and February 2008, ZF Electronics
19 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, and ST Italy
20 learned about the defective DS84 ASIC’s vulnerability to
21 transient electricity..... 250

22 2. Between 2008 and the present, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
24 Italy, and ST Malaysia learned of dozens of DS84 ACU and
25 DS84 ASIC failures in vehicles around the globe. 253

26 3. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
27 Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Hyundai
28 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia Korea, and Kia
USA knew the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, as well as the
DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were
defective. 263

4. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
Safety USA, FCA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
knew the FCA Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs
and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective. 306

1 5. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
2 Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Toyota Japan,
3 Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota
4 USA knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84
ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective. 346

5 6. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
6 Automotive USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Honda
7 USA, Honda Japan, and Honda Engineering USA have
8 known the Honda Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs
and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective. 376

9 7. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
10 Automotive USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
11 Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA knew the Mitsubishi
12 Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs
installed therein, were defective. 395

13 E. Defendants schemed to defraud Plaintiffs and other similarly
14 situated consumers by making misleading statements about Class
Vehicle safety, airbags, and seatbelts. 399

15 1. Each Class Vehicle has several “in-vehicle” safety labels
16 that misleadingly assured consumers that the airbags and
17 seatbelts would function properly during a crash. 399

18 2. Each of the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants also made
19 false and misleading statements about the Class Vehicles’
safety in their consumer-facing marketing. 420

20 F. Defendants schemed to defraud NHTSA by making misleading
21 statements denying and downplaying the serious safety defect in
22 DS84 ACUs. 461

23 1. When NHTSA started to investigate the DS84 ACUs in the
24 summer of 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
25 USA, and ZF Automotive USA conspired with the Vehicle
Manufacturer Defendants to avoid expensive recalls..... 462

26 2. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
27 Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly
28 made misleading statements to NHTSA on February 5, 2016
and then mailed a copy of those misleading statements to

1		NHTSA on March 14, 2016.	466
2	3.	Following the February 5, 2016 meeting with NHTSA, ZF 3 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF 4 Automotive USA coordinated with their co-conspirators to 5 avoid NHTSA’s discovery of the ACU Defect and recalls of 6 Class Vehicles.....	478
6	4.	ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF 7 Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly 8 made misleading statements to NHTSA on July 19, 2016 9 and mailed a copy of those misleading statements to 10 NHTSA in July or August 2016.	483
11	5.	Shortly after the July 19, 2016 meeting with NHTSA, ZF 12 Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF 13 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to 14 coordinate with FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai 15 USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis regarding their efforts 16 to deny and downplay the ACU Defect.	497
17	6.	Between July 19, 2016 and September 2016, ZF Electronics 18 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA 19 continued to communicate with FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia 20 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis about 21 NHTSA’s investigation.....	498
22	7.	On September 13, 2016, FCA filed a misleading 573 Defect 23 Report with NHTSA that mischaracterized the nature and 24 scope of the ACU Defect for the purpose of reducing the 25 scale of an unavoidable recall.	500
26	8.	Shortly after FCA filed its 573 Defect Report, ZF 27 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF TRW 28 Corp. sent a misleading letter to NHTSA that falsely denied a defect in the DS84 ACUs.	502
	9.	In September 2016, ZF Automotive USA warned Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA that NHTSA had asked for information that would show that Honda, Toyota, and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the DS84 ACU and ASIC.....	506

1	10.	On November 29, 2016, FCA filed an amended 573 Defect Report with NHTSA that misleadingly stated that a replacement ACU with the same defective DS84 ASIC would “remedy” the defect.....	507
2			
3			
4	11.	In 2017, NHTSA renewed its investigation of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles after learning of additional suspicious crashes.	507
5			
6			
7	12.	On February 27, 2018, Hyundai USA filed a 573 Defect Report with NHTSA that misleadingly claimed only 2011 Hyundai Sonatas had defective DS84 ACUs.....	508
8			
9			
10	13.	Kia USA made misleading statements to NHTSA on a telephone call on March 1, 2018.	510
11			
12	14.	ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly made misleading statements to NHTSA on March 8, 2018, and then mailed a copy of those misleading statements to NHTSA on March 12, 2018.	510
13			
14			
15	15.	Shortly after the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to coordinate with FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis regarding their efforts to deny and downplay the ACU Defect.....	518
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	16.	On March 14, 2018, Kia USA made misleading statements to NHTSA regarding field incidents involving Kia vehicles. .	518
21			
22	17.	On March 16, 2018, NHTSA announced its intention to formally review all vehicles with DS84 ACUs and ASICs.....	523
23			
24	18.	In April and May 2018, Hyundai USA and Kia USA agreed to further recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles in response to pressure from NHTSA.	523
25			
26	19.	Hyundai USA and Kia USA filed 573 Defect Reports in April 2018 and June 2018 that misleadingly downplayed the scope of the ACU Defect.	525
27			
28	20.	In spring 2018, Toyota USA made misleading statements to	

1 NHTSA denying the existence of known field incidents in
 2 which EOS was suspected or found. 526

3 21. Between June 2018 and April 2019, Toyota Japan, Toyota
 4 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
 5 Automotive USA, ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
 6 Malaysia coordinated with one another to conceal the ACU
 Defect in Toyota Class Vehicles. 528

7 22. On January 17, 2020, Toyota Engineering USA and Toyota
 8 USA filed a 573 Defect Report that misleadingly denied the
 ACU Defect in millions of Toyota Class Vehicles. 530

9 G. Defendants’ material omissions and misrepresentations about the
 10 defective DS84 ACUs injured Plaintiffs and class members. 531

11 1. Defendants’ consumer-facing misleading misrepresentations
 12 and omissions caused Plaintiffs’ purchases and leases of
 13 Class Vehicles..... 531

14 2. But for Defendants’ consumer-facing misleading
 15 misrepresentations and omissions, Plaintiffs would not have
 overpaid for the Class Vehicles..... 531

16 3. Defendants’ misrepresentations to NHTSA caused economic
 17 harm to the Plaintiffs and class members who purchased
 18 Class Vehicles after the date of those misrepresentations..... 533

19 4. Plaintiffs are the direct and intended victims of Defendants’
 20 fraud. 533

21 V. TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 534

22 A. Fraudulent Concealment Tolling..... 536

23 1. Defendants knowingly misrepresented and omitted material
 24 information to Plaintiffs, consumers, and NHTSA regarding
 the safety of the Class Vehicles..... 536

25 2. Defendants knew that their representations to Plaintiffs,
 26 consumers, and NHTSA about the safety and reliability of
 27 the Class Vehicles and that the Occupant Restraint Systems
 28 were false and misleading. 546

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3.	Plaintiffs justifiably relied on Defendants’ fraudulent concealment of the ACU Defect, and could not have discovered those defects despite their reasonable diligence....	547
4.	Had Defendants disclosed that the Class Vehicles contained defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs, Plaintiffs would have seen those disclosures.	549
5.	Plaintiffs were damaged as a result of Defendants’ misrepresentations and fraudulent concealment.	550
B.	Discovery Rule Tolling.....	551
C.	Estoppel.....	551
VI.	CLASS ALLEGATIONS	552
B.	The Classes.....	552
C.	Numerosity	554
D.	Predominance of Common Issues	554
E.	Typicality.....	557
F.	Adequacy of Representation	557
G.	Superiority	558

[Continued in Volume II]

1 For their complaint against Defendants,¹ Plaintiffs,² individually and on
2 behalf of all others similarly situated, allege as follows:

3 **I. NATURE OF THE ACTION**

4 1. Motor vehicles are a fixture of modern life in the United States. Every
5 day, millions of Americans drive automobiles. They drive their children to school,
6 they drive themselves to work, they drive to purchase essentials like food and
7 medicine, and they sometimes drive just to enjoy a sunny day.

8 2. For most Americans, the purchase or lease of a motor vehicle is their
9 second largest financial investment, following only the purchase or lease of a home.

10 3. While cars are a common feature of our daily lives, they also are
11 potentially dangerous. Car crashes kill tens of thousands of people every year.
12 Many more suffer serious injuries.

13
14 ¹ Defendants are ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC; ZF Passive Safety
15 Systems US Inc.; ZF Automotive USA; ZF TRW Corp.; ZF Friedrichshafen AG;
16 STMicroelectronics, S.r.l.; STMicroelectronics SDN BHD; STMicroelectronics
17 Inc.; Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Motor America, Inc.; Hyundai Mobis Co.,
18 Ltd.; Kia Corporation; Kia Corp.; FCA US LLC; Toyota Motor North America
19 Inc., Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.; Toyota
20 Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.; Honda Motor Co., Ltd.; American Honda Motor Co.,
21 Inc.; Honda Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC; Mitsubishi Motors
22 Corporation; and Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.

23 ² Plaintiffs are Alejandra Renteria; Amanda Swanson; Angela Bowens; Bobbi Jo
24 Birk-LaBarge; Bonnie Dellatorre; Brent DeRouen; Brian Chaiken; Brian Collins;
25 Burton Reckles; Carl Paul Maurilus; Constanza Gonzalez; Dan Sutterfield; Danny
26 Hunt; Dee Roberts; Desiree Meyer; Diana King; Dylan DeMoranville; Eric Fishon;
27 Evan Green; Fredericka McPherson; Gary Samouris; Gaylynn Sanchez; Gersen
28 Damens; James Dean; James Kneup; John Colbert; John Sancomb; Joseph Fuller;
Joy Davis; Kenneth Ogorek; Kevin Burns; Kinyata Jones; Larae Angel; Lawrence
Graziano; Lore Van Houten; Mark Altier; Maximillian Accetta; Michael
Hernandez; Michael Hines; Michael Nearing; Moises Senti; Paul Huitzil;
Ravichandran Namakkal; Remigiusz Rundzio; Richard Kintzel; Samuel Choc;
Sigfredo Rubio; Steve Keister; Steve Laveaux; Tatiana Gales; Tiffany Ecklor; Tina
Fuller; Tonya McNeely.

1 4. Because of these dangers, every automobile in the United States must
2 include passive restraint systems with several important features. The two most
3 recognized safety features are seatbelts and airbags. When a car crashes, these life-
4 saving pieces of equipment should automatically restrain drivers and passengers
5 (the seatbelts) and buffer against impact with hard surfaces in the vehicle (the
6 airbags). Properly functioning airbags and seatbelts have been an absolute,
7 minimum safety requirement for new vehicles in the United States since 1997. *See*
8 49 U.S.C. § 30127. And 49 out of 50 states have laws that require drivers to wear
9 seatbelts.

10 5. While these passenger safety features are required, they also reflect a
11 basic and commonly understood fact: consumers care deeply about automotive
12 safety. All automakers and suppliers know this. As ZF Automotive US Inc. (“ZF
13 Automotive USA”)—one of the key safety system supplier defendants in this
14 case—admitted in a written presentation from 2008: “Safety is important to . . .
15 consumers[.] . . . J.D. Power lists safety as *the most desired aspect* of vehicle
16 features,” and “consumers regularly look for vehicle safety information before
17 making their purchase decision.” (emphasis added). The same presentation
18 confirms that all automakers know about, and regularly aim to capitalize on,
19 consumers’ desire for safe vehicles. As ZF Automotive USA explained: “safety
20 products and features help differentiate vehicles” in a competitive market, and
21 “advertising and marketing heavily focus[] on safety.” All participants in the
22 automotive industry (including suppliers) know that advertisements that stress
23 automobile safety are ubiquitous.

24 6. The ZF Defendants—ZF Friedrichshafen AG (“ZF Germany”), ZF
25 TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. (“ZF TRW Corp.”), ZF Automotive USA, ZF
26 Active Safety and Electronics US LLC (“ZF Electronics USA”), and ZF Passive
27 Safety Systems US Inc. (“ZF Passive Safety USA”)—make Airbag Control Units,
28 or “ACUs,” for motor vehicles. ACUs are effectively computers that control the

1 car’s safety systems. To do so, ACUs constantly receive and interpret signals from
2 crash sensors in the front of the vehicle. When the sensors detect a moderate or
3 severe crash, they notify the ACU, and the ACU directs the safety system to deploy
4 the airbags and tighten the seatbelts. When an ACU malfunctions, the airbags and
5 seatbelts (even if buckled) can fail to perform their core function to restrain and
6 protect drivers and passengers.

7 7. This case concerns one of the ZF Defendants’ most widely distributed
8 products: an ACU with a unique application-specific integrated circuit (“ASIC”)
9 called the DS84. Upon information and belief, Defendants STMicroelectronics, Inc.
10 (“ST USA”) and STMicroelectronics, S.r.l. (“ST Italy”) designed the DS84 chip
11 with input from ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA. Defendant
12 STMicroelectronics SDN BHD (“ST Malaysia”) then made millions of DS84
13 ASICs in Malaysia and shipped them to ST USA in Los Angeles, California. ST
14 USA then sold and shipped them to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois, where ZF
15 Electronics USA made the ACUs that contain the DS84 ASIC (“the DS84 ACUs”).
16 The particularities of these companies’ respective roles are explained in Sections
17 IV.C.

18 8. Plaintiffs estimate that at least 30 million vehicles across the globe
19 have these DS84 ACUs. At least 15 million (and possibly as many as 19 million) of
20 them were sold or leased in the United States. The proposed classes in this case
21 consist of consumers that purchased or leased vehicles with a DS84 ACU (i.e., the
22 Class Vehicles).

23 9. The Class Vehicles brandish some of this country’s most popular
24 vehicle brands, including several Toyota, Honda, Acura, Hyundai, Kia, Chrysler,
25 Jeep, Dodge, Fiat, and Mitsubishi models. The model years for these vehicles span
26 a decade of time—from 2009 to 2019.

27 10. Every vehicle with a DS84 ACU has a dangerous safety defect.
28 Specifically, the DS84 ASIC in these ACUs malfunctions due to electrical

1 overstress (“EOS”) when exposed to a relatively small burst of stray electricity
2 called a “transient” (the “ACU Defect”). As explained in Sections IV.A.3. and
3 IV.A.4., this ACU Defect poses serious risks to vehicle occupants.

4 a. First, the defect can cause airbags and seatbelts not to activate
5 during a crash. This happens because crashes sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the DS84 ACU to fail. When
7 this happens, people can die or suffer serious injuries. At least
8 nine people have already died due to this defect. Many more
9 were injured.

10 b. Second, the defect can cause airbags to deploy when the vehicle
11 has not crashed. This is dangerous because it is shocking and
12 difficult for the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag
13 deploys without warning.

14 c. Third, the defect can also cause failures of other important post-
15 crash operations of the safety system. These operations include
16 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and
17 passengers by emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed
18 vehicle’s fuel or power supply. These operations also include
19 maintenance and communication of crash data, which can be
20 important to inspection by crash victims and law enforcement.

21 11. By 2015, several people had already been killed or injured as a result
22 of the ACU Defect, and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
23 (“NHTSA”) began to investigate the DS84 ACUs. In short order, ZF Germany, ZF
24 TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
25 USA alerted the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants and ST Defendants about this
26 investigation. As the regulator’s investigation began to heat up, many of these
27 Defendants began to meet regularly to coordinate among themselves about the
28 issue. They recognized the investigation posed a common threat because NHTSA

1 could uncover the defect, require expensive recalls, and halt the sale of Class
2 Vehicles with the defective ACUs and ASICs (and relatedly, the sale of the
3 defective ACUs and ASICs themselves).

4 12. In 2016, ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF
5 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA began to make misleading statements
6 to NHTSA to obscure and downplay the ACU Defect. To coordinate their efforts to
7 conceal the Defect, they shared copies of these misleading statements to NHTSA
8 with companies from each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group and the ST
9 Defendants. Soon, companies within several other Defendant groups—including
10 FCA US LLC (“FCA”), Kia America, Inc. (“Kia USA”), Hyundai Motor America,
11 Inc. (“Hyundai USA”), Toyota Motor North America, Inc. (“Toyota USA”), and
12 Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. (“Toyota
13 Engineering USA”)—joined the effort to mislead NHTSA about the nature and
14 scope of the ACU Defect.

15 13. In April 2019, after nearly four years of investigating the DS84 ACUs
16 and ASICs, NHTSA publicly announced that it was scrutinizing over twelve
17 million vehicles that include them to determine “whether an unreasonable risk
18 exists that requires further field action.” ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF
19 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA stopped
20 making the DS84 ACU that very same year. NHTSA’s investigation is still ongoing
21 now, seven years after it first began, and more than 3 years after its public
22 announcement.

23 14. Even the limited discovery produced to date in this case has already
24 revealed several new suspicious crashes with airbag failures apparently related to
25 the ACU Defect, including dozens of crashes in FCA, Honda, and Hyundai-Kia
26 vehicles. Several of these crashes apparently have not been disclosed to NHTSA.
27 Section IV.D discusses the history of suspicious crashes and crash tests with
28 hallmarks of the ACU Defect and the Defendants’ knowledge of the same.

1 15. Between September 2016 and the present, three Vehicle Manufacturers
2 recalled 5.4 million Class Vehicles in response to NHTSA’s investigation. But two
3 or three times as many Class Vehicles with the ACU Defect remain unrecalled and
4 on the roads today. Moreover, *none* of the recalls actually fix the ACU Defect,
5 because the purported “remedies” do not involve removal and replacement of the
6 defective DS84 ASIC, which is the root cause of the ACU Defect.

7 16. Each Defendant in this case has known about this ACU Defect for
8 several years from internal testing and numerous crashes with airbag and seatbelt
9 failures. Even so, they pushed and continued to push the defective Class Vehicles,
10 ACUs, and ASICs to market. To sell the Class Vehicles to U.S. consumers, several
11 companies within the Defendant Vehicle Manufacturer groups distributed
12 misleading, consumer-facing statements about the Class Vehicles, including:
13 Toyota USA; Toyota Motor Sales USA, Inc. (“Toyota Sales USA”); Kia Corp.
14 (“Kia Korea”); Kia USA; Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd. (“Hyundai Korea”); Hyundai
15 USA; Honda Japan; Honda Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC
16 (“Honda Engineering USA”); American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (“Honda USA”);
17 FCA; Mitsubishi Motors Corp. (“Mitsubishi Japan”); and Mitsubishi Motors North
18 America, Inc. (“Mitsubishi USA”).

19 17. For example, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Hyundai USA, Honda
20 USA, FCA, and Mitsubishi USA placed window stickers with misleading
21 assurances about airbags and seatbelts on every new Class Vehicle in the United
22 States. These same companies also controlled the nationwide advertising campaigns
23 that repeatedly touted the safety of the Class Vehicles. Sections IV.E.1.a. and
24 IV.E.2.a. describe the particularities of the Defendants’ misleading Monroney
25 stickers and advertising.

26 18. Similarly, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Honda Japan, FCA, and
27 Mitsubishi Japan designed the Class Vehicles to include several misleading in-
28 vehicle representations that similarly assured consumers that the vehicles had

1 properly functioning airbags. For example, on the side of the driver’s door, each
2 Class Vehicle had a permanent label that certifies compliance with federal safety
3 standards. Similarly, the steering wheel will typically feature a permanent imprint
4 that identifies the airbag. These often read in big, capitalized letters “SRS” (Safety
5 Restraint System) and “AIRBAG.” For many Class Vehicles, these companies
6 created and applied the labels when they manufactured the vehicles. And for the
7 rest, these same companies bear responsibility based on their control of the
8 mandatory design specifications for all Class Vehicles, which required the
9 manufacturing plants in North America to place the same misleading labels in the
10 Class Vehicles. Sections IV.E.1.b. and IV.E.1.d. describe the details of these
11 misleading certification and airbag labels.

12 19. Mitsubishi Japan, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Honda Japan, and FCA
13 also each worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
14 Automotive USA to design and include airbag warning lamps (a.k.a. readiness
15 indicators) in the Class Vehicles. When consumers turned Class Vehicles on at the
16 point of sale or lease (including during test drives), these lamps illuminated during
17 ignition of the engine and turned off shortly afterwards. When airbag warning
18 lamps in Class Vehicles turned off after ignition like this, they misleadingly
19 communicated to Plaintiffs and other consumers that the airbags and seatbelts in
20 Class Vehicles were ready to deploy in a crash, when in fact they are not ready to
21 deploy in crashes with transients. Section IV.E.1.c. describes the details of these
22 misleading readiness indicators.

23 20. The Supplier Defendants—ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF
24 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
25 Malaysia, ST Italy, and Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd. (“Hyundai Mobis”)—all knew the
26 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants would make these misleading statements when
27 the Supplier Defendants designed, made, and shipped/or the defective ACUs and/or
28 ASICs. Instead of publicly disclosing the defect, informing NHTSA, or fixing the

1 problem, they coordinated with the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants to conceal the
2 ACU Defect, because continued distribution of the DS84 ACU and ASIC and sale
3 of the Class Vehicles that contain them was profitable for all Defendants.

4 21. Although Defendants should recall and replace the defective DS84
5 ACUs in the Class Vehicles, Defendants' fraud has done harm to Plaintiffs that no
6 recall (or fine by NHTSA) can remedy. When they purchased or leased vehicles
7 with the defective DS84 ACUs, Plaintiffs reasonably believed—based on
8 Defendants' misleading statements—that the airbag and seatbelt systems in their
9 vehicles functioned properly and had no safety defects. Had Defendants disclosed
10 the ACU Defect at the point of sale or lease, Plaintiffs would have seen such
11 disclosures and would not have bought or leased the Class Vehicles, or they would
12 have paid a significantly lower price to purchase or lease them.

13 22. This lawsuit seeks redress on behalf of Plaintiffs, and all other
14 similarly-situated purchasers and lessees of Class Vehicles with defective DS84
15 ACUs, for the harm they suffered when they paid for vehicles with a safety system
16 they cannot rely on to protect them in the moment they need it most.

17 **II. THE PARTIES**

18 **A. Defendants**

19 23. Defendants are companies from nine different corporate groups:
20 (1) ZF, (2) STMicro, (3) Kia, (4) Hyundai, (5) Hyundai Mobis,³ (6) Fiat Chrysler,
21 (7) Toyota, (8) Honda, and (9) Mitsubishi.

22 24. Defendants are some of the largest companies in the global automotive
23 industry. Collectively, they reported more than \$880 billion in revenue in 2019
24 alone. The below chart shows Defendants' reported revenue for 2019.⁴

25
26 ³ Although separate corporate groups, Kia, Hyundai, and Hyundai Mobis are
affiliates that own large blocks of each other's stock.

27 ⁴ Some groups report revenue in foreign currencies. Plaintiffs converted foreign
28 currencies to USD using recent exchange rates.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant Group	Revenue
ZF	\$39 billion
ST	\$9 billion
Kia	\$47 billion
Hyundai	\$86 billion
Hyundai Mobis	\$31 billion
Toyota	\$272 billion
Honda	\$143 billion
Fiat Chrysler	\$118 billion
Mitsubishi	\$137 billion

2. The Supplier Defendants

25. The Supplier Defendants are companies that make and sell the DS84 ACU and/or component parts for the Class Vehicles. The Supplier Defendants are: ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC; ZF Passive Safety Systems US Inc.; ZF Automotive US Inc.; ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.; ZF Friedrichshafen AG, STMicroelectronics, Inc.; STMicroelectronics, S.r.l.; STMicroelectronics SDN BHD; and Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd.

a. The ZF Defendants

26. The ZF Defendants are ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC; ZF Passive Safety Systems US Inc.; ZF Automotive US Inc.; ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.; and ZF Friedrichshafen AG. Plaintiffs refer to these Defendants collectively as the “ZF Defendants.” Plaintiffs refer to ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC, ZF Passive Safety Systems US Inc., ZF Automotive US Inc., ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. as the “Domestic ZF Defendants.”

27. ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC (referred to herein as “ZF Electronics USA”) is a Delaware LLC headquartered in Michigan. It formerly

1 operated under the name “TRW Automotive U.S. LLC.” ZF Electronics USA
2 designed, manufactured, and sold the DS84 ACUs used in the vast majority of
3 Class Vehicles.

4 28. ZF Passive Safety Systems US Inc. (referred to herein as “ZF Passive
5 Safety USA”) is a Delaware Corporation headquartered in Michigan. It previously
6 operated under the name “TRW Vehicle Safety Systems, Inc.” ZF Passive Safety
7 USA worked closely with ZF Electronics USA to design the DS84 ACUs. During
8 the relevant period, it issued paychecks to the vast majority of the ZF engineers and
9 technical specialists who were responsible for the core design of the DS84 ACU,
10 the adaptation of the DS84 ACU to the various makes and models of the Class
11 Vehicles, and the investigation of DS84 ACUs that malfunctioned due to EOS.

12 29. ZF Automotive US Inc. (referred to herein as “ZF Automotive USA”) is a Delaware Corporation headquartered in Michigan and the direct parent and
13 100% owner of ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Active Safety and Electronics US
14 LLC. It formerly operated under the name “TRW Automotive Inc.” It shares
15 responsibility with ZF Electronics USA for the design and manufacture of the DS84
16 ACU. For example:

- 18 a. Specifications for the DS84 ACU and written communications
19 with several Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants about the DS84
20 ACUs have copyright marks attributing ownership of the
21 materials to ZF Automotive USA.
- 22 b. ZF Automotive USA admitted in a filing with NHTSA from
23 2018 that it is a manufacturer of the ACUs at issue in this
24 litigation. In an attachment to that filing, ZF Automotive USA
25 took responsibility for investigations of DS84 ACUs in
26 Hyundai-Kia vehicles. Moreover, according to documents
27 produced in discovery, ZF Automotive USA holds copyright
28 interests in design specifications for the DS84 ACUs.

1 30. ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. (referred to herein as “ZF TRW
2 Corp.”) is a Delaware Corporation headquartered in Michigan and the direct parent
3 and 100% owner of ZF Automotive USA. ZF TRW Corp. is also the entity that
4 contracted with several of the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants on behalf of itself
5 and all its subsidiaries.⁵ ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
6 Automotive USA designed, made, and sold the DS84 ACUs pursuant to these ZF
7 TRW Corp. contracts.

8 31. Although ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
9 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. claim they are independent companies, even
10 the limited discovery that has occurred in this case to date suggests otherwise. In
11 their dealings with NHTSA and their judicial submissions, individuals who
12 received paychecks from ZF Passive Safety USA alone have also held themselves
13 out as representatives of ZF Electronics USA and ZF TRW Corp. For example, in
14 2016, Marc Bolitho received his paychecks from ZF Passive Safety USA, but
15 described himself to NHTSA as the Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF
16 TRW Corp. and Vice President of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF Electronics
17 USA. Similarly, Emanuel Goodman, a Technical Specialist who frequently
18 observed evidence of EOS in DS84 ACUs, received paychecks from ZF Passive
19 Safety USA between 2012 and 2019, but has identified himself as an employee of
20 ZF Electronics USA and ZF Automotive USA in testimony in judicial proceedings.
21 Moreover, based on contracts produced in this litigation, ZF TRW Corp. (and its
22 predecessor, TRW Inc.) regularly bound ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics
23 USA, and ZF Automotive USA to written contracts using signatures from ZF TRW
24 Corp. (or TRW Inc.) executives and without any separate signature from ZF

25 ⁵ Some of these contracts predated the existence of ZF TRW Corp. and were signed
26 by TRW Inc., its corporate predecessor. In 2004, ZF TRW Corp. assumed
27 substantially all of TRW Inc.’s contractual obligations and other liabilities relating
28 to TRW Inc.’s automotive business, when ZF TRW Corp. spun out from a privately
owned company.

1 Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA. Accordingly,
2 these companies share personnel and frequently operate jointly as one unit, and
3 their knowledge and actions are imputed to each other.

4 32. ZF Friedrichshafen AG is a German corporation headquartered in
5 Germany and the parent owner of the Domestic ZF Defendants.

6 33. The origins of the relevant business line of the ZF Defendants traces
7 back to an automotive supplier from the early 1900s named the Cleveland Cap
8 Screw Company.

9 34. During the relevant time period prior to May 15, 2015, ZF Electronics
10 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA operated as subsidiaries of
11 the ultimate parent company ZF TRW Corp. (then called TRW Automotive
12 Holdings Corp.), which was a publicly traded company listed on the New York
13 Stock Exchange.

14 **b. The ST Defendants**

15 35. The ST Defendants include STMicroelectronics, Inc.,
16 STMicroelectronics, S.r.l., and STMicroelectronics SDN BHD.

17 36. ST is a multinational group of companies that manufacturers and sells
18 semiconductors and electronic chips. ST's automotive integrated circuit and
19 discrete and power transistor line of products is one of its three most important lines
20 of business.

21 37. STMicroelectronics, Inc. (referred to herein as "ST USA") is a
22 Delaware Corporation headquartered in Coppel, Texas. ST USA also has a
23 permanent office in Livonia, Michigan. The office is within a fifteen-minute drive
24 from an office shared by ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
25 Electronics USA. Personnel in this shared ZF office performed work relating to the
26 DS84 ACUs.
27
28

1 38. When ZF Automotive USA filed a defect report with NHTSA in 2018
2 relating to the DS84 ACUs in some of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, ZF
3 Automotive USA identified ST USA’s Michigan office as the address for the
4 manufacturer of the DS84 ASIC contained in the ZF ACUs at issue in this
5 litigation.

6 39. STMicroelectronics, S.r.l. (referred to herein as “ST Italy”) is an
7 Italian company based in Italy. Upon information and belief, ST Italy and ST USA
8 jointly designed the DS84 chip with the input of ZF Electronics USA and ZF
9 Passive Safety USA.

10 40. STMicroelectronics SDN BHD (referred to herein as “ST Malaysia”) is
11 a manufacturer of semiconductor devices based in Muar, Johor, Malaysia. ST
12 Malaysia manufactured and shipped the DS84 ASIC for vehicles sold in the United
13 States.

14 **3. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants**

15 41. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants are companies that make and
16 sell completed vehicles and their affiliates. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants
17 are Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Motor America, Inc.; Kia Corp.; Kia
18 America, Inc.; FCA US LLC; Toyota Motor North America Inc., Toyota Motor
19 Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.; Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A.,
20 Inc.; Honda Motor Co., Ltd.; American Honda Motor Co., Inc.; Honda
21 Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC; Mitsubishi Motors
22 Corporation; and Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.

23 42. Defendant Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd. (referred to herein as “Hyundai
24 Mobis”) is an affiliate of Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Motor America, Inc.;
25 Kia Corp.; and Kia America, Inc. Hyundai Mobis makes auto parts for Hyundai and
26 Kia vehicles. Although Hyundai Mobis is a Supplier Defendant and not a Vehicle
27 Manufacturer Defendant, Plaintiffs discuss this defendant in this section given its
28

1 close relationship with Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Motor America, Inc.;
2 Kia Corp.; and Kia America, Inc.

3 **a. The Hyundai-Kia Defendants**

4 43. The Hyundai Defendants are Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd. and Hyundai
5 Motor America, Inc. The Kia Defendants are Kia Corp. and Kia America, Inc. The
6 Hyundai-Kia Defendants are Hyundai, Kia, and Hyundai Mobis.

7 44. Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd. (referred to herein as “Hyundai Korea”) is a
8 foreign corporation headquartered in Seoul, South Korea. Hyundai Korea is one of
9 the largest automobile manufacturers in the world. It designs, develops,
10 manufactures, markets, and sells automobiles around the world, including in the
11 United States.

12 45. Hyundai Motor America, Inc. (referred to herein as “Hyundai USA”)
13 is a California corporation doing business throughout the United States and
14 headquartered in Fountain Valley, California. Hyundai Korea is the parent company
15 of Hyundai USA. Hyundai USA makes and/or sells automobiles in the United
16 States.

17 46. Kia Corp. (referred to herein as “Kia Korea”) is a foreign corporation
18 headquartered in Seoul, South Korea. Kia Korea’s largest shareholder is Hyundai
19 Korea, which owns roughly 34% of Kia Korea. Kia Korea also has a large stake in
20 several Hyundai Korea companies. Kia Korea is one of the largest automobile
21 manufacturers in the world. It designs, develops, manufactures, markets, and sells
22 automobiles around the world, including in the United States.

23 47. Kia America, Inc. (referred to herein as “Kia USA”) is a subsidiary of
24 Kia Korea and was incorporated in the state of California on October 21, 1992 as
25 the American sales, marketing, and distribution arm of Kia Korea, with its principal
26 place of business in Irvine, California. Kia USA makes and/or sells automobiles in
27 the United States.
28

1 48. Hyundai Mobis is a foreign corporation headquartered in Seoul, South
2 Korea. Kia Korea and several Hyundai affiliates own more than 20% of Hyundai
3 Mobis’s stock. Hyundai Mobis’s largest shareholder is Kia Korea, which owns
4 approximately 16.88% of the shares. Hyundai Mobis owns approximately 21% of
5 Hyundai Korea. Hyundai Mobis manufactures, supplies, and distributes automotive
6 parts to the Hyundai-Kia Defendants, including some of the defective DS84
7 ACUs.⁶

8 **b. FCA**

9 49. FCA US LLC (referred to herein as “FCA”) is a Delaware limited
10 liability company with its principal place of business and headquarters located at
11 1000 Chrysler Drive, Auburn Hills, Michigan. FCA is in the business of designing,
12 developing, manufacturing, marketing, and selling automobiles in the United
13 States.

14 **c. The Toyota Defendants**

15 50. The Toyota Defendants (together, “Toyota”) are Toyota Motor North
16 America Inc.; Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.;
17 and Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

18 51. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. (referred to herein as “Toyota
19 USA”) is a California corporation and wholly owned U.S. subsidiary of the
20 Japanese company Toyota Motor Corporation. Toyota Motor Corporation is a non-
21 party to this lawsuit and is referred to herein as “Toyota Japan”. Toyota USA’s
22 principal place of business located at 6565 Headquarters Drive, Plano, Texas. It has
23

24 ⁶ Hyundai Mobis manufactured the DS84 ACUs, using ZF Automotive USA, ZF
25 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA’s designs, for some of the Hyundai
26 and Kia Class Vehicles. The ZF-designed ACUs manufactured by Hyundai Mobis
27 contain the same defective DS84 ASIC as all of the Class Vehicles. Upon
28 information and belief, ST Malaysia made the DS84 ASICs used by Hyundai
Mobis in the DS84 ACUs it made.

1 additional offices in Torrance, California; Georgetown, Kentucky; Washington,
2 DC; Ann Arbor, Michigan; New York City, New York; and San Ramon, California.
3 Toyota USA is the holding company for Toyota Japan's North American operations
4 and engages in business activities in furtherance of the interests of Toyota Japan,
5 including Toyota Japan's sales in all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

6 52. Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.
7 (referred to herein as "Toyota Engineering USA") is a Kentucky corporation doing
8 business throughout the United States. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Toyota
9 Japan, with its principal place of business at 25 Atlantic Avenue, Erlanger,
10 Kentucky 41018. It also has major operations in Arizona, California, and Michigan.
11 Toyota Engineering USA provides centralized support to Toyota's North American
12 manufacturing plants in several key areas such as purchasing, production control,
13 production engineering, quality control, environmental, and administration. It
14 served as the purchasing agent for many (perhaps all) of the DS84 ACUs installed
15 in the Toyota Class Vehicles.

16 53. Toyota Engineering USA shares responsibility for Toyota's
17 engineering, design, research and development, and manufacturing activities with
18 Toyota's fourteen plants in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. Some of those
19 manufacturing plants across the United States and North America include Toyota
20 Motor Manufacturing Alabama, Toyota Motor Manufacturing Indiana, Toyota
21 Motor Manufacturing Kentucky, Toyota Motor Manufacturing Texas, Toyota
22 Motor Manufacturing West Virginia, Toyota Motor Manufacturing de Baja
23 California, and Toyota Auto Body Company, Inc. in Long Beach, California.

24 54. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (referred to herein as "Toyota Sales
25 USA") is a California corporation and wholly owned American subsidiary of
26 Toyota Motor Corporation that engages in business activities in furtherance of the
27 interests of its parent, including marketing, sales, and distribution of Toyota
28 automobiles in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. From the time it was

1 founded in 1957 through 2017, Toyota Sales USA’s former principal place of
2 business was located in Torrance, California. In 2017, Toyota Sales USA moved to
3 a new campus facility in Plano, Texas. Toyota Sales USA currently has
4 approximately 8,900 employees and sells its vehicles through a network of 1,800
5 authorized dealerships throughout the United States.

6 **d. The Honda Defendants**

7 55. The Honda Defendants (together, “Honda”) are Honda Motor Co.,
8 Ltd.; American Honda Motor Co., Inc.; and Honda Development and
9 Manufacturing of America, LLC.

10 56. Honda Motor Co., Ltd. (referred to herein as “Honda Japan”) is a
11 Japanese corporation with its principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan. It is one
12 of the largest automobile manufacturers in the world, and it is in the business of
13 designing, developing, manufacturing, marketing, and selling automobiles around
14 the world, including in the United States.

15 57. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (referred to herein as “Honda USA”) is
16 a California corporation doing business throughout the United States. Its
17 headquarters are located in Torrance, California. Honda USA is a wholly owned
18 U.S. subsidiary of Honda Japan, and it engages in business activities in furtherance
19 of the interests of Honda Japan, including the advertising, marketing, lease, and sale
20 of Honda automobiles in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. It has
21 approximately 31,000 employees in the United States and sells its vehicles through
22 its authorized dealership network.

23 58. Honda Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC (referred to
24 herein as “Honda Engineering USA”) is an Ohio corporation with its principal
25 place of business in Marysville, Ohio. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Honda
26 Japan and is the successor of several of Honda Japan’s prior engineering and
27 manufacturing domestic subsidiaries, including American Honda Mfg., Inc. and
28

1 Honda R&D Americas, LLC. Honda Engineering USA performs various
2 engineering functions for Honda Japan, including the design, development,
3 prototyping, testing, and manufacturing of Honda vehicles in the United States.

4 **e. The Mitsubishi Defendants**

5 59. The Mitsubishi Defendants (together, “Mitsubishi”) are Mitsubishi
6 Motors North America, Inc. and Mitsubishi Motors Corporation.

7 60. Mitsubishi Motors Corporation (referred to herein as “Mitsubishi
8 Japan”) is a Japanese corporation with its principal place of business located at 1-
9 21, Shibaura 3chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan. Mitsubishi Japan, along with its
10 subsidiaries, develops, manufactures, and sells automobiles, parts, and powertrains
11 worldwide, including in the United States.

12 61. Defendant Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc. (referred to herein
13 as “Mitsubishi USA”) is incorporated in California and has its administrative
14 headquarters located at 3401 Mallory Lane, Franklin, Tennessee 37067. In a June
15 2019 press release, Mitsubishi USA touted its roots going back to 1988 in Cypress
16 and Fountain Valley, California before it moved its headquarters to Tennessee in
17 2019.

18 62. Mitsubishi USA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mitsubishi Japan, and
19 it engages in business activities in furtherance of the interests of Mitsubishi Japan.
20 Mitsubishi USA is responsible for the research and development, marketing, sale,
21 and customer service of Mitsubishi-branded vehicles in the United States.

22 63. Until 2015, Mitsubishi USA had a manufacturing plant located in
23 Normal, Illinois. At the direction of Mitsubishi Japan, that plant has since closed.

24 **B. Plaintiffs**

25 64. For ease of reference, the following chart identifies the representative
26 Plaintiffs and the state(s) in which they reside and purchased or leased their Class
27 Vehicles:
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Class Representative	State of Purchase/ Lease	State of Residence	Model Year	Make & Model
1	Sigfredo Rubio	AL	AL	2015	Acura TLX
2	James Kneup	AZ	AZ	2013	Jeep Wrangler
3	Remigiusz Rundzio	CA	CA	2012	Jeep Wrangler
4	Steve Laveaux	CA	CA	2014	Jeep Wrangler
5	Kevin Burns	CA	CA	2013	Honda Civic Hybrid
6	Michael Hernandez	CA	CA	2019	Hyundai Sonata
7	Bonnie Dellatorre	CA	CA	2013	Kia Optima Hybrid
8	Lore Van Houten	CA	CA	2018	Kia Optima
9	Tiffany Ecklor	CA	CA	2013	Mitsubishi Outlander
10	Gaylynn Darling (Sanchez)	CA	CA	2015	Mitsubishi Lancer
11	Mark Altier	CA	CA	2014	Toyota Tacoma
12	Alejandra Renteria	CA	CA	2013	Toyota Corolla Matrix
13	Michael Nearing	CO	CO	2014	Mitsubishi Lancer
14	Paul Huitzil	CT	CT	2013	Honda Accord
15	Moises Senti	FL	FL	2016	Jeep Wrangler
16	Maximillian Accetta	FL	FL	2015	Jeep Compass
17	Fredericka McPherson	FL	FL	2013	Honda Accord
18	Brian Chaiken	FL	FL	2013	Honda CR-V
19	Carl Paul Maurilus	FL	FL	2017	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid
20	John Colbert	FL	FL	2016	Kia Optima
21	Lawrence Graziano	FL	FL	2018	Kia Optima
22	Samuel Choc	FL	FL	2013	Toyota Tacoma
23	Tatiana Gales	FL	FL	2015	Toyota Corolla
24	Amanda Swanson	IL	IL	2017	Kia Optima

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Class Representative	State of Purchase/ Lease	State of Residence	Model Year	Make & Model
25	Brian Collins	IL	IL	2018	Kia Optima
26	Kenneth Ogorek	IN	IN	2014	Kia Sedona
27	Joseph Fuller	MD	MD	2014	Hyundai Sonata
28	Tina Fuller	MD	MD	2014	Hyundai Sonata
29	Diana King	MD	MD	2014	Kia Sedona
30	Dylan DeMoranville	MA	MA	2013	Kia Optima
31	Kinyata Jones	MI	MI	2013	Kia Optima
32	Steve Keister	MN	WI	2010	Dodge Nitro
33	Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge	MN	WI	2015	Kia Optima
34	Dan Sutterfield	MO	MO	2013	Kia Forte
35	Gary Samouris	NV	NV	2018	Toyota Tacoma
36	Gerson Damens	NJ	NJ	2015	Kia Optima
37	Eric Fishon	NY	NY	2014	Jeep Wrangler
38	Ravichandran Namakkal	NY	NY	2014	Honda Civic
39	Constanza Gonzalez	NC	NC	2012	Jeep Wrangler
40	Tonya McNeely	NC	NC	2012	Honda Civic
41	James Dean	OK	OK	2015	Fiat 500
42	Larae Angel	PA	PA	2013	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid
43	Richard Kintzel	PA	PA	2016	Kia Optima
44	Michael Hines	SC	FL	2012	Toyota Tundra
45	Desiree Meyer	SD	WY	2012	Jeep Liberty
46	Angela Bowens	TX	TX	2015	Honda Civic
47	Burton Reckles	TX	TX	2013	Hyundai Sonata
48	Brent DeRouen	TX	TX	2016	Toyota Tundra

	Class Representative	State of Purchase/ Lease	State of Residence	Model Year	Make & Model
49	Danny Hunt	TX	TX	2014	Toyota Tacoma
50	Evan Green	TX	TX	2015	Toyota Tacoma
51	Joy Davis	TX	OR	2014	Toyota Corolla
52	Dee Roberts	WA	WA	2013	Toyota Avalon
53	John Sancomb	WI	WI	2013	Mitsubishi Lancer Sportback

1. Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs

a. Michael Hernandez

65. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Aliso Viejo, California. In or around March 2019, Plaintiff leased a new 2019 Hyundai Sonata (the “Class Vehicle”) from Tuttle-Click Hyundai, an authorized Hyundai dealership located in Irvine, California. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

66. In the weeks leading up to his lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

a. On the day he leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Tuttle-Click Hyundai. Plaintiff had conversations with the salesperson about the Class Vehicle’s features, including its safety features.

b. On the day he visited Tuttle-Click Hyundai to lease the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Hyundai brochure, which touted the

1 Class Vehicle's features, including its various safety features.
2 These representations and statements indicated that the Class
3 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
4 seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
5 Hyundai USA was responsible for the content of Hyundai
6 brochures distributed in the United States.

7 c. Plaintiff conducted online research, including reviewing
8 Consumer Reports to understand the safety features offered for
9 the Class Vehicle, and its safety ratings. Because Defendants
10 failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's research did not
11 show that the Class Vehicle contained the Defect, and instead
12 indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
13 functioning airbags and seatbelts.

14 d. Plaintiff reviewed the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag
15 label safety language immediately prior to his lease. The sticker
16 and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
17 functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation
18 of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was responsible for the
19 content of the Monroney sticker, and Hyundai Korea was
20 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

21 e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before leasing it. At no
22 time prior to or at the time of his lease did the airbag warning
23 light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or flash to
24 indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By
25 not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed
26 there were no problems with the system and that the airbag
27 system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the
28 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF

1 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
2 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
3 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

4 67. Hyundai USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
5 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
6 USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
7 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
8 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
9 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
10 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
11 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai USA,
12 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
14 Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
15 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased the Class Vehicle, or would have
16 paid less for it, if Hyundai USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics
17 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
18 ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding
19 the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
20 defective ACU and ASIC.

21 **b. Bonnie Dellatorre**

22 68. Plaintiff Bonnie Dellatorre (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
23 Lake Forest, California. On or around October 14, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new
24 2013 Kia Optima Hybrid (the “Class Vehicle”) from Kia of Irvine, an authorized
25 Kia dealership located in Irvine, California. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
26 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
27 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
28

1 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
2 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

3 69. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
4 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 5 a. On the day she visited Kia of Irvine to purchase the Class
6 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the
7 Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Kia of Irvine. Plaintiff
8 discussed with the salesperson the Class Vehicle's safety
9 features and its warranty.
- 10 b. Plaintiff reviewed the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag
11 label safety language immediately prior to her purchase. The
12 sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had
13 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
14 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
15 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
16 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.
- 17 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
18 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
19 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
20 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
21 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
22 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
23 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
24 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
25 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
26 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
27 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.
- 28

1 70. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
2 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
3 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
4 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
5 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
6 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
7 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
8 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
9 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
10 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
11 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
12 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
13 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
15 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
16 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

17 **c. Lore Van Houten**

18 71. Plaintiff Lore Van Houten (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
19 Murrieta, California. On or around September 9, 2018, Plaintiff leased a new 2018
20 Kia Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from North County Kia, an authorized Kia
21 dealership located in Escondido, California. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
22 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
23 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
24 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
25 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

26 72. In the weeks leading up to her lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
27 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.
28

- 1 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Kia's website
2 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
3 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's safety
4 features were important to her lease decision. Plaintiff is not
5 personally aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible
6 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
7 interfaces with Kia as a brand. Based upon the investigation of
8 Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content of
9 the website.
- 10 b. At North County Kia on the day she leased the Class Vehicle,
11 Plaintiff saw a Kia brochure, which included among other
12 things, representations and statements indicating that the Class
13 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
14 seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
15 Kia USA was responsible for the content of Kia brochures
16 distributed in the United States. The brochure was given to
17 Plaintiff by a salesperson at North County Kia.
- 18 c. Plaintiff saw Kia television commercials that touted the safety of
19 Kia-branded vehicles, among other things. Plaintiff is not
20 personally aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible
21 for the Kia commercials she saw. Based upon the investigation
22 of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content
23 of the television advertising.
- 24 d. On the day she leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
25 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
26 salesperson at North County Kia. The salesperson told Plaintiff
27 prior to her deciding to lease the Class Vehicle that the Class
28

1 Vehicle was safe, reliable, had good fuel economy, and that Kia
2 offered a good warranty for it.

3 e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
4 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her lease. The
5 sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had
6 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
7 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
8 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
9 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

10 f. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before leasing it. At no
11 time prior to or at the time of her lease did the airbag warning
12 light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or flash to
13 indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By
14 not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed
15 there were no problems with the system and that the airbag
16 system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the
17 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had
19 joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag warning light to
20 warn about the ACU Defect.

21 73. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
23 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
24 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
25 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
26 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
27 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
28 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia

1 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
3 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
4 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased the Class Vehicle, or would have
5 paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
7 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
8 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
9 ACU and ASIC.

10 **d. Carl Paul Maurilus**

11 74. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
12 Orlando, Florida. On or around March 19, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a new 2017
13 Hyundai Sonata Hybrid (the "Class Vehicle") from Rick Case Hyundai, an
14 authorized Hyundai dealership located in Davie, Florida. At the time Plaintiff
15 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
16 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
17 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
18 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

19 75. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
20 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 21 a. Plaintiff conducted online research. Plaintiff looked up the Class
22 Vehicle online at Kelly Blue Book, and compared Hyundai to
23 other brands in terms of options, performance, and safety.
24 Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect,
25 Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class Vehicle
26 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.

b. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Hyundai’s website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff familiarized himself with the safety features that came equipped on the Class Vehicle, and saw advertisements on Hyundai’s website about safety awards that Hyundai vehicles have won. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Hyundai entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Hyundai as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai USA was responsible for the content of the website.

c. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Rick Case Hyundai. Plaintiff had conversations with the salesperson about the safety features the Class Vehicle came with, and how safe the Class Vehicle was generally.

d. On the day he visited Rick Case Hyundai to purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Hyundai brochure, which touted the Class Vehicle’s features, including its various safety features. These representations and statements indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai USA was responsible for the content of Hyundai brochures distributed in the United States. The brochure was given to Plaintiff by a salesperson at Rick Case Hyundai.

1 e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
2 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
3 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
4 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
5 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was
6 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
7 Hyundai Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
8 safety language.

9 f. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
10 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
11 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
12 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
13 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
14 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
15 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
16 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
18 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
19 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

20 76. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
21 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
22 USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
23 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
24 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
25 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
26 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
27 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai Korea,
28 Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF

1 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
2 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
3 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would
4 have paid less for it, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF
5 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
6 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material
7 information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it
8 was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

9 **e. John Colbert**

10 77. Plaintiff John Colbert ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
11 Crestview, Florida. On or around May 16, 2016, Plaintiff purchased a new 2016
12 Kia Optima (the "Class Vehicle") from Kia Fort Walton Beach, an authorized Kia
13 dealership located in Fort Walton Beach, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the
14 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
15 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
16 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
17 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

18 78. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
19 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

20 a. Plaintiff saw Kia television commercials that touted the safety of
21 the Class Vehicle, among other things. Plaintiff is not personally
22 aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible for the Kia
23 commercials he saw. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
24 counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content of the
25 television advertising.

26 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
27 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
28

1 salesperson at Kia Fort Walton Beach. Plaintiff had
2 conversations with the salesperson about the features, including
3 the safety features, the Class Vehicle came with, and how safe
4 the Class Vehicle was generally.

5 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
6 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
7 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
8 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
9 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
10 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
11 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

12 d. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
13 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
14 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
15 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
16 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
17 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
18 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
19 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
20 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
21 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

22 79. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
23 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
24 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
25 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
26 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
27 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
28 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in

1 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia
2 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
3 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
4 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
5 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would
6 have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
7 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
8 USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the
9 Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
10 defective ACU and ASIC.

11 **f. Lawrence Graziano**

12 80. Plaintiff Lawrence Graziano ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
13 Windermere, Florida. On or around April 10, 2018, Plaintiff leased a new 2018 Kia
14 Optima (the "Class Vehicle") from Greenway Kia, an authorized Kia dealership
15 located in Orlando, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
16 Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
17 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
18 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
19 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

20 81. In the weeks leading up to his lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
21 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

22 a. Plaintiff saw Kia commercials on television touting the features
23 of the Class Vehicle. These commercials represented the Class
24 Vehicle as a safe vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware of
25 which particular Kia entity is responsible for television
26 advertising. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for the content of the television advertising.
- b. At Greenway Kia on the day he leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff saw advertisements in the dealership publicizing a JD Power award that the 2018 Kia Optima had won.
 - c. On the day he leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Greenway Kia. Plaintiff discussed the safety features of the Class Vehicle with the salesperson. Safety was an important factor in Plaintiff's decision to lease the Class Vehicle because he has a young child. Plaintiff specifically recalls the salesperson pointing out the various airbags the Class Vehicle came equipped with.
 - d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag label safety language immediately prior to his lease. The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.
 - e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before leasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of his lease did the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF Electronics

1 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had
2 joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag warning light to
3 warn about the ACU Defect.

4 82. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
5 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
6 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
7 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
8 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
9 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
10 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
11 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia
12 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
14 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
15 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased the Class Vehicle, or would have
16 paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
17 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
18 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
19 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
20 ACU and ASIC.

21 **g. Amanda Swanson**

22 83. Plaintiff Amanda Swanson ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
23 Romeoville, Illinois. On or around October 21, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a new
24 2017 Kia Optima (the "Class Vehicle") from World Kia Joliet, an authorized Kia
25 dealership located in Joliet, Illinois. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
26 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
27 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
28

1 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
2 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

3 84. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
4 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

5 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
6 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
7 salesperson at World Kia Joliet. The salesperson told Plaintiff
8 about the Class Vehicle's features, including its safety features,
9 prior to her deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle.

10 b. Plaintiff reviewed and relied on documents about the Class
11 Vehicle. These documents about the Class Vehicle were
12 provided to her by a salesperson at World Kia Joliet. Plaintiff is
13 not personally aware of which particular Kia entity is
14 responsible for written materials she reviewed at World Kia
15 Joliet about the Class Vehicle. Based upon the investigation of
16 Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for
17 distributing materials about the Class Vehicle.

18 c. Plaintiff saw, heard, and relied on Kia commercials through
19 radio, television, and the internet that touted the safety, quality,
20 and reliability of the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally
21 aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible for
22 advertising. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
23 Kia USA was responsible for the content of the advertising.

24 d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
25 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
26 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
27 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
28 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible

1 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
2 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

3 e. At no time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
4 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
5 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
6 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
7 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
8 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
9 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
10 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
11 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
12 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

13 85. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
14 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
15 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
16 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
17 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
18 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
19 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
20 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia
21 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
23 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
24 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would
25 have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
26 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
27 USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the
28

1 Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
2 defective ACU and ASIC.

3 **h. Brian Collins**

4 86. Plaintiff Brian Collins (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Carol
5 Stream, Illinois. On or around July 2, 2018, Plaintiff purchased a new 2018 Kia
6 Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from Gerald Kia, an authorized Kia dealership
7 located in Naperville, Illinois. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
8 Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
9 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
10 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
11 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

12 87. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
13 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 14 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Kia’s website
15 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
16 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
17 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
18 personally aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible
19 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
20 interfaces with Kia as a brand. Based upon the investigation of
21 Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for the
22 content of the website.
- 23 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
24 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
25 salesperson at Gerald Kia. The salesperson told Plaintiff prior to
26 him deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle that the 2018 Kia
27 Optima was safe. Plaintiff recalls the salesperson touting the fact
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

that the 2018 Kia Optima had a five star crash rating and numerous airbags.

- c. Plaintiff conducted online research, including reviewing Kia dealership websites to understand the safety features offered for the Class Vehicle, and read reviews from Car and Driver. The Car and Driver reviews touted the safety of the Class Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
- d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase. The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.
- e. Plaintiff sat inside a 2018 Kia Optima at Gerald Kia prior to his purchase of his Class Vehicle. When his Class Vehicle was delivered to him, the airbag warning light on its dashboard was not illuminated nor did it flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had joint responsibility for the

1 failure of the airbag warning light to warn about the ACU
2 Defect.

3 88. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
4 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
5 ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from
6 consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff
7 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed
8 information through, for example, the advertising channels described above or
9 through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in
10 the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia
11 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
13 Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring
14 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would
15 have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
16 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
17 USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the
18 Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
19 defective ACU and ASIC.

20 **i. Kenneth Ogorek**

21 89. Plaintiff Kenneth Ogorek ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
22 Indianapolis, Indiana. On or around July 26, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014
23 Kia Sedona ("Class Vehicle") from Napleton Kia of Fishers, an authorized Kia
24 dealership located in Fishers, Indiana. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
25 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
26 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
27
28

1 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
2 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

3 90. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
4 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

5 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Kia's website
6 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
7 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's safety
8 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
9 personally aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible
10 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
11 interfaces with Kia as a brand. Based upon the investigation of
12 Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for the
13 content of the website.

14 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
15 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
16 salesperson at Napleton Kia of Fishers. Plaintiff recalls that the
17 salesperson told Plaintiff prior to him deciding to purchase the
18 Class Vehicle about the Class Vehicle's safety features.

19 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
20 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
21 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
22 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
23 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
24 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
25 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

26 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
27 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
28 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or

1 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
2 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
3 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
4 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
5 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
7 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
8 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

9 91. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
10 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
11 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
12 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
13 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
14 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
15 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
16 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
17 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
18 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
19 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
20 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
21 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
23 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
24 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

25 **j. Joseph Fuller**

26 92. Plaintiff Joseph Fuller (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Middle
27 River, Maryland. On or around April 28, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014
28

1 Hyundai Sonata (“Class Vehicle”) from Thompson Hyundai, an authorized
2 Hyundai dealership located in Dundalk, Maryland. At the time Plaintiff acquired
3 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
4 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
5 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
6 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

7 93. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
8 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

9 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
10 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
11 salesperson at Thompson Hyundai. The salesperson told
12 Plaintiff prior to him deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle
13 about the Class Vehicle’s safety features.

14 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
15 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
16 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
17 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
18 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai USA was
19 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
20 Hyundai Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
21 safety language.

22 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
23 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
24 warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or
25 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
26 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
27 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
28 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based

1 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
3 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
4 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

5 94. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
6 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
7 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
8 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
9 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
10 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
11 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
12 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
13 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
15 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
16 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
17 less for it, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
18 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
19 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
20 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
21 ACU and ASIC.

22 **k. Tina Fuller**

23 95. Plaintiff Tina Fuller (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Middle
24 River, Maryland. On or around April 29, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014
25 Hyundai Sonata (the “Class Vehicle”) from Thompson Hyundai, an authorized
26 Hyundai dealership located in Dundalk, Maryland. At the time Plaintiff acquired
27 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
28

1 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
2 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
3 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

4 96. In the weeks leading up to her purchased of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
5 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

6 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
7 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
8 salesperson at Thompson Hyundai. The salesperson told
9 Plaintiff prior to her deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle
10 about the Class Vehicle's safety features.

11 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
12 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
13 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
14 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
15 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was
16 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
17 Hyundai Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
18 safety language.

19 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
20 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
21 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
22 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
23 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
24 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
25 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
26 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF
27 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
28

1 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
2 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

3 97. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
4 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
5 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
6 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
7 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
8 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
9 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
10 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
11 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
13 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
14 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
15 less for it, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
16 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
17 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
18 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
19 ACU and ASIC.

20 **I. Diana King**

21 98. Plaintiff Diana King ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Sparrows
22 Point, Maryland. On or around July 17, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014 Kia
23 Sedona (the "Class Vehicle") from Bob Bell Nissan, located in Baltimore,
24 Maryland. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a
25 reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and
26 seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a
27
28

1 defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a
2 crash.

3 99. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
4 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

5 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
6 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
7 salesperson at Bob Bell. The salesperson told Plaintiff prior to
8 her deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle about the Class
9 Vehicle's safety features.

10 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
11 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
12 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
13 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
14 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
15 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
16 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

17 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
18 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
19 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
20 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
21 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
22 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
23 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
24 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
25 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
26 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
27 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.
28

1 100. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
2 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
3 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
4 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
5 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
6 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
7 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
8 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
9 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
10 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
11 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
12 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
13 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
15 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
16 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

17 **m. Dylan DeMoranville**

18 101. Plaintiff Dylan DeMoranville (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
19 East Freetown, Massachusetts. On or around April 14, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a
20 used 2013 Kia Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from Route 44 Hyundai located in
21 Raynham, Massachusetts. The Class Vehicle was totaled in an accident where the
22 airbags did not deploy on or around February 7, 2020. At the time Plaintiff acquired
23 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
24 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
25 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
26 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

1 102. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
2 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

3 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
4 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
5 salesperson at Route 44 Hyundai. Plaintiff discussed with the
6 salesman the features, price, and overall safety ratings of the
7 Class Vehicle.

8 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
9 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
10 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
11 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
12 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
13 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
14 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

15 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
16 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
17 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
18 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
19 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
20 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
21 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
22 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
24 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
25 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

26 103. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
27 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
28 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like

1 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
2 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
3 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
4 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
5 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
6 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
7 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
8 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
9 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
10 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
11 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
12 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
13 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

14 **n. Kinyata Jones**

15 104. Plaintiff Kinyata Jones (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Saint
16 Joseph, Michigan. On or around March 16, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used 2013
17 Kia Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from Signature Toyota located in Benton Harbor,
18 Michigan. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a
19 reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and
20 seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a
21 defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a
22 crash.

23 105. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
24 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

25 a. On the day she purchased her Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
26 the salesperson at Signature Toyota. The salesperson told her
27 that the Class Vehicle was safe and reliable.
28

- 1 b. Plaintiff saw Kia television commercials that touted, among
2 other things, the safety of Kia-branded vehicles. Based upon the
3 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was
4 responsible for the content of the television advertising.
- 5 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
6 prior to her purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
7 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
8 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA
9 was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.
- 10 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
11 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
12 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
13 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
14 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
15 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
16 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
17 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
19 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
20 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

21 106. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
23 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
24 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
25 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
26 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
27 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
28 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai

1 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
2 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
3 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
4 have leased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
5 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
6 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
7 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
8 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

9 **o. Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge**

10 107. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing
11 in Merrill, Wisconsin. On or around October 24, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new
12 2015 Kia Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from Luther Nissan Kia, an authorized Kia
13 dealership located in Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota. At the time Plaintiff acquired
14 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
15 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
16 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
17 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

18 108. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
19 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

20 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Kia’s website
21 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
22 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
23 features were important to her purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
24 personally aware of which particular Kia entity is responsible
25 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
26 interfaces with Kia as a brand. Based upon the investigation of
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for the content of the website.

b. Plaintiff conducted online research, including reviewing Luther Nissan Kia's website to understand the Class Vehicle's features, including its safety features. Plaintiff also researched the Class Vehicle's safety features on Google Reviews and Kelley Blue Book. Plaintiff searched online for information regarding the reliability of the Class Vehicle, and for any negative information that might affect her purchasing decision. Further, Plaintiff searched Consumer Reports online for information on customer satisfaction, safety, and reviews. Plaintiff also searched online for recalls, particularly any safety recalls, and recalls that there were none at that time. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.

c. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Luther Nissan Kia. The salesperson touted the Class Vehicle's safety features to Plaintiff prior to her deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle.

d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase. The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The salesperson at Luther Nissan Kia also walked Plaintiff through each safety feature on the Monroney Sticker. Based upon the investigation

1 of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible for the content
2 of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was responsible for the
3 in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

4 e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
5 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
6 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
7 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
8 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
9 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
10 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
11 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
13 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
14 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

15 109. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
16 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
17 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
18 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
19 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
20 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
21 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
22 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
23 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
24 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive
25 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
26 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
27 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
28 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not

1 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
2 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

3 **p. Dan Sutterfield**

4 110. Plaintiff Dan Sutterfield (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
5 Newburg, Missouri. On or around September 27, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a used
6 2013 Kia Forte (the “Class Vehicle”) from Kia of Rolla, an authorized Kia
7 dealership located in Rolla, Missouri. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
8 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
9 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
10 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
11 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

12 111. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
13 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 14 a. Plaintiff conducted online research about the Class Vehicle,
15 including research on its reliability, whether it had problems,
16 and its gas mileage. Because Defendants failed to disclose the
17 ACU Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class
18 Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that
19 the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
20 and seatbelts.
- 21 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney Sticker immediately
22 prior to his purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
23 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
24 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia USA
25 was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.
- 26 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
27 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
28

1 warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or
2 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
3 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
4 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
5 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
6 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
7 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
8 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
9 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

10 112. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
12 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
13 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
14 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
15 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
16 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
17 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
18 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
19 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive
20 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
21 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
22 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
23 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
24 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or
25 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

q. Gerson Damens

113. Plaintiff Gerson Damens (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Moorestown, New Jersey. On or around June 30, 2015, Plaintiff leased a new 2015 Kia Optima (the “Class Vehicle”) from Cherry Hill Kia, an authorized Kia dealership located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey. Plaintiff purchased the Class Vehicle at the end of the lease term on or around January 2, 2019. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

114. In the weeks leading up to his lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

a. Plaintiff conducted online research about the Class Vehicle, which included reviewing Consumer Reports’ website and him checking for open recalls and other reported concerns that pertained to the Class Vehicle. Plaintiffs visited the Kia website, and saw information about the vehicle’s warranty and specifications. In his online research, he did not see any open recalls or reported concerns on the Class Vehicle in his research. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle was affected by the Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Kia USA, Inc. was responsible for the content of the Kia website.

- 1 b. On the day he leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and
2 relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
3 salesperson at Cherry Hill Kia. Plaintiff discussed with the
4 salesman the Class Vehicle's warranty and quality.
- 5 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the in-vehicle airbag label safety
6 language at the dealership and prior to his lease, including
7 during his test drive. The label indicated the Class Vehicle was
8 safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based
9 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea was
10 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.
- 11 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle for a full day before
12 leasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of his lease did the
13 airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard
14 illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's
15 airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag
16 warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system
17 and that the airbag system would function properly during a
18 crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia
19 Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
20 Automotive USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the
21 airbag warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

22 115. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
23 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
24 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
25 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
26 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
27 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with
28 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an

1 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia, Hyundai Mobis, ZF TRW,
2 and STMicro’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
3 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased the Class Vehicle, or
4 would have paid less for it, if Kia, Hyundai Mobis, ZF TRW, and/or STMicro did
5 not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s defective ACU and
6 ASIC.

7 **r. Larae Angel**

8 116. Plaintiff Larae Angel (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
9 Smithfield, Pennsylvania. On or around May 4, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new
10 2013 Hyundai Sonata Hybrid (the “Class Vehicle”) from Auto Land Hyundai of
11 Uniontown, an authorized Hyundai dealership located in Uniontown, Pennsylvania.
12 At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable
13 expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts,
14 and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective
15 ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

16 117. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
17 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

18 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Hyundai’s
19 website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had
20 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s
21 safety features were important to her purchase decision. Plaintiff
22 is not personally aware of which particular Hyundai entity is
23 responsible for these representations and statements because
24 Plaintiff interfaces with Hyundai as a brand. Based upon the
25 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai USA was
26 responsible for the content of the website.
27
28

- 1 b. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
2 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
3 salesperson at Auto Land Hyundai of Uniontown. Plaintiff
4 discussed the safety of the Class Vehicle with the salesperson
5 prior to her deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle.
- 6 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
7 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
8 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
9 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
10 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was
11 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
12 Hyundai Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
13 safety language.
- 14 d. Plaintiff and her husband took the Class Vehicle for a test drive
15 before purchasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of her
16 purchase did the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle's
17 dashboard illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the
18 Class Vehicle's airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing,
19 the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with
20 the system and that the airbag system would function properly
21 during a crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
22 counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had joint responsibility
24 for the failure of the airbag warning light to warn about the
25 ACU Defect.

26 118. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
27 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
28 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers

1 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
2 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
3 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
4 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
5 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
6 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
7 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
8 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
9 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
10 less for it, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
11 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
12 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
13 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
14 ACU and ASIC.

15 **s. Richard Kintzel**

16 119. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
17 Tremont, Pennsylvania. On or around December 30, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a
18 new 2016 Kia Optima (the "Class Vehicle") from Savage Kia, an authorized Kia
19 dealership located in Reading, Pennsylvania. At the time Plaintiff acquired the
20 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
21 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
22 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
23 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

24 120. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
25 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 26 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
27 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
28

1 salesperson at Savage Kia. Plaintiff and the salesperson spoke
2 about the Class Vehicle's safety features, including its front and
3 passenger side airbags, in-door airbags, and reinforced doors,
4 and the Class Vehicle's warranties.

5 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
6 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
7 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
8 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
9 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia USA was responsible
10 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Kia Korea was
11 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

12 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
13 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
14 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
15 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
16 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
17 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
18 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
19 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Kia Korea, ZF
20 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
21 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
22 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

23 121. Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
24 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
25 and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like
26 Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the
27 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through,
28 for example, the advertising channels described above or through discussions with

1 the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an
2 overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
3 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
4 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive
5 the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not
6 have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Kia Korea, Kia
7 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
8 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not
9 conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or
10 the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

11 **t. Burton Reckles**

12 122. Plaintiff Burton Reckles ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Sugar
13 Land, Texas. On or around August 16, 2012, Plaintiff purchased a new 2013
14 Hyundai Sonata (the "Class Vehicle") from Texan Hyundai, an authorized Hyundai
15 dealership located in Rosenberg, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
16 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
17 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
18 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
19 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

20 123. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
21 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 22 a. On the day he visited Texan Hyundai to purchase the Class
23 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the
24 Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Kia of Irvine. Plaintiff
25 discussed with the salesperson the Class Vehicle's safety
26 features. The salesperson made statements that the Class Vehicle
27 was a safe vehicle.
28

- 1 b. On the day he visited Texan Hyundai to purchase the Class
2 Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Hyundai marketing materials, which
3 touted the Class Vehicle's features, including its safety features.
4 These representations and statements indicated that the Class
5 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
6 seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
7 Hyundai USA was responsible for the content of Hyundai
8 marketing materials distributed in the United States. The
9 marketing materials were given to Plaintiff by a salesperson at
10 Texan Hyundai.
- 11 c. Plaintiff viewed and heard Hyundai commercials through radio,
12 television, and internet that touted the quality and reliability of
13 the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which
14 particular Hyundai entity is responsible for the Hyundai
15 commercials he saw and heard. Based upon the investigation of
16 Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was responsible for the
17 content of the advertising.
- 18 d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
19 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
20 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
21 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
22 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Hyundai USA was
23 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
24 Hyundai Korea was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
25 safety language.
- 26 e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
27 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
28 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or

1 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
2 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
3 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
4 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
5 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Hyundai Korea, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
7 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
8 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

9 124. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
10 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
11 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
12 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
13 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
14 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
15 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
16 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
17 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
18 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
19 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
20 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
21 less for it, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA,
22 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
23 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
24 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
25 ACU and ASIC.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. FCA Plaintiffs

a. James Kneup

125. Plaintiff James Kneup (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Tucson, Arizona. On or around May 30, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new 2013 Jeep Wrangler (the “Class Vehicle”) from Larry H. Miller Chrysler Jeep Tucson, an authorized FCA dealership located in Tucson, Arizona. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

126. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- a. Plaintiff reviewed NHTSA crash test videos online.
- b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Larry H. Miller Chrysler Jeep Tucson. The salesperson described the Class Vehicle to Plaintiff prior to him deciding to purchase it as a fine automobile and discussed the NHTSA crash test results with him. The NHTSA crash test results were good, which the sales representative identified as an indication of the Class Vehicle’s high degree of safety.
- c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase. The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, FCA was responsible for the

1 content of the Monroney sticker, and FCA was responsible for
2 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

3 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
4 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
5 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
6 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
7 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
8 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
9 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
10 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
12 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
13 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

14 127. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
15 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
16 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
17 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned
18 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
19 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
20 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
21 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
22 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the
23 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
24 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
25 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
26 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
27 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
28 ACU and ASIC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. Remigiusz Rundzio

128. Plaintiff Remigiusz Rundzio (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Westminster, California. On or around July 22, 2012, Plaintiff purchased a new 2012 Jeep Wrangler (the “Class Vehicle”) from Huntington Beach Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram, an authorized FCA dealership located in Huntington Beach, California. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

129. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Jeep’s website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular FCA entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with FCA as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, FCA was responsible for the content of the website. Plaintiff also reviewed Huntington Beach Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram’s website to learn more about the Class Vehicle’s safety features.

b. On the day he visited Huntington Beach Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram to purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Huntington Beach Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram. The

1 salesperson and Plaintiff had conversations about the Class
2 Vehicle's safety features.

3 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
4 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
5 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
6 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
7 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was responsible for the
8 content of the Monroney sticker, and FCA was responsible for
9 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

10 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
11 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
12 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
13 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
14 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
15 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
16 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
17 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
19 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
20 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

21 130. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
22 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
23 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
24 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned
25 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
26 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
27 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
28 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW

1 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the
2 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
3 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
4 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
5 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
6 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
7 ACU and ASIC.

8 **c. Steve Laveaux**

9
10 131. Plaintiff Steve Laveaux (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
11 Palmdale, California. In or around May 2017, Plaintiff purchased a used 2014 Jeep
12 Wrangler (the “Class Vehicle”) from Crown Dodge Chrysler Jeep Ram, an
13 authorized FCA dealership located in Ventura, California. At the time Plaintiff
14 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
15 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
16 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
17 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

18 132. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
19 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

20 a. On the day he visited Crown Dodge Chrysler Jeep Ram to
21 purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on
22 statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at
23 Crown Dodge Chrysler Jeep Ram. Plaintiff discussed with the
24 salesperson the safety features of the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff
25 was concerned about the Takata airbag recall and wanted
26 confirmation that the Class Vehicle did not have a defective
27 airbag system. The salesperson assured Plaintiff that the airbag
28 system in the Class Vehicle was safe.

1 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
2 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
3 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
4 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
5 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was responsible for the
6 content of the Monroney sticker, and FCA was responsible for
7 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

8 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
9 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
10 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
11 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
12 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
13 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
14 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
15 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
16 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
17 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
18 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

19 133. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
20 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed
21 the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had
22 they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would
23 have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising
24 channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has
25 suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a
26 result of FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
27 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct,
28 and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle.

1 Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it,
2 if FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
3 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal
4 material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact
5 that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

6 **d. Moises Senti**

7
8 134. Plaintiff Moises Senti (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Ocala,
9 Florida. On or around April 19, 2016, Plaintiff purchased a new 2016 Jeep
10 Wrangler (the “Class Vehicle”) from Potamkin Jeep (now known as Miami Lakes
11 Automall), an authorized FCA dealership located in Miami Lakes, Florida. At the
12 time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that
13 the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had
14 no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC
15 that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

16 135. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
17 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

18 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Jeep’s website
19 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
20 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
21 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
22 personally aware of which particular FCA entity is responsible
23 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
24 interfaces with FCA as a brand. Based upon the investigation of
25 Plaintiffs’ counsel, FCA was responsible for the content of the
26 website.

27 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
28 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.

1 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
2 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
3 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was responsible for the
4 content of the Monroney sticker, and FCA was responsible for
5 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

6 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
7 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
8 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
9 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
10 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
11 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
12 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
13 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
14 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
15 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
16 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

17 136. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
18 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed
19 the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had
20 they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would
21 have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising
22 channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has
23 suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a
24 result of FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
25 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct,
26 and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle.
27 Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it,
28 if FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF

1 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal
2 material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact
3 that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

4 **e. Maximillian Accetta**

5 137. Plaintiff Maximillian Accetta (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
6 Fort Lauderdale, Florida. On or around August 25, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used
7 2015 Jeep Compass (the “Class Vehicle”) from Off Lease Only, located in Lake
8 Worth, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a
9 reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and
10 seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a
11 defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a
12 crash.

13 138. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
14 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

15 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Jeep’s website
16 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
17 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
18 features were important to his purchase decision. Based on his
19 research, Plaintiff believed the Class Vehicle was not only safe,
20 but also safer than other vehicles. Plaintiff is not personally
21 aware of which particular FCA entity is responsible for these
22 representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with
23 FCA as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’
24 counsel, FCA was responsible for the content of the website.

25 b. Plaintiff also conducted online research on the Class Vehicle’s
26 safety features. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU
27 Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle
28

1 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
2 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
3 seatbelts.

4 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
5 prior to his purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
6 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
7 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was
8 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.

9 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
10 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
11 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
12 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
13 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
14 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
15 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
16 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
18 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
19 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

20 139. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
21 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
22 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
23 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned
24 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
25 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
26 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
27 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
28 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the

1 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
2 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
3 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
4 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
5 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
6 ACU and ASIC.

7 **f. Steve Keister**

8
9 140. Plaintiff Steve Keister (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
10 Hayward, Wisconsin. On or around August 30, 2011, Plaintiff purchased a used
11 2010 Dodge Nitro (the “Class Vehicle”) from McKay’s Family Dodge, an
12 authorized FCA dealership located in Waite Park, Minnesota. At the time Plaintiff
13 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
14 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
15 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
16 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

17 141. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
18 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

19 a. Plaintiff conducted online research on the Class Vehicle’s safety
20 features. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect,
21 Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle
22 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
23 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
24 seatbelts.

25 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
26 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
27 salesperson at McKay’s Family Dodge. Plaintiff and the
28

1 salesperson spoke about the Class Vehicle's price, mileage,
2 condition, and remaining warranty.

3 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
4 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
5 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
6 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
7 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
8 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
9 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
10 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
12 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
13 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

14 142. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
15 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
16 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
17 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned
18 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
19 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
20 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
21 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
22 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the
23 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
24 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
25 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
26 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
27 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
28 ACU and ASIC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

g. Eric Fishon

143. Plaintiff Eric Fishon (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Happauge, New York. On or around May 12, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a used 2014 Jeep Wrangler (the “Class Vehicle”) from Westbury Jeep Chrysler Dodge, an authorized FCA dealership located in Jericho, New York. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

144. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- a. Plaintiff saw Jeep advertising for the Jeep Wrangler touting its features and highlighting that Jeeps are manufactured in the United States. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular FCA entity is responsible for Jeep advertising. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, FCA was responsible for the content of the advertising.
- b. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive

1 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
2 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

3 145. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
4 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed
5 the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had
6 they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would
7 have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising
8 channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has
9 suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a
10 result of FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
11 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct,
12 and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle.
13 Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it,
14 if FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
15 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal
16 material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact
17 that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

18 **h. Constanza Gonzalez**

19 146. Plaintiff Constanza Gonzalez ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
20 Charlotte, North Carolina. On or around February 2, 2019, Plaintiff purchased a
21 used 2012 Jeep Wrangler (the "Class Vehicle") from Bob Mayberry Hyundai
22 located in Monroe, North Carolina. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
23 Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
24 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
25 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
26 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.
27
28

1 147. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
2 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

3 a. Plaintiff conducted online research about the Class Vehicle,
4 which included research for reviews, reports, and information
5 about the Class Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to disclose
6 the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class
7 Vehicle was affected by the Defect, and instead indicated that
8 the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
9 and seatbelts.

10 b. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
11 and relied on statements about Jeep Wranglers made by a
12 salesperson at Keffer Chrysler Jeep Dodge Ram in Charlotte,
13 North Carolina.

14 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the in-vehicle airbag label safety
15 language immediately prior to her purchase, including during
16 her test drive. The label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe
17 and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon
18 the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was responsible for
19 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

20 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
21 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
22 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
23 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
24 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
25 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
26 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
27 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
28 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive

1 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
2 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

3 148. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
4 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed
5 the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had
6 they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would
7 have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising
8 channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has
9 suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a
10 result of FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
11 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct,
12 and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle.
13 Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it,
14 if FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
15 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal
16 material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact
17 that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

18 **i. James Dean**

19 149. Plaintiff James Dean ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
20 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. On or around March 15, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a
21 used 2015 Fiat 500 (the "Class Vehicle") from David Stanley Chrysler Dodge Jeep
22 Ram, an authorized FCA dealership located in Midwest City, Oklahoma. At the
23 time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that
24 the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had
25 no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC
26 that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.
27
28

1 150. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
2 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

3 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
4 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
5 salesperson at David Stanley Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram. The
6 salesperson told Plaintiff prior to him deciding to purchase the
7 Class Vehicle that the Class Vehicle was durable, safe, and got
8 good gas mileage.

9 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
10 prior to his purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
11 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
12 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was
13 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.

14 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
15 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
16 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
17 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
18 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
19 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
20 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
21 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF
22 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
23 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
24 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

25 151. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
26 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
27 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
28 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned

1 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
2 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
3 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
4 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
5 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the
6 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
7 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
9 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
10 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
11 ACU and ASIC.

12 **j. Desiree Meyer**

13 152. Plaintiff Desiree Meyer (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
14 Douglas, Wyoming. On or around May 14, 2012, Plaintiff purchased a new 2012
15 Jeep Liberty (the “Class Vehicle”) from Aberdeen Chrysler Center, an authorized
16 FCA dealership located in Aberdeen, South Dakota. At the time Plaintiff acquired
17 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
18 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
19 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
20 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

21 153. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
22 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

23 a. Plaintiff conducted online research on the Class Vehicle’s safety
24 ratings. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect,
25 Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle
26 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
27
28

1 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
2 seatbelts.

3 b. Before she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and
4 relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
5 salesperson at Aberdeen Chrysler Center. Plaintiff discussed the
6 Class Vehicle's safety with the salesperson. The salesperson
7 represented that the Class Vehicle's safety rating was superior to
8 that of other Jeep vehicles due to improvements in the design of
9 the Class Vehicle.

10 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
11 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
12 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
13 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
14 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA was responsible for the
15 content of the Monroney sticker, and FCA was responsible for
16 the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

17 d. Plaintiff test drove a 2012 Jeep Liberty at another Jeep
18 dealership prior to her purchase of the Class Vehicle. When her
19 Class Vehicle was delivered to her, the airbag warning light on
20 its dashboard was not illuminated nor did it flash to indicate any
21 issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By not
22 illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there
23 were no problems with the system and that the airbag system
24 would function properly during a crash. Based upon the
25 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, FCA, ZF Electronics USA,
26 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had joint
27 responsibility for the failure of the airbag warning light to warn
28 about the ACU Defect.

1 154. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
2 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence
3 of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead
4 disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned
5 of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
6 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
7 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
8 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
9 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the
10 full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have
11 purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if FCA, ZF Electronics
12 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
13 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
14 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
15 ACU and ASIC.

16 **3. Toyota Plaintiffs**

17 **a. Mark Altier**

18 155. Plaintiff Mark Altier (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in San
19 Diego, California. On or around April 24, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014
20 Toyota Tacoma (the “Class Vehicle”) from Toyota San Diego (now known as
21 Norm Reeves Toyota San Diego), an authorized Toyota dealership located in San
22 Diego, California. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a
23 reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and
24 seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a
25 defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a
26 crash.
27
28

1 156. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
2 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

3 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
4 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
5 salesperson at Toyota San Diego (now known as Norm Reeves
6 Toyota San Diego). Prior to his deciding to purchase the Class
7 Vehicle, Plaintiff and the salesperson spoke about the Class
8 Vehicle's safety and reputation.

9 b. At Toyota San Diego on the day he purchased the Class Vehicle,
10 Plaintiff reviewed and relied on marketing documents provided
11 to him by a salesperson at Toyota San Diego, which included
12 among other things, representations and statements indicating
13 that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning
14 airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
15 counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of
16 Toyota marketing materials distributed in the United States.

17 c. Plaintiff saw and heard Toyota commercials through the radio,
18 television, and the internet that touted the safety, quality, and
19 reliability of the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware
20 of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for the Toyota
21 commercials he saw. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
22 counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of
23 the television advertising.

24 d. Plaintiff reviewed Consumer Reports and read about the Class
25 Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect,
26 Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class Vehicle
27 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
28

1 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
2 seatbelts.

3 e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monronev sticker and in-vehicle
4 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
5 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
6 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
7 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
8 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
9 Monronev sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
10 vehicle airbag label safety language.

11 f. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
12 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
13 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
14 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
15 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
16 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
17 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
19 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
20 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

21 157. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
22 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
23 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
24 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
25 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
26 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
27 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
28 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota

1 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
2 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
3 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
4 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
5 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
6 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
7 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
8 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and
9 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

10 **b. Alejandra Renteria**

11 158. Plaintiff Alejandra Renteria ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
12 Rialto, California. On or around August 4, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new 2013
13 Toyota Corolla Matrix (the "Class Vehicle") from John Elway's Crown Toyota, an
14 authorized Toyota dealership located in Ontario, California. At the time Plaintiff
15 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
16 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
17 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
18 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

19 159. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
20 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

21 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
22 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
23 salesperson at John Elway's Crown Toyota.

24 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
25 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
26 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
27 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
28

1 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
2 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
3 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
4 vehicle airbag label safety language.

5 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
6 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
7 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
8 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
9 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
10 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
11 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
12 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
13 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
14 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
15 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

16 160. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
17 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
18 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
19 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
20 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
21 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
22 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
23 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
24 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
25 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
26 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
27 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
28 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota

1 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
2 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
3 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and
4 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

5 **c. Samuel Choc**

6 161. Plaintiff Samuel Choc (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Miami,
7 Florida. On or around October 18, 2012, Plaintiff purchased a new 2013 Toyota
8 Tacoma (the “Class Vehicle”) from South Dade Toyota, an authorized Toyota
9 dealership located in Homestead, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
10 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
11 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
12 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
13 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

14 162. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
15 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

16 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota’s website
17 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
18 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
19 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
20 personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is
21 responsible for these representations and statements because
22 Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the
23 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Sales USA was
24 responsible for the content of the website.

25 b. At South Dade Toyota on the day he purchased the Class
26 Vehicle, Plaintiff saw Toyota marketing materials, which
27 included among other things, representations and statements
28

1 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
2 functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation
3 of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the
4 content of the Toyota marketing materials distributed in the
5 United States. The brochure was given to Plaintiff by a
6 salesperson at South Dade Toyota.

7 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
8 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
9 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
10 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
11 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
12 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
13 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
14 vehicle airbag label safety language.

15 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
16 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
17 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
18 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
19 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
20 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
21 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
22 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
24 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
25 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

26 163. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
27 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
28 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the

1 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
2 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
3 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
4 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
5 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
6 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
7 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
8 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
9 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
10 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
11 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
13 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and
14 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

15 **d. Tatiana Gales**

16 164. Plaintiff Tatiana Gales ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Miami,
17 Florida. On or around July 18, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a new 2015 Toyota Corolla
18 (the "Class Vehicle") from South Dade Toyota, an authorized Toyota dealership
19 located in Homestead, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
20 Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
21 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
22 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
23 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

24 165. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
25 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.
26
27
28

- 1 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
2 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
3 salesperson at South Dade Toyota.
- 4 b. At South Dade Toyota on the day she purchased the Class
5 Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Toyota brochure, which included among
6 other things, representations and statements indicating that the
7 Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
8 and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
9 counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of
10 Toyota brochures distributed in the United States. The brochure
11 was given to Plaintiff by a salesperson at South Dade Toyota.
- 12 c. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota's website
13 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
14 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's safety
15 features were important to her purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
16 personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is
17 responsible for these representations and statements because
18 Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the
19 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Sales USA was
20 responsible for the content of the website.
- 21 d. Plaintiff viewed and heard commercials for the Class Vehicle.
22 Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Toyota
23 entity is responsible for advertising. Based upon the
24 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Sales USA was
25 responsible for the content of the advertising.
- 26 e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
27 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
28 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and

1 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
2 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
3 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
4 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
5 vehicle airbag label safety language.

6 f. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
7 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
8 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
9 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
10 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
11 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
12 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
13 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
14 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
15 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
16 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

17 166. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
18 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
19 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
20 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
21 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
22 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
23 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
24 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
25 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
26 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
27 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
28 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the

1 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
2 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
3 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
4 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and
5 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

6 **e. Gary Samouris**

7
8 167. Plaintiff Gary Samouris (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Las
9 Vegas, Nevada. On or around July 28, 2018, Plaintiff purchased a new 2018 Toyota
10 Tacoma (the “Class Vehicle”) from Findlay Toyota, an authorized Toyota
11 dealership located in Henderson, Nevada. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
12 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
13 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
14 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
15 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

16 168. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
17 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

18 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota’s website
19 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
20 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
21 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
22 personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is
23 responsible for these representations and statements because
24 Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the
25 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Sales USA was
26 responsible for the content of the website.

27 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
28 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a

- 1 salesperson at Findlay Toyota. Prior to him deciding to purchase
2 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff and the salesperson spoke about the
3 Class Vehicle and its safety features.
- 4 c. At Findlay Toyota on the day he purchased the Class Vehicle,
5 Plaintiff reviewed and relied on marketing documents provided
6 to him by a salesperson at Findlay Toyota, which included
7 among other things, representations and statements indicating
8 that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning
9 airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
10 counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of
11 Toyota marketing materials distributed in the United States.
- 12 d. Plaintiff saw and heard Toyota commercials through radio,
13 television, and the internet that touted the safety, quality, and
14 reliability of the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware
15 of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for the Toyota
16 commercials he saw. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
17 counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of
18 the television advertising.
- 19 e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
20 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
21 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
22 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
23 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
24 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
25 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
26 vehicle airbag label safety language.
- 27 f. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
28 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or

1 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
2 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
3 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
4 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
5 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
7 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
8 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

9 169. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
10 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
11 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
12 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
13 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
14 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
15 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
16 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
17 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
19 Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
20 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
21 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
22 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
23 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
24 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and
25 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

f. Michael Hines

170. Plaintiff Michael Hines (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Gainesville, Florida. On or around October 11, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a used 2012 Toyota Tundra (the “Class Vehicle”) from Scenic Chevrolet located in West Union, South Carolina. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

171. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota’s website indicating that Toyota-branded vehicles, including the Tundra are safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, TMS was responsible for the content of the website.
- b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Scenic Chevrolet. Plaintiff spoke with the salesperson about the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicle.
- c. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff was given Toyota marketing materials, which included among other things, representations and statements indicating that the Class Vehicle

- 1 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
2 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, TMS was
3 responsible for the content of Toyota brochures distributed in
4 the United States. The Toyota marketing materials were given to
5 him by a salesperson at Scenic Chevrolet.
- 6 d. Plaintiff conducted online research on the Class Vehicle,
7 including on Edmunds, Car & Driver, and Google. Plaintiff read
8 reviews about the Class Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to
9 disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's research did not show that
10 the Class Vehicle was affected by the Defect, and instead
11 indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
12 functioning airbags and seatbelts.
- 13 e. Plaintiff saw and heard Toyota commercials for the Class
14 Vehicle that touted the safety of the Class Vehicle, among other
15 things. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular
16 Toyota Entity is responsible for advertising. Based upon the
17 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, TMS was responsible for the
18 content of the television advertising.
- 19 f. Plaintiff recalls reviewing in-vehicle airbag label safety
20 language immediately prior to his purchase. The label indicated
21 the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
22 and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
23 counsel, TMC was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
24 safety language.
- 25 g. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
26 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
27 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
28 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light

1 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
2 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
3 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, TMC, ZF ASE, ZF
4 PSS, and ZF Automotive US Inc. had joint responsibility for the
5 failure of the airbag warning light to warn about the ACU
6 Defect.

7 172. TMC, TMNA, TEMA, TMS, ZF ASE, ZF PSS, ZF Automotive US
8 Inc., ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp., ST Inc., ST SDN BHD, and ST S.r.l.
9 concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and
10 NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
11 Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the
12 advertising channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson.
13 Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class
14 Vehicle as a result of TMC, TMNA, TEMA, TMS, ZF ASE, ZF PSS, ZF
15 Automotive US Inc., ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp., ST Inc., ST SDN BHD,
16 and ST S.r.l.'s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
17 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle,
18 or would have paid less for it, if TMC, TMNA, TEMA, TMS, ZF ASE, ZF PSS, ZF
19 Automotive US Inc., ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp., ST Inc., ST SDN BHD,
20 and ST S.r.l. did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's
21 safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and
22 ASIC.

23 **g. Brent DeRouen**

24 173. Plaintiff Brent DeRouen ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
25 Spring, Texas. On or around June 7, 2016, Plaintiff purchased a new 2016 Toyota
26 Tundra (the "Class Vehicle") from Philpott Toyota, an authorized Toyota
27 dealership located in Nederland, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
28

1 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
2 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
3 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
4 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

5 174. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
6 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

7 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
8 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
9 salesperson at Philpott Toyota.

10 b. Plaintiff also had positive experiences with Toyota-braded
11 vehicles in the past and relied on those experiences in deciding
12 to purchase the Class Vehicle.

13 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
14 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
15 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
16 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
17 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
18 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
19 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
20 vehicle airbag label safety language.

21 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
22 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
23 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
24 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
25 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
26 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
27 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
28 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF

1 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
2 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
3 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

4 175. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
5 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
6 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the
7 existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they
8 instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have
9 learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
10 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
11 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
12 Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF
13 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
14 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not
15 receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would
16 not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota
17 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
19 ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding
20 the Class Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
21 defective ACU and ASIC.

22 **h. Danny Hunt**

23 176. Plaintiff Danny Hunt ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Mathis,
24 Texas. On or around January 1, 2018, Plaintiff purchased a used 2014 Toyota
25 Tacoma (the "Class Vehicle") from Mike Shaw Toyota, an authorized Toyota
26 dealership located in Robstown, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
27 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
28

1 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
2 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
3 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

4 177. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
5 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

6 a. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
7 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
8 salesperson at Mike Shaw Toyota. Plaintiff spoke with the
9 salesperson about the Class Vehicle's safety features.

10 b. Plaintiff conducted online research about the Class Vehicle.
11 Plaintiff read reviews online about it. Plaintiff also specifically
12 ran internet searches about the Class Vehicle's airbag system. It
13 was his first time purchasing a vehicle with side airbags, so
14 Plaintiff wanted to know more about all of the airbags that came
15 equipped in the Class Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to
16 disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's research did not show that
17 the Class Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead
18 indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
19 functioning airbags and seatbelts.

20 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the in-vehicle airbag label safety
21 language immediately prior to his purchase. The label indicated
22 the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
23 and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs'
24 counsel, Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag
25 label safety language.

26 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
27 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
28 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or

1 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
2 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
3 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
4 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
5 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
7 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
8 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

9 178. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
10 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
11 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the
12 existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they
13 instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have
14 learned of the concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels
15 described above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a
16 concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of
17 Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
19 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not
20 receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would
21 not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota
22 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
23 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
24 ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding
25 the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a
26 defective ACU and ASIC.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

i. Evan Green

179. Plaintiff Evan Green (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in Dallas, Texas. On or around September 15, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used 2015 Toyota Tacoma (the “Class Vehicle”) from Toyota of Dallas, an authorized Toyota dealership located in Dallas, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

180. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota’s website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. When visiting Toyota’s website, Plaintiff utilized the “Build Your Own” feature. When building his Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on the description of the Class Vehicle’s specifications and options, including its safety options. The ACU Defect was not disclosed as part of the Class Vehicle’s specifications and options. The Class Vehicle’s safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of the website.

b. Plaintiff saw and heard Toyota commercials that touted the Class Vehicle as safe, dependable, and reliable. Plaintiff is not

1 personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is
2 responsible for the Toyota commercials he saw. Based upon the
3 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Sales USA was
4 responsible for the content of the television advertising.

5 c. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
6 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
7 salesperson at Toyota of Dallas. Prior to deciding to purchase
8 the Class Vehicle, the salesperson informed Plaintiff that the
9 Class Vehicle was safe and reliable.

10 d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
11 prior to his purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
12 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
13 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA
14 and Toyota Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content
15 of the Monroney sticker.

16 e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
17 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
18 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
19 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
20 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
21 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
22 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
23 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
24 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
25 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
26 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

27 181. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
28 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF

1 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
2 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
3 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
4 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
5 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
6 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
7 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
9 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
10 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
11 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
12 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
13 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
14 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and
15 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

16 **j. Joy Davis**

17 182. Plaintiff Joy Davis ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Salem,
18 Oregon. On or around May 15, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new 2014 Toyota
19 Corolla (the "Class Vehicle") from Universal Toyota, an authorized Toyota
20 dealership located in San Antonio, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
21 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
22 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
23 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
24 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

25 183. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
26 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Toyota’s website about the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Toyota as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the content of the website.
- b. Plaintiff also researched the Class Vehicle on Universal Toyota’s website. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
- c. Plaintiff also had positive experiences with Toyota-branded vehicles in the past and relied on those experiences in deciding to purchase the Class Vehicle.
- d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately prior to her purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.
- e. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the

1 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
2 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
3 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
4 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
5 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

6 184. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
7 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
8 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
9 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
10 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
11 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
12 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
13 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
14 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
15 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
16 Malaysia, and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
17 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
18 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
19 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
20 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
21 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and
22 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

23 **k. Dee Roberts**

24 185. Plaintiff Dee Roberts (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
25 Raymond, Washington. On or around September 27, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a
26 new 2013 Toyota Avalon (the “Class Vehicle”) from Toyota of Olympia, an
27 authorized Toyota dealership located in Olympia, Washington. At the time Plaintiff
28

1 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
2 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
3 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
4 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

5 186. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
6 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 7 a. On the day she purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
8 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
9 salesperson at Toyota of Olympia. Plaintiff spoke with the
10 salesperson about the Class Vehicle's safety features.
- 11 b. Plaintiff saw and heard Toyota commercials that touted the
12 safety of Toyota-branded vehicles. Plaintiff is not personally
13 aware of which particular Toyota entity is responsible for the
14 Toyota commercials she saw. Based upon the investigation of
15 Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Sales USA was responsible for the
16 content of the television advertising.
- 17 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
18 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
19 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
20 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
21 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota USA and Toyota
22 Sales USA were jointly responsible for the content of the
23 Monroney sticker, and Toyota Japan was responsible for the in-
24 vehicle airbag label safety language.
- 25 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
26 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
27 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
28 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag

1 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
2 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
3 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
4 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Toyota Japan, ZF
5 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
6 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
7 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

8 187. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales
9 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
10 TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the
11 ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed
12 it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the
13 concealed information through, for example, the advertising channels described
14 above or through discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete
15 injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Toyota
16 Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics
17 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
18 Malaysia, and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the
19 bargain in acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the
20 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
21 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
22 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy
23 did not conceal material information regarding the Class Vehicle's safety and
24 reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective ACU and ASIC.

25
26
27
28

1 **4. Honda Plaintiffs**

2 **a. Sigfredo Rubio**

3 188. Plaintiff Sigfredo Rubio (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
4 Birmingham, Alabama. On or around May 4, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a new 2015
5 Acura TLX (the “Class Vehicle”) from McConnell Honda, an authorized Honda
6 dealership located in Montgomery, Alabama. At the time Plaintiff acquired the
7 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
8 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
9 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
10 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

11 189. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
12 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

13 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Honda’s website
14 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
15 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety
16 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
17 personally aware of which particular Honda entity is responsible
18 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
19 interfaces with Honda as a brand. Based upon the investigation
20 of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the
21 content of the website.

22 b. Plaintiff saw and heard Acura television and radio commercials
23 that touted the the Class Vehicle’s safety, among other things.
24 Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Honda
25 entity is responsible for television advertising. Based upon the
26 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda USA was responsible
27 for the content of the television and radio advertising.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- c. At McConnell Honda on the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Honda brochure, which included among other things, representations and statements indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content of Honda brochures distributed in the United States. The brochure was given to Plaintiff by a salesperson at McConnell Honda.
- d. On the day he visited McConnell Honda to purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at McConnell Honda. The salesperson and Plaintiff specifically spoke about the safety of the Class Vehicle.
- e. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase. The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Honda Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.
- f. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda Japan, ZF

1 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
2 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
3 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

4 190. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
5 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
6 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
7 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
8 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
9 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
10 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
11 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
12 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
14 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
15 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
16 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
17 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
18 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
19 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
20 ACU and ASIC.

21 **b. Kevin Burns**

22 191. Plaintiff Kevin Burns ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Antioch,
23 California. On or around June 14, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new 2013 Honda
24 Civic Hybrid (the "Class Vehicle") from Walnut Creek Honda, an authorized
25 Honda dealership located in Walnut Creek, California. At the time Plaintiff
26 acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class
27 Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of
28

1 knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could
2 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

3 192. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
4 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

5 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Honda's website
6 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
7 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's safety
8 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff recalls
9 reviewing information about the Class Vehicle's driver and front
10 passenger airbags, front collision warning, and a backup camera.
11 The Class Vehicle's safety features were important to his
12 purchase decision. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which
13 particular Honda entity is responsible for these representations
14 and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Honda as a
15 brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
16 Honda USA was responsible for the content of the website.

17 b. On the day he visited Walnut Creek Honda to purchase the Class
18 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the
19 Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Walnut Creek Honda.
20 The salesperson and Plaintiff spoke prior to him deciding to
21 purchase the Class Vehicle about the Class Vehicle's safety
22 features, its warranty, and its fuel efficiency. Plaintiff also
23 visited other authorized Honda dealerships while researching the
24 Class Vehicle.

25 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
26 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
27 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
28 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the

1 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible
2 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Honda Japan was
3 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

4 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
5 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
6 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
7 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
8 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
9 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
10 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
11 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
13 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
14 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

15 193. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
16 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
17 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
18 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
19 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
20 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
21 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
22 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
23 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
25 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
26 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
27 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
28 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST

1 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
2 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
3 ACU and ASIC.

4 **c. Paul Huitzil**

5 194. Plaintiff Paul Huitzil ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in
6 Bridgeport, Connecticut. On or around October 19, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used
7 2013 Honda Accord (the "Class Vehicle") from Honda of Westport, an authorized
8 Honda dealership located in Westport, Connecticut. The Class Vehicle was totaled
9 in an accident where the airbags did not deploy on or around June 3, 2019. At the
10 time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that
11 the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had
12 no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC
13 that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

14 195. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
15 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 16 a. In the days prior to, and on the day he visited Honda of
17 Westport to purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and
18 relied on statements about the Class Vehicle's quality and
19 features, made by a salesperson there.
- 20 b. Plaintiff conducted online research about the Class Vehicle. He
21 reviewed Consumer Reports, brochures, and information from
22 J.D. Power that the vehicle was safe, reliable, and cost efficient
23 for repairs. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU
24 Defect, Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class Vehicle
25 was affected by the Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
26 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
27 seatbelts.
28

1 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
2 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
3 The Monroney sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was
4 safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based
5 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was
6 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Honda
7 Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety
8 language.

9 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it.
10 During that test drive, Plaintiff saw the in-vehicle airbag
11 labeling. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did
12 the airbag warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard
13 illuminate or flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's
14 airbag system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag
15 warning light conveyed there were no problems with the system
16 and that the airbag system would function properly during a
17 crash. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel,
18 Honda Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
19 ZF Automotive USA had joint responsibility for the failure of
20 the airbag warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

21 196. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
23 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
24 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
25 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
26 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
27 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
28 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,

1 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
3 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
4 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
5 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
6 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
7 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
8 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
9 ACU and ASIC.

10 **d. Fredericka McPherson**

11 197. Plaintiff Fredericka McPherson (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing
12 in Riverview, Florida. On or around December 10, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used
13 2013 Honda Accord (the “Class Vehicle”) from Westshore Honda (previously
14 known as Kuhn Honda), an authorized Honda dealership located in Tampa, Florida.
15 At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable
16 expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts,
17 and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective
18 ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

19 198. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
20 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 21 a. On the day she visited Westshore Honda to purchase the Class
22 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the
23 Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Westshore Honda.
24 Plaintiff and the salesperson had conversations about the Class
25 Vehicle and the Class Vehicle’s safety features. The salesperson
26 did not mention any problems or service issues reported by other
27
28

1 customers related to the Class Vehicle's airbags, seatbelt, or
2 ACU.

3 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
4 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her purchase.
5 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
6 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
7 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible
8 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Honda Japan was
9 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

10 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
11 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
12 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
13 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
14 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
15 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
16 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
17 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
19 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
20 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

21 199. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
23 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
24 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
25 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
26 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
27 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
28 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,

1 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
3 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
4 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
5 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
6 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
7 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
8 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
9 ACU and ASIC.

10 **e. Brian Chaiken**

11 200. Plaintiff Brian Chaiken (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
12 Palmetto Bay, Florida. On or around March 15, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a used
13 2013 Honda CR-V (the “Class Vehicle”) from Braman Honda, an authorized
14 Honda dealership located in Miami, Florida. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
15 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
16 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
17 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
18 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

19 201. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
20 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

21 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Honda’s website
22 indicating that Honda-branded vehicles are safe and have
23 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s
24 safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff
25 is not personally aware of which particular Honda entity is
26 responsible for these representations and statements because
27 Plaintiff interfaces with Honda as a brand. Based upon the
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content of the website. Plaintiff also reviewed Braman Honda’s website, which offered brochures regarding new and used Honda vehicles.

b. At Braman Honda on the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff saw a Honda brochure, which included among other things, representations and statements indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content of Honda brochures distributed in the United States. The brochure was given to Plaintiff by a salesperson at Braman Honda.

c. On the day he visited Braman Honda to purchase the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Braman Honda. Plaintiff and the salesperson discussed the airbags as a safety feature. Plaintiff relied on these statements, as he needed this vehicle to drive around his four kids, and as such safety was a top priority for Plaintiff.

d. Plaintiff conducted online research on Kelly Blue Book’s website and other websites that had information regarding the quality, safety, and value of the Class Vehicle. Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff’s research did not show that the Class Vehicle contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.

e. Plaintiff saw and heard Honda commercials that touted the features of the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff is not personally aware of

1 which particular Honda entity is responsible for the Honda
2 commercials he saw. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’
3 counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content of the
4 television advertising.

5 f. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
6 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
7 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
8 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
9 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda USA was responsible
10 for the content of the Monroney sticker, and Honda Japan was
11 responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label safety language.

12 g. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
13 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
14 warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or
15 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
16 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
17 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
18 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
19 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
20 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
21 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
22 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

23 202. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
24 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
25 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
26 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
27 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
28 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through

1 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
2 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
3 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
4 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
5 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
6 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
7 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
9 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
10 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
11 ACU and ASIC.

12 **f. Ravichandran Namakkal**

13 203. Plaintiff Ravichandran Namakkal ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing
14 in Ozone Park, New York. On or around May 31, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a new
15 2014 Honda Civic (the "Class Vehicle") from Hillside Honda, an authorized Honda
16 dealership located in Queens, New York. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class
17 Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
18 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
19 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
20 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

21 204. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
22 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

23 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Honda's website
24 indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-
25 functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's safety
26 features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff is not
27 personally aware of which particular Honda entity is responsible
28

1 for these representations and statements because Plaintiff
2 interfaces with Honda as a brand. Based upon the investigation
3 of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the
4 content of the website.

5 b. Plaintiff conducted online research on the Class Vehicle.
6 Because Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect,
7 Plaintiff's research did not show that the Class Vehicle
8 contained the ACU Defect, and instead indicated that the Class
9 Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
10 seatbelts.

11 c. On the day he visited Hillside Honda to purchase the Class
12 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the
13 Class Vehicle made by a salesperson there. Plaintiff and the
14 salesperson discussed the features of the Class Vehicle,
15 including its safety features and technology in the dashboard
16 that would indicate with the light if there was an issue with the
17 airbags or tire pressure in the Class Vehicle.

18 d. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
19 prior to his purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
20 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
21 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA
22 was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.

23 e. At no time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
24 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
25 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
26 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
27 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
28 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based

1 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
3 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
4 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

5 205. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
6 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
7 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
8 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
9 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
10 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
11 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
12 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
13 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
15 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
16 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
17 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
19 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
20 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
21 ACU and ASIC.

22 **g. Tonya McNeely**

23 206. Plaintiff Tonya McNeely (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
24 Mooresville, North Carolina. On or around August 6, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a
25 used 2012 Honda Civic (the “Class Vehicle”) from Honda of Concord, an
26 authorized Honda dealership located in Concord, North Carolina. At the time
27 Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the
28

1 Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no
2 way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that
3 could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

4 207. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
5 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

6 a. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker immediately
7 prior to her purchase. The sticker indicated the Class Vehicle
8 was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
9 Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA
10 was responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker.

11 b. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
12 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
13 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
14 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
15 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
16 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
17 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
18 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
19 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
20 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
21 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

22 208. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
23 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
24 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
25 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
26 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
27 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
28 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form

1 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
2 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
3 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy’s
4 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
5 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
6 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
7 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
8 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
9 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
10 ACU and ASIC.

11 **h. Angela Bowens**

12 209. Plaintiff Angela Bowens (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
13 Dallas, Texas. On or around May 17, 2015, Plaintiff purchased a new 2015 Honda
14 Civic (the “Class Vehicle”) from John Eagle Honda of Dallas, an authorized Honda
15 dealership located in Dallas, Texas. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
16 Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-
17 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the
18 Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags
19 and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

20 210. In the weeks leading up to her purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
21 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

22 a. On the day she visited John Eagle Honda of Dallas to purchase
23 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements
24 about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson there.

25 b. Plaintiff saw and heard Honda commercials that touted the
26 safety of Honda-branded vehicles, among other things. Plaintiff
27 is not personally aware of which particular Honda entity is
28

1 responsible for advertising. Based upon the investigation of
2 Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda USA was responsible for the content
3 of the commercials.

4 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
5 time prior to or at the time of her purchase did the airbag
6 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
7 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
8 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
9 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
10 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
11 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Honda Japan, ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
13 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
14 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

15 211. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
16 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
17 Malaysia, and ST Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers
18 like Plaintiff and NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired
19 the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information
20 through, for example, the advertising channels described above or through
21 discussions with the salesperson. Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form
22 of an overpayment for the Class Vehicle as a result of Honda Japan, Honda USA,
23 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy's
25 misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in acquiring the Class
26 Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle, or would have paid
27 less for it, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
28 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST

1 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
2 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
3 ACU and ASIC.

4 **5. Mitsubishi Plaintiffs**

5 **a. Tiffany Ecklor**

6 212. Plaintiff Tiffany Ecklor (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
7 Hesperia, California. On or around July 5, 2013, Plaintiff leased a new 2013
8 Mitsubishi Outlander (the “Class Vehicle”) from Victorville Mitsubishi, an
9 authorized Mitsubishi dealership located in Victorville, California. Plaintiff
10 purchased the Class Vehicle at the end of the lease term in or around February 7,
11 2018. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable
12 expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts,
13 and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective
14 ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

15 213. In the weeks leading up to her lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
16 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- 17 a. On the day she visited Victorville Mitsubishi to lease the Class
18 Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with a salesperson about the Class
19 Vehicle’s features, including its safety features.
20 b. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the in-vehicle airbag label safety
21 language immediately prior to her lease. The label indicated the
22 Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags
23 and seatbelts. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’
24 counsel, Mitsubishi Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle
25 airbag label safety language.
26 c. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before leasing it. At no
27 time prior to or at the time of her lease did the airbag warning
28

1 light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or flash to
2 indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag system. By
3 not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed
4 there were no problems with the system and that the airbag
5 system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the
6 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF
7 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
8 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
9 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

10 214. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
11 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
12 Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and
13 NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
14 Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the
15 advertising channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson.
16 Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class
17 Vehicle as a result of Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
18 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
19 and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
20 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased the Class Vehicle, or
21 would have paid less for it, if Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
23 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
24 Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
25 ACU and ASIC.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. Gaylynn Sanchez

215. Plaintiff Gaylynn Darling (Sanchez)⁷ (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in La Mirada, California. On or around July 31, 2015, Plaintiff leased a new 2015 Mitsubishi Lancer (the “Class Vehicle”) from Cerritos Mitsubishi, an authorized Mitsubishi dealership located in Cerritos, California. Plaintiff purchased the Class Vehicle at the end of the lease term on or around July 25, 2019. At the time Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

216. In the weeks leading up to her lease of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

- a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Mitsubishi’s website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s safety features were important to her lease decision. Plaintiff is not personally aware of which particular Mitsubishi entity is responsible for these representations and statements because Plaintiff interfaces with Mitsubishi as a brand. Based upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Mitsubishi USA was responsible for the content of the website.
- b. On the day she leased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a salesperson at Cerritos Mitsubishi. Plaintiff and the salesperson discussed the Class Vehicle’s safety features.

⁷ Plaintiff Gaylynn Darling was previously known as Gaylynn Sanchez. Plaintiff’s last name has changed due to marriage.

1 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
2 airbag label safety language immediately prior to her lease. The
3 sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and had
4 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
5 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitsubishi USA was
6 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
7 Mitsubishi Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
8 safety language.

9 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before leasing it. At no
10 time prior to or at the time of her lease did the airbag warning
11 light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or flash to
12 indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag system. By
13 not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light conveyed
14 there were no problems with the system and that the airbag
15 system would function properly during a crash. Based upon the
16 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
18 USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the airbag
19 warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

20 217. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
21 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
22 Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and
23 NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
24 Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the
25 advertising channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson.
26 Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class
27 Vehicle as a result of Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
28 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,

1 and ST Italy’s misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
2 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have leased and then purchased the
3 Class Vehicle, or would have paid less for it, if Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan,
4 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
5 Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information
6 regarding the Class Vehicle’s safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped
7 with a defective ACU and ASIC.

8 **c. Michael Nearing**

9
10 218. Plaintiff Michael Nearing (“Plaintiff”) is an individual residing in
11 Parker, Colorado. On or around September 23, 2013, Plaintiff purchased a new
12 2014 Mitsubishi Lancer (the “Class Vehicle”) from Quality Mitsubishi, an
13 authorized Mitsubishi dealership located in Littleton, Colorado. At the time
14 Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the
15 Class Vehicle had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no
16 way of knowing that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that
17 could cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

18 219. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
19 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

20 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Mitsubishi’s
21 website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had
22 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle’s
23 safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff
24 is not personally aware of which particular Mitsubishi entity is
25 responsible for these representations and statements because
26 Plaintiff interfaces with Mitsubishi as a brand. Based upon the
27 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Mitsubishi USA was
28 responsible for the content of the website.

- 1 b. On the day he purchased the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff spoke with
2 and relied on statements about the Class Vehicle made by a
3 salesperson at Quality Mitsubishi. Plaintiff and the salesperson
4 discussed the Class Vehicle’s safety features.
- 5 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
6 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
7 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
8 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
9 investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Mitsubishi USA was
10 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
11 Mitsubishi Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
12 safety language.
- 13 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
14 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
15 warning light on the Class Vehicle’s dashboard illuminate or
16 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle’s airbag
17 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
18 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
19 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
20 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs’ counsel, Mitsubishi Japan,
21 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
22 Automotive USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the
23 airbag warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

24 220. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
25 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
26 Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and
27 NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
28 Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the

1 advertising channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson.
2 Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class
3 Vehicle as a result of Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
4 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
5 and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
6 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle,
7 or would have paid less for it, if Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
9 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
10 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
11 ACU and ASIC.

12 **d. John Sancomb**

13 221. Plaintiff John Sancomb ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in West
14 Bend, Wisconsin. On or around September 19, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a used
15 2013 Mitsubishi Lancer Sportback (the "Class Vehicle") from Heiser Chevrolet
16 West Bend located in West Bend, Wisconsin. At the time Plaintiff acquired the
17 Class Vehicle, Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the Class Vehicle had
18 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and Plaintiff had no way of knowing
19 that the Class Vehicle contained a defective ACU and ASIC that could cause the
20 airbags and seatbelts to fail during a crash.

21 222. In the weeks leading up to his purchase of the Class Vehicle, Plaintiff
22 reviewed and relied on numerous statements and representations about it.

23 a. Plaintiff saw representations and statements on Mitsubishi's
24 website indicating that the Class Vehicle was safe and had
25 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. The Class Vehicle's
26 safety features were important to his purchase decision. Plaintiff
27 is not personally aware of which particular Mitsubishi entity is
28

- 1 responsible for these representations and statements because
2 Plaintiff interfaces with Mitsubishi as a brand. Based upon the
3 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitsubishi USA was
4 responsible for the content of the website.
- 5 b. Plaintiff conducted online research by looking up the Class
6 Vehicle online at Kelly Blue Book and Carfax. Because
7 Defendants failed to disclose the ACU Defect, Plaintiff's
8 research did not show that the Class Vehicle contained the ACU
9 Defect, and instead indicated that the Class Vehicle was safe and
10 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.
- 11 c. Plaintiff recalls reviewing the Monroney sticker and in-vehicle
12 airbag label safety language immediately prior to his purchase.
13 The sticker and label indicated the Class Vehicle was safe and
14 had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Based upon the
15 investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitsubishi USA was
16 responsible for the content of the Monroney sticker, and
17 Mitsubishi Japan was responsible for the in-vehicle airbag label
18 safety language.
- 19 d. Plaintiff test drove the Class Vehicle before purchasing it. At no
20 time prior to or at the time of his purchase did the airbag
21 warning light on the Class Vehicle's dashboard illuminate or
22 flash to indicate any issue with the Class Vehicle's airbag
23 system. By not illuminating or flashing, the airbag warning light
24 conveyed there were no problems with the system and that the
25 airbag system would function properly during a crash. Based
26 upon the investigation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Mitsubishi Japan,
27 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
28

1 Automotive USA had joint responsibility for the failure of the
2 airbag warning light to warn about the ACU Defect.

3 223. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST
5 Italy concealed the existence of the ACU Defect from consumers like Plaintiff and
6 NHTSA. Had they instead disclosed it before Plaintiff acquired the Class Vehicle,
7 Plaintiff would have learned of the concealed information through, for example, the
8 advertising channels described above or through discussions with the salesperson.
9 Plaintiff has suffered a concrete injury in the form of an overpayment for the Class
10 Vehicle as a result of Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
12 and ST Italy's misconduct, and did not receive the full benefit of the bargain in
13 acquiring the Class Vehicle. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Class Vehicle,
14 or would have paid less for it, if Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics
15 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
16 Malaysia, and ST Italy did not conceal material information regarding the Class
17 Vehicle's safety and reliability, or the fact that it was equipped with a defective
18 ACU and ASIC.

19 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20 **A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

21 224. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to the
22 Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because members of the proposed
23 Plaintiff Classes are citizens of states different from Defendants' home states, and
24 the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and
25 costs.

26 225. This Court also has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.
27 § 1331 because Plaintiffs have claims under 18 U.S.C. § 1964 (RICO).
28

1 226. Furthermore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’
2 state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3 **B. Personal Jurisdiction over Domestic Defendants**

4 227. The domestic Defendants are Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Toyota USA,
5 Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
6 USA, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
7 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., FCA, and ST USA.

8 228. As explained below, this Court has personal jurisdiction over all of
9 these domestic Defendants for two basic reasons:

- 10 a. The domestic Defendants are based in California or a transferor
11 jurisdiction and therefore general jurisdiction exists; and/or
- 12 b. California or a transferor jurisdiction has specific jurisdiction.

13 **1. California Defendants**

14 229. This Court has general jurisdiction over Hyundai USA, Kia USA,
15 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Honda USA, and Mitsubishi USA because they
16 are all California corporations. As the Court already ruled in its Order on
17 Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss (ECF 396 at 15, 28-29, 33, 35), the Court has
18 general personal jurisdiction over these Defendants.
19

20 **2. Michigan Defendants**

21 230. This Court has general jurisdiction over ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF TRW Corp., and FCA because
23 Michigan has general jurisdiction over each of these Defendants (due to the
24 location of their headquarters in Michigan) and because the Judicial Panel for
25 Multidistrict Litigation has transferred (and will continue to transfer in the future)
26 all related cases from Michigan to this Court.

27 231. As the Court already ruled in its Order on Defendants’ Motions to
28 Dismiss (ECF 396 at 37, 45), the Domestic ZF Defendants and FCA are subject to

1 general jurisdiction in Michigan, and the Court can therefore exercise personal
2 jurisdiction over these Defendants based on Plaintiffs' claims against them in a
3 member case in the Eastern District of Michigan, including the recently amended
4 member case of *Barry Adams, et al. v. ZF Active Safety and Elecs. US LLC, et al.*,
5 No. 20-cv-09668-JAK (C.D. Cal.), which was previously transferred to this MDL.

6 **3. ST USA**

7
8 232. This Court has specific jurisdiction over ST USA because Michigan
9 has specific jurisdiction over ST USA and because the Judicial Panel for
10 Multidistrict Litigation has transferred related cases from Michigan to this Court.
11 As the Court already ruled in its Order on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (ECF
12 396 at 51-56), the Court can exercise specific personal jurisdiction over ST USA
13 for Plaintiffs' claims against ST USA in Michigan, which applies to member cases
14 filed in the Eastern District of Michigan, including the recently amended member
15 case of *Barry Adams, et al. v. ZF Active Safety and Elecs. US LLC, et al.*, No. 20-
16 cv-09668-JAK (C.D. Cal.), which was previously transferred to this MDL.

17 233. Michigan has specific jurisdiction over ST USA because Plaintiffs'
18 claims arise out of, or relate to, ST USA's conduct in Michigan. For example:

- 19 a. According to ZF Automotive USA, the Michigan office of ST
20 USA was responsible for manufacturing the DS84 ASICs that
21 are part of the defective DS84 ACUs. The address for this office
22 is 19111 Victor Parkway, Livonia, Michigan 48150. Because the
23 DS84 ASIC—including its vulnerability to EOS—is a critical
24 part of the defective ZF ACU design, Plaintiffs' claims arise out
25 of, or relate to, ST USA's Michigan activities.
- 26 b. Upon information and belief, employees of the ST USA
27 Michigan office served as liaisons with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
28 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on behalf of its

1 affiliates. Because the DS84 ASIC was a custom chip used only
2 by these ZF companies and their affiliates, these liaison ST USA
3 employees were responsible for providing customer support
4 relating to the DS84 ASIC.

5 c. Several ST USA employees met with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA between 2005
7 and 2007 to discuss and establish the design of the DS84 ASICs.

8 These ST USA employees included [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED] At the time, these
11 employees worked for ST USA out of ST USA's Michigan
12 office.

13 d. [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 **4. Toyota Engineering USA**

22 234. This Court has specific jurisdiction over Toyota Engineering USA
23 based on its operation of the manufacturing plant Toyota Auto Body Company, Inc.
24 (TABCO) in Long Beach, California. Upon information and belief, Toyota vehicles
25 with the defective DS84 ACUs were manufactured at this California facility. As the
26 Court already found in its Order on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (ECF 396 at
27 29), the Court can exercise personal jurisdiction over Toyota Engineering USA.
28

1 235. This Court also has specific jurisdiction over Toyota Engineering USA
2 because consumers in California and the transferor jurisdictions bought Toyota
3 vehicles equipped with DS84 ACUs that were made at other facilities operated by
4 Toyota Engineering USA. Toyota Engineering USA intended for automobiles made
5 in its other facilities to be sold in California and the transferor jurisdictions.

6 236. Furthermore, this Court has specific jurisdiction because Toyota
7 Engineering USA placed orders for all DS84 ACUs for Toyota Class Vehicles with
8 the Michigan-based ZF Electronics USA. Accordingly, Toyota Engineering USA's
9 Michigan-direct conduct relates to Plaintiffs' claims, and the Michigan transferor
10 courts have jurisdiction.

11 **5. Honda Engineering USA**

12 237. This Court has specific jurisdiction over Honda Engineering USA
13 because consumers in California and the transferor jurisdictions bought Honda
14 vehicles equipped with DS84 ACUs that were made by Honda Engineering USA.
15 The company intended for its automobiles to be sold in California and the transferor
16 jurisdictions. Indeed, the Court's Order on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (ECF
17 396 at 33-34) already found that there is personal jurisdiction over two of Honda
18 Engineering USA's predecessor companies that made the Honda Class Vehicles,
19 Honda of America Mfg., Inc. and Honda R&D Americas, LLC.

20 238. This Court also has specific jurisdiction over Honda Engineering USA
21 because Honda Engineering USA placed orders for all DS84 ACUs for Honda
22 Class Vehicles with the Michigan-based ZF Electronics USA. Accordingly, Honda
23 Engineering USA's Michigan-direct conduct relates to Plaintiffs' claims, and the
24 Michigan transferor courts have jurisdiction.

25 **C. Personal Jurisdiction Over Foreign Defendants**

26 239. The foreign Defendants are ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
27 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Honda Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan.
28

1 240. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over these foreign
2 Defendants pursuant to the long-arm statutes of California (Cal. Code Civ. Proc.
3 § 410.10), Florida (Fla. Stat. §§ 48.193(1)), Alabama (Ala. R. Civ. P. 4.2),
4 Michigan (Mich. Comp. Laws § 600.705), New York (N.Y. CPLR § 302),
5 Washington (RCW § 4.28.185(1)(a)) and any other applicable jurisdiction.

6 241. In the alternative, should the Court find that any of the foreign
7 Defendants did not have minimum contacts with any states sufficient for specific
8 jurisdiction, the Court has personal jurisdiction under Rule 4(k) of the Federal
9 Rules of Civil Procedure because Plaintiffs have pled a federal RICO claim and
10 exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the United States Constitution, given the
11 foreign Defendants' pervasive contacts with the United States and the fact that
12 Plaintiffs' claims arise from, or relate to, transactions in the United States involving
13 vehicles and vehicle parts designed and distributed by the foreign Defendants.

14 242. Furthermore, the Court has specific jurisdiction over each foreign
15 Defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1965(a)-(b). First, each Plaintiff has alleged
16 damages arising out of a single multidistrict RICO conspiracy implicating his or her
17 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant and the Supplier Defendants. Second, the court
18 has personal jurisdiction over at least one of the participants in each alleged
19 multidistrict conspiracy, because, as described above, the Court has jurisdiction
20 over, *at the very least*, the Domestic ZF Defendants, ST USA, and the Domestic
21 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants. Third, there is no other district in which a court
22 will have personal jurisdiction over all of the alleged co-conspirators in each
23 multidistrict RICO conspiracy. *See* ECF 396 at 17.

24 243. As explained below, the foreign Defendants targeted consumers in
25 each of the fifty states with advertising for the Class Vehicles; purposely availed
26 themselves of commerce in the fifty states; controlled the design, distribution, and
27 sale of either vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs or the ACUs themselves; and
28 communicated with each other regarding the defective DS84 ACUs using mail and

1 wire in the United States. These contacts with the United States, California, and the
2 transferor jurisdictions establish personal jurisdiction.

3 **1. ZF Germany**

4 244. Although ZF Germany is based in Europe, it is subject to the Court’s
5 specific jurisdiction because it has pervasive contacts with the United States and
6 exerts substantial control over its domestic subsidiaries. ZF Germany had contacts
7 with the United States to sell DS84 ACUs for vehicles in the U.S. market, and these
8 contacts give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

9 **a. ZF Germany’s forum-related activities support the exercise**
10 **of jurisdiction over ZF Germany.**

11 245. As detailed further in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., and IV.F.14, ZF
12 Germany reviewed and approved several misleading presentations and written
13 statements to NHTSA in the U.S. regarding the ACU Defect and crashes involving
14 the Defect. ZF Germany gave approval necessary for the transmittal of these
15 presentations and statements to NHTSA in the U.S., including those dated February
16 5, 2016, July 19, 2016, and March 8, 2018, all as part of a scheme to conceal the
17 ACU Defect from NHTSA and the American public. These misleading statements
18 to NHTSA in the U.S. give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

19 246. Furthermore, on information and belief, ZF Germany reviewed and
20 approved several reports regarding ACU failures which were transmitted to at least
21 one domestic vehicle manufacturer. For example, ZF Germany had a proprietary
22 interest in the information contained in several reports transmitted to Toyota USA
23 and Toyota Japan dated July 2, 2018, August 10, 2018, and September 18, 2018,
24 regarding an ACU failure in a 2016 Toyota Auris that crashed in Portugal. These
25 reports analyzed the malfunction of the DS84 ACU due to EOS and contain a
26 legend that states: “© ZF Friedrichshafen AG, 2018.” Given ZF Germany’s
27
28

1 ownership interest in these reports, ZF Germany was aware of the contents of the
2 reports and approved transmittal of the reports to Toyota USA and Toyota Japan.

3 247. Similarly, on November 14, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA created an “Analysis Report” about a DS84
5 ACU retrieved from a Toyota Auris that crashed in Morocco with no airbag
6 deployment, which was then transmitted to Toyota USA and Toyota Japan. The
7 November 14, 2018 Analysis Report has a legend attributing the copyright interest
8 in the memo to ZF Friedrichshafen AG. Given ZF Germany’s ownership interest in
9 this report, ZF Germany was aware of the contents of the report and approved
10 transmittal of the report to Toyota USA and Toyota Japan.

11 **b. ZF AG exerts control over the Domestic ZF Defendants.**

12 248. ZF Germany is a parent company that exerts substantial control over
13 its U.S. subsidiaries headquartered in Michigan (ZF Electronics USA; ZF Passive
14 Safety USA; ZF Automotive USA; and ZF TRW Corp.), collectively referred to
15 herein as the “Domestic ZF Defendants.” These domestic subsidiaries have forum-
16 related contacts in the United States that give rise to the claims in this action, and
17 those contacts are properly imputed onto ZF Germany for the purposes of
18 establishing personal jurisdiction.

19 249. On information and belief, ZF Germany has authority over the
20 Domestic ZF Defendants because it directly or indirectly owns and controls the
21 voting power over the Domestic ZF Defendants.

22 250. On or around May 15, 2015, ZF Germany and its subsidiaries acquired
23 ZF TRW Corp. (then known as TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.) and its
24 subsidiaries. The purchase price was approximately \$12 billion. ZF TRW Corp.
25 was (and remains) an American corporation, headquartered in Michigan. The
26 merger was the largest acquisition in ZF Germany’s 100-year history. At the time,
27 ZF Germany reported that “TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. . . . is almost as big
28

1 as ZF.” Upon information and belief, ZF Germany’s primary reasons for acquiring
2 ZF TRW Corp. included its ties to the United States, its history and standing in the
3 United States automotive industry, and the know-how of its United States
4 personnel. ZF Germany’s sales in North America make up a significant portion of
5 the company’s business. According to ZF Germany’s 2021 annual report, North
6 America accounted for 27% of the company’s sales.

7 251. Upon information and belief, since the merger, ZF Germany has had
8 the power to appoint board members to all the Domestic ZF Defendants. It has
9 exercised this power to appoint board members to these subsidiaries that it believes
10 will manage the subsidiaries with the principal goal of benefiting ZF Germany. For
11 example, after ZF Germany acquired ZF TRW Corp. and its subsidiaries, Dr. Franz
12 Kleiner, a member of ZF Germany’s Board of Management, took over
13 responsibility for the acquired company. After Dr. Kleiner retired, ZF Germany
14 appointed Dr. Martin Fischer as his replacement on the ZF Board of Management,
15 who took over responsibilities including active and passive safety systems and the
16 North America Region.

17 252. Following the May 15, 2015 acquisition of ZF TRW Corp., ZF
18 Germany exercised significant control over the day-to-day operations of the
19 Domestic ZF Defendants in the United States. ZF Germany’s control over the day-
20 to-day operations of the domestic subsidiaries is evident from the fact that, Dr.
21 Fischer—the member of ZF Germany’s Board of Management who is also the
22 president of ZF North America, Inc.—is permanently based in Michigan.

23 253. ZF Germany’s 2015 Annual Report describes its efforts to integrate
24 TRW:

25 To ensure the top quality of our products and services at
26 economic costs, ZF is generating new synergies through the
27 integration of ZF TRW: Knowledge sharing and the further
28 development of common standards will improve the quality of
our products even further. Materials procurement of the two

1 companies is also being merged – with positive repercussions
2 for the cost structure. . . .

3 A common objective of the Supervisory Board and the Board
4 of Management to ensure long-term success is the sustainable
5 further development of the ZF Group based on the
6 requirements for new technologies in an increasingly dynamic
7 market. The pooling of the Group’s e-mobility activities in the
8 new E-Mobility Division, ZF’s acquisition of Bosch Rexroth’s
9 industrial drives segment and, above all, the successful
10 integration of ZF TRW play a major role here. The
11 Supervisory Board will closely follow the further development
12 of these activities. The know-how of ZF TRW, incorporated
13 into the new Active & Passive Safety Technology Division,
14 opens up new opportunities for ZF to actively shape both the
15 safety and automated driving megatrends. The process and
16 structure of ZF TRW’s integration as well as the adapted ZF
17 management concept were regularly deliberated by the Board
18

19 The members of the Board of Management are assigned
20 directly to the six divisions as well as to the ZF Services
21 Business Unit. The same applies to the responsibilities with
22 regard to the Regions of North America, South America and
23 Asia-Pacific. The Group structure with six divisions is aligned
24 with the market and customers. . . .

25 The Active & Passive Safety Technology Division has been
26 managing the business activities of the acquired company
27 TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. since May 15, 2015. It
28 includes the following business units: Braking Systems,
Steering Systems, Commercial Steering Systems, Occupant
Safety Systems, Electronics, Body Control Systems,
Engineered Fasteners & Components and Parts & Service.

254. ZF Germany integrated its physical locations with ZF TRW Corp. and
its subsidiaries. For example, in the year after ZF Germany acquired ZF TRW
Corp., ZF Germany’s CEO Stefan Sommer stated in an interview that the company
was re-aligning its North American activities and bringing the businesses together

1 “under one roof” in the former TRW headquarters in Livonia, Michigan. ZF
2 Germany already had a significant presence in the United States before acquiring
3 TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries. As of 2013, ZF Germany
4 and its subsidiaries had about 3,700 employees in the United States, including
5 roughly 1,000 employees at three facilities in Michigan.

6 255. ZF Germany has a common pattern and practice of describing itself,
7 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF TRW Corp.’s subsidiaries as a single, unified entity. After
8 the 2015 acquisition, for example, TRW Automotive’s business activities were
9 described as continuing as a “division” of ZF—the ZF Active and Passive Safety
10 Technology division. In 2017, Dr. Kleiner reiterated the unified nature of the
11 companies while explaining that the TRW name would be retired because
12 integration was complete: “Now we believe the public, and employees, understand
13 and identify with this organization as a combined company under ZF.” A March
14 2018 letter from ZF Germany CEO Wolf-Henning Scheider similarly highlights the
15 unified nature of ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF TRW Corp.’s subsidiaries:
16 “An important operational highlight to mention is the integration of TRW into the
17 ZF Group. The new ZF brand image unveiled for the first time at IAA 2017 makes
18 the merging of the two companies also apparent to the public. ZF is now ‘one
19 company’.”

20 256. A March 2018 letter from Franz-Josef Paefgen, Chairman of the ZF
21 Germany supervisory board states:

22 A key component of [the ZF 2025 Strategy], namely the
23 integration of TRW Automotive Holding Corp, acquired in
24 May 2015, was essentially complete by the end of the fiscal
25 year [2017] with merged corporate functions, a unified identity
26 and the remaining activities transferred into the line
27 organization. Since the beginning of 2017, the service activities
28 of ZF and TRW have been successfully brought together into
one organization, ‘ZF Aftermarket’.

This statement further exemplifies ZF Germany’s common pattern and practice of

1 describing itself, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF TRW Corp.'s other U.S. affiliates as a
2 single, unified entity.

3 257. ZF Germany's 2017 Annual Report states:

4 In order to ensure the company's long-term success, corporate
5 social responsibility has to be assumed and business activities
6 must be managed responsibly, sustainably and with integrity.
7 With its effective Compliance Management System (CMS)
8 that was further developed in 2017, ZF has taken this
9 responsibility to heart. The ZF and ZF TRW compliance areas
10 were merged on July 1, 2017. In the course of the integration,
11 the legal and compliance organizations of the ZF Group were
12 also merged. The Board Member for Human Resources and
13 Governance is now responsible for them.

14 Based on this statement and upon information and belief, ZF Germany controls and
15 develops the policies for the senior executives of the merged compliance, human
16 resources, and governance functions of all the Domestic ZF Defendants.

17 258. ZF Germany's companywide compliance guide dated July 2018 states:

18 Product compliance is an important priority for ZF. ZF holds
19 itself to the highest standards of legal and ethical conduct and
20 is committed to making high quality products that are safe and
21 comply with applicable laws, regulations, and standards. These
22 principles are implemented through ZF's policies, processes
23 and structures, and all ZF employees are held to these
24 standards.

25 Upon information and belief, ZF Germany distributed the compliance guide to all
26 the Domestic ZF Defendants and was responsible for enforcing (and failing to
27 enforce) it.

28 259. Based on these statements by ZF Germany and upon information and
belief, ZF Germany was actively involved in monitoring the global field incidents
involving EOS in DS84 ACUs, whether the DS84 ACUs complied with safety
standards in the United States, and the legal risks arising from those ACUs.

1 260. Furthermore, upon information and belief, ZF Germany controlled all
2 ZF-branded company communications relating to the defective DS84 ACUs
3 following its acquisition of TRW in 2015. For example, in connection with the
4 partial recalls of the defective ACUs between 2016 and 2020 and NHTSA’s
5 investigation into the ACUs, ZF Germany, along with other ZF Defendants,
6 prepared various slide deck presentations for NHTSA and the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants, which all contain copyright marks identifying ZF
8 Germany as the owner of the materials. Based on this copyright mark, ZF
9 Germany’s consent was required to send the presentations to NHTSA in the U.S.
10 and/or the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants, and ZF Germany provided consent.
11 Accordingly, ZF Germany had final approval over the statements contained in those
12 presentations.

13 **c. Because of ZF Germany’s control over the Domestic ZF**
14 **Defendants, the forum-related activities of the Domestic ZF**
15 **Defendants support the exercise of jurisdiction over ZF**
16 **Germany.**

17 261. The Domestic ZF Defendants—which were substantially controlled by
18 ZF Germany—were actively involved in the activities at issue in this litigation.

19 262. ZF Electronics USA placed the DS84 ACUs in the stream of
20 commerce with the expectation and intent that it would benefit from the use and
21 sale in the transferor jurisdiction, and it reaped the benefits of selling millions of
22 units in these jurisdictions. Indeed, a Senior Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
23 USA admitted that ZF Electronics USA designed, manufactured, and distributed the
24 DS84 ACUs. *See* ECF 209-4, ¶ 4.

25 263. Furthermore, ZF Automotive USA (formerly TRW Automotive Inc.)
26 is a manufacturer of the DS84 ACUs at issue in this litigation and a direct parent of
27 ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA. On information and belief, ZF
28

1 Passive Safety USA was also directly involved in the manufacture and design of the
2 DS84 ACU.

3 264. Additionally, the Domestic ZF Defendants had an active role in the
4 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants’ and NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU defect,
5 as well as the concealment of that defect in every state. A Senior Technical
6 Specialist for ZF Electronics USA confirmed that the Domestic ZF Defendants “are
7 responsible for communicating with NHTSA concerning purported electrical
8 overstress issues in the ACUs,” and “have also made certain filings with NHTSA
9 related to the ACUs,” including a Part 573 Safety Recall Report that was part of a
10 recall targeted at Class Vehicles in every state.

11 265. As alleged throughout this Complaint, the Domestic ZF Defendants
12 were also directly involved in investigating crashes in Class Vehicles throughout
13 the U.S., including in California, Florida, and Arizona. Despite the nationwide
14 scope of ACU Defect, the Domestic ZF Defendants concealed this dangerous defect
15 from consumers and NHTSA in the U.S. and conspired with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants to exclude Class Vehicles from recalls and provide
17 inadequate recall remedies.

18 266. The existence of the ACU defect is a material fact that would have
19 affected each Plaintiff’s decision to acquire the Class Vehicle in each jurisdiction.
20 The Domestic ZF Defendants’ concealment of the ACU Defect therefore gives rise,
21 or relates, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

22 **2. ST Italy**

23 267. Although based in Italy, ST Italy has substantial activities directed at
24 the United States, and those activities give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

25 268. For the reasons explained below, the transferor court in the Eastern
26 District of Michigan has specific jurisdiction over ST Italy.
27
28

1 269. During the relevant period, ST Italy purposefully availed itself of the
2 United States’ legal protections by registering and maintaining registrations with
3 the United States government for trademarks associated with its semiconductors
4 and electronic chips, which ST Italy used to identify and distinguish its parts in the
5 United States, this District, and the transferor jurisdictions.

6 270. During the relevant period, ST Italy also purposefully availed itself of
7 the United States’ legal protections by filing numerous patents with the United
8 States Patent and Trademark Office associated with its semiconductors and
9 electronic chips.

10 271. Upon information and belief, ST Italy participated in the preparation of
11 a response to a Request for Quotation that ZF Electronics USA sent to several chip
12 manufacturers in December 2004. This response led to the selection of the DS84
13 ASIC as the ASIC that would be installed in ZF ACUs in vehicles in the United
14 States. When ST Italy participated in the preparation of this response, it knew and
15 intended that the response would be sent to ZF Electronics USA employees in
16 Michigan.

17 272. Following this solicitation of business from Michigan, ST Italy invited
18 several Michigan-based employees to Italy for meetings about the design of the
19 DS84 ASIC. Between 2005 and 2008, Michigan-based employees met with well
20 over a dozen technical specialists employed by ST Italy. The two companies agreed
21 on a design for the DS84 ASIC. Based on the agreed design, ST Italy knew the
22 DS84 ASIC was a custom chip made only for ZF Electronics USA and other
23 affiliates owned by ZF TRW Corp. Accordingly, ST Italy purposely directed its
24 engineering and design expertise with the intention of affecting commerce in the
25 United States—specifically, the shipment of ASICs and the manufacture of ACUs.

26 273. Between 2004 and 2008, ST Italy worked closely with ST USA’s
27 Michigan-based employees, who served as liaisons for ST Italy’s relationship with
28 ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA.

1 274. ST Italy did not just have an incidental role in placing the DS84 ASIC
2 into the stream of commerce. Instead, ST Italy designed the DS84 ASIC as a
3 custom chip for ZF Electronics USA. Upon information and belief, when ST Italy
4 performed this work, it knew the DS84 ASIC was designed exclusively for the use
5 in ACUs designed by ZF Electronics USA, and would be used in vehicles sold in
6 the United States.

7 275. [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]

19 277. Because ST Italy’s design and quality assurance work for the DS84
20 ASICs centered on Michigan—the headquarters of the only company that used the
21 DS84 ASIC—this work had the necessary minimum contact with Michigan and
22 gives rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

23 **3. ST Malaysia**

24 278. Although based in Malaysia, ST Malaysia has substantial activities
25 directed at the United States, and those activities give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’
26 claims.
27
28

1 279. As explained below, ST Malaysia directly shipped millions of DS84
2 ASICs to the Los Angeles area. Because Plaintiffs’ claims arise out of, or relate, to
3 these shipments (which were essential to the delivery of Class Vehicles with the
4 ACU Defect), the transferor courts in this District have specific jurisdiction over ST
5 Malaysia.

6 280. During the relevant period, ST Malaysia purposefully availed itself of
7 the United States’ legal protections by filing patents with the United States Patent
8 and Trademark Office associated with its semiconductors and electronic chips.

9 281. According to hundreds of invoices produced by ST USA, the DS84
10 ASICs are “assembled in Malaysia.” Upon information and belief, ST Malaysia
11 manufactured the DS84 ASIC for vehicles sold in the United States.

12 282. Upon information and belief, ST Malaysia shipped the vast majority of
13 the DS84 ASICs installed in the Class Vehicles to ST USA’s distribution center in
14 the Los Angeles area, also known as the “STMicro LAX HUB.” During part of the
15 relevant time period, the STMicro LAX HUB was located at 18120 Bishop Ave,
16 Carson, California. For the remainder of the relevant period, the STMicro LAX
17 HUB was located at 19600 Western Avenue, Torrance, California.

18 283. After ST Malaysia shipped the DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California,
19 ST USA shipped them to ZF Electronics USA’s plant in Marshall, Illinois, where
20 ZF Electronics USA manufactured the DS84 ACUs.

21 284. Upon information and belief, ST Malaysia knew that all DS84 ASICs
22 were made exclusively for ZF Electronics USA because the DS84 ASIC was a
23 custom ASIC not used by any other ACU manufacturer.

24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

4. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis

288. Although Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis are based in South Korea, the Court has specific jurisdiction over them based on their pervasive contacts with the United States. These foreign Defendants’ contacts with the United States are all in furtherance of sales and leases of Hyundai-Kia vehicles in the United States, which gives rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

289. The Hyundai-Kia Defendants are an intertwined group of entities with overlapping roles and responsibilities. Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea are tightly affiliated, so much so that they often hold themselves out to be part of the same joint entity—the Hyundai-Kia Motor Company. Hyundai Mobis is the primary parts supplier and manufacturer for the Hyundai-Kia Motor Company, and forms the third leg of the “Hyundai Motor Group.” As relevant for this litigation, each of these Defendants was involved with the issues related to the defective DS84 ACUs in Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles.

290. Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea share many key executives. For example, Eui-Sun Chung is the Chairman of both Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea, as well as the chairman of Hyundai Motor Group.

1 291. The services rendered by Hyundai USA and Kia America for the
2 foreign Hyundai-Kia Defendants are so important to the foreign Hyundai-Kia
3 Defendants that they would perform those services themselves if Hyundai USA and
4 Kia America did not exist. Hyundai Korea controls the public name and brand of
5 Hyundai USA, whereas Kia Korea controls the public name and brand of Kia
6 America. In consumer transactions, like those with Plaintiffs, Hyundai Korea’s and
7 Kia Korea’s unified brands and logos serve as their and their subsidiaries’ official
8 seal and signature as to consumers. Additional allegations specifically regarding
9 each of the foreign Hyundai-Kia Defendants are below.

10 **a. Hyundai Korea**

11 292. As this Court already ruled in its Order on Defendants’ motions to
12 dismiss (ECF 396 at 15-24), the Court has personal jurisdiction over Hyundai
13 Korea through the federal long-arm statute, Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(2), based on
14 Hyundai Korea’s forum-related activities from which this case arises, and the
15 forum-related activities of Hyundai Korea’s primary domestic subsidiary, Hyundai
16 USA, which Hyundai Korea substantially controls.

17 **i. Hyundai Korea’s forum-related activities support the**
18 **exercise of jurisdiction over Hyundai Korea.**

19 293. Although a South Korea-based company, Hyundai Korea has
20 substantial activities directed at the United States that give rise, or relate, to
21 Plaintiffs’ claims.

22 294. In a recent complaint to enforce its trademark rights, Hyundai Korea
23 represented that it “currently designs, manufactures, markets, distributes, and sells a
24 wide range of automobile and related automobile parts to over 190 countries
25 throughout the world, including the United States, under the trademark ‘Hyundai.’”

26 295. During the relevant period, Hyundai Korea purposefully availed itself
27 of the United States’ legal protections by registering and maintaining registrations
28

1 with the United States government for trademarks associated with its vehicles and
2 parts, which Hyundai Korea used to identify and distinguish its vehicles and parts
3 in the United States, this District, and transferor jurisdictions.

4 296. Hyundai Korea purposely availed itself of markets in the United
5 States, selling more than 500,000 vehicles per year in this market through its
6 domestic subsidiary, Hyundai USA. Specific to this litigation, Hyundai Korea
7 coordinated with ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA to adapt the
8 general design of the ACU with the DS84 for use in Hyundai Class Vehicles.
9 Hyundai Korea signed off on the design of the DS84 ACUs used in the Hyundai
10 Class Vehicles, granting their express approval to the faulty design.

11 297. Hyundai Korea manufactured over 1.75 million of the Class Vehicles,
12 vehicles manufactured abroad and delivered to Hyundai USA for sale in the United
13 States of America. Although Hyundai Korea made these Hyundai Class Vehicles in
14 Korea, it specifically segregated them from other Hyundai vehicles that were
15 intended for sale in other countries, placed certification labels on them that assured
16 compliance with U.S. federal safety requirements, and ensured those Hyundai Class
17 Vehicles shipped to the United States, with full knowledge that Hyundai USA
18 would then distribute them across the United States. These certification labels give
19 rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims because they misleadingly suggested the Class
20 Vehicles were safe and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts.

21 298. These Class Vehicles were not merely placed into a stream of
22 commerce—they were directly targeted for the United States market. Hyundai USA
23 certified that the vehicles complied with US safety requirements and ensured that
24 they shipped directly to a wholly owned subsidiary responsible for distribution in
25 the United States.

26 299. To enable access to this market, Hyundai Korea regularly submits
27 applications to the EPA to obtain certification necessary for the sale of its vehicles
28 in the United States.

1 300. In addition to obtaining emissions certifications, Hyundai Korea
2 certified that the Hyundai Class Vehicles it designed and made met federal safety
3 standards for sale in the United States.

4 301. Hyundai Korea affixed federal safety certification labels to the
5 Hyundai Class Vehicles manufactured in Korea, and directly approved the same
6 labels for Hyundai Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States, in each case
7 knowing that they would be sold in the United States. The certification labels
8 represented that the Hyundai Class Vehicles conformed to U.S. federal safety
9 standards, thereby enabling the vehicles to be sold in all 50 states. These misleading
10 certification labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

11 302. Hyundai Korea designed the Hyundai Class Vehicles to have clearly
12 visible airbag readiness indicators, as required under 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2),
13 to communicate with vehicle occupants about the safety and operating status of the
14 airbag system. These readiness indicators give rise, or relate to, Plaintiffs' claims
15 because the readiness indicators in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles misleadingly
16 communicated to consumers that the vehicles' passive safety system was "ready" to
17 deploy during crashes.

18 303. While Hyundai Korea is South Korean company, it designed the
19 Hyundai Class Vehicles to target U.S. consumers, and included prominent English
20 language labels within the car cabin to alert the driver and passengers to the
21 vehicle's airbag system. These misleading labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs'
22 claims.

23 304. Hyundai Korea played a key role in the Hyundai-Kia Defendants'
24 analysis and decision-making relating to the defective DS84 ACUs in the United
25 States. Multiple documents produced to NHTSA in the U.S. by the Hyundai-Kia
26 Defendants are written in Korean. Upon information and belief, the use of Korean
27 was necessary because employees of the Korean companies needed to review the
28 information and approve the responses of the American subsidiaries.

1 305. Between October 2015 and July of 2016, the Domestic ZF Defendants
2 met with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis in Korea at least four
3 times to discuss the problems with DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and
4 what to tell NHTSA.

5 306. According to a document produced by ZF Automotive US Inc.,
6 Hyundai Korea returned a Hyundai Class Vehicle—specifically a 2016 Hyundai
7 Sonata—due to a faulty ZF ACU that experienced EOS. This demonstrates
8 Hyundai Korea’s continuing interest in Class Vehicles after delivery to its primary
9 domestic subsidiary, Hyundai USA.

10 **ii. Hyundai Korea exerts control over Hyundai USA.**

11 307. Hyundai Korea established a fully owned subsidiary, Hyundai USA, in
12 the United States to target consumers in the United States. Hyundai Korea exercises
13 control over Hyundai USA through several formal and informal mechanisms.

14 308. Upon information and belief, Hyundai Korea has the power to appoint
15 board members to Hyundai USA. It has exercised this power to appoint board
16 members to its subsidiaries that it believes will manage the subsidiaries with the
17 principal goal of benefiting it.

18 309. Hyundai Korea reportedly maintains a “Global Command and Control
19 Center” at its headquarters in Seoul, Korea. It has been reported that the Global
20 Command and Control Center was modeled after the CNN newsroom in Atlanta,
21 Georgia, with dozens of computer screens relaying video and data. From the Global
22 Command and Control Center, Hyundai Korea controls Hyundai operations around
23 the world, including those in the United States.

24 310. The Global Command and Control Center monitors every operating
25 line at more than 27 plants in the world, in real time, 24 hours a day, 365 days a
26 year. The production data is generated on the assembly lines and displayed on
27 boards where team members can see it, and headquarters can see the same data at
28

1 the same time. If the quality monitors spot errors or problems, they call the factory
2 immediately.

3 311. Employees of Hyundai USA report on quality issues to Hyundai
4 Korea. One of the Hyundai plants monitored at the Global Command and Control
5 Center is located in Alabama. That plant's production chief was quoted as saying,
6 "if there's a hiccup at any of those boards, headquarters wants to know what needs
7 to be done about it – right now."

8 312. Senior Korean executives at Hyundai Korea visit Hyundai plants in the
9 United States to monitor and assess their operations.

10 313. Some Senior Korean executives at Hyundai Korea are directly
11 responsible for supervising Hyundai manufacturing plants worldwide. For instance,
12 Byung Mo Ahn worked for Hyundai Korea as an executive vice president and
13 COO, before transitioning to work for Kia. According to a press release issued by
14 KMA, while serving as a Hyundai Korea executive, Mr. Ahn was responsible for
15 "overseas business operations, including supervising the production activities of
16 nine factories worldwide."

17 314. Upon information and belief, Korean speaking "coordinators" work at
18 Hyundai USA and report on their activities to Korean executives at Hyundai Korea
19 every business day.

20 315. Hyundai Korea exercises control over its domestic subsidiary through
21 the executive leadership and board members of Hyundai USA. Hyundai Korea
22 appoints board members for Hyundai USA, exercising this power to appoint board
23 members that it believes will manage the its subsidiary with the principal goal of
24 benefitting Hyundai Korea.

25 316. Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA share common executives. For
26 example, Jose Munoz is the current Global Chief Operating Officer of Hyundai
27 Korea as well as the President and CEO of Hyundai Motors North America and the
28 President and CEO of Hyundai USA.

1 317. Hyundai Korea controls the public name and brand of Hyundai Motor
2 America, Inc. In consumer transactions, like those with Plaintiffs, Hyundai Korea’s
3 brands and logos serve as its and its subsidiaries’ official seal and signature to
4 consumers.

5 **iii. Because of Hyundai Korea’s control over its**
6 **subsidiary Hyundai USA, the forum-related activities**
7 **of Hyundai USA support the exercise of jurisdiction**
8 **over Hyundai Korea.**

9 318. Hyundai Motor America is a California corporation, subject to general
10 jurisdiction in this state. Indeed, as the Court already ruled in the Order on
11 Defendants’ motions to dismiss (ECF 396 at 15), the Court can exercise personal
12 jurisdiction over Hyundai USA.

13 319. Hyundai USA sells, leases, and markets Hyundai-branded automobiles
14 in the United States, including the Hyundai Class Vehicles, at the direction of
15 Hyundai Korea.

16 320. Hyundai USA participated in the creation of Monroney labels that
17 misleadingly stated that the Hyundai Class Vehicles were equipped with Occupant
18 Restraint Systems but did not disclose the related defects in the DS84 ACU and
19 ASIC. These Monroney labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

20 321. Hyundai USA caused the Class Vehicles to ship to automobile dealers
21 with misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints, certification labels,
22 readiness indicators, and owner’s manuals. These shipments give rise, or relate, to
23 Plaintiffs’ claims.

24 322. Hyundai USA participated in the creation of misleading advertising for
25 the Hyundai Class Vehicles that stressed the safety of those vehicles and omitted
26 material facts. These misleading advertisements give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’
27 claims.
28

1 323. Hyundai USA has engaged in extensive efforts to conceal the ACU
2 Defect from American consumers and NHTSA, including concealing incidents of
3 observed EOS in certain Hyundai Class Vehicles involved in suspicious accidents.
4 These efforts to conceal the ACU Defect give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

5 324. Hyundai USA also made misleading statements to NHTSA in the U.S.
6 that give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

7 **b. Kia Korea**

8 325. Although a South Korea-based company, Kia Korea it is subject to the
9 Court’s specific jurisdiction because it has pervasive contacts with the United States
10 and exerts substantial control over its domestic subsidiaries. Kia Korea’s contacts
11 with the United States are all in furtherance of sales and leases of Kia vehicles in
12 the United States, and these contacts give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

13 **i. Kia Korea’s forum-related activities support the**
14 **exercise of jurisdiction over Kia Korea.**

15 326. Kia Korea designs, manufactures, markets, distributes, and sells a wide
16 range of automobiles and automobile parts to over 190 countries throughout the
17 world, including the United States, under the trademark “Kia.”

18 327. Upon information and belief, Kia Korea is involved in the design,
19 manufacture, marketing, distribution, and sale of Kia vehicles in the United States
20 to a similar extent as Hyundai Korea is involved in the design, manufacture,
21 marketing, distribution, and sale of Hyundai vehicles in the United States.

22 328. Kia Korea has comparable sales volume in the United States to
23 Hyundai Korea. In 2010, Kia Korea sold approximately 355,000 vehicles in the
24 United States through its domestic subsidiary, Kia America. By 2016, Kia Korea
25 sold approximately 655,000 vehicles in the United States. During that seven-year
26 span, Kia Korea sold approximately 3,839,520 vehicles in the United States.
27
28

1 329. During the relevant period, Kia Korea purposefully availed itself of the
2 United States’ legal protections, registering and maintaining registrations with the
3 United States government for trademarks associated with its vehicles and parts,
4 which it used to identify and distinguish its vehicles and parts in the United States,
5 this District, and transferor jurisdictions.

6 330. Kia Korea purposely availed itself of markets in the United States,
7 selling hundreds of thousands of vehicles per year in this market for each of the last
8 ten years, through its domestic subsidiary. To enable access to this market, Kia
9 Korea regularly submits applications to the EPA to obtain certification necessary
10 for the sale of its vehicles in the United States.

11 331. In addition to obtaining emissions certifications, Kia Korea also
12 designed and manufactured the Kia Class Vehicles to meet federal safety standards
13 for sale in the United States.

14 332. Kia Korea affixed federal safety certification labels to the Kia Class
15 Vehicles manufactured in Korea, and directly approved the same labels for Kia
16 Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States, in each case knowing that they
17 would be sold in the United States. The certification labels represented that the Kia
18 Class Vehicles conformed to United States federal safety standards, thereby
19 enabling the vehicles to be sold in all 50 states. These misleading certification
20 labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

21 333. Kia Korea designed the Kia Class Vehicles to have clearly visible
22 airbag readiness indicators, as required under 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2), to
23 communicate with vehicle occupants about the safety and operating status of the
24 airbag system. These readiness indicators give rise, or relate to, Plaintiffs’ claims
25 because the readiness indicators in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles misleadingly
26 communicated to consumers that the vehicles’ passive safety system was “ready” to
27 deploy during crashes.

28

1 334. While Kia Korea is a South Korean company, it designed the Kia
2 Class Vehicles to target U.S. consumers, and included prominent English language
3 labels within the car cabin to alert the driver and passengers to the vehicle’s airbag
4 system. These misleading labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

5 335. Kia Korea played a key role in the Hyundai-Kia Defendants’ analysis
6 and decision-making relating to the defective ZF TRW ACUs in the United States.
7 Multiple documents produced to NHTSA in the U.S. by the Hyundai-Kia
8 Defendants are written in Korean. Upon information and belief, the use of Korean
9 language was necessary because employees of the Korean companies needed to
10 review the information and approve the responses of the American subsidiaries.

11 336. Between October 2015 and July of 2016, the Domestic ZF Defendants
12 met with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea (then known as Kia Motors Corporation), and
13 Hyundai Mobis in Korea at least four times to discuss the problems with ZF TRW
14 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and what to tell NHTSA.

15 **ii. Kia Korea exerts control over Kia USA.**

16 337. Kia Korea established a fully owned subsidiary, Kia USA, in the
17 United States to target consumers in the United States.

18 338. Upon information and belief, Kia Korea has the power to appoint
19 board members to Kia USA. It has exercised this power to appoint board members
20 to its subsidiaries that it believes will manage the subsidiaries with the principal
21 goal of benefiting it.

22 339. Kia Korea’s control over its domestic subsidiary is reflected at the very
23 top of Kia USA. The President & CEO of Kia USA from 2018 to the present,
24 SeungKyu (Sean) Yoon, previously served as the America’s Group Leader at Kia
25 Korea from June 2012 to October 2015. After serving in Seoul as Kia Korea’s
26 “America Group Leader” for three years, Mr. Yoon was promoted to President &
27 CEO of Kia Canada, Inc., a sibling entity of Kia USA. In 2018, he was promoted
28

1 not only to the position of President & CEO at Kia USA, but also to a concurrent
2 position of Senior Managing Director at Kia Korea, where he is the President &
3 CEO of the Kia North America Region team.

4 340. Additional high-level executives overlap between Kia USA and Kia
5 Korea. The sole director listed on Kia America's 2020 Statement of Information
6 filed with the California Secretary of State is Han Woo Park, the then-President and
7 Co-CEO of Kia Korea.

8 341. Furthermore, during much of the relevant time period, Byung Mo Ahn
9 directed the operations of Kia USA while serving as a Vice Chairman for Kia
10 Korea.⁸ Mr. Ahn worked from 2001 to 2008 as the president and CEO of Kia USA,
11 expanding his leadership role in the United States to be group president and CEO of
12 both Kia USA and the domestic manufacturing subsidiary, Kia Georgia, Inc.
13 (formerly Kia Motor Manufacturing Georgia, Inc.), from 2008 until 2014. In 2014,
14 Mr. Ahn was promoted to Vice Chairman of Kia Korea. A Kia Korea press release
15 made clear, however, that Mr. Ahn would "continue to lead the implementation of
16 the brand's long-term strategy in the U.S."

17 342. Yet another shared executive is Suk Won (Scott) Hahn, who originally
18 joined Kia Korea in January 2006 before going on to become the Chief Financial
19 Officer of Kia America in February 2015.

20 343. On information and belief, the Global Command and Control Center in
21 Seoul, Korea, monitors Kia operations around the world in addition to Hyundai
22 operations. Chung Mong Koo, the former Chairman of Hyundai Motor Group and
23 former Chairman & CEO of Hyundai Korea & Kia Korea, who is credited for
24 creating the Global Command and Control Center, began homing in on the
25 oversight of the manufacturing process for Kia and Hyundai automobiles after
26 visiting Kia's United States production plants.

27

28 ⁸ Mr. Ahn previously worked for Hyundai Korea, as detailed above.

1 344. On information and belief, Kia and Hyundai have integrated their
2 manufacturing process to build “flexible factories” in the United States that can
3 produce models for either brand. Given the shared leadership at the related
4 Hyundai-Kia defendants, the control that Hyundai exerts over its domestic
5 subsidiary’s automobile manufacturing extends to Kia’s control over its domestic
6 subsidiary’s automobile manufacturing.

7 345. Employees of Kia USA report on quality issues to Kia Korea.

8 346. Korean speaking “coordinators” reportedly work at Kia America, and
9 regularly report on their activities to Korean executives at Kia Korea.

10 347. Senior Korean executives at Kia Korea visit Kia plants in the United
11 States. On information and belief, Kia Korea selected Troup County, Georgia as the
12 location of its \$1 billion U.S. manufacturing plant in part because it was a
13 convenient site for Kia Korea executives to visit.

14 348. Kia Korea controls the public name and brand of Kia USA. For
15 instance, Kia Korea’s recent redesign and rebranding, changing its name from “Kia
16 Motor Corporation” to “Kia Corporation” led to a similar change in the domestic
17 subsidiary, as “Kia Motor America” became “Kia America, Inc.” In consumer
18 transactions, like those with Plaintiffs, Kia Korea’s brands and logos serve as its
19 and its subsidiaries’ official seal and signature to consumers.

20 **iii. Because of Kia Korea’s control over its subsidiary Kia**
21 **USA, the forum-related activities of Kia USA support**
22 **the exercise of jurisdiction over Kia Korea.**

23 349. Kia USA is a California corporation, subject to general jurisdiction in
24 this state. Indeed, as the Court already ruled in Order on Defendants’ motions to
25 dismiss (ECF 396 at 15), the Court can exercise personal jurisdiction over Kia
26 USA.

1 350. Under the direction and supervision of Kia Korea, Kia USA sold,
2 leased, and marketed the Kia Class Vehicles. These transactions give rise, or relate,
3 to Plaintiffs' claims.

4 351. Kia USA participated in the creation of Monroney labels that
5 misleadingly stated that the Kia Class Vehicles were equipped with Occupant
6 Restraint Systems without disclosing the related defect in the DS84 ACU. These
7 Monroney labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

8 352. Kia USA caused the Kia Class Vehicles to ship to automobile dealers
9 with misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints, certification labels,
10 readiness indicators, and owner's manuals. These shipments give rise, or relates, to
11 Plaintiffs' claims.

12 353. Kia USA participated in the creation of misleading advertising for the
13 Kia Class Vehicles that stressed the safety of those vehicles and omitted material
14 facts regarding the defective DS84 ACU in the Kia Class Vehicles. This advertising
15 gives rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs' claims.

16 354. Kia USA has engaged in extensive efforts to conceal the ACU Defect
17 from American consumers and NHTSA, including concealing incidents of observed
18 EOS in certain Kia Class Vehicles involved in suspicious accidents. These efforts
19 to conceal the ACU Defect give rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs' claims.

20 355. Kia USA also made misleading statements to NHTSA in the U.S. that
21 give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

22 **c. Hyundai Mobis, Ltd.**

23 356. Although Hyundai Mobis is based in South Korea, the Court has
24 specific jurisdiction over it based on its pervasive contacts with the United States,
25 which give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.
26
27
28

1 **i. Hyundai Mobis’s forum-related activities support the**
2 **exercise of jurisdiction over Hyundai Mobis.**

3 357. Hyundai Mobis has substantial activities directed at the United
4 States—both in the manufacture and inadequate response to the defective DS84
5 ACUs. Specifically, Hyundai Mobis was involved in post-crash investigations,
6 strategic decisions regarding the ACU defect, returning vehicles to ZF entities due
7 to observed EOS, and iterating with regard to additional protective components due
8 to EOS findings in crash investigations and warranty returns.

9 358. Hyundai Mobis makes auto parts for Hyundai Kia vehicles, including
10 airbag control devices, and supplies parts for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles in
11 the United States.

12 359. Hyundai Mobis operates two major manufacturing and production
13 sites in the United States, one in Montgomery, Alabama and the other in West
14 Point, Georgia.

15 360. Hyundai Mobis also operates three production sites, in Toledo, Ohio;
16 Detroit, Michigan; and McCalla, Alabama.

17 361. Hyundai Mobis maintains and operates a major research and
18 development center, the Mobis Technical Center of North America (“MTCA”), in
19 Plymouth Michigan. At MTCA, Hyundai Mobis researches, *inter alia*, development
20 of North America-specific specifications for Hyundai and Kia vehicles.

21 362. Hyundai Mobis operates a main distribution branch and office in
22 Fountain Valley, California; a quality control center in Ontario, California; and
23 after-sale parts centers in Florida and California.

24 363. Hyundai Mobis’s North American Research Institute provides original
25 equipment order-taking support and fulfilment, as well as product specification
26 support, for Hyundai USA and Kia USA.

27 364. Hyundai Mobis participated in the manufacture of the ACU that gives
28 rise to this litigation, specifically manufacturing hundreds of thousands of the faulty

1 DS84 ACUs for Kia and Hyundai Class Vehicles through its domestic
2 manufacturing subsidiary, Mobis Parts America. Accordingly, these activities by
3 Hyundai Mobis give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

4 365. After Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea approved of ZF Electronics
5 USA's design of the DS84 ACU's, Hyundai Mobis executed a manufacturing
6 agreement with ZF Electronics USA as to that design. Hyundai Mobis delivered
7 those faulty units to Kia and Hyundai manufacturing facilities for inclusion in the
8 Class Vehicles. These ACUs give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

9 366. Further, Hyundai Mobis contracted with ZF Electronics USA to
10 procure DS84 ACUs manufactured by ZF Electronics USA. At the direction of
11 Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA delivered many of those units to Hyundai
12 Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC. There, according to the mandatory designs
13 issued by Hyundai Korea, the DS84 ACUs were installed in Hyundai Class
14 Vehicles destined for sale in the United States. These shipments that Hyundai
15 Mobis caused within the United States give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

16 367. Hyundai Mobis directed other DS84 ACUs manufactured by ZF
17 Electronics USA to be delivered to Kia Georgia, Inc. (formerly Kia Motor
18 Manufacturing Georgia, Inc.), where, according to mandatory designs issued by Kia
19 Korea, the units were installed in Kia Class Vehicles built in Georgia, destined for
20 sale in the United States. These shipments that Hyundai Mobis caused within the
21 United States give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

22 368. Hyundai Mobis played a key role in the Hyundai-Kia Defendants'
23 analysis and decision-making relating to the defective DS84 ACUs in the United
24 States. One of the primary points of contact for issues regarding the DS84 ACU in
25 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles was Taewon Park, an employee of Hyundai Mobis.
26 Hyundai Mobis' investigation of the DS84 ACUs in the United States relates to
27 Plaintiffs' claims.

28

1 369. Between 2010 and 2018, the Domestic ZF Defendants met with
2 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis in Korea many times to discuss the
3 ACU Defect and coordinate their efforts to conceal it from NHTSA and consumers.
4 Hyundai Mobis’s coordination with these Defendants gives rise, or relates, to
5 Plaintiffs’ claims.

6 370. Hyundai Mobis engaged in extensive efforts to conceal the ACU
7 Defect from American consumers and NHTSA, including concealing incidents of
8 observed EOS in certain Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles involved in suspicious
9 accidents. These efforts to conceal give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

10 **5. Honda Motor Co., Ltd.**

11 371. Although Honda Japan is based in Japan, it is subject to the Court’s
12 specific jurisdiction because it has pervasive contacts with the United States and
13 exerts substantial control over its domestic subsidiaries. Honda Japan’s contacts
14 with the United States are all in furtherance of sales and leases of Honda vehicles in
15 the United States, and these contacts give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

16 **a. Honda Japan’s forum-related activities support the exercise**
17 **of jurisdiction over Honda Japan.**

18 372. Honda Japan designs, manufactures, markets, distributes, and sells a
19 wide range of automobiles and automobile parts throughout the world, including
20 the United States, under the trademark “Honda.”

21 373. During the relevant period, Honda Japan purposefully availed itself of
22 the United States’ legal protections, including registering and maintaining
23 registrations with the United States government for trademarks associated with its
24 vehicles and parts, which it uses to identify and distinguish its vehicles and parts in
25 the United States, this District, and the transferor jurisdictions. Honda Japan is
26 recognized in the registrations as the owner of the Honda trademarks.
27
28

1 374. Honda Japan has brought litigation in United States courts to protect
2 its trademarks from infringement and counterfeiting. The protection afforded to
3 Honda Japan’s trademarks and patents under United States law enabled Honda
4 Japan to sell the Honda Class Vehicles in the United States, this District, and the
5 transferor jurisdictions.

6 375. In a recent complaint to enforce its trademark rights, Honda Japan
7 represented that it “obtained registrations in the United States for designs for the
8 HONDA and ACURA trademarks, used in connection with automobiles and
9 automobile parts.”

10 376. Honda Japan designs and manufactures Honda vehicles for sale in the
11 United States, including Honda Class Vehicles.

12 377. Honda Japan purposely avails itself of markets in the United States.
13 For example, Honda Japan regularly submits applications to the EPA to obtain
14 certification necessary for the sale of its vehicles in the United States.

15 378. In addition to obtaining emissions certifications, Honda Japan also
16 designed and manufactured Honda Class Vehicles to meet federal safety standards
17 for sale in the United States.

18 379. Owners’ manuals for Honda vehicles with the defective DS84 ACUs
19 state: “Honda Motor Co., Ltd. reserves the right . . . to discontinue or change
20 specifications or design at any time.” Based on these statements, and upon
21 information and belief, Honda Japan has the ultimate responsibility for the design
22 and specifications for all Honda vehicles with the defective DS84 ACUs, including
23 the Honda Class Vehicles.

24 380. Indeed, upon information and belief, Honda Japan required its
25 manufacturing subsidiaries to install DS84 ACUs in the Honda Class Vehicles.

26 381. Although Honda Japan made Honda Class Vehicles in Japan, it
27 specifically segregated them from other Honda vehicles that were intended for sale
28 in other countries, placed certification labels on them that assured compliance with

1 U.S. federal safety requirements, and ensured those Honda Class Vehicles shipped
2 to the United States, with full knowledge that Honda USA would then distribute
3 them across the United States. These certification labels give rise, or relate, to
4 Plaintiffs' claims because they misleadingly suggested the Class Vehicles were safe
5 and had properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts. Honda Japan also required its
6 U.S. manufacturing subsidiaries to include the same certification in the Honda
7 Class Vehicles those subsidiaries manufactured, pursuant to the design and
8 direction of Honda Japan.

9 382. Accordingly, Honda Japan did not merely place the Honda Class
10 Vehicles it made into a stream of commerce that brought them to the United States.
11 Instead, it made them for shipment to the United States, certified they complied
12 with U.S. safety and other requirements, and ensured that they shipped directly to a
13 wholly owned subsidiary responsible for distribution in the United States.

14 383. Honda Japan affixed federal safety certification labels to the Honda
15 Class Vehicles manufactured in Japan, and directly approved the same labels for
16 Honda Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States, in each case knowing that
17 they would be sold in the United States. The certification labels represented that the
18 Honda Class Vehicles conformed to United States federal safety standards, thereby
19 enabling the vehicles to be sold in all 50 states. These misleading certification
20 labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

21 384. Honda Japan designed the Honda Class Vehicles to have clearly
22 visible airbag readiness indicators, as required under 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2),
23 to communicate with vehicle occupants about the safety and operating status of the
24 airbag system. These readiness indicators give rise, or relate to, Plaintiffs' claims
25 because the readiness indicators in the Honda Class Vehicles misleadingly
26 communicated to consumers that the vehicles' passive safety system was "ready" to
27 deploy during crashes.
28

1 385. While Honda Japan is a Japanese company, it designed the Honda
2 Class Vehicles to target U.S. consumers, and included prominent English language
3 labels within the car cabin to alert the driver and passengers to the vehicle’s airbag
4 system. These misleading labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

5 **b. Honda Japan exerts control over its domestic subsidiaries.**

6 386. Honda Japan established subsidiaries in the United States to target
7 consumers in the United States. Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA are
8 wholly owned subsidiaries of Honda Japan.

9 387. For decades, Honda Japan has continuously engaged in business in the
10 United States by, among other things, interacting with its wholly owned
11 subsidiaries in the United States. The services rendered by Honda USA and Honda
12 Engineering USA for Honda Japan are so important to Honda Japan that it would
13 perform those services itself if Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA did not
14 exist.

15 388. Honda Japan controls the “Honda” public name and brand. In
16 consumer transactions, like those with Plaintiffs, Honda Japan’s unified brand and
17 logo serve as Honda Japan’s and its domestic subsidiaries’ official seal and
18 signature as to consumers.

19 389. Honda Japan derives more revenue from the United States than any
20 other country. For fiscal year ending March 31, 2018 alone, Honda Japan reported
21 \$65 billion in sales in the United States, a little under half of its revenue.

22 390. Honda Japan and its U.S. subsidiaries share common executives. For
23 example:

- 24 a. Shinji Aoyama was the President, CEO, and Director of Honda
25 USA and the Chief Officer of Regional Operations (North
26 America) for Honda Japan until October 2021, when Noriya
27 Kaihara took over those positions.
28

- 1 b. Mitsugu Matsukawa, current President of Honda Engineering
2 USA, previously served as President of Honda of America Mfg.,
3 Inc. where he was responsible for manufacturing operations at
4 Honda's four Ohio plants. Matsukawa is also on Honda Japan's
5 North American Regional Operating Board and serves as a
6 managing officer of Honda Japan.
- 7 c. James A. Keller is the executive vice president of Honda
8 Engineering USA. He oversees all of the company's research &
9 development operations in North America and serves as a
10 member of Honda USA's Board of Directors as well as a
11 member of Honda Japan's North American Regional Operating
12 Board. Keller trained for at least two years at Honda R&D Co.,
13 Ltd. in Japan.
- 14 d. Takashi Sekiguchi originally joined Honda Japan in 1982 and
15 worked there for years before becoming the Executive Vice
16 President and Director of Honda USA in April 2008.
- 17 e. Takanobu Ito, the CEO of Honda Japan from 2009 to 2015, was
18 previously President and Director of Honda R&D Co., Ltd. and
19 Executive Vice President of Honda R&D Americas, Inc.
- 20 f. Toshiaki Mikoshiba, served as Chairman and Director of the
21 board for Honda Japan until April 2022, and he previously
22 served as the CEO and director for Honda USA.

23 391. In 2021, several of the domestic Honda subsidiaries, including Honda
24 of American Honda Mfg., Inc. and Honda R&D Americas, LLC restructured and
25 consolidated into Honda Engineering USA. The restructuring of these U.S.
26 subsidiaries was similar to the restructuring in Japan. At a press conference in 2020
27 announcing the restructuring plans in the United States, Shinji Aoyama announced
28

1 the decision was part of the continued journey to become a “unified company in
2 North America.”

3 392. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan has the power to appoint
4 board members to Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA. Honda Japan has
5 exercised this power to appoint board members that Honda Japan believes will
6 manage the subsidiaries with the principal goal of benefiting Honda Japan.

7 393. Indeed, Honda Japan recently noted in its 2022 Corporate Governance
8 report that:

9 [p]ersons responsible for the supervision of each subsidiary have been
10 appointed from among the Executive Officers or other executives with
11 jurisdiction over the area related to the business of the relevant subsidiary.
12 These persons responsible regularly receive reports regarding business plans
13 and management conditions from the subsidiaries for which they are
14 responsible and supervise those subsidiaries in cooperation with business
15 management departments and other related departments. [Honda Japan]
16 requires subsidiaries to obtain prior approval from or make reports to the
17 [Honda Japan] regarding material matters of the management of the
18 subsidiary in accordance with the [Honda Japan’s] rules of procedure, and
19 each subsidiary has developed its own approval rules that include the
20 requirements of the [Honda Japan].

21 394. Honda Japan acknowledges that it develops human resource mandates
22 for all its subsidiaries, including Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA. For
23 example, Honda Japan admits in its 2017 Sustainability Report: “the Human
24 Resources and Associate Relations Division at the corporate headquarters in Tokyo
25 draws up global human resources strategies from the mid- to long-term perspective
26 in coordination with operations in *each* region.” (emphasis added).

27 395. In part because of the importance of United States markets to its
28 business, Honda Japan decided in 2015 to change its official language for
international communications to English by 2020. Under this policy, documents
used in Honda Japan meetings that involve regional operation bases and any
communication for information sharing across regions will also be in English.

1 Honda Japan will require English proficiency for associates to be promoted to
2 managerial positions in the future.

3 396. To ensure its control and involvement over its U.S. subsidiaries,
4 Honda Japan established a “Leadership Resources” document in 2015 and
5 distributed this document on its in-house intranet worldwide, including to Honda
6 USA and Honda Engineering USA. By distributing these resources, Honda Japan
7 provides specific guidelines regarding decision making and management judgment
8 to the employees of Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA.

9 397. Since at least as early as 2003, Honda Japan has had a code of conduct
10 called the “Honda Conduct Guidelines.” Honda Japan distributes these guidelines
11 to its subsidiaries, including Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA, and claims
12 to take steps to ensure that they comply with the guidelines. Once per year, each of
13 Honda Japan’s U.S. subsidiaries claims to check the status of activities to ensure
14 awareness of the guidelines, and reports to Honda Japan’s Compliance Committee,
15 Executive Council and the Board of Directors.

16 398. Honda Japan also adopted the “Honda Corporate Governance Basic
17 Policies,” which further illustrates that Honda Japan has substantial control of its
18 subsidiaries operations in the United States. For example, the policies provide that
19 Honda Japan “shall provide incumbent outside directors with opportunities
20 including the visits to subsidiaries located in regions in order to deepen their
21 understanding of the Company Group’s business.”

22 399. The Honda Corporate Governance Basic Policies further provides that
23 Honda Japan, “[i]n order to strengthen business operations in each region and field,
24 and to make timely and appropriate business decisions, . . . shall place Executive
25 Officers and other executives who have been delegated the business execution
26 authority from the Representative Executive Officers to being responsible for
27 business operations in their respective area of responsibility, in each area
28

1 headquarters, business headquarters and functional headquarters, and other main
2 organizations.”

3 400. Honda Japan’s Audit Division also “provides supervision and
4 guidance to internal audit departments of the major subsidiaries and when
5 necessary, audits subsidiaries directly to enhance the internal audit system of the
6 Honda group.”

7 401. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan has the power to control
8 recall decisions for vehicles in the United States, and was responsible for the
9 decision not to recall any Honda vehicles with the DS84 ACUs or warn consumers
10 in the United States about the ACUs.

11 **c. Because of Honda Japan’s control over its subsidiaries**
12 **Honda USA and Honda Engineering USA, the forum-related**
13 **activities of those subsidiaries support the exercise of**
14 **jurisdiction over Honda Japan.**

15 402. Honda USA is a California corporation, subject to general jurisdiction
16 in this state. Indeed, as the Court already ruled in the Order on Defendants’ motions
17 to dismiss (ECF 396 at 33), the Court can exercise personal jurisdiction over Honda
18 USA.

19 403. As discussed above, Honda Engineering USA is likewise subject to the
20 Court’s jurisdiction because consumers in California and the transferor jurisdictions
21 bought Honda vehicles equipped with DS84 ACUs that were made by Honda
22 Engineering USA.

23 404. Under the direction and supervision of Honda Japan, Honda USA sold,
24 leased, and marketed the Honda Class Vehicles equipped with DS84 ACUs made
25 by Honda Engineering USA. These transactions give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’
26 claims.

27 405. Honda USA participated in the creation of Monroney labels that
28 misleadingly stated that the Honda Class Vehicles were equipped with Occupant

1 Restraint Systems without disclosing the related defect in the DS84 ACU. These
2 Monroney labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

3 406. Honda USA caused the Honda Class Vehicles to ship to automobile
4 dealers with misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints, certification
5 labels, readiness indicators, and owner's manuals. These shipments give rise, or
6 relates, to Plaintiffs' claims.

7 407. Honda USA participated in the creation of misleading advertising for
8 the Honda Class Vehicles that stressed the safety of those vehicles and omitted
9 material facts regarding the defective DS84 ACU in the Honda Class Vehicles. This
10 advertising gives rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs' claims.

11 408. Honda Engineering USA manufactured many of the Honda Class
12 Vehicles pursuant to Honda Japan's mandatory designs.

13 409. Honda Engineering USA manufactured the Honda Class Vehicles to
14 have clearly visible airbag readiness indicators, as required under 49 C.F.R.
15 § 571.208 (S4.5.2), to communicate with vehicle occupants about the safety and
16 operating status of the airbag system. These readiness indicators give rise, or relate
17 to, Plaintiffs' claims because the readiness indicators in the Honda Class Vehicles
18 misleadingly communicated to consumers that the vehicles' passive safety system
19 was "ready" to deploy during crashes. Honda Engineering USA also manufactured
20 the Honda Class Vehicles to have certification labels, readiness indicators, and
21 airbag labels and imprints to be placed within the Honda Class Vehicles. These in-
22 vehicle representations give rise, or relate to, Plaintiffs' claims.

23 **6. Mitsubishi Motors Corporation**

24 **a. Mitsubishi Japan's forum-related activities support the**
25 **exercise of jurisdiction over Mitsubishi Japan.**

26 410. Although Mitsubishi Japan is based in Japan, the Court has specific
27 jurisdiction over it based on its pervasive contacts with the United States.
28 Mitsubishi Japan's contacts with the United States are all in furtherance of sales and

1 leases of Mitsubishi vehicles in the United States. These sales and leases give rise
2 to Plaintiffs' claims.

3 411. During the relevant period, Mitsubishi Japan purposefully availed
4 itself of the United States' legal protections, including registering and maintaining
5 registrations with the United States government for trademarks associated with its
6 vehicles and parts, which it used to identify and distinguish its vehicles and parts in
7 the United States, this District, and transferor jurisdictions.

8 412. Mitsubishi Japan purposefully availed itself of markets in the United
9 States by designing, engineering, manufacturing, marketing, and/or selling vehicles
10 under the Mitsubishi brand with the knowledge and intent to market, sell, and lease
11 them throughout the United States. Sales of Mitsubishi vehicles in the United States
12 steadily grew every year from 2012-2019. In 2019 alone, Mitsubishi Japan, together
13 with Mitsubishi USA, sold 121,046 vehicles in the United States.

14 413. Additionally, Mitsubishi Japan worked with its other American
15 subsidiary, Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America, Inc., to develop "global
16 technologies and products adapted to the market characteristics of each region."

17 414. To enable access to the U.S. market, Mitsubishi Japan regularly
18 submits applications to the EPA to obtain certification necessary for the sale of its
19 vehicles in the United States.

20 415. Aside from EPA regulations, Mitsubishi Japan purposefully targeted
21 California, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey,
22 Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington, by designing,
23 manufacturing, and equipping a portion of its Mitsubishi-branded vehicles with
24 California Certified Emission Control Systems necessary to meet the anti-smog
25 standards adopted by those states.

26 416. To enable access to these state markets, Mitsubishi Japan regularly
27 submits applications to the California Air Resources Board ("CARB") to obtain
28 certification necessary for the sale of its vehicles in California, Connecticut,

1 Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Oregon, Pennsylvania,
2 Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington.

3 417. During the relevant period, Mitsubishi Japan designed and
4 manufactured approximately 100,000 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles for sale or lease in
5 the United States.

6 418. In addition to obtaining emissions certifications, Mitsubishi Japan
7 certified that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles it designed and manufactured meet
8 federal safety standards for sale in the United States.

9 419. Although Mitsubishi made these Mitsubishi Class Vehicles in Japan, it
10 specifically segregated them from other Mitsubishi vehicles that were intended for
11 sale in other countries, placed certification labels on them that assured compliance
12 with U.S. federal safety requirements on the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and ensured
13 those Mitsubishi Class Vehicles shipped to the United States, with full knowledge
14 that Mitsubishi USA would then distribute them across the United States. These
15 certification labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims because they
16 misleadingly suggested the Class Vehicles were safe and had properly-functioning
17 airbags and seatbelts.

18 420. Accordingly, Mitsubishi Japan did not merely place the Mitsubishi
19 Class Vehicles it made into a stream of commerce that brought them to the United
20 States. Instead, it made them for shipment to the United States, certified they
21 complied with U.S. safety and other requirements, and ensured they shipped
22 directly to a wholly owned subsidiary responsible for distribution in the United
23 States.

24 421. Mitsubishi Japan affixed federal safety certification labels to the
25 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles knowing that they would be sold in the United States.
26 The certification labels represented that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles conformed to
27 United States federal safety standards, thereby enabling the vehicles to be sold in all
28

1 50 states. These misleading certification labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’
2 claims.

3 422. Mitsubishi Japan designed the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to have
4 clearly visible airbag readiness indicators, as required under U.S. federal
5 regulations (49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2)), to communicate with vehicle occupants
6 about the safety and operating status of the airbag system. These readiness
7 indicators give rise, or relate to, Plaintiffs’ claims because the readiness indicators
8 in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles misleadingly communicated to consumers that the
9 vehicles’ passive safety system was “ready” to deploy during crashes.

10 423. While Mitsubishi Japan is a Japanese company, it designed the
11 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to target U.S. consumers, and included prominent
12 English language labels within the car cabin to alert the driver and passengers to the
13 vehicle’s airbag system. These misleading labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs’
14 claims.

15 424. Mitsubishi Japan also developed and distributed owner’s manuals that
16 were specifically intended to—and did in fact—reach United States consumers in
17 conjunction with their purchases of Mitsubishi-branded vehicles, including the
18 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. None of these owner’s manuals disclosed that the
19 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were equipped with the defective DS84 ACUs.

20 **b. Mitsubishi Japan exerts control over its domestic subsidiary,**
21 **Mitsubishi USA.**

22 425. Mitsubishi Japan established a wholly owned subsidiary, Mitsubishi
23 USA, in the United States to engage in business activities on behalf of Mitsubishi
24 Japan. Mitsubishi Japan exercises control over Mitsubishi USA through several
25 formal and informal mechanisms.

26 426. Mitsubishi USA renders essential services on behalf of Mitsubishi
27 Japan—such as the lease and sale of vehicles in the United States—which are
28

1 important enough to Mitsubishi Japan that Mitsubishi Japan would perform those
2 services itself if Mitsubishi USA did not exist.

3 427. Mitsubishi Japan, together with its American subsidiaries Mitsubishi
4 USA and Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America, Inc., operates and holds itself out to
5 the public as a single entity known as “Mitsubishi Motors” that caters to American
6 consumers and purposefully avails itself of the United States market for Mitsubishi-
7 branded vehicles.

8 428. Mitsubishi Japan exerts control over the activities of Mitsubishi USA
9 that far exceed the normal oversight exercised in a parent-subsiary relationship.
10 For instance, in July 2015, Mitsubishi Japan issued a press release announcing that
11 it had decided to close Mitsubishi USA’s vehicle manufacturing plant in Normal,
12 Illinois and consolidate production at its Okazaki plant in Japan. Thereafter,
13 Mitsubishi Japan began manufacturing and exporting all Mitsubishi-branded
14 vehicles to the United States from its production facilities in Japan, Thailand,
15 China, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Russia.

16 429. In 2019, Mitsubishi USA appeared to be in the midst of independently
17 selecting a city to relocate its own headquarters. However, subsequent reporting on
18 the topic revealed that Tennessee Governor Bill Lee and Tennessee’s Economic
19 Development Chief, Bob Rolfe, traveled to Japan on June 20, 2019 to pitch to
20 *Mitsubishi Japan* that Mitsubishi USA should relocate to Franklin, Tennessee. This
21 pitch was directed at Mitsubishi Japan’s global executives, including Susumu
22 Noguchi, Mitsubishi Japan’s Division General Manager, North America and
23 Oceania at the time. A couple of days later, Mitsubishi Japan’s Board of
24 Directors—and not Mitsubishi USA’s Board of Directors—convened to decide the
25 issue. This serves as further evidence that Mitsubishi Japan substantially controls
26 the activities of its wholly owned subsidiary, Mitsubishi USA.

27 430. In its Annual Reports, Mitsubishi Japan describes the strict control it
28 exercises over its subsidiaries. For example, its 2020 Annual Report (which

1 includes the same or similar language to other Annual Reports during the relevant
2 period) stated:

3 MMC [Mitsubishi Japan] stipulates the supervisory
4 organization of each of its subsidiaries, and the responsibilities
5 and authority, management method and other matters related to
6 management of its subsidiaries through its internal regulations
7 and other rules. In compliance with the internal regulations and
8 other rules, each of the subsidiaries gives prior or subsequent
9 explanations or reports that should be made to MMC
10 concerning its business, results, financial condition and other
11 important information to the supervisory organization and
12 other internal dedicated organizations in accordance with its
13 size, business conditions, and other factors. MMC also
14 provides guidance and management in accordance with
15 regulations and rules through the supervisory organizations.
16 Moreover, the Internal Audit Dept. conducts systematic
17 operation audits of each subsidiary, auditing the status of
18 appropriate business execution and compliance with MMC's
19 code of conduct, and providing countermeasures as needed.

20 431. In that same document, Mitsubishi Japan states that it shall “establish
21 and strengthen its subsidiaries’ risk management systems” and “strengthen, develop
22 and streamline its subsidiaries’ business operations.”

23 432. Mitsubishi Japan also monitors its markets overseas and exerts
24 oversight to improve its domestic subsidiaries. For example, in its 2016 Corporate
25 Social Responsibility Report, Mitsubishi Japan states: “MMC [Mitsubishi Japan]
26 cooperates closely with not only domestic dealers, but also distributors around the
27 world in order to satisfy overseas customers. We provide our distributors with
28 sufficient product information, and then collect local market information. Requests
are then made for an improvement.”

433. As an additional control measure, Mitsubishi Japan’s Audit &
Supervisory Board conducts on-site surveys of each of its subsidiaries and, based
on the results of its surveys, “hold discussions at Audit & Supervisory Board

1 meetings, regularly report to the Board of Directors, and exchange opinions with
2 the CEO and COO.”

3 434. Mitsubishi Japan conducts additional audits of Mitsubishi USA
4 through its Internal Audit Department and Quality Audit Department, the results of
5 which are reported directly to the CEO.

6 435. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA share employees. Indeed, in its
7 March 31, 2020 Financial Statement, Mitsubishi Japan disclosed that it maintains
8 concurrent corporate officers with both Mitsubishi USA and Mitsubishi Motors
9 R&D of America, Inc. For example, Mitsubishi Japan’s General Manager for North
10 America A Department is a Director of Mitsubishi USA. Further, in March 2020,
11 Mitsubishi Japan named Yoichi Yokozawa, who previously held senior-level
12 positions throughout Mitsubishi Japan, as Mitsubishi USA’s President and Chief
13 Executive Officer. Mr. Yokozawa served as Mitsubishi USA’s President and CEO
14 from 2011-2014 after serving as a Corporate General Manager with Mitsubishi
15 Japan since 2006. Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi Japan engaged in this
16 practice of sharing employees throughout the relevant period.

17 436. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA share a common logo, which
18 Mitsubishi Japan permits Mitsubishi USA to use along with the “Mitsubishi
19 Motors” name under a contract agreement between the entities. Mitsubishi Japan
20 and Mitsubishi USA’s common logo includes Mitsubishi Japan’s Global Tagline,
21 “Drive Your Ambition.”

22 437. Mitsubishi Japan’s website promotes Mitsubishi USA as part of its
23 “Global Network,” and one of its “major affiliates.” Mitsubishi Japan’s website
24 actively promotes its Mitsubishi-branded line of vehicles, which it represents are
25 “produced by Mitsubishi Motors,” and Mitsubishi Japan describes Mitsubishi USA
26 as one of its distributors of Mitsubishi Japan’s products.

27 438. Mitsubishi USA’s website states that Mitsubishi USA is a part of the
28 “Renault-Nissan-Mitsubishi Alliance,” which is a strategic alliance between

1 Mitsubishi Japan and automobile manufacturers Renault and Nissan. In the
2 “History” section of its website, Mitsubishi USA presents its history and the history
3 of Mitsubishi Japan together as a unified history that it refers to as “Mitsubishi
4 Company History.” Additionally, Mitsubishi USA’s website includes Mitsubishi
5 Japan press releases.

6 439. Mitsubishi Japan instituted a Global Code of Conduct and a Global
7 Anti-Bribery Policy, in which it requires all “Mitsubishi Motors Group” (a term
8 used to describe the Mitsubishi corporate entities globally) executives, employees,
9 subsidiaries, and affiliates to follow. Mitsubishi Japan also distributes pamphlets
10 and other materials reflecting its global policies to its subsidiaries and implements
11 training on legal risks for its subsidiaries’ executives and employees prior to their
12 appointment.

13 **c. Because of Mitsubishi Japan’s control over its subsidiary**
14 **Mitsubishi USA, the forum-related activities of Mitsubishi**
15 **USA support the exercise of jurisdiction over Mitsubishi**
16 **Japan.**

17 440. Mitsubishi USA is a California corporation, subject to general
18 jurisdiction in this state. Indeed, as the Court already ruled in its Order on
19 Defendants’ motions to dismiss (ECF 396 at 35), the Court can exercise personal
20 jurisdiction over Mitsubishi USA.

21 441. Mitsubishi USA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mitsubishi Japan that
22 marketed, sold, and provided customer service for Mitsubishi-branded vehicles in
23 the United States, including the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

24 442. Mitsubishi USA has maintained its headquarters in Franklin,
25 Tennessee since April 2020. Prior to that, its headquarters were located in Cypress,
26 California. Mitsubishi USA also maintains three regional offices in Irving, Texas,
27 Swedesboro, New Jersey, and Lake Mary, Florida, as well as three warehouses in
28 Riverside, California, Swedesboro, New Jersey, and Lithia Springs, Georgia.

1 443. Mitsubishi USA participated in the creation of Monroney labels that
2 misleadingly stated that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were equipped with
3 Occupant Restraint Systems but did not disclose the related defects in the DS84
4 ACU and ASIC. These Monroney labels give rise, or relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

5 444. Mitsubishi USA caused the Class Vehicles to ship to automobile
6 dealers with misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints, certification
7 labels, readiness indicators, and owner's manuals. These shipments give rise, or
8 relate, to Plaintiffs' claims.

9 445. Until its closure in 2015, Mitsubishi USA manufactured select
10 Mitsubishi-branded vehicles at a plant in Normal, Illinois for North America,
11 Russia, Middle East, and Latin America markets.

12 446. Mitsubishi USA operates through a network of over 350 authorized
13 dealerships that sell, lease, and service Mitsubishi-branded vehicles in the United
14 States, including in this District and the transferor jurisdictions. Mitsubishi USA-
15 authorized dealerships facilitated the sale, lease, and service of Mitsubishi Class
16 Vehicles throughout all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

17 447. Mitsubishi USA provided warranties directly to consumers in
18 connection with their purchases of Mitsubishi-branded vehicles, including the
19 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. These warranties did not disclose that Plaintiffs'
20 vehicles or the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were equipped with the defective DS84
21 ACUs.

22 448. Mitsubishi USA advertised and promoted the alleged safety of the
23 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Mitsubishi-branded vehicles, including Plaintiffs'
24 vehicles and the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, were the subject of nationwide
25 advertising campaigns that were intended to reach and did reach this District and
26 transferor jurisdictions. For example, Mitsubishi USA developed and distributed a
27 brochure for the 2015 Mitsubishi Lancer that stated: "When it comes to safety, our
28 goal is simple: Continue to improve. Using this approach, we've armed Lancer with

1 a host of advanced safety equipment, including active safety equipment to help you
2 avoid trouble and passive safety equipment should a collision prove unavoidable.”
3 None of these advertisements or marketing materials disclosed that the Mitsubishi
4 Class Vehicles were equipped with the defective DS84 ACUs. Mitsubishi USA
5 participated in the creation this and similar misleading advertising for the
6 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that misleadingly stressed the safety of the Class
7 Vehicles. This advertising gives rise, or relates, to Plaintiffs’ claims.

8 449. Mitsubishi USA collects revenue from the sale and lease of the
9 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and the sale of Mitsubishi Genuine Parts and
10 Accessories.

11 450. Mitsubishi USA has engaged in extensive efforts to conceal the
12 defective DS84 ACU from American consumers and NHTSA, including concealing
13 incidents of observed EOS in certain Mitsubishi Class Vehicles involved in
14 suspicious accidents.

15 451. Mitsubishi USA concealed and continues to conceal that the
16 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contain defective DS84 ACUs that provide insufficient
17 circuit protection, rendering the ACUs in the vehicles susceptible to EOS.

18 **D. Venue**

19 452. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a
20 substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in
21 this District, and because Defendants have caused harm to Plaintiffs and Class
22 members residing in this District. Furthermore, this Complaint is related to the *In*
23 *Re: ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litigation* MDL No. 2905
24 proceedings, which the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation has consolidated
25 before Judge John A. Kronstadt presiding in this District (ECF 1).
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IV. GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. The Class Vehicles, DS84 ACUs, and DS84 ASICs are defective.

453. The Class Vehicles suffer from a common, uniform defect (referred to throughout this Complaint as the “ACU Defect”) that makes them vulnerable to EOS. The DS84 ASIC within the DS84 ACUs is the root cause of this Defect. The ASIC’s and ACU’s vulnerability to EOS can prevent deployment of the airbags and seatbelts when they are needed during a crash. EOS can also cause other malfunctions of the ACU, including inadvertent airbag deployments, partial or incomplete airbag and seatbelt deployments, the failure to generate or record data about a crash, the failure to unlock doors automatically after a crash, and the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage battery after a crash.

1. A properly functioning ACU is supposed to detect crashes and activate important safety features, including airbags and seatbelts.

454. The system of safety features in motor vehicles is known as the Occupant Restraint System (a.k.a., the passive safety system or the safety restraint system). Its purpose is to protect drivers and passengers during collisions.

455. For decades, Occupant Restraint Systems have included systems that automatically tighten seatbelts during a crash to secure the occupants.

456. Also for decades, Occupant Restraint Systems have included devices that rapidly inflate a padded cushion (the “airbag”) from the steering wheel and other areas of the vehicle during certain types of crashes. Airbags protect occupants by buffering or preventing impact between occupants and hard surfaces within the vehicle.

457. Seatbelt and airbag systems are “passive” Occupant Restraint Systems because they operate automatically without being triggered by the occupants.

458. The ACU is a critical part of every passive Occupant Restraint System. It is a type of electronic control unit—a small electronic device consisting of

1 semiconductors and a circuit board that controls a specific electrical function of a
2 vehicle. The core function of the ACU is to control the operation of the Occupant
3 Restraint System, including to interpret signals from crash sensors and activate the
4 safety restraints (e.g., deploying airbags and tightening seatbelts when it detects a
5 crash). Because it controls the occupant restraints, like seatbelts, the ACU is
6 sometimes referred to as an “Occupant Restraint Controller” (ORC) or an
7 “Automotive Restraint Controller” (ARC).

8 459. Typically, the ACU is physically located in the vehicle’s passenger
9 compartment, where the front-seat passenger sits.

10 460. At a minimum, an Occupant Restraint Controller must deploy front
11 airbags in crashes of “up to 26 km/h (16 mph)” into a barrier. *See* 49 C.F.R.
12 § 571.208 at S4.11(d), S22.4.4, S24.4.4, S26.4. Airbags should also deploy in
13 crashes exceeding that threshold because those crashes are more dangerous. An
14 ACU is responsible for ensuring the airbags and seatbelts activate consistent with
15 these minimum requirements.

16 461. According to NHTSA’s website, frontal air bags are generally
17 designed to deploy in moderate to severe frontal or near-frontal crashes, which are
18 defined as crashes that are equivalent to hitting a solid, fixed barrier at 8 to 14 mph
19 or higher. This would be equivalent to striking a parked car of similar size at about
20 16 to 28 mph or higher. An ACU is responsible for ensuring the airbags and
21 seatbelts activate in crashes that meet these thresholds.

22 462. According to federal regulations, an ACU also must keep a record of a
23 crash, including any non-deployment “event” as long as the “trigger threshold”
24 (longitudinal change velocity of 5 miles per hour within 150 millisecond interval)
25 was met. 49 C.F.R. 563. When functioning properly, an ACU stores a crash record
26 on the so-called Event Data Recorder (or “EDR”), which is the automotive
27 equivalent of a “black box” in airplanes. For DS84 ACUs, the EDR is located in an
28

1 Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory chip (sometimes
2 abbreviated “EEPROM”).

3 463. Normally, a complete EDR crash record will show whether the ACU
4 commanded the safety system to activate during a crash, as well as the information
5 sent to the ACU about the crash (such as the speed of the vehicle, timing of the
6 application of the brakes, etc.). This data enables investigators to determine if a
7 crash was severe enough to trigger the airbags. When a crash is not severe enough
8 to trigger the airbags, the nondeployment of the airbags is “commanded” by the
9 ACU’s normal operations, and the crash data will show records of the ACU
10 “commanding” nondeployment during the crash. Accordingly, a “commanded
11 nondeployment” is automotive industry jargon for a crash where the airbags did not
12 deploy because they were not supposed to deploy given the crash severity, and the
13 ACU properly told them not to deploy.

14 464. In addition to airbags, seatbelts, and Event Data Recorders, ACUs
15 activate other important safety features when a crash is detected. For example, in
16 many vehicles, the ACU sends signals to the automatic door locks and fuel system
17 after detecting a crash.

18 a. By unlocking automatic doors when a crash is detected, ACUs
19 facilitate a quick escape from a vehicle by passengers who
20 would otherwise have to first disengage the locks themselves.
21 Moreover, when a crash renders passengers unconscious,
22 automatically unlocking the doors allows rescuers to reach the
23 passengers more easily.

24 b. By turning off the fuel system automatically when a crash is
25 detected, ACUs help reduce the risk of a fire. In gasoline-
26 powered vehicles, ACUs accomplish this by automatically
27 turning off the fuel supply line when a crash is detected. In
28

1 hybrid vehicles, ACUs accomplish this by automatically turning
2 off a high-voltage battery.

3 **2. A properly-designed ACU can withstand transient electricity.**

4 465. Large positive and negative transients are among the most severe
5 disturbances that threaten the operation of automotive electronics. Transients are
6 short duration, high magnitude voltage peaks, commonly referred to as surges or
7 bursts. Transients are also referred to as “transient electricity,” “electrical
8 transients,” “transient voltage,” and “transient overvoltage.”

9 466. For decades, participants in the automotive industry—including all the
10 Defendants in this litigation—have known that transients can be generated inside
11 and outside a motor vehicle and cause degradation, malfunction, or destruction of
12 critical electronic equipment. Transients can cause this damage in many ways. One
13 common way is by initiating an electrical phenomenon called “latch-up effect,”
14 which can cause parts within a microchip to draw overcurrent power and lead to
15 burnout. The term “overcurrent” refers to an electrical current that exceeds the
16 normal electrical load in a circuit. As Toyota Engineering USA noted in a recall
17 filing with NHTSA in 2013 concerning another type of ACU made by ZF
18 Automotive USA, “latch-up . . . is well known in the electronic component industry
19 as one potential cause of thermal damage in an integrated circuit” and “could cause
20 ASIC damage.”

21 467. Severe events like vehicular crashes and collisions can cause
22 transients. But even with no collision or crash, transients can occur within a vehicle,
23 reach onboard electronics, and damage electronic control units. Defendants have
24 known about these risks for decades.

25 468. Transients can cause degradation, malfunction, and/or destruction of
26 all electronic control units. An ACU is no exception. Transients can reach an ACU
27 in a variety of ways, including by travelling up the connection between the ACU
28

1 and the crash sensors on the vehicle, known as communication (or satellite) lines,
2 because they are the lines through which the crash sensors “communicate”
3 information to the ACU. These crash sensors are connected to the ACU because
4 ACUs are designed to detect crashes by reading electrical signals from the sensors
5 to determine when a crash has occurred. The crash sensors detect activity in the
6 front of the vehicle and send corresponding electrical signals to the ACU. The ACU
7 receives and interprets these signals and activates the airbags and seatbelts when
8 certain thresholds are met.

9 469. Transients can also sometimes reach ASICs on the ACU that are not
10 connected directly to the front-end crash sensors. For example, transients can reach
11 ASICs that operate airbag “squibs,” which is the term for the igniter that physically
12 causes airbags to inflate. Depending on the ACU design, these ASICs sometimes
13 have no connection to the crash sensors.

14 470. When transients reach squib ASICs with no connection to the front-
15 end crash sensors, the transient typically originates from some source other than
16 those sensors. Vulnerability to these types of transients is a well-known problem,
17 and has prompted recalls of vehicles previously. For example, as explained more
18 fully below, other ACUs, including TRW ACUs recalled between 2012 and 2015,
19 were recalled due to EOS caused by transients that reach squib ASICs.

20 471. Regardless of its source, transient electricity is dangerous because it
21 can damage important circuits, including the circuits the ACU uses to trigger the
22 airbags and seatbelts during a crash. Because the core function of any ACU is to
23 activate safety restraints in a crash, properly designed ACUs and ASICs can
24 withstand transient electricity, including any transients that could result from a car
25 accident.

26
27
28

1 **3. The DS84 ACUs are defective because they contain a defective**
2 **DS84 ASIC that makes the DS84 ACUs much less resistant to**
3 **transient electricity than other ACUs.**

4 472. The DS84 ACUs are defective because they contain a custom ASIC
5 called the DS84 ASIC. This ASIC is defective because it is particularly vulnerable
6 to EOS.

7 473. The DS84 ASIC performs two critical functions: (1) receiving and
8 interpreting information from the crash sensors and (2) issuing the command that
9 triggers the airbags and seatbelt pretensioners after a crash is detected. ZF
10 Automotive USA's prior generation of ACUs used two separate ASICs for these
11 functions.

12 474. Because the DS84 ASIC combines into one ASIC the typically
13 separate functions of handling sensor signals and activating safety restraints, the
14 impact of an ASIC malfunction is greater and can lead DS84 ACUs to fail to
15 activate the airbags and tighten seatbelts at the time of a crash.

16 475. Upon information and belief, the DS84 ASIC is also responsible for
17 commanding the Event Data Recorder on the DS84 ACU to record crash data.

18 476. Upon information and the belief, the DS84 ASIC is also responsible
19 for issuing commands to disengage automatic locks and shut-off the fuel supply
20 after a crash.

21 477. The defective DS84 ACUs installed in the Class Vehicles all suffer
22 from the same basic vulnerability to transient electricity and EOS because they all
23 have the same DS84 ASIC, which stops working when exposed to a relatively small
24 electrical surge. The same vulnerability defect exists in all Class Vehicles,
25 regardless of their level of circuit protection.

26 478. ACUs made by other manufacturers do not have the same
27 vulnerabilities to transient electricity as the defective DS84 ACUs. For example,
28 Honda Japan found that competing ACUs manufactured by Continental

1 Automotive and DENSO Corporation can withstand much stronger electrical surges
2 than the defective DS84 ACUs.

3 479. TRW’s predecessor ACU to the DS84 ACU, which used two different
4 ASICs to perform the jobs performed by one DS84 ASIC, can also withstand much
5 stronger electrical surges than the defective DS84 ACUs. This predecessor ACU
6 used Freescale ASICs. Testing for Toyota Japan in 2019 found this ASIC could
7 withstand approximately ten times as much voltage as the DS84 ASIC could.

8 480. Moreover, other contemporaneous ACUs that do not use the DS84
9 ASIC are also more resistant to electrical surges than the defective DS84 ACUs that
10 do. Testing by ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
11 USA in the fall of 2015 showed that the MS84 ASIC reset when exposed to a
12 transient of -8 volts for 500 microseconds, whereas the DS84 ASIC reset and
13 suffered EOS at a much lower level of between -1.5 volts to -2.8 volts over the
14 much shorter time period of 50 – 70 microseconds.⁹ In other words, the DS84 ASIC
15 failed between 7 to 10 times more quickly than the MS84 ASIC, and was 2.8 to 5.3
16 times less robust against transients.

17 481. In 2016, FCA found that the DS84 “ASIC design is less robust against
18 certain electrical overstress (i.e., surges).” For the DS84 ASIC, EOS started at -1.2
19 volts for 50 microseconds. By contrast, FCA found the prior design used by ZF
20 Automotive USA (which used Freescale ASICs) did not experience an anomaly
21 until exposed to -19.0 volts for 500 microseconds. Accordingly, the DS84 ASIC
22 failed 10 times faster than this predecessor ASIC, and was approximately 1/15 as
23 robust against transients as the predecessor ASIC.

24
25 _____
26 ⁹ These other, stronger ZF ACUs use a different ASIC called the MS84. For crash
27 sensor communication, the MS84 uses so-called “PSI-5” technology whereas the
28 DS84 uses “DSI” technology. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
Passive Safety USA have suggested that this difference may explain the relative
weakness of ACUs with the DS84 ASIC.

1 482. In 2016, FCA also found that ACUs made by other suppliers,
2 including Continental and Bosch, did not suffer resets when exposed to transients
3 of -28 volts and -20 volts, respectively, for 50-70 microseconds. In other words,
4 these other ASICs were between 16 and 24 times more resistant to transients than
5 the DS84 ASIC. For this reason, FCA concluded the “Subject ORC [(i.e.,
6 ACU)]/ASIC is more susceptible to Electrical Overstress.”

7 483. Similarly, testing for Toyota Japan showed that a negative surge of
8 less than 2 volts damaged the DS84 ASIC in the Toyota ACUs with .12 ampere
9 diodes and caused them to reset, whereas prior generations of Toyota ACUs with
10 ASICs made by NXP semiconductor could withstand surges of more than 25 volts
11 (i.e., more than 12 times the volts for the DS84 ACUs). That analysis also found
12 ACUs made by Denso with ASICs made by NXP semiconductor could withstand
13 surges between 12 and 19 volts (i.e., between 6 and 10 times more volts than the
14 DS84 ACUs) without a reset.

15 484. In 2019, testing was also performed on new ZF ACUs for Toyota
16 vehicles that no longer used the DS84 ASIC. These ACUs instead used an ASIC
17 made by Infineon. This ASIC could withstand nearly ten times the amount of
18 voltage that the DS84 ASIC could withstand before resetting.

19 **4. The defective DS84 ASIC is the root cause of the defect in the**
20 **DS84 ACUs and Class Vehicles.**

21 485. The Class Vehicles and DS84 ACUs are defective because they use the
22 defective DS84 ASICs as the “brains” of the passive safety system. When the ASIC
23 fails due to its vulnerability to transient electricity, the DS84 ACUs and the Class
24 Vehicles malfunction in very dangerous ways.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. **Due to its vulnerability to transients and EOS, the defective DS84 ASIC can stop working during a car crash, which can cause the defective DS84 ACUs and Class Vehicles not to activate the airbags and seatbelts.**

486. As explained above, car crashes themselves can generate electrical transients in a variety of ways. When this happens, the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC can fail at the very moment they are needed most: during a car crash.

487. As the Defendants knew, at least two scenarios can generate negative transients that reach the DS84 ASIC during car crashes.

- a. First, a crash can cause three phenomena: (1) the vehicle’s electrical ground can “shift,” which affects the resistance between the ACU circuit board and the vehicle ground (i.e., the vehicle’s body, typically the chassis), (2) the current flow of the battery can be disrupted, which leads to an in-rush of additional current upon recovery, and (3) electrical signals on the crash sensor lines can short, meaning they travel along an unintended path, perhaps due to damage to wiring. When combined, these conditions can cause the ASIC’s so-called parasitic transistors¹⁰ to turn on, which draws a large current into the ASIC.
- b. Second, a crash can cause the crash sensors to short at the same time another powerline unrelated to the ACU shorts to the chassis (i.e. the vehicle frame), which again causes the parasitic transistor within the ASIC to draw a large current into the ASIC.

488. Regardless of how a crash generates the negative transient, however, the DS84 ASIC can fail from electronic overstress whenever exposed to a transient

¹⁰ In electrical engineering jargon, a structure on a circuit board is considered “parasitic” when it has the potential to behave in ways contrary to its intended function after exposure to excessive positive or negative current or some other triggering electrical event.

1 of 1 or 2 volts. Defendants have observed evidence of EOS damage (such as visible
2 burn marks) on DS84 ASICs in over 35 crashes or crash tests, including at least
3 nine *fatal* incidents. Upon information and belief, these crashes ranged from
4 moderate to severe. And none of the suspicious crashes appear to have involved
5 vehicles travelling at speeds far above highway speed limits in the United States.
6 These crashes and crash tests are discussed in Section V.D. below.

7 489. EOS during a crash can cause any combination of the following
8 failures of a DS84 ACU and Class Vehicle safety system:

- 9 a. the front (also called “first stage”) airbags can fail to deploy (or
10 deploy too late) in crashes that merit airbag deployment;
- 11 b. the side curtain (also called “second stage”) airbags can fail to
12 deploy in crashes that merit airbag deployment;
- 13 c. the seatbelts can fail to tighten to restrain the passenger;
- 14 d. the ACU can fail to unlock the automatic door locks after the
15 crash, thereby increasing the impediments to passenger escape
16 or rescue;
- 17 e. the ACU can fail to turn off the fuel supply or high-voltage
18 battery, thereby increasing the risk of a fire; and
- 19 f. the ACU can fail to save a complete record of the crash on the
20 Event Data Recorder.

21 490. The first three problems render all DS84 ASICs, DS84 ACUs, and
22 Class Vehicles defective because properly-designed vehicles, ACUs, and ACU
23 ASICs are able to engage all passive safety restraints (i.e., seatbelts, front airbags,
24 and side airbags) during any crash that merits deployment—including crashes that
25 generate transients. Passive safety systems, ACUs, and ACU ASICs that fail to
26 reliably deploy safety restraints do not serve their most basic function: protecting
27 the lives and physical well-being of drivers and passengers during a crash.
28

1 491. The fourth and fifth problems are also serious safety defects, because
2 they increase the likelihood that victims of car accidents suffer further harm after
3 the crash. Upon information and belief, a properly functioning ACU sends
4 commands to unlock automatic door locks and switch off the fuel supply,
5 regardless of whether the car accident happened to generate electrical transients.

6 492. The failure to save a complete crash record is another defect aside
7 from the failure to activate passive safety restraints, because all passive safety
8 systems are required to save a crash record. *See* 49 CFR § 563. Accordingly, the
9 Class Vehicles, ACUs, and DS84 ASIC are defective because they do not reliably
10 perform this minimum function. This defect is important because complete and
11 accurate crash data is critical to post-hoc investigations of a vehicle's response to a
12 crash. ASIC EOS makes it difficult or impossible for crash investigators to reliably
13 determine whether airbags should not have deployed.

14 493. All the Class Vehicles, DS84 ACUs, and DS84 ASICs were defective
15 at the point of sale and lease because they are particularly vulnerable to failure due
16 to EOS in certain types of crashes. The ACU Defect is inherent in all Class
17 Vehicles regardless of whether a crash occurs.

18 **b. The defective DS84 ASIC can also fail from EOS outside a**
19 **crash event, which can cause the Class Vehicle and DS84**
20 **ACU to require service or, at worst, airbags to deploy when**
21 **the vehicle is not crashing.**

22 494. Transient electricity can also occur underneath the hood of a Class
23 Vehicle outside of a collision. For example, according to slide deck presentation
24 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA shared
25 with FCA in June 2013, a transient surge can flow through the DS84 ASIC when a
26 line connecting an airbag squib ASIC to the DS84 ASIC shorts and the vehicle
27 ignition causes a current spike.
28

1 495. But regardless of how a transient reaches the DS84 ASIC outside of a
2 collision, it can cause the ASIC to malfunction when the transient reaches it. This in
3 turn can cause airbags to inflate during normal driving conditions, when the vehicle
4 has not crashed. These so-called “inadvertent airbag deployments” are a safety risk
5 because it is difficult to maintain control of a vehicle when the airbag goes off
6 while the driver is trying to watch the road and operate the steering wheel,
7 acceleration, and brakes. As of April 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of at
9 least 9 cases of inadvertent airbag deployment in vehicles with the DS84 ASIC.
10 This number increased to at least 10 cases by March 2018.

11 496. Transient electricity outside of a crash can also cause the passive
12 safety system to shut down and the airbag warning lamp to turn on. This type of
13 failure is another safety risk because it is not safe to drive a vehicle in this
14 condition. Moreover, fixing the disabled condition requires taking the vehicle to a
15 dealer. Warranty claims showing vehicles returned to ZF Automotive USA indicate
16 that this type of EOS failure has occurred in dozens of Class Vehicles.

17 497. The risk of these two types of failures outside a car crash are
18 independent reasons why the Class Vehicles, DS84 ACUs, and DS84 ASICs are
19 defective.

20 **5. Several types of evidence show when EOS caused a DS84 ASIC to**
21 **malfunction.**

22 498. Several types of evidence show when a DS84 ASIC has suffered from
23 EOS.

24 499. The most common first sign that ASIC EOS has occurred is a
25 malfunction of the passive safety system. This can include, but is not limited to, any
26 of the following symptoms, which are each an independent sign of EOS, and can
27 happen without the other failures occurring:
28

- 1 a. A complete or partial failure to deploy airbags in a crash that
- 2 merited deployment;¹¹
- 3 b. A complete or partial failure of the seatbelts to tighten;
- 4 c. An inadvertent airbag deployment (i.e. outside of a crash); or
- 5 d. Activation of an airbag warning lamp (also known as a
- 6 “readiness indicator”).

7 500. The limited discovery in this case has identified over forty crashes
8 where airbags did not deploy in vehicles with DS84 ACUs and there was evidence
9 of ASIC EOS. Moreover, hundreds of consumers have reported hundreds of
10 additional instances where airbags failed during crashes in Class Vehicles, which
11 Defendants apparently never inspected.

12 501. Upon information and belief, suspicious inadvertent airbag
13 deployments resulting from EOS have occurred in at least 10 vehicles with DS84
14 ACUs, including 2 Honda vehicles, 1 FCA vehicle, 1 Kia vehicle, 2 vehicles made
15 by Chinese manufacturer SAIC, and 4 vehicles made by Chinese manufacturer
16 Great Wall.


17 502. Another sign of ASIC EOS is a so-called “non-communicative ACU.”
18 This occurs when the ACU fails to communicate with the software typically used to
19 extract crash data from an Event Data Recorder on an ACU. This type of failure
20 indicates that the ACU black box that is supposed to continue working after a crash
21 is no longer working.

22 503. Another sign of ASIC EOS in ACUs is the complete or partial absence
23 of recorded crash data on the vehicle’s Event Data Recorder. An incomplete or
24

25 _____
26 ¹¹ As explained above, airbags have multiple deployment stages, known as first and
27 second stage, which are meant to correspond with accident severity. Suspicious
28 partial deployment occurs when airbags do not completely deploy, or some airbags
deploy and others do not, in an accident that merited complete deployment.

1 absent crash record is evidence that the normal operation of the ASIC (i.e., writing
2 crash data) was interrupted by EOS during the crash.

3 504. Another sign of ASIC EOS is visible burn marks on the ACU circuit
4 board. For example, the below images of a defective DS84 ACU recovered from a
5 wrecked Toyota Corolla in Northern California depict these kinds of burn marks.
6 The DS84 ASIC is the square shaped chip on the left with visible signs of distress.
7 Upon information and belief, the rectangular chip to its right is a power supply
8 circuit also damaged by the electrical current that caused overstress. The Corolla's
9 airbags failed to deploy during a crash in 2018. The crash killed the driver.



17
18 505. Another sign of ASIC EOS can be distress to the interior of the DS84
19 ASIC, which is revealed through a special kind of investigation. Specifically, to
20 take an image of the interior of the microchip, the chip manufacturer often needs to
21 “decapsulate” or “decap” the chip—meaning the very small black packaging
22 material around the microchip is removed to expose the silicon chip inside. Once
23 this is done, special tools can be used to take an image of the details that are not
24 visible to the naked eye. X-rays can also be used to take a visual image of an
25 ASIC's interior. When either analysis identifies damage to the hardware of the
26 chips—such as burns, fatigued metal, soldering (i.e. fused metal), or damaged
27 pins—it supports a finding that the chip suffered from EOS. As to the damaged
28 pins, ASICs, including the DS84, are packaged with an array of small pins placed

1 on the underside of the ASIC. The pins serve as electrical contacts to connect the
2 device to the circuit board. Thus, when transients travel to the ASIC, the pins serve
3 as a point of contact that can suffer physical damage and reveal signs of EOS.

4 506. Another way to test whether an ASIC has suffered from EOS is to
5 replace the chip that appears to be compromised with a new chip of the same type.
6 If the ACU works after replacing the chip, it tends to confirm the ASIC was broken
7 by EOS.

8 507. Another way to test whether ASIC EOS occurred on a malfunctioning
9 DS84 ACU is to measure the resistance at test points on the circuit board. The
10 purpose of this test is to identify if there are shorts in components connected to the
11 test points by looking for high versus low impedance values. Abnormal resistance
12 measurements relative to another non-malfunctioning DS84 ACU can evidence
13 EOS.

14 508. These methods for detecting ASIC EOS are identified based on the
15 limited discovery that has occurred to date, and are likely not an exhaustive list.

16 **6. Toyota Engineering USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA have**
17 **admitted the DS84 ACUs in 5,406,228 Class Vehicles are defective.**

18 509. Toyota Engineering USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA have
19 admitted that the DS84 ACUs in 5,406,228 Class Vehicles are defective by
20 recalling them.

21 510. None of the recall remedies these Defendants have offered for these
22 Class Vehicles address the root cause of the ACU Defect because the Class
23 Vehicles continue to use defective DS84 ACUs with the defective DS84 ASIC even
24 after receiving the recall remedy. The underlying vulnerability to EOS continues to
25 exist even in these “fixed” vehicles.

26 511. Plaintiffs estimate that between 10 and 15 million Class Vehicles with
27 the same defective DS84 ASICs and similarly defective DS84 ACUs remain
28

1 unrecalled. Upon information and belief, no Defendant has taken any steps to
2 address the safety defect in these vehicles.

3 **a. FCA recalled 1,425,627 Class Vehicles because of the**
4 **defective DS84 ACUs and defective DS84 ASICs.**

5 512. On September 13, 2016, FCA submitted a 573 Defect Report to
6 NHTSA announcing its intention to recall 1,425,627 vehicles based on an admitted
7 defect with the DS84 ACUs. A 573 Defect Report is a written report that
8 automobile and parts manufacturers must submit to NHTSA in connection with an
9 automobile recall. When filed, these reports are publicly available on NHTSA’s
10 website.¹²

11 513. FCA’s recall announcement expressly acknowledges that 100% of the
12 population of 1,425,627 vehicles had the ACU Defect.

13 514. FCA’s September 13, 2016 573 Defect Report states:

14 2010–2014 MY Chrysler 200, Chrysler Sebring and Dodge
15 Avenger (‘JS’), 2010–2014 MY Jeep Compass and Jeep Patriot
16 (‘MK’) and 2010–2012 MY Dodge Caliber (‘PM’) vehicles
17 may experience loss of air bag and seat belt pretensioner
deployment capability in certain crash events due to a shorting

18 ¹² In its February 9, 2022 decision on Defendants’ motions to dismiss, the Court
19 reasoned that access to Defendants’ books and records may not be necessary to
20 obtain information about uses of mail and wire because “Plaintiffs had access to a
21 number of ‘Part 573’ reports . . . to NHTSA.” ECF 396 at 73. Respectfully, Part
22 573 Reports do not permit such an inference. Although 573 Defect Reports provide
23 some limited information about automobile defects, they never provide information
24 about shipments of particular vehicles to dealers (such as the dates of shipments),
25 the timing and place of advertising, or other particular details of the distribution
26 process. Moreover, 573 Defect Reports are only filed when a manufacturer admits a
27 defect or voluntarily conducts a recall, or when NHTSA formally finds a defect and
28 orders a recall to take place. Because Defendants continue to deny the ACU Defect,
there are no 573 Defect Reports about the ACU Defect in most of the Class
Vehicles (i.e., the ones that have not been recalled). Moreover, the Honda and
Mitsubishi Defendants have never submitted a 573 Defect Report about the ACU
Defect in any of their Class Vehicles.

1 condition resulting in a negative voltage transient that travels
2 to the Occupant Restraint Controller (“ORC”)¹³ via the front
3 impact sensor wires damaging an Application Specific
4 Integrated Circuit (“ASIC”) in the ORC. The root cause of the
5 failure was determined to be a combination of the relative
6 susceptibility of the subject ORC ASIC to negative transients
7 and the front acceleration sensor signal cross-car wire routing
8 in certain crash events. . . . The potential loss of air bag and
9 seat belt pretensioner deployment capability in such crash
10 events may increase the risk of injury in a crash.

11 515. FCA’s recall did not rectify the ACU Defect or the economic harm
12 caused by the Defect at the point of purchase and lease.

- 13 a. First, the recall occurred years after consumers purchased or
14 leased the defective FCA Class Vehicles and provided no
15 monetary compensation at all. Accordingly, it did not remedy
16 the overpayment damages suffered by consumers.
- 17 b. Second, when FCA announced the recall in September 2016, it
18 also admitted “FCA US has not defined a recall remedy at this
19 time.” Due to a lack of parts, FCA would not even begin to
20 recall and repair vehicles pursuant to this recall for
21 approximately *11 months*. During this time period, consumers
22 continued to report airbag and seatbelt failures in several of the
23 vehicles subject to the recall. *See, e.g.*, Exhibit 1 (ODI nos.
24 10920626, 10926236, 11006561, 11006731, 11022674,
25 10917305, 10926700, 11019118, 10915978, 10993562,
26 11192853).
- 27 c. Third, for years after FCA began conducting its partial recall in
28 August 2017, consumers continued to report airbags and
seatbelts failures in FCA Class Vehicles subject to the recall.

¹³ As explained above, “ORC” is another term for ACU.

1 See Exhibit 1 (ODI nos. 11164588, 11183650, 11203283,
2 11204387, 11219085, 11301047). This suggests an ongoing
3 problem with these vehicles. Upon information and belief,
4 FCA’s recall remedy involves replacing the DS84 ACUs with
5 another version of the same ACU and the same defective DS84
6 ASIC, but with some additional circuit protection. These
7 replacement DS84 ACUs appear to have the same level of
8 circuit protection as the DS84 ACUs in several unrecalled FCA
9 Class Vehicles. NHTSA’s investigation into the unrecalled FCA
10 Class Vehicles with DS84 ACUs with the same level of circuit
11 protection as the replacement DS84 ACU used as the recall
12 remedy strongly indicates the agency now doubts the adequacy
13 of the remedy.

14 d. Fourth, FCA’s September 13, 2016 recall has not remedied most
15 of the recall population. According to FCA’s most recent recall
16 report, FCA had only repaired 550,005 of the 1,435,625 vehicles
17 with defective DS84 ACUs as of January 16, 2019. After this
18 date, FCA apparently stopped conducting the recall.

19 **b. Hyundai USA and Kia USA recalled 1,088,625 Class**
20 **Vehicles because of the defective DS84 ACUs and defective**
21 **DS84 ASICs.**

22 516. Between February 27, 2018 and October 5, 2018, Hyundai USA made
23 three recall announcements concerning 2011-2013 Hyundai Sonatas and 2011-2012
24 Hyundai Sonata Hybrids, all of which are Class Vehicles equipped with the DS84
25 ACUs.

26 517. The final recall announcement expressly acknowledges that 100% of
27 the population of 581,038 vehicles had the defect.

28

1 518. Hyundai USA’s final 573 Defect Report admitted that the DS84 ACUs
2 in these vehicles were defective and describes the ACU Defect as follows:

3 The subject vehicles are equipped with an original equipment
4 airbag control unit (“ACU”) which detects a crash signal and
5 commands deployment of the Advanced Airbag System
6 (“AAS”) and seat belt pretensioners when necessary. The
7 subject ACU’s contain a certain application-specific integrated
8 circuit (“ASIC”) that, in the absence of circuit protecting
9 diodes, could be susceptible to electrical overstress (“EOS”) resulting in the inability to properly deploy the AAS and seat belt pretensioners during certain frontal crash events. . . .

10 Hyundai believes that the ASIC used in the subject ACUs
11 could be susceptible to EOS because it lacks adequate circuit
12 protection. In at least one crash test, damage to the DS84 ASIC
13 from EOS could have caused the loss of the AAS and seat belt
14 pretensioner deployment. At the request of Hyundai, ZF-TRW
15 is continuing their analysis of the source of EOS and
16 noncommunication of the DS84 ASICs from other related
17 crash-test ACUs. Hyundai USA notes that this defect appears
18 substantially similar to the defect in Recall No. 16V-668 where
19 EOS appeared to be a root cause of AAS non-deployment in
20 significant frontal crashes involving certain Fiat Chrysler
21 vehicles. As such, Hyundai reasonably believes that this is a
22 defect in original equipment installed in the vehicles of more
23 than one manufacturer.

24 519. On June 1, 2018, Kia USA announced a recall of 507,587 Class
25 Vehicles, including the 2010-2013 Kia Forte, the 2011-2012 Kia Optima Hybrid,
26 the 2010-2013 Kia Forte Koup, the 2011-2013 Kia Optima, and the 2011-2012 Kia
27 Sedona.

28 520. Kia USA’s recall announcement expressly acknowledges that 100% of
the population of 507,587 vehicles had the defect.

 521. Kia USA’s 573 Defect Report admitted that the DS84 ACUs in these
vehicles were defective and describes the ACU Defect as follows:

 The Airbag Control Unit (“ACU”) detects crash severity and

1 commands deployment of the advanced airbags and seatbelt
2 pretensioners when necessary. The recalled vehicles are
3 equipped with an ACU which contain a certain application-
4 specific integrated circuit (“ASIC”) that may be susceptible to
5 electrical overstress (“EOS”) during certain frontal crash
6 events. . . .

7 If the ASIC becomes damaged, the front airbags and seatbelt
8 pretensioners may not deploy in certain frontal crashes where
9 deployment may be necessary, thereby increasing the risk of
10 injury. . . .

11 The ASIC component within the subject ACUs may be
12 susceptible to EOS due to inadequate circuit protection.

13 522. Hyundai USA’s and Kia USA’s recalls did not rectify the ACU Defect
14 or the economic harm caused to consumers by the Defect at the point of purchase
15 and lease.

16 a. First, the recalls of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles provided no
17 monetary compensation at all. Accordingly, they did not remedy
18 the overpayment damages suffered by consumers.

19 b. Second, Hyundai USA’s and Kia USA’s respective limited
20 recalls occurred multiple *years* after they and their parent
21 companies first knew about the ACU Defect, during which they
22 avoided incurring the costs associated with recalls and installing
23 replacement parts for almost a decade for some Class Vehicles.
24 Throughout this time, consumers continued to buy, lease, and
25 drive vehicles that Hyundai USA and Kia USA knew to be
26 unsafe every day.

27 c. Third, when Hyundai USA first announced its limited recall for
28 some of the Hyundai Class Vehicles in February 2018, it also
admitted that it did not have a solution to fix the defective ACU.
Hyundai USA first mailed notice of an available repair to

1 owners *eight months* after announcing the recall, in mid-
2 October 2018, while Kia USA first mailed notice of an available
3 repair to owners two months after announcing its recall, on or
4 about July 28, 2018.

5 d. Fourth, the recall repair eventually offered by Hyundai USA and
6 Kia USA did not provide an adequate remedy to the problem.
7 The “fix” involved installing an extension wire harness kit for
8 additional circuit protection. However, by simply installing a
9 separate wire harness kit called a noise filter outside of the
10 ACU—and even then, only “if necessary” in Kia Sedonas—
11 Hyundai USA’s and Kia USA’s recalls did not remedy the
12 defective DS84 ACUs, which continued to use the defective
13 DS84 ASICs. Moreover, noise filters have a history of failing as
14 remedies for recalls involving ACU ASIC malfunctions due to
15 transients and EOS. In 2012 and 2013, for example, FCA and
16 Toyota Engineering USA conducted recalls of earlier ACUs
17 made by ZF Automotive USA because the ASICs inside were
18 failing due to EOS and causing inadvertent deployments. As
19 purported remedies, Toyota Engineering USA and FCA
20 installed noise filters. Both remedies failed to cure the defect,
21 and Toyota Engineering USA and FCA had to recall the
22 vehicles again in 2015 when NHTSA launched a second
23 investigation into the EOS problem in these ACUs.

24 e. Fifth, as of the most recent reports from January 2020, the
25 Hyundai-Kia Defendants’ recalls have remedied just over half of
26 the recall population since they were announced over two years
27 ago. According to Hyundai USA’s most recent recall report,
28 Hyundai USA had repaired 338,604 of the 580,058 vehicles

1 with defective DS84 ACUs as of January 31, 2020. According
2 to Kia USA's most recent recall report, Kia USA had repaired
3 201,060 of the 507,587 vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs as
4 of January 13, 2020. During this time period, and in the years
5 that have followed, consumers reported airbag and seatbelt
6 failures in the Recalled Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles.¹⁴

7 **c. Toyota Engineering USA recalled 2,891,976 Class Vehicles**
8 **because of the defective DS84 ACUs and defective DS84**
9 **ASICs**

10 523. On January 17, 2020, Toyota Engineering USA recalled 2,891,976
11 vehicles equipped with the defective DS84 ACUs. The recalled vehicles included
12 the 2011-2019 Corolla, 2011-2013 Corolla Matrix, 2012-2018 Avalon, and 2013-
13 2018 Toyota Avalon HV.

14 524. Toyota Engineering USA's 573 Defect Report admitted that DS84
15 ACUs with the DS84 ASIC are defective and described the ACU Defect as follows:

16 The ECU [(a term used by Toyota for ACU)] contains a model
17 DS84 application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) which
18 controls the communication of the crash sensor signals, firing
19 commands (i.e., when to deploy airbag(s) and/or [seatbelt]
20 pretensioners), and fault information (e.g., diagnostic trouble
21 codes).

22 This ASIC does not have sufficient protection against negative
23 electrical transients that can be generated in certain severe
24 crashes, such as an underride frontal crash where there is a
25 large engine compartment intrusion before significant
26 deterioration. In these cases, the crash sensor and other
27 powered wiring can be damaged and shorted so as to create a

28 ¹⁴ See Hyundai reports, Exhibit 2 (ODI Nos. 11160781, 11140564, 11156730, 11232616, 11208091, 11208630, 11291530, 11301138, 11111515, 11109647, 11153247, 11182813, 11307272); Kia reports, Exhibit 3 (ODI Nos. 10781050, 11018775, 11105328, 11129933, 11130355, 11142259, 11131971, 11174482, 11150286).

1 negative electrical transient of sufficient strength and duration
2 to damage the ASIC before the deployment signal is received
3 in the [Safety Restraint System] ECU. This can lead to
4 incomplete or nondeployment of the airbags and/or
pretensioners.

5 525. Toyota Engineering USA’s recall did not rectify the ACU Defect or
6 the economic harm caused by the Defect at the point of purchase and lease.

- 7 a. First, the recall provided no monetary compensation at all.
8 Accordingly, it did not remedy the overpayment damages
9 suffered by consumers.
- 10 b. Second, Toyota Engineering USA’s recall occurred multiple
11 *years* after Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota USA, Toyota
12 Sales USA, and Toyota Japan knew about the ACU Defect.
13 Throughout this time, consumers continued to buy, lease, and
14 drive vehicles that Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota USA,
15 Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Japan knew to be unsafe.
- 16 c. Third, the recall repair eventually offered by Toyota
17 Engineering USA did not provide an adequate remedy to the
18 problem. The “fix” involved installing an extension wire harness
19 kit for additional circuit protection. However, by simply
20 installing a separate wire harness kit called a noise filter outside
21 of the ACU—and even then, only “if necessary”—Toyota
22 Engineering USA’s recall did not remedy the defective DS84
23 ACUs, which continued to use the defective DS84 ASICs.
24 Moreover, as noted above, noise filters have a history of failing
25 as recall remedies for recalls involving ACU ASIC malfunctions
26 due to transients and EOS. In 2012 and 2013, for example, FCA
27 and Toyota Engineering USA previously conducted recalls of
28 ACUs made by ZF Automotive USA because the ASICs inside

1 were failing due to EOS and causing inadvertent deployments.
2 As purported remedies, Toyota Engineering USA and FCA
3 installed noise filters. Both remedies failed to cure the defect,
4 and Toyota Engineering USA and FCA had to recall the
5 vehicles again in 2015 when NHTSA launched a second
6 investigation into the EOS problem in these ACUs.

7 d. Fourth, as of the most recent reports, Toyota Engineering USA's
8 recall has remedied just over half of the recalled Toyota Class
9 Vehicles. According to Toyota Engineering USA's most recent
10 recall report, Toyota Engineering USA had repaired 1,625,024
11 of the 2,891,976 vehicles with defective ACUs as of January 20,
12 2022.

13 **7. Hundreds of consumer complaints report that airbags have failed**
14 **in Class Vehicles during serious collisions.**

15 526. Publicly available consumer complaints confirm that airbags and
16 seatbelts in Class Vehicles are failing during serious crashes when airbags should
17 deploy and seatbelts should pretension.

18 527. Between 2014 and the present, more than 30 consumers reported to
19 NHTSA that their airbags and/or seatbelts had failed in Hyundai Class Vehicles.
20 Examples of such complaints are attached hereto as Exhibit 2. Illustrative examples
21 of these complaints are quoted below.

22 a. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated January 28,
23 2014 reported a January 3, 2014 accident involving a 2013
24 Hyundai Sonata in Westminster, California. The complaint
25 states: "I START THE VEHICLE TO TURN RIGHT THEN
26 GOT HIT ON THE DRIVER SIDE UP TO THE FRONT END.
27 THE OTHER VEHICLE RAN THE RED LIGHT AND HIS
28 SPEED WAS ABOUT 45-50 MPH. MY CAR GOT HIT HARD

1 AT THE FRONT AND TURNED 180 DEGREE, NONE OF
2 THE AIRBAGS WAS DEPLOYED. AS A SAFETY
3 CONCERN, I WOULD LIKE TO FILE A COMPLAINT AS I
4 AM GONNA HAVE A BABY SOON THIS YEAR 2014.
5 WHAT IF THAT ANOTHER ACCIDENT OCCUR AND THE
6 BABY OR MY SPOUSE [WERE] IN THE CAR WITH ME?
7 *TR.”

8 b. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated August 4,
9 2014 reported a September 6, 2011 accident involving a 2012
10 Hyundai Sonata in Bossier City, Louisiana. The complaint
11 states: “TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 HYUNDAI
12 SONATA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE
13 DRIVING 45 MPH, THE BRAKING SYSTEM FAILED TO
14 ENGAGE. THE CONTACT APPLIED THE EMERGENCY
15 BRAKE AND THE VEHICLE SKIDDED. AS A RESULT,
16 THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A MEDIAN. THE
17 DRIVER SIDE AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE
18 CONTACT SUSTAINED BRAIN AND BACK INJURIES
19 AND THE REAR PASSENGER SUSTAINED INJURIES TO
20 THE HANDS AND SHOULDER, WHO BOTH REQUIRED
21 MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED.
22 THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE
23 MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE
24 FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS
25 50,000.”

26 c. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated December
27 20, 2019 reported an October 10, 2019 accident involving a
28 2019 Hyundai Sonata in Casco, Wisconsin. The complaint

1 states: “TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2019 HYUNDAI
2 SONATA. WHILE THE CONTACT WAS PULLING INTO
3 AN INTERSECTION, A SECOND VEHICLE CRASHED
4 INTO THE FRONT DRIVER SIDE OF HIS VEHICLE. THE
5 FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY
6 DAMAGED; HOWEVER, THE AIR BAGS DID NOT
7 DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED BROKEN RIBS, AND
8 INJURIES TO THE LEG, HEAD, AND ARM. MEDICAL
9 ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED AND POLICE REPORT
10 NUMBER: [XXX] WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS
11 DESTROYED AND TOWED FROM THE SCENE.
12 BROADWAY AUTOMOTIVE (1010 S. MILITARY AVE,
13 GREEN BAY, WI) AND THE MANUFACTURER WERE
14 NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE
15 WAS 3,500. *DT.”

16 528. Between 2012 and the present, more than 20 consumers reported to
17 NHTSA that their airbags and/or seatbelts had failed in Kia Class Vehicles.
18 Examples of such complaints are attached hereto as Exhibit 3. Illustrative examples
19 of these complaints are quoted below.

20 a. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated September
21 16, 2013 reported a September 10, 2013 accident involving a
22 2011 Forte in Sharpsburg, Georgia. The complaint states: “TL*
23 THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 KIA FORTE. THE
24 CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE SITTING AT A
25 COMPLETE STOP, ANOTHER VEHICLE TRAVELING 60
26 MPH CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF THE CONTACTS
27 VEHICLE. THE IMPACT CAUSED THE CONTACTS
28 VEHICLE TO BE PUSHED FORWARD AT

1 APPROXIMATELY TWO HUNDRED FEET AND INTO
2 THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE DRIVERS SIDE
3 HEAD REST AND METAL BAR BECAME SEPARATED
4 UPON IMPACT. THE CONTACT SUFFERED FROM
5 WHIPLASH, NECK STRAINS, AND LACERATIONS TO
6 THE LOWER BACK AND RIGHT LEG. A POLICE REPORT
7 WAS FILED. IN ADDITION, THE DRIVER AND
8 PASSENGERS SIDE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE
9 VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER
10 WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE
11 AND CURRENT MILEAGE WAS 35,000.”

12 b. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated February 6,
13 2015 reported a February 3, 2015 accident involving a 2010
14 Forte in Saint John, Indiana. The complaint states: “2010 KIA
15 FORTE REAR ENDED A 2012 TOYOTA VENZA WHILE
16 TRAVELING AT APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH ON WET
17 ASPHALT PAVEMENT. UPON COLLISION, THE AIR BAG
18 FAILED TO DEPLOY AND SEAL BELT RESTRAINT
19 FAILED TO HOLD BACK DRIVER OF THE KIA. DRIVERS
20 FOREHEAD HIT AND BENT STEERING WHEEL AND
21 CAUSED MAJOR FRONT END DAMAGE TO THE KIA
22 AND CONSIDERABLY LESS DAMAGE TO THE TOYOTA
23 VENZA. KIA WAS NOT DRIVABLE, SO IT WAS TAKEN
24 TO A SALVAGE YARD OF A FLAT BED TRUCK. DRIVER
25 OF KIA WAS TAKEN TO HOSPITAL FOR X-RAYS AND
26 EVALUATION. DRIVER OF KIA SUFFER NECK\BACK
27 PAIN, BRUISED FOREHEAD AND HEAD ACHE AND
28 WAS PRESCRIBED PAIN PILLS & ANTI

1 INFLAMMATORY MEDICATION. MY GREATEST
2 CONCERN IS THAT I OWN TWO KIA'S, ONE FOR EACH
3 OF MY COLLAGE [sic] AGE KIDS AND FEAR THAT THE
4 SAME OUTCOME MAY OCCUR AGAIN WITH DIRE
5 CONSEQUENCES. FAILURE OF THE AIR BAG
6 DEPLOYMENT AND SEAT BELT RESTRAINT MUST BE
7 ADDRESSED AND CORRECTED BY KIA BEFORE MORE
8 INJURIES OCCUR. . UPDATED 02/19/15 *BF UPDATED
9 3/30/2016 *JS UPDATED 9/20/2017*CN.”

10 c. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated May 29,
11 2019 reported a March 24, 2019 accident involving a 2015
12 Optima in Naperville, Illinois. The complaint states: “I WAS
13 TRAVELING EAST ON A 4 LANE ROAD AT 45 MPH. AS I
14 WAS PASSING THRU A GREEN LIGHT, A WESTBOUND
15 VEHICLE MADE AN ILLEGAL LEFT TURN IN FRONT OF
16 ME, CAUSING ME TO ‘T-BONE’ HIS VEHICLE. ALL OF
17 HIS AIRBAGS DEPLOYED.....NONE OF MINE DID. THE
18 CAR WAS REPAIRED, SURPRISINGLY; YET I DO NOT
19 FEEL SAFE DRIVING IT. I SUSTAINED CERVICAL AND
20 LUMBAR SPINE INJURIES, AS WELL AS A SEVERE
21 WHIPLASH AND CONCUSSION. I AM UNABLE TO
22 WORK, DUE TO SURGERY THAT WAS NECESSARY. I
23 JUST NEED TO KNOW IF THIS CAR IS SAFE?? I WAS
24 ALSO IN A SIDE COLLISION THAT WAS NOT MY
25 FAULT; TWO YEARS AGO, WHERE SOMEONE HIT ME,
26 AND NO AIRBAGS DEPLOYED. AT THAT PARTICULAR
27 ACCIDENT, I WAS STATIONARY; AT A STOP LIGHT.”

28

1 529. Between 2010 and the present, dozens of consumers reported to
2 NHTSA that their airbags and/or seatbelts had failed in FCA Class Vehicles.
3 Approximately 100 examples of such complaints are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
4 Illustrative examples of these complaints are quoted below.

5 a. A September 29, 2010 complaint concerning a September 7,
6 2010 crash involving a 2010 Dodge Ram states: “TL* THE
7 CONTACT OWNS A 2010 DODGE RAM 1500. THE
8 CONTACT WAS RUN OFF THE ROAD WHILE DRIVING
9 65 MPH INTO A DITCH. THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS DID
10 NOT DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELT DID NOT LOCK.
11 THE CONTACT HIT AND BROKE THE STEERING WHEEL
12 AND STEERING COLUMN BECAUSE OF THE SEAT BELT
13 FAILURE; HE WAS INJURED. THE VEHICLE WAS
14 TOWED TO A REPAIR SHOP. THE MECHANIC (AND
15 POLICE OFFICER ON THE SCENE) STATED THAT THE
16 AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED. THE CURRENT
17 AND FAILURE MILEAGES WERE APPROXIMATELY
18 3,600.”

19 b. A July 18, 2016 complaint concerning a July 13, 2016 crash
20 involving a 2009 Dodge Ram states: “AIR BAG FAILURE--ON
21 WEDNESDAY JULY 13 2016 THE VEHICLE (2009 DODGE
22 RAM 1500) WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONT END
23 COLLISION WHILE TRAVELING ON A CITY OWNED
24 ROAD. THE DRIVER WHO WAS THE ONLY PERSON IN
25 THE VEHICLE LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE WHEN
26 TAKING A SHARP RIGHT TURN ON A DIRT ROAD IN
27 THE DARK. AS A RESULT THE VEHICLE CRASHED
28 INTO A DITCH, COMPLETELY SMASHING IN THE

1 FRONT END AND DAMAGED MOST OF THE REST OF
2 THE TRUCK AS WELL. UPON IMPACT THE DRIVER'S
3 AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED
4 INJURIES TO HIS ENTIRE UPPER BODY AS WELL AS
5 SUFFERING FROM A CONCUSSION UPON IMPACT
6 BECAUSE OF THE AIR BAG MALFUNCTION. HE
7 REQUIRED EMERGENCY MEDICAL ATTENTION AND
8 WAS TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL BY
9 AMBULANCE. WE HAVE MORE PICTURES INCLUDING
10 PICTURES OF THE FRONT END OF THE TRUCK
11 HOWEVER THE FILE IS TOO BIG TO UPLOAD ON THIS
12 REPORT.”

13 c. A September 19, 2017 complaint concerning an October 2, 2015
14 crash involving a 2012 Jeep Wrangler states: “I WAS
15 INVOLVED IN A SINGLE VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON
16 10/2/2015 INVOLVING 2012 JEEP WRANGLER, MY
17 VEHICLE JERKED TO THE RIGHT SUDDENLY CAUSING
18 ME TO LOSE CONTROL. THE JEEP WAS JERKED OFF
19 THE ROAD INTO A DITCH ON THE RIGHT, HIT THE
20 FRONT END OF THE DITCH AND WAS LAUNCHED
21 AIRBORNE, THEN CRASHED ON THE CEMENT WALL
22 OF A SECOND DITCH, BOUNCING TWICE BEFORE
23 LANDING IN THE DITCH AND HITTING THE FRONT
24 END OF THAT DITCH. I REPEATEDLY SLAMMED ON
25 MY BRAKES BUT THEY DID NOT ENGAGE. MY
26 AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY SEAT BELT
27 TENSIONER DID NOT ENGAGE, CAUSING ME TO BE
28 THROWN FORWARD AND BACKWARDS REPEATEDLY.

1 I SUFFERED A CLOSED HEAD INJURY AND
2 HERNIATIONS TO MULTIPLE DISCS IN MY NECK AS
3 WELL AS TRAUMA TO THE FACET JOINTS IN MY
4 NECK, RESULTING IN SEVERE FORAMINAL STENOSIS
5 AT MULTIPLE LEVELS THAT REQUIRES
6 NEUROSURGICAL INTERVENTION. I SLAMMED MY
7 HEAD ON THE STEERING WHEEL 4 TIMES, MY CHEST
8 ONCE. THIS ACCIDENT FOREVER CHANGED MY LIFE. I
9 HAVE REPEATEDLY CALLED FCA TO FILE A FORMAL
10 COMPLAINT, AM ALWAYS TOLD SOMEONE WILL
11 CALL ME BACK. IT'S BEEN ALMOST TWO YEARS AND
12 NO ONE HAS CALLED ME BACK. I WAS GIVEN A CASE
13 NUMBER AND TOLD SOMEONE WOULD COME LOOK
14 AT MY VEHICLE TO INSPECT IT, NEVER HAPPENED. AS
15 I FACE URGENT SURGERY TO MY SPINE WITH PAIN TO
16 MY NECK RADIATING DOWN MY RIGHT ARM, ALL I
17 CAN THINK ABOUT IS CHRYSLER. THEY RECALLED
18 2016-2017 JEEP WRANGLERS FOR FAULTY WIRING OF
19 THE OCCUPANT RESTRAINT CONTROL MODULE, AS
20 WELL AS JEEP PATRIOTS AND COMPASSES MADE THE
21 SAME YEAR AS MY VEHICLE ALONG WITH MILLIONS
22 OF OTHER CHRYSLER VEHICLES. FCA REFUSES TO
23 RETURN MY PHONE CALLS, HOW MANY MORE
24 PEOPLE ARE THEY IGNORING? THEY NEED SEE WHAT
25 HAPPENS WHEN THEY DON'T RECALL ALL VEHICLES
26 BUILT WITH THE SAME COMPONENTS, KNOWING
27 THERE ARE MORE VEHICLES NOT INCLUDED IN THE
28 RECALL THAT POSE A SAFETY RISK.”

1 d. A February 28, 2019 complaint concerning a collision involving
2 a 2016 Jeep Wrangler states: “DURING A ROLLOVER
3 CRASH WHICH INITIATED AT 40 MILES PER HOUR, THE
4 FRONTAL AIRBAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE
5 VEHICLE ROLLED AND AN ADEQUATE AMOUNT OF
6 FORCE TO DEPLOY THE AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE
7 BEEN TRIGGERED. AS A RESULT THE OCCUPANTS
8 EXPERIENCE EXTENSIVE INJURIES CONSISTENT WITH
9 SUDDEN DECELERATION.”

10 530. Since at least as early as 2012, dozens of consumers have reported to
11 NHTSA that airbags and seatbelts in Toyota Class Vehicles failed to activate during
12 serious accidents. Over 70 examples of such complaints are attached hereto as
13 Exhibit 4. Three illustrative examples of these complaints are quoted below.

14 a. A March 2, 2013 complaint reported a February 20, 2013
15 accident involving a 2012 Toyota Corolla in Herndon, Virginia.
16 The complaint states: “I BELIEVE THERE IS A SERIOUS
17 SAFETY ISSUE RELATED TO THE PLACEMENT OF THE
18 AIR BAG SENSOR. MY WIFE AND A CO-WORKERS WIFE
19 WERE INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT THAT SEVERELY
20 DEFORMED THE FRONT OF A 2012 TOYOTA COROLLA
21 WITHOUT TRIGGERING THE AIRBAG SENSOR. UPON
22 INSPECTION, IT APPEARS THAT THE PORTION OF THE
23 CAR THAT THE AIRBAG SENSOR IS ATTACHED TO,
24 MOVED OVER A FOOT AND A HALF WITHOUT
25 TRIGGERING THE AIR BAG SENSOR. AS A FORMER
26 ASE MASTER TECHNICIAN AND TECHNICAL EXPERT
27 FOR THE BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU, THIS MAY BE A
28 SERIOUS DESIGN FLAW THAT COULD ENDANGER THE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HEALTH AND SAFETY OF OTHER 2012 COROLLA OWNERS. I FILE [sic] A COMPLAINT WITH TOYOTA USA AND I AM WAITING FOR THEIR RESPONSE. *TR.”

b. A May 8, 2014 complaint reported an April 2, 2014 accident involving a 2011 Toyota Corolla in Graham, Texas. The complaint states: “I REAR ENDED A TRUCK FULL BUMPER TO FULL BUMPER COLLISION GOING ABOUT 25-30MPH. MY ENTIRE FRONT END WAS CRUSHED, RADIATOR AND TRANSMISSION BUSTED, AND FRONT BUMPER PULLED OFF, AND INSIDE CAR UNDER STEERING WHEEL HAD BEEN SLIGHTLY PUSHED OUT TOWARDS DRIVER SEAT. MY CAR WAS TOTALED. I BUSTED THE WINDSHIELD WITH MY HEAD WHEN I HIT IT GIVING ME A CONCUSSION AND HAD CONTUSIONS TO MY CHEST FROM HITTING STEERING WHEEL, AND CONTUSION AND SPRAIN TO MY RIGHT HAND. NO ONE INCLUDING POLICE, FIREMEN, AMBULANCE, AND WRECKING YARD COULD BELIEVE MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY HUSBAND AND I CONTACTED TOYOTA ABOUT THIS AND THEY ASSURED ME IT SHOULD NOT HAVE DEPLOYED AND SENT ME AN EMAIL LINK TO READ DESCRIBING WHEN AIR BAGS SHOULD DEPLOY. WHEN I CALLED BACK AFTER READING THE EMAIL AND TOLD THE MAN WHAT THE EMAIL SAID AND THAT MY AIR BAG SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED HE CALLED ME A LIAR, AND SAID THAT WAS NOT WHAT THE EMAIL SAID. MY HUSBAND THEN CALLED AND REQUESTED

1 INFORMATION FROM EDR BE DOWNLOADED AND
2 READ. TOYOTA NEVER RETURNED OUR PHONE CALL
3 AND NEVER RETRIEVED INFORMATION FROM EDR,
4 AND NOW INSURANCE HAS TAKEN POSSESSION OF
5 THE VEHICLE AND IT IS GONE. A MONTH LATER WE
6 STILL HAVE NEVER RECEIVED A RETURN PHONE
7 CALL OR EXPLANATION FROM TOYOTA. *TR.”

8 c. An August 21, 2014 complaint with NHTSA reported an August
9 7, 2014 accident involving a 2013 Toyota Avalon Hybrid in
10 Indiana, Pennsylvania. The complaint states: “TL* THE
11 CONTACT OWNED A 2013 TOYOTA AVALON HYBRID.
12 THE CONTACT’S VEHICLE WAS STRUCK BY A DRUNK
13 DRIVER, WHICH CAUSED THE CONTACT TO CRASH
14 THE VEHICLE INTO AN EMBANKMENT. THE VEHICLE
15 ROLLED OVER SEVERAL TIMES. THE AIR BAGS
16 FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT AND FRONT
17 PASSENGER WERE INJURED AND RECEIVED MEDICAL
18 ATTENTION. THE DRIVER FROM THE OTHER VEHICLE
19 ALSO SUSTAINED INJURIES. A POLICE REPORT WAS
20 FILED AND THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE
21 MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE
22 OCCURRED WHILE DRIVING 40 MPH. THE
23 APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 9,500.”

24 531. Between 2012 and the present, dozens of consumers reported to
25 NHTSA that airbags and/or seatbelts had failed in Honda Class Vehicles.
26 Approximately 40 examples of such complaints are attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
27 Three examples of these complaints are quoted below.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. A November 28, 2014 complaint reported an October 21, 2014 accident involving a 2013 Honda Civic. The complaint states: “TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HONDA CIVIC. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE MAKING A LEFT TURN, ANOTHER VEHICLE DROVE THROUGH A RED LIGHT AND CRASHED INTO THE FRONT OF THE CONTACTS VEHICLE. THE AIR BAG WARNING LIGHT ILLUMINATED AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE CHEST, THE BACK, ABDOMEN AND SHOULDER PAINS THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 10,000.”

- b. A May 20, 2015 complaint reported an April 23, 2014 accident involving a 2013 Honda Accord. The complaint states: “MY VEHICLE STRUCK ANOTHER VEHICLE IN FRONT OF ME FROM BEHIND. AIRBAG LIGHTS CAME ON YET DID NOT DEPLOY. IMPACT CAUSED DAMAGE TO MY CHEST BY THE SEATBELT. IT CAUSED A TISSUE EXPANDER IMPLANTED IN MY RIGHT BREAST TO BE DAMAGED AND RIPPED OUT THE PLACES STITCHED TO ME. THE TE WAS THERE AS PART OF A BREAST CANCER RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS. SURGERY WAS REQUIRED TO REMOVE AND REPLACE THE TE. THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SENSORS NEEDED REPLACEMENT, AND SEATBELT
STRUCTURE ALSO NEEDED REPLACEMENT.”

c. A September 5, 2016 complaint reported an August 30, 2016
accident involving a 2015 Honda Civic. The complaint states:
“THE VEHICLE (V-2) WAS INVOLVED IN A COLLISION
AT THE 1-5 NB CYPRESS OFF RAMP IN REDDING
CALIFORNIA IN EVENING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC (AT
1810). V-2 WAS STRUCK BY V-1, WHICH IN TURN
PUSHED V-2 INTO V-3. BOTH V-1 AND V-2 WERE
STATIONARY AT THE TIME OF COLLISION. V-1, A
ISUZA TROOPER SUSTAINED MINOR FRONT END
DAMAGE. V-2 RECEIVED MINOR FRONT END DAMAGE,
AND MAJOR REAR END DAMAGE. V-3, A KIA SOUL
RECEIVED MINOR REAR END DAMAGE. THE ISSUE IS
THAT THE V-2 AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY OR THE
SEATBELT RESTRAIN THE DRIVER IN THE VEHICLE.
THE DRIVER STRUCK THE STEERING WHEEL
RECEIVING A MAJOR BRAIN CONCUSSION AND
BROKEN NOSE UPON BEING PUSHED BY V-1 INTO V-3.
SEVERAL ON THE SCENE QUESTIONED THE LACK OF
AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT OR THE SEAT-BELT NOT
PROVIDING THE RESTRAINT NECESSARY TO PREVENT
THE INJURY. MY CONCERN IS THIS IS A FAILURE OF
THE SAFETY SYSTEMS NECESSARY TO RESTRAIN THE
DRIVER. REPORTING PARTY IS THE FATHER OF THE
DRIVER OF V-2, A TEEN DRIVER.”

1 532. Since at least 2014, dozens of consumers have reported to Mitsubishi
2 or NHTSA that the airbags in their Mitsubishi Class Vehicle failed to deploy after a
3 crash. Examples of such complaints are attached hereto as Exhibit 6. For example:

- 4 a. On December 30, 2014, a consumer contacted Mitsubishi's
5 Customer Relations hotline to inquire why the airbags in her
6 2013 Outlander did not deploy after she rear-ended the car in
7 front of her at 40 miles per hour.
- 8 b. On January 27, 2015, a consumer contacted Mitsubishi's
9 Customer Relations hotline to report a severe accident where the
10 airbags in his 2014 Lancer Evolution did not deploy and he was
11 ejected from the vehicle. Mitsubishi's internal notes indicate that
12 the consumer suffered extensive injuries, including "BROKEN
13 COLLAR BONE[,] HEAD LACERATION WITH STAPLES[,]
14 BOTH WRIST AND PELVIS."
- 15 c. On May 16, 2016, a consumer contacted Mitsubishi's Customer
16 Relations hotline to report that his son was in a four-car freeway
17 collision where the airbags in his 2013 Lancer Sportback did not
18 deploy and the seatbelt restraints failed to lock. The vehicle
19 sustained a frontal impact and was traveling at approximately
20 50-60 miles per hour at the time of collision.
- 21 d. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated October 21,
22 2016 reported a September 13, 2016 accident involving a 2015
23 Mitsubishi Lancer in Centralia, Washington. The complaint
24 states: "I WAS TRAVELING ALONG 20 MILES BELOW
25 THE SPEED LIMIT HAD A DEER JUMPED OUT IN FRONT
26 OF ME I SWEAR TO MISS IT MY FRONT PASSENGER
27 SIDE TIRE WENT OFF THE ASPHALT AND INTO SOFT
28 DIRT AND MY CAR HIGH CENTERED ON THE RAISED

1 LIP OF THE ROAD AND SLID DOWN THE HILLSIDE
2 LANDING INTO TREES BOTH GOING FORWARD AND
3 TOWARDS THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE CAR STOPPING
4 BECAUSE OF TREES IT DESTROYED THE FRONT END
5 THE ENTIRE UNDERCARRIAGE THE ENTIRE
6 PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CAR POPPED OPEN THE
7 SUNROOF TRIED PUSHING THE ROOF OFF THE BACK
8 DRIVER SIDE OF THE CAR AND NO AIRBAGS WENT
9 OFF NO SAFETY FEATURES OTHER THAN THE SEAT
10 BELT WORK.”

11 e. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated June 8, 2017
12 reported a May 13, 2017 accident involving a 2015 Mitsubishi
13 Lancer in Kent, Washington. The complaint states: “SON WAS
14 DRIVING VEHICLE REAR ENDED A VEHICLE, AT 35
15 MPH, ROLLED MITSUBISHI 8 TO 9 TIMES, SLED ON
16 ROOF ABOUT 50 FEET BEFORE COMING TO A STOP UP
17 SIDE DOWN. AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. NOT EVEN
18 WHEN THE TOW TRUCK FLIPPED CAR RIGHT SIDE UP.”

19 f. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated November
20 14, 2017 reported a November 12, 2017 accident involving a
21 2015 Mitsubishi Lancer in Boyers, Pennsylvania. The complaint
22 states: “DRIVING ON INTERSTATE AT 1130 AT NIGHT NO
23 RAIN OR ANYTHING. I HIT A DEER AT 72 MPH LOTS OF
24 DAMAGE TO THE FRONT AND DRIVERSIDE. MY SEAT
25 BELT WAS LOCKED BUT NOT ONE OF MY AIR BAGS
26 COME OUT...”

27 g. A publicly available complaint with NHTSA dated January 16,
28 2020 reported a January 11, 2020 accident involving a 2016

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Mitsubishi Lancer in Lake Havasu City, Arizona. The complaint states: “ACCIDENT THAT RESULTED IN THE CAR BEING DECLARED TOTAL LOSS. THE CAR WAS HIT IN THE UPPER FRONT AND SIDE AREA OF DRIVERS SIDE. DURING THE ACCIDENT THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. RESULTED IN INJURIES, OF COURSE. THE CAR WAS MAKING LEFT HANDED TURN FROM RESIDENTIAL AREA ONTO A BUSY MAIN STREET. AND THE OTHER VEHICLE WAS NOT PAYING ATTENTION AND HIT THE CAR WHILE IT WAS TRYING TO TURN. THE CAR WAS GOING APPROXIMATELY 15-20 MPH. THE OTHER VEHICLE WAS GOING 40-45 MPH. WHAT WOULD CAUSE THE AIR BAGS TO MALFUNCTION?? BECAUSE I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW WHY INJURIES HAD TO EVEN OCCUR SINCE THEY ONLY HAPPENED DUE TO THE MALFUNCTION OF THE AIR BAGS.”

8. The abandonment of the DS84 ASIC by all Defendants confirms the ACU Defect.

533. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA stopped using the DS84 ASIC in any ACUs intended for the United States vehicles in or around 2019. The complete abandonment of the DS84 ASIC after NHTSA announced its investigation of all unrecalled vehicles with the ASIC is further evidence that each of the Defendants know the DS84 ASIC was and is defective.

534. The next generation of ACUs rolled out by ZF Electronics USA in 2019 used an ASIC made by Infineon instead of the DS84 ASIC made by the ST Defendants.

1 **9. Defendants’ statements blaming DS84 ACU malfunctions on**
2 **vehicle-specific features, such as wire harnesses, are misleading.**

3 535. Many of the Defendants try to downplay the danger posed by the ACU
4 Defect by claiming that some Class Vehicles have additional components to protect
5 the DS84 ASIC. However, these arguments fail because those additional
6 components do not fix the ACU’s vulnerability to EOS. Adding protective
7 components as a band-aid to restrain the flow of electricity to the defective DS84
8 ACU does not fix the ACU Defect. Indeed, airbag failures in multiple crashes have
9 been linked to EOS in defective DS84 ACUs with a range of different protective
10 components. For example, the DS84 ACUs in Hyundai, Kia, Toyota, and FCA
11 Class Vehicles had various levels of ostensible component protection (characterized
12 by NHTSA as ranging from “low” to “mid-level” to “high”), but these Vehicle
13 Manufacturers each determined that the defective DS84 ACUs were dangerously
14 vulnerable to EOS even with their protective components, and decided to recall
15 them. For example, Toyota Engineering USA recalled Toyota Corollas with a
16 purportedly high level of circuit protection (two .12 ampere Schottky diodes), FCA
17 recalled Chrysler 200s with a purportedly mid-level of circuit protection (one .12
18 ampere Schottky diode), and Kia recalled Kia Fortes with a low level of circuit
19 protection (no Schottky diodes).

20 536. The most common protective component added to the defective DS84
21 ACUs is the so-called “Schottky” diode, which is added on the crash sensor
22 communication line.¹⁵ These diodes are not part of the ASIC and are not an
23 absolute shield against transients and EOS. Instead, they may offer some protection
24 against certain levels of transient electricity moving up the crash sensor lines. But

25 _____
26 ¹⁵ The crash sensor communication on the DS84 ACU is a line on the ACU circuit
27 board that carries the electrical signals sent by the crash sensor wires in the front of
28 the vehicle. The DS84 ACU’s communication line uses a so-called DSI protocol,
which refers to the technology used to manage the flow of these signals.

1 when a transient's power level exceeds the diode's thresholds, the diode(s) can fail,
2 and EOS can still occur in the ASIC. Comparative testing summarized in
3 documents produced by Toyota USA show that DS84 ACUs with the highest level
4 of diode protection (i.e., 1-ampere Schottky diodes) are still 3-4 times less resistant
5 to transients than three earlier ACU models made by ZF Electronics USA, and at
6 least 2-3 times weaker to transients than ACUs made by Denso.

7 537. Moreover, FCA has acknowledged that it cannot rule out the ACU
8 Defect as the cause of nondeployments in six crashes involving FCA Class
9 Vehicles with 1-ampere Schottky diodes and a resistor. These incidents include a
10 2016 crash involving a 2016 Jeep Patriot in South Dakota, a 2017 crash involving a
11 2017 Jeep Compass in Michigan, a 2017 crash involving a 2016 Jeep Patriot in
12 Kentucky, a 2017 crash involving a 2017 Jeep Compass in Kentucky, a 2018 crash
13 involving a 2017 Jeep Patriot in Kentucky, and a 2018 crash involving a 2016 Jeep
14 Wrangler in Oklahoma. The vast majority of Class Vehicles have an even lower
15 level of circuit protection than these FCA vehicles had.

16 538. Apart from pointing to varying levels of protection for the DS84
17 ASICs, Defendants have also attempted to downplay the scope of the ACU Defect
18 by blaming observed cases of ASIC EOS on purported vehicle-specific variations
19 in the physical layout of the wires around the ACU and crash sensors. But wiring
20 layouts don't cause non-defective ACUs to fail. The defective DS84 ACU and
21 ASIC is the root cause of the airbag and seatbelt failures. The millions of vehicles
22 that have been recalled have various different wiring layouts, but all still have the
23 same vulnerability to EOS in a crash. The implausible defense of "vehicle-specific"
24 wiring layout, which the ZF Defendants, Toyota Defendants, and FCA have
25 asserted in communications with NHTSA, assumes (without evidence) that the
26 wiring layout in these dozens of different vehicles, each of which was recalled due
27 to the confirmed ACU Defect, did not vary meaningfully:

28 a. 2012–2018 Toyota Avalon;

- 1 b. 2013–2018 Toyota Avalon Hybrid;
- 2 c. 2011–2019 Toyota Corolla;
- 3 d. 2011–2013 Toyota Matrix;
- 4 e. 2010–2014 Chrysler 200;
- 5 f. 2010 Chrysler Sebring;
- 6 g. 2010–2014 Jeep Patriot;
- 7 h. 2010–2014 Jeep Compass;
- 8 i. 2010–2014 Jeep Compass;
- 9 j. 2010–2014 Dodge Avenger;
- 10 k. 2010–2012 Dodge Caliber;
- 11 l. 2011–2013 Hyundai Sonata;
- 12 m. 2011–2012 Hyundai Sonata Hybrid;
- 13 n. 2010–2013 Kia Forte;
- 14 o. 2010–2013 Kia Forte Koup; and
- 15 p. 2011–2013 Kia Optima.

16 The more plausible explanation for the common observed vulnerability to ASIC
17 EOS across all these various vehicles is the one common feature they indisputably
18 share: a DS84 ACU with a DS84 ASIC.

19 539. No wiring is immune to transients. For this reason, any vehicle with
20 the DS84 ACU and ASIC can have its airbags and seatbelts fail in crashes in which
21 they should deploy and pretension, regardless of the type of wiring used. Insofar as
22 the ZF Defendants, Toyota Defendants, and FCA have asserted that the DS84 ACU
23 Defect poses a danger only in vehicles with “cross-car” crash sensor wiring, they
24 are wrong for several reasons.

- 25 a. First, upon information and belief, many of the recalled
26 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles did not have this type of cross-car
27 wiring, but Hyundai USA and Kia USA nonetheless had to
28 recall the vehicles due to the observed cases where airbags and

1 seatbelts failed due to EOS in real-world crashes and crash tests.
2 These vehicles had crash sensor damage and EOS even without
3 cross-car wiring.

4 b. Second, EOS has been confirmed on several DS84 ASICs
5 retrieved from Jeep Wranglers, which also did not have cross-
6 car wiring. At least one of these Jeep Wranglers experienced an
7 inadvertent airbag deployment.

8 c. Third, FCA acknowledged to NHTSA in September 2019 that it
9 cannot rule out the ACU Defect in at least fifteen crashes
10 involving nondeployments in Class Vehicles without cross-car
11 wiring, including eight Dodge Rams, five Jeep Wranglers, one
12 Jeep Liberty, and one Fiat 500.¹⁶

13 d. Furthermore, Toyota Japan has stated that the wire harness did
14 not sever in at least one crash without airbag deployment that
15 occurred in Turkey. The DS84 ASIC retrieved from this Toyota
16 vehicle nonetheless had EOS damage. Similarly, in a Hyundai
17 Sonata crash test from March 2018, the ACU had signs of EOS
18 damage even though there was no observed abnormality (such
19 as cut wires) that could have caused EOS.

20 e. Finally, cross-car wiring does not appear to explain away dozens
21 of warranty returns from the Vehicle Manufacturers with signs
22 of EOS, or the incidents of inadvertent deployment due to EOS
23 in DS84 ACUs, because these incidents occurred without any

24
25 ¹⁶ The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012
26 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine,
27 Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in
28 West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in
New York. The Jeep Liberty crashed in 2017 in Pennsylvania, whereas the Fiat 500
crashed in 2015 in California.

1 crash to damage front-end crash sensor wiring. Accordingly,
2 that wiring is not the sole culprit for the types of transients that
3 can occur in crash vehicles.

4 **B. There are millions of Class Vehicles equipped with defective DS84**
5 **ACUs.**

6 540. The Class Vehicles are vehicles equipped with DS84 ACUs that
7 contain a DS84 ASIC.

8 541. Discovery remains ongoing. Based on the incomplete information
9 available at this time, Plaintiffs understand the Class Vehicles are as follows:

- 10 a. 2011–2019 Hyundai Sonata;
- 11 b. 2011–2019 Hyundai Sonata Hybrid;
- 12 c. 2010–2013 Kia Forte;
- 13 d. 2010–2013 Kia Forte Koup;
- 14 e. 2011–2020 Kia Optima;
- 15 f. 2011–2016 Kia Optima Hybrid;
- 16 g. 2011–2012, 2014 Kia Sedona;
- 17 h. 2010–2014 Chrysler 200;
- 18 i. 2010 Chrysler Sebring;
- 19 j. 2010–2014 Dodge Avenger;
- 20 k. 2010–2017 Jeep Compass;
- 21 l. 2010–2013 Jeep Liberty;
- 22 m. 2010–2017 Jeep Patriot;
- 23 n. 2010–2018 Jeep Wrangler;
- 24 o. 2010–2012 Dodge Caliber;
- 25 p. 2009–2012 Dodge Ram 1500;
- 26 q. 2010–2012 Dodge Ram 2500/3500;
- 27 r. 2011–2012 Dodge Ram 3500/4500/5500 Cab-Chassis;
- 28 s. 2010–2012 Dodge Nitro;

- 1 t. 2012–2019 Fiat 500;
- 2 u. 2013–2015 Honda Accord;
- 3 v. 2012–2015 Honda Civic (including GX, SI and Hybrid models);
- 4 w. 2012–2016 Honda CR-V;
- 5 x. 2013–2014 Honda Fit EV;
- 6 y. 2012–2017 Honda Fit;
- 7 z. 2012–2014 Honda Ridgeline;
- 8 aa. 2014–2019 Acura RLX (and the Hybrid model);
- 9 bb. 2012–2014 Acura TL;
- 10 cc. 2015–2017 Acura TLX;
- 11 dd. 2012–2014 Acura TSX (and the TSX Sport Wagon model);
- 12 ee. 2011–2019 Toyota Corolla;
- 13 ff. 2011–2013 Toyota Corolla Matrix;
- 14 gg. 2012–2018 Toyota Avalon;
- 15 hh. 2013–2018 Toyota Avalon HV;
- 16 ii. 2012–2019 Toyota Tacoma;
- 17 jj. 2012–2017 Toyota Tundra;
- 18 kk. 2012–2017 Toyota Sequoia;
- 19 ll. 2013–2017 Mitsubishi Lancer;
- 20 mm. 2013–2015 Mitsubishi Lancer Evolution;
- 21 nn. 2013–2015 Mitsubishi Lancer Ralliart;
- 22 oo. 2013–2016 Mitsubishi Lancer Sportback; and
- 23 pp. 2013 Mitsubishi Outlander.

24 542. Information produced by Defendants to date indicates there are over
25 19 million Class Vehicles.

- 26 a. Information produced by the domestic Toyota Defendants
27 indicates that there are 5,177,854 Toyota Class Vehicles.

28

- 1 b. Information produced by the domestic Honda Defendants
- 2 indicates that there are 3,593,499 Honda Class Vehicles.
- 3 c. Information produced by Kia USA indicates that there are
- 4 approximately 1,454,847 Kia Class Vehicles.
- 5 d. Information produced by Hyundai USA indicates that there are
- 6 approximately 1,866,060 Hyundai Class Vehicles.
- 7 e. Information produced by the domestic ZF Defendants indicates
- 8 that over 7,100,651 DS84 ACUs shipped for use in FCA's U.S.
- 9 vehicles. Based on this information, Plaintiffs allege there are
- 10 approximately 7,100,651 FCA Class Vehicles.
- 11 f. Information produced by Mitsubishi USA indicates that there
- 12 are approximately 97,565 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

13 **C. Installation of the DS84 ASIC and ACU in the Class Vehicles was the**
14 **result of a joint effort that involved every Defendant group.**

15 543. As explained more fully below, Defendants are jointly responsible for
16 including the DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC in the Class Vehicles.

17 **1. Between 2005 and 2008, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
18 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, and ST Italy jointly designed**
19 **the DS84 ASIC.**

20 544. Upon information and belief, on December 15, 2004, ZF Electronics
21 USA sent a Request for Quotation to several ASIC suppliers, including ST USA.
22 The Request sought proposals for an ASIC that could fire the squibs (i.e., trigger
23 the airbag inflators) for use in some of ZF Electronics USA's ACUs.

24 545. Upon information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA employed the
25 vast majority of the engineers and other technical personnel that assisted with the
26 preparation of the Request for Quotation.

27 546. On January 3, 2005, ST USA responded to the Request for Quotation.
28 Upon information and belief, ST Italy assisted ST USA with that response by

1 providing its expertise on chip design to inform the proposal. In doing so, ST Italy
2 knew and intended that its expertise would be used to make a design proposal to a
3 U.S.-based company (ZF Electronics USA) for the manufacture of an ACU in the
4 U.S. ST Italy also intended for its design proposals to ultimately be used for a key
5 component of the passive safety systems in U.S. vehicles.

6 547. The January 3, 2005 written response from ST USA and ST Italy
7 proposed a family of devices based on an existing design, the UT48, which ZF
8 Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA had not previously used. The UT48
9 combined the squib drivers (i.e. the output channel to trigger the airbag inflators)
10 and satellite channels (i.e., the input signal from the crash sensors on the front end
11 of the vehicle). These two different functions previously required two chips.

12 548. After several months of communications about the proposal, ZF
13 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, and ST Italy met on March 24,
14 2005 to develop an agenda for a meeting to be held in early April 2005 at ST Italy's
15 facility in Castelletto, Italy. Although they were employees of ZF Passive Safety
16 USA, George Backos and Keith Miciuda also attended on behalf of ZF Electronics
17 USA. Johannes Konle, an employee of TRW Automotive GmbH, also attended on
18 behalf of ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA. Frank Battaglia, [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED], Roger Forchhammer, [REDACTED]
20 Joseph Notaro, [REDACTED] and Christopher Thibeault attended on behalf of
21 ST Italy and ST USA.¹⁷ At this meeting, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
22 USA, ST Italy, and ST USA discussed the specifications, schedule, and other
23 details about the development of the DS84 ASIC for use in DS84 ACUs.

24 _____
25 ¹⁷ The domestic ZF Defendants provided interrogatory responses that identify all of
26 these individuals as employees of ST USA. These interrogatory responses were
27 verified by Emmanuel Goodman, an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who has
28 also held himself out as a technical specialist working for ZF Electronics USA.
Based on ZF's interrogatory responses, these individuals were the joint agents of
ST Italy and ST USA

1 549. On April 5, 6, and 7, 2005, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety
2 USA met with ST USA and ST Italy at one of ST Italy's facilities in Castelletto,
3 Italy. George Backos, Keith Miciuda,¹⁸ Johannes Konle, Tom VanDamme,
4 Matthias Goebel, Martin Mayer, and Armin Schmidt attended on behalf of ZF
5 Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA.¹⁹ [REDACTED] Antonella Grimald,
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED] Joseph Notaro, [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] Christopher Thibeault, and [REDACTED] attended on behalf of
10 ST USA and ST Italy.²⁰

11 550. This meeting between ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA,
12 ST USA, and ST Italy took place over the course of three days. The comprehensive
13 meetings included review of specifications, laboratory tours, and discussions of the
14 DS84 ASIC, technical requirements the DS84 ASIC had to meet, tests to run,
15 potential features to include, and a timeline for design and production, among
16 potentially other items. The third day of meetings was held at an ST USA
17 manufacturing site in Agrate, Italy.

18 551. Beginning in or around 2005 or 2006, technical employees at ZF
19 Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA began to design the DS84 ACUs with
20 the assumption that they would contain the DS84 ASIC. Upon information and

21 _____
22 ¹⁸ Mr. Miciuda was an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA.

23 ¹⁹ Although Konle, Goebel, Mayer, and Schmidt may have been employees of a
24 German ZF subsidiary, they represented the interests of ZF Electronics USA in
25 these discussions and their acts are attributable to ZF Electronics USA.

26 ²⁰ The domestic ZF Defendants provided interrogatory responses that identify all of
27 these individuals as employees of ST USA. These interrogatory responses were
28 verified by Emanuel Goodman, an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who has
also held himself out as a technical specialist working for ZF Electronics USA.
Based on ZF's interrogatory responses, these individuals were the joint agents of
ST Italy and ST USA.

1 belief, this assumption was based on at least an agreement in principle about the
2 DS84 ASIC development and design between ST USA, ST Italy, ZF Passive Safety
3 USA, and ZF Electronics USA. The ZF Passive Safety USA employees responsible
4 for designing the DS84 ACUs included Rich Guyon, Keith Miciuda, Niyant Patel,
5 and potentially others. Upon information and belief, these employees also worked
6 on behalf of ZF Electronics USA on this project. All the Domestic ZF Defendants
7 refer to this team of technical employees as the “core” team responsible for the
8 design of the DS84 ACU.

9 552. Throughout 2005, 2006, and 2007, ST USA and ST Italy designed,
10 tested, and modified the DS84 ASIC with ZF Electronics USA’s and ZF Passive
11 Safety USA’s input. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA and ST
12 Italy communicated by regular conference calls on a weekly cadence. According to
13 verified interrogatory responses, ZF Electronics USA spoke with “at least certain of
14 its customers concerning the development of the DS84” ASIC during this time.
15 Upon information and belief, these “certain” customers included the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants.

17 553. From on or about January 30 to February 2, 2007, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, and ST Italy held a design review meeting
19 at one of ST Italy’s facilities in Castelleto, Italy. Attendees on behalf of ZF Passive
20 Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA included Matthias Goebel, Keith Miciuda,
21 Holger Sradnick, and Tom VanDamme.²¹ At this design review meeting, ZF
22 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA and ST Italy discussed the
23 project timelines and the DS84 ASIC’s specifications, among potentially other
24

25
26 ²¹ Although Goebel and Sradnick may have been employees of a German ZF
27 subsidiary, they represented the interests of ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive
28 Safety USA in these discussions and their acts are attributable to ZF Electronics
USA and ZF Passive Safety USA.

1 items. The parties also discussed ST USA and ST Italy's final testing plan and the
2 results from the testing conducted on the DS84 ASIC to that date.

3 554. From on or about March 27 to March 29, 2007, ZF Passive Safety
4 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ST USA, and ST Italy met at a ST Italy facility in
5 Castelletto, Italy for a design review. Rich Guyon and Keith Miciuda, among
6 potentially others, attended for ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA.

7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED] Christopher Thibeault, and several other program
9 managers attended on behalf of ST USA and ST Italy.²² On the first day, ZF
10 Electronics USA, ST USA, and ST Italy discussed the DS84 ASIC's schedule and
11 reviewed technical items. On the second and third days, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
12 Passive Safety USA, ST USA, and ST Italy discussed various technical aspects of
13 the DS84 ASIC and the engineering and design plan moving forward. This included
14 discussions about the testing plan for the DS84 ASIC, a review of the DS84 ASIC's
15 specifications, and potential action items for the companies moving forward.

16 555. On or about May 2 and May 3, 2007, Keith Miciuda and Holger
17 Sradnick travelled on behalf of ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA to
18 ST Italy's facility in Castelletto, Italy, to meet with ST Italy and ST USA for a
19 design review. [REDACTED] Richard Mont, [REDACTED] Christopher
20 Thibeault, and [REDACTED] attended on behalf of ST Italy and ST USA.²³ During

21 _____
22 ²² The domestic ZF Defendants provided interrogatory responses that identify all
23 these individuals as employees of ST USA. These interrogatory responses were
24 verified by Emanuel Goodman, an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who has
25 also held himself out as a technical specialist for ZF Electronics USA. Based on
26 ZF's interrogatory responses, these individuals were the joint agents of ST Italy and
27 ST USA.

28 ²³ The domestic ZF Defendants provided interrogatory responses that identify all
these individuals as employees of ST USA. These interrogatory responses were
verified by Emanuel Goodman, an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who has
also held himself out as a technical specialist for ZF Electronics USA. Based on

Footnote continued on next page

1 the first day, the companies reviewed the schedule for all devices to be provided by
2 ST USA and ST Italy, conducted a technical review of the devices, including the
3 DS84 ASIC, and reviewed design-testing results for the DS84 ASIC, among other
4 topics. During the second day, the parties continued to review design-testing results
5 for the DS84 ASIC, including testing methodologies for thermal simulation, and
6 continued the technical review of the DS84 ASIC, among other topics.

7 556. In 2008, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive
8 USA, ST USA, and ST S.r.l. reached an agreement on the final design of the DS84
9 ASIC. ZF Automotive USA's involvement is based on documents attributing
10 ownership over design specifications to ZF Automotive USA. Upon information
11 and belief, ZF Automotive USA's ownership of these specifications and other
12 intellectual property associated with the design allowed other regional subsidiaries
13 outside the United States to make the DS84 ACU for vehicles sold in foreign
14 markets.

15 **2. Pursuant to agreements between ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
16 **Electronics USA, ST USA, and ST Italy, ST Malaysia**
17 **manufactured DS84 ASICs and shipped them to ST USA in**
18 **California.**

19 557. Upon information and belief, after ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
20 Electronics USA, ST USA, and ST Italy agreed upon the design for DS84 ASICs,
21 ZF Electronics USA placed orders for DS84 ASICs with ST USA.

22 558. Upon information and belief, pursuant to an agreement between ST
23 USA and ZF Electronics USA, ST USA then directed ST Malaysia to manufacture
24 the DS84 ASICs covered by any order.

25 559. ST Malaysia then shipped DS84 ASICs ordered by ZF Electronics
26 USA to ST USA's distribution center in the Los Angeles Area, also known as the

27 *Footnote continued from previous page*

28 ZF's interrogatory responses, these individuals were the joint agents of ST Italy and ST USA.

1 “STMicro LAX HUB.” Upon information and belief, ST Malaysia made these
2 shipments with full knowledge that all of the DS84 ASICs shipped to California
3 would then be shipped to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

4 560. ST USA then shipped the DS84 ASICs received from ST Malaysia at
5 the Los Angeles distribution center to a ZF Electronics USA facility in Illinois.

6 561. ZF Electronics USA then manufactured the DS84 ACUs for use in
7 Class Vehicles in Illinois, and incorporated into the ACUs the DS84 ASICs it had
8 received from ST USA and ST Malaysia.

9 **3. Between 2006 and 2012, ZF Electronics USA reached separate**
10 **agreements with each of the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant**
11 **groups regarding the use of the defective DS84 ACUs.**

12 562. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA reached an
13 agreement with each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group concerning the DS84
14 ACUs by responding to written Requests for Quotation. These Requests for
15 Quotation sent by each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group contained the
16 Vehicle Manufacturer’s specifications, which set forth the requirements that an
17 ACU must meet for use in that group’s vehicles. For each Vehicle Manufacturer
18 Defendant group, ZF Electronics USA responded with a proposal to use the DS84
19 ACUs, which succeeded in winning a competitive bid for the supply of DS84
20 ACUs for each Class Vehicle make and model.

21 563. Upon information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA provided all the
22 technical support and know-how for ZF Electronics USA’s preparation of responses
23 to Requests for Quotation issued by the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant groups.
24 While ZF Electronics USA has previously claimed it was the sole entity responsible
25 for the design of the DS84 ACU, discovery has confirmed that the vast majority of
26 the engineers who designed the DS84 ACU received paychecks from ZF Passive
27 Safety USA throughout the relevant time period. Accordingly, ZF Passive Safety
28 USA also was responsible for the design of the DS84 ACU.

1 564. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA and ZF TRW Corp.
2 knew of and approved ZF Electronics USA’s agreements with each Vehicle
3 Manufacturer Defendant group concerning the DS84 ACU.

4 565. Upon information and belief, the DS84 ACU’s low price was an
5 important factor to the Vehicle Manufacturers – including FCA, Hyundai Korea,
6 Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Toyota Engineering USA,²⁴ Honda Japan, Honda
7 Engineering USA, and Mitsubishi Japan – when they decided which ACUs to
8 purchase and place in the Class Vehicles.

9 **a. ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Electronics**
10 **USA marketed the DS84 ACU to the Vehicle Manufacturer**
11 **Defendants as a scalable ACU designed for “low-cost vehicle**
12 **markets.”**

13 566. Between 2006 and 2014, ZF Automotive USA made “[r]elentlessly
14 driv[ing] down costs” one of four key strategic priorities for itself and its
15 subsidiaries, including ZF Electronics USA. Several publicly available documents
16 published by ZF Automotive USA during this time period expressly list driving
17 down costs as a key priority.

18 567. Upon information and belief, the relatively low cost of the DS84
19 ACUs was a significant reason for ZF Electronics USA’s success in the bidding
20 process with each of the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant groups. Shortly before
21 ZF Electronics USA began high volume production-level shipments for use in
22 Class Vehicles in 2008 and 2009, ZF Electronics USA and ZF TRW Corp. issued
23 press releases under their former names that touted the low cost of the DS84 ACUs.
24 For example, one press release about the DS84 ACUs dated May 22, 2008 reads:

25 The TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. (NYSE: TRW)
26 subsidiary, TRW Automotive U.S. LLC, has developed a
27 scalable airbag control unit (ACU) designed for the growing

28 ²⁴ While non-party Toyota Japan made the selection of the DS84 ACU, Toyota
Engineering USA procured the ACU for use in Toyota Class Vehicles.

1 low-cost vehicle markets. The intelligent solution allows the
2 ACU to be adapted within a platform to offer two options –
3 standard and enhanced – for models sold within emerging
4 territories and for those exported to developed markets.

5 Ed Carpenter, vice president, TRW Electronics, said: “This
6 scalable ACU allows cost driven manufacturers to equip their
7 vehicles with safety electronics while maintaining their
8 competitiveness, and offers emerging safety electronics
9 markets the opportunity to fit their vehicles with advanced
10 safety equipment. This flexibility is essential for OEMs
11 looking for a single solution to satisfy both the emerging and
12 export markets.”

13 The standard system is configured for cost effective
14 applications of one to four squibs with no satellite interface,
15 but provides the flexibility to be used in an enhanced system,
16 designed to handle additional capability requirements of up to
17 eight squibs and four satellite interfaces. The standard and
18 enhanced options can be offered within the same base unit.

19 TRW’s standard ACU supports front and side crash detection
20 with the additional option of rear crash detection and can
21 support the interface of up to four satellite sensor modules or
22 can be configured for no satellite interface.

23 The metal housing design of the standard ACU meets cost,
24 packaging and reliability requirements while maintaining the
25 mechanical performance necessary for reliable crash sensing.

26 TRW is leading the way in the performance/price ratio with
27 this airbag controller, fulfilling the need for a cost effective
28 ACU not only for value oriented manufacturers, but also for
emerging crash sensor markets such as Brazil, Russia, India
and China.

568. Upon information and belief, the DS84 ACUs in the Class Vehicles
were the “enhanced version” of the ACU described in the May 22, 2008 press
release. Both the enhanced and standard versions used the DS84 ASIC.

1 569. In 2008 and 2009 in particular, around the time of launch of the DS84
2 ACU, the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants had significant incentives to cut costs.
3 During these years, the automotive industry experienced one of its most significant
4 financial crises in history. Two of the largest automakers in the world, FCA's
5 predecessor and General Motors, filed for bankruptcy as a result of this crisis.

6 **b. ZF Electronics USA reached an agreement with FCA**
7 **regarding the design of the DS84 ACUs to be used in FCA**
8 **Class Vehicles.**

9 570. In 2006, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA began to
10 adapt the general design of the ACU with the DS84 ASIC for use in FCA Class
11 Vehicles. To complete this adaptation, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety
12 USA obtained the express approval of FCA for the design of DS84 ACUs used in
13 all FCA Class Vehicles other than the 2009 Dodge Ram. Chrysler LLC, the
14 predecessor company that filed for bankruptcy in 2009, provided the express
15 approval for the 2009 Dodge Ram. FCA nonetheless assumed the warranty and
16 statutory recall obligations relating to the 2009 Dodge Ram after Chrysler LLC
17 filed for bankruptcy.

18 571. Between 2006 and 2008, ZF Electronics USA and Chrysler LLC
19 reached an agreement that the 2009 Dodge Ram would use the DS84 ACUs.

20 572. In July 2008, ZF Electronics USA made its first high-volume
21 production-level shipment of DS84 ACUs for use in the 2009 Dodge Ram.

22 573. In April 2009, Chrysler LLC filed for bankruptcy.

23 574. In June 2009, ZF Automotive USA and FCA (then operating under the
24 name Chrysler Group LLC), Chrysler LLC's successor, agreed to continue the
25 supplier relationship with ZF Automotive USA and its subsidiaries.

26 575. As part of this continued arrangement, ZF Electronics USA continued
27 to supply DS84 ACUs for installation in FCA Class Vehicles until in or around
28

1 2019, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to provide support for the design and
2 quality assurance of the DS84 ACUs.

3 576. Upon information and belief, following the June 2009 agreement with
4 ZF Automotive USA and before the DS84 ACUs were installed in the vehicles,
5 FCA agreed to and approved the design of the DS84 ACUs used in FCA Class
6 Vehicles. After reaching this agreement, FCA placed orders for the DS84 ACUs
7 from ZF Electronics USA.

8 577. In 2013, FCA and ZF Electronics USA agreed to some limited changes
9 to the design of DS84 ACUs to be used in certain Jeep vehicles. These design
10 changes did not cure the ACU Defect because the ACUs still contained the DS84
11 ASIC, which is uniquely vulnerable to transient electricity.

12 578. Between 2008 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made the DS84 ACUs
13 for FCA Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to FCA facilities in Michigan,
14 Illinois, Ohio, and Mexico.

15 579. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84
16 ACUs shipped to FCA's manufacturing sites would be installed in FCA Class
17 Vehicles marketed to United States consumers, among other reasons because it was
18 obligated to ensure they complied with U.S. Federal safety standards.

19 580. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
20 USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's primary point of contact for issues regarding the
21 DS84 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles was Kevin Plante, a Lead Product Investigator
22 for FCA.

23 **c. ZF Electronics USA reached an agreement with Hyundai**
24 **Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis regarding the design**
25 **of the DS84 ACUs to be used in Hyundai and Kia Class**
26 **Vehicles.**

27 581. In 2007, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA began to
28 adapt the general design of the ACU with the DS84 ASIC for use in Hyundai-Kia

1 Class Vehicles. To complete this adaptation, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive
2 Safety USA obtained the express approval of Hyundai Korea for the design of
3 DS84 ACUs used in Hyundai Class Vehicles and the express approval of Kia Korea
4 for the design of DS84 ACUs used in Kia Class Vehicles.

5 582. After Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea approved of the design of the
6 DS84 ACUs, Hyundai Mobis agreed to manufacture many DS84 ACUs for them.
7 To achieve this goal, Hyundai Mobis required its wholly owned subsidiary, Mobis
8 Parts America, to enter into a licensing agreement with ZF Electronics USA. This
9 agreement permitted Hyundai Mobis to manufacture hundreds of thousands of
10 DS84 ACUs for use in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles in South Korea. In doing so,
11 Mobis Part America and Hyundai Mobis reached an agreement with ZF Electronics
12 USA regarding the design of the DS84 ACUs to be used in Hyundai-Kia Class
13 Vehicles.

14 583. Hyundai Mobis also executed its own agreement with ZF Automotive
15 USA in September 2009. This agreement was signed by Dong-Jin Kim, the CEO of
16 Hyundai Mobis and Frank Mueller, who, upon information and belief, was the
17 executive vice president of ZF Automotive USA. Upon information and belief, this
18 agreement allowed Hyundai Mobis to place orders for the DS84 ACUs, and it
19 required ZF Electronics USA to deliver them to any place designated by Hyundai
20 Mobis.

21 584. In 2012, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and ZF
22 Electronics USA agreed to some limited changes to the design of the DS84 ACU
23 that was to be installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles going forward. These design
24 changes did not cure the ACU Defect because the ACUs still contained the DS84
25 ASIC, which is uniquely vulnerable to transient electricity.

26 585. Between 2009 and 2019, Hyundai Mobis manufactured hundreds of
27 thousands of DS84 ACUs and shipped them to Hyundai Korea in South Korea.
28 Hyundai Korea then installed these DS84 ACUs in thousands of Hyundai Class

1 Vehicles. Although Hyundai Korea made these Hyundai Class Vehicles in South
2 Korea, it specifically segregated them from other Hyundai vehicles that were
3 intended for sale in other countries, placed certification labels assuring compliance
4 with U.S. Federal safety requirements on the Hyundai Class Vehicles, and ensured
5 those Hyundai Class Vehicles shipped to the United States, with full knowledge
6 Hyundai USA would then distribute them across the United States.

7 586. Between 2009 and 2019, Hyundai Mobis manufactured thousands of
8 DS84 ACUs and shipped them to Kia Korea in South Korea. Kia Korea then
9 installed these DS84 ACUs in thousands of Kia Class Vehicles. Although Kia
10 Korea made these Kia Class Vehicles in South Korea, it segregated them from other
11 Kia vehicles that were intended for sale in other countries, placed certification
12 labels assuring compliance with U.S. Federal safety requirements on the Kia Class
13 Vehicles, and ensured those Kia Class Vehicles shipped to the United States, with
14 full knowledge Kia USA would then distribute them across the United States.

15 587. Between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made thousands of
16 DS84 ACUs for Hyundai Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to Hyundai
17 Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC in Alabama. Upon information and belief, ZF
18 Electronics USA shipped the DS84 ACUs to Hyundai Motor Manufacturing
19 Alabama, LLC because Hyundai Mobis instructed ZF Electronics USA to do so.
20 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC then followed the mandatory designs
21 issued by Hyundai Korea to build Hyundai Class Vehicles. These mandatory
22 designs required Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC to install DS84
23 ACUs in the Hyundai Class Vehicles built in Alabama. Upon information and
24 belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84 ACUs shipped to Hyundai Motor
25 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC would be installed in Hyundai Class Vehicles
26 marketed to United States consumers.

27 588. Between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made thousands of
28 DS84 ACUs for Kia Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to Kia Georgia,

1 Inc. in Georgia. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA shipped the
2 DS84 ACUs to Kia Georgia, Inc. because Hyundai Mobis instructed ZF Electronics
3 USA to do so. Kia Georgia, Inc. then followed the mandatory designs issued by Kia
4 Korea to build Kia Class Vehicles. These mandatory designs required Kia Georgia,
5 Inc. to install DS84 ACUs in the Kia Class Vehicles built in Georgia. Upon
6 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84 ACUs shipped to Kia
7 Georgia, Inc. would be installed in Kia Class Vehicles marketed to United States
8 consumers.

9 589. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84
10 ACUs shipped to Hyundai's and Kia's U.S. manufacturing subsidiaries would be
11 installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles marketed to United States consumers,
12 because it was obligated to ensure they complied with Federal safety standards
13 applicable to passive safety systems.

14 590. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
15 USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's primary points of contact for issues regarding
16 the DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles were SK Choi, a senior design
17 engineer for both Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea, and Taewon Park, an employee of
18 Hyundai Mobis.

19 **d. ZF Electronics USA reached an agreement with Toyota**
20 **Japan regarding the design of the DS84 ACUs.**

21 591. In 2008, ZF Electronics USA began to adapt the design of the ACU
22 with the DS84 ASIC for use in Toyota Class Vehicles. To complete this adaptation,
23 ZF Electronics USA obtained the express approval of Toyota Japan for the design
24 of DS84 ACUs used in Toyota Class Vehicles.

25 592. Upon information and belief and based on a written contract produced
26 by the domestic Toyota Defendants, Toyota Engineering USA has a contractual
27 relationship with ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
28 ZF Passive Safety USA. According to this contract, Toyota Japan and all its

1 worldwide affiliates are express third-party beneficiaries to the contract. The
2 contract names Toyota Japan; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc.;
3 Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada,
4 Inc.; and Toyota Sales USA as beneficiaries.

5 593. Between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made millions of DS84
6 ACUs for Toyota Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to Toyota Motor
7 Manufacturing, Texas, Inc. in Texas; Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada Inc. in
8 Canada; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc. in Indiana; Toyota Motor
9 Manufacturing de Baja California S. de R.L. de C.V. in Mexico; Toyota Motor
10 Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc. in Mississippi; and Toyota Motor Manufacturing,
11 Kentucky, Inc. in Kentucky. These Toyota subsidiaries then followed the
12 mandatory designs issued by Toyota Japan to build Toyota Class Vehicles. These
13 mandatory designs required the Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries to install DS84
14 ACUs in the Toyota Class Vehicles.

15 594. Upon information and belief, between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics
16 USA also shipped some DS84 ACUs to Toyota Japan in Japan. Toyota Japan then
17 installed these DS84 ACUs in thousands of Toyota Class Vehicles. Although
18 Toyota Japan made these Toyota Class Vehicles in Japan, it segregated them from
19 Toyota vehicles that were intended for sale in other countries, placed certification
20 labels assuring compliance with U.S. safety requirements on the Toyota Class
21 Vehicles, and ensured those Toyota Class Vehicles shipped to the United States,
22 with full knowledge Toyota Sales USA would then distribute them across the
23 United States.

24 595. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84
25 ACUs shipped to Toyota Japan and Toyota's manufacturing subsidiaries would be
26 installed in Toyota Class Vehicles marketed to United States consumers, because it
27 was supposed to ensure they complied with Federal safety standards applicable to
28 passive safety systems.

1 596. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
2 USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's primary point of contact for issues regarding the
3 DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles was Tsutomu Kondo, a group manager for
4 Toyota Japan based in Japan.

5 **e. ZF Electronics USA reached an agreement with Honda**
6 **Japan regarding the design of the DS84 ACUs.**

7 597. In 2009, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA adapted the
8 general design of the ACU with the DS84 ASIC for use in Honda Class Vehicles.
9 To complete this adaptation, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA
10 obtained the express approval of Honda Japan for the design of DS84 ACUs used
11 Honda Class Vehicles.

12 598. In 2014, Honda Japan and ZF Electronics USA agreed to some limited
13 changes to the design of DS84 ACUs used in some, but not all, Honda Class
14 Vehicles going forward. These design changes did not cure the ACU Defect
15 because the ACUs still contained the DS84 ASIC, which is uniquely vulnerable to
16 transient electricity.

17 599. Between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made millions of DS84
18 ACUs for Honda Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to Honda Canada Inc.
19 in Canada; Honda De México S.A. de C.V. in Mexico; Honda Manufacturing of
20 Indiana, LLC in Indiana; and Honda Engineering USA in Ohio. These Honda
21 subsidiaries then followed the mandatory designs issued by Honda Japan to build
22 Honda Class Vehicles. These mandatory designs required the Honda manufacturing
23 subsidiaries to install DS84 ACUs in the Honda Class Vehicles.

24 600. Upon information and belief, between 2009 and 2019, ZF Electronics
25 USA also shipped some DS84 ACUs to Honda Japan in Japan. Honda Japan then
26 installed these DS84 ACUs in thousands of Honda Class Vehicles. Although Honda
27 Japan made these Honda Class Vehicles in Japan, it segregated them from Honda
28 vehicles that were intended for sale in other countries, placed certification labels

1 assuring compliance with U.S. safety requirements on the Honda Class Vehicles,
2 and ensured those Honda Class Vehicles shipped to the United States, with full
3 knowledge Honda USA would then distribute them across the United States.

4 601. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84
5 ACUs shipped to Honda Japan and Honda's manufacturing subsidiaries would be
6 installed in Honda Class Vehicles marketed to United States consumers, because it
7 was obliged to ensure they complied with U.S. safety standards.

8 602. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
9 USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's primary point of contact for issues regarding the
10 DS84 ACUs in Honda Class Vehicles was Nobuhiro Koyoto, a Chief Engineer for
11 Honda Japan in Japan.

12 **f. ZF Electronics USA reached an agreement with Mitsubishi**
13 **Japan regarding the design of the DS84 ACUs.**

14 603. In 2012, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA adapted the
15 general design of the ACU with the DS84 ASIC for use in Mitsubishi Class
16 Vehicles. To complete this adaptation, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety
17 USA obtained the express approval of Mitsubishi Japan for the design of DS84
18 ACUs used in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

19 604. Between 2012 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA made tens of thousands
20 of DS84 ACUs for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles in Illinois and shipped them to
21 Mitsubishi Japan in Japan. Mitsubishi Japan then installed DS84 ACUs in the
22 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Although Mitsubishi Japan made these Mitsubishi Class
23 Vehicles in Japan, it segregated them from Mitsubishi vehicles that were intended
24 for sale in other countries, placed certification labels assuring compliance with U.S.
25 safety requirements on the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and ensured those Mitsubishi
26 Class Vehicles shipped to the United States.

27 605. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA knew the DS84
28 ACUs shipped to Mitsubishi Japan would be installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles

1 marketed to United States consumers, because it was supposed to ensure they
2 complied with U.S. safety standards.

3 606. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
4 USA, and ZF Automotive USA's primary point of contact for issues regarding the
5 DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles was Mikuni Fukutaro, who worked in
6 Mitsubishi Japan's Vehicle Engineering Development Division in Japan.

7 **D. Defendants have known the DS84 ACUs and ASICs were defective for**
8 **many years.**

9 607. As explained in more detail below, Defendants collectively learned
10 that the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs are uniquely vulnerable to EOS years
11 ago.

12 **1. By no later than January and February 2008, ZF Electronics USA,**
13 **ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, and ST Italy learned about the**
14 **defective DS84 ASIC's vulnerability to transient electricity.**

15 608. [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]

23 609. In January 2008, ZF Passive Safety USA's and ZF Electronics USA's
24 core DS84 ACU design team, including Rich Guyon, Keith Miciuda, and Niyant
25 Patel, reviewed the test results concerning the thermal shutdown performance of the
26 DS84 ASIC.

27 610. In February 2008, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST
28 USA, and ST Italy also had several meetings regarding the DS84 ASIC. For

1 example, on February 15, 18, and 19, 2008, ST USA, ST Italy, ZF Electronics
2 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA held conference calls and meetings regarding the
3 DS84 ASIC. During these discussions, the four companies discussed test results,
4 other engineering test results, and technical aspects of the DS84 ASIC.

5 611. Following these test results, ST USA and ST Italy recommended to ZF
6 Passive Safety USA's and ZF Electronics USA's core design team that protective
7 diodes be added to certain points of contact with the DS84 ASIC on the ACU.
8 Upon information and belief, this recommendation was predicated on the
9 recognition that the DS84 ASIC was vulnerable to transients and EOS.

10 612. In response to these 2008 thermal shutdown test results and the
11 conversations with ST USA and ST Italy, ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF
12 Electronics USA decided to add .12 ampere Schottky diodes to the crash sensor
13 communication lines on the DS84 ACUs for Toyota and Honda Class Vehicles but
14 did not add the .12 ampere diodes to the Hyundai-Kia or FCA Class Vehicles from
15 the 2009-2012 model years. ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA later
16 admitted to Toyota Japan that Toyota Class Vehicles were updated because the
17 design change occurred "in time" for the development of Toyota's next generation
18 ACU, known internally as Gen. 6.7, in 2009.

19 613. Upon information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF
20 Electronics USA made this change because they foresaw a risk that a negative
21 transient could travel up the crash sensor lines. An analysis prepared by ZF
22 Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA in 2008 (described more fully below)
23 specifically noted this risk. The addition of .12 ampere Schottky diodes, however,
24 did not fix the underlying problem with the ZF ACUs and Honda and Toyota Class
25 Vehicles because the ACUs still contain the DS84 ASIC, which is still vulnerable
26 to any transient that surpasses the diodes (either due to diode failure or the strength
27 of the current) or travels to the ACU from a source other than the DSI lines on
28 which the diodes were added (such as the squib power supply circuits).

1 614. In or around July 23, 2008, ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics
2 USA, including ZF Passive Safety USA employees Niyant Patel and Tom Wilson,
3 prepared a spreadsheet discussing the “Design Review Based on Failure Mode” for
4 the DS84 ASIC. The document acknowledged that the DS84 ASIC could only
5 sustain a maximum voltage of 5.5 volts from the power supply for a nearby
6 microcontroller and that exceeding that voltage could cause “possible damage to”
7 the DS84 ASIC and nondeployment of the front and/or side airbags. Upon
8 information and belief and based upon the metadata of a version of a document
9 produced by Toyota USA to NHTSA, Toyota Japan received and reviewed a copy
10 of this document in 2008. Accordingly, Toyota Japan was specifically aware of
11 these risks as well.

12 615. In or around October 30, 2008, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive
13 Safety USA, including ZF Passive Safety USA employee Tom Wilson, prepared a
14 spreadsheet discussing the “Design Review Based on Failure Mode” for the DS84
15 ACUs designed for Toyota Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief and the
16 metadata of a version of a document produced by Toyota USA to NHTSA, Toyota
17 Japan also received and reviewed a copy of this document in 2008. The document
18 noted: “ST ASIC is design [sic] to shutdown the channel automatically due to
19 overcurrent/overthermal If there is a negative transient on the DSI [(i.e., the
20 crash sensor)] line, it could potentially damage the ASIC permanently,” which in
21 turn could “disable of [sic] frontside airbag,” resulting in the airbag warning lamp
22 turning on, “[n]on deployment, or late deployment of frontal airbags”, and “[n]on
23 deployment of side airbags.” According to the document, the .12 ampere diode on
24 the crash sensor for Toyota Class Vehicles would not protect against a transient, if
25 either the “[d]iode has a short condition” or an “un-correct value of diode is
26 selected” (i.e., if the .12 ampere was too weak). Because it received the
27 spreadsheet, Toyota Japan was specifically aware of these risks as well.
28

1 616. Upon information and belief, it is ZF Electronics USA’s practice to
2 send these types of documents discussing the known risks of ACU failures to all its
3 customers. Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA likely
4 disclosed the same basic risks to the Honda, FCA, Hyundai-Kia, and Mitsubishi
5 Defendants. This is particularly true for the Hyundai-Kia, FCA, and Mitsubishi
6 Class Vehicles, which had even lower levels of circuit protection than the
7 insufficient .12 ampere diodes added on Honda and Toyota Class Vehicles.

8 **2. Between 2008 and the present, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
9 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and**
10 **ST Malaysia learned of dozens of DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC**
11 **failures in vehicles around the globe.**

12 617. Between 2008 and the present, the vulnerability of DS84 ACUs with
13 the DS84 ASIC to EOS became increasingly apparent based on serious safety
14 system failures in several crash tests and real-world crashes as well as warranty
15 claims noting failures in both devices.

16 618. When the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants received warranty claims
17 and other consumer reports of unexplained illumination of airbag warning lamps
18 (which are controlled by the ACU) and dangerous safety systems failures (such as
19 airbag and seatbelt failures), they routinely referred the issue to ZF Automotive
20 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA.

21 619. When ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
22 Safety USA observed troubling signs of EOS on the DS84 ASIC (such as a
23 noncommunicative ACU, burn marks, missing crash data, or reports of the failures
24 of airbags or seatbelts), they routinely asked ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
25 for assistance analyzing the DS84 ASICs retrieved from the malfunctioning DS84
26 ACUs.

27 620. [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 621. [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 622. [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 25 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 26 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 27 [REDACTED]
27 28 [REDACTED]
28 29 [REDACTED]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

[Redacted text block containing lines 1 through 15]

[Redacted text block containing lines 16 through 28]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

623.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

624.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



c.



d.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



e.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

f.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

g.

[Redacted]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

h.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

i.

[REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

j.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

k.

[REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



625. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



3. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective.

626. For many years, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew that the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles were vulnerable to EOS.

a. Between June 2010 and August 2015, Hyundai Mobis and Hyundai Korea returned 17 Hyundai-Kia vehicles with signs of EOS on DS84 ACUs to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA.

627. According to a document produced by ZF Automotive USA to NHTSA in connection with NHTSA's investigation of the ACU Defect, Hyundai Mobis and Hyundai Korea returned seventeen Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and/or ZF Electronics USA that showed signs of EOS damage to the DS84 ASIC. These warranty returns began as early as June 24, 2010, confirming Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai Mobis's, and ZF Automotive USA's knowledge of EOS issues in the DS84 ASIC at this early juncture. Further, these warranty returns proceeded up through August 2015, demonstrating knowledge of the potential for EOS damage to the DS84 ASIC in ACUs across multiple vehicle model years. Relevant excerpts of this document are included in the chart below:³⁰

³⁰ This excerpt excludes some columns to make the table readable.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Aug-15	SR2016102609, RMA (FR-16-03982), partially shorted to VFIREvoltage	Airbag warning lamp on	Hyundai	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	24-Jun-10	U501 is short to GND, pin44 is only 87ohm	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Unknown
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	11-Feb-11	Car crash, airbag isn't deployment [Crash records indicate commanded non deployment]	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Forte
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	16-Jan-12	AR49655, RMA34289, a fire supply open squib2 powered	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	3-May-12	pin7&44 of US01 short-circuit to GND	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Forte
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	5-May-12	pin7 of U501 short-circuit to GND.	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Forte
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Jul-12	Pin7 output signal abnormal	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	8-Dec-11	Mobis 43369km return (bad U501)	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	22-Oct-11	warranty return from Mobis 8938km	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	13-Oct-11	warranty return from Mobis 5068km	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	3-Oct-13	AR55575, RMA36366, B556E1700, pins 6 & 7 out of circuit & around 5.5 ohms B556E1700	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	20-Apr-13	Burnt (ic)	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	20-Jan-13	SR2014111008, RMA, Short between pins 19 and 20 B706E2337	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Optima

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	21-Nov-14	two current fault:PAB 1st Stg Batt.	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	30-Nov-14	This is Warranty return U501 and U601 were burnt	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	11-Dec-14	link to ECU-30-E181	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	14-Feb-15	link to 2308-ECU-30-F024	Airbag warning lamp on	MOBIS	Sonata

b. Between 2010 and May 17, 2012, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned that two DS84 ASICs retrieved from Hyundai Sonata durability tests showed signs of EOS.

628. In 2010, nonparty MGA Research Corporation, a US-based safety testing vendor, ran durability tests for Hyundai Sonatas. Although Defendants have produced very little information about these tests to Plaintiffs, a document produced by Kia USA indicates these tests involved frontal impact collisions of at least two Hyundai Sonatas.

629. Upon information and belief, in or around June 2010, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai Mobis alerted ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA that two DS84 ACUs retrieved from two Hyundai Sonatas subject to these durability tests were noncommunicative. This was a sign of EOS.

630. Upon information and belief, in or around June 2010, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai Mobis sent the two DS84 ACUs to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA in Farmington Hills, Michigan, with a request to analyze the malfunctioning DS84 ACUs.

1 631. Upon information and belief, on June 22, 2010, ZF Electronics USA,
2 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a memorandum to Hyundai
3 Korea and Hyundai Mobis concerning these two malfunctioning DS84 ACUs.

4 632. As to both malfunctioning DS84 ACUs from these durability tests, the
5 June 22, 2010 memorandum noted:

- 6 a. The resistance measurements from a power supply chip to the
7 DS84 ASIC was “very low”;
- 8 b. The EDR data could only be retrieved after replacing the
9 malfunctioning DS84 ASIC with a new DS84 ASIC; and
- 10 c. The EDR data contained incomplete crash records.

11 633. All of the observations noted in the prior paragraph were characteristic
12 signs of ASIC EOS.

13 634. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF
14 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed
15 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASICs from these
16 two Hyundai Sonata crash tests had EOS damage.

- 17 c. **Between 2010 and May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
18 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea,**
19 **Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned of a Kia Forte crash**
20 **in Weihai, China with no airbag deployment due to ASIC**
21 **EOS.**

22 635. In 2010, a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed in Weihai, a city in the
23 Chinese province of Shandong. The airbags failed to deploy in this crash.

24 636. On June 12, 2010, non-party Dongfeng Yueda Kia, Kia Korea’s
25 Chinese affiliate, prepared a report on this crash, which, upon information and
26 belief, was shared with Kia Korea. The report noted: “The end customer came to
27 report an [sic] crash accident without airbag deployment. The man injured was
28 being rescued [sic] in hospital. The vehicle was removed from accident spot. The
pics indicates [sic] there was damaged [sic] heavily in front, side and back of the

1 car. The end customer though [sic] the airbag should have been deployed to protect
2 passengers. But it didnot [sic] so the customer asked for investigation and
3 compensation.” Pictures from an inspection of the vehicle are reproduced below.



10
11 637. After the June 12, 2010 report, non-party Dongfeng Yueda Kia sent
12 the DS84 ACU from this Kia Forte to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
13 USA, and ZF Automotive USA’s office in Farmington Hills, Michigan.

14 638. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
15 USA, and ZF Automotive USA then analyzed the DS84 ACU and prepared a
16 written analysis, which was shared with Kia Korea in September 2010. The written
17 analysis noted the DS84 ACU had “[s]everal [a]ctive/[h]istory [diagnostic trouble
18 codes] . . . , including . . . VSAT_Fault[,] LLSE_Failure[,] Various squib faults[,]
19 Driver/Passenger [front impact sensor] no comm[unication][,] Other internal faults
20 associated with squib ASIC.” Upon information and belief, the ASIC described in
21 these trouble codes was the DS84 ASIC, and these codes were signs of EOS.

22 639. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF
23 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed
24 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this Kia
25 Forte had EOS damage.

26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. **Between August 2010 and May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis confirmed EOS damage on a DS84 ASIC from another Hyundai Sonata crash test.**

640. Upon information and belief, in 2010, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai Mobis requested that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyze a DS84 ACU recovered from a Hyundai Sonata crash test conducted by MGA Research, a US-based non-party safety testing vendor.

641. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA prepared a written report in response to this request and sent it to Hyundai Korea and Hyundai Mobis on or around August 19, 2010.

642. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this Hyundai Sonata crash test had EOS damage.

b. **Between 2011 and May 17, 2012, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Korea, and Kia Korea learned the airbags had not deployed in a Kia Forte crash in Xinyang, China with signs of ASIC EOS.**

643. In 2010 or early 2011, a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed in Xinyang, a city in the Chinese province of Henan. The airbags failed to deploy in this crash. The damage to the front end of the vehicle was substantial, as shown by the below pictures from an inspection of the vehicle.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

644. On or around January 31, 2011, Hyundai Mobis and non-party Dongfeng Yueda Kia sent the ACU from this vehicle to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA.

645. On February 11, 2011, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent a written analysis to Kia Korea, non-party Dongfeng Yueda Kia, and Hyundai Mobis. The analysis noted the following independent signs of EOS from that crash:

- a. “Measuring resistance from” two power supply chips to the DS84 ASIC “indicated low resistance.”
- b. The EDR data could not be retrieved from the ACU without replacing the malfunctioning DS84 ASIC with a new DS84 ASIC.
- c. Part of the EDR record was missing.

646. Although the February 11, 2011 analysis claimed the EDR data indicated the airbags should not have deployed, this speculation was unreliable because part of the crash record was missing.

647. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this Kia Forte had EOS damage.

1 c. **Between August 2011 and May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive**
2 **USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai**
3 **Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis observed EOS-**
4 **consistent damage in an ACU retrieved from a Kia Forte**
 that crashed in Ganzhou, China.

5 648. On July 22, 2011 in Ganzhou, a city in the Chinese province of
6 Jiangxi, a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed in China and its airbags failed to
7 deploy.

8 649. In August 2011, Hyundai Mobis asked non-party TRW Automotive
9 Components (Shanghai), ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
10 Passive Safety USA to analyze the ACU retrieved from the Chinese Kia Forte.

11 650. TRW Automotive Components (Shanghai)'s attempts to download the
12 EDR from this vehicle's ACUs were unsuccessful, because the ACU was "without
13 communication functions." This was a sign of ASIC EOS.


14 651. TRW Automotive Components (Shanghai) then sent the ACU to ZF
15 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA in Farmington
16 Hill, Michigan.

17 652. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
18 USA analyzed the ACU in August 2011 and observed damage to the DS84 ASIC
19 that was "consistent with EOS."

20 653. Upon information and belief, on December 9, 2011, ZF Automotive
21 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and non-party
22 TRW Automotive Components (Shanghai) sent Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, and
23 Hyundai Mobis a written slide deck presentation that described the analysis
24 confirming EOS damage to the DS84 ASIC from this Kia Forte. The presentation
25 identified the following independent signs of ASIC EOS:

- 26 a. The DS84 ASIC was "burnt over" two pins.
27 b. There was a visible burn mark to the top right-hand corner of the
28 DS84 ASIC (pictured below).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



- c. “Resistance Measurements of Power Supply found” two power supply chips “shorted to ground and each other internal to” the DS84 ASIC.
- d. The ACU was noncommunicative and special efforts had to be taken to extract the EDR data.
- e. The recovered EDR data was incomplete.

654. The December 9, 2011 written presentation admitted there was “[p]ossible internal damage to the squib ASIC [i.e., the DS84 ASIC] at the time of impact causing the Reset line pulled to low, which in turn resetting [sic] the Microcontroller operation resulting in partial EDR1 and non deployment.”

655. By no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA communicated their observation that this Kia Forte’s ACU had damage to the DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS to Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis.

- d. Between October 2011 and May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned of a Kia Forte crash with no airbag deployment in Hangzhou, China with signs of ASIC EOS.**

656. On October 8, 2011 in Hangzhou, a city in the Chinese province of Zhejiang, a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed into a truck that suddenly stopped in front of it. The Kia Forte’s airbags did not deploy.

1 657. The crash did substantial frontal damage to the Kia Forte, as shown by
2 the below pictures from the vehicle inspection.



11 658. Analysis of the ACU captured 11 diagnostic trouble codes, including
12 codes relating to front impact sensor communications errors, low resistance, and
13 shorts to ground. These were signs of ASIC EOS. By no later than December 7,
14 2011, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai
15 Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned about these diagnostic trouble
16 codes.

17 659. Although ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
18 Automotive USA claimed the EDR data from the ACU indicated the airbags should
19 not have deployed, they did not analyze the ACU.

20 660. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, Hyundai
21 Korea and Hyundai Mobis learned of this crash.

22 e. **In February 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics**
23 **USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and Kia Korea learned the**
24 **airbags had not deployed in a Kia K5 crash in Zhenjiang,**
25 **China with signs of EOS in the DS84 ASIC.**

26 661. On or around September 2011 in Zhenjiang, a city in the Chinese
27 province of Jiangsu, a Kia K5 with a DS84 ACU crashed into a pole. The impact
28

1 broke the pole and K5 was badly damaged, as the below pictures from an inspection
2 confirm. Despite this, the airbags failed to deploy.



9 662. Upon information and belief, the Kia K5 was the Chinese and South
10 Korean version of the Kia Optima, a Class Vehicle. The two models share a
11 common or very similar platform for the purposes of the passive safety system.

12 663. Upon information and belief, in February 2012, Kia Korea and
13 nonparty Dongfeng Yueda Kia sent the ACU from this vehicle to ZF Electronics
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA for analysis.

15 664. Upon information and belief, in February 2012, ZF Electronics USA,
16 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a written analysis of the
17 ACU to Kia Korea and nonparty Dongfeng Yueda Kia. The written analysis noted
18 the following independent signs of ASIC EOS:


- 19 a. The ACU had “low resistance” from two power supply circuits.
20 b. The ECU had the following diagnostic trouble codes stored:
21 “Internal Fault”, “SR Warning Lamp Failure”, “[front impact
22 sensor] Driver Communication Error”, and “[front impact
23 sensor] Passenger Communication Error.”
24 c. EDR data was recovered only after the malfunctioning DS84
25 ASIC was replaced with a new chip.

26 665. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF
27 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed
28

1 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this Kia
2 K5 had EOS damage.

3 **f. In March and May 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
4 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea,**
5 **Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned of a Kia Forte crash**
6 **with no airbag deployment in Quinan, China.**

7 666. On March 9, 2012 in Quinan, a city in the Chinese province of Hebei,
8 a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed but the airbags did not deploy. The crash did
9 significant damage to the front end of the Kia Forte, as shown by the below picture
10 from the vehicle inspection.



11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 667. In April 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
19 Electronics USA, and a non-party ZF subsidiary then called TRW Automotive
20 Components (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. provided a written analysis of this crash to Kia
21 Korea and nonparty Dongfeng Yueda Kia. Although the written analysis claimed
22 the airbags in this vehicle should not have deployed, the underlying investigation
23 did not include any inspection of the ACU or the DS84 ASIC. Without such an
24 inspection, the conclusion that deployment was not necessary was unsupported.

25
26 668. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF
27 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea
28 and Hyundai Mobis learned of this Kia Forte crash.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

g. Between March and May 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned of a Kia Forte crash with no airbag deployment in Baoding, China.

669. On March 23, 2012 in Baoding, a city in the Chinese province of Heibei, a Kia Forte with a DS84 ACU crashed but the airbags did not deploy. The crash did significant damage to the front end of the Kia Forte, as shown by the below picture.



670. In April 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and a non-party ZF subsidiary then called TRW Automotive Components (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. provided a written analysis of this crash to Kia Korea and nonparty Dongfeng Yueda Kia. Although the analysis claimed the airbags in this vehicle should not have deployed, the underlying investigation did not include any inspection of the ACU or the DS84 ASIC.

671. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai Mobis learned of this crash.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

h. In 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA and Kia Korea learned the airbags in a Kia K5 with a DS84 ASIC inadvertently deployed without a crash in Liuzhou, China.

672. On March 13, 2012, a Kia K5 with a DS84 ACU experienced an inadvertent airbag deployment, i.e., the airbags in the vehicle deployed even though the vehicle did not crash. This incident took place in Liuzhou, a city in the Chinese province of Guangxi.

673. Upon information and belief, the Kia K5 was the Chinese and South Korean version of the Kia Optima, a Class Vehicle. The two models share a common or very similar platform for the purposes of the passive safety system.

674. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this Kia K5 had EOS damage.

675. On June 13, 2012, a non-party ZF subsidiary then called TRW Automotive Components (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA provided a written slide deck presentation to Kia Korea analyzing this incident. According to the document, “[t]he unit was internally visually inspected. Signs of over heating of Squib ASIC U501 [i.e., the DS84 ASIC] could be observed on the top [particle circuit board] assembly.” The ACU had no EDR data and recorded 11 diagnostic trouble codes relating to, among other things, “Airbag short to battery,” “Driver [front impact sensor] communication,” and “ACU Internal fault.”


[REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]

3 676. The analysis from the Kia K5 incident specifically concluded: “The
4 failure was induced by an electrical overstress exceeding the absolute maximum
5 ratings of the device: EOS.”

- 6 **i. Between February and June 2012, Hyundai USA, ZF**
7 **Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
8 **Electronics USA learned of a 2011 Hyundai Sonata that**
9 **crashed in Iowa with no airbag deployment and other signs**
10 **of ASIC EOS.**

11 677. On December 16, 2011, Thomas Twohill and Janan Twohill were
12 driving their 2011 Hyundai Sonata in Fairfield, Iowa. Their vehicle crashed head on
13 into a Ford Contour that swerved into their lane. The accident was very serious. The
14 driver of the Ford Contour died. Nonetheless, the airbags and seatbelts in the
15 Twohill’s Sonata failed to activate, even though they should have given the crash
16 dynamics. The Twohills suffered severe facial injuries. A picture of the Twohill’s
17 Sonata is below.



1 678. Hyundai USA learned of this crash in February 2012.

2 679. Hyundai USA inspected the vehicle four months later, in June 2012,
3 and was not able to communicate with the ACU to obtain a crash record. This was a
4 sign of ASIC EOS. Hyundai USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
5 ZF Passive Safety USA communicated about the event. The inspector for Hyundai
6 USA identified 11 diagnostic trouble codes associated with the passive safety
7 system. This was further evidence of ASIC EOS.

8 680. On May 8, 2013, the Twohills sued Hyundai USA, alleging that the
9 failure of the seatbelts and airbags in their vehicle had caused them personal
10 injuries. Upon information and belief, Hyundai Korea learned of this lawsuit
11 shortly thereafter.

12 681. On February 25, 2014, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
13 and ZF Automotive USA downloaded information from the DS84 ACU from the
14 Twohill's Hyundai Sonata. The downloaded information included 14 indicators of
15 "fault." This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

16 682. On February 15, 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
17 and ZF Automotive USA retrieved some Event Data Recorder data by removing the
18 chip from the malfunctioning ACU on the Twohills' vehicle and transplanting it
19 onto a working ACU. The retrieved data had no record of the crash, which was
20 another sign of ASIC EOS.

21 683. On April 25, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
22 ZF Automotive USA analyzed this ACU and observed damage on the ASIC that is
23 consistent with EOS. Sihn Kwang Cheol, the Senior Research Engineer of Hyundai
24 Korea; Changbeom You, the Deputy General Manager of Hyundai Korea's Quality
25 Strategy Team; Kim Seong Hwan, the Assistant Manager of Hyundai Korea's
26 Electronic Improvement Team; Eric Sim, the Senior Manager of Hyundai USA's
27 Engineering and Design Analysis; and Park Chul Hong, the Manager of Hyundai
28 Mobis's NTF Analysis Team attended this inspection, which took place at a ZF

1 facility in Farmington Hills, Michigan. The inspection confirmed further evidence
2 of EOS, including abnormal resistance readings, “observations” that “the conformal
3 coating on the DS84 was disturbed (likely from localized heating of the ASIC),
4 discolorations near one mounting hole, [and] air bubble in one corner of the pcb.”
5 Bill Herndon of ZF Electronics USA made these observations. Afterwards, he
6 shared pictures of these observations with each of the other attendees at the
7 inspection.

8 684. [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]

17 685. [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

686.

j. Between March and May 2012, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis learned that EOS damage had been observed on a DS84 ACU from a Kia Forte that crashed in Egypt with no airbag deployment.

687. In or before March 2012, a Kia Forte with an ACU containing a DS84 ASIC crashed in Egypt, and its airbags failed to deploy.

688. In March of 2012, Hyundai Mobis requested that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA perform a post-crash analysis related to this failed airbag deployment. The Forte was severely damaged, as shown by the picture of the vehicle from an inspection.



1 689. In March 2012, Hyundai Mobis asked ZF Automotive USA, ZF
2 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA to analyze the ACU retrieved from
3 the Egyptian Kia Forte.

4 690. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
5 USA analyzed the ACU in March 2012 and observed damage to the DS84 ASIC
6 that was “consistent with EOS.”

7 691. Upon information and belief, on May 15, 2012, ZF Electronics USA,
8 ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent a written analysis of the
9 ACU retrieved from the Forte to Kia Korea and Hyundai Mobis. The analysis noted
10 the following independent signs of EOS.

- 11 a. An electrical check confirmed abnormally low resistance.
- 12 b. To access the EDR data, special steps had to be taken because
13 the ACU would not communicate with the crash data tool as
14 designed.
- 15 c. The ACU recorded the following diagnostic trouble codes:
16 “[front impact sensor] Driver communication error”, “[front
17 impact sensor] Passenger communication error”, and “[i]nternal
18 fault-replace ECU.” Upon information and belief, these codes
19 were signs of ASIC EOS.
- 20 d. The analysis noted the EDR data was only “partial.”

21 692. The analysis described above also noted: “[i]t is not possible to
22 determine whether ACU attempted to deploy, or would have recorded a near
23 deployment event, since no EDR was fully recorded.”

24 693. By no later than May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
25 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA communicated its observation that the Egyptian
26 Kia Forte’s ACU had damage to the DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS to
27 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis.

28

1 **k. Kia Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and**
2 **ZF Automotive USA observed evidence of DS84 ASIC EOS**
3 **during a Kia Optima crash test on April 2012.**

4 694. On April 20, 2012, Kia Korea performed a 30-mph frontal impact test
5 on a Kia Optima Hybrid for European market certification. This Optima had a
6 DS84 ACU.

7 695. During this test, the Event Data Recorder on the Optima’s ACU failed
8 to record information about the crash.

9 696. To investigate the cause of the missing data, Kia Korea immediately
10 sent the malfunctioning ACU to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
11 ZF Electronics USA for analysis.

12 697. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics
13 USA found EOS damage on the ACU’s DS84 ASIC and reported its conclusions to
14 Kia Korea.

15 **l. Between April 30, 2012 and May 17, 2012, ZF Automotive**
16 **USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Hyundai**
17 **Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis confirmed EOS**
18 **damage on a DS84 ASIC from a Hyundai Sonata crash test.**

19 698. Upon information and belief, some time in 2011 or early 2012,
20 Hyundai Korea and/or Hyundai Mobis requested that ZF Automotive USA, ZF
21 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyze a DS84 ACU recovered
22 from a Hyundai Sonata crash test conducted by MGA Research.

23 699. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
24 USA, and ZF Electronics USA prepared a written report in response to this request
25 and sent it to Hyundai Korea and/or Hyundai Mobis on or around April 30, 2012.

26 700. Upon information and belief, by no later than May 17, 2012, ZF
27 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed
28 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis that the DS84 ASIC from this
 Hyundai Sonata crash test had EOS damage.

1 **m. Between May 17, 2012 and June 2016, ZF Automotive USA,**
2 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea,**
3 **Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis discussed seven**
4 **additional suspicious field reports that they did not**
 meaningfully investigate.

5 701. Upon information and belief, on or around May 17, 2012, ZF
6 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA finalized a
7 written slide deck presentation on a root cause analysis and design robustness
8 improvement plan for the DS84 ACUs in Kia Fortes, Kia Optimas, Kia K5s, and
9 Hyundai Sonatas.

10 702. Upon information and belief, this slide deck presentation was then sent
11 to Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis on May 17, 2012, with ZF
12 Automotive USA's, ZF Electronics USA's, and ZF Passive Safety USA's approval.

13 703. The May 17, 2012 slide deck presentation identifies seven additional
14 field incidents involving Chinese Kia Fortes, including incidents in Rugao, Jiansu;
15 Jinan, Shangdong; Zhengshou, Henan; Nanyang, Henan; Jinhua, Zhejiang;
16 Yangcheng, Jiangsu; and Anhui, Wuhu.

17 704. Upon information and belief, between May 2012 and the present, Kia
18 Korea, Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis never sent ACUs, ASICs, or EDR data
19 retrieved from these Kia Fortes to any ZF company.

20 705. Based on a document produced by Kia USA and upon information and
21 belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia
22 Korea, Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis knew that no ACUs, ASICs, or EDR
23 data for these Fortes was sent to any ZF company as of June 2016.

24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

n. After observing evidence of ASIC EOS, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Korea, and Kia Korea agreed to inadequate design changes to the DS84 ACU.

706. In mid-2012, various personnel of ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis discussed incidents involving Hyundai and Kia vehicles containing ACUs with DS84 ASICs. During these meetings, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ST USA, and ST Italy discussed whether the DS84 ASIC could be damaged in ways that would affect airbag deployment.

707. For example, on May 2, 2012, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA and ST Italy met to discuss ST USA's and ST Italy's tests of DS84 ACUs for whether voltage exceeding internal device specifications could damage the DS84 ASIC, and whether transients on vehicle wiring could raise voltage above device specifications. In this meeting, SK Choi represented both Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea; YS Hwang and SH Lee represented Hyundai Mobis; Ed Wampuszyc represented ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA; and [REDACTED] represented ST USA and ST Italy.




708. On May 17, 2012, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA discussed approximately 20 field incidents and crash tests involving the DS84 ASIC and potential design changes to ACUs containing the DS84 ASIC. During this discussion, SK Choi represented Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea; MH Cho, YS Hwang, MC Jeon, and CH Park represented Hyundai Mobis; and SH Han, SJ Hong,

1 Farad Khairallah, M. Kim, and Sharath Reddy represented ZF Automotive USA,
2 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA.

3 709. During the summer of 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
4 USA, ZF Electronics USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis agreed
5 to add Schottky diodes to DS84 ACUs for future Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles.

6 710. Around July 23, 2012, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 ZF Automotive USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and Kia Korea began testing
8 ACUs with additional protective components on or around July 23, 2012.

9 711. On July 23, 2012, Hyundai Mobis sent a report to Kia Korea and
10 Hyundai Korea that called for a change to the DS84 ACU. The subject of the report
11 was “Hardware addition for internal ACU damage of . . . GEN6.0 ACU.” The
12 report described the following “Reason of Design change:” “Hardware addition for
13 improving damage of internal ACU by [front impact sensor] cut & power change
14 during collision.” The report is signed by three Hyundai Mobis employees.

MOBIS		
담당	그룹장	팀장
		


15
16
17
18 Plaintiffs do not presently know the identities of the employees responsible for
19 these signatures, but Hyundai Mobis does know that information.

20 712. A July 24, 2012 Hyundai Korea test report created by the Hyundai
21 Korea Chassis & Safety Design Team based in South Korea noted that a design
22 change was being made to address “the GEN6 ASIC internal ACU burnout in
23 actual collision.” Upon information and belief, this refers to an actual crash of a
24 Hyundai Sonata instead of a crash test. The report was written by Hyundai Korea
25 employees Chang Beom You and also approved by Hyundai Korea employees Woo
26 Geun Cho and Dae Gyun Kim.

27 713. Between July 29, 2012 and August 5, 2012, Kia Korea, Hyundai
28 Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF

1 Automotive USA met in Burlington, Wisconsin at an office of MGA Research. Se
2 Kyung Choi and Chang Beom You, two experts specializing in Chassis and Safety
3 Control Design, attended on behalf of Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea. Cheol Hong
4 Park attended on behalf of Hyundai Mobis. Ki Myeong Kim attended on behalf of
5 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA. The
6 purpose of the meeting was to run tests on purported improvements “related with
7 ASIC damage.”

8 714. Upon information and belief, ST USA and ST Italy provided ZF
9 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA with images of
10 observed ASIC damage in Hyundai-Kia vehicles during 2012, and ZF Automotive
11 USA then provided the same images to Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai
12 Mobis. These images appear in a Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea document that
13 Hyundai USA produced to NHTSA, and are reproduced below. Upon information
14 and belief, the images are the type of decapsulation analysis that only ST USA, ST
15 Italy, and ST Malaysia can perform on DS84 ASICs.



22

23 715. The same Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea document from 2012,
24 described in the preceding paragraph, acknowledges there was a “Problem
25 Occurring” with the “TRW Gen 6.0 ACU” fitted on Hyundai Sonatas, Kia Fortes,
26 and Kia Optimas. The document describes the “Cause” this way: “When the [front
27 impact sensor] ground short circuit due to engine room deformation/damage in the
28 event of a collision, failure of ignition ASIC due to internal inrush current in case of

1 ACU supply → Insufficient design of internal element (ASIC) protection circuit.”
2 The document defines “inrush current” as “[t]ransient current that increases
3 momentarily when powering on electronic parts but immediately returns to normal
4 state.”

5 716. In August 2012, following the tests described in the preceding
6 paragraph, Hyundai Korea changed the engineering plans for future productions of
7 the Sonata to “apply the Schottky diodes for ASIC damage problems.” A Schottky
8 diode does not strengthen the ASIC itself; instead, it can add external protection on
9 a particular line (i.e., a wire) that connects to the ASIC. Upon information and
10 belief, the Schottky diodes were placed on the communication lines linking the
11 crash sensors to the DS84 ASIC, which means the squib lines (the communication
12 lines to deploy the airbags) were still unprotected. Moreover, an electrical surge can
13 still overwhelm a Schottky diode and cause EOS in the ASIC.

14 717. Likewise, Kia Korea began to include DS84 ACUs with the same
15 inadequate changes in the Sedona beginning August 15, 2012, and other Kia Class
16 Vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs beginning September 1, 2012.

17 718. Because these changes affected hundreds of thousands of Kia and
18 Hyundai Class Vehicles sold in the United States, Kia USA and Hyundai USA
19 would have known about the change as well.

20 719. The addition of Schottky diodes to certain Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles
21 was insufficient to remedy the ACU Defect, but demonstrates that Kia USA,
22 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Ltd., ZF Electronics
23 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA knew that the defective
24 ACU was a serious safety concern that required action.³¹

25 ³¹ As explained above, the use of two Schottky diodes does not appear to fix the
26 defect. Many FCA Class Vehicles have one Schottky diode, but still had confirmed
27 cases of ASIC EOS in the ACUs in crashes. Similarly, Toyota Class Vehicles have
28 two Schottky diodes, but the same pattern of ASIC EOS emerged. FCA and Toyota
Engineering USA recalled many of these vehicles.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- o. Between July 2012 and December 2015, Kia USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA observed evidence that EOS had caused airbag and seatbelts to fail in a 2010 Kia Forte crash in Tallahassee, Florida.**


720. On the night of March 21, 2011, Joy King drove her 2010 Kia Forte Koup on U.S. Highway 19/27 in Tallahassee, Florida. A logging truck cut Ms. King's vehicle off after it entered the highway. Her Kia Forte collided into the rear end of the truck. The police report for the incident estimated that Ms. King's Forte was travelling at 65 miles per hour at the time of collision. The front airbag did not deploy. Upon information and belief, the airbag should have deployed given the severity and speed of the crash.

721. Ms. King's accident was very serious. She suffered a closed head injury, a fractured jaw, a fractured left shoulder, a fractured left arm, and a fractured lower back. All of her teeth had to be pulled out, and she had to have at least two surgeries.

722. Photos of Ms. King's wrecked Kia Forte show serious damage to the vehicle.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



723. On June 3, 2011, an accident reconstruction specialist called Kia USA’s customer assistance center about this accident and informed Kia USA that the airbags did not deploy. He provided Kia USA with the vehicle information.

724. On July 28, 2011, per its Consumer Assistance Center Case Report, Kia USA reviewed the photos from this incident and decided “no further assistance can be provided at this time.” “Case closed.”

725. On September 26, 2011, Heath King, Joy King’s husband, called Kia USA and requested “somebody to go out and look at the car, to see why the airbags did not deploy.” He noted the severe injuries suffered by Ms. King and stated: “I don’t understand why nothing has been done.” The representative at Kia USA then falsely stated: “Kia has never received police report or pictures.” This was false because Kia USA had received and reviewed pictures from the accident.

1 726. On October 6, 2011, an attorney representing Ms. King had another
2 phone call with Kia USA. He again informed Kia USA that the airbags in her
3 vehicle did not deploy and that Ms. King sustained serious injuries.

4 727. On November 28, 2011, Kia USA received a traffic accident report
5 and three additional color photos of Ms. King's Forte.

6 728. On July 18, 2012, Kia USA received a copy of a complaint by Ms.
7 King initiating a personal injury lawsuit against Kia USA.

8 729. Upon information and belief, Kia USA informed Kia Korea of Ms.
9 King's accident in 2012 because it had prompted a lawsuit and Kia USA reported
10 the incident to NHTSA in September 2012 as part of its Early Warning obligations
11 under the Transportation Recall Enhancement, Accountability and Documentation
12 ("TREAD") Act.

13 730. Between December 1 and 3, 2015, in response to a request from Kia
14 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA
15 analyzed the DS84 ACU from Ms. King's vehicle. They observed damage on the
16 DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS, and advised Kia USA that EOS
17 prevented creation of an EDR crash record.

18 731. On December 14 and 15, 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
19 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis
20 attended a joint inspection of Ms. King's vehicle in the United States.

21 732. [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. [REDACTED]

733. By no later than January 14, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA prepared a written analysis of the DS84 ACU from Ms. King’s Kia Forte. The report concluded:

- a. “Resistance to ground measurements identified anomaly on the DS84 squib asic. After replacing the DS84, the resistance to ground measurements were consistent with measurements on an exemplar ACU.”
- b. “An ACU download was performed. There is no crash record recorded.”
- c. “Analysis performed by ST Micro confirmed the presence of electrical overstress on the DS84.”

734. On May 24 and 25, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA met with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis in South Korea. During this meeting, these Defendants reviewed and discussed the January 14, 2016 report on the King crash, which confirmed EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

p. Between March 2014 and January 2016, Kia USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA learned of evidence that EOS had caused airbags and seatbelts to fail in a fatal accident involving a 2012 Kia Forte in San Leandro, California.

735. On July 28, 2013 a 2012 Kia Forte Sedan was hit head-on by a drunk driver at approximately 2 am in San Leandro, California. The drunk driver drove his vehicle towards the Forte at 67 miles per hour. Although both drivers swerved near impact, the front-ends of the vehicles collided.

736. The driver of the Kia Forte was named Ronald Hill. His wife, Lomia Faumuina, was riding in the passenger seat. Both Mr. Hill and Ms. Faumuina were wearing their seatbelts.

737. The crash involved a massive amount of force. The crush energy experienced by the Kia Forte was the equivalent of 302,000 foot-lbs. The force of the crash moved the dashboard of the Kia Forte 2.6 inches forward and displaced the airbag sensors.

738. The crash destroyed the front end of the Forte, as demonstrated by the below picture of the wreckage.



14 739. Despite the high speed and force of the collision, the airbags in the Kia
15 Forte did not deploy, and the seatbelt pretensioners failed to activate. By contrast,
16 the airbags in the vehicle that collided with the Kia Forte did deploy.

17 740. Upon information and belief, the airbags in the Kia Forte should have
18 deployed during this crash due to its severity and speed.

19 741. As a result of this accident, Ms. Faumuina died from blunt force
20 trauma and Mr. Hill suffered a brain bleed, a fractured pelvis, and a fractured right
21 leg.

22 742. On or about July 2, 2014, NHTSA sent Kia USA a letter requesting
23 information about this crash.

24 743. In March 2014, Kia USA was served with a complaint alleging the
25 non-deployment of the airbags in this crash had killed Ms. Faumuina and seriously
26 injured Mr. Hill.

27 744. On April 7, 2015, a Kia USA engineer attempted to download a crash
28 record from the DS84 ACU in Mr. Hill's and Ms. Faumuina's Forte. The attempt

1 failed because the download tool could not communicate with the ACU. This was a
2 sign of EOS.

3 745. On June 15, 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA and
4 ZF Electronics USA also attempted to download a crash record from the ACU at
5 their shared facility in Michigan. The attempt again failed. This was further
6 confirmation of EOS.

7 746. On October 9, 2015, an unknown individual submitted a Vehicle
8 Owner Questionnaire to NHTSA about this incident. The Questionnaire stated:
9 “THE CAR WAS INVOLVED IN A SERIOUS FRONTAL IMPACT AND THE
10 FRONT SEAT AIRBAGS DID NOT GO OFF. THE PASSENGER WAS KILLED
11 AND THE DRIVER WAS SERIOUSLY INJURED. KIA WAS INFORMED AND
12 THE AIRBAG CONTROL MODULE WAS TESTED AND FOUND TO BE NOT
13 WORKING.” The reporting individual is unknown because the public record
14 version of this questionnaire redacts his or her name.

15 747. On October 11, 2015, the same individual provided an update to the
16 questionnaire stating: “THIS IS A CORRECTION TO A COMPLAINT FILED
17 LAST WEEK. I CHECKED NO ON THE FATALITIES QUESTION. THE KIA
18 WAS IN A SERIOUS FATAL FRONTAL IMPACT BUT THE AIRBAGS DID
19 NOT DEPLOY. KIA TESTED THE AIRBAG CONTROL MODULE AND IT
20 HAD NO FAULT CODES AND DID NOT RECORD ANY CRASH DATA. KIA
21 HAS THE MODULE NOW. THE OTHER CARS AIRBAGS WORKED AND
22 THE DRUNK DRIVER SURVIVED...UPDATED 10/15/15 *BF ...UPDATED
23 12/29/15 *BF THE DATA SHOWED THAT THERE WERE NO STORED OR
24 DIAGNOSTIC FAULT CODES. THERE WAS NO CRASH RECORD
25 RECORDED BY THE ACU.” Again, the reporting individual is unknown because
26 the public record version of this questionnaire redacts his or her name.

27 748. Between December 1 and 3, 2015, in response to a request from Kia
28 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA

1 analyzed the DS84 ACU from Mr. Hill's and Ms. Faumuina's Forte. ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA observed
3 damage on the DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS, and advised Kia USA
4 that EOS prevented creation of an EDR crash record.

5 749. On December 9, 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
6 and ZF Electronics USA prepared a report for the 2012 Forte concerning Mr. Hill's
7 and Ms. Faumuina's crash and sent it to Kia USA. Emanuel Goodman, a longtime
8 employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who also served as a Senior Technical
9 Specialist for ZF Electronics USA, prepared the report. The report found:
10 "Resistance to ground measurements identified an anomaly on the DS84 squib
11 ASIC. After replacing the DS84, resistance to ground measurements were
12 consistent with measurements on exemplar ACU." This was a sign of EOS.

13 750. [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 751. On December 14 and 15, 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
23 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis attended a
24 joint inspection of Mr. Hill's and Ms. Faumuina's vehicle in Irwindale, California.
25 Part of the inspection included running tests with a new ACU. With a new ACU,
26 there were "no issues," aside from the sensor wiring being disconnected, which is a
27 clear sign an ACU issue was the cause of the failure.

28

1 752. On May 24 and 25, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
2 USA, and ZF Automotive USA met with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai
3 Mobis in South Korea. During this meeting, these Defendants reviewed and
4 discussed the updated January 14, 2016 report on the Faumuina crash.

5 **q. Between May 2015 and August 2017, Hyundai USA,**
6 **Hyundai Korea, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety**
7 **USA, and ZF Electronics USA learned that ASIC EOS had**
8 **occurred in another fatal accident involving a 2011 Hyundai**
 Sonata that crashed with no airbag deployment.

9 753. On September 27, 2014, Millard Johnson was driving a 2011 Hyundai
10 Sonata with his wife, Mary Johnson, in the passenger seat. A pickup truck
11 travelling at a speed higher than 65 miles per hour crashed into the Johnsons'
12 Sonata. The collision caused catastrophic damage to both vehicles. The below
13 picture of the Johnson's Sonata after the wreck confirms the serious nature of the
14 collision. The airbags in the pickup truck deployed. None of the airbags in the
15 Johnson's Sonata deployed, despite considerable damage to both the front and
16 driver's side. Upon information and belief, the airbags in the Johnsons' Sonata
17 should have deployed during the crash.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



754. Because of the crash, Mary Johnson suffered major injuries, including head trauma resulting in bleeding and blood pooling on the brain, multiple spinal cord injuries, dislocation of her right elbow, and a fractured right wrist.

755. Millard Johnson died from injuries he sustained in the crash.

756. On April 17, 2015, Hyundai USA inspected the Johnsons' Sonata. It sent the DS84 ACU from the vehicle to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA

757. In May 2015, Mary Johnson filed a lawsuit against Hyundai USA and Hyundai Korea. The complaint contained the information about this crash pled in the above paragraphs. Hyundai USA answered the complaint on June 15, 2015.

758. On November 3, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA attempted to recover a readout from the EDR, but found the ACU to be noncommunicative. This was a sign of DS84 ASIC EOS.

759. On August 24-25, 2017, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyzed the ACU retrieved from this incident.

1 They observed damage on the DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS. They
2 reported their findings to Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

3 **r. In March 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
4 **and ZF Automotive USA informed Kia Korea of test results**
5 **showing that a transient of -1.5 volts for 30 microseconds**
6 **would cause EOS of the DS84 ASIC.**

7 760. Upon information and belief, in 2015 or 2016, Kia Korea asked ZF
8 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to perform
9 negative transient tests and measure transient voltage, duration, and current
10 required to cause EOS damage to the DS84 ASIC.

11 761. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
12 USA then performed a test on a Kia Forte DS84 ACU.

13 762. Upon information and belief, on or around March 24, 2016, ZF
14 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA provided a
15 written report to Kia Korea summarizing the test results. The conclusion states:
16 “Transient . . . flowing through ASIC satellite channel caused electrical overstress
17 of ASIC.” Accordingly, these tests showed ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
18 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Kia Korea that the DS84 ASIC suffers EOS at a
19 relatively low voltage.

20 **s. Between May 2017 and August 2017, Kia USA, Kia Korea,**
21 **Hyundai Mobis, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety**
22 **USA, and ZF Electronics USA observed evidence that EOS**
23 **had caused the airbags to fail in a fatal accident involving a**
24 **2013 Kia Forte in Canada.**

25 763. On the morning of March 18, 2017 in Canada, a man named Julian
26 Dufort drove his 2013 Kia Forte. His vehicle crossed into an oncoming lane on a
27 two-lane rural road and collided with a Volkswagen Rabbit. The left fronts of the
28 two vehicles collided.

1 764. The Forte's airbags failed to deploy, whereas the Volkswagen's
2 airbags deployed. Mr. Dufort died from the crash.

3 765. Pictures of the wreckage confirm that the damage to Mr. Dufort's Kia
4 Forte was extreme and should have caused airbag deployment under any rational
5 deployment strategy.



15 766. Transport Canada (a Canadian government agency) received a
16 customer report and removed the ACU from the Forte.

17 767. After Transport Canada contacted Kia Canada, Inc. about the incident,
18 Kia Canada, Inc. contacted Kia USA for assistance. Kia USA then contacted ZF
19 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA for assistance.
20 Kia Canada, Inc. shipped the ACU to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
21 USA, and ZF Electronics USA's shared office in Michigan.

22 768. On August 24, 2017, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
23 Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis jointly inspected the DS84
24 ACU retrieved from Mr. Dufort's Forte. The joint inspection found internal damage
25 to the DS84 ASIC on the ACU and that the ACU had failed to maintain a crash
26 record. Both of these findings are signs of EOS.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

t. **Between August 2016 and August 2017, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA confirmed ASIC EOS had occurred in another fatal crash where a 2011 Hyundai Sonata’s airbags failed to deploy in Omaha, Nebraska.**

769. On March 16, 2016, Carl Gauff drove his 2011 Hyundai Sonata on U.S. highway 275 (also called “L Street”) in Omaha, Nebraska. His 15-year-old grandson was in the passenger seat. A drunk driver crashed a 2000 Ford Expedition head-on into Mr. Gauff’s vehicle. According to the Omaha Police Department’s accident re-constructionist, the drunk driver drove eastbound in the westbound lanes on the same highway at a high speed, over 40 miles per hour.

770. The airbags in the drunk driver’s 16-year-old Ford Expedition went off. But the airbags in Mr. Gauff’s 2011 Hyundai Sonata failed to deploy. The crash killed Mr. Gauff and knocked his grandson unconscious. His grandson was hospitalized.

771. Upon information and belief, the airbags in Mr. Gauff’s Sonata should have deployed in this crash.

772. Video footage of Mr. Gauff’s wrecked Sonata shows that the crash completely destroyed the front of the vehicle.



1 773. Hyundai USA inspected Mr. Gauff's Sonata on May 24, 2016. A
2 photograph taken during the inspection confirms the severe damage to the front-end
3 of the Sonata.



4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 774. In February 2017, Hyundai USA requested ZF Electronics USA, ZF
16 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to download the crash data from Mr.
17 Gauff's Sonata. They tried, but failed, because the EDR tool could not establish
18 communication with the DS84 ACU. This was a sign of EOS.

19 775. On August 24 or 25, 2017, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
20 USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyzed the DS84 ACU from Mr. Gauff's Sonata.
21 They observed damage consistent with EOS on the DS84 ASIC.³²

22 776. [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]

25
26 ³² On August 23, 2016 and March 3, 2017, ZF Electronics USA and ZF Automotive
27 USA also downloaded data from ACUs retrieved from other Kia Forte crashes with
28 no airbag deployment. They have not disclosed whether they found evidence of
EOS on these ACUs.

1 [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED]

4 777. On September 21, 2018, NHTSA sent a letter to Hyundai USA
5 attaching a Vehicle Owner Questionnaire submitted to NHTSA concerning Mr.
6 Gauff’s incident. The letter stated regarding this Questionnaire: “The Office of
7 Defects Investigation (ODI) has received (1) Vehicle Owner Questionnaire (VOQ)
8 report alleging the front airbag did not deploy in a frontal crash, due to an electrical
9 overstress condition (EOS) of the ACU.”

- 10 u. **Between August 2016 and March 2018, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in another Hyundai Sonata that crashed with no airbag deployment in California.**

14 778. On August 24, 2016, a 2011 Hyundai Sonata crashed into another
15 vehicle in California. The below photograph of the Sonata after the wreck indicates
16 that the crash was severe. The driver of the Sonata, Cayla Collins, suffered a broken
17 pelvis. She was hospitalized for a week.



1 779. Upon information and belief, Hyundai USA learned of this crash in
2 November 2016.

3 780. On February 9, 2017, Hyundai USA inspected Ms. Collins' vehicle.
4 The inspector found nine diagnostic trouble codes on the safety system, which was
5 a sign of DS84 ASIC EOS.

6 781. Upon information and belief, on March 27, 2018, ZF Electronics USA,
7 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA downloaded available data from
8 the DS84 ACU in Ms. Collins' Sonata and analyzed the ACU for signs of EOS.
9 They observed damage on the DS84 ASIC that was consistent with EOS.

10 782. Upon information and belief, in March or April 2018, ZF Electronics
11 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA reported their findings
12 related to EOS to Hyundai USA and Hyundai Korea.

13 **v. Kia USA has received notice of at least two other accidents**
14 **where airbags failed in Kia Class Vehicles.**

15 783. According to a complaint filed on October 23, 2015 against Kia USA
16 and ZF TRW Corp., a driver named Luis John Hernandez suffered serious injuries
17 when his 2012 Kia Forte crashed into a fence and dumpster in Puerto Rico and the
18 airbags failed to deploy.³³

19 784. In June 2018, Kia USA received another consumer complaint alleging
20 a fatal accident involving a 2012 Kia Forte that crashed in Perry, Georgia with no
21 airbag or seatbelt deployment.

22
23
24
25
26 _____
27 ³³ The complaint alleges the driver suffered a cerebral contusion, subarachnoid
28 hemorrhage, traumatic brain injury, and permanent disabilities impeding the ability
to perform daily tasks, communicate, and remember things.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

w. In March 2018, three more Sonatas experienced DS84 ACU ASIC EOS during crash tests developed by Hyundai Korea and conducted by Hyundai USA.

785. Between March 19 and 28, 2018, Hyundai USA conducted seven crash tests developed by Hyundai Korea and a third-party engineering firm. At this point NHTSA’s investigation for DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles was open for over two years. NHTSA supervised these crash tests.

786. In three of the seven crash tests developed by Hyundai Korea and executed by Hyundai USA, the DS84 ACU in the Hyundai Sonata suffered EOS damage. In two of these tests, the vehicles with ACU failures had observable wire harness damage which may have contributed to EOSs. The third such vehicle, however, had no observable wire damage that could have caused EOS. The presence of EOS damage in the third vehicle indicates that EOS can occur even without damage to the vehicle wiring.

787. In two of these crash tests, Hyundai Sonatas crashed at 70 miles per hour into another car. No airbags deployed and the ACUs failed to save a crash record. Hyundai USA’s investigation of the Ds84 ACU confirmed that ASIC EOS likely occurred, finding, “DS84 ASIC damage suspected.” The below pictures of the crashed Sonatas from these tests show damage that strongly indicates the airbags should have deployed.



788. On April 11-12, 2018, Hyundai USA, NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyzed three DS84 ACUs from

1 the March 18-28 crash tests at ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
2 Passive Safety USA's shared office in Farmington Hills, Michigan. The analysis
3 showed that, in all three ACUs, an internal electrical short occurred on the 5-volt
4 VCC line of the DS84 ASIC. Upon information and belief, this refers to a
5 connection between the DS84 ASIC and a power supply chip.

6 789. [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]

- 14 x. **In May 2018, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF**
15 **Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive**
16 **Safety USA discovered another Kia Forte crash with signs of**
17 **EOS.**

18 790. In early May 2018, NHTSA identified two Kia Fortes with DS84
19 ACUs and ASICs in salvage yards for further evaluation and asked Kia USA to
20 conduct an ACU download.

21 791. On May 15-16, 2018, Kia USA tried and failed to download crash data
22 from one of the vehicles, a 2012 Kia Forte.

23 792. On May 24, 2018, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Electronics USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis attended a joint
25 inspection of the ACU retrieved from the 2012 Kia Forte. The inspection took place
26 at a ZF facility in the United States—presumably where other inspections had taken
27 place: ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA's
28 shared office in Michigan. Part of the crash record was missing, which is a sign of

1 ASIC EOS. Resistance measurements on the circuit board were also consistent with
 2 previous EOS events. Based on these results, NHTSA requested that Kia conduct a
 3 recall of 2010 to 2013 Kia Fortes.

4 **4. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
 5 **FCA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia knew the FCA Class**
 6 **Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed**
 7 **therein, were defective.**

8 793. For many years, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
 9 Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia have known that the
 10 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in FCA Class Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to
 11 EOS.

12 **a. Between September 25, 2009 and September 6, 2016, FCA**
 13 **returned over twenty DS84 ACUs with signs of EOS on**
 14 **DS84 ACUs to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
 15 **and ZF Electronics USA.**

16 794. According to a document produced by the ZF Defendants to NHTSA
 17 in connection with NHTSA’s investigation of vehicles equipped with defective
 18 DS84 ACUs, FCA returned over twenty ACUs that showed signs of EOS in the
 19 DS84 ASIC to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
 20 USA between September 25, 2009 and September 6, 2016. Excerpts of this
 21 document with relevant dates of warranty returns are collected below. Each of these
 22 warranty returns indicates observations that the DS84 ACU malfunctioned due to
 23 EOS.

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Sep-09	AR45062, RMA31574, SQUIB FAULTS, PART BURNED	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	19-Nov-09	AR46093, RMA 32032, VSAT SHORT TO GROUND	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	7-Oct-08	AR47049, RMA32522, VDD SHORTED TO GROUND	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	1-May-10	AR47619, RMA32729, VDD SHORTED TO GND, PIN 7	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	9-Jun-11	AR49585, RMA34205, pulling down VUPP_Out(VRES) voltage	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Caliber
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	4-Jan-10	AR49609, RMA34284, return Squib to ST Micro analysis	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	4-Apr-12	AR50384, RMA34495, internally shorted pins 61 to 62	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	15-Jan-12	AR51945, RMA34838, Squib short to ground for squib 0	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	14-Sep-11	AR51952, RMA34848, Drivers seat belt is not working	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	13-May-11	AR52298, RMA34986, US01 has an internal VDD-GNDshort	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Caliber
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	29-Nov-11	EOS Customer Caused VOIDING QCCAR AR53218, RMA35467	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Ram
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	11-Oct-11	EOS Customer Caused VOIDING QCCAR AR53245, RMA35578	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Caliber
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	28-Aug-11	AR53251, RMA35671, No communication	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Ram
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	26-Mar-11	RMA 35626 Part was EOS VOIDING	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Fiat 500

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	18-Mar-12	AR53893, RMA35948, hot to the touch unit powered up B220E700	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Sep-12	AR54077 RMA36007, pulling down Sys_Reset line on pin5 B323E972	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Fiat 500
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	11-Sep-12	AR54343, RMA36059, SQ5 appear shorted to battery voltage	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Fiat 500
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	9-Aug-13	AR55344, RMA36223, Internal short between pins 29 & 30 B462E1418	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	200/ Sebring/ Avenger
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	3-Jun-13	AR55568, RMA36358, 3 volts & should be around 22 volts B546E1664	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Compass / Patriot
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	2-Sep-13	SR2014072201, RMA, causing abnormal squib output signals B623E1930	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Compass / Patriot
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	11-Dec-15	SR2016020310, RMA (B1009E3749), U501 has an internal short	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	29-Jan-16	SR2016100401, RMA (FR-16-03608), measure 17vdc instead of 23vdc.	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	6-Sep-16	SR2017110503, RMA (FR-17-05688), short from pin 34 to Gnd on pin 6	Airbag warning lamp on	Chrysler	Wrangler

795. FCA has also produced a document dated September 14, 2012 that analyzes the number of warranty returns for certain Jeep vehicles related to DS84 ACUs and ASICs as of that date. The document identified 11 total DS84 ASIC

1 returns and provided detailed information on failure symptoms for three Jeep
2 Wranglers. The failure symptoms for each of these three Jeep Wranglers identified
3 burnt metal on the DS84 ASIC, which is a sign of EOS. [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]

10 **b. In May 2011, FCA learned of airbag and seatbelt failures in**
11 **a 2009 Dodge Ram crash with signs of ASIC EOS.**

12 796. On May 6, 2011, John Brannon drove his 2009 Dodge Ram 1500 in
13 Hephzibah, Georgia. Although he was wearing his seatbelt when he crashed into a
14 vehicle that had stopped in front of him, the airbags failed to deploy, and the
15 seatbelts failed to lock. As a result, Mr. Brannon injured his head.

16 797. Mr. Brannon complained to FCA on May 9, 2011.

17 798. On May 11, 2011, an FCA representative inspected Mr. Brannon's
18 Ram 1500. Pictures from this inspection showed serious damage to the truck. The
19 impact severely deformed the front-end of the Ram 1500, pushing the frame on the
20 driver's side forward several inches. This type of damage indicates the seatbelts and
21 airbags should have deployed.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

799. The FCA inspector could not determine if the DS84 ACU recorded any diagnostic trouble codes “due to an electrical issue.” Upon information and belief, this meant the crash data retrieval tool could not communicate with the Ram’s DS84 ACU. This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

800. Nonetheless, FCA misleadingly concluded internally: “there is no indication that this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.” Upon information and belief, FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation on May 16, 2011 and closed the case.

801. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

c. Between 2011 and 2012, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA confirmed EOS damage on a DS84 ASIC in a 2010 Jeep Wrangler with an inadvertent deployment.

802. Upon information and belief, the airbags in a 2010 Jeep Wrangler in Glenview, Illinois deployed on August 22, 2011 even though the Wrangler did not crash into anything.

803. Upon information and belief, an FCA dealer serviced this Wrangler in early September 2011, and replaced a module, presumably the DS84 ACU.

1 804. Upon information and belief, applicable FCA policies and procedures
2 in this circumstance would have called for the dealer to send the DS84 ACU to
3 FCA. Accordingly, FCA likely received this DS84 ACU in 2011 or 2012.

4 805. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
5 USA, and ZF Electronics USA analyzed the DS84 ACU from this Wrangler and
6 confirmed EOS on the DS84 ASIC. Based on the timing of a 2012 warranty
7 analysis relating to Jeep Wranglers (discussed above) and a 2013 design review
8 relating to Jeep Wranglers that noted issues with EOS (discussed below), this
9 confirmation occurred in 2012 and likely precipitated the warranty analysis and
10 design review.

11 **d. Between 2013 and April 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
12 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA and FCA learned**
13 **that the driver-side curtain airbag and seatbelt in a 2012**
14 **Jeep Patriot failed during a September 2012 crash test due**
 to EOS.

15 806. On September 12, 2012, the driver side airbag and seatbelt failed to
16 activate in a 2012 Jeep Patriot crash test conducted by the Insurance Institute for
17 Highway Safety. The Jeep crashed into a rigid barrier at 40 miles per hour in the
18 test. A bird's eye view of the test shows the severity of this crash:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



807. All of the airbags in the 2012 Jeep Patriot should have deployed given the severity of the crash into the rigid barrier.

808. The crash completely destroyed the vehicle's front end on the driver's side, as shown by the below image.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



809. The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety rated this test result as “Poor” and specifically noted airbag and seatbelt failures: “The dummy’s head barely contacted the frontal airbag before sliding off the left side as the steering column moved 21 cm upward and 15 cm to the right, resulting in little airbag cushioning for the chest and leaving the head vulnerable to contact with forward side structure. . . . Additionally, the seat belt allowed excessive forward excursion of the dummy’s head and torso, and the driver’s seat tipped forward and toward the B-pillar. The side curtain airbag did not deploy, leaving the dummy’s head vulnerable to contacts with side structure and outside objects.” Upon information and belief, the ACU Defect caused the side curtain airbag and seatbelt failure in this crash test.

810. Upon information and belief, FCA engineers learned of this incident no later than 2013.

1 811. Sometime between 2013 and April 8, 2015, the following events
2 occurred, each of which was a sign of EOS.

- 3 a. FCA engineers obtained the ACU from this crash test and found
4 it did not communicate with the Crash Data Recovery (“CDR”)
5 tool;
- 6 b. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
7 Automotive USA analyzed the ACU during this time and
8 retrieved only a partial crash record; and
- 9 c. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
10 USA, and FCA concluded the DS84 ASIC in the 2012 Jeep
11 Patriot crash test sustained EOS damage.

12 812. On April 8, 2015, FCA engineers informed FCA’s compliance
13 department that the engineers observed EOS in the ACU from the 2012 Jeep Patriot
14 after the crash test.

- 15 e. **Between 2012 and April 2015, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
16 **Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST**
17 **Malaysia, and ST Italy confirmed the DS84 ACU in a 2012**
18 **Dodge Avenger had failed due to DS84 ASIC EOS during a**
crash in the United States.

19 813. On December 30, 2011, the front-end of a 2012 Dodge Avenger
20 crashed into a Ford F150 pickup truck somewhere in the United States. The crash
21 merited full airbag deployment, but the airbags in the Avenger failed to deploy. The
22 DS84 ACU also failed to save a crash record. Both of these failures were signs of
23 EOS.

24 814. Although Defendants have produced limited information about this
25 crash, the pictures of the wrecked Avenger confirm the accident was devastating.
26
27
28



13
14
15 815. Upon information and belief, FCA learned of this crash in 2012.

16 816. Well prior to April 2015, FCA's U.S. Office of General Counsel had
17 learned of this crash.

18 817. Prior to April 2015, FCA's engineers had performed an analysis of the
19 DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012 Dodge Avenger involved in this crash and
20 found the ACU did not communicate with the crash data retrieval tool. This was a
21 sign of EOS. FCA's compliance department learned about this analysis by no later
22 than April 6, 2015.

23 818. In April 2015, FCA's engineers informed FCA's compliance
24 department that ASIC EOS had occurred in the DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012
25 Dodge Avenger. Upon information and belief, this confirmation was based on an
26 earlier analysis of the same ACU by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
27 and ZF Automotive USA.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

819. [REDACTED]

f. In March 2013, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and FCA began to discuss the need for design changes because they knew the DS84 ASIC was vulnerable to transients.

820. Six months after the September 14, 2012 warranty analysis identified three Jeep Wranglers with burnt metal on the DS84 ASIC, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and FCA began reviewing “EOS Design” proposals for Jeep Wranglers. Upon information and belief, these Defendants began to discuss these proposals at least in part based on the warranty analysis from September 14, 2012.

821. On April 5, 2013, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA sent FCA a written update titled “JK [FCA’s codename for Jeep Wranglers] EOS Robustness Update.” The document discussed potential design changes, all of which fell short of replacing the DS84 ASIC with another ASIC with a stronger level of resistance to EOS, a strength possessed by competing ACU ASICs.

822. On April 15, 2013, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA sent FCA a written presentation titled “Chrysler JK [(Jeep

1 Wrangler)] and D-Segment [(Dodge rams)] Squib ASIC EOS Design Proposal
2 Evaluation results.”

- 3 a. The presentation states: “Dedicated team is continuing to work
4 comprehensive FTA/5P analysis of EOS occurrences observed
5 with ST DS84/MS84 ASICs to identify the system conditions
6 resulting in EOS.”
- 7 b. Upon information and belief, “FTA/5P analysis” refers to a type
8 of failure analysis called a “Fault Tree Analysis” and a type of
9 root cause analysis consisting of an analysis of 5 P’s (parts,
10 position, paper, people, and paradigms). ZF Automotive USA’s,
11 ZF Passive Safety USA’s and ZF Electronics USA’s dedication
12 of an entire team to this problem confirms that FCA, ZF
13 Automotive US. Inc., ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
14 Electronics USA were aware of signs of a very serious defect.
- 15 c. The presentation states that EOS had been observed in an “EOS
16 Design evaluation based on **Shorted Squib** high to Ground +
17 ORC Ground shift test.” Upon information and belief, this test
18 simulated a failure mode that can lead to inadvertent airbag
19 deployments with no crash event.
- 20 d. The presentation states that EOS had been observed in an “EOS
21 Design evaluation based on **Shorted Satellite** high to Ground +
22 ORC Ground shift test.” Upon information and belief, this test
23 simulated a failure mode that can lead to the nondeployment of
24 seatbelt and airbags during a crash.
- 25 e. The presentation discusses potential design changes, all of
26 which fell short of replacing the DS84 ASIC with another ASIC
27 with a stronger level of resistance to EOS possessed by
28 competing ACU ASICs. But the discussion of design changes

1 shows that FCA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Electronics USA
2 knew the current design was insufficient to protect against EOS.

3 823. Upon information and belief, FCA stopped using DS84 ASICs in
4 Dodge Rams starting with model year 2013.

5 824. On or around May 30, 2013, FCA received a document from ZF
6 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA addressing a
7 potential warranty concern regarding the defective DS84 ACUs. The document
8 described a risk that the wire connecting the crash sensor to the DS84 ACU could
9 cause EOS and recommended that further circuit protection be added to the
10 defective DS84 ACUs in light of this risk.

11 825. In June 2013, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
12 Automotive USA sent a written slide deck presentation to FCA. The presentation
13 was titled “TRW [Occupant Restraint Controller][:] ST Octal ASIC EOS
14 Countermeasures.” The “ST Octal ASIC” is another name for the DS84 ASIC. The
15 document described two “EOS Modes of failure.”

16 a. The first mode of failure occurred when a “[s]horted sensor line
17 to chassis ground,” a “[g]round shift,” and intermittent
18 “[b]attery supply” were combined. Upon information and belief,
19 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive
20 USA, and FCA knew that a foreseeable crash event can cause
21 this combination of conditions. The document identified two
22 “[p]otential result[s]” from this failure mode. At a minimum, the
23 airbag warning lamp could turn on. At worst, however, the three
24 conditions could send the “Micro in reset during a crash event.”
25 In other words, the DS84 ASIC could malfunction and stop
26 working during a crash. This could lead to the failure to activate
27 airbags and seatbelts.
28

1 b. The second mode of failure occurred when a squib line (i.e., the
2 electrical line connecting the DS84 ASIC to the airbag triggers)
3 shorting to chassis ground was combined with a ground shift.
4 Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
5 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and FCA knew that this type
6 of failure mode can occur during normal driving, without a
7 crash. The document identified two “[p]otential result[s]” from
8 this failure mode. At a minimum, the airbag warning lamp could
9 turn on. At worst, however, an “[i]nadvertent deployment” could
10 occur.


11 826. At least as early as 2014, FCA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, and ZF Electronics USA began to make changes to the DS84
13 ACUs used on new Jeep Patriots, Compasses, and Wranglers based on concerns
14 regarding EOS. Upon information and belief, ST Italy and ST USA were involved
15 in the testing and analysis that led this decision. Based on ST USA’s and ST Italy’s
16 analysis and input, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
17 Automotive USA altered the DS84 ACUs for these FCA Class Vehicles for the
18 2015 model year by adding some additional protective components to the
19 communication lines between the crash sensors and the DS84 ASIC but otherwise
20 leaving the design flaws of the DS84 ACUs unfixed. This inadequate stopgap
21 measure did not fix the ACU Defect (*see* Section IV.A.9. above) but does
22 demonstrate FCA’s, ZF Automotive USA’s, ZF Passive Safety USA’s, ZF
23 Electronics USA’s, ST USA’s and ST Italy’s knowledge that the original DS84
24 ACU was vulnerable to EOS.

25 827. Although FCA made these minor changes to certain Jeep vehicles,
26 FCA continued to distribute other new vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs that had
27 the same lower levels of circuit protection, including the 2015 and 2016 Fiat 500,
28 among others.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

g. Between 2014 and April 2015, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy confirmed ASIC EOS in a 2012 Jeep Patriot that crashed with no airbag deployment in the United States.

828. On December 20, 2013, the front end of a 2012 Jeep Patriot crashed into a Ford Expedition SUV. The Jeep was travelling at approximately 35 miles per hour. The crash merited full airbag deployment, but the airbags in the Jeep failed to deploy, and the DS84 ACU failed to record a crash record, both of which are indications of EOS. The pictures of the Jeep from an inspection show very serious damage to the front of the vehicle.



829. Upon information and belief, FCA learned of this crash in 2014.

830. Well prior to April 2015, FCA's U.S. Office of General Counsel had learned of this crash.

1 831. Prior to April 2015, FCA’s engineers had performed an analysis of the
2 DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012 Jeep Patriot involved in this crash and found
3 the ACU did not communicate with the crash data retrieval tool. This was a sign of
4 EOS. FCA’s compliance department learned about this analysis by no later than
5 April 6, 2015.

6 832. In April 2015, FCA’s engineers informed FCA’s compliance
7 department that ASIC EOS had occurred in the DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012
8 Jeep Patriot. Upon information and belief, this confirmation was based on an earlier
9 written analysis of the same DS84 ACU by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
10 USA, and ZF Automotive USA

11 833. [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]

20 **h. In April 2014, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2012**
21 **Dodge Ram crash with signs of EOS.**

22 834. On April 15, 2014, Allen Corbin drove a 2012 Dodge Ram in West
23 Virginia. He rear-ended another vehicle that was stopped at the top of a hill. The
24 Dodge Ram’s airbags failed to deploy. The crash broke Mr. Corbin’s sternum. An
25 ambulance took him to the emergency room.

26 835. On April 21, 2014, Mr. Corbin reported this crash to FCA.
27
28

1 836. In May 2014, FCA inspected Mr. Corbin’s Dodge Ram. The inspector
2 was unable to establish a connection between the DS84 ACU and a diagnostic tool,
3 which is a sign of EOS.

4 837. FCA’s records of the inspection confirmed: “There was front impact
5 damage. The bumper and core support were pushed in. Core support was kinked on
6 top, and pushed in on bottom. Left frame rail was bent. The support that goes from
7 bulk head to core support was kinked.” The below photograph confirms this
8 damage.




9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 838. Nonetheless, FCA concluded internally: “there is no indication that
19 this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.”
20 Upon information and belief, FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation
21 in May 2014 and closed the case.

22 839. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
23 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
24 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.
25
26
27
28

1 **i. In October 2014, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2014**
2 **Jeep Wrangler crash with signs of EOS.**

3 840. On October 19, 2014, Timothy Harris drove a 2011 Jeep Wrangler. He
4 was travelling at 50 miles per hour when he took his eyes off the road. When he
5 looked back, he saw a semitruck approaching, swerved off the road, and crashed
6 into a pole. None of the airbags deployed in the crash, and Mr. Harris was injured
7 as a result.

8 841. The Wrangler was declared a total loss from damage from the crash.
9 Pictures from an inspection of the vehicle showed the impact with the pole had
10 deformed the center and passenger side of the front end of the vehicle, pushing the
11 frame of the vehicle forward and warping the passenger-side wheel.



12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 842. FCA sent an inspector to look at the Jeep Wrangler in November 2014.
20 The inspector was unable to establish communication with the DS84 ACU. This
21 was a sign of ASIC EOS.
22


23 843. Nonetheless, FCA concluded internally: “there is no indication that
24 this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.”
25 Upon information and belief, FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation
26 on November 25, 2014, and closed the case.
27
28

1 844. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
2 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
3 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

4 **j. In April 2015, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2011**
5 **Dodge Ram.**

6 845. On or around February 27, 2015, Shirley Voisine drove her 2011
7 Dodge Ram in Maine in snowy conditions. She crashed the vehicle in a large pile-
8 up reportedly involving dozens of vehicles. None of the airbags in her Ram
9 deployed.

10 846. She reported the incident to FCA in April 2015, and FCA inspected the
11 vehicle shortly thereafter. The below photographs from the inspection confirmed
12 catastrophic damage to her Dodge Ram. Based on these photographs, the airbags
13 should have deployed.



1 847. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
2 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, FCA acknowledged it could not rule
3 out the ACU Defect for this crash.

4 **k. Between April 15, 2015 and October 14, 2015, FCA, ZF**
5 **Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics**
6 **USA, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy confirmed ASIC**
7 **EOS in a 2012 Jeep Patriot that crashed with a partial**
8 **airbag failure.**

8 848. On or around November 28, 2013, the right frontend of a 2012 Jeep
9 Patriot crashed at approximately 30 miles per hour into a mid-sized sedan in
10 Wisconsin. The 1st stage front airbags in the Jeep deployed but the second stage
11 airbags failed, even though the crash merited full airbag deployment.

12 849. The crash did serious damage to the front-end of the Jeep Patriot, as
13 demonstrated by the below pictures of the wrecked vehicle.



21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 850. FCA's compliance department learned about this incident by no later
2 than April 15, 2015. FCA's office of general counsel knew of the incident before
3 then.

4 851. The police attempted to download the crash record from this Patriot's
5 DS84 ACU, but were unable to do so. This was a sign of ASIC EOS. FCA, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA learned of this
7 issue in or before 2015.

8 852. Sometime prior to October 13, 2015, FCA sent the DS84 ACU from
9 this Jeep Patriot to ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA observed a visible burn mark on the DS84 ASIC from this ACU.
12 This was a sign of ASIC EOS. ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
13 ZF Automotive USA also observed abnormally low resistance measurements,
14 which was a further sign of ASIC EOS.

15 853. Upon information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics
16 USA, and ZF Automotive USA analyzed the EEPROM from the Patriot's DS84
17 ACU and found the EDR had an incomplete crash record. This was another sign of
18 EOS.

19 854. [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]

26 855. [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

856. By no later than October 13, 2015, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Electronics USA finalized this written report on the DS84 ACU from this Jeep Patriot. The conclusion of this report states:

- Visible EOS on DS84 ASIC
- EOS confirmed via resistance measurements and supplier analysis.
- Confirmed the near deploy flag was not set – default values present.

857. On October 14, 2015, FCA received this written report from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA that confirmed an DS84 ASIC EOS failure had occurred on the ACU retrieved from the 2012 Jeep Patriot involved in this crash.

858. On March 9, 2016, FCA completed an analysis of the crash event timing when ASIC EOS occurred in the 2012 Jeep Patriot. According to FCA, “the data proved that the ASIC EOS occurred before the second stage deployment command was given by the [ACU], inhibiting passenger second stage airbag deployment and potentially inhibiting driver second stage airbag deployment.” In other words, the second stage airbags in the Jeep Patriot failed due to ASIC EOS.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. Between April 15, 2015 and October 28, 2015, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy confirmed ASIC EOS in a 2012 Chrysler 200 convertible that crashed with no airbag deployment.

859. In or around August 2014, a 2012 Chrysler 200 convertible crashed in Maryland. The left side, front end of the Chrysler 200 crashed into an unknown vehicle at approximately 40 miles per hour. The airbags in the Chrysler 200 failed to deploy and the DS84 ACU failed to record any crash data, which are signs of EOS.

860. The crash completely destroyed the front end of the Chrysler, as demonstrated by the below images from the vehicle inspection.



861. Upon information and belief, this crash merited full airbag deployment.

1 862. FCA’s compliance department learned about this incident by no later
2 than April 15, 2015. FCA’s office of general counsel knew of the incident before
3 then.

4 863. On August 28, 2015, FCA provided ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
5 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA with the DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012
6 Chrysler 200 convertible involved in this crash.

7 864. Between August 28, 2015 and October 25, 2015, ZF Automotive USA,
8 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA analyzed the ACU. The
9 companies found the ACU had abnormal resistance measurements, which was a
10 sign of ASIC EOS. The companies also had to remove the EEPROM memory chip
11 and transplant it onto a working ACU to establish communication and attempt to
12 download a crash record. This was a further sign of EOS. After doing so, the
13 companies found no crash record. This was a further sign of ASIC EOS.

14 865. [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 866. By no later than October 26, 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA prepared a report on the DS84 ACU
24 received from the 2012 Chrysler 200 convertible. The report describes the project
25 as: “Download and inspection of ORC [Chrysler’s term for ACU]. Airbags did not
26 deploy in crash.” The conclusion of the report states: “No crash record present.
27 Measurements indicative of possible EOS damage to DS84.” The report also states:
28

1 “Findings consistent with prior testing shared with FCA indicating EOS caused by
2 out of specification transients.”

3 867. [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]

7 868. On October 28, 2015, FCA received this report from ZF Automotive
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA. FCA concluded the report
9 confirmed the ACU retrieved from the 2012 Chrysler 200 convertible had
10 malfunctioned due to EOS and failed to trigger the airbags in the crash.

11 **m. Between June 2015 and November 2015, FCA, ZF**
12 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
13 **Automotive USA tests replicated two EOS failure modes.**

14 869. In June 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
15 Automotive USA, and FCA communicated regarding the vulnerability of the DS84
16 ASIC to EOS. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
17 USA acknowledged to FCA that, “ASIC EOS failure could be caused by an
18 electrical transient generated during the crash under conditions of a front sensor
19 signal wire and high current power feed simultaneously shorted to vehicle chassis
20 and subsequent the power feed short opens.” During this time, ZF Electronics USA,
21 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA also demonstrated in testing that
22 a transient of 1.2 Volts to -2.0 Volts with duration of less than 100 microseconds
23 could create an ASIC EOS failure in its defective DS84 ACUs. Other, non-DS84
24 ACUs can withstand far greater voltage.

25 870. On July 29, 2015, FCA simulated the conditions of a simultaneous
26 shorted sensor signal wire and shorted high current power feed to vehicle chassis on
27 a Jeep Patriot. The simulation determined that even when the shorted power feed
28

1 condition was removed, transients of 1 to 2 Volts were generated and could cause
2 an ASIC EOS failure.

3 871. On September 15, 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
4 and ZF Automotive USA sent a lab report to FCA confirming that the DS84 ACU
5 resets when a negative transient creates an ASIC EOS event. As this indicated, the
6 ACU could fail to trigger the airbags and seatbelts when a transient creates an EOS
7 event.

8 872. Between October 13, 2015 and November 17, 2015, ZF Electronics
9 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to perform
10 transient testing for FCA. This testing found that the DS84 ASIC was
11 approximately 1/3rd to 3/16th as resistant to transients as the MS84 ASIC used by
12 other ACUs contemporaneously sold by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
13 USA, and/or ZF Automotive USA, and that the DS84 ASIC experienced resets at a
14 much faster rate than the MS84 ASIC did. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW
15 Corp. and ZF Germany were aware of these findings before ZF Automotive USA
16 and ZF Electronics USA shared them with FCA in fall 2015.³⁴

17 **n. Between April 15, 2015 and November 15, 2015, FCA, ZF**
18 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
19 **USA, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy confirmed ASIC**
20 **EOS failure in a 2012 Chrysler 200 that crashed with a**
partial airbag failure.

21 873. On September 18, 2013, left side, front end of a 2012 Chrysler 200
22 crashed at approximately 40 miles per hour into a Ford F150 pickup truck in
23

24 ³⁴ The results of these tests are contained in a PowerPoint presentation produced by
25 FCA US LLC. The title page of the presentation says, “ZF Friedrichshafen AG”
26 under the title. Each other page of the document states: “This document is the
27 property of ZF TRW Automotive and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be
28 copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing, without the prior written
consent of ZF TRW Automotive.” The phrase “ZF TRW Automotive” denotes ZF
TRW Corp.

1 Tennessee. The crash merited full airbag deployment but none of the airbags
2 deployed and the ACU failed to save a crash record.

3 874. The crash did serious damage to the front-end of the Chrysler 200, as
4 demonstrated by the below pictures of the wrecked vehicle.



19
20 875. In or before October 2015, FCA sent ZF Automotive USA, ZF
21 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA the DS84 ACU retrieved from this
22 2012 Chrysler 200. FCA informed ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
23 ZF Passive Safety USA that the airbags did not deploy in the Chrysler 200 during a
24 crash and requested a download and inspection of the DS84 ACU.

25 876. On October 22, 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
26 ZF Passive Safety USA attempted to download the crash record, but found there
27 was no crash record. This was a sign of ASIC EOS. The diagnostic tool found
28 active communication faults on the DS84 ACU, which stopped after replacing the

1 ACU with a new one. This was a further sign of ASIC EOS. The companies also
2 found abnormally low resistance measurements on the ACU, which is yet another
3 sign of ASIC EOS.

4 877. [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]

19 878. On or around November 17, 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
20 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA finalized a report on the DS84 ACU
21 received from the 2012 Chrysler 200. The conclusion of the report states: “No crash
22 record present. Supplier analysis confirmed electrical overstress on DS84.” The
23 report also states: “Findings consistent with prior testing shared with FCA
24 indicating EOS caused by out of specification transients.”

25 879. On or around November 17, 2015, FCA received a report from ZF
26 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA of this report.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- o. Between May 16, 2012 and September 2016, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA learned of a 2011 Dodge Avenger with suspected EOS that crashed with no airbag deployment.**

880. On May 16, 2012, the right side, front end of a 2011 Dodge Avenger crashed with a Ford F150 pickup truck. The Avenger was travelling at approximately 25 miles per hour. The crash merited full airbag deployment, but none of the airbags deployed in the Avenger.

881. Photos of the wrecked 2011 Dodge Avenger confirm that the crash destroyed the front end of the vehicle.



882. FCA’s compliance department learned about this incident by no later than April 15, 2015. FCA’s office of general counsel knew of the incident before then.

883. By no later than February 5, 2016, ZF Automotive USA knew the DS84 ACU from the 2011 Dodge Avenger did not communicate, which is a sign of EOS.

884. In September 2016, FCA concluded that EOS was “strongly suspected” in this incident.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

p. In December 2015, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2012 Jeep Wrangler crash with signs of EOS.

885. On November 29, 2015, Melissa Koenig drove a 2012 Jeep Wrangler in South Wales, New York. Her Wrangler crashed into a telephone pole. The airbags in her vehicle failed to deploy. Although she was wearing a seatbelt, she suffered head injuries and memory loss. These injuries indicate the seatbelt did not restrain her.

886. Ms. Koenig notified FCA of this incident on December 4, 2015.

887. On or around December 14, 2015, FCA sent an inspector to look at the Wrangler. The inspector's crash diagnostic tool could not communicate with the DS84 ACU. This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

888. When the inspector turned on the Wrangler, the airbag warning lamp was illuminated. This was another sign of ASIC EOS.

889. The pictures taken by the inspector confirm the crash had severely damaged the front end of the Wrangler, bending the frame on the driver's side.





1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 890. Despite the severity of the crash, the apparent failure of the seatbelts to
10 prevent a serious head injury, and failure of the ACU to communicate, FCA
11 concluded internally: “there is no indication that this accident or the injuries were
12 the result of a design or manufacturing defect.” Upon information and belief, FCA
13 sent a letter denying the claim for compensation on December 30, 2015, and closed
14 the case.

15 891. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
16 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
17 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

18 **q. Between December 15, 2015 and March 31, 2016, FCA, ZF**
19 **Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
20 **Electronics USA learned of another case of suspected EOS**
21 **and failed airbags involving a 2013 Chrysler 200.**

22 892. On December 15, 2015, FCA’s compliance department learned of
23 airbag deployment failure in a 2013 Chrysler 200 that crashed into a sport utility
24 vehicle.

25 893. The pictures of the wrecked 2013 Chrysler 200 show severe front end
26 damage.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



894. On February 18, 2016, a representative from FCA inspected the 2013 Chrysler 200 and found the ACU did not communicate, which is a sign of EOS.

895. On March 31, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA transferred the DS84 ASIC from the noncommunicative DS84 ACU to a new ACU and found the DS84 ASIC did not have a crash record. This was another sign of EOS.

896. In September 2016, FCA concluded that EOS was “strongly suspected” in this incident.

r. In March and April 2015, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2012 Fiat 500 crash with signs of ASIC EOS.

897. On January 7, 2015, Wanda Ashby drove her 2012 Fiat 500 on a neighborhood street in Mission Viejo, California. Her vehicle crashed into a sport utility vehicle that stopped suddenly in front of her. The airbag failed to deploy in

1 the crash even though the crash was severe enough to fracture Ms. Ashby’s sternum
2 and hospitalize her for five days. Ms. Ashby’s insurer declared the Fiat 500 a total
3 loss based on the damage from the accident.

4 898. On March 7, 2015, Ms. Ashby notified FCA of the accident and sought
5 compensation based on the failure of the airbags to deploy.

6 899. In March or April 2015, FCA inspected Ms. Ashby’s Fiat 500. The
7 inspector could not establish communication with the DS84 ACU. The diagnostic
8 tool reported: “The ecu required to identify the vehicle (TIPMCGW) is non-
9 responsive. This condition must be corrected before the diagnostic session can
10 continue.” This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

11 900. Based on photographs produced by FCA, the inspector powered up the
12 vehicle and the dashboard stated, “airbag failure,” as confirmed by the below
13 picture. Upon information and belief, this was a sign of ASIC EOS.



14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 901. Despite the inability to communicate with the DS84 ACU and the
23 warning “airbag failure” on the vehicle’s dashboard, FCA concluded internally
24 “there is no indication that this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or
25 manufacturing defect.” Based on FCA’s records, FCA sent a letter to Ms. Ashby
26 denying any defect and the case was closed in April 2015.
27
28

1 902. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
2 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
3 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

4 **s. In August 2015, FCA learned that airbag had not deployed**
5 **in a 2014 Jeep Compass with signs of ASIC EOS.**

6 903. In April 2015, FCA’s compliance department identified a suspicious
7 crash where the airbags failed to deploy in a 2014 Jeep Compass that had crashed
8 into a garbage truck. Although the crash destroyed the front end of the Jeep (as the
9 below pictures confirm), no airbags deployed.



23 904. On August 31, 2015, FCA examined the data obtained from the EDR
24 for this Compass. It found no crash data recorded and an internal ASIC fault noted
25 in the diagnostic record. These were signs of ASIC EOS.


26 905. By no later than September 2016, FCA concluded ASIC EOS was
27 “strongly suspected” in this crash.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

t. In September 2015, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2012 Dodge Ram crash with signs of ASIC EOS.

906. On August 15, 2015, Michael Attardo drove a 2012 Ram 1500 in Mansfield, Connecticut. He drifted across the eastbound travel lane on Route 89, and collided with a westbound vehicle before leaving the highway. After this collision, Mr. Attardo's truck continued travelling west off the roadway, collided with several trees and a stone wall, where it finally stopped. Mr. Attardo broke his neck and shoulder bone and suffered head injuries.

907. This accident was an extremely serious head-on collision on a highway. Pictures of Mr. Attardo's wrecked Ram confirm complete destruction of the front end of the vehicle. The crash severely deformed the front-end of the Ram 1500, shattered the windshield, and mangled the engine block.



1 908. The crash also moved the steering wheel several inches, as the below
2 the image from an inspection confirms.



10 909. Given these facts about the crash, the Dodge Ram’s airbags should
11 have deployed.

12 910. In 2015, FCA inspected this vehicle. The inspector could not access
13 the crash diagnostics due to electrical system damage, which upon information and
14 belief, meant the ACU was noncommunicative. This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

15 911. Nonetheless, FCA concluded internally: “there is no indication that
16 this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.”
17 Upon information and belief, FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation.
18 FCA closed the case in 2016.

19 912. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
20 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
21 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

22 u. **By no later than July 19, 2016, FCA, ZF Automotive USA,**
23 **ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA knew of a**
24 **September 11, 2015 crash where the airbags failed to deploy**
25 **in a 2013 Dodge Avenger and there were signs of EOS.**

26 913. On September 11, 2015, the front end of a 2013 Dodge Avenger
27 crashed into a Jeep Grand Cherokee. The airbags in the 2013 Dodge Avenger failed
28 to deploy. The ACU in the 2013 Dodge Avenger failed to save a crash record. The
ACU failed to communicate, which is a sign of EOS.

1 914. Upon information and belief, FCA learned about this crash in 2015 or
2 2016.

3 915. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
4 USA knew of this crash by no later July 19, 2016.

5 **v. By September 2016, FCA concluded EOS had likely**
6 **occurred in another 2012 Chrysler 200 that crashed with no**
7 **airbag deployment.**

8 916. In September 2016, FCA concluded EOS was “strongly suspected” in
9 a crash where a 2012 Chrysler 200 collided with a full-size sports utility vehicle
10 and the airbags did not deploy. The EDR from this vehicle had not recorded any
11 crash record, which was a sign of ASIC EOS. Pictures of the wrecked Chrysler 200
12 are below.



13
14
15
16
17
18 **w. In December 2016, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2016**
19 **Jeep Patriot crash with signs of ASIC EOS.**

20
21 917. On December 10, 2016, Carmen Zimmer drove her 2016 Jeep Patriot
22 in South Dakota. She was travelling at 70 miles per hour in the right lane of a road
23 when the car in front of her suddenly changed lanes, which revealed a stopped
24 vehicle ahead. It was too late to avoid a collision. Despite the high speed of the
25 crash, the airbags in her Patriot failed to deploy, and Ms. Zimmer suffered chest
26 injuries.

27 918. On December 14, 2016, Ms. Zimmer notified FCA of the crash.
28

1 919. In January 2017, FCA inspected Ms. Zimmer’s Patriot. The inspector
2 found several cuts in the battery cables. He attempted to connect to the ACU with a
3 jumper box but failed. This was sign of ASIC EOS.

4 920. Pictures of Ms. Zimmer’s wrecked Patriot confirm the crash had
5 severely deformed the passenger-side, front-end of the vehicle.



13
14 921. Nonetheless, FCA concluded internally: “there is no indication that
15 this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.”
16 FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation in January 2017, and closed
17 the case.

18 922. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
19 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
20 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.


21
22 **x. In March 2018, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2017
23 Jeep Patriot crash with signs of ASIC EOS.**

24 923. On March 12, 2018, Austin Kidd drove his 2017 Jeep Patriot in
25 Kentucky. He was driving along a curve when an oncoming vehicle collided with
26 the driver’s side of his Jeep. The airbags in Mr. Kidd’s Jeep failed to deploy.

27 924. On March 12, 2018, Mr. Kidd and his mother reported the incident to
28 FCA.

1 925. On March 14, 2018, FCA sent an inspector to look at the vehicle with
2 the instruction “EDR REQUIRED.” Based on photographs of the inspection, the
3 inspector’s crash data retrieval tool could not communicate with the DS84 ACU.
4 This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

5 926. The inspection confirmed very severe damage to the driver’s side of
6 the vehicle, including deformation of the front-end frame. These pictures indicate
7 the airbags in the Patriot should have deployed.



23 927. Despite the failure to obtain the required EDR, FCA sent a denial letter
24 to Mr. Kidd and closed the case in May 2018.

25 928. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to
26 NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could
27 not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.
28

1 y. **In December 2018, FCA learned of airbag failures in a 2016**
2 **Jeep Wrangler crash with signs of ASIC EOS.**

3 929. On December 20, 2018, Marissa Monroe drove her 2016 Jeep
4 Wrangler westbound on Highway 70 at Ranchette Road in Durant, Oklahoma. Her
5 daughter was in the passenger seat. The vehicle was travelling at approximately 55
6 miles per hour when a Chevy pickup suddenly stopped in front of her with no brake
7 lights. She crashed into the pickup. Despite the high speed of this collision, the
8 airbags in the Wrangler failed to deploy. She suffered contusions and abrasions
9 from hitting her head on the steering wheel. The crash hospitalized her daughter
10 with a concussion.

11 930. On December 21, 2018, Ms. Monroe notified FCA of this crash.

12 931. On or around January 4, 2019, FCA inspected Ms. Monroe’s
13 Wrangler. According to FCA’s records of the inspection: “EDR data collection was
14 attempted, but was unsuccessful due to damage to the subject vehicle’s electrical
15 system.” Upon information and belief, the inspector’s crash data retrieval tool could
16 not communicate with the DS84 ACU, which was a sign of ASIC EOS.

17 932. Pictures of Ms. Monroe’s wrecked Wrangler confirm the crash
18 severely deformed the passenger-side, front-end of the vehicle.



27
28



933. Nonetheless, FCA concluded internally: “there is no indication that this accident or the injuries were the result of a design or manufacturing defect.” FCA sent a letter denying the claim for compensation on January 11, 2019, and closed the case.

934. When FCA produced documents to NHTSA in 2019 in response to NHTSA’s investigation of the ACU Defect, however, FCA acknowledged it could not rule out the ACU Defect for this crash.

5. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota USA knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective.

935. For many years, Toyota Japan, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Automotive US Inc., ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia have known that the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in Toyota Class Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS.

a. Between 2010 and 2016, the Toyota Defendants returned several Toyota vehicles with DS84 ACUs that malfunctioned due to EOS.


936. According to a document produced by ZF Defendants to NHTSA in connection with NHTSA’s investigation of vehicles equipped with the DS84 ASIC,

1 Toyota Japan and Toyota Engineering USA returned multiple vehicles to ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA that showed
3 signs of EOS in the DS84 ASIC between May 20, 2010 and October 25, 2016.
4 Relevant excerpts of this document are reproduced below. Upon information and
5 belief, Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA had access to all the information in the below
7 chart.

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	20-May-10	Component Damaged	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Corolla
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	4-Jun-12	AR54218, RMA36039, shorted internally between pins 6&7 B317E941	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Unknown
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	16-Dec-13	AR55622, RMA36414, shorted out of circuit B593E1800	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Corolla
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	12-Dec-13	SR2015120208, RMA (B984E3583), Pin 36 measures 95ohms to ground	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Avalon
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	20-Sep-14	SR2016060601, RMA (FR-16-01359), shorted between pins 6 & 7 outcircuit	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Avalon
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	5-Aug-16	SET-334 U600 pin7 is short to pin6	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Corolla
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Oct-16	Defective squib ASIC	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Augo
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	1-Feb-16	SFT-116 waveform of U600 pin51 abnormal	Airbag warning lamp on	Toyota	Corolla

26 937. According to a document produced by Toyota USA to NHTSA,
27 Toyota Engineering USA made a warranty claim relating to an ACU with a DS84
28 ASIC on or around January 2014. Toyota Engineering USA made this claim

1 because the airbag warning lamp was on in a recently sold Toyota Class Vehicle.
2 Toyota Engineering USA returned the part to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
3 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA's office in Marshall, Illinois. ZF Automotive
4 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA examined the DS84 ACU
5 and found "severe damage was noted on one of the internal devices, U600" which
6 is another name for the DS84 ASIC. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 and ZF Electronics USA concluded "[t]he most likely source of this damage is
8 customer induced EOS (electrical overstress)." The unit "[f]ailed multiple tests,"
9 including an "[i]nitial [f]unctional [t]est." ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
10 USA, and ZF Electronics USA noted this DS84 ASIC failure in a report dated
11 January 16, 2014, which it sent back to Toyota Engineering USA. The document
12 included pictures of visible EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC, which are reproduced
13 below. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan had access to this document.



14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. In 2015, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA added further diodes to European Toyota vehicles after EOS occurred in vehicles made by other manufacturers.

938. In or around 2015, ZF Electronics USA added 1 ampere Schottky diodes to DS84 ACUs made for European Toyota vehicles.

939. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA later explained this decision to Toyota Japan as follows: "ZF core development team decided to upgrade the Schottky Di[ode] and updated core

1 design after learning about vehicle noise (negative surge) during a crash from cases
2 of other [Original Equipment Manufacturers]” – i.e., other Vehicle Manufacturers.
3 Accordingly, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
4 USA knew the .12 ampere diodes used in Toyota Class Vehicles were insufficient
5 to protect against these types of observed negative surges.

6 940. Despite this knowledge, ZF Electronics USA did not make the same
7 design change from European Toyota vehicles to the DS84 ACUs made for Toyota
8 Class Vehicles.

9 **c. In or around February 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
10 **Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed**
11 **Toyota Japan that EOS had been observed on DS84 ASICs**
12 **in field events involving vehicles made by two other**
13 **manufacturers.**

14 941. Upon information and belief, in February 2016, ZF Automotive USA,
15 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA shared a slide deck presentation
16 dated February 5, 2016 with Toyota Japan. Upon information and belief, ZF
17 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
18 and ZF Germany all had a role in drafting, editing, and/or approving the slide deck
19 before ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA
20 shared it with Toyota Japan.³⁵

21
22
23 ³⁵ This allegation is based on ZF Automotive USA’s acknowledgment in a 573
24 Defect Report filed in 2018 that it “communicate[d] with customers regarding EOS
25 and contact with NHTSA” in January 2016. Marc Bolitho, the Director of Passive
26 Safety Electronics and Engineering for ZF TRW Corp., also signed a declaration
27 dated March 14, 2016 acknowledging that portions of a February 5, 2016 slide deck
28 presented to NHTSA was “shared with customers or the applicable component
supplier under circumstances that the shared information is retained as confidential
by them.” Toyota USA produced a copy of the February 5, 2016 presentation that
contained Japanese notes.

1 942. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation informed Toyota Japan
2 that two other vehicle manufacturers had field incidents in the United States with
3 confirmed EOS on DS84 ACUs.

4 943. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation also informed Toyota
5 Japan that bench testing had replicated two types of failures in DS84 ASICs due to
6 EOS, and that “[t]hese multipoint failure modes can cause EOS to the ASIC that
7 may impact ACU function during a crash event.”

8 **d. Between June 29, 2016 and November 18, 2016, Toyota**
9 **Japan, Toyota USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics**
10 **USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST**
11 **Malaysia learned that a DS84 ACU had malfunctioned due**
12 **to EOS in a Toyota vehicle that crashed in Turkey with no**
13 **airbag deployment.**

14 944. In early to mid-June 2016, a 2013 Toyota Auris equipped with a DS84
15 ACU crashed in Turkey and the airbag failed to deploy.

16 945. Upon information and belief, the Toyota Auris is the European version
17 of the Toyota Corolla, a Class Vehicle, and the two models share a common or very
18 similar platform for the purposes of the passive safety system.

19 946. One week after the crash, the customer took the vehicle to a dealer,
20 which referred the issue to a nonparty Turkish entity, Toyota Türkiye Pazarlama ve
21 Satış A.Ş.

22 947. A technical service engineer named Orhan Oguzhan inspected the
23 vehicle on July 25, 2016 and authored a field technical report. The ACU data
24 included diagnostic trouble codes detected in the ACU and the airbag warning lamp
25 was on. He concluded: “the probable cause is the IC [(integrated circuit)] failure
26 inside the ECU.”

27 948. On August 16, 2016, Toyota Motors U.K. shipped the DS84 ACU
28 from the Turkish incident and the accompanying field technical report describing
the crash to the ZF Peterlee Laboratory located in the United Kingdom. Non-party

1 TRW Systems Ltd., another subsidiary of ZF Germany, operated the lab.
2 Accordingly, TRW Systems Ltd. received notice of the crash and nondeployment
3 event.

4 949. An engineer named Glenn Casamayor authored a report originally
5 dated August 25, 2016 on behalf of TRW Systems Ltd. This report was
6 subsequently revised by Steven Youmans, an analysis engineer at TRW Systems
7 Ltd., as well as another TRW Systems Ltd. employee identified as “K Taylor.” The
8 last revision to the memo is dated November 18, 2016.

9 950. Using the term “U600” to refer to the DS84 ASIC, the TRW Systems
10 Ltd. report concluded: “The returned unit has damaged U600 from electrical
11 overstress most likely caused by a beyond specification transient from the vehicle.”
12 This conclusion was based on the following evidence of EOS on the DS84 ASIC.

- 13 a. “An internal visual inspection was carried out on the returned
14 unit. The unit was checked under x-ray and stereo microscope.
15 A damaged U600 was noted. X-Ray inspection revealed broken
16 pin 44 wire bond.”
- 17 b. Pictures identified a “Burnt U600 Package.”
- 18 c. “ASIC U600 was removed and replaced with a known good
19 device. On retest it was then possible to communicate and no
20 faults were logged.”
- 21 d. “External Visual Inspection: Burnt marks was [sic] observed on
22 the external package”
- 23 e. “X-ray; Fused wire at Pin 44(VSATS) was observed during X-
24 ray analysis.”
- 25 f. “Internal visual inspection; Burnt mark was observed on the die
26 surface at area A, B and C.”

27 951. The TRW Systems Ltd. report included a “supplier analysis” that
28 confirmed images of EOS with a decapsulation analysis, among other analyses.

1 Upon information and belief, the supplier analysis reflected the contents of a
2 separate failure analysis developed by and distributed among ST USA, ST Italy, ST
3 Malaysia. Upon information and belief, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia also
4 sent this report to ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
5 Automotive USA.

6 952. Although the lab that employed the authors of this report was operated
7 by non-party TRW Systems Ltd., upon information and belief, ZF Automotive
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA had access to the report
9 described above. The ZF Defendants' production of warranty data to NHTSA
10 confirms this access because the data includes warranty claims listing Peterlee as
11 the relevant plant.

12 953. After receiving the TRW Systems Ltd. report, Toyota Motors Europe
13 received a report from Sally Humbert, a Quality Assurance function engineer
14 working for Toyota Motor UK. Ms. Humbert's report stated under the header:
15 "Overstress possible [sic] related to crash impact one week before [illegible] lamp
16 on. Beyond negative transient." Ms. Humbert hand-signed the report and dated her
17 signature November 18, 2016.

18 954. Non-party Toyota Motor Europe received a copy of the TRW Systems
19 Ltd. report by no later than November 29, 2016. Upon information, Toyota Japan
20 and Toyota USA had access to and were aware of this report. This is a reasonable
21 inference because Toyota USA later produced a copy of it to NHTSA.

22 955. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA knew that problems
24 with the Toyota Auris would likely translate to problems with the Toyota Corolla,
25 because they each knew Toyota Auris is the European version of the Toyota
26 Corolla and the two vehicles are very similar.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

e. In July 2016, Toyota USA learned that the airbags had failed to deploy in a crash in New Haven, Vermont with signs of ASIC EOS in a DS84 ACU.

956. On July 9, 2016, a 2014 Corolla was travelling at 50 miles per hour on a highway in New Haven, Vermont, when it crashed into the rear of another vehicle that stopped suddenly in front of the Corolla.

957. The crash was severe. The collision knocked the driver unconscious. She suffered serious injuries to her lungs, forehead, sternum, shoulder, and hip that required hospitalization.

958. The front end of the Corolla showed signs of damage to the vehicle's electrical system. The inspector reported that the battery was severely damaged and its case was broken, the electrical wiring harness was destroyed, and many of the wires in the electrical harness were severed.

959. The driver complained to Toyota USA on July 9, 2016.

960. On July 29, 2016, an inspector retained by Toyota USA attempted to perform an investigation of the Corolla's Event Data Recorder, but was unable to obtain a crash record. This was a sign of ASIC EOS, particularly when coupled with the failure of the airbags, the highspeed of the collision, and the signs of disruption to the electrical system that could contribute to EOS.

961. Despite this evidence, Toyota USA legal claims administrator Delve Caballero mailed a letter to the customer on August 16, 2016 that denied any defect in the vehicle. This letter stated: "The Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) front airbags will deploy in response to abrupt frontal deceleration from severe frontal impacts and help prevent fatal injuries or reduce the extent of serious head or chest injuries. They do not deploy in every collision involving frontal impact. This accident did not meet the criteria for front airbag deployment."

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



962. Upon information and belief, no Defendant performed a physical analysis of the DS84 ACU and ASIC from this vehicle despite the signs of EOS described above, as no Defendant has produced any documents showing that a physical analysis was performed.

f. In August and September 2017, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia discussed three DS84 ASICs from Toyota Corollas that had been damaged by EOS.

963. [REDACTED]

964. [REDACTED]

965. [REDACTED]

³⁶ [REDACTED] employment relationship with ST Italy is evidenced by the fact that he assigned a patent for one of his inventions to ST Italy.

1 966. [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]

3 967. [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]

8 968. [REDACTED]

9 [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]

11 969. [REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED]

13 [REDACTED]

14 g. **Between August 2, 2017 and August 10, 2018, Toyota Japan,**
15 **Toyota USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
16 **ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia**
17 **learned that a DS84 ACU had malfunctioned due to EOS in**
18 **a Toyota vehicle that crashed in Portugal with no front**
19 **airbag deployment.**

19 970. On July 13, 2017, a 2016 Toyota Auris with a DS84 ACU crashed into
20 a Mazda pickup truck in Portugal.

21 971. According to a memorandum produced by Toyota USA, this crash was
22 a “[h]eavy frontal accident.” The knee airbags deployed, but the frontal driver and
23 passenger airbags did not. This was a sign of ASIC EOS because driver and
24 passenger airbags should deploy when knee airbags deploy, particularly during a

25 _____
26 ³⁷ [REDACTED]

27 ³⁸ [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1 serious accident. The below photograph of the wreckage confirms this was a serious
2 accident. The crash seriously injured the driver of the Auris.



13
14 972. By no later than August 2, 2017, non-party Toyota Motor Europe
15 received a report about a product liability case concerning this crash.

16 973. Between August 2, 2017 and July 2, 2018, the following events
17 occurred:

- 18 a. Toyota Motor Europe attempted to retrieve crash data from the
19 Auris's ACU but could not do so, which is a sign of EOS.
20 b. Toyota Motor Europe sent the retrieved DS84 ACU to ZF
21 Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive
22 USA and requested a further investigation.
23 c. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
24 Automotive USA found damage consistent with a damaged
25 DS84 ASIC on the ACU and sent the retrieved DS84 ASIC to
26 ST USA or ST Italy for analysis.
27 d. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
28 Automotive USA retrieved a partial crash record from the DS84

1 ACU by placing the crash record chip into a working ACU. The
2 partial crash record showed the frontal airbags were commanded
3 to deploy. The fact that the airbags did not deploy despite this
4 record was strong evidence that the DS84 ACU had
5 malfunctioned during the crash and failed to execute the
6 command to deploy the airbags.

7 e. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
8 Automotive USA observed a visible abnormality on the external
9 packaging of the DS84 ASIC, called the “conformal coating,”
10 which is a sign of EOS.

11 974. On July 2, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
12 Automotive USA created an engineering report describing an analysis of the ACU
13 from the Toyota Auris that crashed in Portugal, which includes numerous signs of
14 EOS listed immediately below.

- 15 a. The report’s summary states: “Analysis findings are consistent
16 with a damaged DS84 ASIC.”
- 17 b. The report states: “ZF transplanted the eeprom [(i.e., the crash
18 memory component)] to a recipient ECU [(i.e., a new ACU)]
19 and downloaded the crash record. The CDR report indicated the
20 frontal airbags were commanded to deploy.” The analysis of the
21 crash data also found “[o]ne reset recorded.” Both of these
22 findings were signs of EOS.
- 23 c. The report included a picture of a “[d]isturbance in DS84
24 conformal coating.”
- 25 d. The report included a picture of discolored pins.
- 26 e. The report included an analysis of resistance measurements,
27 which indicated the ASIC suffered from EOS. This analysis
28 stated: “The DS84 ASIC likely has an internal short on Vcc as

1 indicated by the 3.3 [Ohm] measurement.” Upon information
2 and belief, “Vcc” is a reference to a power supply connected to
3 the DS84 ASIC.

4 975. On August 6, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
5 ZF Automotive USA sent the July 2, 2018 report to a quality engineer at Toyota
6 USA by email. The quality engineer then forwarded the memo to several of his
7 colleagues at Toyota USA, and wrote to a Toyota USA compliance employee:
8 “Attachments include TRW Portugal case of improper Airbag Non deployment
9 seen to the DS84 ASIC chip. . . . Could you confirm with your counterpart at
10 Toyota Japan if they are aware of the Portugal case?” Upon information and belief,
11 Toyota USA then communicated with Toyota Japan about the Portugal case.

12 976. [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]

16 977. [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]

24 978. Between July 2, 2018 and August 10, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
25 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA received a copy of the ST failure
26 analysis of the DS84 ASIC from the Portugal crash.

27 979. [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

a. [REDACTED]

b. [REDACTED]

c. [REDACTED]

d. [REDACTED]

980. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a copy of the August 10, 2018 updated report to Toyota Japan and Toyota USA in August 2018.

981. On or around September 12, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA provided a document entitled “Electrical Overstress Hypothesis” to Toyota Japan and Toyota USA. The document explained how EOS occurred in the Portugal crash. The document states: “Likely initiation point is an out of specification negative transient introduced due to an external Short of DSI Channel.” The DSI channel is the communication line connecting the crash sensors to the ACU.

1 982. In other words, the likely cause of the DS84 ACU failure in the
2 Portugal crash was transient current flowing from the crash sensors to the ACU.
3 This was the same failure mode that had caused recalls of FCA and Hyundai-Kia
4 vehicles.

5 983. On September 18, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
6 and ZF Automotive USA updated the report on the Portugal case again to include
7 this conclusion: “After review of the ASIC by ST Micro, the hypothesis is
8 confirmed. EOS was caused by a beyond specification transient on the DSI_OH
9 satellite input” (i.e., a transient on the crash sensor communication line).

10 984. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
11 USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a copy of the September 18, 2018 updated
12 report to Toyota Japan and Toyota USA in September 2018.

13 985. The July 2, 2018; August 10, 2018; and September 18, 2018 reports by
14 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA all have a
15 legend that states “© ZF Friedrichshafen AG, 2018.” Based on this legend, ZF
16 Friedrichshafen AG was aware of the contents of the memo and approved
17 transmittal of the memo to Toyota USA and Toyota Japan.

18 986. On October 16, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 and ZF Automotive USA informed Toyota Japan and Toyota USA that the fused
20 wires observed on the DS84 ASIC from the Portugal crash were similar to fused
21 wires on DS84 ASIC that suffered damage from transient testing.

22 987. A July 2019 slide deck presentation shared between Toyota Japan and
23 Toyota USA stated that the wire harness from the Auris that crashed in Portugal
24 was collected and “there was no trace” of “wire disconnection and connecting with
25 the other part.” Accordingly, there was evidence that issues with the wire harness
26 were not the cause of the EOS damage suffered by the DS84 ASIC.

27 988. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, ZF Passive
28 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA knew that problems

1 with the Toyota Auris would likely translate to problems with the Toyota Corolla,
2 because they each knew the Toyota Auris is the European version of the Toyota
3 Corolla and the two vehicles are very similar.

4 **h. Between December 19, 2017 and November 2018, Toyota**
5 **Japan, Toyota USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics**
6 **USA, and ZF Automotive USA learned a DS84 ACU had**
7 **malfunctioned due to EOS in a Toyota vehicle that crashed**
8 **in Morocco with no airbag deployment.**

8 989. On November 27, 2017, a 2015 Toyota Auris with a DS84 ACU
9 crashed in Morocco. The airbags failed to deploy despite the very severe nature of
10 the crash. The crash seriously injured the driver of the Auris.

11 990. On December 19, 2017, Toyota's Moroccan subsidiary received a
12 complaint reporting a head-on collision in Morocco involving a 2015 Auris with a
13 DS84 ACU in which the airbags did not deploy. A later memorandum summarizes
14 the complaint as follows:

15 [The driver] was severely injured on her way to work while
16 driving under the speed limit when another car from the
17 opposite direction diverged to her line and caused a head-on
18 collision. [The driver] instantly lost consciousness due to the
19 force of the impact as none of her car's airbags deployed, then
20 was transported to the hospital via ambulance. . . . Immediately
21 after [the driver's] arrival at the hospital, doctors told her
22 family that her situation is highly critical and urgent brain
23 surgery essential to save her life. Not only had she suffered
24 serious brain, lung, and liver injuries, but she also had been
25 admitted in severe Coma for several weeks. . . .

26 Based on the doctors' notes, here are [the driver's] injuries
27 caused by the accident and the defective airbag:

- 28 ●Diplopia
- Cranial impact with PCI tutorage
- Epistaxis Otorrhagia
- Traumatic brain injury (hemispheric subdural hematoma)

- 1 ● Temporal Bone Fracture
- 2 ● Fracture of the right mastoiditis
- 3 ● Fracture sinus sphenoidal
- 4 ● Fayeks lung contusion
- 5 ● Liver subcapsular hematoma
- 6 ● Right surrehale hematoma

After the accident she does not remember anything.

7 991. On April 27, 2018, a technical specialist working for Toyota's
8 Moroccan subsidiary submitted a field report to several other non-party Toyota
9 subsidiaries and to Toyota Japan. Toyota's Moroccan subsidiary shipped the
10 recovered parts, including the DS84 ACU recovered from the Auris, to Toyota
11 Japan.

12 992. Following receipt of the parts, Toyota Japan determined there was no
13 crash record present on the ACU, which is a sign of EOS on the DS84 ASIC.

14 993. On November 14, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
15 and ZF Automotive USA created an "Analysis Report" about the DS84 ACU
16 retrieved from the Auris that crashed in Morocco with no airbag deployment.
17 Emanuel Goodman, a long-time employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who also
18 served as a technical specialist for ZF Electronics USA, authored the memo. The
19 memo describes multiple signs of EOS, listed below:

- 20 a. The memo included an analysis of resistance measurements that
21 found "[l]ow impedance on Vcc and Vsat measurements." Upon
22 information and belief, "Vcc" and "Vsat" refers to the
23 connections between two power supplies and the DS84 ASIC.
- 24 b. The memo noted that "four (4) resets" had occurred.
- 25 c. The memo also noted that no crash record was present..
- 26 d. The memo noted two "[P]ossible burn mark[s]" on the DS84
27 ASIC." Although the report is written in English, the version of
28 the memo produced by Toyota has Japanese translations that,

1 upon information and belief, were used by Toyota Japan
2 employees to understand the report. Later written materials sent
3 by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
4 Automotive USA to Toyota Japan would state regarding this
5 November 2018 analysis: “EOS damage visible on the DS84
6 ASIC” without any suggestion that EOS damage was merely
7 “possible.”

8 994. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
9 USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a copy of the November 14, 2018 report to
10 Toyota Japan and Toyota USA in November 2018.

11 995. The November 14, 2018 Analysis Report has a legend attributing the
12 copyright interest in the Report to ZF Friedrichshafen AG. Based on this legend, ZF
13 Germany was aware of the contents of the memo and approved transmittal of the
14 memo to Toyota Japan.

15 996. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA knew that problems
17 with the Toyota Auris would likely translate to problems with the Toyota Corolla,
18 because they each knew Toyota Auris is the European version of the Toyota
19 Corolla and the two vehicles are very similar.

20 **i. Between April 12, 2018 and July 16, 2018, Toyota Japan and**
21 **Toyota USA learned a DS84 ACU had malfunctioned with**
22 **significant signs of EOS in a Toyota vehicle that crashed in**
23 **Spain with no driver-side front airbag deployment.**

24 997. On April 12, 2018, a 2015 Toyota Auris equipped with a DS84 ACU
25 crashed in Spain. The incident involved a high-speed frontal collision with a tractor
26 and semi-trailer, which was travelling at approximately 35 miles per hour. The knee
27 airbag deployed but the driver airbag in the Auris failed to deploy. The crash killed
28 the driver of the Auris. The crash completely destroyed the front end of the Auris,

1 as demonstrated by the below image of the wreckage. Based on these facts, the
2 airbags should have deployed during this crash.



13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

998. On April 26, 2018, non-party Toyota España S.L.U. retrieved the crash record, but found no events (i.e., no crashes) recorded. This was a sign of EOS.

999. Afterwards, non-party Toyota Motor Europe attempted to read the crash record, but experienced the same problem.

1000. On July 16, 2018, Toyota Motor Europe informed quality engineers at Toyota Japan about details of the crash, including the failure of the front driver airbags: that, on April 23, 2018, the Spanish police had requested assistance with downloading data from the DS84 ACU in the Auris that crashed with no airbag deployment; and that the April 26, 2018 effort to obtain crash data had failed. Sometime between July 16, 2018 and September 17, 2018, Toyota USA learned this information as well.

1001. On February 7, 2019, Toyota Japan Project Manager Michiteru Kato further discussed by email the missing crash data from the Spanish Auris that crashed with no airbag deployment with a Toyota Motor Europe employee. On the

1 same day, Toyota Japan employee Daisuke Uchida forwarded an email confirming
2 the same issue to Toyota USA employee Matt Begley.

3 1002. The inability to obtain crash data from this Auris was a sign of EOS.

4 1003. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan and Toyota USA knew that
5 problems with the Toyota Auris would likely translate to problems with the Toyota
6 Corolla, because they each knew Toyota Auris is the European version of the
7 Toyota Corolla and the two vehicles are very similar.

8 **j. In 2017, Toyota Sales USA learned that a Toyota Avalon's**
9 **airbags had failed to deploy during a crash in Florida and**
10 **observed signs of ASIC EOS during an inspection.**

11 1004. On May 20, 2017, a Toyota Avalon was travelling at approximately 70
12 miles per hour on a highway in Florida behind a semi-truck when it crashed into the
13 truck. The pictures of the wreckage show severe damage to the Avalon. The airbags
14 in the Avalon did not deploy.



15
16
17
18
19 1005. Toyota Sales USA inspected the vehicle on June 20, 2017. The
20 inspector observed five airbag diagnostic trouble codes in the system. Moreover,
21 the data retrieved from the Event Data Recorder had no record of the crash. These
22 were signs of ASIC EOS.

23 **k. Between May 10, 2017 and September 2018, Toyota USA,**
24 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
25 **Automotive USA observed signs of EOS in a 2012 Corolla**
26 **that crashed in California with no airbag deployment.**

27 1006. On May 10, 2017, a 2012 Toyota Corolla traveled on I-15 northbound
28 in California at an estimated speed of 70 miles per hour. The Corolla crashed into a

1 three-axle tank truck. The crash completely destroyed the front-end of the Corolla,
2 as the below images of the wreckage show. The driver's airbags did not deploy
3 despite the very severe nature of this crash. The crash killed the driver.



4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 1007. After two attempts to retrieve crash data from the DS84 ACU in the
14 2012 Corolla failed – both of which are signs of EOS – the ACU was shipped to ZF
15 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA at Farmington
16 Hills, Michigan. Upon information and belief, Toyota USA arranged for this
17 shipment.

18 1008. On September 6, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 and ZF Automotive USA inspected the DS84 ACU retrieved from the 2012
20 Corolla. The Event Data Recorder chip was removed and placed into a working
21 ACU. This allowed ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
22 Automotive USA to retrieve data from the chip. The fact that this step was
23 necessary to retrieve the data was a sign of ASIC EOS.


24 1009. Because the crash data retrieved from the DS84 ACU was not
25 consistent with information known about the crash (such as the impact speed),
26 Toyota USA speculated that the crash data may relate to an earlier crash from 2015
27 and that the earlier crash may have turned off the Event Data Recorder. This
28 conclusion, however, did not explain the two unsuccessful attempts to extract the

1 crash data and the need to re-install the Event Data Recorder chip into a working
2 ACU to extract the data. EOS of the ASIC, by contrast, would explain those
3 problems.

4 1010. Upon information and belief, no Defendant checked the interior of the
5 DS84 ACU or DS84 ASIC for burn marks. Defendants have produced no
6 documents or information showing that anyone took these important steps.

7 **I. Between May 2018 and October 2018, Toyota USA, Toyota**
8 **Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
9 **Automotive USA learned that the airbags failed in a fatal**
10 **Toyota Corolla crash in California with several signs of**
11 **DS84 ASIC EOS.**

12 1011. On May 21, 2018, a 2018 Toyota Corolla was travelling at 60-70 miles
13 per hour on I-15 in Perry, California. The Corolla crashed into a stationary Ford
14 Expedition. Pictures of the wrecked Corolla confirm the crash was very serious.
15 Given the damage sustained to the front of the Toyota, and the fact it impacted a
16 vehicle nearly twice as heavy, the airbags should have deployed. None of the
17 airbags deployed in the Corolla. The crash killed the driver of the Corolla.



18 *Figure 1. Front of the Toyota.*



19 *Figure 2. Front and right side of the Toyota.*

20 1012. On May 30, 2018, the California Highway Patrol asked Toyota USA
21 how to read and download the crash data from a 2018 Corolla DS84 ACU.

22 1013. On May 31, 2018, the California Highway Patrol emailed Toyota USA
23 pictures of the wrecked Toyota Corolla.

1 1014. On June 6, 2018, Toyota USA attempted to retrieve the crash data
2 from the DS84 ACU in the Corolla. Toyota USA’s field technician could not
3 retrieve the data, despite several attempts. This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

4 1015. Prior to July 11, 2018, the California Highway Patrol was able to
5 obtain data from the Corolla’s Event Data Recorder by removing the chip from the
6 malfunctioning DS84 ACU in the Corolla and transplanting the chip into a working
7 ACU. The retrieved data, however, had no record of the crash. This was a sign of
8 EOS.

9 1016. On July 11, 2018, the California Highway Patrol informed Toyota
10 USA: “it appears the subject airbag ECU did not see the collision, as it reported no
11 events recovered or recorded. . . . At this time, we have a high level of concern
12 regarding the functionality of the supplemental restraint system at the time of
13 collision.”

14 1017. On July 17, 2018, Toyota USA held a conference call with Toyota
15 Japan concerning this crash and the California Highway Patrol’s questions. Upon
16 information and belief and an email from Toyota USA employee Nicholas Evans,
17 Toyota USA reviewed the EDR data with the missing crash record during this call.


18 1018. On September 17, 2018, NHTSA sent Toyota USA an information
19 request about fatal accidents involving non-deployment events in Toyota vehicles
20 with DS84 ACUs.

21 1019. On September 20, 2018, NHTSA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, Toyota USA and Toyota Japan attended an
23 inspection of the 2018 Corolla at ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
24 ZF Automotive USA’s shared facility at Farmington Hills, Michigan. Emanuel
25 Goodman, a longtime employee of ZF Passive Safety USA who also served as a
26 technical specialist for ZF Electronics USA, identified burn marks on the EDR
27 chip. He also measured the resistance of certain points on the DS84 ACU’s circuit
28

1 board and found one location with abnormal resistance. Mr. Goodman identified an
2 electrical short related to the DS84 ASIC, which is a sign of ASIC EOS.

3 1020. The information retrieved from the EDR during the September 20,
4 2018 inspection confirmed that there was no crash record and that the DS84 ACU
5 had reset. Both were signs of EOS.

6 1021. Below are pictures of the burned chips observed during the September
7 20, 2018 inspection. Upon information and belief, the distressed square circuit is
8 the DS84 ASIC, and the burned rectangular circuit to the right is a power supply
9 circuit connected to the DS84 ASIC.



16 1022. On September 27, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
17 ZF Automotive USA, Toyota Japan and Toyota USA held a conference call. ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA told Toyota
19 Japan and Toyota USA that the ACU had short-circuited during resistance testing
20 and there was no crash record on the ACU.

21 1023. On or shortly before October 8, 2018, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent a written presentation to Toyota USA
23 and Toyota Japan that discussed the data retrieved from the September 20, 2018
24 inspection.

25 1024. California Highway Patrol investigators authored a report regarding
26 the Toyota Corolla from the crash described in the preceding paragraph that states:

27 The ACM [(i.e., “Airbag Control Module,” another term for
28 ACU)] in the Toyota did not command deployment of any

1 supplemental restraints, nor did it record a non-deployment
2 event as a result of this collision. Per 49 CFR 563, the ACM
3 installed in the Toyota was required, at a minimum; to record a
4 non-deployment ‘event’ as long as the ‘trigger threshold’
5 (longitudinal change velocity of 5 miles per hour within 150
6 millisecond interval) was met. Given the damage sustained to
7 the front of the Toyota, and the fact it impacted a vehicle
8 nearly twice as heavy, it would be expected that at the very
9 least, a non-deployment event would have been recorded by
10 the ACM installed in the Toyota. . . . Due to this apparent
11 failure of the ACM installed in the Toyota to comply with
12 federal regulations, on September 11, [2018], NHTSA
13 Investigator Perry took custody of the surrogate ACM and the
14 ACM removed from the Toyota for testing and analysis.

15 Toyota USA received a copy of this report on November 27, 2018.

16 1025. Based on documents produced by Toyota USA, and on information,
17 and belief, members of a Toyota Japan research and development team called 23J
18 held a conference call on October 11, 2018 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
19 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA. Notes to this call record a discussion of
20 the May 2018 California crash. Based on these notes, and on information and
21 belief, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA told
22 Toyota Japan that there was “[a]bsolutely no EDR data” (i.e., crash data) and that
23 “[u]nexpected reset occurred once.” Both were signs of EOS.

24 **m. Between March and April 2019, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales**
25 **USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
26 **Automotive USA learned of signs of EOS in a 2019 Corolla**
27 **that crashed in Chicago with no airbag deployment.**

28 1026. On January 25, 2019, a 2019 Toyota Corolla crashed into a stationary
school bus in Chicago, Illinois. The airbags in the Corolla did not deploy. The crash
injured the driver and passenger in the Corolla, and caused severe damage to the
vehicle, as demonstrated by the below picture of the wreckage.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1027. On March 11, 2019, NHTSA notified ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and Toyota USA that NHTSA had been monitoring salvage yards for other vehicles with signs of DS84 ASIC EOS damage and had identified the 2019 Corolla from the crash in Chicago. NHTSA requested a time to test the vehicle.

1028. When NHTSA attempted to recover the crash data from the ACU's EDR, the crash data retrieval tool could not communicate with the ACU, which is a sign of ASIC EOS.

1029. On March 30, 2019, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA removed the EDR chip from the malfunctioning DS84 ACU and transplanted the chip to a working ACU. This allowed recovery of the EDR data. During the inspection, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA observed abnormal resistance measurements on the ACU circuit board, which indicated a short. These were all signs of ASIC EOS.

1030. On April 15, 2019, an inspector investigated the Corolla for Toyota Sales USA or Toyota USA and found wires in the crash sensors had severed.

1 1031. Upon information and belief, the Chicago incident is one of the two
2 Toyota Corolla crashes for which NHTSA's opening resume for the 2019
3 Engineering Analysis claimed, "EOS is suspected as the likely cause of the non-
4 deployments."

5 **n. In late May 2019, Toyota USA, Toyota Japan, ZF**
6 **Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive**
7 **Safety USA learned that the DS84 ACUs reset in more than**
8 **1/5 of the Toyota Class Vehicles that were subject to direct**
transient testing.

9 1032. On May 29-31, 2019, several employees of ZF Electronics USA, ZF
10 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Japan
11 attended transient testing performed on Toyota Class Vehicles.

12 1033. During this testing, direct transients were applied to 49 vehicles: 16
13 MY 2018 Corollas, 19 MY 2012 Corollas and 14 MY 2016 Tacomas. 13 of these
14 49 vehicles experienced ACU resets; 2 of the 13 that experienced resets were
15 Tacomas, while the rest were Corollas.

16 **o. In late May 2019, Toyota USA, Toyota Japan, ZF**
17 **Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
18 **Electronics USA learned that a DS84 ACU in a 2018 Toyota**
19 **Corolla malfunctioned and failed to deploy the second stage**
airbags during a crash test due to ASIC EOS.

20 1034. In late May 2019, the second stage front airbags in a 2018 Toyota
21 Corolla failed to deploy in a crash test where the Corolla crashed into a stationary
22 Ford Expedition at 70 miles per hour. All the airbags should have deployed.

23 1035. The Corolla was fixed with instruments to measure transients and
24 detected transients of -1.52 volts and -.47 volts.

25 1036. The DS84 ACU in the Corolla lost communication during the crash,
26 which is a sign of ASIC EOS.

27 1037. The DS84 ACU in the Corolla reset, which is a sign of ASIC EOS.
28

1 1038. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
2 USA, ZF Automotive USA, Toyota Japan, and Toyota USA attended this crash test
3 and were aware of the evidence of EOS.

4 **p. In the first week of June 2019, Toyota USA, Toyota Japan,**
5 **ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
6 **Electronics USA learned that a crash test generated a**
7 **transient surge in a Toyota Tacoma.**

8 1039. In the first week of June 2019, three more Toyota Class Vehicle crash
9 tests were conducted. In one of these tests, a 2017 Toyota Tacoma crashed into the
10 rear of a stationary Ford Expedition while travelling at 70 miles per hour.

11 1040. Although the airbags deployed and the DS84 ACU did not reset, the
12 test measured a transient surge that went through the DS84 ASIC.

13 1041. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Toyota Japan, and Toyota USA attended this crash
15 test and were aware of the transient surge.

16 **q. By the summer of 2019, Toyota USA had learned of signs of**
17 **DS84 ASIC EOS in a 2014 Toyota Avalon that crashed in**
18 **Kansas with no airbag deployment.**

19 1042. On January 25, 2019 in Wichita, Kansas, a 2014 Toyota Avalon
20 crashed into a vehicle stopped in the road while it waited to turn left. The Avalon
21 was travelling at approximately 40 miles per hour on Ridge Road. The crash was
22 severe, based on the images of the wrecked Avalon below. No airbags deployed in
23 the Avalon, despite the severe nature of the crash. The crash hospitalized the driver
24 of the Avalon.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1043. On April 10, 2019, an inspector for Toyota USA inspected the wreckage of this vehicle. The EDR data recovered from the Avalon had no record of the crash. This was a sign of ASIC EOS.

1044. By the summer of 2019, Toyota USA confirmed that the ACU had abnormal resistance measurements and that the DS84 ASIC had visible burn marks. Both observations were signs of ASIC EOS in the Avalon.

r. Between April and the summer of 2019, Toyota USA and Toyota Japan learned that a 2013 Toyota Avalon had crashed with no airbag deployment and several other signs of ACU ASIC EOS in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

1045. On March 28, 2019, a 2013 Toyota Avalon traveled at 35 to 40 miles per hour on Ingomar Road in Pennsylvania, when it crashed into the rear end of a vehicle stopped in front of its path. The driver reportedly suffered whiplash and neck pain.

1046. On April 1, 2019, the driver reported to Toyota USA that the airbags had failed to deploy in the Avalon. A photograph of the wreckage is below.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1047. Toyota USA inspected the vehicle on April 11, 2019 and, by its own account, “identified two locations on the DS84 [ASIC] with evidence of possible damage.” Toyota USA found “[c]onformal coating appears to have abnormality in multiple locations.” These were signs of EOS. Toyota USA also found the crash data was missing, which is another sign of ASIC EOS. Toyota USA then “sent the ECU [(i.e., ACU)] to Japan for further investigation.” Upon information and belief and based on this statement by Toyota USA, Toyota Japan received and analyzed the damaged ACU.

1048. Sometime in the summer of 2019, Toyota USA confirmed damage to the front sensor wiring harness of this Avalon and a burn mark on the surface of the DS84 ASIC. Both were signs that ASIC EOS had occurred in this vehicle.

s. In December 2019, Toyota Japan, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota USA learned that two airbags failed to deploy in two Toyota Corolla crash tests with signs of DS84 ASIC EOS.

1049. On December 11, 2019, Toyota Japan conducted a crash test on a 2017 Toyota Corolla in Japan. For this test, a 2017 Toyota Corolla crashed into the rear of a stationary 2017 Ford Expedition at 60 miles per hour. The airbags should have deployed in this crash test, but no airbags deployed in the Corolla. Toyota Japan could not initially communicate with the EDR. The failure of the airbags and the

1 inability to communicate with the Event Data Recorder were signs that DS84 ASIC
2 EOS had occurred.

3 1050. On December 11, 2019, non-party Toyota Technical Center conducted
4 a very similar crash test in Ann Arbor, Michigan. In this crash test, a 2017 Toyota
5 Corolla crashed into the rear of a stationary 2017 Ford Expedition while travelling
6 at 60 miles per hour. All the airbags should have deployed in this crash test, but the
7 passenger side seat cushion airbag did not deploy. Toyota Technical Center was
8 unable to communicate with the Event Data Recorder. The failure of the passenger
9 side seat cushion airbag and the inability to communicate with the Event Data
10 Recorder were signs that DS84 ASIC EOS had occurred.

11 1051. In early January 2020, Toyota USA confirmed that the DS84 ASIC
12 from the ACU from one of these crash tests was damaged. This was further proof
13 that DS84 ASIC EOS had occurred.

14 **6. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,**
15 **ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Honda USA, Honda Japan, and**
16 **Honda Engineering USA have known the Honda Class Vehicles, as**
17 **well as the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were**
defective.

18 1052. For many years, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
19 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, ST
20 Italy, and ST Malaysia have known that the defective DS84 ACUs in Honda Class
21 Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS.

22 **a. Between 2012 and 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
23 **Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA received at least 17**
24 **warranty claims for Honda vehicles with DS84 ASICs that**
25 **showed signs of EOS.**

26 1053. According to a document produced by ZF Defendants to NHTSA in
27 connection with NHTSA's investigation of vehicles equipped with the DS84 ASIC,
28 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA received at

1 least 17 warranty claims for Honda vehicles that showed signs of EOS in the DS84
 2 ASIC between July 29, 2012 and January 4, 2015. The relevant portions of the
 3 document have been reproduced below.

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	29-Jul-12	EOS, Voiding	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	30-May-12	AR54020, RMA35988, abnormal comm.pins42&43 B264E840	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	17-Nov-12	WARRANTY return from HONDA 4823KM	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Fit
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	5-Oct-12	AR55451, RMA36275, High Side FET fault pins18 & 19at-40C B489E1511	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	10-Aug-13	SR2014061122, RMA, Short to Battery faults quib 5 B602E1846	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	19-Jun-14	SR2014102301, RMA (B695E2253), short to battery fault Squib3, pin51	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	2-Jan-15	link to ecu-11-f010	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Fit
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	14-Nov-13	SR2015042902, RMA (B826E2881), short to battery fault on squib	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	7-Jan-15	SR2015060311, RMA (B842E2966), low resistance between VDD pins 7&6	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	24-Oct-14	SR2015092359, RMA (B926E3327), Asic faults for all DSI lines	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	FIT
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	7-Sep-14	SR2015092807, RMA (B930E3351), appears to have overheated	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	14-Jan-15	SR2015100110, RMA (B930E3347), U700 has a short to battery	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	19-Oct-14	SR2015122301, RMA (B995E3634), No signals present at U700	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Acura TL
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	23-Apr-15	SR2016011404, RMA (B999E3655), losing communication on its DSI_3	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	24-Nov-15	SR2016020806, RMA (B1007E3708), pulling down the VUPP_Out voltage	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	12-Sep-14	SR2016030205, RMA (FR-16-00155), short to battery fault	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Acura TL
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	3-Dec-14	SR2016041401, RMA (FR-16-00628), Fire Supply Open faults	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	25-Mar-16	link305-The waveform is different	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Fit
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	1-Oct-16	SR2016101209, RMA (FR-16-03652), battery fault pins 54 and 55	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	17-Mar-15	SR2016121101, RMA (FR-16-05070), internally shorted SQ HI 6 pin 6 & 7	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Civic
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	4-Jan-15	SR2017012612, RMA (FR-17-00108), EOS - VOIDING	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	CRV
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	6-Jan-16	All the failed suibs configured at the ASIC0 U700	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	K-Car
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	8-Jun-15	found U700 pin2 and pin14 abnormal	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	Unknown

Component	Analysis Category	Supplier Name	Receipt Date	Short Description Verbatim	Reason for Return	Customer	Vehicle
DS84	EOS	ST Micro	2-May-17	SFT-136 waveform of pin48(AOUT) abnormal	Airbag warning lamp on	Honda	K-Car

1054. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan and Honda Engineering USA knew about these warranty returns, because it has access to all warranty claims made by its subsidiaries.

b. Between 2012 and the present, Honda USA received over 300 consumer complaints about airbag failures in Honda Class Vehicles.

1055. Between 2012 and the present, Honda USA received over 300 consumer complaints involving the Honda Class Vehicles, nondeployment of airbags, and serious injury. Honda USA produced a chart to NHTSA tracking these complaints in the second half of 2019. Relevant portions of this chart are reproduced below.

	Model	Model Year	A Owner/Fleet Reports	G Lawsuits	
Acura	RLX	2014	1		
		2015			
		2016			
		2017			
		2018			
		2019			
	RLX Hybrid	2014			
		2015			
		2016			
		2017			
		2018			
		2019			
	TL	2012		3	2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

		2013	2	
		2014	1	
	TLX	2015	4	
		2016	1	
		2017		
	TSX	2012	5	1
		2013	1	
		2014		
	TSX Sport Wagon	2012		
		2013		
		2014		
Honda	Accord (2 Dr)	2013	2	
		2014	2	
		2015	4	
	Civic (4 Dr)	2012	37	4
		2013	42	
		2014	32	
		2015	39	
	Civic GX (4 Dr)	2012	1	
		2013		
		2014		
		2015		
	Civic Hybrid (4 Dr)	2013		
		2014		1
		2015		
	Civic Si (4 Door)	2012		
		2013		
		2014		
		2015		
	CR-V	2012	8	
		2013	14	
		2014	21	
		2015	28	
		2016	15	
	Fit	2012	6	
		2013	4	
		2014		

		2015	13	
		2016	3	
		2017		
	Fit EV	2013		
		2014		
	Ridgeline	2012	2	
		2013	1	
		2014	1	

1056. Records produced by Honda USA indicate that it did not inspect the DS84 ACUs from these crashes to rule out EOS as a cause for the nondeployments. Two illustrative examples are described below.

- a. On February 10 and 13, 2017, the brother of the driver of a 2013 Accord TSX reported to Honda USA that his sister died when the Accord’s airbags failed to deploy. The Accord crashed into a barrier and his sister broke her back and suffered a hyperextension of the artery in her neck. She died in the hospital shortly after the crash. The brother reported that the vehicle had travelled around 50 miles per hour. Honda USA’s record of the investigation history does not indicate that it retrieved the crash data or the DS84 ACU from this accident to determine whether EOS prevented airbag deployment.
- b. On or around December 19, 2018, Honda USA received a complaint that the driver of a 2016 Honda CR-V fell asleep while driving on a highway, veered off the road, hit a guard rail, and crashed into a tree. The airbags failed to deploy. The driver ejected from the vehicle and died. Honda USA’s record of the investigation history does not indicate that it retrieved the crash data or the DS84 ACU from this accident to confirm whether EOS prevented airbag deployment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

c. **In 2012 and 2013, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Honda Japan, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia observed EOS damage to a DS84 ASIC in a Honda Accord that experienced an DS84 ACU failure and second stage airbag failure during a crash test in Japan.**

1057. On or around December 3, 2012, Honda Japan conducted a crash test in Japan of a 2013 Honda Accord intended for sale in Australia. The Accord was equipped with a DS84 ACU.

1058. In the test, the Accord crashed into a deformable barrier while traveling at approximately 35 miles per hour. Upon information and belief, all the airbags should have deployed during this crash. Instead, only a partial deployment occurred, in that the first stage front airbags deployed but the second stage airbags did not.

1059. Honda Japan observed the DS84 ACU from the Accord after the crash test and found the following evidence of ASIC EOS:

- a. The EDR did not record any operation for the second stage airbag ignitor;
- b. The ACU had abnormal heat during analysis, which indicated “[o]vercurrent energizing condition” and “[i]nternal failure in the unit”; and
- c. A transistor on the ACU “had burnout.”

1060. After the crash test, Honda Japan asked ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to analyze the ACU. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA identified failures in the DS84 ASIC and transistor.

1061. [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1062. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA then provided Honda Japan with a theory for why the DS84 ASIC and DS84 ACU failure occurred: (1) the crash caused a ground shift in the chassis (i.e. the vehicle frame), (2) the crash caused interruptions in the supply from the car battery, which resulted in an in-rush of current upon recovery, and (3) the crash caused the front crash sensors to sever and short to ground. These three phenomena resulted in a flow of transient electricity to the DS84 ASIC, which caused the ASIC to fail due to EOS.

1063. Throughout 2013, following this analysis of the Accord crash test (and the below Canadian incident), ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Honda Japan discussed whether to modify the design of the DS84 ACU in light of the risks of EOS.

d. In 2013, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Honda Japan learned that another DS84 ACU in a 2012 Honda Civic malfunctioned due to EOS during a crash on a Canadian highway.

1064. On or around March 15, 2013, a 2012 Honda Civic vehicle crashed on a Canadian highway.

1065. Although airbags may have deployed in the crash, which is not clear from the limited information produced in discovery, Honda Japan encountered issues with downloading crash data from the DS84 ACU installed in Civic. Honda Japan then asked for ZF Passive Safety USA's, ZF Electronics USA's and ZF Automotive USA's assistance with the DS84 ACU.

1 1066. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
2 USA downloaded data from the ACU, but found only a partial crash record. It
3 could not communicate with the supplemental restraint system through the ACU.
4 These were signs of EOS.

5 1067. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
6 USA concluded that the partial crash record occurred due to internal damage to the
7 DS84 ASIC that was similar to the December 2012 Accord crash test. The
8 companies shared this conclusion with Honda Japan.

9 e. **In 2014, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
10 Automotive USA, Honda Japan, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST
11 Malaysia observed EOS damage to a DS84 ASIC in a Honda
12 City that experienced a DS84 ACU failure during a crash
test in Japan.**

13 1068. On January 13, 2014, Honda Japan conducted a crash test in Japan on
14 a 2014 Honda City intended for sale in Japan.

15 1069. Upon information and belief, the Honda City is very similar to the
16 Honda Fit, a Class Vehicle. Both types of vehicles were equipped with DS84
17 ACUs. According to the ZF Defendants, the vehicles use the same “platform”—i.e.,
18 they are effectively the same for the purposes of ACU design.

19 1070. In the crash test, the Honda City crashed into a barrier at
20 approximately 40 miles per hour.

21 1071. Although some airbags may have deployed in this crash test,³⁹ the
22 DS84 ACU in the Honda City stopped communicating afterwards and failed to shut
23 off the vehicle’s high voltage battery or disengage the door locks. This was a sign
24 of EOS.

25 _____
26 ³⁹ The limited number of documents produced about this crash test state that some
27 airbags deployed but are silent as to whether the passenger second-stage airbag
28 deployed. The crash data for the operation of the passenger airbags was missing
from the EDR.

1 1072. The DS84 ACU was missing some crash data for the wreck, including
2 the activity of the left-side airbag. This was another sign of EOS.

3 1073. Following this crash test, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
4 USA, and ZF Automotive USA conducted tests on the malfunctioning ACU.

5 1074. [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]

12 1075. The DS84 ASIC and DS84 ACU failure in the Honda City crash test
13 occurred only 87 microseconds after the impact occurred – meaning barely any
14 time separated the failure from the point at which deployment signals for the
15 airbags are sent by the ASIC.

16 1076. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
17 USA proposed the following explanation for the failure to Honda Japan: The front
18 crash sensors shorted to ground during the crash and released a negative transient
19 that exceeding the protection of a Schottky and Zenner diode. This caused the DS84
20 ASIC to suffer EOS. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
21 Automotive USA informed Honda Japan of this.

22 **f. Prior to February 27, 2014, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
23 **Electronics USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia ran a**
24 **bench test that replicated ASIC EOS damage on a DS84**
25 **ACU, and shared their findings with Honda Japan**

26 1077. In or around February 27, 2014, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
27 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA sent Honda Japan a written analysis
28 discussing “TRW Bench Test Results.” Upon information and belief, the bench test

1 involved transient testing on the configuration of the DS84 ACU used in Honda
2 Class Vehicles (and other Honda global vehicles) in a laboratory environment—i.e.,
3 outside of a vehicle. The written analysis of the results reported to Honda Japan
4 included: “Damage isolated to DS84. Electrical bench measurements show same
5 internal short to VDD as seen on crash test unit. Part sent to ST Micro for analysis.”
6 Upon information and belief, the phrase “crash test unit” refers to the DS84 ACU
7 that suffered EOS in the Honda Civic crash test in Japan that Honda Japan
8 conducted. Upon information and belief, VDD refers to a power supply component
9 connected to the DS84 ASIC. When EOS occurs on the DS84 ASIC, it can also
10 short.

11 1078. [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]

17 1079. [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 **g. Honda Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Electronics US LLC,**
22 **ST USA, ST Italy, and STMicroelectronics, SDN BHD are**
23 **withholding documents and information concerning**
24 **additional Honda Civic Field Events with signs of DS84**
ASIC EOS in DS84 ACUs from prior to February 27, 2014.

25 1080. Honda USA has produced a document dated February 27, 2014,
26 which, upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA and ZF Electronics USA
27 prepared for Honda Japan.
28

1 1081. The document identified ZF Automotive USA as the copyright holder
2 of the information discussed therein.

3 1082. [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]

10 1083. [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 **h. Between 2014 and February 5, 2016, Honda Japan, ZF**
23 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
24 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany learned that a**
25 **Honda Jazz with a DS84 ACU in Asia experienced an**
inadvertent airbag deployment.

26 1084. Upon information and belief, in 2014, the airbags in a Honda Jazz with
27 a DS84 ACU deployed while the car was driving, without any crash event. The
28 ACU is the component that controls with airbags deploy, and should never

1 command deployment without a crash event. As evidenced by the prior recalls of
2 TRW ACUs due to EOS and other DS84 ASICs with observed EOS in vehicles that
3 experienced inadvertent deployments, an inadvertent airbag deployment without a
4 crash event can be a sign of ASIC EOS.

5 1085. Although this incident occurred in Asia, the Honda Jazz is part of the
6 same vehicle “platform” as the Honda Fit, a Class Vehicle. Upon information and
7 belief, this means they share common design, engineering, and production efforts,
8 and therefore observed ACU malfunctions in one platform are evidence of a defect
9 in another platform.

10 1086. ZF Germany was aware of this incident because it is the copyright
11 holder of a February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation with a chart which that listed
12 an inadvertent deployment event for Honda vehicles.⁴⁰ The document identifies ZF
13 Germany as the author of the slide deck by listing its corporate name immediately
14 under the title of the deck on the first page.

15 1087. Upon information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF
16 Electronics USA knew about this incident because they designed the DS84 ACU
17 and provided quality assurance to Honda Japan, and its affiliates, which include
18 assisting with the analysis of ACU malfunctions.

19 1088. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA was aware of this
20 incident because it attended the meeting where the February 5, 2016 slide deck
21 presentation was used.

22 1089. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. was aware of this
23 incident because, Marc Bolitho, a longtime employee of ZF Passive Safety USA
24 who held himself out as the Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW
25 Corp., is one of several authors of the slide deck presentation.

26 1090. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan was aware of this incident.

27 ⁴⁰ Although this slide deck refers to Honda Japan as “OEM B,” other information
28 produced by the ZF Defendants confirms that “OEM B” refers to Honda Japan

1 1091. The Honda and ZF Defendants have not produced any documents
2 reflecting their analysis of the DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC from this incident with a
3 Honda Jazz.

- 4 **i. On or around February 27, 2014, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
5 **Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA informed**
6 **Honda Japan that the standard used to test for an electrical**
7 **phenomenon related to EOS were not sufficient to simulate**
8 **“actual vehicle condition[s].”**

9 1092. In early 2014, Honda Japan asked ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
10 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA to provide test results for the DS84 ACUs
11 under an electrical engineering standard called AECQ100. This standard tests the
12 results for latch-up effect, an electrical phenomenon in microchips that can lead to
13 EOS.

14 1093. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics
15 USA provided Honda Japan with a written response on around February 27, 2014.
16 The response stated that the DS84 ASIC was tested under this standard at plus or
17 minus 100 milli-Amps. But the response also stated: “However, actual vehicle
18 condition can supply large amount of current to the ASIC when negative transient
19 occurs. Therefore, results seen for [the Honda City] crash test could not be observed
20 during ACEQ100 testing.” In other words, the latch-up test did not simulate the
21 type of latch-up effect that could occur under real world conditions during a 40
22 mile-per-hour crash with a barrier.

- 23 **j. Following these three global incidents, Honda Japan, ZF**
24 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
25 **Automotive USA agreed to inadequate design changes to**
26 **some, but not all, Class Vehicles.**

27 1094. After the three DS84 ACU malfunctions in Honda vehicles described
28 above, Honda Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
Automotive USA agreed to change the design of the DS84 ACUs for some of the

1 following Class Vehicles going forward: Accords, CRVs, and Fits.⁴¹ These changes
2 confirmed an agreement by Honda Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
3 USA, and ZF Automotive USA that the three DS84 ACU malfunctions abroad were
4 relevant to ACUs in the United States, and that the observed malfunctions were
5 serious enough to necessitate design changes.

6 1095. The remaining Class Vehicles were not altered. No Defendant took
7 any steps to fix the Accords, CRVs and Fits that had already been sold.

8 1096. Upon information and belief, the DS84 ACU design change involved
9 increasing the strength of Schottky diodes and adding a resistor on the crash sensor
10 communication lines. This change did not address the root cause of the defect: the
11 relative vulnerability of the DS84 ASIC to transients. NHTSA's investigation into
12 the models with this design change and numerous public consumer complaints
13 regarding failed airbags in these same vehicles further indicates an uncured defect
14 in the Unrecalled Honda Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACUs. *See* Exhibit 5
15 (10606730, 10904988, 10904991, 11006304, 11006609, 11209214, 11230881,
16 11232553, 11297555). Honda USA has also received over 70 complaints that
17 airbags failed to deploy in these model vehicles during accidents with serious
18 injuries.

19 1097. [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 a. [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]

26 _____
27 ⁴¹ Based on the incomplete, limited-discovery information available at this time,
28 these changes may apply to some 2015 Honda Accords, 2015–2017 Honda CR-Vs,
and 2016–2017 Honda Fits with DS84 ACUs.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[REDACTED]

b.

1098. Upon information and belief, Honda USA knew about this design change because Honda Japan informs Honda USA when changes to American vehicle designs are made.

k. In or around February 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA informed Honda Japan that EOS had been observed on DS84 ASICs in field events involving vehicles made by two other manufacturers.

1099. Upon information and belief, in February 2016,⁴² ZF Automotive USA shared a slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 with Honda Japan. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF

⁴² This allegation is based on ZF Automotive USA’s acknowledgment in a 573 Defect Report filed in 2018 that it “communicate[d] with customers regarding EOS and contact with NHTSA” in January 2016. Marc Bolitho, the Director of Passive Safety Electronics and Engineering for ZF TRW Corp., also signed a declaration dated March 14, 2016 acknowledging that portions of a February 5, 2016 slide deck presented to NHTSA were “shared with customers or the applicable component supplier under circumstances that the shared information is retained as confidential by them.”

1 Electronics USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany all had a role in drafting,
2 editing, and/or approving the slide deck presentation before ZF Automotive USA
3 shared it with Honda Japan.

4 1100. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation informed Honda Japan
5 that two other vehicle manufacturers had field incidents in the United States with
6 confirmed EOS on DS84 ACUs.

7 1101. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation also informed Honda
8 Japan that bench testing had replicated two types of failures in DS84 ASICs due to
9 EOS, and that “[t]hese multipoint failure modes can cause EOS to the ASIC that
10 may impact ACU function during a crash event.”

11 **I. Defendants are presently withholding information about two**
12 **additional investigations into incidents that involved Honda**
13 **vehicles and potential EOS in DS84 ACUs.**

14 1102. Upon information and belief and based upon joint interrogatory
15 answers by the domestic ZF Defendants, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
16 USA, and ZF Automotive USA have investigated two other global incidents for
17 potential EOS. One involved a Honda Civic with an “incident” that occurred in
18 Brazil on November 18, 2016. Another involved a Honda City with an “incident”
19 that occurred in Thailand on April 6, 2017.

20 1103. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan and its affiliates would not
21 have involved ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
22 USA in an investigation that did not have troubling signs of EOS, because Honda
23 Japan and other vehicle manufacturers know how to obtain and interpret EDR data
24 from an ACU when the ACU is working properly. When an EDR has complete
25 information, there is no need to involve the supplier, which suggests a more
26 complex investigation and analysis was required.

27 1104. Upon information and belief, one of these two incidents involved an
28 inadvertent deployment. This belief is based upon the fact that a presentation dated

1 March 8, 2018 produced by the ZF Defendants (described in more detail below)
2 confirms the existence of two inadvertent deployments in Honda vehicles with
3 DS84 ASICs. This is an increase of one incident relative to those identified in the
4 February 5, 2016 presentation described above.

5 1105. Defendants have produced no other information about the
6 investigation into the Brazil and Thailand incidents.

7 **m. Based on the Toyota recall, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA,**
8 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
9 **USA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA knew that even**
10 **relatively “high levels” of circuit protection around the DS84**
11 **ASIC are insufficient to cure the defect.**

12 1106. On April 19, 2019, NHTSA filed a public document describing the
13 investigation into the DS84 ACU Defect. The document noted that the recalled
14 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles included “the lowest levels of ASIC protection”
15 and the recalled FCA vehicles used “a mid-level form of ASIC protection.” The
16 document also noted: “ODI has identified two substantial frontal crash events (one
17 fatal) involving Toyota products where EOS is suspected as the likely cause of the
18 non-deployments. The crashes involved a MY 2018 and a MY 2019 Corolla
19 equipped with the subject ACU that incorporated higher levels of ASIC protection.
20 Additionally, both ACUs were found to be non-communicative (meaning the
21 ACU’s Event Data Recorder could not be read) after the crash, a condition found in
22 other cases where EOS occurred with other [vehicle manufacturers].” Upon
23 information and belief, Honda USA, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, ZF
24 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
25 and ZF Germany all read this document.

26 1107. On January 17, 2020, Toyota submitted a 573 Defect Report to
27 NHTSA that announced its intention to recall 2,891,976 vehicles based on an
28 admitted defect with the DS84 ACU. The recalled Toyota vehicles were equipped
with versions of the ACU with same level of circuit protection as most Honda Class

1 Vehicles. Upon information and belief, Honda USA, Honda Japan, Honda
2 Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
3 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany all read this document and knew that the
4 DS84 ACUs in the majority of Honda Class Vehicles had the same levels of circuit
5 protection as the DS84 ACUs that prompted the recall of Toyota Class Vehicles.

6 **n. In March 2020, Honda USA, Honda Japan, and ZF**
7 **Electronics USA analyzed a DS84 ACU from a Honda Civic**
8 **that crashed in Florida and found signs of DS84 ASIC EOS.**

9 1108. On or around February 24, 2018, a 2012 Honda Civic crashed in
10 Florida. Upon information and belief, the airbags in the vehicle did not deploy.

11 1109. Upon information and belief, Honda USA learned of this crash and
12 asked ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to
13 investigate the DS84 ACU. According to an EDR analysis produced by Honda
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA had
15 to transplant the Event Data Recorder chip into another ACU to download the
16 information. This would only have been done if the DS84 ACU from the Honda
17 Civic was noncommunicative. Upon information and belief, the DS84 ACU
18 retrieved from the 2012 Honda Civic was noncommunicative, which is a sign of
19 EOS.

20 1110. On March 12, 2020, ZF Electronics USA downloaded the information
21 on the Event Data Recorder chip retrieved from the 2012 Honda Civic. There was
22 no data for the crash event. This is a further sign of EOS.

23 1111. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
24 USA shared the Event Data Recorder analysis with Honda USA. Upon information
25 and belief, Honda USA shared the analysis with Honda Japan.

26 1112. Aside from the EDR analysis, no Defendant has produced any
27 information about this crash or any further analysis thereof.
28

1 **7. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,**
2 **ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi**
3 **USA knew the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, as well as the DS84**
4 **ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed therein, were defective.**

5 1113. For many years, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
6 Automotive USA, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, Mitsubishi Japan, and
7 Mitsubishi USA were aware of the risk of EOS in the DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi
8 Class Vehicles.

9 **a. Between 2014 and 2019, Mitsubishi USA received over 50**
10 **consumer complaints about non-deployment events in**
11 **Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.**

12 1114. Between 2014 and 2019, Mitsubishi USA received over 50 consumer
13 complaints about non-deployment events in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

14 1115. The documents produced by Mitsubishi USA in discovery indicate its
15 practice was to close consumer complaints without sending an inspector to
16 investigate the vehicle for an ACU malfunction. Virtually none of the customer
17 complaint records produced by Mitsubishi USA indicate that Mitsubishi USA took
18 this basic step to confirm its DS84 ACUs were functioning properly and not
19 defective.

20 **b. In or around February 2016, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
21 **Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA informed**
22 **Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA that EOS had been**
23 **observed on DS84 ASICs in field events involving vehicles**
24 **made by two other manufacturers.**

25 1116. Upon information and belief, in February 2016, ZF Automotive USA
26 shared a slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 with Mitsubishi USA and
27 Mitsubishi Japan. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
28 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany all had

1 a role in drafting, editing, and/or approving the slide deck before ZF Automotive
2 USA shared it with Mitsubishi USA and Mitsubishi Japan.⁴³

3 1117. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation informed Mitsubishi
4 USA and Mitsubishi Japan that two other vehicle manufacturers had field incidents
5 in the United States with confirmed EOS on DS84 ACUs.

6 1118. The February 5, 2016 slide deck presentation also informed Mitsubishi
7 USA and Mitsubishi Japan that bench testing had replicated two types of failures in
8 DS84 ASICs due to EOS, and that “[t]hese multipoint failure modes can cause EOS
9 to the ASIC that may impact ACU function during a crash event.”

10 **c. In 2017, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive**
11 **Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and**
12 **ST Italy confirmed that EOS occurred in a DS84 ACU in a**
13 **Mitsubishi Class Vehicle.**

14 1119. In May of 2017, Mitsubishi USA shipped the DS84 ACU recovered
15 from a 2017 Mitsubishi Lancer to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
16 and ZF Electronics USA. Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi USA sent them
17 the device for analysis because a consumer took the car to a dealer when the ACU
18 had malfunctioned.

19 1120. On May 25, 2017, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
20 ZF Electronics USA received the ACU.

21 1121. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
22 USA performed an initial analysis and found the DS84 ACU failed three separate

23 ⁴³ This allegation is based on ZF Automotive USA’s acknowledgment in a 573
24 Report filed in 2018 that it “communicate[d] with customers regarding EOS and
25 contact with NHTSA” in January 2016. Marc Bolitho, a longtime employee of ZF
26 Passive Safety USA who also served as the Director of Passive Safety Electronics
27 and Engineering for ZF TRW Corp., also signed a declaration dated March 14,
28 2016 acknowledging that portions of a February 5, 2016 slide deck presented to
NHTSA were “shared with customers or the applicable component supplier under
circumstances that the shared information is retained as confidential by them.”
Mitsubishi USA produced a copy of the February 5, 2016 presentation in discovery.

1 tests. Upon information and belief, they then sent the malfunctioning DS84 ACU or
2 component parts thereof to ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.

3 1122. [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]

14 1123. Upon information and belief and based on written materials prepared
15 by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Automotive USA from
16 2013, EOS failures on the DS84 ASIC can occur when a squib ASIC shorts, and the
17 ignition generates a spike. The SD40 is one of the squib ASICs on the DS84 ACU.

18 1124. Because the SD40 ASIC is physically near the DS84 ASIC on the
19 particle board, the SD40 ASIC is part of the DS84 ASIC's family of chips, the
20 NHTSA investigation has touched upon the SD40 ASIC, and the chip suffered
21 similar EOS failures, it is further evidence of the ACU Defect at issue in this
22 litigation.

23 **d. Based on the Toyota recall, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi**
24 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
25 **Electronics USA knew that even relatively "high levels" of**
26 **circuit protection around the DS84 ASIC are insufficient to**
cure the defect.

27 1125. On April 19, 2019, NHTSA upgraded its preliminary investigation
28 concerning DS84 ACUs to a type of investigation called an "Engineering

1 Analysis.” In connection with this decision, NHTSA expanded the scope of the
2 investigation to include the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

3 1126. Also on April 19, 2019, NHTSA filed a public document describing
4 the investigation. The document noted that the recalled Hyundai and Kia Class
5 Vehicles included “the lowest levels of ASIC protection” and the recalled FCA
6 vehicles used “a mid-level form of ASIC protection.” The document also noted:
7 “ODI has identified two substantial frontal crash events (one fatal) involving
8 Toyota products where EOS is suspected as the likely cause of the non-
9 deployments. The crashes involved a MY 2018 and a MY 2019 Corolla equipped
10 with the subject ACU that incorporated higher levels of ASIC protection.
11 Additionally, both ACUs were found to be non-communicative (meaning the ACU
12 could not be read with an Event Data Recorder) after the crash, a condition found in
13 other cases where EOS occurred with other [vehicle manufacturers].”

14 1127. Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF
15 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA all read this
16 document, and understood that Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were equipped with, at
17 best, a mid-level form of ASIC protection described by NHTSA.

18 1128. On January 17, 2020, Toyota submitted a 573 Defect Report to
19 NHTSA announcing its intention to recall 2,891,976 vehicles based on an admitted
20 defect with the DS84 ACU. The recalled Toyota vehicles were equipped with
21 versions of the ACU with same level of circuit protection as most Honda Class
22 Vehicles. Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF
23 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA all read this document and knew that
24 the DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had lower levels of circuit protection
25 than the DS84 ACUs that prompted the recall of Toyota Class Vehicles.
26
27
28

1 **E. Defendants schemed to defraud Plaintiffs and other similarly situated**
2 **consumers by making misleading statements about Class Vehicle safety,**
3 **airbags, and seatbelts.**

4 **1. Each Class Vehicle has several “in-vehicle” safety labels that**
5 **misleadingly assured consumers that the airbags and seatbelts**
6 **would function properly during a crash.**

7 1129. Defendants know, and have known, that properly functioning airbags
8 and seatbelts, and vehicle safety in general, are important attributes to consumers in
9 deciding to purchase or lease a vehicle. This collective understanding informed the
10 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants’ marketing strategy for and representations to
11 consumers about the Class Vehicles, as reflected throughout the informational
12 labels and representations included in every Class Vehicle.

13 1130. As described in detail below, these safety representations include
14 window stickers affixed to each Class Vehicle at the point of sale or lease and made
15 available online; certification labels that uniformly communicate compliance with
16 motor vehicle safety standards in every Class Vehicle; and in-vehicle safety
17 information about airbags and their location within the vehicles. On the whole,
18 these window stickers, safety labels, and information uniformly and misleadingly
19 communicated to consumers prior to their decision to purchase or lease a Class
20 Vehicle that the Class Vehicles were safe and had properly-functioning airbags and
21 seatbelts when in fact, they did not.

22 **a. With their co-conspirators’ knowledge, Honda USA, Toyota**
23 **USA, Toyota Sales USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA, Hyundai**
24 **USA, and Kia USA distributed Class Vehicles with**
25 **Monroney labels that had misleading assurances regarding**
26 **safety.**

27 1131. In the United States, automobile dealers must sell or lease new
28 vehicles with window stickers that provide important information about a vehicle’s
features, including its safety features, and performance characteristics. *See* 15 U.S.
Code § 1232. These window stickers are commonly called “Monroney labels.”

1 Every Class Vehicle had a Monroney label affixed to it at the point of its original
2 sale or lease at a dealership. The labels are large—approximately the size of a
3 standard sheet of paper—and prominently displayed on the vehicles, typically taped
4 to a window.

5 1132. Monroney labels are also important resources for used vehicle
6 purchasers because they can also be affixed to used cars at the point of sale, and
7 they are readily available online, including at <https://monroneylabels.com>. Upon
8 information and belief, used car dealers often provide printed Monroney labels to
9 consumers when offering the vehicles for sale or lease. Given this common
10 practice, Monroney labels informed the sale or lease of used Class Vehicles as well.

11 1133. Although dealers displayed the Class Vehicles with Monroney labels
12 prior to sale and lease, they did not author the labels and had no control over, or
13 input in, the contents of the Monroney labels. Instead, the domestic subsidiaries
14 within the Defendant Vehicle Manufacturer groups control the contents of the
15 Monroney labels for their respective Class Vehicles. Specifically:

- 16 a. Honda USA was responsible for drafting and approving the
17 content of the Monroney labels for all Honda Class Vehicles.
- 18 b. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA were jointly responsible for
19 drafting and approving the content of the Monroney labels for
20 Toyota Class Vehicles.
- 21 c. Mitsubishi USA was responsible for drafting and approving the
22 contents of the Monroney labels for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
- 23 d. FCA was responsible was responsible for drafting and
24 approving the content of the Monroney labels for FCA Class
25 Vehicles that shipped after June 10, 2009.⁴⁴

27 ⁴⁴ FCA's bankrupt predecessor, Chrysler LLC, drafted and approved Monroney
28 labels for vehicles shipped prior to this date.

1 e. Hyundai USA was responsible for drafting and approving the
2 contents of the Monroney labels for Hyundai Class Vehicles.

3 f. Kia USA was responsible for drafting and approving the
4 contents of the Monroney labels for Kia Class Vehicles.

5 1134. Nor did the dealers themselves affix the labels to Class Vehicles.
6 Instead, domestic subsidiaries within the Defendant Vehicle Manufacturer groups
7 affixed the Monroney labels to their respective Class Vehicles before shipping them
8 across the United States to the dealers. Specifically, upon information and belief:

9 a. Honda USA affixed Monroney labels to all Honda Class
10 Vehicles prior to shipping them to Honda dealers.

11 b. Toyota Sales USA affixed Monroney labels to all Toyota Class
12 Vehicles prior to shipping them to Toyota dealers.

13 c. Mitsubishi USA affixed Monroney labels to all Mitsubishi Class
14 Vehicles prior to shipping them to Mitsubishi dealers.

15 d. FCA affixed Monroney labels to all FCA Class Vehicles
16 shipped after June 10, 2009,⁴⁵ prior to shipping them to FCA
17 dealers.

18 e. Hyundai USA affixed Monroney labels to all Hyundai Class
19 Vehicles prior to shipping them to Hyundai dealers.

20 f. Kia USA affixed Monroney labels to all Kia Class Vehicles
21 prior to shipping them to Kia dealers.

22 1135. When Honda USA, Toyota Sales USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA,
23 Hyundai USA, and Kia USA shipped their Class Vehicles with Monroney labels to
24 dealers, they knew that U.S. law prohibited automobile dealers from removing the
25 Monroney labels from the Class Vehicles, and that only consumers are allowed to
26 remove the labels.

27 ⁴⁵ FCA's bankrupt predecessor, Chrysler LLC, affixed Monroney labels to vehicles
28 shipped prior to this date.

1 1136. Upon information and belief, Honda USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
2 USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA tell automobile dealers
3 to display Class Vehicles with Monroney labels approved by the respective
4 domestic entities, as described in the preceding paragraph. Upon information and
5 belief, this instruction is part of written policies or contracts that Honda USA,
6 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia
7 USA provide to the authorized dealers who sell or lease their respective vehicle
8 models.

9 1137. Exhibit 7 contains a compendium of Monroney labels for the Class
10 Vehicles, including both images of original Monroney labels and versions of the
11 labels publicly available on monroneylabels.com. On information and belief, the
12 original printed Monroney labels for the Class Vehicles included the same content
13 as pertains to safety and airbags as the exemplar Monroney labels from
14 monroneylabels.com.

15 1138. Although no law required them to do so, Honda USA, Toyota USA,
16 Toyota Sales USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA voluntarily
17 chose to include information about airbags or seatbelts on all Monroney labels for
18 Class Vehicles, typically under a heading for “SAFETY” or “STANDARD
19 FEATURES.” Representative examples are detailed below.

- 20 a. On the Monroney label for the 2013 Chrysler 200, FCA featured
21 “Advanced Multistage Front Airbags” and “Supplemental”
22 Front Seat and Side Curtain Airbags amongst the included
23 “SAFETY FEATURES.” Exhibit 7 at 5. FCA also used
24 identical language on the Monroney labels for the 2014 and
25 2015 Chrysler 200. Exhibit 7 at 6-7.
- 26 b. Likewise, on the Monroney label for the 2013 Fiat 500, FCA
27 again touted the “Advanced Multistage Front Airbags” and
28

- 1 “Supplemental” Front Seat and Side Curtain Airbags amongst
2 the “SAFETY FEATURES” in the vehicle. Exhibit 7 at 29.
- 3 c. On the Monroney label for the 2016 Hyundai Sonata Sport,
4 Hyundai USA listed the included “ADVANCED SAFETY
5 TECHNOLOGY” such as “Front, Front Side Impact, Side
6 Curtain & Driver Knee Airbags” and “Front Seatbelt Pre-
7 Tensioners.” Exhibit 7 at 53.
- 8 d. Hyundai USA also featured the “Dual Stage Driver And
9 Passenger Front Airbags” and “Dual Stage Driver And
10 Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags” along with “Outboard
11 Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts” with “pretensioners” on
12 the Monroney label for the 2018 Hyundai Sonata. Exhibit 7 at
13 55.
- 14 e. Likewise, Kia USA featured “Dual Front Advanced Airbags &
15 Driver's Knee Airbag” and the “Dual Front Seat-Mounted Side
16 & Full-Length Curtain Airbags” under the header SAFETY on
17 the Monroney label for the 2020 Kia Optima SX Turbo. Exhibit
18 7 at 103.
- 19 f. Kia USA also listed the “Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-
20 Mounted Side Airbags” and “Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder
21 Safety Belts” with “Pretensioners” as amongst the “SAFETY”
22 features on the Monroney label for the 2014 Kia Sedona. Exhibit
23 7 at 109.
- 24 g. On the Monroney label for the 2014 Mitsubishi Lancer,
25 Mitsubishi USA included “ADVANCED DUAL FRONT
26 AIRABGS,” “FRONT SEAT MOUNTED SIDE AIRBAGS,”
27 “SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAGS” and a “DRIVER’S SIDE KNEE
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AIRBAG” amongst the featured “SAFETY & SECURITY FEATURES” in the vehicle. Exhibit 7 at 110.

- h. Similarly, as to the 2016 Mitsubishi Lancer ES, Mitsubishi USA touted the “Advanced dual-stage front airbags with occupant seat position sensors” as well as the “Height-adjustable front shoulder belts with pretensioner” as a “Safety & Security” feature on the Monroney label. Exhibit 7 at 112.
- i. On its Monroney label for the 2012 Honda Civic Sedan, Honda USA lists “SAFETY” equipment including “Front & rear side curtain airbags” and “3-point seat belts in all seating positions” with a “front automatic tensioning system.” Exhibit 7 at 35.
- j. As to the 2016 Honda CR-V, Honda USA noted “Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags,” “Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags,” and “Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts - inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners” on its label regarding the “SAFETY” attributes of the vehicle. Exhibit 7 at 43.
- k. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA featured “SAFETY” equipment on the Monroney label for the 2013 Toyota Sequoia, listing “Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/occupant classification sensor” and “Driver & front passenger seatbelt pretensioners & force limiters.” Exhibit 7 at 148.
- l. Similarly, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA described “Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/passenger airbag cut-off switch,” “Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags” and “Front & rear side curtain airbags - 3-point seat belts w/emergency locking retractor at all seating positions -inc: front seat belt pretensioners, force limiters & adjustable shoulder

1 anchors, automatic/emergency locking retractor for front
2 passenger & rear seat belts” on the Monroney label for the 2012
3 Toyota Tacoma. Exhibit 7 at 152.

4 m. Additional examples of Monroney labels with the same or
5 similar representations about vehicle airbags, seatbelts, and
6 safety are attached hereto as Exhibit 7.

7 1139. These descriptions of airbags and seatbelts in Class Vehicles on
8 Monroney labels were false and misleading because they conveyed to any
9 reasonable consumer that the Class Vehicles had properly functioning airbags and
10 seatbelts that would protect occupants during a crash, when, in fact, the Class
11 Vehicles have defective safety systems that can fail or malfunction during crashes
12 due to EOS.

13 1140. Upon information and belief, Honda USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
14 USA, Mitsubishi USA, FCA, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA chose to include
15 misleading descriptions of the airbags and seatbelts on their Monroney labels
16 because they wanted to encourage Class Members to purchase or lease the Class
17 Vehicles and knew that airbags, seatbelts, and vehicle safety are critically important
18 to consumers when deciding to purchase or lease a vehicle.

19 1141. In addition, the Monroney labels for all Class Vehicles featured the
20 “Government 5-Star Safety Ratings” and include a star rating in the crash
21 categories “Front Crash – Driver” and “Front Crash – Passenger.” These
22 statements on every Monroney label were misleading because they suggested to any
23 reasonable consumer that the vehicle’s passenger safety system did not suffer from
24 a defect and would perform its intended function to protect them during a crash.
25 Because of the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the Class Vehicles, this was not
26 true.

27 1142. All Defendants knew that dealers sold or leased Class Vehicles with
28 Monroney labels with these kinds of misrepresentations about airbags, seatbelts,

1 and vehicle safety, because every major participant in the automotive industry is
2 familiar with the standard practice of including this type of information on
3 Monroney labels.

- 4 a. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that derive
5 billions of dollars in revenue from the sale of vehicles to U.S.-
6 based dealers Honda Japan, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Toyota
7 Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan were each specifically aware that
8 their subsidiaries distributed the Class Vehicles with Monroney
9 labels that included information about safety and safety features.
- 10 b. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
11 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the U.S.
12 automotive industry, Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd., ST USA, ST
13 Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,
14 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were
15 each specifically aware that the Vehicle Manufacturer
16 Defendants distributed the Class Vehicles with Monroney labels
17 that included information about safety and safety features. For
18 example, in a June 14, 2010 press release from ZF TRW Corp.,
19 the company boasted that its airbag systems and components
20 help vehicles “earn the highest rating” in the NHTSA crash test
21 rating featured on Monroney labels, which it described as
22 evidence of its capacity to provide “competitive solutions” to
23 manufacturers.

24 1143. As the above examples make clear, the Monroney labels for the Class
25 Vehicles uniformly, and wrongly, assured Plaintiffs and Class members that the
26 Class Vehicles were safe. The statements and information on the labels suggested to
27 any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a
28 defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and

1 airbags when necessary during a crash. This was false and misleading because the
2 DS84 ACUs and ASICs installed in the Class Vehicles were, in fact, defective and
3 posed an unreasonable risk to the safety of vehicle occupants. Had Defendants
4 disclosed the defective nature of the DS84 ACUs and ASICs, or that seatbelts and
5 airbags may fail to activate in some moderate to severe crashes, on the Monroney
6 labels of the Class Vehicles, Plaintiffs would have seen such a disclosure.

7 **b. With their co-conspirators’ full knowledge, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, FCA, Toyota Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan affixed misleading safety certification labels to many Class Vehicles and approved similar labels in the remainder.**

11 1144. To sell the Class Vehicles in the United States, the Vehicle
12 Manufacturer Defendants certified “that the vehicle or equipment complies with
13 applicable motor vehicle safety standards prescribed.” 49 U.S.C. § 30115. Vehicle
14 manufacturers make this representation through a label “permanently fixed to the
15 vehicle[s]” that they make, sell and/or distribute. They “may not issue the
16 certificate if, in exercising reasonable care,” they have “reason to know the
17 certificate is false or misleading in a material respect.” 49 U.S.C. § 30115; *see also*
18 49 U.S.C. § 30112.

19 1145. Because they could not have been lawfully sold or leased without it,
20 ***all*** Class Vehicles have a permanent label that certifies compliance with the safety
21 regulations prescribed by NHTSA under Chapter 301. As passenger vehicles, the
22 permanent label on each Class Vehicle must state: “This vehicle conforms to all
23 applicable Federal motor vehicle safety, bumper, and theft prevention standards in
24 effect on the date of manufacture shown above.” 49 CFR § 567.4(g)(5).

25 1146. As described further below, the false and misleading certification
26 labels in the Class Vehicles were drafted and placed—or directly approved for
27 placement—in the Class Vehicles by the following Defendants and non-parties:
28 Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, FCA, Toyota

1 Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan. Without these entities placing or approving the
2 misleading certifications in the Class Vehicles, Plaintiffs and Class members could
3 not have purchased or leased them.

4 a. Kia Korea placed this certification in all Kia Class Vehicles
5 manufactured in South Korea. For these Kia Class Vehicles, the
6 certification expressly identified Kia Korea as the certifying
7 manufacturer, as demonstrated by the below picture of a
8 certification from a Kia Class Vehicle made in South Korea.



19

20 b. Upon information and belief, Kia Korea also directly approved
21 the placement of this same certification in Kia Class Vehicles
22 manufactured in the United States, including by Kia Georgia,
23 Inc., its U.S. manufacturing plant located in West Point,
24 Georgia. Although Kia Georgia, Inc.'s name would have likely
25 appeared on certifications placed on Kia Class Vehicles made
26 there, Kia Georgia, Inc. has no discretion as to the design of the
27 Kia Class Vehicles. Instead, Kia Korea required Kia Georgia,
28

1 Inc. and all its subsidiaries to manufacture Kia models strictly in
2 accordance with Kia Korea’s design.

3 c. Hyundai Korea placed this certification in Hyundai Class
4 Vehicles manufactured in South Korea. For these Hyundai Class
5 Vehicles, the certification expressly identified Hyundai Korea as
6 the certifying manufacturer.

7 d. Upon information and belief, Hyundai Korea also directly
8 approved the placement of this same certification in Hyundai
9 Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States by Hyundai
10 Motor Manufacturing Alabama Inc., its U.S. manufacturing
11 plant located in Montgomery, Alabama. Although Hyundai
12 Motor Manufacturing Alabama Inc.’s name would have likely
13 appeared on certifications placed on Hyundai Class Vehicles
14 made there, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama Inc. has no
15 discretion as to the design of the Hyundai Class Vehicles.
16 Instead, Hyundai Korea required Hyundai Motor Manufacturing
17 Alabama Inc. and all its subsidiaries to manufacture Hyundai
18 models strictly in accordance with Hyundai Korea’s design.

19 e. FCA placed this certification in FCA Class Vehicles
20 manufactured in the United States after June 10, 2009.⁴⁶ For
21 Class Vehicles manufactured on or after April 1, 2014, the
22 certification label would identify “FCA US LLC.” For Class
23 Vehicles manufactured between June 10, 2009 and March 31,
24 2014, the certification label would identify “Chrysler Group
25 LLC.” This is FCA’s old name for the same corporate entity.
26

27 ⁴⁶ For Class Vehicles manufactured prior to June 10, 2009, FCA’s bankrupt
28 predecessor Chrysler LLC, was responsible for the certification label.

- 1 f. Upon information and belief, FCA also directly approved the
2 placement of this same certification in FCA Class Vehicles
3 manufactured in Mexico by FCA Mexico on or after June 1,
4 2009.⁴⁷ Although FCA Mexico would have likely appeared on
5 certifications placed on FCA Class Vehicles made there, FCA
6 Mexico has no discretion as to the design of the FCA Class
7 Vehicles. Instead, FCA required FCA Mexico to manufacture
8 FCA models strictly in accordance with FCA's design.
- 9 g. Toyota Japan placed this certification in Toyota Class Vehicles
10 manufactured in Japan. For these Toyota Class Vehicles, the
11 certification expressly identified Toyota Japan as the certifying
12 manufacturer.
- 13 h. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan also directly
14 approved the placement of this same certification in Toyota
15 Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States by production
16 plants, including in Indiana by Toyota Motor Manufacturing
17 Indiana, Inc.; Kentucky by Toyota Motor Manufacturing
18 Kentucky, Inc.; Texas by Toyota Motor Manufacturing Texas,
19 Inc.; and Mississippi by Toyota Motor Manufacturing
20 Mississippi, Inc., and in Toyota Class Vehicles manufactured in
21 Mexico by Toyota Motor Manufacturing de Baja California, and
22 in Canada by Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada, Inc.
23 Although the name of the manufacturing subsidiary would have
24 likely appeared on certifications placed on Toyota Class
25 Vehicles, none of these Toyota subsidiaries have any discretion
26 as to the design of the Toyota Class Vehicles. Instead, Toyota

27 ⁴⁷ For Class Vehicles manufactured prior to June 10, 2009, FCA's bankrupt
28 predecessor Chrysler LLC, was responsible for the certification label.

1 Japan required its manufacturing subsidiaries to manufacture
2 Toyota models strictly in accordance with Toyota Japan's
3 design.

4 i. Honda Japan placed this certification in Honda Class Vehicles
5 manufactured in Japan. For these Honda Class Vehicles, the
6 certification expressly identified Honda Japan as the certifying
7 manufacturer. Honda Engineering USA placed this certification
8 in Honda Class Vehicles manufactured in Ohio. For these
9 Honda Class Vehicles, the certification expressly identified
10 Honda Engineering USA as the certifying manufacturer.

11 j. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan also directly
12 approved the placement of this same certification in Honda
13 Class Vehicles manufactured in the United States, by its
14 manufacturing entities, including in Alabama by Honda
15 Manufacturing of Alabama, Indiana by Honda Manufacturing of
16 Indiana, LLC, and in Canada, by Honda of Canada Mfg.
17 Although the name of the manufacturing subsidiary would have
18 likely appeared on certifications placed on Honda Class
19 Vehicles, none of these Honda subsidiaries have any discretion
20 as to the design of the Honda Class Vehicles. Instead, Honda
21 Japan required its manufacturing subsidiaries to manufacture
22 Honda models strictly in accordance with Honda Japan's design.

23 k. Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi Japan placed this
24 certification in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles manufactured in
25 Japan. For all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, the certification
26 identified Mitsubishi Japan as the certifying company.

27 1147. Upon information and belief, all major participants in the automotive
28 industry know that automobile manufacturers include certifications of compliance

1 with federal safety standards in every vehicle sold or leased in the United States,
2 because the inclusion of such certifications is standard practice in the industry.

3 a. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities whose
4 primary activities focused on the sale and/or manufacture of
5 vehicles in the U.S., Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota
6 Sales USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Honda USA, and Honda
7 Engineering USA each knew that their parent companies placed
8 permanent labels certifying conformance to safety standards on
9 many Class Vehicles, and approved their manufacturing
10 subsidiaries' placement of similar certifications on the
11 remaining Honda, Toyota, Kia, Hyundai, and Mitsubishi Class
12 Vehicles.

13 b. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
14 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the U.S.
15 automotive industry, Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd., ST USA, ST
16 Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,
17 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were
18 each specifically aware that the Vehicle Manufacturer
19 Defendants placed permanent labels with assurances about
20 conformance to safety standards on every Class Vehicle.

21 1148. These certification labels on the Class Vehicles were misleading
22 because they indicated to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
23 System would perform its intended function during a crash and did not suffer from
24 a defect. *See* 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.1.5.4, S4.1.5.5) (Federal motor vehicle safety
25 standards requiring Occupant Restraint Systems with airbags and seatbelts). This
26 was not true because of the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs and the risk of EOS
27 during a crash.

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

c. With their co-conspirators’ knowledge, Mitsubishi Japan, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Toyota Japan, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and FCA installed airbag readiness indicators that misled vehicle occupants about the actual readiness of the safety systems in the Class Vehicles.

1149. The Class Vehicles contain “readiness indicator[s]” meant to provide vehicle drivers and occupants with important notice of the airbag system’s current operating condition. They are often referred to as an “airbag warning lamp.” The lamp is supposed to “monitor [the occupant protection system’s] own readiness.” 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2). Indeed, as NHTSA has expressly recognized, real-time monitoring and indication of readiness for the “electrical circuitry” responsible for airbag deployment is necessary because they are some of the “most critical elements” to ensure proper function of the passenger safety system. *See* 35 Fed. Reg. 16928 (1970).

1150. Upon vehicle ignition, the ACU is supposed to conduct a self-check of the airbag system’s electrical components for malfunctions. During this self-check, the readiness indicator will momentarily blink on and then off to indicate normal operation of the system. Conversely, if there is a problem with the system, the lamp will remain illuminated. An illuminated readiness indicator is designed to inform the driver and vehicle occupants of a problem that may interfere with the intended performance of airbags. Accordingly, when not illuminated, the vehicle’s readiness indicator communicates that the airbags are ready to deploy during a crash.

1151. Typically, the icon used for this light resembles a driver wearing a seatbelt, being hit with an airbag.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1152. The Vehicle Manufacturers Defendants worked jointly with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to design and include the readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles. Specifically, the entities responsible for vehicle design—Mitsubishi Japan, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Toyota Japan, Honda Japan, and FCA—worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA to develop, test, and implement the readiness indicator systems in the Class Vehicles, including setting the inputs that will cause it to illuminate to warn vehicle occupants of a malfunction. Honda Engineering USA, for its part, installed the readiness indicator in the vehicles it manufactured in the United States.

1153. As each of these Defendants knew, the readiness indicator is, by its very nature, designed to *communicate* with vehicle occupants about the safety and operating status of the airbag system. Further illustrating that purpose, the indicator is required to be placed in a position that is “*clearly visible from the driver’s designated seating position*” in order to communicate a problem with the system without impediment. 49 C.F.R. § 571.208 (S4.5.2) (emphasis added).

1154. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants manufactured and shipped each Class Vehicle with a readiness indicator that falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant Restraint System would function properly in a crash. Because of the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in all Class Vehicles, the safety systems in Class Vehicles are not ready to operate in all crashes where they should.

1 Accordingly, the airbag warning lamp should have illuminated at or prior to the
2 point of sale or lease.

3 1155. Upon information and belief, all major participants in the automotive
4 industry know that all vehicles sold or leased in the U.S. will have readiness
5 indicators, because the inclusion of readiness indicators is standard practice in the
6 U.S. market.

7 a. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that
8 exclusively participate in the North American automobile
9 industry, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,
10 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
11 USA were each specifically aware that the Class Vehicles were
12 manufactured with readiness indicators to communicate the
13 “readiness” of the passenger safety system to vehicle occupants
14 as described above.

15 b. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
16 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the
17 automotive industry, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF
18 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were each specifically aware
20 that the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants placed readiness
21 indicators that would assure functioning safety systems to
22 vehicle occupants in each Class Vehicle. Indeed, as alleged
23 above, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
24 Passive Safety USA, worked directly on the feature with the
25 Vehicle Manufacturers.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

d. With their co-conspirators’ knowledge, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, FCA, Toyota Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan equipped the Class Vehicles with misleading in-vehicle labeling.

1156. The interiors of the Class Vehicles also contain prominent labels that alert the driver and passengers to the vehicle’s airbag system. For example, steering wheels and passenger dashboards typically have imprinted labels identifying the airbag and safety restraint system (or “SRS”). They usually look like the below labels from the 2015 Mitsubishi Lancer:



1157. Further, the Class Vehicles each had a label permanently affixed to the sun visor in the vehicles, which depicted a deployed airbag and a prominent yellow header stating “WARNING.” These sun visor labels provide information about

1 where the airbags are located in the vehicle, and about the dangers of placing young
2 children in the front seats due to the risks of airbag deployment for small occupants.

3 1158. These in-vehicle labels that communicate the inclusion and placement
4 of airbags in the vehicles are misleading because consumers reasonably understand
5 what an airbag is and why it is installed in vehicle. By definition, an airbag system
6 has only one purpose: to deploy to protect vehicle occupants during a crash. By
7 informing consumers with these imprints and labels that the vehicle has an airbag
8 system, these labels misled consumers to believe that the Class Vehicles had
9 *working and safe* airbag systems instead of defective ones that sometimes fail,
10 including during severe frontal collisions.

11 1159. Finally, as the manufacturers, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA,
12 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, FCA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Toyota Japan were also
13 specifically required to include in their Class Vehicles warning labels that alerted
14 consumers of the need to perform airbag maintenance. For example, S4.5.1 of 49
15 C.F.R. § 571.208 states:

16 Air bag maintenance or replacement information. If the vehicle
17 manufacturer recommends periodic maintenance or
18 replacement of an inflatable restraint system, as that term is
19 defined in S4.1.5.1(b) of this standard, installed in a vehicle,
20 that vehicle shall be labeled with the recommended schedule
21 for maintenance or replacement. The schedule shall be
22 specified by month and year, or in terms of vehicle mileage, or
23 by intervals measured from the date appearing on the vehicle
24 certification label provided pursuant to 49 CFR Part 567. The
25 label shall be permanently affixed to the vehicle within the
26 passenger compartment and lettered in English in block capital
27 and numerals not less than three thirty-seconds of an inch high.
28 This label may be combined with the label required by
S4.5.1(b) of this standard to appear on the sun visor. If some
regular maintenance or replacement of the inflatable restraint
system(s) in a vehicle is recommended by the vehicle
manufacturer, the owner's manual shall also set forth the
recommended schedule for maintenance or replacement.

1 1160. The airbag maintenance labels included in Class Vehicles were
2 misleading because all Class Vehicles required maintenance and repair of the DS84
3 ACU at the point of sale or lease, due to the existence of a defect. None of the
4 labels accurately described that immediate maintenance or repair was necessary.

5 1161. As designers and manufacturers of Class Vehicles, Honda Japan,
6 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, FCA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Toyota Japan placed or
7 directed the placement of these labels in the Class Vehicles that notified Plaintiffs
8 and Class members about the airbag systems in their Class Vehicles.

9 a. Kia Korea placed these labels on all Kia Class Vehicles
10 manufactured in South Korea. For Kia Class Vehicles made by
11 Kia Georgia in the United States, Kia Korea authored the
12 vehicle designs that required the inclusion of these labels. Kia
13 Georgia had no discretion or input as to the placement of the
14 labels or the design of the vehicle safety systems.

15 b. Hyundai Korea placed these labels on all Hyundai Class
16 Vehicles manufactured in South Korea. For Hyundai Class
17 Vehicles made by Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama Inc.
18 in the United States, Hyundai Korea authored the vehicle
19 designs that required inclusion of these labels. Hyundai Motor
20 Manufacturing Alabama Inc. had no discretion or input as to the
21 placement of the labels or the design of the vehicle safety
22 systems.

23 c. FCA placed these labels on all FCA Class Vehicles
24 manufactured in the United States on or after June 10, 2009. For
25 FCA Class Vehicles made by FCA Mexico on or after June 10,
26 2009, FCA authored the vehicle designs that required inclusion
27 of these labels. FCA Mexico had no discretion or input as to the
28

1 placement of the labels or the design of the vehicle safety
2 systems.⁴⁸

3 d. Toyota Japan placed these labels in Toyota Class Vehicles
4 manufactured in Japan. For Toyota Class Vehicles manufactured
5 in the North America, Toyota subsidiaries⁴⁹ added the labels but
6 Toyota Japan authored the designs that required inclusion of
7 them. None of these Toyota subsidiaries had any discretion or
8 input ass to the placement of the labels or the design of the
9 vehicle safety systems.

10 e. Honda Japan placed these labels in Honda Class Vehicles
11 manufactured in Japan. Honda Engineering USA placed these
12 labels in Honda Class Vehicles it manufactured in Ohio. For
13 other Honda Class Vehicles manufactured in the North America,
14 Honda subsidiaries⁵⁰ added the labels but Honda Japan authored
15 the designs that required inclusion of them. None of these
16 Honda subsidiaries had any discretion or input ass to the
17 placement of the labels or the design of the vehicle safety
18 systems.

19 f. Mitsubishi Japan placed these labels in all Mitsubishi Class
20 Vehicles.

21 _____
22 ⁴⁸ FCA's bankrupt predecessor Chrysler LLC was responsible for labels on Class
23 Vehicles made prior to June 10, 2009.

24 ⁴⁹ The Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries include Toyota Motor Manufacturing
25 Indiana, Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing Kentucky, Inc.; Toyota Motor
26 Manufacturing Texas, Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing Mississippi, Inc.; Toyota
27 Motor Manufacturing de Baja California; and Toyota Motor Manufacturing
28 Canada, Inc.

⁵⁰ The Honda manufacturing subsidiaries include Honda Manufacturing of
Alabama, Honda of America Mfg. Inc., Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC, and
Honda of Canada Mfg.

1 1162. Upon information and belief, all major participants in the automotive
2 industry know that automobile manufacturers include certifications of compliance
3 with federal safety standards in every vehicle sold or leased in the United States.
4 The inclusion of permanent labels identifying the location of airbags in vehicles
5 sold in the United States is a basic fact known to every major participant
6 automotive industry.

7 a. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that
8 exclusively participate in the North American automobile
9 industry, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,
10 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
11 USA were each specifically aware that their parent companies
12 placed permanent labels identifying the location of airbags in
13 every Class Vehicle.

14 b. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
15 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the
16 automotive industry, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF
17 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
18 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were each specifically aware
19 that the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants placed permanent
20 labels identifying the location of airbags in every Class Vehicle.

21 **2. Each of the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants also made false and**
22 **misleading statements about the Class Vehicles' safety in their**
23 **consumer-facing marketing.**

24 1163. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants also touted the Class Vehicles
25 as safe in national advertising directed at consumers through multiple marketing
26 channels. This advertising uniformly indicated to any reasonable consumer that the
27 Class Vehicles were safe and had airbags and seatbelts that would function properly
28 and reliably in a crash. These representations about the Class Vehicles were false

1 and misleading because of the DS84 ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles and the
2 risks of EOS and airbag and seatbelt failure due to that defect.

3 1164. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
4 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the automotive industry, ST
5 USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were each aware that the
7 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants advertised the safety of the Class Vehicles to
8 consumers.

9 1165. Indeed, on February 3, 2004, ZF TRW Corp. filed a prospectus for the
10 sale of common stock with the SEC. This prospectus confirmed ZF TRW Corp.'s
11 specific awareness of consumer reliance on statements by vehicle manufacturers
12 about the safety of vehicles. Specifically, the prospectus stated:

- 13 a. "85 percent of recent auto purchasers stated that they look for
14 vehicle safety information before making their final decision –
15 up from 68 percent in 1999."
16 b. "More than half of recent purchasers looked for information
17 about the safety features of prospective vehicles such as air bags
18 or anti-lock brakes. Nearly one in five respondents sought crash
19 test results."
20 c. "Based on a recent TRW Automotive-sponsored survey, 74
21 percent of respondents indicated that vehicle safety features and
22 options are more important to them today than 5 years ago."

23 1166. Similarly, in a presentation copyright to ZF Automotive USA and
24 dated 2008, ZF Automotive USA observed that "Safety is important to . . .
25 consumers," that "J.D. Power lists safety as the most desired aspect of vehicle
26 features," and that "consumers regularly look for vehicle safety information before
27 making their purchase decision." As such, "safety products and features help
28 differentiate vehicles" and "advertising and marketing heavily focus[] on safety."

1 Likewise, in a presentation copyright to TRW Automotive in 2012, TRW repeated
2 these same observations from the 2008 presentation, and added that “NCAP/IIHS
3 safety ratings” are ‘Important factors in studies on buying behavior.’” As with the
4 prospectus, these presentations affirm ZF Automotive USA’s focus and
5 understanding of the importance of vehicle safety to consumers.

6 **a. Brochures and marketing for the Class Vehicles**
7 **misrepresented the vehicles as safe with reliable airbags and**
8 **seatbelts.**

9 1167. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants communicated information
10 about the Class Vehicles directly to consumers in brochures. These vehicle
11 brochures were made available to consumers through authorized dealerships,
12 online, and through the mail. In general, brochures for the Class Vehicles were
13 replete with representations about airbags, seatbelts, and passenger safety systems,
14 as well as general representations that the Class Vehicles were safe. All of these
15 representations were false and misleading for the reasons explained herein.

16 1168. As sophisticated and well-resourced members of the automotive
17 industry, all of the Defendants were aware of the ubiquitous practice of printing and
18 distributing vehicle brochures, and that vehicle safety and safety systems would
19 feature prominently therein.

20 **i. Brochures and marketing for the Toyota Class**
21 **Vehicles.**

22 1169. Toyota Sales USA authored and then distributed misleading brochures
23 and other marketing for the Toyota Class Vehicles via mail and wire.

24 1170. As a sophisticated and well-resourced member of the automotive
25 industry, Toyota Japan was aware that vehicle safety, including airbags, is an
26 important feature for consumers, and that its subsidiary conducted consumer
27 marketing that reassured consumers about the safety of the Toyota Class Vehicles.
28

1 1171. Toyota Sales USA distributed the brochures to Toyota dealerships
2 throughout the United States, and also made them available to consumers online
3 and through the mail. The brochures misrepresented the safety of the Class
4 Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and performance of their
5 airbags and seatbelts.

6 1172. In a brochure from the 2012 Toyota Avalon, Toyota Sales USA
7 specifically noted the vehicle’s “Seven Airbags... Avalon’s advanced Supplemental
8 Restraint System (SRS) is a marvel of safety technology. Employing sophisticated
9 sensors, the system includes seven airbags: driver and front passenger airbags, front
10 and rear side curtain airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and
11 front passenger, and a driver knee airbag.” These statements were false and/or
12 misleading because they assured consumers that the Avalon had working and
13 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
14 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
15 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
16 Avalon was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
17 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and
18 seatbelts to fail.

19 1173. In a brochure for the 2013 Sequoia, Toyota Sales USA highlighted the
20 “Comprehensive airbag system that senses impact severity, adjusting airbag
21 deployment accordingly.” These statements were false and/or misleading because
22 they assured consumers that the Sequoia had working and reliable airbags and
23 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
24 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the
25 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Sequoia was
26 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
27 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.
28

1 1174. In the brochure for the 2011 Toyota Corolla Matrix, Toyota Sales USA
2 described the “Advanced Airbag System –Standard on every Matrix, the system
3 senses impact severity in certain types of frontal collisions and adjusts airbag
4 deployment accordingly.” These statements were false and/or misleading because
5 they assured consumers that the Matrix had working and reliable airbags and
6 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
7 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the
8 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Matrix was
9 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
10 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

11 1175. A brochure from Toyota Sales USA for the 2012 Toyota Tacoma
12 boasted of the “Comprehensive Airbag System – Should trouble prove unavoidable,
13 Tacoma provides a comprehensive airbag system that includes driver and front
14 passenger airbags with the Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger
15 seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.” These statements
16 were false and/or misleading because they assured consumers that the Tacoma had
17 working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to
18 any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its
19 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was
20 false because the Tacoma was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC,
21 both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the
22 vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

23 1176. In a brochure for the 2012 Toyota Tundra, Toyota Sales USA said
24 “There’s Only One Way To Work: Safety First – You don’t take chances on the job
25 site, and you don’t have to take chances on the way there either. In four crash tests
26 conducted by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) — front, side, rear
27 and roof strength — Tundra Double Cab earned the top rating. In fact, Tundra was
28 the first full-size pickup truck ever named a Top Safety Pick by the IIHS. And no

1 wonder: Tundra comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags,
2 side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags, and driver and front outboard
3 passenger knee airbags.” These statements were false and/or misleading because
4 they assured consumers that the Tundra had working and reliable airbags and
5 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
6 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the
7 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Tundra was
8 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
9 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

10 1177. In addition to the brochures, similarly misleading marketing for the
11 Toyota Class Vehicles was distributed through the Toyota website (maintained by
12 and copyrighted to Toyota Sales USA), and press releases, print media including
13 magazines and newspapers, television and radio advertisements, and internet and
14 social media. This advertising, the dates and authors of which are identified in the
15 attached exhibit, likewise misrepresented the safety of the Class Vehicles, including
16 as to the functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags and seatbelts. *See*
17 Exhibit 8 (collecting exemplars).⁵¹ This advertising was false and misleading

18 ⁵¹ For this and similar Complaint exhibits submitted for other Defendants, Plaintiffs
19 note that courts commonly accept charts and compendia attached to pleadings with
20 representative examples as sufficient to plead fraud with the requisite particularity
21 under Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b). *See, e.g., Bay City Surgery Ctr., Inc. v. ILWU-PMA*
22 *Welfare Plan Bd. of Trustees*, No. CV 156209 MWF AFMX, 2018 WL 1942379, at
23 *5 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 28, 2018) (describing conclusion that plaintiff “adequately
24 stated its fraud claims based on representative examples of the types of fraud
25 alleged”); *State Farm Mut. Ins. Co. v. Elite Health Centers Inc.*, 2017 WL 877396,
26 at *7 (E.D. Mich. 2017) (finding that the complaint's allegations and exhibits,
27 including a chart detailing the fraudulent services purportedly rendered, put the
28 defendants on sufficient notice at the pleading stage); *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.*
Co. v. Lewin, 535 F. Supp. 3d 1247, 1258 (M.D. Fla. 2021) (“the chart attached as
an exhibit to the complaint lists the various allegedly fraudulent claims . . . [t]his is
sufficient”).

1 because it assured any reasonable consumer that the Toyota Class Vehicles’
2 passenger safety systems would function properly and reliably, which was not true
3 because the Toyota Class Vehicles were equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and
4 ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the
5 vehicles’ airbags and seatbelts to fail.

6 1178. For example, in an October 2015 press release about the 2016 Toyota
7 Avalon Hybrid, Toyota Sales USA wrote “Safety In All Directions. The Avalon
8 comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.” These statements were false and/or
9 misleading because they assured consumers that the Avalon had working and
10 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
11 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
12 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
13 Avalon was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
14 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and
15 seatbelts to fail.

16 1179. A September 26, 2014 press release about the 2016 Toyota Sequoia
17 from Toyota Sales USA, described the Sequoia’s safety features, stating, in part:
18 “The 2015 Sequoia is equipped with a dual stage advanced front air bag system,
19 seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, roll-sensing side
20 curtain airbags for all three seating rows, plus driver and front passenger knee
21 airbags.” These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured
22 consumers that the Sequoia had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and
23 therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
24 Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and
25 airbags during a collision. This was false because the Sequoia was equipped with a
26 defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a
27 defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. Brochures and marketing for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles.

1180. Hyundai USA and Kia USA authored and then distributed misleading brochures and other marketing for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles via mail and wire.

1181. As sophisticated and well-resourced members of the automotive industry, Kia Korea and Hyundai Korea were aware that vehicle safety, including airbags, is an important feature for consumers, and that their subsidiaries conducted consumer marketing that reassured consumers about the safety of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

1182. Hyundai USA and Kia USA distributed the brochures to Hyundai and Kia dealerships throughout the United States, and also made them available to consumers online and through the mail. The brochures misrepresented the safety of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags and seatbelts.

1183. For example, Hyundai USA stated that in a brochure for the 2012 Hyundai Sonata that “an intelligent airbag system deploys and inflates front airbags in relation to driver/passenger height, weight and impact speed.” These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured consumers that the Sonata had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Sonata was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

1184. In the brochure for the 2014 Sonata, Hyundai USA stated the vehicles were equipped with a “6-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System.” These statements were false and/or misleading

1 because they assured consumers that the Sonata had working and reliable airbags
2 and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that
3 the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating
4 the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Sonata was
5 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
6 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail.

7 1185. Hyundai USA's 2016 Sonata brochure stated that "Sonata's safety
8 features not only include seven airbags, but technologies that help drivers avoid
9 accidents in the first place." These statements were false and/or misleading because
10 they assured consumers that the Sonata had working and reliable airbags and
11 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
12 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the
13 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Sonata was
14 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
15 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail.

16 1186. In the brochure for the 2012 Forte, which includes the Forte sedan,
17 hatchback and the Forte Koup, Kia USA promised a "comprehensive list of
18 advanced safety systems" that were "standard equipment in every Forte" including
19 an "advanced system" that "monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a
20 front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly." It
21 further touted that "Forte's safety systems are designed to help minimize injury
22 when a traffic accident is unavoidable," because, in addition to front seat seat-belt
23 pretensioners, the "[d]ual front airbags, front-seat mounted side airbags and side
24 curtain airbags for both front and rear seating positions are managed by an
25 advanced sensor system." These statements were false and/or misleading because
26 they assured consumers that the Kia Forte had working and reliable airbags and
27 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
28 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the

1 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Forte was
2 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
3 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail.

4 1187. As to the 2014 Kia Sedona, Kia USA assured consumers "Six airbags
5 placed throughout the cabin are designed to help protect occupants in certain
6 collisions. They include dual front advanced, dual front seat-mounted side, and full-
7 length side-curtain airbags. The advanced front airbag system monitors the severity
8 of a frontal impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then
9 controls airbag inflation accordingly." These statements were false and/or
10 misleading because they assured consumers that the Kia Sedona had working and
11 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
12 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
13 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
14 Sedona was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
15 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and
16 seatbelts to fail.

17 1188. In a brochure for the 2015 Optima, Kia USA assured that its
18 "advanced system monitors the severity of certain impacts, the presence of a front
19 passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly." It
20 further boasted that the Optima is equipped with "[a]n advanced airbag system
21 helps protect driver and passenger with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and
22 full-length side curtain airbags." These statements were false and/or misleading
23 because they assured consumers that the Kia Optima had working and reliable
24 airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
25 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
26 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
27 Optima was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
28

1 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and
2 seatbelts to fail.

3 1189. In the brochure for the 2012 Optima and Optima Hybrid, Kia USA
4 lauded its "Advanced Safety Systems – All Optimas have a long list of standard
5 safety features, including . . . Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags
6 and side curtain airbags are managed by an advanced sensor system," and
7 specifically pointed out the "Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors – This advanced system
8 monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt
9 use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly." These statements were false
10 and/or misleading because they assured consumers that both the Kia Optima and
11 the Optima Hybrid had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore
12 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
13 System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags
14 during a collision. This was false because the Optima and Optima Hybrid were
15 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
16 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicles' airbags and seatbelts to fail.

17 1190. In addition to the brochures, similarly misleading marketing for the
18 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles was distributed through the Hyundai and Kia websites,
19 maintained by, and copyrighted to Hyundai USA and Kia USA, press releases, print
20 media including magazines and newspapers, television and radio advertisements,
21 and internet and social media. This advertising, the dates, and authors of which are
22 identified in the attached exhibit, likewise misrepresented the safety of the
23 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and
24 performance of airbags and seatbelts. *See* Exhibit 9 (collecting exemplars). This
25 advertising was false and misleading because it assured any reasonable consumer
26 that the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles' passenger safety systems would function
27 properly and reliably, which was not true because of the defective DS84 ACU and
28 ASIC in the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


iii. Brochures and marketing for the FCA Class Vehicles.

1191. FCA (formerly known as Chrysler Group LLC) authored and then distributed misleading brochures and other marketing for the FCA Class Vehicles via mail and wire.

1192. As a sophisticated and well-resourced member of the automotive industry, Stellantis was aware that vehicle safety, including airbags, is an important feature for consumers, and that their subsidiaries conducted consumer marketing that reassured consumers about the safety of the FCA Class Vehicles.

1193. FCA disseminated the brochures through FCA dealerships throughout the United States, and also made them available to consumers online and through the mail. The brochures misrepresented the safety of the FCA Class Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags and seatbelts.

1194. For example, in the brochure for the 2015 Jeep Compass, FCA states:



The brochure also includes this image of the airbags deploying to suggest that they will work during a crash. These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured consumers that the 2015 Jeep Compass had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the

1 2015 Jeep Compass was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of
2 which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's
3 airbags and seatbelts to fail.



4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 f

27 1195. FCA's brochure for the 2016 Jeep Compass similarly states:

28

1 Peace of mind will take you far – Supplemental front-seat-
2 mounted side air bags: Each side has its own sensor to
3 autonomously trigger the air bags on the side where the impact
4 occurs. Standard on all models.

5 Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags: Provide
6 nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag
7 output to crash severity. Standard on all models.

8 Advanced multistage driver and front passenger air bags.

9 These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured consumers that
10 the 2016 Jeep Compass had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and
11 therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
12 Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and
13 airbags during a collision. This was false because the 2016 Jeep Compass was
14 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
15 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

16 1196. FCA’s brochure for the 2012 Jeep Patriot similarly states:

17 Advanced multi stage front and side curtain air bags. These air
18 bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by
19 matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.

20 Standard advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags
21 and available supplemental side air bags help protect your most
22 important cargo. These systems all work together to help keep
23 you moving safely forward in all types of weather.

24 These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured consumers that
25 the 2012 Jeep Patriot had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore
26 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
27 System would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags
28 during a collision. This was false because the 2012 Jeep Patriot was equipped with
a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have
a defect, that can cause the FCA Class Vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

1 1197. In a brochure for the 2016 Jeep Wrangler, FCA touted “ADVANCED
2 MULTISTAGE FRONT AIR BAGS: Provide nearly instantaneous occupant
3 protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.” The brochure
4 continued by noting that each trim level came equipped with “[a]dvanced
5 multistage driver and front-passenger air bags.” These statements were false and/or
6 misleading because they assured consumers that the 2016 Jeep Wrangler had
7 working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to
8 any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its
9 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was
10 false because the 2016 Jeep Wrangler was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU
11 and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause
12 the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

13 1198. In a brochure for the 2012 Jeep Liberty, FCA boasted: “HEAD OUT
14 WITH CONFIDENCE, KNOWING LIBERTY’S ROBUST SET OF SAFETY
15 AND SECURITY SYSTEMS CAN GIVE YOU AND YOUR PASSENGERS
16 PEACE OF MIND ON THE ROAD AND ON THE TRAIL.” The brochure
17 continued by touting the vehicle’s “AIR BAG SYSTEMS” and explained in detail
18 that “[y]ou and your passengers gain all-around security with Liberty’s side-curtain
19 and advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags. Supplemental side-
20 curtain air bags with roll-sensing technology add to the safety of outboard
21 occupants.” These statements were false and/or misleading because they assured
22 consumers that the 2012 Jeep Liberty had working and reliable airbags and
23 seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
24 Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating the
25 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the 2012 Jeep
26 Liberty was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
27 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and
28 seatbelts to fail.

1 1199. In addition to the brochures, FCA distributed similarly misleading
2 marketing for the FCA Class Vehicles through the FCA website, maintained by and
3 copyrighted to FCA, press releases, print media including magazines and
4 newspapers, television and radio advertisements, and internet and social media.
5 This advertising, the dates, and authors of which are identified in the attached
6 exhibit, likewise misrepresented the safety of the Class Vehicles, including as to the
7 functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags and seatbelts. *See* Exhibit 10
8 collecting exemplars). This advertising was false and misleading because it assured
9 any reasonable consumer that the FCA Class Vehicles’ passenger safety systems
10 would function properly and reliably, which was not true because of the defective
11 DS84 ACU and ASIC in the FCA Class Vehicles.

12 **iv. Brochures and marketing for the Honda Class**
13 **Vehicles.**

14 1200. Honda USA authored and then distributed misleading brochures and
15 other marketing for the Honda Class Vehicles via mail and wire.

16 1201. As a sophisticated and well-resourced member of the automotive
17 industry, Honda Japan was aware that vehicle safety, including airbags, is an
18 important feature for consumers, and that their subsidiaries conducted consumer
19 marketing that reassured consumers about the safety of the Honda Class Vehicles.

20 1202. Honda USA disseminated the brochures through Honda dealerships
21 throughout the United States, and also made them available to consumers online
22 and through the mail. The brochures misrepresented the safety of the Honda Class
23 Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags
24 and seatbelts.

25 1203. In a brochure for the 2014 Honda CR-V, Honda USA, Inc., boasted
26 that “Airbags Abound” as “The CR-V is equipped with dual -stage, multiple -
27 threshold front airbags, side - curtain airbags with rollover sensor, and front side
28 airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS). And they

1 all come standard.” In that same brochure, it continued, “[w]herever you’re headed
2 in your CR-V, nothing’s more important than arriving there safely. That’s why
3 safety features come standard, *no exceptions*. And we’re proud to say the CR-V
4 achieved a 5-Star Overall Vehicle Score from the National Highway Traffic Safety
5 Administration (NHTSA). So when you’re out there chasing down everything you
6 always wanted to do, know you’ve got Honda’s unwavering commitment to safety
7 around you.” (emphasis added). These statements were false and misleading
8 because they assured consumers that the CR-V had functioning and reliable airbags
9 and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that
10 the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating
11 the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the CR-V was
12 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
13 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail.

14 1204. In a brochure for the 2015 Accord, Honda USA, similarly expressed
15 that it was “Always thinking about safety –Because, of all the things you need the
16 Accord to do, nothing’s more important than getting you where you need to go
17 safely.” As the brochure continued, the 2015 Accord came equipped with dual-
18 stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), Smartvent Side airbags, and side
19 curtain airbags with rollover sensor, to provide protection in the event of a crash.
20 These statements were false and misleading because they suggested to any
21 reasonable consumer that the passenger safety systems and airbags would function
22 properly, which was not true because of the defect and the risks of airbag and
23 seatbelt failures that occur due to EOS.

24 1205. In a vehicle brochure for the 2018 Acura RLX, Honda USA, touted the
25 vehicle’s safety as follows: “Never compromise safety. We always put safety first,
26 so when it comes to helping to protect our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple
27 question: ‘Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?’ It’s our greatest goal to
28 one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the RLX was designed and engineered

1 with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.” In the same brochure, Honda
2 noted the “Advanced Front Airbags” system. These statements were false and
3 misleading because they suggested to any reasonable consumer that the airbags
4 would function properly, which was not true because of the defect and the risks of
5 airbag and seatbelt failures that occur due to EOS. Honda repeated these same
6 statements in the brochure for the 2019 Acura RLX.

7 1206. In a brochure for the 2013 Honda Civic (and Civic Hybrid), Honda
8 USA, stated that “[w]ith its impressive array of standard safety features, every
9 Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or
10 trim.” In that same brochure, Honda noted “SIX AIRBAGS—Every 2013 Civic
11 features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor.” The
12 brochure continued that the 2013 Civic (and Civic Hybrid) came equipped with an
13 “AUTOMATIC TENSIONING SYSTEM—The front seat belts are equipped with
14 an automatic tensioning system that is designed to tighten the seat belts in a
15 moderate-to-severe frontal impact.” These statements were false and misleading
16 because they suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Civic (and Civic
17 Hybrid) had working and reliable airbags and seat belts that would perform their
18 intended function during a collision. This was false because the Civic (and Civic
19 Hybrid) was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
20 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and
21 seatbelts to fail.

22 1207. In a brochure for the 2015 Honda Civic (and Civic Hybrid), Honda
23 USA, boasted “Your safety is our priority. When it comes to safety, we never stop
24 improving. The Civic earned the highest possible score of “Good” across all five
25 safety tests from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), making it a
26 2015 TOP SAFETY PICK” In that same brochure, Honda noted “Six Airbags –
27 Every 2015 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover
28 sensor. Side airbags include SmartVent® technology, which is designed to vent the

1 airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.” These statements were false
2 and misleading because they suggested to any reasonable consumer that the 2015
3 Civic (and Civic Hybrid) had working and reliable airbags that would perform their
4 intended function during a collision. This was false because the 2015 Civic (and
5 Civic Hybrid) was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which
6 had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags to
7 fail.

8 1208. In addition to the brochures, similarly misleading marketing for the
9 Honda Class Vehicles was distributed through the Honda website, maintained by,
10 and copyrighted to Honda USA, press releases, print media including magazines
11 and newspapers, television and radio advertisements, and internet and social media.
12 This advertising, the dates, and authors of which are identified in the attached
13 exhibit, likewise misrepresents the safety of the Honda Class Vehicles, including as
14 to the functionality, reliability, and performance of airbags and seatbelts. *See*
15 Exhibit 11 (collecting exemplars). This advertising was false and misleading
16 because it assured any reasonable consumer that the Honda Class Vehicles’
17 passenger safety systems would function properly and reliably, which was not true
18 because of the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC in the Honda Class Vehicles.

19 **v. Brochures and marketing for the Mitsubishi Class**
20 **Vehicles.**

21 1209. Mitsubishi USA authored and then distributed misleading brochures
22 and other marketing for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles via mail and wire.

23 1210. As a sophisticated and well-resourced member of the automotive
24 industry, Mitsubishi Japan was aware that vehicle safety, including airbags, is an
25 important feature for consumers, and that its subsidiary conducted consumer
26 marketing that reassured consumers about the safety of the Mitsubishi Class
27 Vehicles.
28

1 1211. Mitsubishi USA disseminated the brochures through Mitsubishi
2 dealerships throughout the United States, and also made them available to
3 consumers online and through the mail. The brochures misrepresented the safety of
4 the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability, and
5 performance of airbags and seatbelts.

6 1212. In a brochure for the 2014 Mitsubishi Lancer, Mitsubishi USA touted
7 the vehicle's "Seven-Airbag Safety" and explained in detail that "Lancer's
8 Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including a dual-
9 stage front, a front-seat side, and side impact curtain airbags. Lancer also features a
10 standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the driver's legs and lower
11 body in the event of a collision." These statements were false and/or misleading
12 because they assured consumers that the Lancer had working and reliable airbags
13 and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that
14 the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function of activating
15 the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the Lancer was
16 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
17 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail.

18 1213. In a brochure for the 2013 Mitsubishi Outlander, Mitsubishi USA
19 touted the vehicle's "Dual Advanced Front Airbags—Dual advanced front airbags
20 with seat position and occupant sensors help protect the driver and front passenger
21 by sensing the severity of the impact, the position of the driver's seat and the
22 weight of the front passenger's seat to provide the appropriate level of front airbag
23 deployment. In the event of a crash in which the passenger seat is unoccupied, the
24 passenger airbag will not deploy." This statement was false and/or misleading
25 because it assured consumers that the Outlander had working and reliable airbags,
26 and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the vehicle's
27 airbags would perform their intended function of activating during a collision. This
28 was false because the 2013 Outlander was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU

1 and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause
2 the vehicle's airbags to fail.

3 1214. In a brochure for the 2013 Mitsubishi Lancer, Mitsubishi USA touted
4 the vehicle's "Seven-Airbag Safety" and explained in detail that "Lancer's
5 Supplemental Restraint System consists of seven airbags, including a dual-stage
6 front, a front-seat side, and side-impact curtain airbags. Lancer also features a
7 standard driver's-side knee airbag. In an accident, it helps cushion the blow and
8 stabilizes the legs and lower body of the driver." These statements were false and/or
9 misleading because they assured consumers that the Lancer had working and
10 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
11 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
12 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
13 Lancer was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
14 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and
15 seatbelts to fail.

16 1215. In a brochure for the 2015 Mitsubishi Lancer, Mitsubishi USA touted
17 the vehicle's "Seven-Airbag Safety" and explained in detail that "Lancer's
18 Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including a dual-
19 stage front, a front-seat side, and side curtain airbags. Lancer also features a
20 standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the legs and lower body of
21 the driver in the event of a collision." These statements were false and/or
22 misleading because they assured consumers that the Lancer had working and
23 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
24 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
25 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
26 Lancer was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
27 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and
28 seatbelts to fail.

1 1216. In a brochure for the 2016 Mitsubishi Lancer, Mitsubishi USA touted
2 the vehicle’s “Seven-Airbag Safety” and explained in detail that “Lancer’s
3 Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including a dual-
4 stage front, a front-seat side, and side curtain airbags. Lancer also features a
5 standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the legs and lower body of
6 the driver in the event of a collision.” These statements were false and/or
7 misleading because they assured consumers that the Lancer had working and
8 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
9 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System would perform its intended function
10 of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the
11 Lancer was equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a
12 defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and
13 seatbelts to fail.

14 1217. In addition to the brochures, Mitsubishi USA provided consumers with
15 similarly misleading marketing for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles through the
16 Mitsubishi website, maintained by and copyrighted to Mitsubishi USA, press
17 releases, print media including magazines and newspapers, television and radio
18 advertisements, and internet and social media. This advertising, the dates, and
19 authors of which are identified in the attached exhibit, likewise misrepresented the
20 safety of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, including as to the functionality, reliability,
21 and performance of airbags and seatbelts. *See* Exhibit 12 (collecting exemplars).
22 This advertising was false and misleading because it assured any reasonable
23 consumer that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles’ passenger safety systems would
24 function properly and reliably, which was not true because of the defective DS84
25 ACU and ASIC in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

26
27
28

1 **b. Manuals for the Class Vehicles present detailed information**
2 **on the passenger safety systems that misled consumers to**
3 **think the vehicles were safe.**

4 1218. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants also distributed owners’
5 manuals for each of the Class Vehicles. These manuals contain affirmative
6 statements about ACUs, airbags, and seatbelts and their intended functions during a
7 crash. These statements are misleading or untrue in light of the defective DS84
8 ACUs and ASICs in the Class Vehicles.

9 1219. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
10 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the automotive industry, ST
11 USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
12 Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware the Vehicle
13 Manufacturer Defendants distributed the Class Vehicles with manuals containing
14 information about the vehicles’ passenger safety systems.

15 **i. Toyota Manuals.**

16 1220. Toyota Japan and Toyota Sales USA authored and then distributed
17 numerous manuals for the Toyota Class Vehicles via mail and wire. These manuals
18 for the Toyota Class Vehicles are available on Toyota’s website, for which Toyota
19 Sales USA is responsible and holds the copyright. The versions of the manuals on
20 Toyota Sales USA website do not themselves list copyright information, which is
21 typically placed on the inside cover page of the physical manuals. Other publicly
22 available manuals include these pages and identify Toyota Japan as the copyright
23 holder. As such, Plaintiffs allege on information and belief that both Toyota Sales
24 USA, which makes the manuals available to consumers on its website, and Toyota
25 Japan, the copyright holder for the manuals, are responsible for the content and
26 approval of the manuals. In addition, given their role in the distribution, marketing,
27 and sale of the Class Vehicles, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA knew that
28

1 Toyota Japan’s manuals included information about the passenger safety systems
2 and airbags in Toyota Class Vehicles.

3 1221. These manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements that
4 assured consumers that the Toyota Class Vehicles had working and reliable airbags
5 and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that
6 the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
7 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was
8 false because the Toyota Class Vehicles were equipped with a defective DS84 ACU
9 and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause
10 the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail. Manuals for the Toyota Class Vehicles
11 are available on Toyota’s website, for which Toyota Sales USA is responsible and
12 holds the copyright. They are also typically included in the Toyota Class Vehicles
13 at the time of sale or lease. A chart summarizing misleading statements in manuals
14 for the Toyota Class Vehicles is attached hereto at Exhibit 13. Each of the
15 statements in the attached chart is misleading for the same reasons stated
16 immediately above.

17 1222. In the manual for the 2012 Toyota Avalon, Toyota Japan and Toyota
18 Sales USA explained: “Your vehicle is equipped with “ADVANCED AIRBAGS”
19 designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag
20 system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger . . . In
21 certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the
22 airbag inflators. A chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with
23 non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.” It further stated “The
24 SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts
25 that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat
26 belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury,” and “Driver airbag/front
27 passenger airbag can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front
28 passenger from impact with interior components.” These statements were false and

1 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
2 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
3 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
4 fact the Toyota Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
5 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

6 1223. Toyota Japan and Toyota Sales USA described in the manual for the
7 2011 Toyota that “The SRS airbag system is controlled by the airbag sensor
8 assembly. The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag
9 sensor. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system
10 triggers the airbag inflators.” The manual further added “The SRS front airbags will
11 deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of
12 force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal
13 collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).” These statements were
14 false and misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable
15 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
16 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
17 collision, when in fact the Toyota Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU
18 and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

19 1224. Toyota Japan and Toyota Sales USA stated in the 2012 Toyota
20 Sequoia manual “Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed
21 based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system
22 controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger.” It explained
23 that “The main SRS airbag system components are shown above. The SRS airbag
24 system is controlled by the airbag sensor assembly. The airbag sensor assembly
25 consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. In certain types of severe frontal or
26 side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.” (emphasis
27 added). These statements were false and misleading because they would have
28 suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not

1 suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
2 seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Class Vehicles included a
3 defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

4 **ii. Hyundai and Kia Manuals.**

5 1225. Hyundai USA and Kia USA also authored and then distributed via
6 mail and wire numerous manuals for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Given
7 their role in the distribution, marketing, and sale of the Hyundai and Kia Class
8 Vehicles, Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea knew that their subsidiaries' vehicle
9 manuals included information about the passenger safety systems and airbags.

10 1226. These manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements that
11 assured consumers that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles had working and
12 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
13 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
14 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
15 collision. This was false because the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were
16 equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and
17 continue to have a defect, that can cause the vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail.
18 Manuals for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles are available on Hyundai's and
19 Kia's websites. They are also typically included in the Hyundai and Kia Class
20 Vehicles at the time of sale. Charts summarizing misleading statements in manuals
21 for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles are attached hereto at Exhibits 14 and 15.
22 Each of the statements in the attached chart is misleading for the same reasons
23 stated immediately above.

24 1227. The manual for the 2012 Hyundai Sonata by Hyundai USA explained
25 that "your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and
26 lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions," and that
27 "[t]he purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front
28

1 passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone
2 in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather
3 information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat
4 belt usage and impact severity." The manual continues, "[f]ront airbags are
5 designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles
6 or impact of the front collision." These statements were false and misleading
7 because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
8 Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended
9 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the
10 Hyundai Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause
11 the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

12 1228. In the manual for the 2014 Sonata, Hyundai USA included the
13 information above, and also detailed that "[g]enerally, air bags are designed to
14 inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine
15 whether the sensors send out an electronic deployment/inflation signal." It
16 continued, "[f]ront airbags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is
17 virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much
18 more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their
19 storage compartments after the collision. The SRSCM continually monitors all SRS
20 components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe
21 enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment. A fully
22 inflated airbag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or
23 the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After
24 complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to
25 maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls." These
26 statements were false and misleading because they would have suggested to any
27 reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a
28 defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and

1 airbags during a collision, when in fact the Hyundai Class Vehicles included a
2 defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

3 1229. In the manual for the 2015 Hyundai Sonata, Hyundai USA explained
4 that “your vehicle is equipment with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint System
5 (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions. The
6 purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle’s driver and front passengers with
7 additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses
8 sensors to gather information about the driver’s and front passenger’s’ seat belt
9 usage and impact severity.” It continued “the advanced SRS offers the ability to
10 control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for
11 moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe
12 impacts. According to the impact severity, the seat belt usage, the SRS Control
13 Module (SRSCM) controls the air bag inflation.” These statements were false and
14 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
15 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
16 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
17 fact the Hyundai Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
18 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

19 1230. In the manual for the 2017 Hyundai Sonata, Hyundai USA explained
20 that “The front air bags are designed to supplement the three-point seat belts. For
21 these air bags to provide protection, the seat belts must be worn at all times when
22 driving. Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint
23 System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating
24 positions. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle’s driver and front
25 passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone.
26 . . According to the impact severity, and seat belt usage, the SRS control Module
27 (SRSCM) controls the air bag inflation.” It continued “The SRSCM continually
28 monitors all SRS components while the Engine start/stop button is in the ON

1 position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag
2 deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment. During a frontal collision,
3 sensors will detect the vehicle's deceleration. If the deceleration rate (measured in
4 g-force) is high enough, the control unit will inflate the front air bags. The front air
5 bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in
6 which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint. Air bag deployment
7 depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the
8 density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle impacts during a
9 collision. The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant . . .
10 When the SRSCM detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it
11 will automatically deploy the front air bags.” These statements were false and
12 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
13 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
14 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
15 fact the Hyundai Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
16 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

17 1231. In the manual for the 2010 Kia Forte, Kia USA explained that in its
18 models “[a]dvanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help
19 provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes,” and that “[t]he SRSCM
20 continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition is ON to determine if a
21 crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat
22 belt deployment.” The manual further explained that “[f]ront air bags are designed
23 to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact
24 of the front collision,” and that “[t]he advanced SRS offers the ability to control the
25 air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-
26 severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impact.” These
27 statements were false and misleading because they would have suggested to any
28 reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a

1 defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and
2 airbags during a collision, when in fact the Kia Class Vehicles included a defective
3 DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

4 1232. In the manual for the 2014 Kia Optima Hybrid, Kia USA included the
5 information above, and also detailed that “[t]he retractor pre-tensioner is a
6 supplemental system of the seat belts. The purpose of the retractor pre-tensioner is
7 to tighten the shoulder belt against the occupant’s upper body in certain frontal
8 collisions” and that “[t]he pretensioner seat belts may be activated together with the
9 air bags upon a severe enough collision.” These statements were false and
10 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
11 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
12 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
13 fact the Kia Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
14 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

15 1233. In the manual for the 2020 Kia Optima, Kia USA explained the
16 “vehicle is equipped with driver’s and front passenger’s pre-tensioner seat belts
17 (retractor pretensioner and EFD (Emergency Fastening Device)). The pre-tensioner
18 seat belts may be activated when a frontal collision is severe enough, together with
19 the air bags” and “[w]hen the SRSCM detects a sufficiently severe impact to the
20 front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.” As in earlier
21 manuals, the 2020 Optima owner’s manual also assured that “[t]he purpose of the
22 SRS is to provide the vehicle’s driver and/or the front passenger with additional
23 protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact
24 of sufficient severity,” and that “[a] fully inflated air bag, in combination with a
25 properly worn seat belt, slows the driver’s or the passenger’s forward motion,
26 reducing the risk of head and chest injury.” These statements were false and
27 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
28 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its

1 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
2 fact the Kia Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
3 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

4 **iii. FCA Manuals.**

5 1234. FCA (formerly known as Chrysler Group LLC) also authored and then
6 distributed numerous manuals via mail and wire for the FCA Class Vehicles. These
7 manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements that assured consumers that
8 the FCA Class Vehicles had working and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and
9 therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
10 Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended
11 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false
12 because the FCA Class Vehicles were equipped with a defective DS84 ACU and
13 ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause the
14 FCA Class Vehicle's airbags and seatbelts to fail. Manuals for the FCA Class
15 Vehicles are available on FCA's website. They are also typically included in the
16 FCA Class Vehicles at the time of sale. A chart summarizing misleading statements
17 in manuals for the FCA Class Vehicles is attached hereto at Exhibit 16. Each of the
18 statements in the attached chart is misleading for the same reasons stated
19 immediately above.

20 1235. In a manual for the 2015 Jeep Compass, FCA explained that "[t]his
21 vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a
22 supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag
23 is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air
24 Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words
25 SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers." As the manual continues,
26 "[t]he Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air
27 bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision
28

1 as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive
2 information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered
3 immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is
4 used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe
5 collisions.” These statements are false and misleading because they would have
6 suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not
7 suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
8 seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the FCA Class Vehicles
9 included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts
10 to fail.

11 1236. In a manual for the 2016 Jeep Compass, FCA explained that “[t]his
12 vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a
13 supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver’s Advanced Front Air Bag
14 is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger’s Advanced Front Air
15 Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words
16 ‘SRS AIRBAG’ or ‘AIRBAG’ are embossed on the air bag covers.” As the manual
17 continues, “[t]he Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front
18 passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type
19 of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may
20 receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The
21 first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag
22 deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy
23 output is used for more severe collisions.” These statements are false and
24 misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the
25 Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
26 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in
27 fact the FCA Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
28 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

1 1237. In a manual for the 2012 Jeep Patriot, FCA explained that “[t]his
2 vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a
3 supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver’s Advanced Front Air Bag
4 is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger’s Advanced Front Air
5 Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words
6 SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. The Driver and Front Passenger
7 Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced
8 Air Bags.” The manual continues, “[a]long with seat belts and pretensioners,
9 Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved
10 protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts
11 to improve occupant protection.” These statements are false and misleading because
12 they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
13 System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of
14 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the FCA Class
15 Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and
16 seatbelts to fail.

17 1238. In a manual for the 2016 Jeep Wrangler, FCA explained that “[t]his
18 vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a
19 supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver’s Advanced Front Air Bag
20 is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger’s Advanced Front Air
21 Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words
22 “SRS AIRBAG” or “AIRBAG” are embossed on the air bag covers.” As the
23 manual continues, “[t]he Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and
24 front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity
25 and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC),
26 which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system
27 components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that
28 requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions.

1 A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.” These statements are
2 false and misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable
3 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
4 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
5 collision, when in fact the FCA Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and
6 ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

7 1239. In a manual for the 2012 Jeep Liberty, Chrysler Group LLC explained
8 that “[t]his vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front
9 passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver’s Advanced
10 Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger’s Advanced Front
11 Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The
12 words SRS/ AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are
13 certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.” The manual
14 continues, “[a]long with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags
15 work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front
16 passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.”
17 These statements are false and misleading because they would have suggested to
18 any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a
19 defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and
20 airbags during a collision, when in fact the FCA Class Vehicles included a
21 defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

22 **iv. Mitsubishi Manuals.**

23 1240. Mitsubishi Japan also authored and then distributed numerous manuals
24 for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles via mail and wire. The manuals are copyright to
25 Mitsubishi Japan and are stamped “printed in Japan.” Given its role in the
26 distribution, marketing, and sale of the Class Vehicles, Mitsubishi USA also knew
27
28

1 that Mitsubishi Japan’s manuals included information about the passenger safety
2 systems and airbags in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

3 1241. These manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements that
4 assured consumers that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had working and reliable
5 airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable
6 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
7 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
8 collision. This was false because the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were equipped with
9 a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have
10 a defect, that can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail. Manuals for the
11 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are available on Mitsubishi USA’s website. They are
12 also typically included in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at the time of sale and
13 lease. A chart summarizing misleading statements in manuals for the Mitsubishi
14 Class Vehicles is attached hereto at Exhibit 17. Each of the statements in the
15 attached chart is misleading for the same reasons stated immediately above.

16 1242. In a manual for the 2013 Outlander, Mitsubishi Japan explained that
17 “[t]his vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which
18 includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed
19 to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt
20 systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest
21 injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags,
22 together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front
23 seats, form an advanced airbag system. The SRS side airbags and the curtain
24 airbags are also designed to supplement the seat belts. The SRS side airbags
25 provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by
26 deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact
27 collisions.” As the manual continues, “[t]he front airbags are designed to deploy
28 when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.” These statements are

1 false and misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable
2 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
3 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
4 collision, when in fact the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles included a defective DS84
5 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

6 1243. In a manual for the 2013 Lancer, Mitsubishi Japan explained that
7 “[t]his vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which
8 includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed
9 to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt
10 systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest
11 injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags,
12 together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front
13 seats, form an advanced airbag system.” As the manual continues, “[t]he front
14 airbags and driver’s knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a
15 moderate to severe frontal impact.” These statements are false and misleading
16 because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
17 Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended
18 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the
19 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
20 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

21 1244. In a manual for the 2014 Lancer, Mitsubishi Japan explained that
22 “[t]his vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which
23 includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed
24 to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt
25 systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest
26 injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags,
27 together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front
28 seats, form an advanced airbag system.” As the manual continues, “[t]he front

1 airbags and driver’s knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a
2 moderate to severe frontal impact.” These statements are false and misleading
3 because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
4 Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended
5 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the
6 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
7 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

8 1245. In a manual for the 2015 Lancer, Mitsubishi Japan explained that
9 “[t]his vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which
10 includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed
11 to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt
12 systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest
13 injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags,
14 together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front
15 seats, form an advanced airbag system.” As the manual continues, “[t]he front
16 airbags and driver’s knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a
17 moderate to severe frontal impact.” These statements are false and misleading
18 because they would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant
19 Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended
20 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the
21 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can
22 cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

23 1246. In a manual for the 2016 Lancer, Mitsubishi Japan explained that
24 “[f]or added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a
25 Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers.
26 The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be
27 used correctly.” As the manual continues, “[t]his vehicle is equipped with a
28 Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and

1 passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary
2 protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those
3 occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to
4 severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front
5 of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag
6 system.” These statements are false and misleading because they would have
7 suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not
8 suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
9 seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
10 included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts
11 to fail.

12 **v. Honda Manuals.**

13 1247. Honda USA and Honda Japan, also authored and then distributed via
14 mail and wire numerous manuals for the Honda Class Vehicles.

15 1248. The information available to Plaintiffs indicates that both Honda USA
16 and Honda Japan held responsibility to prepare or approve the owners’ manuals.
17 Honda USA, published the manuals because that entity holds the copyright for their
18 contents. In addition, on information and belief, Honda Japan also reviewed and
19 approved the contents of the manuals from Honda USA. This allegation is based on
20 the following language in many of the manuals for the Honda Class Vehicles: “The
21 information and specifications included in this publication were in effect at the time
22 of approval for printing. Honda Japan reserves the right, however, to discontinue or
23 change specifications or design at any time without notice and without incurring
24 any obligation.” The reference to “approval for printing” and related reservation of
25 rights indicates Honda Japan’s role in approving the contents, at least as of the time
26 of printing.
27
28

1 1249. These manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements that
2 assured consumers that the Honda Class Vehicles had working and reliable airbags
3 and seatbelts, and therefore would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that
4 the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its
5 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was
6 false because the Honda Class Vehicles were equipped with a defective DS84 ACU
7 and ASIC, both of which had a defect, and continue to have a defect, that can cause
8 the Honda Class Vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail. Manuals for the Honda
9 Class Vehicles are available on Honda USA’s website. They are also typically
10 included in the Honda Class Vehicles at the time of sale and lease. A chart
11 summarizing misleading statements in manuals for the Honda Class Vehicles is
12 attached hereto at Exhibit 18. Each of the statements in the attached chart is
13 misleading for the same reasons stated immediately above.

14 1250. In the manual for the 2013 Honda Accord, Honda USA, with the
15 approval of Honda Japan, explained that “your vehicle is equipped with three types
16 of airbags” and “[t]he front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal
17 collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger.
18 SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that that the airbags are designed to
19 supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary
20 restraint system.” As the manual continues, “[f]ront airbags are designed to inflate
21 during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates
22 suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both
23 front airbags to inflate.” These statements are false and misleading because they
24 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
25 System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of
26 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Honda Class
27 Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and
28 seatbelts to fail.

1 1251. In a manual for the 2014 Honda Civic, Honda USA, with the approval
2 of Honda Japan, included the information above, and also detailed that “[t]he front
3 SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head
4 and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint
5 System) indicates that that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not
6 replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.” As the manual
7 continues “Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal
8 collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to
9 the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.” These statements
10 are false and misleading because they would have suggested to any reasonable
11 consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not suffer from a defect and
12 would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
13 collision, when in fact the Honda Class Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU
14 and ASIC that can cause the airbags and seatbelts to fail.

15 1252. In a manual for the 2014 Honda CRV, Honda USA, with the approval
16 of Honda Japan, explained that “[t]he front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-
17 severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front
18 passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that that the airbags are
19 designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's
20 primary restraint system.” As the manual continues, “[f]ront airbags are designed to
21 inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates
22 suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both
23 front airbags to inflate.” These statements are false and misleading because they
24 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
25 System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of
26 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Honda Class
27 Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and
28 seatbelts to fail.

1 1253. In a manual for the 2015 Honda Civic, Honda USA, with the approval
2 of Honda Japan, explained that “[t]he front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-
3 severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front
4 passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that that the airbags are
5 designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's
6 primary restraint system.” As the manual continues, “[f]ront airbags are designed to
7 inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates
8 suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both
9 front airbags to inflate.” These statements are false and misleading because they
10 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
11 System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of
12 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Honda Class
13 Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and
14 seatbelts to fail.

15 1254. In a manual for the 2016 Acura RLX, Honda USA, with the approval
16 of Honda Japan, explained that “[t]he front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-
17 severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front
18 passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that that the airbags are
19 designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's
20 primary restraint system.” As the manual continues, “[f]ront airbags are designed to
21 inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates
22 suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both
23 front airbags to inflate.” These statements are false and misleading because they
24 would have suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint
25 System did not suffer from a defect and would perform its intended function of
26 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision, when in fact the Honda Class
27 Vehicles included a defective DS84 ACU and ASIC that can cause the airbags and
28 seatbelts to fail.

1 **F. Defendants schemed to defraud NHTSA by making misleading**
2 **statements denying and downplaying the serious safety defect in DS84**
3 **ACUs.**

4 1255. Between 2016 and 2020, several Defendants—including ZF
5 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
6 ZF Germany, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, FCA, Toyota
7 Japan, and Toyota USA—made (or helped make) misleading statements to NHTSA
8 about the ACU Defect. The remaining Defendants conspired in these efforts by
9 coordinating with ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
10 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany throughout the process.

11 1256. The purpose of the scheme to mislead NHTSA about the DS84 ACU
12 Defect was to avoid, delay, and/or minimize recalls of Class Vehicles. ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
14 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia participated in this scheme with
15 the goal of concealing the ACU Defect in all Class Vehicles. The Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants' participation in the scheme was limited to the goal of
17 concealing the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles made by their group (e.g., the
18 Honda Defendants had the goal of concealing the ACU Defect in Honda Class
19 Vehicles).

20 1257. Avoiding, delaying, and/or minimizing recalls was an important and
21 shared goal for all the Defendants because: (1) recalls are extremely expensive and
22 could cost Vehicle Manufacturers hundreds of millions of dollars; (2) recalls based
23 on defective component parts such as the DS84 ACU and ASIC expose the
24 Supplier Defendants to liability for those expenses; (3) recalls harm the commercial
25 reputations of vehicle manufacturers, parts suppliers, and their products; and (4)
26 recalls threatened to publicly expose the ACU Defect in other unrecalled vehicles
27 with the same defective DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC, which would have
28 undermined the continued sale and lease of Class Vehicles with these parts.

1 1258. A scheme to mislead NHTSA as to the nature and scope of the ACU
2 Defect was a plausible (and to date, effective) means of avoiding, delaying, and
3 minimizing recalls. NHTSA’s Office of Defect Investigation (“ODI”)—the division
4 responsible for investigating all the potential automotive defects in the country—
5 employs fewer than one hundred people. Moreover, at any given time, it has
6 approximately 50 open investigations, most of which involve complicated and
7 technical issues. By contrast, the Vehicle Manufacturer and Supplier Defendants
8 have vastly more employees and superior knowledge of the inner workings of their
9 products and the problems experienced by customers in the field. In this context,
10 ODI often depends upon the good faith cooperation and fulsome disclosure from
11 vehicle manufacturers and suppliers when conducting its investigations.

12 **1. When NHTSA started to investigate the DS84 ACUs in the**
13 **summer of 2015, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and**
14 **ZF Automotive USA conspired with the Vehicle Manufacturer**
15 **Defendants to avoid expensive recalls.**

16 1259. By no later than the summer of 2015, NHTSA began to investigate
17 airbag non-deployment issues for a wide range of vehicles with DS84 ACUs and
18 ASICs.

19 1260. This development was a disaster scenario for ZF Automotive USA, ZF
20 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF TRW Corp. who had already
21 known about the ACU Defect for years. Upon information and belief, these
22 Defendants knew that the investigation concerned EOS (for which airbag non-
23 deployment is a key indicator) and feared NHTSA would discover the ACU Defect
24 was present in millions of vehicles sold by several of its most important customers.
25 They also knew that recalls of these vehicles would damage their business
26 reputation by costing their vehicle manufacturer customers over a billion dollars
27 collectively. Upon information and belief, recalls due to the defective DS84 ACUs
28

1 and ASICs also exposed ZF TRW Corp., ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive
2 USA to contractual liability for paying for the recall costs.

3 1261. These fears were well-founded, as evidenced by ZF Automotive
4 USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, ZF Electronics USA's, and ZF TRW Corp.'s
5 recent experience with an EOS defect in a prior generation of its ACUs.

6 Specifically, between 2012 and 2015, NHTSA investigated millions of ACUs made
7 by ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA in the
8 early- to mid-2000s, before they launched the DS84 ACU. These ACUs had a very
9 similar defect to the DS84 ACU and ASIC: a squib ASIC that was vulnerable to
10 EOS. These squib ASICs failed when they suffered EOS and caused inadvertent
11 airbag deployments in dozens of vehicles. NHTSA's investigation prompted Toyota
12 Engineering USA and FCA to recall 1,636,175 vehicles in 2012 and 2013.⁵²

13 1262. The remedy implemented for those recalls, a "noise filter" applied to
14 buffer the ASIC from electricity, did not fix the problem. NHTSA investigated the
15 defective ACUs and ASICs again on May 29, 2014 after receiving additional
16 reports of inadvertent deployments in previously recalled vehicles that had been
17 "repaired" with the noise filter remedy. In 2015, Toyota, Honda USA, and FCA
18 recalled 2,419,291 vehicles, including a re-recall for vehicles that had the deficient
19 noise filter remedy applied.⁵³ ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
20 Automotive USA's ultimate parent company at the time, ZF TRW Corp. knew
21 about this prior experience with EOS in TRW ACUs.

22 ⁵² Specifically, FCA announced a recall of 744,822 vehicles with this defective ZF
23 ACU on November 7, 2012 and 3,644 additional vehicles with the same ACU on
24 February 6, 2013. Toyota Engineering USA announced a recall of 887,709 vehicles
with this defective ZF ACU on January 30, 2013

25 ⁵³ Specifically, FCA announced a recall of 753,176 vehicles with this defective
26 TRW ACU on January 27, 2015, and 285,089 additional vehicles with this
27 defective TRW ACU on October 15, 2015; Honda USA recalled 374,177 vehicles
28 with this defective ZF ACU on January 28, 2015; and Toyota Engineering USA
announced a recall of 1,006,849 vehicles with this defective TRW ACU.

1 1263. NHTSA had also recently, in 2015, demonstrated a firm commitment
2 to protecting consumers from defective safety systems by ordering Takata to recall
3 tens of millions of faulty airbags. By May 2015, Takata was reportedly responsible
4 for the largest auto recall in history. Takata filed for bankruptcy two years later. In
5 this context, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
6 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany fully understood the risks posed by NHTSA's
7 investigation.

8 1264. To avoid a potentially existential threat to their business and prolong
9 the broader scheme to defraud consumers to overpay for Class Vehicles with a
10 dangerous safety defect, ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA conspired with ST USA, ST Italy, ST
12 Malaysia, and each of the five Defendant Vehicle Manufacturer Groups to (a)
13 conceal the evidence of the ACU Defect from NHTSA, and (b) mislead NHTSA as
14 to the nature and scope of any problems that NHTSA uncovered.

15 1265. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia joined in the conspiracy because
16 they shared the common goal of avoiding recalls that targeted the DS84 ACU and
17 its DS84 ASIC, the part they designed and manufactured for all Class Vehicles.

18 1266. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants joined in the conspiracy as it
19 pertained to their own Class Vehicles because it was cheaper to continue using the
20 defective DS84 ASICs and ACUs both because of the lower relative cost of the
21 DS84 ACU, and because of the time and expense that they would necessarily incur
22 for the significant development and design work required to use a different ACU.
23 Further, they shared the goal of avoiding expensive recalls for their Class Vehicles.
24 This was particularly true for Honda USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and FCA,
25 who had just launched an expensive *second* round of recalls in other vehicles due to
26 the earlier generation of TRW ACUs with a defective ASIC.

27 1267. Upon information and belief, in the summer of 2015, ZF Automotive
28 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Hyundai Korea,

1 Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, and FCA that NHTSA was
2 investigating DS84 ACUs.

3 1268. On October 20, 2015, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai
4 Korea, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
5 Electronics USA met in South Korea to discuss the issue of the DS84 ACUs and
6 EOS.

7 1269. In December 2015, Kia Korea communicated to ZF Automotive USA,
8 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA its “assessment” that Joy King’s
9 Kia Forte (which had crashed in Tallahassee with no airbag deployment) had
10 “commanded non-deployment”—meaning that the airbag’s failure to deploy in the
11 crash was purposeful and consistent with the strategy for deployment in those
12 accident conditions (i.e. not suspicious or defective). Upon information and belief,
13 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA knew this
14 “assessment” was incorrect, because they had observed EOS damage on the DS84
15 ASIC retrieved from Ms. King’s Forte and the ACU had failed to record the crash
16 data necessary to determine that the non-deployment was “commanded” by the
17 DS84 ACU.

18 1270. Upon information and belief, in December 2015, Kia Korea and Kia
19 USA notified ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
20 USA that NHTSA had asked Kia USA questions about the fatal Kia Forte crash
21 with no airbag deployment that occurred in 2013 in California, and that Kia USA
22 would respond.

23 1271. In January 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
24 Passive Safety USA communicated with each of the Vehicle Manufacturer
25 Defendant Groups regarding EOS in the DS84 ACUs, and alerted them that
26 NHTSA was interested in, and asking questions about, the problem. Upon
27 information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
28 Safety USA communicated this information to encourage the companies implicated

1 by NHTSA’s investigation to coordinate their efforts to conceal information about
2 the existence, scope, and severity of the ACU Defect from NHTSA.

3 **2. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA,**
4 **ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly made misleading**
5 **statements to NHTSA on February 5, 2016 and then mailed a copy**
6 **of those misleading statements to NHTSA on March 14, 2016.**

7 1272. In the first quarter of 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
8 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. used interstate mail
9 and/or wire to prepare and send a written presentation dated February 5, 2016 to
10 NHTSA. This presentation contained several misleading statements about the DS84
11 ACU Defect. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. intended the
13 statements to further their conspiracies with the Vehicle Manufacturer and ST
14 Defendants by concealing the DS84 ACU Defect, avoiding recalls of unsafe Class
15 Vehicles, and allowing the continued sale of defective but profitable safety
16 equipment.

17 **a. The February 5, 2016 written presentation to NHTSA**
18 **contained misleading statements.**

19 1273. The February 5, 2016 written presentation jointly prepared by ZF
20 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and
21 ZF TRW Corp. contained several misleading statements directed at NHTSA.

22 **i. The presentation misleadingly described the DS84**
23 **ACU malfunction in Joy King’s crash as a**
24 **“commanded non-deployment.”**

25 1274. The presentation stated that a Kia Forte crash called “HKMC A”
26 involved a “commanded non-deployment” and “[d]eployment not commanded . . .
27 consistent with deployment strategy decision.” In other words, the crash did not
28 merit airbag deployment. “HKMC A” describes the crash with a logging truck that
seriously injured Joy King in Tallahassee, Florida. These statements about HKMC

1 A—which Kia Korea encouraged ZF Automotive USA and ZF Electronics USA to
2 make in December 2015—were misleading given the following facts:

- 3 a. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
4 Electronics USA had observed EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC
5 retrieved from Ms. King’s Kia Forte two months prior to making
6 this presentation to NHTSA.
- 7 b. It is not possible to reliably conclude that a non-deployment was
8 “commanded” by the DS84 ACU when, as was the case with
9 Ms. King’s Forte, the ACU is damaged by EOS. ZF Automotive
10 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA knew
11 the ACU was missing a crash record, which deprives
12 investigators of the only tool that can reliably confirm a
13 “commanded non-deployment.” Indeed, ZF Automotive USA,
14 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA acknowledged
15 this general limitation in a 2012 report concerning another crash,
16 stating: “[i]t is not possible to determine whether ACU
17 attempted to deploy, or would have recorded a near deployment
18 event, since no EDR was fully recorded.”
- 19 c. The conclusion presented to NHTSA of a commanded non-
20 deployment fails to explain the observed evidence of EOS,
21 which is known to cause airbag deployment failures like that
22 observed in the King crash.
- 23 d. The above pictures of the King crash depict the type of severe
24 head-on collision where an airbag and seatbelt should activate
25 under any reasonable deployment strategy.

26 1275. The misleading statement about the King crash was material because it
27 concealed that the ACU Defect had caused serious injuries to the driver. Upon
28 information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important

1 to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the DS84
2 ACUs and ASICs.

3 **ii. The presentation misleadingly described the DS84**
4 **ACU malfunction in the Ganzhou Kia Forte crash as a**
5 **“commanded nondeployment.”**

6 1276. The February 2016 presentation refers to the 2011 Kia Forte crash with
7 no airbag deployment that occurred in Ganzhou, China as “HKMC B.” It states that
8 “HKMC B” was a “commanded non-deployment” and that the DS84 ACU was
9 “not made available to ZF TRW.” These statements were false or misleading.

10 1277. First, the statement that the DS84 ACU was not made available to ZF
11 TRW was misleading, because ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
12 ZF Automotive USA received and analyzed the ACU from this crash in 2011.
13 Proving that this statement was false when made in February 2016, ZF Automotive
14 USA later acknowledged in a document filed with NHTSA on August 15, 2018:

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Aug. 2011	At Mobis’ request, ZF analyzes the ACU from a Kia Forte in China involved in an event in which the airbags purportedly did not deploy. ZF observes damage on the ASIC that is consistent with EOS. Hyundai Kia Motors Corporation (HKMC) subsequently communicates its assessment that the incident was a commanded nondeployment.
---	--

19 1278. This acknowledgement concerned the ACU from the Kia Forte crash
20 in Ganzhou.

21 1279. Second, the statement that HKMC B involved a commanded
22 nondeployment was false, because it squarely contradicted the conclusion in the
23 December 9, 2011 report on the crash prepared by ZF Automotive USA, ZF
24 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA. That report acknowledged it was
25 “[p]ossible internal damage to the squib ASIC [i.e., the DS84 ASIC] at the time of
26 impact causing the Reset line pulled to low, which in turn resetting [sic] the
27 Microcontroller operation resulting in partial EDR1 and non deployment.” In other
28 words, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA

1 recognized it was possible that EOS damage to the ASIC caused the airbags to fail
2 in 2011, but told NHTSA nearly 5 years later that the ACU was “not available” and
3 repeated the conclusion of a “commanded nondeployment.”

4 1280. These misleading statements about the Ganzhou Kia Forte crash were
5 material because they concealed evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag
6 failures in a crash. Upon information and belief, NHTSA would have considered
7 this information important to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its
8 investigation into the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

9 **iii. The presentation misleadingly described five cases of**
10 **malfunctioning DS84 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles as**
11 **“commanded non-deployments.”**

12 1281. Regarding five separate incidents with FCA vehicles that crashed with
13 no airbag deployment and “EOS Present” or “likely”, the presentation misleadingly
14 stated: “All non-deployment[s] likely commanded due to customer deployment
15 strategy design.” This statement, which concerned the 2012 Jeep Patriot, the 2012
16 Dodge Avenger, the 2012 Chrysler 200, the 2011 Dodge Avenger, and the 2012
17 Chrysler 200 Convertible discussed in Sections IV.D.4.e., IV.D.4.g., IV.D.4.l.,
18 IV.D.4.o., and IV.D.4.n. above, was misleading for several reasons.

- 19 a. First, all of these FCA Class Vehicles had missing crash records,
20 thereby making it impossible to reliably determine whether any
21 non-deployment was “commanded.” As ZF Automotive USA,
22 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA acknowledged
23 in a 2012 report about a Kia Forte crash: “[i]t is not possible to
24 determine whether ACU attempted to deploy, or would have
25 recorded a near deployment event, since no EDR was fully
26 recorded.” This principle applied with equal force to the same
27 EDR technology in these FCA Class Vehicles.
28

1 b. Second, the pictures of the wreckage from these incidents show
2 the type of catastrophic collisions that obviously merit airbag
3 deployment. These pictures are collected in Sections IV.D.4.e.,
4 IV.D.4.g., IV.D.4.i., IV.D.4.o., and IV.D.4.n. above.

5 c. Third, FCA confirmed that these statements were misleading in
6 its 573 Defect Report filed for the September 2016 recall of the
7 vehicle models involved in this incident. That report does not
8 mention deployment strategies as a purported reason for the
9 failures because FCA concluded that its deployment strategies
10 should have commanded deployment.

11 1282. Misleadingly describing these five crashes as “commanded
12 nondeployments” was material because that description concealed evidence that the
13 ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in multiple crashes. Upon information and
14 belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important to its decision
15 whether to require a recall or expand its investigation in the defective DS84 ACUs
16 and ASICs.

17 **iv. The presentation misleadingly suggested that the**
18 **safety restraints deployed properly in two FCA Class**
19 **Vehicle crashes.**

20 1283. The presentation stated that in two incidents involving 2012 Jeep
21 Patriots called “Chrysler A” and “Chrysler B,” “[d]eployment occurred even though
22 there is no or partial crash record.” This statement was misleading because it
23 suggested that Chrysler A and Chrysler B did not involve a failure of the safety
24 system’s restraints.

25 1284. In fact, “Chrysler B” refers to the crash test of a 2012 Jeep Patriot
26 conducted by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. In this test, the Institute
27 found in 2012 or 2013 that: “the seat belt allowed excessive forward excursion of
28 the dummy’s head and torso, and the driver’s seat tipped forward and toward the B-

1 pillar. The side curtain airbag did not deploy, leaving the dummy’s head vulnerable
2 to contacts with side structure and outside objects.” As FCA would internally admit
3 just a few months later, the second stage airbags should have deployed in this crash
4 test. Accordingly, it was misleading for this presentation to suggest “[d]eployment
5 occurred” in this crash test, when the truth was that one front airbag deployed but
6 the seatbelts and second stage airbag malfunctioned. These failures are serious
7 shortcomings that caused the Institute to grade this test result as “Poor.”

8 1285. “Chrysler A,” on the other hand, refers to the November 28, 2013
9 crash in Wisconsin of a 2012 Jeep Patriot with partial airbag deployment. FCA
10 concluded from its analysis of crash event timing that ASIC EOS prevented
11 deployment of the second stage airbags. Accordingly, it was misleading to suggest
12 “deployment occurred” when the truth was that the second stage airbags failed.

13 1286. Misleadingly describing these two crashes with “deployment
14 occurred” was material because an assessment of a safety risk posed by the ACU
15 Defect would have required NHTSA to assess the risk posed by the partial
16 deployment of safety restraints. Upon information and belief, NHTSA would have
17 considered this information important to its decision whether to require a recall or
18 expand its investigation in the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

19 **v. The presentation misleadingly understated the**
20 **number of cases of confirmed EOS by excluding ten**
21 **more known incidents.**

22 1287. The presentation states: “this presentation covers global field incidents
23 with confirmed EOS across all customers based on the information currently
24 available to ZF TRW.” This statement was false because the presentation omitted
25 at least 10 confirmed cases of DS84 ASIC EOS known to ZF Automotive USA, ZF
26 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA as this time. The known incidents
27 omitted from the presentation include:
28

- 1 a. The four Hyundai Sonata crash tests and one Kia Optima crash
2 test for which ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
3 ZF Passive Safety USA confirmed ASIC EOS in 2012;
- 4 b. The Honda Accord crash test in Japan with a nondeployment
5 event, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
6 and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in late 2012 or
7 2013;
- 8 c. The Honda City crash test in Japan for which ZF Automotive
9 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA
10 confirmed ASIC EOS in 2014; and
- 11 d. Two Kia Forte crashes and one Kia K5 crashed with
12 nondeployments in Wehai, Xinyang, and Zhenjiang for which
13 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
14 Safety USA confirmed ASIC EOS in 2012.

15 1288. The exclusion of these seven crash tests and three real-world crashes
16 from a chart purporting to cover all “global field incidents with confirmed EOS
17 across all customers” materially deflated the count of known suspicious incidents
18 presented to NHTSA. Upon information and belief, NHTSA would have considered
19 an additional ten incidents with confirmed EOS important to its decision whether to
20 require a recall or expand its investigation in the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
21 The exclusion of these incidents was material because NHTSA later asked Kia
22 USA to conduct its recall of vehicles with the DS84 ACU Defect based on fewer
23 than ten suspicious crashes in the field.

24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

vi. The presentation blamed wire harnesses as the cause of suspicious DS84 ACU malfunctions, which was misleading because the ACU Defect was the true root cause.

1289. The presentation attempted to blame the wiring harness in FCA Class Vehicles as the primary cause of DS84 ACU malfunctions by stating that “[v]ehicle wiring architecture can contribute to EOS.” According to the presentation, the Jeep Liberty, Dodge Avenger, and Chrysler 200 “platforms route front passenger side satellite wire across the front of the vehicle and bundle with the driver side satellite wire. . . . This can cause the wiring for both front crash sensors to get damage[d] in frontal left offset collisions.” This was an issue, it explained, because “[l]oss of signal from a front crash sensor may direct a commanded non-deployment in certain crash scenarios.” In other words, the presentation explained that the wires in certain types of crashes could interfere with airbag deployment due to the placement of the wiring in these vehicles.

1290. This explanation was misleading because ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA knew that the DS84 ACUs were inherently defective and vulnerable to EOS irrespective of the presence of cross-car wiring. For example, these entities each knew that Hyundai-Kia vehicles with nondeployments linked to EOS did not have cross-car wiring like this. Moreover, in June 2013, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA prepared a written analysis noting two EOS failure modes (one relating to a shorted crash sensor wire and another relating to a shorted squib communication line) had occurred in Jeep Wranglers, another vehicle model without cross-car wiring. By 2016, FCA had already learned of at least fourteen crashes involving nondeployments and signs of DS84 ASIC EOS in Class Vehicles without cross-car wiring, including eight Dodge Rams, five Jeep Wranglers, and one Fiat 500.⁵⁴

⁵⁴ The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012
Footnote continued on next page

1 1291. Contrary to the above misleading statements that blame wire harnesses
2 for nondeployments, the root cause of these incidents remains the DS84 ACU's and
3 ASIC's vulnerability to transients and EOS. The defect remains in the DS84 ACU
4 irrespective of the placement of car wiring. For example, Hyundai Korea, Kia
5 Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA sent correspondence to ZF
6 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA in or around
7 April 2016 confirming this: "TRW's presentation identifies that all EOS problems
8 involving all manufacturers have only occurred in the ST Micro DS84 ASIC. *The*
9 *logical inference is that some design flaw or weakness in the DS84 ASIC is the core*
10 *reason for any EOS incidents.*" (emphasis added).

11 1292. ZF Automotive USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Electronics
12 USA's efforts to blame wire harnesses on nondeployments in FCA Class Vehicles
13 were also misleading because they knew wire harnesses could not have caused at
14 least some observed DS84 ACU malfunctions, including nine inadvertent
15 deployments in vehicles made by five different manufacturers (FCA, Kia Korea,
16 Honda Japan, and two Chinese manufacturers). In these incidents, the vehicles did
17 not crash and therefore a break in the frontal crash sensor wires could not have
18 released a transient. Instead, as ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
19 ZF Automotive USA explained to FCA in 2013, the root cause of inadvertent
20 deployments is likely a transient surge originating from a connection between an
21 airbag squib ASIC and the DS84 ASIC, which is unrelated to the cross-car wiring
22 of front-end crash sensors. Notably, the Jeep Wrangler with an inadvertent
23 deployment and confirmed EOS on the DS84 ASIC did not have the type of cross-

25 *Footnote continued from previous page*
26 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine,
27 Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in
28 West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in
New York. The Fiat 500 crashed in 2015 in California.

1 car wiring that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics
2 USA blamed as a “contribut[or] to EOS.”

3 1293. The presentation’s statements blaming wire harnesses for EOS were
4 material because they obscured the scope of the ACU Defect by suggesting that
5 only vehicles with a particular type of wiring may have a defect. To the contrary,
6 *all* vehicles, with or without cross-car wiring, that use the DS84 ACU and ASIC are
7 defective.

8 **vii. The presentation misleadingly claimed the ACU**
9 **Defect was “vehicle dependent.”**

10 1294. The presentation also stated, “[p]resence and impact of EOS on ACUs
11 is vehicle dependent.” This statement was misleading because ZF Automotive
12 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA had previously made
13 common recommendations regarding DS84 ASIC EOS across different vehicle
14 types and manufacturers. For example, in 2013, ZF Electronics USA recommended
15 additional circuit protection for defective Jeep vehicles to FCA and expressly based
16 those recommendations on experience with unrelated vehicles made by other
17 manufacturers. Indeed, one ZF Electronics USA presentation to FCA from 2013
18 stated “Initial EOS Design Proposal based on design experience in response to
19 *other customer specifications*,” and suggest diodes “may mitigate EOS” and that an
20 additional proposal “based on *other customer specifications* and experience”
21 suggested a “[i]n rush limiting circuit” “may mitigate EOS.” In other words, ZF
22 Electronics USA told FCA that the experiences with EOS in other vehicles by other
23 vehicle manufacturers should translate to Jeep vehicles experiencing the same
24 problems.⁵⁵ These recommendations contradict the later statement to NHTSA that

25 ⁵⁵ In a 2019 meeting with Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota
26 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA
27 similarly claimed that a 2015 design change to increase the diode protection on
28 certain European models with the DS84 ASIC was based on “[l]earning made with
other OEMs.” Again, these statements are inconsistent with statements to NHTSA

Footnote continued on next page

1 all EOS is “vehicle dependent.” If this were true, recommendations based on other
2 manufacturers’ experiences with EOS in other vehicles would be irrelevant.

3 1295. This statement was material because it suggested that only vehicles
4 with confirmed DS84 ACU malfunctions were potentially defective. In reality,
5 millions of Class Vehicles were defective, because all vehicles that use the DS84
6 ACU and ASIC are defective.

7 **b. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics**
8 **USA, ZF Germany and ZF TRW Corp. have joint**
9 **responsibility for the content of the misleading February 5,**
10 **2016 presentation.**

11 1296. On February 5, 2016, agents of ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
12 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA met with NHTSA. During the meeting, ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA used the
14 February 5, 2016 written presentation discussed above to mislead NHTSA as to the
15 existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect.

16 1297. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF TRW Corp.
17 contributed to the preparation of the contents of the February 5, 2016 presentation.
18 Upon information and belief, Marc Bolitho, who was an employee of ZF Passive
19 Safety USA and also served as Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW
20 Corp. and Vice President of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF Electronics USA,
21 authored at least some portions of the presentation.

22 1298. ZF Automotive USA admitted in an attachment to a 573 Defect Report
23 filed with NHTSA in 2018 that it attended the February 5, 2016 meeting with
24 NHTSA. Based on this admission, it approved and adopted the contents of the
25 presentation used during that meeting on its behalf, as well as the delivery of the
26 presentation to NHTSA.

27

Footnote continued from previous page
28 that ACU design issues are platform dependent.

1 1299. Upon information and belief, ZF Germany reviewed and approved the
2 contents of the February 5, 2016 presentation before it was used, given its regular
3 involvement in communications with NHTSA by its subsidiaries. Indeed, ZF
4 Germany was also ultimately responsible for (and, in fact, purported to own) the
5 content of this presentation because each page of this presentation states: “© ZF
6 Friedrichshafen AG.” The ZF Passive Safety USA and ZF Electronics USA
7 employees who wrote this presentation would not have identified ZF Germany
8 without ZF Germany’s approval.⁵⁶

9 1300. ZF TRW Corp. also reviewed and approved the contents of this
10 presentation before it was used in a meeting with NHTSA.

11 1301. ZF TRW Corp. also separately sent the presentation to NHTSA via
12 Federal Express on March 14, 2016. The cover letter for this transmittal is signed:
13 “Very truly yours, ZF TRW Corp.” with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
14 Counsel of the company.

15 1302. Marc Bolitho signed the certificate supporting a request for
16 confidentiality of the February 5, 2016 presentation. The certification states: “I
17 certify the attached information” (i.e., the presentation) regarding “the internal
18 investigation” of ZF TRW Corp. and its subsidiaries was “proprietary
19 information”—meaning that ZF TRW Corp. had a property interest in the
20 information presented in the slide deck. ZF TRW Corp.’s ownership interest in this
21 document is also confirmed by the following language on the footer of every page:
22 “This document is the property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may

23 ⁵⁶ ZF Germany has never denied Plaintiffs’ allegation that “ZF Friedrichshafen
24 AG’s consent was required to send the presentation to NHTSA and/or the Vehicle
25 Manufacturer Defendants, and ZF Friedrichshafen AG provided consent.” Dkt. 120
26 at ¶168. On the contrary, it has relied on declarations that concede: “ZF
27 Friedrichshafen AG . . . exercises only limited control over ZF’s domestic entities
28 communications with NHTSA.” Dkt. 209-4 at ¶10. This vague statement does not
deny that ZF Germany’s consent was required for the domestic ZF companies to
send presentations to NHTSA or that ZF Germany provided that consent.

1 not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the written
2 consent of ZF TRW.” Because ZF Germany’s 2016 Annual Report identifies ZF
3 TRW Corp. as the only subsidiary with a name containing “ZF TRW,” “ZF TRW”
4 as used in the document must refer to ZF TRW Corp. All of these statements about
5 ZF TRW Corp.’s proprietary interest in the February 5, 2016 presentation confirm
6 its joint responsibility for its content.

7 1303. Upon information and belief, in addition to using mail to send a copy
8 of the February 5, 2016 presentation to NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
9 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. each used
10 email or other electronic means of communication to exchange, make comments on
11 and convey approval of drafts of the February 5, 2016 presentation. Accordingly,
12 these Defendants used interstate wires to facilitate the preparation of the February
13 5, 2016 presentation.

14 **3. Following the February 5, 2016 meeting with NHTSA, ZF**
15 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA**
16 **coordinated with their co-conspirators to avoid NHTSA’s**
17 **discovery of the ACU Defect and recalls of Class Vehicles.**

18 1304. Following the February 5, 2016 meeting with NHTSA, ZF Electronics
19 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA coordinated with their co-
20 conspirators—Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, Honda Japan, Mitsubishi
21 Japan, FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and each ST Defendant—
22 by sending written copies of the February 5, 2016 presentation containing the
23 misleading statements to NHTSA, described above, to those co-conspirators by
24 mail and wire, and by holding meetings with them to discuss NHTSA’s next steps.
25 Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
26 Automotive USA took these steps to coordinate a united front in furtherance of
27 their fraudulent scheme to conceal the ACU Defect from NHTSA.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent excerpts of the misleading February 5, 2016 presentation to its co-conspirators for the purpose of coordinating their misrepresentations to NHTSA.

1305. Upon information and belief, in February 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA sent excerpted versions of the February 5, 2016 presentation to Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, Honda Japan, Mitsubishi Japan, FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and each ST Defendant.

1306. Upon information and belief, ZF Germany and ZF TRW Corp. reviewed and approved these transmittals of the February 5, 2016 presentation.

1307. Upon information and belief, the excerpted versions of this presentation contained several talking points created by the ZF Defendants designed to downplay the ACU Defect by misleadingly blaming airbag nondeployments on purportedly vehicle-dependent phenomena, such as the layout of wiring in the hood of the car, how grounded the chassis is, or manufacturer deployment strategies.

1308. The version of the presentation sent to Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis contained the misleading statements concerning Hyundai-Kia vehicles noted above.

1309. The version of the presentation sent to FCA contained the misleading statements concerning the FCA vehicles.

1310. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA circulated the February 5, 2016 presentation to the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants and ST Defendants to facilitate their scheme to mislead NHTSA as to the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. Between February 5, 2016 and July 19, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA repeatedly communicated with the Hyundai-Kia Defendants and FCA about NHTSA’s investigation.

1311. Between February 5, 2016 and July 19, 2016, the Hyundai-Kia Defendants repeatedly communicated with ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA to coordinate their positions regarding the ACU Defect. Upon information and belief, the goal of these communications was to avoid any recall of vehicles with DS84 ACUs and enable the continued use of the defective DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC.

- a. Upon information and belief, on February 11, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA held a conference call with Kia USA concerning the February 5, 2016 meeting with NHTSA.
- b. Upon information and belief, on February 25, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA held a meeting in Korea so that the Hyundai-Kia Defendants could obtain further information from ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about NHTSA’s investigation.
- c. On March 24, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA re-sent the 2012 written analysis regarding the Kia K5 with a nondeployment in Liuzhou, China to Hyundai Mobis in response to a request from Hyundai Mobis.
- d. Upon information and belief, between April 21, 2016 and June 29, 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai

1 Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA continued their discussions
2 about their positions with NHTSA about the ACU Defect.

3 e. Upon information and belief, on or around April 21, 2016, Kia
4 Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Korea,
5 Hyundai USA, and Kia USA sent a jointly-approved written
6 communication to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,
7 and ZF Passive Safety USA that stated: “TRW’s presentation
8 identifies that all EOS problems involving all manufacturers
9 have only occurred in the ST Micro DS84 ASIC. *The logical
10 inference is that some design flaw or weakness in the DS84
11 ASIC is the core reason for any EOS incidents.*” (emphasis
12 added).

13 f. Upon information and belief, on April 25, 2016, ZF Passive
14 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA,
15 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and Hyundai USA attended an
16 inspection of the Twohills’ Hyundai Sonata, discussed below.⁵⁷
17 During this inspection, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, and
18 Hyundai USA urged ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics
19 USA, and ZF Automotive USA to label the Twohill incident a
20 “commanded non-deployment.”

21 g. Upon information and belief, on May 24 and 25, 2016, ZF
22 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,

23 ⁵⁷ Documents produced by Defendants indicate the following individuals attended:
24 Sihng Kwang Cheol, the Senior Research Engineer of Hyundai Korea; Changbeom
25 You, the Deputy General Manager of Hyundai Korea’s Quality Strategy Team;
26 Kim Seong Hwan, the Assistant Manager of Hyundai Korea’s Electronic
27 Improvement Team; Eric Sim, the Senior Manager of Hyundai USA’s Engineering
28 and Design Analysis; and Park Chul Hong, the Manager of Hyundai Mobis’s NTF
Analysis Team; Bill Herndon of ZF Electronics USA and/or ZF Passive Safety
USA.

1 Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, and
2 Kia USA again met in Korea. During this meeting, ZF
3 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
4 USA presented a detailed fault tree analysis concerning the
5 ACU Defect. During this meeting, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
6 Mobis, and Hyundai USA again urged ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA to label the
8 Twohill incident a “commanded non-deployment” in
9 communications with NHTSA.

10 h. Upon information and belief, on or around June 29, 2016, ZF
11 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
12 USA informed Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
13 USA, and Hyundai Mobis that they had not disclosed 17 crashes
14 and crash tests involving potential DS84 ACU malfunctions in
15 Hyundai-Kia vehicles to NHTSA, including eight with
16 confirmed EOS.

17 1312. Upon information and belief, between February 5, 2016 and July 19,
18 2016, FCA regularly communicated with ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
19 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA regarding NHTSA’s investigation, including on
20 March 31, 2016; June 15, 2016; July 12, 2016; and July 18, 2016. Upon
21 information and belief, the July 18, 2016 communication encouraged FCA to take
22 the position that “wiring and calibration changes . . . may have influenced the
23 occurrence of ASIC EOS and/or airbag and pretensioner deployment” in the FCA
24 Class Vehicle crashes with confirmed EOS.

25 1313. Upon information and belief, between February 2016 and June 2016,
26 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF TRW
27 Corp. held weekly meetings with ST USA and ST Italy to formulate a position to
28

1 communicate with NHTSA on the root cause of the EOS in DS84 ACUs and
2 ASICs.

- 3 **4. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA,**
4 **ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly made misleading**
5 **statements to NHTSA on July 19, 2016 and mailed a copy of those**
6 **misleading statements to NHTSA in July or August 2016.**

7 1314. In summer 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
8 Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. used interstate mail and/or wire
9 to prepare and send a written presentation dated July 19, 2016 to NHTSA. This
10 presentation contained several misleading statements about the DS84 ACU and
11 ASIC. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
12 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. intended the statements to
13 further their conspiracies with the Vehicle Manufacturer and ST Defendants by
14 concealing the DS84 ACU Defect to avoid recalls of defective Class Vehicles and
15 allow the continued sale of defective but profitable safety equipment.

- 16 **a. The July 19, 2016 written presentation contained misleading**
17 **statements.**

18 1315. The July 19, 2016 written presentation jointly prepared by ZF
19 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and
20 ZF TRW Corp. contains several misleading statements directed at NHTSA,
21 including both affirming earlier misleading statements from the February 5, 2016
22 presentation, and adding separate and new misleading statements.

- 23 **i. The presentation misleadingly suggested that an**
24 **investigation into the 2013 fatal Kia Forte crash in**
25 **California was “ongoing,” when, in fact, ZF**
26 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
27 **Automotive USA had completed their investigation**
28 **and confirmed EOS.**

1316. The presentation states there would be an “[o]ngoing investigation of
event HKMC D,” which refers to the Kia Forte crash in Northern California with no

1 airbag deployment that seriously injured Ronald Hill and killed his wife, Lomia
2 Faumuina. The presentation misleadingly suggested “HKMC and ZF TRW meeting
3 again in Korea on July 29” as part of this ongoing investigation. Upon information
4 and belief, these statements were false because none of the ZF Defendants had
5 further plans to investigate the Faumuina crash. In fact, they had already confirmed
6 EOS on the vehicle’s DS84 ASIC and knew that the ACU had not recorded any
7 crash data, which is a sign of EOS. These conclusions were reached more than six
8 months earlier, in 2015.

9 1317. Two pieces of evidence confirm the absence of Defendants’ genuine
10 intention to further investigate the Faumuina case.

11 a. First, upon information and belief, in or around April 21, 2016,
12 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and
13 Hyundai USA asked ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,
14 and ZF Passive Safety USA to describe “TRW’s thoughts on
15 appropriate future plans how best to analyze the nondeployment
16 in the [Forte] *Faumuina* case, so that HKMC, Mobis, and TRW
17 can coordinate and cooperate to resolve this key issue
18 according.” In a response dated June 29, 2016, ZF Automotive
19 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA pointed
20 to a May 2016 “fault tree analysis” but described no intended
21 future steps. This response confirmed there were no plans for
22 further investigation.

23 b. Second, according to a document Kia USA later filed with
24 NHTSA, sometime within the 12-day period between the July
25 19, 2016 meeting with NHTSA and the end of that month, in-
26 house attorneys representing ZF Automotive USA, ZF
27 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Kia
28 Korea that “NHTSA is satisfied and no action is to be taken by

1 NHTSA.” The close proximity of this event to July 19, 2016
2 strongly indicates that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
3 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA had no further intention to
4 investigate the Faumuina crash.

5 1318. The misleading suggestion that some meaningful “investigation” of the
6 Faumuina crash was still ongoing was material, because if ZF Electronics USA, ZF
7 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had acknowledged that the
8 investigation was closed, NHTSA could have determined that the DS84 ACU had
9 malfunctioned due to EOS, as those Defendants had already done. The Faumuina
10 crash is one of the six Hyundai-Kia crashes and four Hyundai-Kia fatalities that
11 prompted NHTSA to launch a formal investigation (called an Engineering
12 Analysis) on March 16, 2018. This confirms the materiality of information about
13 this crash.

14 **ii. The presentation misleadingly described the DS84**
15 **ACU malfunction in Joy King’s crash a “commanded**
16 **non-deployment.”**

17 1319. The July 2016 presentation states that a Kia Forte crash called “HKMC
18 A” involved a “commanded non-deployment due to under-ride.” As also discussed
19 above, “HKMC A” describes the crash with a logging truck that seriously injured
20 Joy King in Tallahassee, Florida. These statements about HKMC A—which Kia
21 Korea encouraged ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
22 Safety USA to make in December 2015—were misleading given the following
23 facts.

- 24 a. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
25 Safety USA had observed EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC
26 retrieved from Ms. King’s vehicle in December 2015.
- 27 b. It is not possible to reliably conclude that a non-deployment was
28 “commanded” by the DS84 ACU when, as was the case with

1 Ms. King’s vehicle, the ACU is damaged from EOS. ZF
2 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
3 USA knew the ACU was missing a crash record, which deprives
4 investigators of the only tool that can reliably confirm a
5 commanded non-deployment. Indeed, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
6 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA acknowledged
7 this general limitation in a 2012 report concerning another crash,
8 stating: “[i]t is not possible to determine whether ACU
9 attempted to deploy, or would have recorded a near deployment
10 event, since no EDR was fully recorded.”

- 11 c. The conclusion presented to NHTSA of a commanded non-
12 deployment fails to explain the observed evidence of EOS,
13 which is known to cause airbag deployment failures like that
14 observed in the King crash.
- 15 d. The above pictures of the King crash depict the type of severe
16 head-on collision where an airbag and seatbelt should activate
17 under any reasonable deployment strategy.

18 1320. The misleading statement that the King crash involved a commanded
19 nondeployment was material because it concealed evidence that the ACU Defect
20 had caused airbag failures in a crash. The King crash is one of the six Hyundai-Kia
21 crashes that ultimately prompted NHTSA to launch a formal investigation (called
22 an Engineering Analysis) on March 16, 2018. This confirms the materiality of
23 information about this crash.

24 **iii. The presentation misleadingly described the DS84**
25 **ACU malfunction in the Ganzhou Kia Forte crash as a**
26 **“commanded nondeployment.”**

27 1321. The July 2016 presentation also refers to the 2011 Kia Forte crash with
28 no airbag deployment that occurred in Ganzhou, China as “HKMC B.” The

1 presentation states that “HKMC B” was a “commanded non-deployment” and that
2 the DS84 ACU was “not made available to ZF TRW.” These statements were false
3 or misleading.

4 1322. First, this statement that the DS84 ACU was not made available to ZF
5 TRW was misleading, because ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
6 ZF Automotive USA received and analyzed the ACU from this crash in 2011.
7 Proving that this statement was false when made in July 2016, ZF Automotive USA
8 later acknowledged in a document filed with NHTSA on August 15, 2018:

9 10 11 12 Aug. 2011	At Mobis’ request, ZF analyzes the ACU from a Kia Forte in China involved in an event in which the airbags purportedly did not deploy. ZF observes damage on the ASIC that is consistent with EOS. Hyundai Kia Motors Corporation (HKMC) subsequently communicates its assessment that the incident was a commanded nondeployment.
----------------------------------	--

13 1323. Second, the statement that HKMC B involved a commanded
14 nondeployment was false, because it squarely contradicted the conclusion in the
15 December 9, 2011 report on the crash prepared by ZF Automotive USA, ZF
16 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA. That report acknowledged it was
17 “[p]ossible internal damage to the squib ASIC [i.e., the DS84 ASIC] at the time of
18 impact causing the Reset line pulled to low, which in turn resetting [sic] the
19 Microcontroller operation resulting in partial EDR1 and non deployment.” In other
20 word ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA
21 recognized it was possible that EOS damage to the ASIC caused the airbags to fail
22 in 2011, but told NHTSA nearly 5 years later that the ACU was “not available” and
23 repeated the conclusion of a “commanded nondeployment.”

24 1324. These misleading statements were material because they concealed
25 evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in a crash. Upon
26 information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important
27 to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation in the defective
28 DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

1 **iv. The presentation misleadingly called the Twohill crash**
2 **a “commanded nondeployment” and blamed**
3 **Hyundai-approved wiring modifications for any EOS.**

4 1325. The July 2016 presentation states regarding an incident called “HKMC
5 C”: “HKMC analysis confirmed event as commanded nondeployment” and
6 “[v]ehicle analysis identified aftermarket accessories spliced into ACU power lines
7 as likely contributor to source of EOS.” “HKMC C” refers to the Hyundai Sonata
8 crash in Iowa in 2011 that injured the Twohills. Both statements were misleading.

9 1326. The statement suggesting the Twohill crash involved “commanded
10 nondeployment” was misleading because the DS84 ACU from this vehicle failed to
11 generate a crash record, thereby rendering it impossible to reliably confirm a
12 “commanded nondeployment.” And contrary to the claims in the July 19, 2016
13 presentation, Hyundai USA later did not claim to have confirmed a “commanded
14 nondeployment” when explaining this incident to NHTSA in 2018.

15 1327. The statement blaming “aftermarket accessories” for EOS was
16 misleading because ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
17 Safety USA knew that the Hyundai-Kia Defendants had approved the modifications
18 to the Twohills’ Sonata. In fact, a written report from May 2016 authored by
19 Emanuel Goodman—one of the authors of the July 19, 2016 presentation for ZF
20 Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety USA—states: “HKMC communicated that
21 the aftermarket homelink system *was an approved Hyundai kit.*” (emphasis added).
22 The July 19, 2016 presentation misleadingly omitted this fact, which was important
23 for a complete assessment of whether the DS84 ACU and ASIC should have
24 withstood transients purportedly caused by any wiring modifications, including
25 with an approved accessory for the vehicle.

26 1328. Both misleading statements were material because they concealed
27 evidence that the ACU Defect as the root cause of airbag failures in a crash. Upon
28 information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important

1 to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the
2 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

3 **v. The presentation misleadingly called the airbag**
4 **failures in the Egyptian Kia Forte crash a**
5 **“commanded non-deployment.”**

6 1329. The presentation describes an incident called “HKMC E” as a “Near
7 deploy event” (i.e., a crash that almost triggered deployment thresholds) and
8 “commanded non-deployment.” “HKMC E” refers to the Kia Forte crash in Egypt
9 that occurred in 2011 or early 2012. This characterization was misleading because a
10 written analysis of the crash dated May 15, 2012 by ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA states: “[i]t is not possible to
12 determine whether ACU attempted to deploy, or would have recorded a near
13 deployment event, since no EDR was fully recorded.” Accordingly, it was
14 misleading to state with any confidence that this crash was a “near deploy event” or
15 “commanded non-deployment.”

16 1330. This misleading statement was material because it concealed evidence
17 that the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in a crash. Upon information and
18 belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important to its decision
19 whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the defective DS84
20 ACUs and ASICs.

21 **vi. The presentation misleadingly called six FCA Class**
22 **Vehicle crashes with airbag failures “commanded non-**
23 **deployments.”**

24 1331. Regarding six separate incidents with FCA vehicles that crashed with
25 no airbag deployment and “EOS Present” (one more than in the February 5, 2016
26 presentation), the presentation misleadingly stated: “All non-deployment[s] likely
27 commanded due to customer deployment strategy design.” This statement, which
28 concerned the 2012 Jeep Patriot, the 2012 Dodge Avenger, the 2012 Chrysler 200,

1 the 2011 Dodge Avenger, the 2012 Chrysler 200 Convertible, and the 2013 Dodge
2 Avenger discussed in Sections IV.D.4.e., IV.D.4.g., IV.D.4.l., IV.D.4.o., IV.D.4.n.,
3 and IV.D.4.o. above, was misleading for several reasons.

- 4 a. All these FCA Class Vehicles had missing crash records,
5 thereby making it impossible to reliably determine whether any
6 nondeployment was “commanded.” As ZF Automotive USA,
7 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA acknowledged
8 in a 2012 report about a Kia Forte crash: “[i]t is not possible to
9 determine whether ACU attempted to deploy, or would have
10 recorded a near deployment event, since no EDR was fully
11 recorded.” This principle applied with equal force to the same
12 EDR technology in these FCA Class Vehicles.
- 13 b. The pictures of the wreckage from these incidents show the type
14 of catastrophic collisions that obviously merit airbag
15 deployment. These pictures are collected in Sections IV.D.4.e.,
16 IV.D.4.g., IV.D.4.l., IV.D.4.o., IV.D.4.n., and IV.D.4.o. above.
- 17 c. Moreover, FCA confirmed that these statements were
18 misleading in its 573 Defect Report for the September 2016
19 recall of the vehicles involved in these incidents. That report
20 does not mention deployment strategies as a purported reason
21 for the failures because FCA concluded that its deployment
22 strategies should have commanded deployment.

23 1332. These misleading statements were material because they concealed
24 evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in crashes. Upon
25 information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this information important
26 to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation in the defective
27 DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

vii. The presentation misleadingly suggested that the safety restraints deployed properly in two FCA Class Vehicle crashes.

1333. The presentation stated that in two incidents involving 2012 Jeep Patriots called “Chrysler A” and “Chrysler B”: “Deployment occurred even though there is no or partial crash record.” This statement was intended to suggest that Chrysler B did not involve a failure of the safety system’s restraints. This statement was false.

1334. In fact, “Chrysler B” refers to the crash test of a 2012 Jeep Patriot conducted by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. In this test, the Institute found: “the seat belt allowed excessive forward excursion of the dummy’s head and torso, and the driver’s seat tipped forward and toward the B-pillar. The side curtain airbag did not deploy, leaving the dummy’s head vulnerable to contacts with side structure and outside objects.” In fact, on March 9, 2016—four months before the July 19, 2016 presentation—FCA determined EOS occurred in this crash test before the DS84 ACU should have commanded deployment of the second stage airbags, which would explain why they failed to activate. Accordingly, it was misleading for this presentation to suggest “[d]eployment occurred” in this crash test, when the truth was that one front airbag deployed, whereas the seatbelts and second stage airbag failed. These failures are serious shortcomings that caused the Institute to grade this test result as “Poor.”

1335. “Chrysler A,” on the other hand, refers to the November 28, 2013 crash in Wisconsin involving a 2012 Jeep Patriot. In fact, on March 9, 2016—four months before the July 19, 2016 presentation—FCA determined EOS occurred in this crash test before the DS84 ACU should have commanded deployment of the second stage airbags, which would explain why they failed to activate. Accordingly, it was misleading to suggest “deployment occurred” when the truth was that the second stage airbags failed.

1 1336. These misleading statements were material because they concealed
2 evidence that the ACU Defect had caused partial airbag failures in crashes. Upon
3 information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this evidence important to
4 its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation in the defective
5 DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

6 **viii. The presentation again misleadingly blamed wire**
7 **harnesses as a cause of suspicious DS84 ACU**
8 **malfunctions, which was misleading because the ACU**
9 **Defect was the true root cause.**

10 1337. The presentation attempted to blame the wiring harness in FCA Class
11 Vehicles for the DS84 ACU malfunctions by stating that “[v]ehicle wiring
12 architecture can contribute to EOS.” According to the presentation, “[l]oss of signal
13 from a front crash sensor may direct a commanded non-deployment in certain crash
14 scenarios” and that the Jeep Liberty, Dodge Avenger, and Chrysler 200 “platforms
15 route front passenger side satellite wire across the front of the vehicle and bundle
16 with the driver side satellite wire. . . . This can cause the wiring for both front crash
17 sensors to get damages in frontal left offset collisions.” In other words, the
18 presentation explained that the wires in certain types of crashes could interfere with
19 airbag deployment due to the placement of the wiring in these vehicles.

20 1338. This statement was misleading because ZF Automotive USA, ZF
21 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA knew that the DS84 ACUs were
22 inherently defective and vulnerable to EOS irrespective of the presence of cross-car
23 wiring, including because Hyundai-Kia vehicles with nondeployments linked to
24 EOS did not have cross-car wiring like this. Moreover, in June 2013, ZF
25 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA prepared a
26 written analysis noting that two EOS failure modes (one relating to a shorted crash
27 sensor wire and another relating to a shorted squib communication line) occurred in
28 Jeep Wranglers, another vehicle model without cross-car wiring. By 2016, FCA had

1 already learned of at least fourteen crashes involving nondeployments and signs of
2 DS84 ASIC EOS in Class Vehicles without cross-car wiring, including eight Dodge
3 Rams, five Jeep Wranglers, and one Fiat 500.⁵⁸ Accordingly, it was misleading to
4 suggest cross-car wiring was a likely root cause of the nondeployment events.
5 Instead, as Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and Hyundai
6 USA wrote to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
7 USA in or around April 2016: “TRW’s presentation identifies that all EOS
8 problems involving all manufacturers have only occurred in the ST Micro DS84
9 ASIC. *The logical inference is that some design flaw or weakness in the DS84 ASIC*
10 *is the core reason for any EOS incidents.*” (emphasis added).

11 1339. ZF Automotive USA’s, ZF Passive Safety USA’s, and ZF Electronics
12 USA’s efforts to blame the wire harness on nondeployments in FCA Class Vehicles
13 were also misleading because they knew wire harnesses could not have caused at
14 least some observed DS84 ACU malfunctions, including because of nine
15 inadvertent deployments in vehicles made by five different manufacturers (FCA,
16 Kia Korea, Honda Japan, and two Chinese manufacturers). For nondeployment
17 events, the vehicles did not crash and therefore a break in the frontal crash sensor
18 wires could not have released a transient. Instead, as ZF Electronics USA, ZF
19 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA explained to FCA in 2013, the root
20 cause of inadvertent deployments is likely a transient surge originating from a
21 connection between an airbag squib ASIC and the DS84 ASIC. The defect remains
22 in the DS84 ACU irrespective of the placement of car wiring. Notably, the Jeep
23 Wrangler with an inadvertent deployment and confirmed EOS on the DS84 ASIC
24

25 ⁵⁸ The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012
26 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine,
27 Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in
28 West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in
New York. The Fiat 500 crashed in 2015 in California.

1 did not have the type of cross-car wiring that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
2 Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA blamed as a “contribut[or] to EOS.”

3 1340. The presentation’s statements blaming wire harnesses for EOS were
4 material because they obscured the scope of the ACU Defect by suggesting that
5 only vehicles with a particular type of wiring may have a defect. In reality, millions
6 of other vehicles were defective, because they also used the DS84 ACU and ASIC,
7 which are inherently defective regardless of the configuration of vehicle wiring.

8 **ix. The presentation misleadingly claimed the ACU**
9 **Defect was “vehicle dependent.”**

10 1341. The presentation also stated: “[p]resence and impact of EOS on ACUs
11 is vehicle dependent.” This statement was misleading because ZF Automotive
12 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA had previously made
13 common recommendations regarding DS84 ASIC EOS across vehicle types and
14 manufacturers. For example, in 2013, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
15 and ZF Automotive USA recommended additional circuit protection for defective
16 Jeep vehicles to FCA and expressly based those recommendations on experience
17 with vehicles made by other manufacturers. Indeed, one ZF Electronics USA
18 presentation to FCA from 2013 stated, “Initial EOS Design Proposal based on
19 design experience in response to *other customer specifications*,” suggested diodes
20 “may mitigate EOS,” and that an additional proposal “based on *other customer*
21 *specifications* and experience” suggested a “[i]n rush limiting circuit” “may
22 mitigate EOS.” In other words, ZF Electronics USA told FCA that the experiences
23 with EOS in other vehicles by other vehicle manufacturers should translate to Jeep
24 vehicles experiencing the same problems.⁵⁹ These recommendations contradict the

25 ⁵⁹ In a 2019 meeting with Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota
26 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA
27 similarly claimed that a design change to increase the diode protection on certain
28 European models with the DS84 ASIC was based on “[l]earning made with other
OEMs.” Again, these statements are inconsistent with statements to NHTSA that

Footnote continued on next page

1 later statement to NHTSA that all EOS is “vehicle dependent.” If this were true,
2 recommendations based on other manufacturers’ experiences would be irrelevant.

3 1342. This statement was material because it suggested that only vehicles
4 with confirmed DS84 ACU malfunctions were potentially defective. In reality,
5 millions of Class Vehicles were defective, because all vehicles with the DS84 ACU
6 and ASIC are defective.

7 **b. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics**
8 **USA, ZF Germany and ZF TRW Corp. have joint**
9 **responsibility for the content of the misleading July 19, 2016**
10 **presentation.**

11 1343. On July 19, 2016, agents of ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, and ZF Electronics USA again met with NHTSA. During the meeting, ZF
13 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA used the July
14 19, 2016 written presentation described above.

15 1344. The July 19, 2016 closely resembles the February 5, 2016 presentation
16 discussed above. In fact, much of the language in the July 19 presentation is
17 identical to the language in the February 5 presentation. Accordingly, the
18 presentation likely shared the same authors, including Emanuel Goodman and Marc
19 Bolitho. Mr. Goodman was both an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA and the
20 Senior Technical Specialist of ZF Electronics USA. Mr. Bolitho was an employee
21 of ZF Passive Safety USA and also served as Director of Passive Safety
22 Engineering for ZF TRW Corp. and Vice President of Passive Safety Engineering
23 for ZF Electronics USA.

24 1345. ZF Germany reviewed and approved the contents of this presentation
25 before it was used, given its regular involvement in communications with NHTSA
26 by its subsidiaries. Indeed, ZF Germany was also ultimately responsible for (and, in
27 fact, purported to own) the content of this presentation because each page of this

28 *Footnote continued from previous page*
ACU design issues are platform dependent.

1 presentation states, “© ZF Friedrichshafen AG, 2018.” The employees who wrote
2 this presentation would not have identified ZF Germany without ZF Germany’s
3 approval.⁶⁰

4 1346. ZF TRW Corp. also reviewed and approved the contents of this
5 presentation before it was used in a meeting with NHTSA. ZF TRW Corp.’s
6 ownership interest in this document is confirmed by the following language on the
7 footer of every page: “This document is the property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in
8 confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing
9 without the written consent of ZF TRW.” Because ZF Germany’s 2016 Annual
10 Report identifies ZF TRW Corp. as the only subsidiary with a name containing “ZF
11 TRW,” “ZF TRW” must refer to ZF TRW Corp.

12 1347. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. mailed a copy of the
13 presentation to NHTSA in late July or August 2016.

14 1348. Upon information and belief, in addition to using mail to send a copy
15 of the July 19, 2016 presentation to NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. each used
17 email or other electronic means of communications to exchange, make comments
18 on and convey approval of drafts of the July 19, 2016 presentation.

19
20
21
22

23 ⁶⁰ ZF Germany has never denied Plaintiffs’ allegation that “ZF Friedrichshafen
24 AG’s consent was required to send the presentation to NHTSA and/or the Vehicle
25 Manufacturer Defendants, and ZF Friedrichshafen AG provided consent.” Dkt. 120
26 at ¶168. On the contrary, it has relied on declarations that concede: “ZF
27 Friedrichshafen AG . . . exercises only limited control over ZF’s domestic entities
28 communications with NHTSA.” Dkt. 209-4 at ¶10. This vague statement does not
deny that ZF Germany’s consent was required for the domestic ZF companies to
send presentations to NHTSA or that ZF Germany provided that consent.

1 **5. Shortly after the July 19, 2016 meeting with NHTSA, ZF**
2 **Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics**
3 **USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to coordinate with**
4 **FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and**
5 **Hyundai Mobis regarding their efforts to deny and downplay the**
6 **ACU Defect.**

7 1349. Upon information and belief, shortly after the July 19, 2016, meeting
8 with NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
9 USA shared excerpted versions of the July 19, 2016 presentation with FCA,
10 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis.

11 1350. Upon information and belief, ZF Germany and ZF TRW Corp.
12 reviewed and approved the circulation of these excerpted versions of the July 19,
13 2016 presentation to FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, and Hyundai
14 Mobis.

15 1351. Upon information and belief, the excerpted versions of this
16 presentation contained several talking points created by the ZF Defendants
17 designed to downplay the ACU Defect by misleadingly blaming airbag
18 nondeployments on purportedly vehicle-dependent phenomena, such as the layout
19 of wiring in the hood of the car, how grounded the chassis is, or manufacturer
20 deployment strategies.

21 1352. The version of the presentation sent to Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Kia
22 USA, Hyundai USA, and Hyundai Mobis contained the misleading statements
23 concerning Hyundai-Kia vehicles noted above.

24 1353. The version of the presentation sent to FCA contained the misleading
25 statements concerning the FCA vehicles.

26 1354. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
27 USA, and ZF Automotive USA circulated the July 19, 2016 presentation to
28 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, and Hyundai Mobis, and

1 FCA to facilitate their scheme to mislead NHTSA as to the nature and scope of the
2 ACU Defect.

3 **6. Between July 19, 2016 and September 2016, ZF Electronics USA,**
4 **ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to**
5 **communicate with FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai**
6 **USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis about NHTSA's investigation.**

7 1355. Between July 19, 2016 and September 13, 2016, ZF Electronics USA,
8 ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA communicated with FCA about
9 NHTSA's investigation of FCA Class Vehicles.

- 10 a. Upon information and belief, in late July or early August 2016,
11 FCA informed ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and
12 ZF Passive Safety USA that it was considering a partial recall of
13 FCA Class Vehicles, given the mounting pressure of NHTSA's
14 investigation and the overwhelming evidence of airbag and
15 seatbelt failures in severe crashes. By this time, FCA was aware
16 of at least 3 deaths and five injuries linked to the ACU Defect.
- 17 b. Upon information and belief, on or around August 9, 2016, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety
19 USA attempted to persuade FCA to take the position that none
20 of the DS84 ACUs and ASICs were defective and that wire
21 harnesses were to blame for any evidence of EOS in crashes
22 with airbag and seatbelt failures.
- 23 c. Upon information and belief, FCA responded to ZF Electronics
24 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA that it
25 would recall Class Vehicles with both cross-car wiring and the
26 DS84 ACU, but that it would not recall any other vehicles with
27 the DS84 ACU. This approach would allow ZF Electronics
28 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA to

1 misleadingly blame “vehicle dependent” wire harnesses, while
2 also limiting the scope and expense of FCA’s recall.

3 1356. Between July 19, 2016 and September 13, 2016, ZF Electronics USA,
4 ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA communicated with Hyundai
5 Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis about NHTSA’s
6 investigation of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

7 a. Between late July and August 2016, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and
8 legal counsel for ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
9 ZF Passive Safety USA had several communications. During
10 these communications, legal counsel for ZF Automotive USA,
11 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA informed Kia
12 Korea and Kia USA that they did not need to take any further
13 action and that NHTSA was “satisfied.” During the last call in
14 August 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
15 ZF Passive Safety USA told Kia Korea and Kia USA that FCA
16 had decided to recall certain models of Class Vehicles, but that
17 the recall was due to the design of the wiring harness for the
18 front impact sensors in the vehicles. ZF Automotive USA, ZF
19 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA also told Kia
20 Korea and Kia USA that the discussions with FCA and NHTSA
21 did not require any recall by Kia Korea and Kia USA.

22 b. On July 29, 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA,
23 and ZF Passive Safety USA met with Hyundai Korea, Kia
24 Korea, and Hyundai Mobis in Korea to discuss NHTSA’s
25 investigation.

26 1357. Upon information and belief, in or around September 2016, ZF
27 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA also contacted
28 Toyota USA and Toyota Japan to encourage Toyota USA and Toyota Japan to

1 adopt the misleading position that only Class Vehicles with particular wire
2 harnesses were defective and that Toyota Class Vehicles were not defective.

3 1358. The communications summarized in this subsection were intended to
4 facilitate the scheme to mislead NHTSA as to the nature and scope of the ACU
5 Defect.

6 **7. On September 13, 2016, FCA filed a misleading 573 Defect Report**
7 **with NHTSA that mischaracterized the nature and scope of the**
8 **ACU Defect for the purpose of reducing the scale of an**
9 **unavoidable recall.**

10 1359. On September 13, 2016, FCA filed a 573 Defect Report with NHTSA
11 using mail and wire. This report admitted that over a million FCA Class Vehicles
12 were defective, but falsely denied that other FCA Class Vehicles with the same
13 DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC were defective.

14 **a. FCA’s 573 Defect Report dated September 13, 2016**
15 **misleadingly states that FCA Class Vehicles with**
16 **“independently routed” front sensor wiring are not**
17 **defective.**

18 1360. A document attached to FCA’s 573 Defect Report admitted the 2009–
19 2012 Dodge Ram 1500, 2010–2012 Dodge Ram 2500/3500, 2011–2012 Dodge
20 Ram 3500/4500/5500 Cab-Chassis, 2010–2014 Jeep Wrangler, 2010–2012 Dodge
21 Nitro, 2010–2013 Jeep Liberty, and 2012–2016 Fiat 500 were equipped “with the
22 same ORC/ASIC.” FCA, however, denied a defect in these vehicles because the
23 DS84 ASIC’s “front sensor wiring [was] routed independently along the left and
24 right side of the vehicles.”

25 1361. This statement blaming wiring for the Defect was false and misleading
26 because the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles have the same ACU Defect as the
27 recalled FCA Class Vehicles. Independent wiring does not adequately protect
28 vehicles against the defective DS84 ASIC, as demonstrated by the multiple failures
in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. All of these Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles had front

1 sensor wiring that “was routed independently along the left and right side of the
2 vehicles,” but the airbags and seatbelts in these vehicles still failed during crashes
3 due to EOS. Moreover, multiple consumers have reported that airbags and seatbelts
4 in the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles have failed in the field.⁶¹

5 1362. That the ACU Defect existed in FCA Class Vehicles with
6 independently routed wiring is also confirmed by a written analysis from 2012 that
7 FCA produced. This analysis identifies three Jeep Wranglers *with independently*
8 *routed wiring* that had burnt metal on the DS84 ASIC, which is a sign of EOS.

9 1363. When FCA filed this misleading 573 Defect Report in 2016, FCA had
10 already learned of at least fourteen crashes involving nondeployments and signs of
11 EOS in Class Vehicles without cross-car wiring, including eight Dodge Rams, five
12 Jeep Wranglers, and one Fiat 500.⁶²

13 1364. FCA’s misleading excuse about the role of wiring architecture as the
14 root cause of the ACU Defect mimicked the misleading talking point from the
15 February 5, 2016 presentation that ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
16 ZF Passive Safety USA had shared with all the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants,
17 including FCA. Upon information and belief, FCA agree to mimic this talking point
18 in furtherance of the conspiracy to mislead NHTSA.

19 1365. FCA’s misleading statements about the 2009–2012 Dodge Ram 1500,
20 2010–2012 Dodge Ram 2500/3500, 2011–2012 Dodge Ram 3500/4500/5500 Cab-

21
22 ⁶¹ See Exhibit 1 (ODI Nos. 10358293, 10404435, 10406392, 10431129, 10435172,
23 10473292, 10485943, 10508974, 10511307, 10544054, 10556705, 10560907,
24 10575416, 10614617, 10633640, 10653811, 10671988, 10712093, 10716219,
25 10885546, 10896487, 10907251, 10909641, 10917675, 10981445, 11024190,
26 11166733, 11221179, 11240474).

27 ⁶² The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012
28 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine,
Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in
West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in
New York. The Fiat 500 crashed in 2015 in California.

1 Chassis, 2010–2014 Jeep Wrangler, 2010–2012 Dodge Nitro, 2010–2013 Jeep
2 Liberty, and 2012–2016 Fiat 500 were material because these defective Class
3 Vehicles pose an unreasonable safety risk to consumers.

4 **b. FCA’s 573 Defect Report dated September 13, 2016**
5 **misleadingly states that other FCA Class Vehicles with the**
6 **DS84 ASIC were not defective.**

7 1366. The same document attached to FCA’s 573 Defect Report states:
8 “Based on the data and engineering analysis conducted to date, this Issue has the
9 potential to occur when all of the following three conditions are met (1) specific
10 Occupant Restraint Controller (‘ORC’)/Application Specific Integrated Circuit
11 (‘ASIC’) design; (2) front impact sensor cross-car wire routing; and (3) certain
12 crash events.” FCA used the phrase “specific Occupant Restraint
13 Controller/Application ASIC design” to exclude other Class Vehicles with DS84
14 ACUs that include additional circuit protection. This was misleading because these
15 excluded vehicles had the same defective DS84 ASIC, and the circuit protection
16 added to the ACU was insufficient. Accordingly, the same issue had the potential to
17 occur in these excluded Class Vehicles.

18 1367. Upon information and belief, Class Vehicles FCA excluded from its
19 recall based in part on insufficient changes to circuit protection on DS84 ACUs
20 included the 2015-2017 Jeep Wranglers, Jeep Patriots, and Jeep Compasses, among
21 potentially others. The misleading use of the phrase “specific Occupant Restraint
22 Controller (‘ORC’)/Application Specific Integrated Circuit (‘ASIC’) design” to
23 exclude these vehicles was material because they had the same ACU Defect.

24 **8. Shortly after FCA filed its 573 Defect Report, ZF Electronics USA,**
25 **ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF TRW Corp. sent a misleading**
26 **letter to NHTSA that falsely denied a defect in the DS84 ACUs.**

27 1368. In September 2016, Marc Bolitho, who simultaneously served as a
28 long-time employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the Vice President of Passive

1 Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF
2 TRW Corp., mailed a letter to the Chief of NHTSA’s Recall Management Division.
3 This letter falsely denied that the DS84 ACUs were defective, and misleadingly
4 stated that vehicle wiring—rather than a defective DS84 ACU—was the cause of
5 observed EOS and ACU failures. Specifically, the letter stated: “Although a similar
6 TRW component is installed in vehicles other than those identified in the
7 [September 13, 2016 FCA Defect Information Report], the conditions described in
8 FCA’s [Defect Information Report] are limited to the specific FCA vehicles
9 identified in that report.”

10 1369. This statement to NHTSA was false and misleading because ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF TRW
12 Corp. knew since 2008 (from thermal testing of the DS84 ASIC) that the ASIC was
13 vulnerable to EOS. Moreover, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. also knew of other similar DS84 ACU
15 malfunctions during crashes in vehicles made by other manufacturers, including:

- 16 a. Four Hyundai Sonata crash tests and one Kia Optima crash test,
17 for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
18 Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS by no later than May
19 2012;
- 20 b. The Kia Forte crash with no airbag deployment in Ganzhou,
21 China, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
22 and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in August 2011
23 and May 2012;
- 24 c. The Kia Forte crash with no airbag deployment in Egypt, for
25 which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
26 Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in December 2011 and
27 May 2012;

28

- 1 d. Two Kia Forte crashes and one K5 crash with nondeployments
2 in Wehai, China; Xinyang, China; and Zhenjiang, China; for
3 which ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
4 Passive Safety USA confirmed ASIC EOS in 2012;
- 5 e. The Honda Accord crash test in Japan with a nondeployment
6 event, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in late 2012 or
8 2013;
- 9 f. The Honda Civic crash in Canada with a partial crash record, for
10 which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in or around 2013;
- 12 g. The Honda City crash test in Japan where the ACU shut and lost
13 communication, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
14 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC EOS in
15 2014;
- 16 h. The King crash, where a Kia Forte crashed with no airbag
17 deployment in Florida, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF
18 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC
19 EOS in December 2015;
- 20 i. The Faumuina crash, where a Kia Forte crashed with no airbag
21 deployment in California, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC
23 EOS in December 2015 and January 2016;
- 24 j. The Twohill crash, where a Hyundai Sonata crashed with no
25 airbag deployment in Iowa, for which ZF Electronics USA, ZF
26 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA confirmed ASIC
27 EOS in April and May 2016; and
28

1 k. The Toyota Auris that crashed with no airbag deployment in
2 Turkey, which TRW Systems Ltd. learned of in August 2016.⁶³
3 1370. The letter also stated: “the placement of the system wiring within these
4 particular vehicle platforms and the reaction of the system in particular crash
5 events, are necessary contributors to the nondeployments giving rise to this recall.”
6 This statement was misleading because the consequences of the DS84 ACU Defect
7 had already occurred in a wide variety of vehicles made by different manufacturers.
8 It is implausible that these different vehicles all shared common faulty wiring.
9 Moreover, at the very least, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA were specifically aware of several incidents where Hyundai-Kia
11 Class Vehicles and Jeep Wranglers had EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC and that
12 none of these models had the type of cross-car wiring that the recalled FCA Class
13 Vehicles had. By 2016, FCA had already learned of at least fourteen crashes
14 involving nondeployments and signs of EOS in Class Vehicles without cross-car
15 wiring, including eight Dodge Rams, five Jeep Wranglers, and one Fiat 500.⁶⁴
16 1371. These misleading statements were material because they obscured the
17 nature of the ACU Defect and downplayed the scope of the defective Class
18 Vehicles. In fact, all Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU and ASIC are defective.

19
20
21
22
23 ⁶³ As explained above, on August 16, 2016, TRW Systems Ltd. received a report
24 from Toyota Motors U.K. stating: “the probable cause is the IC [(integrated
25 circuit)] failure inside the ECU.”

26 ⁶⁴ The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012
27 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine,
28 Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in
West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in
New York. The Fiat 500 crashed in 2015 in California.

1 **9. In September 2016, ZF Automotive USA warned Toyota Japan,**
2 **Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, Honda**
3 **Japan, Honda USA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA that**
4 **NHTSA had asked for information that would show that Honda,**
5 **Toyota, and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the DS84 ACU**
6 **and ASIC.**

7 1372. Sometime in or around the middle of September 2016, NHTSA
8 requested that ZF Automotive USA provided detailed information identifying the
9 Vehicle Manufacturers who had designed vehicles for sale in the United States with
10 the DS84 ACU and ASIC.

11 1373. On September 23, 2016, ZF Automotive USA provided NHTSA with
12 data showing that Honda, Toyota, and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles also had the DS84
13 ACU and ASIC. Upon information and belief, this is the first time NHTSA learned
14 that Honda, Toyota, and Mitsubishi vehicles had the DS84 ACU and ASIC.

15 1374. Upon information and belief, following this provision of identifying
16 data to NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA told Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
17 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
18 Engineering USA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA that NHTSA had asked
19 for and received information that would show that Honda, Toyota, and Mitsubishi
20 Class Vehicles had the DS84 ACU and ASIC.

21 1375. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA informed these
22 Defendants about this development to warn them that NHTSA was investigating
23 Honda, Toyota, and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and to facilitate a coordinated effort
24 to deny or downplay the ACU Defect.

1 **10. On November 29, 2016, FCA filed an amended 573 Defect Report**
2 **with NHTSA that misleadingly stated that a replacement ACU**
3 **with the same defective DS84 ASIC would “remedy” the defect.**

4 1376. On November 29, 2016, FCA filed an amended 573 Defect Report
5 with NHTSA using mail and wire. This report described the remedy program as
6 follows:

7 Description of Remedy Program : **2016 11 29 - Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) will be replaced on all
8 vehicles.

9
10 1377. This statement that the replacement ACU would remedy the Class
11 Vehicles was misleading because the replacement ACU included the same
12 defective DS84 ASIC. Because the replacement ACU had insufficient circuit
13 protection and the same defective DS84 ASIC, the replacement ACU had the same
14 ACU Defect.

15 1378. The misleading description of this replacement ACU as a remedy was
16 material because the point of a recall is to fix the defective part, and FCA Class
17 Vehicles with replacement ACUs remain defective in the same way they were
18 defective before the recall.

19 **11. In 2017, NHTSA renewed its investigation of Hyundai-Kia Class**
20 **Vehicles after learning of additional suspicious crashes.**

21 1379. Upon information and belief, in 2017, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA coordinated with Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
23 Mobis, and Hyundai USA to investigate two fatal Hyundai Sonata crashes where
24 the airbags failed to deploy in the U.S. (the Gauff and Johnson crashes). In
25 November 2017, NHTSA contacted Hyundai USA to obtain additional information
26 about one of these incidents.

27 1380. After learning of the fatal Kia Forte crash in Canada with no airbag
28 deployment, NHTSA requested information from Kia USA in September 2017.

1 1381. In January and February 2018, NHTSA also requested further
2 information from Kia USA regarding EOS and airbag failures in Kia vehicles.

3 **12. On February 27, 2018, Hyundai USA filed a 573 Defect Report**
4 **with NHTSA that misleadingly claimed only 2011 Hyundai**
5 **Sonatas had defective DS84 ACUs.**

6 1382. On February 21, 2018, Hyundai USA met with ZF Automotive USA to
7 discuss the defective Hyundai Class Vehicles. During this internal meeting, both ZF
8 Automotive USA and Hyundai USA acknowledged that the circumstances
9 associated with this the ACU Defect bore similarities to those related to recall
10 campaign 16V-668, where EOS appeared to be a root cause of airbag non-
11 deployment in significant frontal crashes in certain FCA Class Vehicles. Upon
12 information and belief, Hyundai USA and ZF Automotive USA knew that some
13 concession to NHTSA was likely necessary to avoid a broad recall.

14 1383. Therefore, on February 27, 2018, Hyundai USA announced a recall of
15 2011 Hyundai Sonatas. Upon information and belief, Hyundai USA only
16 announced this recall after obtaining Hyundai Korea's express approval.

17 1384. On the same day, Hyundai USA filed a 573 Defect Report with
18 NHTSA describing the ACU Defect and the recall, using mail and/or wire.

19 1385. Upon information and belief, Hyundai Korea reviewed a copy of the
20 573 Defect Report before Hyundai USA filed it, and specifically knew when doing
21 so that the report would be filed in the United States with NHTSA.

22 1386. The February 27, 2018 573 Defect Report stated: "As of the date of
23 this filing, Hyundai Motor America ('Hyundai USA') is aware of three airbag non-
24 deployment allegations where Electrical Overstress ('EOS') was observed inside
25 the vehicle's airbag control unit ('ACU'). The allegations are limited to early
26 production Model Year 2011 Sonata vehicles produced by Hyundai Motor
27 Manufacturing Alabama ('HMMA'). . . . The subject vehicles are equipped with an
28 Airbag Control Unit ('ACU') which detects a crash signal and commands

1 deployment of the airbags and seat belt pretensioner. In some airbag non-
2 deployment allegations, electrical overstress ('EOS') was observed on an
3 Application Specific Integrated Circuit ('ASIC') inside the ACU." The report also
4 describes description of the vehicle population:

5 **Population :**

6
7 Number of potentially involved : 154,753
8
9 Estimated percentage with defect : 1 %
10

11

12 1387. The above statements about the vehicles were misleading because they
13 suggested only 1% of the model year 2011 vehicles made by HMMA had the ACU
14 Defect. However, all Hyundai Class Vehicles, including those made in Korea by
15 Hyundai Korea, had the defective DS84 ASIC that is particularly vulnerable to
16 EOS.

17 1388. After receiving pressure from NHTSA, Hyundai USA amended its 573
18 Defect Report on April 18, 2018 to add 2012 and 2013 Hyundai Sonatas (including
19 those made in Korea by Hyundai Korea), and acknowledged that 100% of the
20 vehicles included in the expanded recall had the ACU Defect. The amended Report
21 described the vehicle population as follows:

22

23 **Population :**

24
25 Number of potentially involved : 580,058
26
27 Estimated percentage with defect : 100 %
28

1 1389. In sum, these vehicles all had the same defective DS84 ACU and
2 DS84 ASIC, and there were no meaningful differences in the safety systems that
3 would explain how a subset of them was less defective. This sudden reversal, only
4 after pressure from a regulator, confirms the original statements were false.

5 **13. Kia USA made misleading statements to NHTSA on a telephone**
6 **call on March 1, 2018.**

7 1390. On March 1, 2018, Kia USA participated in a telephonic conference
8 with NHTSA. NHTSA asked what action Kia USA or Kia Korea would take in
9 light of Hyundai Sonata recall. Kia USA told NHTSA that the “Hyundai Sonata
10 incidents are very different than what Kia has seen in its Forte vehicles.” This
11 statement was false and misleading because all of the incidents involved the same
12 malfunction: the DS84 ASIC in the DS84 ACU stopped working due to EOS as a
13 result of a crash.

14 **14. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA,**
15 **ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. jointly made misleading**
16 **statements to NHTSA on March 8, 2018, and then mailed a copy of**
17 **those misleading statements to NHTSA on March 12, 2018.**

18 1391. In March 2018, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
19 Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp., used interstate mail and/or
20 wire to prepare and send a written presentation dated March 8, 2018 to NHTSA.
21 This presentation contained several misleading statements about the DS84 ACU
22 Defect. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety
23 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. intended the
24 statements to further their conspiracies with the Vehicles Manufacturer and ST
25 Defendants by concealing the ACU Defect, avoiding recalls of defective Class
26 Vehicles, and allowing the continued sale of defective but profitable safety
27 equipment.
28

1 **a. The March 8, 2018 written presentation contained**
2 **misleading statements.**

3 1392. The March 8, 2018 presentation contains several misleading
4 statements directed at NHTSA.

5 **i. The presentation falsely stated, “ZF has not found**
6 **evidence to link non deployments to EOS.”**

7 1393. The end of the March 2018 presentation includes a “Summary” of its
8 conclusions. This section states: “ZF has not found evidence to link non
9 deployments to EOS.” This statement was false and misleading because ZF
10 Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
11 and ZF Germany were aware of—and indeed, had *long* known about— several
12 pieces of evidence linking EOS to nondeployments.

13 a. First, by May 2012, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
14 USA, and ZF Automotive USA had confirmed EOS on DS84
15 ASICs from five Kia Forte and K5 crashes with nondeployments
16 in China⁶⁵ and Egypt.

17 b. Second, in late 2012 or 2013, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
18 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had confirmed EOS on
19 DS84 ASICs from a Honda Accord crash test with a second-
20 stage airbag failure.

21 c. Third, by no later than January 2016, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had confirmed
23 EOS on DS84 ASICs from two Kia Forte crashes and one
24 Hyundai Sonata crash with airbag failures and/or seatbelt
25 failures in the U.S. (the King, Faumuina, and Twohill crashes).
26

27 ⁶⁵ The Chinese crashes with airbag failures and confirmed EOS occurred in
28 Ganzhou, Wehai, Xinyang, and Zhenjiang.

- 1 d. Fourth, in 2015 and 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
2 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA confirmed EOS damage on
3 DS84 ASICs retrieved from at least five FCA Class Vehicles
4 that crashed with airbag and/or seatbelt failures.⁶⁶
- 5 e. Fifth, by November 2016, TRW Systems Ltd. had confirmed
6 EOS damage on a DS84 ASIC retrieved from a Toyota Auris
7 that crashed with no airbag deployment in Turkey.
- 8 f. Sixth, no later than August 2017, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
9 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA had confirmed
10 EOS damage on the DS84 ASICs from two more Hyundai
11 Sonata crashes with airbag failures (the Gauff and Johnson
12 crashes).

13 1394. Similarly, the presentation stated, “EOS with non deployment is seen
14 with FCA and HKMC with DS84 ASIC and not with other customers” and “[n]o
15 notice of incidents of non deployments with EOS on Fiat, Honda, Mitsubishi, and
16 Toyota as of today.” These statements were false in light of the Honda and Toyota
17 incidents noted in the preceding paragraph.

18 1395. These misleading statements were material because they concealed
19 evidence of *many* observed airbag failures with confirmed EOS and falsely assured
20 NHTSA that none existed. Upon information and belief, NHTSA would have
21 considered this evidence important to its decision whether to require a recall or
22 expand its investigation in the DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

23
24
25
26 ⁶⁶ Regarding FCA’s recall, the presentation misleadingly claimed: “No evidence to
27 link electrical overstress and non deployment on FCA vehicles.” This statement
28 was false. FCA’s recall report identified “the relative susceptibility of the subject
ORC ASIC to negative transients” as one of the root causes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. The presentation misleadingly blamed wire harnesses as a root cause of nondeployments caused by the ACU Defect.

1396. The presentation repeatedly attempted to blame the wiring harness in FCA Class Vehicles for the ACU malfunctions. For example, the presentation stated:

- a. “FCA has recalled vehicle have [sic] cross car wiring and deployment strategy which makes it susceptible to commanded non deployment in certain crash events.”
- b. “FCA non deployment – resulting from cross car wiring and deployment strategy.”
- c. “FCA EOS – cross car wiring and unspecified negative transients damaging DS84 ASIC.”

1397. These statements were misleading because ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA knew Hyundai-Kia vehicles with nondeployments linked to EOS did not have cross-car wiring like the some FCA vehicles. Moreover, in June 2013, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA prepared a written analysis noting that two EOS failure modes (one relating to a shorted crash sensor wire and another relating to a shorted squib communication line) applied to Jeep Wranglers, another vehicle model without cross-car wiring. By 2018, FCA had already learned of at least fifteen crashes involving nondeployments and signs of EOS in Class Vehicles without cross-car wiring, including eight Dodge Rams, five Jeep Wranglers, one Jeep Liberty, and one Fiat 500.⁶⁷ Accordingly, it was misleading to suggest cross-car

⁶⁷ The Dodge Ram crashes occurred in 2010 in Texas, in 2011 in Georgia, in 2012 in North Carolina, in 2014 in West Virginia and Arkansas, and in 2015 in Maine, Pennsylvania, and Connecticut. The Jeep Wrangler crashes occurred in 2011 in West Virginia, in 2014 in California, in 2015 in Georgia and Iowa, and in 2014 in New York. The Jeep Liberty crashed in 2017 in Pennsylvania, whereas the Fiat 500

Footnote continued on next page

1 wiring caused the nondeployment events. Instead, as Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea,
2 Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA wrote to ZF Automotive USA, ZF
3 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA in or around April 2016: “TRW’s
4 presentation identifies that all EOS problems involving all manufacturers have only
5 occurred in the ST Micro DS84 ASIC. *The logical inference is that some design
6 flaw or weakness in the DS84 ASIC is the core reason for any EOS incidents.*”
7 (emphasis added).

8 1398. ZF Automotive USA’s, ZF Passive Safety USA’s, and ZF Electronics
9 USA’s efforts to blame the wire harness were also misleading because they knew
10 wire harnesses could not have caused many observed ACU malfunctions, including
11 at least nine inadvertent deployments in vehicles made by five different
12 manufacturers (FCA, Kia Korea, Honda Japan, and two Chinese manufacturers).
13 For the nondeployment events, the vehicles did not crash and therefore a break in
14 the frontal crash sensor wires could not have released a transient. Instead, as ZF
15 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA explained to
16 FCA in 2013, the root cause of inadvertent deployments is likely a transient surge
17 originating from a connection between an airbag squib ASIC and the DS84 ASIC.
18 Notably, the Jeep Wrangler with an inadvertent deployment and confirmed EOS on
19 the DS84 ASIC did not have the type of cross-car wiring that ZF Automotive USA,
20 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Electronics USA blamed as a “contribut[or] to
21 EOS.”

22 1399. The presentation’s statements blaming wire harnesses for EOS were
23 material because they obscured the scope of the ACU Defect and suggested that
24 only vehicles with a particular type of wiring may have a Defect. In reality, millions
25 of other vehicles were defective, because all vehicles with the DS84 ACU and
26 ASIC are defective.

27 *Footnote continued from previous page*
28 crashed in 2015 in California.

1 **iii. The presentation misleadingly claimed the ACU**
2 **Defect was “vehicle dependent.”**

3 1400. The presentation also stated, “EOS with non deployment is vehicle
4 dependent and platform dependent within customer.” This statement was
5 misleading because ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
6 Electronics USA had observed evidence of nondeployments and partial
7 deployments due to ASIC EOS in various Hyundai, Kia, FCA, Honda, and Toyota
8 vehicles. These cross-manufacturer incidents confirmed EOS with nondeployment
9 was not vehicle dependent.

10 1401. Moreover, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Electronics USA previously made common recommendations regarding EOS
12 across vehicle types and manufacturers. For example, in 2013, ZF Electronics USA
13 recommended additional circuit protection for defective Jeep vehicles to FCA and
14 expressly based those recommendations on experience with vehicles made by other
15 manufacturers. Indeed, one ZF Electronics USA presentation to FCA from 2013
16 stated, “Initial EOS Design Proposal based on design experience in response to
17 *other customer specifications*” suggest diodes “may mitigate EOS” and that an
18 additional proposal “based on *other customer specifications* and experience”
19 suggested a “[i]n rush limiting circuit” “may mitigate EOS.” In other words, ZF
20 Electronics USA told FCA that the experiences with EOS in other vehicles made by
21 other vehicle manufacturers would translate to Jeep vehicles experiencing the same
22 problems.⁶⁸ These recommendations contradict the later statement to NHTSA that
23 all EOS is “vehicle dependent,” which contradicted the known facts about EOS

24 _____
25 ⁶⁸ In a 2019 meeting with Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota
26 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA
27 would similarly claim that a design change to increase the diode protection on
28 certain European models with the DS84 ASIC was based on “[l]earning made with
other OEMs.” Again, these statements are inconsistent with statements to NHTSA
that ACU design issues are platform dependent.

1 incidents in a variety of different makes and models. If the statement were true,
2 recommendations based on other manufacturers' experiences would be irrelevant.

3 1402. The statement that EOS with nondeployments was "vehicle
4 dependent" was material because it suggested that only vehicles with confirmed
5 ACU malfunctions were potentially defective. In reality, millions of Class Vehicles
6 were defective, because all vehicles with the DS84 ACU and ASIC are defective.

7 **iv. The presentation misleadingly stated that all DS84**
8 **ACUs have appropriate levels of protection against**
9 **specified and foreseeable vehicle transients.**

10 1403. The presentation stated: "All ZF ACUs have appropriate levels of
11 protection against specified and foreseeable vehicle transients." This statement was
12 misleading because, due to the DS84 ACU Defect, *none* of the Class vehicles have
13 appropriate levels of protection, as explained in Section IV.A.9 above.

14 **b. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics**
15 **USA, ZF Germany, and ZF TRW Corp. have joint**
16 **responsibility for the content of the misleading March 8,**
17 **2018 written presentation.**

18 1404. On March 8, 2018, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
19 Electronics USA, and ZF TRW Corp. met with NHTSA regarding the mounting
20 evidence that DS84 ACUs were repeatedly failing due to EOS.

21 a. Emanuel Goodman, a longtime employee of ZF Passive Safety
22 USA and the Senior Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
23 USA, attended this meeting on behalf of ZF Passive Safety USA
24 and ZF Electronics USA.

25 b. Marc Bolitho, a longtime employee of ZF Passive Safety USA
26 and the Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics
27 USA and Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW
28 Corp., attended this meeting on behalf of ZF Passive Safety
USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF TRW Corp.

1 c. Upon information and belief, Natalia Medley, who served as
2 counsel for ZF Automotive USA (among other ZF entities),
3 attended this meeting on behalf of ZF Automotive USA

4 1405. During this meeting, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
5 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF TRW Corp. used a presentation that ZF Germany, ZF
6 TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 and ZF TRW Corp. jointly approved.

8 1406. Mr. Goodman’s and Mr. Bolitho’s use of this presentation at the
9 March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA evidences the approval of the presentation by
10 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF TRW
11 Corp.—the corporate entities they directly represented.

12 1407. On March 12, 2018, Ms. Medley, who represented ZF Automotive
13 USA in discussions with NHTSA, mailed the presentation to a senior attorney at
14 NHTSA named Otto Matheke. The cover letter she signed was on letterhead of
15 ZF’s “Active & Passive Safety Technology” business unit. Because this is a
16 reference to ZF TRW Corp.,⁶⁹ ZF TRW Corp. must have reviewed and approved
17 the transmittal of the presentation to NHTSA.

18 1408. ZF Germany was ultimately responsible for the content of the March
19 8, 2018 presentation because each page of this presentation states, “© ZF
20 Friedrichshafen AG, 2018.” The inclusion of the copyright legend evidences ZF
21 Germany’s review and approve of the material. Upon information and belief, ZF
22 Germany did actually review and approve the presentation (or a draft thereof)
23 before its subsidiaries sent it to NHTSA.

24
25 _____
26 ⁶⁹ According to ZF Germany’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Corp. is the only
corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this letter was also
sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

1 **15. Shortly after the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, ZF**
2 **Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics**
3 **USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA continued to coordinate with**
4 **FCA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and**
5 **Hyundai Mobis regarding their efforts to deny and downplay the**
6 **ACU Defect.**

7 1409. Upon information and belief, shortly after the July 19, 2016, meeting
8 with NHTSA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
9 USA shared excerpted versions of the March 8, 2018 presentation with Toyota
10 Japan, Honda Japan, and Mitsubishi Japan. Upon information and belief, ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA did this to
12 further their scheme to mislead NHTSA as to the nature and scope of the ACU
13 Defect.

14 **16. On March 14, 2018, Kia USA made misleading statements to**
15 **NHTSA regarding field incidents involving Kia vehicles.**

16 1410. Upon information and belief, in March 2018, Kia Korea and Kia USA
17 used interstate mail and/or wire to prepare and send a written presentation dated
18 March 14, 2018 to NHTSA. This presentation contained several misleading
19 statements about the Kia Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief, Kia Korea
20 and Kia USA intended these statements to further their conspiracy with ZF and ST
21 Defendants by concealing the ACU Defect, avoiding recalls of unsafe Kia Class
22 Vehicles, and allowing the continued sale of defective but profitable safety
23 equipment.

24 **a. The March 14, 2018 written presentation to NHTSA**
25 **contained misleading statements.**

26 1411. The March 14, 2018 written presentation contains several misleading
27 statements directed at NHTSA.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

i. The presentation materially misrepresented the number of known crashes with signs of ASIC EOS in Kia vehicles.

1412. The presentation undercounted the number of known crashes where Kia vehicles showed signs of ASIC EOS by only noting the following incidents: (1) an April 20, 2012 Kia Korea crash test of a Kia Optima Hybrid for the European market, (2) the March 21, 2011 crash in Tallahassee, Florida involving a Kia Forte with no airbag deployment that seriously injured Joy King, (3) the July 28, 2013 crash in Northern California involving a Kia Forte with no airbag deployment that killed Lomia Faumuina and seriously injured Ronald Hill, and (4) the March 18, 2017 crash in Canada involving a Kia Forte with no airbag deployment that killed Julian Dufort.

1413. In fact, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai Mobis knew of five additional Kia Forte and Kia K5 crashes with nondeployments and confirmed DS84 ASIC EOS in China⁷⁰ and Egypt. Because the presentation affirmatively mentioned four cases implicating three different countries on two continents, it was misleading to conceal known adverse information about these other crashes. The presentation includes no limitation as to the geography of accidents listed, and therefore appears to disclose all relevant global incidents for NHTSA consideration. But it did not do so.

1414. This misleading statement was material because it concealed evidence of many observed airbag nondeployments with confirmed EOS. Upon information and belief, NHTSA would have considered this evidence important to its decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

⁷⁰ The Chinese crashes with airbag failures and confirmed EOS occurred in Ganzhou, Wehai, Xinyang, and Zhenjiang.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. The presentation misleadingly blames the failure of airbags in the King crash on “underride” damage from the crash instead of ASIC EOS.

1415. Regarding the airbag failure in Joy King’s Kia Forte during a crash with a logging truck in Tallahassee, the presentation stated: “Kia’s retained expert calculated sensors separated at about 35 milliseconds after first contact” and “[a]irbag non-deployment due to Forte underride.” These statements misleadingly suggested that the underride crash damaged the crash sensors before a crash signal could be sent and the non-deployment of the airbag was not the result of the ACU Defect. Additionally, the misleading suggestion that only this “underride” caused the airbags not to deploy fails to explain the observed EOS damage to the DS84 ASIC, which is a known cause of airbag deployment failure.

1416. The misleading statement that the airbags failed in the King crash due to “underride” was material because it concealed evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in a crash.

iii. The presentation misleadingly blamed the airbag failure in the Faumuina crash on the vehicle’s front impact sensors.

1417. Regarding the fatal Faumuina crash in Northern California, the presentation stated: “Kia’s expert concluded the airbag sensors were compromised before an airbag deployment signal could have been sent.” This statement misleadingly suggested that the cause of the non-deployment of the airbag in the Faumuina crash was not the result of the ACU Defect. The assertion that the sensors were compromised and caused airbag nondeployment fails to explain the prior observation of EOS damage on the DS84 ASIC, which is a known cause of airbag deployment failure.

1 1418. The misleading statement that the airbags failed in the Faumuina crash
2 due to a front impact sensor failure was material because it concealed evidence that
3 the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in a crash.

4 **iv. The presentation misleadingly suggested airbag**
5 **deployment was not warranted in the fatal Kia Forte**
6 **crash in Canada.**

7 1419. Regarding the Kia Forte crash that Killed Julian Dufort in Canada, the
8 presentation stated, “It appears that there would have been insufficient frontal crash
9 energy to general a deployment signal” and “[Kia Korea] unable to identify any
10 facts in limited photographs supporting a commanded airbag deployment” (i.e., that
11 the airbags should have gone off). This statement was misleading because: (1) the
12 crash was severe enough to kill the driver, (2) the airbags in the Volkswagen Rabbit
13 that hit the Forte went off due the force of the same crash, and (3) the pictures of
14 the wrecked Forte plainly showed a devastating crash that completely warped the
15 front-end of the vehicle.



23
24 1420. Moreover, the excuse that the airbags were not supposed to deploy
25 again fails to explain the EOS damage observed on the DS84 ASIC retrieved from
26 the crash, which is a known cause of airbag deployment failures, and the loss of a
27 crash record, which is typically caused by EOS.

28

1 1421. The misleading statement that the airbag deployment was not
2 warranted in the Canadian Kia Forte crash was material because it concealed
3 evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag failures in a crash.

4 **b. Kia USA and Kia Korea had joint responsibility for the May**
5 **14, 2018 presentation to NHTSA.**

6 1422. On March 14, 2018, Kia USA made a presentation to NHTSA using a
7 written slide deck presentation.

8 1423. Because the document describes several actions by Kia Korea and
9 Hyundai Mobis that Kia USA did not perform, Kia Korea and Hyundai Mobis must
10 have assisted Kia USA with the preparation of this slide deck.⁷¹ Upon information
11 and belief, Kia USA, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis all either played a role in
12 editing, reviewing, or drafting the March 14, 2018 presentation.

13 1424. On March 16, 2018, J.S. Park, the Executive Director of Product
14 Litigation & Regulatory Compliance for Kia USA, mailed a copy of the March 14,
15 2018 slide deck to NHTSA.

16 1425. Kia Corp’s active role in overseeing Kia USA’s response to the
17 NHTSA investigation—including its decision to hold multiple meetings with ZF
18 Automotive USA and Kia USA in South Korea about the investigation—
19 demonstrates that Kia USA would not have submitted the presentation to NHTSA
20 without Kia Korea’s express approval.

21 1426. Upon information and belief, Kia Korea and Mobis knew that Kia
22 USA would use this slide deck to make a presentation to NHTSA, and specifically
23 intended for that to happen.

24
25 ⁷¹ For example, the slide deck describes the following events that did not involve
26 Kia USA at all: (1) Kia Korea’s April 20, 2012 crash test, where the ACU in an
27 Optima suffered EOS, (2) Kia Korea’s November 4-17, 2015 inspection of ACUs,
28 and (3) Kia Korea’s provision of information to ZF Automotive USA on April 21,
2016 “for sharing information by them with NHTSA.”

1 **17. On March 16, 2018, NHTSA announced its intention to formally**
2 **review all vehicles with DS84 ACUs and ASICs.**

3 1427. On March 16, 2018, NHTSA opened a formal investigation into
4 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. In announcing the investigation, NHTSA publicly
5 stated its ODI, “will evaluate the scope of Hyundai’s recall, confirm Kia’s use of
6 the same or similar ZF TRW ACU, review the root cause analysis of all involved
7 parties, and review and evaluate pertinent vehicle and/or ACU factors that may be
8 contributing to, or causing EOS failures. Additionally, ODI will determine if any
9 other vehicle manufacturers used the same or similar ACUs, as supplied by ZF-
10 TRW, and if so, evaluate whether the field experience of these vehicles indicates
11 potentially related crash events.”

12 1428. Upon information and belief, all Defendants reviewed NHTSA’s
13 announcement and, based on the final sentence quoted in the preceding paragraph,
14 understood that NHTSA would review the risks associates with DS84 ACUs and
15 ASICs in all Class Vehicles.

16 **18. In April and May 2018, Hyundai USA and Kia USA agreed to**
17 **further recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles in response to**
18 **pressure from NHTSA.**

19 1429. On March 19 and March 28, 2018, Hyundai USA conducted seven
20 Hyundai Sonata crash tests. NHTSA supervised these crash tests, and Hyundai
21 Korea assisted with the development of the crash test parameters. Hyundai USA
22 was able to replicate EOS damage to the DS84 ACU in three of the seven crash
23 tests, with at least one of the confirmed EOS events resulting in the failure of
24 airbags to deploy. Of the three crash tests that produced DS84 ACUs with evident
25 EOS damage, Hyundai observed wire harness damage in two of these tests. There
26 was no observed vehicle abnormality (such as wiring) that could have caused EOS
27 in the third test.

28

1 1430. On April 11-12, 2018, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, ZF Electronics
2 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA analyzed the three DS84
3 ACUs with replicated EOS damage at ZF TRW Global Electronics Headquarters in
4 Farmington Hills, Michigan. NHTSA supervised this analysis. The analysis showed
5 that, in all three ACUs, an internal electrical short occurred on the 5-volt VCC line
6 connecting the DS84 ASIC to a power supply. One of the three ACUs contained
7 visible evidence of EOS.

8 1431. [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]

12 1432. On April 18, 2018, Hyundai USA expanded the scope of its safety
13 recall for Hyundai Sonatas.

14 1433. On May 15 and 16, 2018, Kia USA inspected two new Kia Fortes with
15 DS84 ACUs that had crashed with no airbag deployment. Upon information and
16 belief, NHTSA had identified these vehicles itself and required Kia USA to inspect
17 them. NHTSA supervised the inspection. During this inspection, Kia USA was not
18 able to communicate with or retrieve a crash record from one of the ACUs. Kia
19 USA sent both ACUs from this inspection to ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
20 USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA's shared office in Michigan for inspection.

21 1434. Upon information and belief, on May 24, 2018, ZF Automotive USA,
22 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Mobis, Kia Korea, and
23 Kia USA conducted a joint inspection of the DS84 ACUs at a Michigan-based
24 facility shared by ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
25 Safety USA. EDR data downloaded from the 2012 Kia Forte showed that a crash
26 record was missing for the most recent crash, which is a sign of EOS. Resistance
27 measurements made on certain circuit board pins of the same ACU were consistent
28 with prior controller measurements that had exhibited an EOS event. Based on

1 these results and available information from other manufacturers, NHTSA
2 requested Kia USA and Kia Korea conduct a recall of the 2010-2013 Kia Forte.

3 1435. On May 28, 2018, in response to NHTSA’s request, Kia Korea agreed
4 to recall the 2010-2013 Kia Forte, 2010-2013 Forte Koup, 2011-2013 Optima,
5 2011-2012 Optima Hybrid, and 2011-2012 Sedona.

6 **19. Hyundai USA and Kia USA filed 573 Defect Reports in April 2018**
7 **and June 2018 that misleadingly downplayed the scope of the ACU**
8 **Defect.**

9 1436. On April 18, 2018, Hyundai USA used mail and/or wire to file a 573
10 Defect Report announcing its expanded recall of Sonatas. In a section of the form
11 requiring Hyundai USA to “Identify How/When Recall Condition was Corrected in
12 Production,” Hyundai USA responded that “[r]edesigned ACU’s were used
13 beginning with model year 2013 Hyundai Sonata vehicle production.” This
14 statement was misleading because the “redesigned ACU” still had the same
15 defective DS84 ASIC as the DS84 ACUs prior to the “redesign.” Upon information
16 and belief, although this “redesigned ACU” included some additional circuit
17 protection by adding diodes, the diodes do not alter the defective design of the
18 DS84 ASIC and can still fail to protect the ASIC when a transient is large enough.
19 Indeed, Toyota and Honda Class Vehicles with confirmed EOS damage on the
20 DS84 ASICs also contained diodes, which failed to prevent EOS. Moreover, public
21 complaints indicate that suspicious airbags failures in 2013 through 2019 Hyundai
22 Sonatas even with the “redesigned ACUs” persist.⁷²

23 1437. On June 1, 2018, Kia USA used mail and/or wire to file a 573 Defect
24 Report defect announcing its recall of Kia Class Vehicles. In a section of the report
25 requiring Hyundai USA to “Identify How/When Recall Condition was Corrected in

26 _____
27 ⁷² See Exhibit 2, ODI Nos. 10561845, 10577996, 10690546, 10914378, 10966365,
28 10991216, 11109647, 11110375, 11111515, 11111752, 11113831, 11182813,
11185315, 11207275, 11218278, 11235075, 11290285, 11307272, 11309986.

1 Production,” Kia USA responded that “[t]he ACU implemented into production
2 from August 15, 2012 for the Sedona and from September 1, 2012 for the Forte,
3 Forte Koup, Optima and Optima Hybrid have adequate circuit protection.” This
4 statement was misleading because the DS84 ACUs in later Kia Class Vehicles still
5 had the same defective DS84 ASIC as the ACUs prior to the “redesign.” Upon
6 information and belief, although these later vehicles included some additional
7 circuit protection by adding diodes, the diodes do not alter the defective design of
8 the DS84 ASIC and can still fail to protect the ASIC when a transient is large
9 enough. Indeed, Toyota and Honda Class Vehicles with confirmed EOS damage on
10 the DS84 ASICs also contained diodes, which failed to prevent EOS. Public
11 complaints indicate that suspicious airbags failures in 2014 through 2019 Kia Class
12 Vehicles persist.⁷³

13 **20. In spring 2018, Toyota USA made misleading statements to**
14 **NHTSA denying the existence of known field incidents in which**
15 **EOS was suspected or found.**

16 1438. In March 2018, Toyota Japan began holding weekly conference calls
17 with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA
18 During these calls, Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
19 ZF Automotive USA regularly discussed results of transient testing, known failure
20 modes associated with EOS on the DS84 ASIC, and suspicious Toyota crashes with
21 no airbag deployment. These weekly conference calls continued until at least
22 August 2019. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
23 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA held well over 50 of these
24 conference calls. Upon information and belief, participants in the conference calls
25 included Emanuel Goodman, a longtime employee of ZF Passive Safety USA and
26 the Senior Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics USA; Raad Konja, a vice

27 _____
28 ⁷³ See Exhibit 3, ODI Nos. 11019598, 11183175, 11210649, 11287036.s

1 president at ZF Passive Safety USA; and members of Toyota Japan design group
2 called “3SJ.”

3 1439. Upon information and belief, in or around March 2018, NHTSA
4 contacted Toyota USA inquiring about the use of DS84 ACUs with the DS84 ASIC
5 and any field experience in Toyota vehicles.

6 1440. Later, in spring 2018, Toyota USA misleadingly responded to NHTSA
7 that Toyota had conducted a U.S. field data review, and reported that no U.S. cases
8 were found at that time based on Toyota’s understanding of the issues.

9 1441. This statement was misleading because Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
10 USA, and Toyota Japan were aware of a suspicious Toyota Corolla crash with no
11 airbag deployment that occurred in July 2016 in New Haven, Vermont. The Corolla
12 was travelling at 50 miles per hour when it crashed into a vehicle that stopped in
13 front of it. The high speed of this collision indicated the airbags should have
14 deployed. Moreover, an inspector was unable to establish communication with the
15 Corolla’s EDR or otherwise download a crash record. These are signs of ASIC
16 EOS.

17 1442. Moreover, by this time, Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, and Toyota
18 Engineering USA knew that a Toyota Auris had crashed with no airbag deployment
19 in Turkey, and that a decapsulation analysis of the DS84 ASIC from this vehicle
20 had confirmed EOS. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA also
21 knew that Toyota Japan was assessing whether EOS had caused suspicious
22 nondeployments in Toyota Aurises in Morocco and Portugal. Because the Toyota
23 Auris is very similar to the Toyota Corolla sold in the United States, it was
24 misleading for Toyota USA to limit its disclosure to NHTSA to “U.S. cases.”

25 1443. Toyota USA’s misleading statement denying suspicious field incidents
26 was material because it concealed evidence that the ACU Defect had caused airbag
27 failures in Toyota vehicles.

28

1 **21. Between June 2018 and April 2019, Toyota Japan, Toyota USA,**
2 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,**
3 **ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia coordinated**
4 **with one another to conceal the ACU Defect in Toyota Class**
5 **Vehicles.**

6 1444. Between September 2018 and March 2019, Toyota Japan continued its
7 regular weekly meetings with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
8 Automotive USA. In addition to these meetings, Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering
9 USA, Toyota USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA held an in-person meeting at ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
11 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA's shared office in Farmington Hills,
12 Michigan on January 29 and 30, 2019.

13 1445. During all these meetings, Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
14 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA regularly discussed joint testing and
15 analysis on DS84 ACUs and ASICs performed by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.

17 1446. During Toyota Japan's regular weekly meetings with ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
19 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA typically sent Toyota Japan written
20 slide decks to aid discussions. These slide decks contained copyright legends
21 attributing ownership of the materials to ZF Germany. Based on these copyright
22 legends and information and belief, ZF Germany reviewed and approved the
23 transmittal of these written materials to Toyota Japan.

24 1447. In June and November 2018, ST USA responded to information
25 requests for ST USA's failure analyses of several DS84 ASICs retrieved from
26 Hyundai and Toyota vehicles.

27 1448. Upon information and belief, in or around November 2018, shortly
28 after responding to NHTSA's information requests and confirming EOS damage on
a DS84 ASIC retrieved from a Portuguese Toyota Auris that crashed with no front

1 airbag deployment, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia grew concerned about
2 NHTSA's investigation and the risk of recalls and lawsuits in the United States
3 based on the defective DS84 ASIC. According to meeting notes produced by
4 Toyota Defendants, Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
5 and ZF Automotive discussed this concern repeatedly in 2018 and 2019. For
6 example, confidential notes to a November 22, 2018 meeting attended by
7 representatives of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Toyota
8 Engineering USA, and Toyota Japan record a question as to whether the ST
9 companies "are becoming sensitive as NHTSA, etc. are stepping?" Similarly, notes
10 to a February 29, 2019 meeting between Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA state that ZF had heard that the ST
12 companies "don't want to get involved because it is becoming a dangerous matter
13 including lawsuits, etc. as NHTHA [sic] is also getting involved." (internal brackets
14 omitted)

15 1449. Based on meeting notes produced by Toyota Defendants and
16 information and belief, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia informed ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Germany
18 that they would no longer perform their proprietary analyses on returned DS84
19 ASICs to determine whether they had EOS damage. According to notes of several
20 meetings between Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
21 ZF Automotive USA, conversations about this decision by ST USA, ST Italy, and
22 ST Malaysia reached the highest levels of ZF's and ST's corporate structure,
23 including, upon information and belief: (a) in-house counsel at ZF Germany and ST
24 USA, (b) the CEOs of ZF Germany and the ST parent company, and (c) senior vice
25 presidents at ZF Germany or ZF Automotive USA

26 1450. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
27 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Toyota USA did not notify NHTSA of ST USA's,
28 ST Italy's, and ST Malaysia's decision to stop evaluating DS84 ASICs for EOS,

1 even though Toyota USA committed in writing to “update the Agency on the status
2 of its ongoing investigation” at least twice in 2019, including on March 14, 2019
3 and May 28, 2019. ST USA’s, ST Italy’s, and ST Malaysia’s withdrawal from
4 investigating DS84 ASIC EOS was a material development because their
5 proprietary testing methodologies were critical to capturing magnified images of
6 EOS damage.

7 **22. On January 17, 2020, Toyota Engineering USA and Toyota USA**
8 **filed a 573 Defect Report that misleadingly denied the ACU Defect**
9 **in millions of Toyota Class Vehicles.**

10 1451. On January 17, 2020, Toyota Engineering USA and Toyota USA filed
11 a 573 Defect Report with NHTSA that announced its intention to recall Toyota
12 Corollas and Avalons based on the ACU Defect. This announcement occurred only
13 after NHTSA’s investigation had effectively forced Toyota Engineering USA and
14 Toyota USA to inspect several suspicious incidents, most of which NHTSA itself
15 identified.

16 1452. In explaining its decision not to recall other Toyota Class Vehicles
17 with the same defective DS84 ACU and ASIC, Toyota Engineering USA and
18 Toyota USA stated that, “due to a different body construction and other factors,
19 Toyota believes at this time that an occurrence of a sufficient negative transient at a
20 timing that can affect airbag deployment in a crash is unlikely.” This statement was
21 misleading because Toyota Engineering USA and Toyota USA elsewhere
22 acknowledged their inability to assess the likelihood of dangerous negative
23 transients occurring in even the recalled Toyota Class Vehicles, stating: “[D]amage
24 to the application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) that will affect airbag
25 deployment can occur only under a very narrow set of factors and circumstances in
26 a crash that Toyota believes to be rare. However, *Toyota is unable to estimate the*
27 *likelihood for this to occur in the real world.*” Similarly, Toyota USA and Toyota
28 Engineering USA acknowledged that for some recalled Class Vehicles, “the

1 mechanism that could create a sufficient negative electrical transient in a crash is
2 *not fully understood* and is under investigation.” Given these admissions, Toyota
3 Engineering USA and Toyota USA’s affirmative statement about the purported
4 unlikelihood of a dangerous transient occurring in unrecalled Class Vehicles was
5 misleading because it was unreliably speculative.

6 **G. Defendants’ material omissions and misrepresentations about the**
7 **defective DS84 ACUs injured Plaintiffs and class members.**

8 **1. Defendants’ consumer-facing misleading misrepresentations and**
9 **omissions caused Plaintiffs’ purchases and leases of Class Vehicles.**

10 1453. But for Defendants’ misleading consumer-facing misrepresentations
11 and omissions, Plaintiffs would not have agreed to purchase or lease their Class
12 Vehicles. *See* Section II.B above.

13 1454. But for Defendants’ misleading use of permanent labels certifying
14 compliance with US safety standards, Defendants could not have legally distributed
15 the Class Vehicles for sale and Plaintiffs’ purchases or leases of Class Vehicles
16 could never have taken place.

17 1455. But for Defendants’ misleading consumer-facing misrepresentations
18 and omissions, there would have been no viable market for the defective DS84
19 ACUs and ASICs. The ZF Defendants’ decision to stop making the DS84 ACU in
20 2019—i.e., the same year that NHTSA announced its investigation of all vehicles
21 with the DS84 ACU—evidences a causal connection between the revelation of
22 information about the defect and the elimination of a viable market for the DS84
23 ACUs.

24 **2. But for Defendants’ consumer-facing misleading**
25 **misrepresentations and omissions, Plaintiffs would not have**
26 **overpaid for the Class Vehicles.**

27 1456. Defendants’ misleading misrepresentations about the safety of Class
28 Vehicles also caused Plaintiffs to overpay for their Class Vehicles. *See* Sections

1 II.B., IV.G. above. This overpayment is equal to the difference in value between the
2 Class Vehicles as marketed and the Class Vehicles as purchased or leased. This
3 calculation shows the difference between the amount the Plaintiffs would have
4 spent for the purchase or lease of Class Vehicles with the ACU defect, and what
5 they would have spent on those without it.

6 1457. As an initial matter, the existence of a non-zero value difference
7 between the “as marketed” and “as purchased or leased” Class Vehicles is obvious.
8 Because consumers care deeply about automobile safety, vehicles with less
9 effective safety systems are worth less than comparable vehicles with more
10 effective safety systems. Although this inherently intuitive concept requires no
11 illustration, market evidence confirms that there is a difference in price between
12 two otherwise comparable vehicles with even slightly different safety systems. For
13 example, some vehicles are sold with and without seat-mounted front side airbags.
14 The addition of these extra airbags beyond front airbags makes the cars safer, but
15 costs extra. For the 2011 Jeep Wrangler, for example, this added feature cost
16 approximately \$500.

17 1458. The same principle applies when comparing the value of the “as
18 marketed” and “as delivered” Class Vehicles. Defective safety systems are worth
19 less than the same safety system without a defect because they make the vehicle
20 more dangerous. For example, in the *Takata* airbag litigation, plaintiffs also alleged
21 overpayment damages suffered at the point of sale based on a dangerous airbag
22 defect. Plaintiffs’ experts in that case performed a conjoint analysis using surveys
23 of consumers and found that the overpayment percentage for vehicles with the
24 dangerous airbag defect in that case was at least ten percent of the purchase price.

25
26
27
28

1 **3. Defendants’ misrepresentations to NHTSA caused economic harm**
2 **to the Plaintiffs and class members who purchased Class Vehicles**
3 **after the date of those misrepresentations.**

4 1459. But for Defendants’ misleading statements to NHTSA in 2016 and
5 2018, the public would have learned about the dangerous safety defect much earlier
6 than April 2019, when NHTSA first announced an Engineering Analysis covering
7 over twelve million vehicles. For example, if ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp., ZF
8 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA had not
9 misleadingly denied the defect in 2016 (including by falsely stating several crashes
10 with safety system failures were “commanded nondeployments”), NHTSA would
11 have also launched the same Engineering Analysis much earlier, likely in 2016.
12 Because this Engineering Analysis coincided with ZF Defendants’ abandonment of
13 the DS84 ACU, manufacture of the DS84 ACU would have also occurred much
14 earlier and the 2018 and 2019 Class Vehicle model years would not have had the
15 ACU Defect at all. Plaintiffs Samouris, Hernandez, Van Houten, Collins, and
16 Graziano therefore would not have purchased vehicles with DS84 ACUs in that
17 scenario.

18 1460. Moreover, NHTSA’s 2019 Engineering Analysis was a newsworthy
19 event covered by several major news outlets, including, for example, Newsweek
20 and CNN. Plaintiffs likely would have learned this news, because the
21 announcement of the Engineering Analysis is the event that prompted the filing of
22 the lawsuits in this matter. The Plaintiffs who purchased after 2016, when the news
23 of an earlier investigation likely would have broken, include Plaintiffs Samouris,
24 Hernandez, Swanson, Fishon, Maurilus, Gonzalez, Van Houten, Collins, Graziano,
25 Hunt, Laveaux, and DeMoranville.

26 **4. Plaintiffs are the direct and intended victims of Defendants’ fraud.**

27 1461. Plaintiffs are the direct and intended victims of Defendants’ fraud.
28

1 1462. Defendants' ultimate goal was to use the cheap safety system parts (the
2 DS84 ASIC and ACU) for as long as possible. Achieving this goal over several
3 years required continuing purchases and leases of Class Vehicles by consumers,
4 because end-user transactions generate demand from dealers for Class Vehicles.

5 1463. Toyota Japan, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, Hyundai Korea,
6 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA,
7 Honda USA, FCA, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA specifically targeted
8 vehicle purchasers and lessees as the intended audience for misleading advertising,
9 Monroney labels, certification labels, airbag labels, airbag warning lamps, and
10 owner's manuals.

11 1464. Although Defendants also sought to mislead NHTSA as to the
12 existence, nature, and scope of the DS84 ACU Defect, their fraud on NHTSA was
13 merely a means to the end of perpetuating fraud on consumers. Defendants make no
14 money from defrauding NHTSA. And insofar as they saved money from avoiding
15 recalls, consumers are the beneficiaries of those recalls, since they are people most
16 likely to drive the Class Vehicles. Of course, NHTSA does not drive the Class
17 Vehicles or take them to dealers for a recall remedy. Consumers do.

18 **V. TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**

19 1465. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein, including the specific allegations
21 regarding the misrepresentations and omissions in Sections IV.E. – IV.G. above.

22 1466. As explained below, all statutes of limitations applicable to Plaintiffs'
23 claims are subject to tolling under the doctrines of fraudulent concealment tolling,
24 delayed discovery rule, and/or equitable estoppel due to Defendants' ongoing
25 misrepresentations and omissions regarding the safety of the Class Vehicles and the
26 passenger safety systems therein, and their ongoing scheme to knowingly and
27 intentionally conceal the ACU Defect to Plaintiffs, the putative class, and NHTSA.
28

1 1467. The statute of limitations on Plaintiffs’ and putative class members’
 2 claims are also tolled under *American Pipe & Construction Co. v. Utah*, 414 U.S.
 3 538 (1974) and its progeny, which hold that the filing of an initial putative class
 4 action tolls the claims for all individuals that fall within the proposed class
 5 definition until the court in that action rules on class certification. *American Pipe*
 6 tolling applies as follows:

Date Tolling Began	Defendant Groups	Underlying Member Case
April 26, 2019	ZF Defendants Honda Defendants Toyota Defendants	<i>Samouris v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 2:19-cv-11215 (E.D. Mich.)
April 29, 2019	Hyundai Defendants Kia Defendants	<i>Hernandez v. Hyundai</i> , 8:19-cv-00782 (C.D. Cal.)
May 6, 2019	FCA Hyundai Mobis	<i>Altier v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-00846 (C.D. Cal.)
May 21, 2019	Mitsubishi Defendants	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)
May 26, 2020	ST Defendants	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (Dkt. 278)

19
 20 1468. Additionally, each Plaintiff’s claims against ST Italy and ST Malaysia
 21 relate back to the date of filing of the Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF
 22 278), because the claims asserted against ST Italy and ST Malaysia arose out of the
 23 conduct, transaction, or occurrence set out in the original complaints against the ST
 24 Defendants. ST Italy and ST Malaysia are subsidiaries of STMicroelectronics N.V.
 25 and STMicroelectronics International N.V., both of whom were named as
 26 defendants in the Consolidated Class Action Complaint.

27 1469. [REDACTED]
 28 [REDACTED]

- 1 a. [REDACTED]
- 2 [REDACTED]
- 3 [REDACTED]
- 4 [REDACTED]
- 5 b. [REDACTED]
- 6 [REDACTED]
- 7 c. [REDACTED]
- 8 [REDACTED]
- 9 [REDACTED]
- 10 d. [REDACTED]
- 11 [REDACTED]
- 12 [REDACTED]
- 13 [REDACTED]

14 1470. Because Plaintiffs’ claims relate back to the filing of the Consolidated
15 Class Action Complaint, the statute of limitations has not run on Plaintiffs’ claims
16 against ST Italy and ST Malaysia.

17 **A. Fraudulent Concealment Tolling**
18 **1. Defendants knowingly misrepresented and omitted material**
19 **information to Plaintiffs, consumers, and NHTSA regarding the**
20 **safety of the Class Vehicles.**

21 1471. As set forth above in Section IV.E. – IV.G., throughout the relevant
22 period, Defendants actively concealed and failed to disclose the ACU Defect to
23 Plaintiffs, consumers, and NHTSA, which prevented Plaintiffs from learning the
24 true defective nature of the DS84 ACUs and ASICs installed in their Class Vehicles.

25 1472. Defendants have known since at least 2008 that consumers consider
26 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts to be critical attributes when deciding to
27 purchase or lease a vehicle. Based on that knowledge, each Vehicle Manufacturer
28 Defendant group purposefully and knowingly engaged in, or conspired to engage

1 in, pervasive and ubiquitous marketing and advertising campaigns that portrayed
2 the Class Vehicles as safe and reliable—and that the Class Vehicles’ Occupant
3 Restraint Systems would function properly and reliably in a crash—so they could
4 sell more Class Vehicles and charge a higher price for them.

5 1473. Those marketing campaigns included brochures, press releases, print,
6 media, television and radio advertisements, and promotion on internet and social
7 media. Additionally, each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group made, or
8 conspired to make, representations regarding the safety of the Class Vehicles and its
9 functioning airbags and seatbelts through, among other things: window stickers
10 affixed to each Class Vehicle at the point of sale or lease and available online;
11 labels that uniformly communicate compliance with applicable motor vehicle safety
12 standards in every Class Vehicle; and in-vehicle information about airbags. Further,
13 on information and belief, each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group provided
14 training and marketing materials regarding Class Vehicles to their authorized
15 dealerships to increase sales and leases of Class Vehicles to consumers.

16 1474. Furthermore, each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant group, along with
17 the ZF Defendants, were responsible for equipping the Class Vehicles with
18 misleading airbag readiness indicators that misrepresented to consumers the
19 operability of the Class Vehicles’ airbag systems.

20 1475. Moreover, the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants and the ZF
21 Defendants purposefully and knowingly made statements, helped make statements,
22 and/or conspired to make statements to NHTSA that the Class Vehicles and their
23 Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable, were free from defects, and
24 complied with all applicable safety laws and regulations.

25 1476. The table below summarizes the misrepresentations/misleading
26 statements that the specific Defendants made, helped make, and/or conspired to
27 make, and provides references the relevant sections above that describe the conduct
28 in further detail.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Misrepresentations/ Misleading Statements	Reference Sections
FCA	Monroney labels; Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels; Brochures and Marketing; Manuals; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.; IV.E.2.a.iii.; IV.E.2.b.iii.; IV.F.7.; IV.F.10.
Honda Japan	Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels; Manuals	IV.E.1.b. – d.; IV.E.2.b.v.
Honda Engineering USA	Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels	IV.E.1.b. – d.
Honda USA	Monroney labels; Brochures and Marketing; Manuals	IV.E.1.a; IV.E.2.a.iv.; IV.E.2.b.v.
Hyundai Korea	Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.b. – d.; VII.A.1.
Hyundai USA	Monroney labels; Brochures and Marketing; Manuals; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.a; IV.E.2.a.ii.; IV.E.2.b.ii.; IV.F.12.; IV.F.19.
Kia Korea	Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.b. – d.; VII.A.1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Misrepresentations/ Misleading Statements	Reference Sections
Kia USA	Monroney labels; Brochures and Marketing; Manuals; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.a.; IV.E.2.a.ii.; IV.E.2.b.ii.; IV.F.13.; IV.F.16.; IV.F.19.
Mitsubishi Japan	Certification labels; Airbag readiness indicators; In-vehicle imprints and labels; Manuals	IV.E.1.b. – d.; IV.E.2.b.iv.
Mitsubishi USA	Monroney labels; Brochures and Marketing;	IV.E.1.a.; IV.E.2.a.v.
Toyota USA	Monroney labels; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.a.; IV.F.20.; IV.F.22.
Toyota Sales USA	Monroney labels; Brochures and Marketing; Manuals	IV.E.1.a.; IV.E.2.a.i.; IV.E.2.b.i.
Toyota Engineering USA	Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.F.22.
ZF Passive Safety USA	Airbag readiness indicators; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.c.; IV.F.2.; IV.F.4.; IV.F.8.; IV.F.14.
ZF Electronics USA	Airbag readiness indicators; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.c.; IV.F.2.; IV.F.4.; IV.F.8.; IV.F.14.

Defendant	Misrepresentations/ Misleading Statements	Reference Sections
ZF Automotive USA	Airbag readiness indicators; Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.E.1.c.; IV.F.2.; IV.F.4.; IV.F.8.; IV.F.14.
ZF TRW Corp.	Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.F.2.; IV.F.4.; IV.F.8.; IV.F.14.
ZF Germany	Communications/reports to NHTSA	IV.F.2.; IV.F.4.; IV.F.8.; IV.F.14.

1477. In addition to the misrepresentations and misleading statements, each Defendant omitted material information regarding the safety of the Class Vehicles, as set forth in the Counts in Section VII and summarized in the table below.

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
FCA	Arizona Count 4; California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Minnesota Count 7; New York Count 4; North Carolina Count 4; Oklahoma Count 5; South Dakota Count 5; Nationwide Counts 3 – 4
Honda Japan	Alabama Count 5; California Count 6; Connecticut Count 4; Florida Count 5; New York Count 4; North Carolina Count 4; Texas Count 4; Nationwide Counts 7 – 8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
Honda Engineering USA	Alabama Count 5; California Count 6; Connecticut Count 4; Florida Count 5; New York Count 4; North Carolina Count 4; Texas Count 4; Nationwide Counts 7 – 8
Honda USA	Alabama Count 5; California Count 6; Connecticut Count 4; Florida Count 5; New York Count 4; North Carolina Count 4; Texas Count 4; Nationwide Counts 7 – 8
Hyundai Korea	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Maryland Count 5; Pennsylvania Count 5; Texas Count 4; Nationwide Counts 1 – 2
Hyundai USA	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Maryland Count 5; Pennsylvania Count 5; Texas Count 4; Nationwide Counts 1 – 2
Kia Korea	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Illinois Count 6; Indiana Count 5; Maryland Count 5; Massachusetts Count 5; Michigan Count 5; Minnesota Count 7; Missouri Count 5; New Jersey Count 4; Pennsylvania Count 5; Nationwide Counts 1 – 2
Kia USA	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Illinois Count 6; Indiana Count 5; Maryland Count 5; Massachusetts Count 5; Michigan Count 5; Minnesota Count 7; Missouri Count 5; New Jersey Count 4; Pennsylvania Count 5; Nationwide Counts 1 – 2
Hyundai Mobis	Nationwide Counts 1 – 2
Mitsubishi Japan	California Count 6; Colorado Count 5; Wisconsin Count 4; Nationwide Counts 9 – 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
Mitsubishi USA	California Count 6; Colorado Count 5; Wisconsin Count 4; Nationwide Counts 9 – 10
Toyota USA	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Nevada Count 5; South Carolina Count 5; Texas Count 4; Washington Count 3; Nationwide Counts 5 – 6
Toyota Sales USA	California Count 6; Florida Count 5; Nevada Count 5; South Carolina Count 5; Texas Count 4; Washington Count 3; Nationwide Counts 5 – 6
Toyota Engineering USA	Nationwide Counts 5 – 6
ST USA	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Counts 1 – 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
ST Italy	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 2; Nationwide Count 4; Nationwide Count 6; Nationwide Count 8; Nationwide Count 10
ST Malaysia	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Counts 1 – 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
ZF Passive Safety Systems USA	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 1 – 10
ZF Electronics USA	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 1 – 10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant	Fraud By Omission Counts
ZF Automotive USA	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 1 – 10
ZF TRW Corp.	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 1 – 10
ZF Germany	Alabama Count 6; Arizona Count 5; California Count 7; Colorado Count 6; Connecticut Count 5; Florida Count 6; Illinois Count 7; Indiana Count 6; Maryland Count 6; Massachusetts Count 6; Michigan Count 6; Minnesota Count 8; Missouri Count 6; Nevada Count 6; New Jersey Count 5; New York Count 5; North Carolina Count 5; Oklahoma Count 6; Pennsylvania Count 6; South Carolina Count 6; South Dakota Count 6; Texas Count 5; Washington Count 4; Wisconsin Count 5; Nationwide Count 1 – 10

1 **2. Defendants knew that their representations to Plaintiffs,**
2 **consumers, and NHTSA about the safety and reliability of the**
3 **Class Vehicles and that the Occupant Restraint Systems were false**
4 **and misleading.**

5 1478. The above representations to Plaintiffs, consumers, and NHTSA
6 regarding the safety of the Class Vehicles and the functionality of the vehicles’
7 Occupant Restraint Systems were false and misleading because Defendants knew or
8 should have known that the Class Vehicles were equipped with a defective DS84
9 ACU and ASIC, both of which can cause the vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to
10 malfunction during a collision. As set forth above in Sections IV.E. – IV.F.,
11 Defendants knew that these representations were false and misleading at the time
12 they made, helped to make, or conspired to make these representations to Plaintiffs
13 and NHTSA.

14 1479. Defendants knew that disclosing the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles to
15 consumers and/or NHTSA would have the ultimate effect of reducing the sales and
16 sale prices of the Class Vehicles, as vehicles equipped with passenger safety
17 systems that do not properly function in a crash are less desirable and less valuable
18 than vehicles with properly functioning passenger safety systems.

19 1480. Indeed, when the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants made, helped
20 make, or conspired to make false and misleading representations to consumers—
21 including Plaintiffs—regarding the safety of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
22 Restraint Systems, they knew that the ACU Defect was a material fact that would
23 have caused consumers to either not purchase or lease the Class Vehicles or pay less
24 for them.

25 1481. Further, at the time Defendants made, helped make, or conspired to
26 make false and misleading representations to NHTSA regarding the safety of the
27 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems, they knew that disclosing the
28 ACU Defect to NHTSA would ultimately result in, *inter alia*, NHTSA disclosing or

1 requiring Defendants to disclose the defects to the public, thereby causing Plaintiffs
2 and other consumers to not purchase or lease the Class Vehicles or pay less for
3 them.

4 1482. Because the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants volunteered to provide
5 information about the Class Vehicles that they offered for sale and lease to Plaintiffs
6 and consumers, they had the duty to disclose the whole truth about the Class
7 Vehicles, including the fact that it was plagued by the ACU Defect. Additionally,
8 that duty attached because the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants knew that the
9 defects were material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the
10 Class Vehicle that would affect Plaintiffs' and consumers' decisions to purchase or
11 lease Class Vehicles.

12 1483. By knowingly and purposefully suppressing material facts and failing
13 to disclose material facts despite their duty to do so, Defendants engaged in
14 schemes to actively conceal the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles from consumers,
15 including Plaintiffs, and from NHTSA. These schemes are described with further
16 particularity in the Counts listed in the table above. These schemes are ongoing, as
17 Defendants continue to obfuscate the nature and extent of the ACU Defect in the
18 Class Vehicles.

19 1484. Defendants' schemes to conceal the ACU defect and their knowing,
20 willful, and intentional misrepresentations and omissions to NHTSA and consumers
21 regarding the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles were specifically designed
22 to prevent Plaintiffs from discovering their causes of action within the relevant
23 limitations period.

24 **3. Plaintiffs justifiably relied on Defendants' fraudulent concealment**
25 **of the ACU Defect, and could not have discovered those defects**
26 **despite their reasonable diligence.**

27 1485. As explained in detail above in Section II.B., the safety and reliability
28 of the Class Vehicles were critical material facts that influenced each Plaintiff's

1 decision to purchase or lease their Class Vehicles. Each Plaintiff conducted diligent
2 research into the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles shortly before deciding
3 to purchase or lease them by reviewing the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants’
4 representations about the Class Vehicles’ safety and reliability. Plaintiffs reviewed
5 these representations through various sources, including the Vehicle Manufacturer
6 Defendants’ websites, marketing and advertising materials for the Class Vehicles,
7 labels and certifications on the Class Vehicles, readiness indicators, and by
8 discussing the safety of the Class Vehicles with salespeople at dealerships.

9 1486. The table in Exhibit 19 identifies the paragraphs where each Plaintiff
10 alleged the specific representations that they reviewed and relied upon before
11 acquiring the Class Vehicles. The table also summarizes the dates and states where
12 each Plaintiff acquired their Class Vehicles, the make of each Plaintiff’s Class
13 Vehicle, the dates when Plaintiffs first filed their claims, and the names of their
14 underlying cases.

15 1487. Defendants intended that Plaintiffs rely on the misrepresentations and
16 omissions regarding the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles described above
17 by actively concealing that the Class Vehicles contained a defective DS84 ACU and
18 ASIC.

19 1488. Plaintiffs’ reliance on the representations described above was
20 justifiable, given Defendants’ scheme to fraudulently conceal the ACU Defect from
21 Plaintiffs, consumers, and NHTSA, and the lack of any available information that
22 would cause a reasonable person to doubt the representations.

23 1489. Even though some Defendants conducted recalls of certain Plaintiffs’
24 Class Vehicles, Defendants misrepresented the existence of the ACU Defect in
25 connection with those recalls, and fraudulently concealed from Plaintiffs and
26 NHTSA that those recalls were inadequate and that the Class Vehicles were still
27 affected by the ACU Defect after the recall remedy. Therefore, those Plaintiffs
28 reasonably—but mistakenly—believed that their Class Vehicles no longer

1 contained defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs, and they could not have independently
2 discovered the true facts about the defects during their limitation's periods until
3 NHTSA's investigation began in April 2019.

4 1490. Plaintiffs could not have independently discovered the ACU Defect in
5 their Class Vehicles—or that the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants misrepresented
6 the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems—either
7 before they purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or during their limitations
8 period, until NHTSA's announcement in April 2019. ACUs and ASICs are highly
9 complex components, and defects in those components require specialized technical
10 knowledge and experience to discover, as demonstrated by NHTSA's lengthy and
11 complex investigation. Therefore, before NHTSA opened its investigation into
12 unrecalled vehicles, Plaintiffs lacked the necessary expertise to analyze the DS84
13 ACUs for signs of EOS or to even identify the Class Vehicles with DS84 ACUs,
14 and their failure to discover the ACU Defect prior to NHTSA's announcement to
15 the world of its investigation was not due to their own lack of diligence or
16 negligence.

17 **4. Had Defendants disclosed that the Class Vehicles contained**
18 **defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs, Plaintiffs would have seen those**
19 **disclosures.**

20 1491. As discussed above, each Plaintiff researched the safety and reliability
21 of their respective Class Vehicles prior to acquiring them, and each Plaintiff was
22 exposed directly or indirectly to the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants'
23 misrepresentations and omissions regarding the safety and reliability of the Class
24 Vehicles contained on the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants' websites, in marketing
25 materials and in-vehicle labels, and/or in discussions with dealership personnel,
26 shortly before or at the time of the disclosures. Therefore, had Defendants disclosed
27 rather than conceal that the DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the Class Vehicles were
28 defective, Plaintiffs would have seen those disclosures.

1 1492. Additionally, if Defendants had accurately and completely disclosed
2 the existence, nature, and extent of the ACU Defect to NHTSA, this information
3 would have been made public and would have allowed NHTSA to launch its
4 investigations years earlier, within the original limitations period of Plaintiffs’
5 claims.

6 **5. Plaintiffs were damaged as a result of Defendants’**
7 **misrepresentations and fraudulent concealment.**

8 1493. Defendants’ scheme to fraudulently conceal the material facts
9 regarding the ACU Defect prevented Plaintiffs from learning the truth about the
10 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles before they purchased or leased them.

11 1494. Had Plaintiffs known the truth about the ACU Defect, they would not
12 have purchased their Class Vehicles or would have paid less for them.

13 1495. Accordingly, Plaintiffs were damaged by Defendants’ false and
14 misleading representations and fraudulent concealment described herein.

15 1496. Moreover, Defendants’ ongoing concealment of the existence, nature,
16 and extent of the DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the Class Vehicles prevented certain
17 Plaintiffs from discovering the defect in their Class Vehicles during the limitations
18 period on their claims, thereby damaging them by preventing them from timely
19 filing those claims.

20 * * *

21 1497. As a result of Defendants’ knowing and purposeful misrepresentations
22 and active concealment described herein, any and all statutes of limitations
23 otherwise applicable to Plaintiffs’ allegations herein have been tolled.

24 1498. Each Plaintiff learned that his or her Class Vehicle may contain a
25 defective DS84 ACU shortly after NHTSA’s investigation began in April 2019.
26 Upon learning this information, Plaintiffs consulted with and retained counsel to
27 conduct further investigation into the issue. As detailed in Exhibit 19 all Plaintiffs
28

1 filed their lawsuits against Defendants on or before May 20, 2020, within one year
2 of learning of the NHTSA investigation.

3 **B. Discovery Rule Tolling**

4 1499. Plaintiffs' claims are further tolled by the discovery rule in the
5 applicable states.

6 1500. As discussed above, Plaintiffs could not have discovered through
7 reasonable diligence that their Class Vehicles were defective at the time of purchase
8 or lease because Defendants actively concealed the defect.

9 1501. Among other things, Plaintiffs did not know and could not have known
10 that the Class Vehicles contained defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs until at least
11 April 2019, when NHTSA announced that it launched an investigation into the
12 serious safety risk presented by the ACU Defect. Therefore, Plaintiffs' claims and
13 the claims of all Class members did not accrue until they discovered ACU Defect.

14 **C. Estoppel**

15 1502. Each Defendant was under a continuous duty to disclose to Plaintiffs
16 and the other Class members the existence of the ACU Defect, which
17 substantially affects the true character, quality, performance, and nature of the Class
18 Vehicles. Each Defendant actively concealed the true character, quality,
19 performance, and nature of the DS84 ACUs and ASICs installed in the Class
20 Vehicles, and Plaintiffs and the other Class members reasonably relied upon
21 Defendants' knowing and active concealment of these facts. Each Defendant is
22 accordingly estopped from relying on any statute of limitations in defense of this
23 action. For these same reasons, each Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant is estopped
24 from relying upon any warranty mileage and age limitations in defense of this
25 action.

26 1503. Even if some Plaintiffs were aware or could have been aware of the
27 facts giving rise to their causes of action within the limitations period of their
28

1 claims, their inability to timely file their claims was the direct result of Defendants’
2 willful and intentional misconduct described above. It would be unconscionable to
3 enforce the limitation period against Plaintiffs, and gross injustice would result
4 from doing so.

5 **VI. CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

6 1504. The proposed Classes’ claims all derive directly from a single course
7 of conduct by Defendants. Within each Count asserted by the respective proposed
8 Classes below, the same legal standards govern. Additionally, many—and for
9 some, all—states share the same legal standards and elements of proof, facilitating
10 the certification of multistate or nationwide classes for some or all claims.
11 Accordingly, Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit as a class action on their own behalf, and
12 on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, as members of the following
13 Nationwide Classes and State Classes (collectively, the “Classes”) pursuant to
14 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), and/or (b)(3), and/or (c)(4). The
15 Class Vehicles implicated by this Complaint include FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
16 Mitsubishi, and Toyota vehicles that all were equipped with a DS84 ACU and
17 ASIC and sold in the United States. This action satisfies the numerosity,
18 commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of
19 those provisions.

20 **B. The Classes**

21 1505. Plaintiffs propose separate Nationwide Classes for the Vehicle
22 Manufacturer Defendant groups, each of which include all persons and entities that
23 purchased or leased a Class Vehicle from that Vehicle Manufacturer Defendant
24 group:
25
26
27
28

- 1 a. **The Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class:** All persons in the United
2 States who purchased or leased a Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle,
3 including its territories.⁷⁴
4 b. **The Nationwide FCA Class:** All persons in the United States
5 who purchased or leased a FCA Class Vehicle, including its
6 territories.⁷⁵
7 c. **The Nationwide Toyota Class:** All persons in the United States
8 who purchased or leased a Toyota Class Vehicle, including its
9 territories.⁷⁶
10 d. **The Nationwide Honda Class:** All persons in the United States
11 who purchased or leased a Honda Class Vehicle, including its
12 territories.⁷⁷
13 e. **The Nationwide Mitsubishi Class:** All persons in the United
14 States who purchased or leased a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle,
15 including its territories.⁷⁸

16 _____
17 ⁷⁴ Excluded from the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class are the ZF, ST, Hyundai, and
18 Kia Defendants; their employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs,
19 and successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliates of these
20 Defendants.

21 ⁷⁵ Excluded from the Nationwide FCA Class is FCA and the ZF and ST
22 Defendants; their employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, and
23 successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliates of these
24 Defendants.

25 ⁷⁶ Excluded from the Nationwide Toyota Class are the ZF, ST, and Toyota
26 Defendants; their employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, and
27 successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliates of these
28 Defendants.

⁷⁷ Excluded from the Nationwide Honda Class are the ZF, ST, and Honda
Defendants; their employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, and
successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliates of these
Defendants.

⁷⁸ Excluded from the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class are the ZF, ST, and Mitsubishi
Footnote continued on next page

1 1506. Plaintiffs also propose separate State Classes consisting of all persons
2 who purchased or leased their Class Vehicle in the state.⁷⁹

3 1507. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify and/or add to the Nationwide
4 and/or State Classes prior to class certification.

5 **C. Numerosity**

6 1508. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
7 Procedure 23(a)(1). There are millions of Class Vehicles and class members
8 nationwide. Individual joinder of all class members is impracticable.

9 1509. Each of the proposed Classes (the Nationwide Classes and the State
10 Classes) are ascertainable because their members can be readily identified using
11 information tying the defective DS84 ACUs to particular vehicle identification
12 numbers, vehicle registration records, sales records, production records, and other
13 information kept by the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants or third parties in the
14 usual course of business and within their control. Plaintiffs anticipate providing
15 appropriate notice to the Classes in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil
16 Procedure 23(c)(1)(2)(A) and/or (B), to be approved by the Court after class
17 certification, or pursuant to court order under Rule 23(d).

18 **D. Predominance of Common Issues**

19 1510. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil
20 Procedure 23(a)(2) and (b)(3), because questions of law and fact that have common
21

22
23 *Footnote continued from previous page*
24 Defendants; their employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, and
25 successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliates of these
26 Defendants.
27 ⁷⁹ Excluded from the State Classes are the ZF and ST Defendants, and the Vehicle
28 Manufacturer group(s) being sued in the state; their employees, officers, directors,
legal representatives, heirs, and successors; and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries
or affiliates of these Defendants.

1 answer and predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the
2 proposed Classes. These include, without limitation, the following:

- 3 a. Whether the Class Vehicles were equipped with defective ACUs
4 and ASICs that were vulnerable to EOS;
- 5 b. Whether and when Defendants knew, or should have known,
6 that the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs installed in Class
7 Vehicles were defective;
- 8 c. Whether Defendants had a duty to disclose the defective nature
9 of the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs in the Class Vehicles to
10 Plaintiffs and Class members;
- 11 d. Whether the defective nature of the Class Vehicles was contrary
12 to material representations made by Defendants;
- 13 e. Whether Defendants omitted and failed to disclose material facts
14 about the Class Vehicles;
- 15 f. Whether Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants' certifications
16 concerning vehicle safety were misleading in light of the risk
17 that EOS can cause DS84 ACUs not to trigger airbags and
18 seatbelts during a collision;
- 19 g. Whether the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants' descriptions of
20 safety features controlled by the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs
21 in advertising, on Monroney stickers, on in-vehicle labels and
22 indicators, and in owner's manuals were misleading in light of
23 the risk that EOS can cause DS84 ACUs not to trigger airbags
24 and seatbelts during a collision;
- 25 h. Whether the Supplier Defendants made, helped make, or
26 conspired to make misrepresentations regarding the safety
27 features controlled by the DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs;
- 28

- 1 i. Whether Defendants’ statements, concealments, and omissions
2 regarding the Class Vehicles, were material, in that a reasonable
3 consumer could consider them important in purchasing, selling,
4 maintaining, retaining, or operating such vehicles;
- 5 j. Whether Defendants engaged in unfair, deceptive, unlawful
6 and/or fraudulent acts or practices, in trade or commerce, by
7 failing to disclose that the Class Vehicles were designed,
8 manufactured, and sold with defective Occupant Restraint
9 System components;
- 10 k. Whether Defendants’ conduct, as alleged herein, was likely to
11 mislead a reasonable consumer;
- 12 l. Whether Defendants’ concealment of the true defective nature
13 of the Class Vehicles induced Plaintiffs and Class members to
14 act to their detriment by purchasing the Class Vehicles;
- 15 m. Whether Defendants’ concealment of the true defective nature
16 of the Class Vehicles caused the market price of the Class
17 Vehicles to incorporate a premium reflecting the assumption by
18 consumers that the Class Vehicles were equipped with fully-
19 functional Occupant Restraint Systems, and, if so, the market
20 value of that premium;
- 21 n. Whether the Class Vehicles have suffered a diminution of value
22 as a result of the Class Vehicles’ incorporation of the defective
23 ACUs at issue;
- 24 o. Whether Defendants’ conduct tolls any or all applicable
25 limitations periods by acts of fraudulent concealment,
26 application of the discovery rule, or equitable estoppel; Whether
27 the Class Vehicles were unfit for the ordinary purposes for
28

- 1 which they were used, in violation of the implied warranty of
2 merchantability;
- 3 p. Whether Defendants’ unlawful, unfair, and/or deceptive
4 practices harmed Plaintiffs and the Classes;
- 5 q. Whether Defendants have been unjustly enriched by their
6 conduct;
- 7 r. Whether Defendants violated RICO;
- 8 s. Whether Defendants conspired with others to violate RICO; and
9 t. Whether Defendants associated with any enterprise engaged in,
10 or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce,
11 to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of
12 such enterprise’s affairs through a pattern of racketeering
13 activity.

14 **E. Typicality**

15 1511. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
16 Procedure 23(a)(3), because Plaintiffs’ claims are typical of the claims of the class
17 members, and arise from the same course of conduct by Defendants. The relief
18 Plaintiffs seek is typical of the relief sought for the absent class members.

19 **F. Adequacy of Representation**

20 21 1512. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests
22 of the Classes. Plaintiffs have retained counsel with substantial experience in
23 prosecuting consumer class actions, including actions involving defective products.

24 1513. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting
25 this action on behalf of the Classes, and have the financial resources to do so.
26 Neither Plaintiffs nor their counsel have interests adverse to those of the Classes.

27
28

1 **G. Superiority**

2 1514. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
3 Procedure 23(b)(2), because Defendants have acted and refused to act on grounds
4 generally applicable to each Class, thereby making appropriate final relief with
5 respect to each Class as a whole.

6 1515. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
7 Procedure 23(b)(3), because a class action is superior to other available methods for
8 the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

9 1516. Because the damages suffered by each individual Class member may
10 be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it
11 very difficult or impossible for individual Class members to redress the wrongs
12 done to each of them individually, such that most or all Class members would have
13 no rational economic interest in individually controlling the prosecution of specific
14 actions; and the burden imposed on the judicial system by individual litigation—by
15 even a small fraction of the Classes—would be enormous, making class
16 adjudication the superior alternative under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
17 23(b)(3)(A).

18 1517. The conduct of this action as a class action instead of as millions of
19 individual lawsuits presents far fewer management difficulties; far better conserves
20 judicial resources, and the parties' resources; and far more effectively protects the
21 rights of each Class member than would piecemeal litigation. Compared to the
22 expense, burdens, inconsistencies, economic infeasibility, and inefficiencies of
23 individualized litigation, the challenges of managing this action as a class action are
24 substantially outweighed by the benefits to the legitimate interests of the parties, the
25 court, and the public of class treatment in this Court, making class adjudication
26 superior to other alternatives, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3)(D).
27
28

1 1518. Plaintiffs are not aware of any obstacles likely to be encountered in the
2 management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.
3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 provides the Court with the authority and
4 flexibility to maximize the efficiencies and benefits of the class mechanism, and
5 reduce management challenges. The Court may, on motion of Plaintiffs, or on its
6 own determination, certify nationwide, statewide and/or multistate Classes for
7 claims sharing common legal questions; utilize the provisions of Rule 23(c)(4) to
8 certify any particular claims, issues, or common questions of fact or law, for class-
9 wide adjudication; certify and adjudicate bellwether class claims; and utilize Rule
10 23(c)(5) to divide any Class into subclasses.

11 1519. The Classes expressly disclaim any recovery in this action for physical
12 injury resulting from the defective DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs without waiving
13 or dismissing such claims. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that injuries suffered
14 in crashes as a result of defective DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs implicate the Class
15 Vehicles; constitute evidence supporting various claims, including overpayment by
16 Class members; and are continuing to occur because of Defendants' delays and
17 inaction regarding the commencement and completion of recalls. The increased risk
18 of injury from the ACU Defect serves as an independent justification for the relief
19 sought by Plaintiffs and the Class and Subclasses.

20
21 **[Continued in Volume II]**
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 David S. Stellings (*pro hac vice*)
2 dstellings@lchb.com
3 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
4 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10013-1413
5 Telephone: 212.355.9500
6 Facsimile: 212.355.9592

7 Roland Tellis (SBN 186269)
8 rtellis@baronbudd.com
9 BARON & BUDD, P.C.
10 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
Encino, CA 91436
11 Telephone: 818.839.2333

12 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*
13 *Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Members Listed on Signature Page*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17
18 *In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units*
Products Liability Litigation
19 ALL CASES

MDL No. 2905
Case No. 2:19-ml-02905-JAK-FFM
**VOLUME TWO OF CONSOLIDATED
AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT (NATIONWIDE
COUNTS)**

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII. COUNTS560

A. Nationwide Counts.560

1. Nationwide Count 1: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class Against Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.560

2. Nationwide Count 2: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class Against Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia..... 608

3. Nationwide Count 3: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Nationwide FCA Class Against FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.....622

4. Nationwide Count 4: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the Nationwide FCA Class Against FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia. 658

5. Nationwide Count 5: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Toyota Nationwide Class Against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia. 668

6. Nationwide Count 6: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the Toyota Nationwide Class Against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia..... 710

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 7. Nationwide Count 7: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Nationwide Honda Class Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.723
- 8. Nationwide Count 8: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the Honda Nationwide Class Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.763
- 9. Nationwide Count 9: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class Against Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.....776
- 10. Nationwide Count 10: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class Against Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.812

[Continued in Volume III]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII. COUNTS

A. Nationwide Counts.

1. Nationwide Count 1: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class Against Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.

1520. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c): “It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise’s affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.” Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are “persons” under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3) because each was capable of holding “a legal or beneficial interest in property.”

1521. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) has four elements: “(1) conduct (2) of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity.” ECF 396 at 59 (quoting *Sedima v. Imrex Co.*, 473 U.S. 479, 496 (1985)).

1522. 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) provides for a civil remedy for any violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962 for “[a]ny person injured in his business or property by reason of a violation of section 1962 of this chapter.” In addition to proving a violation of §1962, this remedy requires proximate cause of a cognizable injury. ECF 396 at 59.

1523. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia, and several nonparties formed the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise. The members of this Enterprise included Defendants Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis,

1 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
2 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
3 Enterprise also included several nonparty individuals and corporations—for
4 example, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC and Kia Georgia, Inc.
5 Another nonparty conspirator is an individual named Chris Roberts, who was an
6 engineering manager based in Michigan for a ZF company. He played a key role in
7 drafting memoranda about crashes where airbags failed to deploy in Hyundai-Kia
8 vehicles with the DS84 ACUs. Based on discovery to date, this individual
9 apparently did not receive a paycheck from any of the domestic ZF Defendants,
10 which means there is likely another nonparty ZF corporate entity that was a
11 member of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise. Discovery will likely reveal several
12 additional members of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise that are not currently
13 known to the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs.

14 1524. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis,
15 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
16 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are liable under 18 U.S.C. §
17 1962(c) because they conducted or participated in the conduct of the affairs of an
18 “association-in-fact enterprise”—i.e., the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise—through
19 a pattern of racketeering activity. In other words, each of these Defendants
20 committed at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the Enterprise’s fraudulent
21 scheme.

22 1525. For reasons explained below, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai
23 USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each
25 violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the business or property of the Hyundai-
26 Kia Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class. The Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs
27 claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members
28 under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. **Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each committed at least two predicate acts of mail and wire fraud in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme to affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.**

1526. The members of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise devised a scheme to defraud consumers and NHTSA by concealing or minimizing the ACU Defect in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles through affirmatively misleading statements.

1527. In the alternative, the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise members devised an illicit scheme for the purpose of obtaining money by fraudulent pretenses because they had the purpose of maximizing the sale of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, which ultimately provided revenue to the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise members.

1528. To carry out, or attempt to carry out the schemes, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia—each of whom is a person associated-in-fact with the Enterprise—knowingly conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1), 1961(5), and 1962(c). In furtherance of the schemes, these Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise members each committed *at least* two acts in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud) and § 1343 (wire fraud), as described in the subsections below.

1 **i. Kia Korea violated the mail fraud statute multiple**
2 **times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1529. Kia Korea violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) multiple
5 times by causing misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag
6 labels and imprints to be placed within every Kia Class Vehicle prior to shipment to
7 the dealers that sell or lease the vehicles to consumers. As explained in Section
8 IV.E.1. above, each of these statements misleadingly assured consumers that the
9 Kia Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts
10 when, in fact, the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety
11 defect due to the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS. Kia Korea
12 caused the inclusion of these misleading statements within every Kia Class Vehicle
13 with full knowledge and the specific intent that Kia USA would distribute the Kia
14 Class Vehicles to dealers across the United States using private interstate carriers.
15 Accordingly, Kia Korea “knowingly cause[d]” the Kia Class Vehicles with
16 misleading statements “to be delivered by . . . such carrier[s],” in violation of 18
17 U.S.C. § 1341.

18 a. Kia Korea was directly responsible for including all of these
19 misleading statements in all Kia Class Vehicles made in South
20 Korea. The Kia Class Vehicles made in South Korea include Kia
21 Fortes and Kia Sedonas, at the very least. Upon information and
22 belief, Kia Korea placed the misleading certification labels,
23 airbag warning lamps, and airbag labels and imprints in the
24 Korean-made Kia Class Vehicles when Kia Korea manufactured
25 them at the following address: 95 Gajadongcha-ro, Ujeong-eup,
26 Hwaseong-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea. The certification
27 labels for these Korean-made vehicles bore Kia Korea’s
28 corporate name, “Kia Motors Corp.” The Kia Class Vehicles

1 made by Kia Korea have vehicle identification numbers that
2 begin with the letter “K.” Plaintiffs Damens, Dellatorre, King,
3 Ogorek, Sutterfield, Swanson, and Van Houten bought or leased
4 Kia Class Vehicles made by Kia Korea. Kia Korea has records
5 in its possession that will identify the dates when it transferred
6 these Class Vehicles to Kia USA, with the purpose of
7 distributing them to the United States for sale to consumers. The
8 Kia Plaintiffs do not have access to these confidential records
9 that provide the precise dates of transfer.

10 b. Although nonparty-Enterprise member Kia Georgia Inc. made
11 the remaining Kia Class Vehicles and placed permanent
12 certification labels on them under its own name, it had no
13 discretion to depart from the mandatory Kia Class Vehicle
14 designs created by Kia Korea. Accordingly, Kia Korea, as the
15 entity responsible for designing these vehicles, was at least
16 jointly responsible for the certifications for these vehicles. Kia
17 Korea was also responsible for the misleading airbag warning
18 lamps and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints placed within
19 these Kia Class Vehicles because Kia Korea’s designs required
20 the inclusion of these misleading statements within the Kia
21 Class Vehicles. The Kia Class Vehicles made by Kia Georgia,
22 Inc. include Kia Optimas.

23 1530. Although the precise shipment dates for all Kia Class Vehicles are not
24 known to the Kia Plaintiffs, shipments occurred at least in each year from 2010 to
25 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at
26 the point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these transactions are alleged
27 above in Section II.B.1.
28

1 1531. Each shipment of a Kia Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer was a
2 violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Kia Korea knew the
3 certification labels, airbag warning labels, and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints
4 in all Kia Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud
5 consumers into purchasing or leasing Kia Class Vehicles. Each of these statements
6 misleadingly assured consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had properly-
7 functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts when, in fact, the safety systems,
8 airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety defect due to the vulnerability of the
9 DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS.

10 1532. When Kia USA distributed the Kia Class Vehicles to dealers in the
11 United States, it acted as Kia Korea's agent.

12 1533. Kia Korea also gave requisite approval or instruction that caused Kia
13 USA to use mail and/or wire to send several misleading statements to NHTSA
14 about the ACU Defect, including:

- 15 a. a March 1, 2018 phone call with NHTSA using interstate wires
16 (see Section IV.F.13);
- 17 b. a March 16, 2018 mailing of a misleading slide deck dated
18 March 14, 2018 (see Section IV.F.16); and
- 19 c. a June 1, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report using
20 mail and/or wire (see Section IV.F.19).

21 1534. Kia Korea intended for each of these misleading statements to NHTSA
22 to further the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to defraud
23 consumers and avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
24 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
25 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

1 name, “Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.” The Hyundai Class Vehicles
2 made by Hyundai Korea have vehicle identification numbers
3 that begin with the letter “K.” Plaintiff Maurilus bought or
4 leased a Hyundai Class Vehicle made by Hyundai Korea.
5 Hyundai Korea has records in its possession that will identify
6 the dates when it transferred these Class Vehicles to Hyundai
7 USA, with the purpose of distributing them to the United States
8 for sale to consumers. The Hyundai Plaintiffs do not have access
9 to these confidential records that provide the precise dates of
10 transfer.

11 b. Although nonparty-Enterprise member Hyundai Motor
12 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC made the remaining Hyundai
13 Class Vehicles and placed permanent certification labels on
14 them under their own names, it had no discretion to depart from
15 the mandatory Hyundai Class Vehicle designs created by
16 Hyundai Korea. Accordingly, Hyundai Korea, as the entity
17 responsible for designing these vehicles, was at least jointly
18 responsible for the certification for these vehicles. Hyundai
19 Korea was also responsible for the misleading airbag warning
20 lamps and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints placed within
21 these Hyundai Class Vehicles because Hyundai Korea’s designs
22 required the inclusion of these misleading statements within the
23 Hyundai Class Vehicles. The Hyundai Class Vehicles made by
24 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC include Hyundai
25 Sonatas (i.e., non-hybrid Sonatas).

26 1536. Although the precise shipment dates for all Hyundai Class Vehicles
27 are not known to the Hyundai Plaintiffs, shipments occurred at least in each year
28 from 2010 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements

1 prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these
2 transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.1.

3 1537. Each shipment of a Hyundai Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer was
4 a violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Hyundai Korea
5 knew the certification labels, airbag warning labels, and in-vehicle airbag labels and
6 imprints in all Hyundai Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the
7 scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Hyundai Class Vehicles.
8 Each of these statements misleadingly assured consumers that the Hyundai Class
9 Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts when, in
10 fact, the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety defect due to
11 the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS.

12 1538. When Hyundai USA distributed the Hyundai Class Vehicles to dealers
13 in the United States, it acted as Hyundai Korea's agent.

14 1539. Hyundai Korea also gave requisite approval or instruction to cause
15 Hyundai USA to use mail and/or wire to send several misleading statements to
16 NHTSA about the ACU Defect, including:

- 17 a. a February 27, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report
18 using mail and/or wire (*see* Section IV.F.12) and
- 19 b. an April 18, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report using
20 mail and/or wire (*see* Section IV.F.19).

21 1540. Hyundai Korea intended for each of these misleading statements to
22 NHTSA to further the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to
23 defraud consumers and avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
24 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
25 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

iii. Kia USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

1541. Kia USA committed mail fraud every time it shipped, or caused to be shipped, a Kia Class Vehicle to dealers in the United States. For every Kia Class Vehicle, Kia USA delivered, or caused delivery of, each vehicle by private or commercial interstate carrier to automobile dealerships across the United States. Kia USA delivered millions of Class Vehicles to execute the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s scheme to defraud consumers and NHTSA.

- a. These deliveries furthered the scheme because Kia USA sent the vehicles to the dealerships where consumers would purchase or lease them and because, prior to shipping the Kia Class Vehicles, Kia Korea had affixed, or caused to be affixed, misleading certification labels (*see* Section IV.E.1.b. above), readiness indicators (*see* Section IV.E.1.c. above), and airbag labels and imprints (*see* Section IV.E.1.d. above).
- b. Moreover, prior to shipping each Kia Class Vehicle, Kia USA created Monroney labels for each make and model and placed the applicable one on each Kia Class Vehicle. As explained above in Section IV.E.1.a., the Monroney labels for the Kia Class Vehicles were misleading because they falsely assured consumers that the Vehicles had properly-functional airbags, seatbelts, and safety systems.
- c. Finally, prior to shipping the vehicles, Kia USA also ensured that each Kia Class Vehicle came with an owner’s manual with misleading statements about the vehicle’s safety system (*see* Section IV.E.2.b.ii above). Kia USA was responsible for the content of these manuals.

1 1542. Kia USA knew the Monroney labels, certification labels, readiness
2 indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners' manuals shipped with each
3 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle were misleading because the Kia Class Vehicles all
4 contained the ACU Defect. Kia USA also knew consumers would rely upon these
5 misleading statements when deciding to purchase or lease Kia Class Vehicles.

6 1543. Although the precise shipment dates for all Kia Class Vehicles are not
7 known to the Kia Plaintiffs, shipments occurred at least in each year from 2010 to
8 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at
9 the point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these transactions are alleged
10 above in Section II.B.1.

11 1544. Starting in 2010, Kia USA also transmitted, or caused to be
12 transmitted, tens (perhaps hundreds) of thousands of advertisements which stressed
13 the safety of Kia Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television
14 communications in interstate commerce. Kia USA's misleading advertisements are
15 too numerous to recite completely, given the nationwide scope and decade-long
16 duration of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme. Examples of
17 these advertisements are collected in Section IV.E.2.a.ii. and Exhibit 9. Each such
18 mailed advertisement—including brochures sent to dealerships for display to
19 consumers or print advertisements in newspapers or magazines—was a violation of
20 the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such internet-based, radio, and
21 television advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. §
22 1343). Each advertisement that directly or indirectly assured consumers that the Kia
23 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts was
24 affirmatively misleading because the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts in Kia
25 Class Vehicles had a dangerous safety defect due to the vulnerability of the DS84
26 ACU and ASIC to EOS. Kia USA knew advertisements assuring the safety of
27 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme to
28 defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Kia Class Vehicles.

1 1545. Kia USA also placed copies of misleading Kia Class Vehicle owner’s
2 manuals on its website. Upon information and belief, the publication of these
3 owner’s manuals occurred at or around the commencement of public sales for each
4 model year. The publication of each these manuals on a website was a violation of
5 the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343) because Kia USA knew the owner’s
6 manuals for all Kia Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme
7 to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Kia Class Vehicles. Each of these
8 manuals contained statements that misleadingly assured consumers the Kia Class
9 Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts when, in
10 fact, the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety defect due to
11 the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS.

12 1546. Kia USA also used mail and/or wire to send several misleading
13 statements to NHTSA about the ACU Defect, including:

- 14 a. a March 1, 2018 phone call with NHTSA using interstate wires
15 (see Section IV.F.13);
- 16 b. a March 16, 2018 mailing of a misleading slide deck dated
17 March 14, 2018 (see Section IV.F.16); and
- 18 c. a June 1, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report using
19 mail and/or wire (see Section IV.F.19).

20 1547. Kia USA intended for each of these misleading statements to NHTSA
21 to further the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme to defraud
22 consumers and avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
23 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
24 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

iv. Hyundai USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

1548. Hyundai USA committed mail fraud every time it shipped, or caused to be shipped, a Hyundai Class Vehicle to dealers in the United States. For every Hyundai Class Vehicle, Hyundai USA delivered, or caused delivery of, each vehicle by private or commercial interstate carrier to automobile dealerships across the United States. Hyundai USA delivered millions of Class Vehicles to execute the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s scheme to defraud consumers and NHTSA.

- a. These deliveries furthered the scheme because Hyundai USA sent the vehicles to the dealerships where consumers would purchase or lease them and because, prior to shipping the Hyundai Class Vehicles, Hyundai Korea had affixed, or caused to be affixed, misleading certification labels (*see* Section IV.E.1.b. above), readiness indicators (*see* Section IV.E.1.c. above), and airbag labels and imprints (*see* Section IV.E.1.d. above).
- b. Moreover, prior to shipping each Hyundai Class Vehicle, Hyundai USA created Monroney labels for each make and model and placed the applicable one on each Hyundai Class Vehicle. As explained above in Section IV.E.1.a., the Monroney labels for the Hyundai Class Vehicles were misleading because they falsely assured consumers that the Vehicles had properly-functional airbags, seatbelts, and safety systems.
- c. Finally, prior to shipping the vehicles, Hyundai USA also ensured that each Class Vehicle came with an owner’s manual with misleading statements about the vehicle’s safety system

1 (see Section IV.E.2.b.ii. above). Hyundai USA was responsible
2 for the content of these manuals.

3 1549. Hyundai USA knew the Monroney labels, certification labels,
4 readiness indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners' manuals shipped with
5 each Hyundai Class Vehicle were misleading because the Hyundai Class Vehicles
6 all contained the ACU Defect. Hyundai USA also knew consumers would rely
7 upon these misleading statements when deciding to purchase or lease Hyundai
8 Class Vehicles.

9 1550. Although the precise shipment dates for all Hyundai Class Vehicles
10 are not known to the Hyundai Plaintiffs, shipments occurred at least in each year
11 from 2011 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements
12 prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these
13 transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.1.

14 1551. Starting in 2010, Hyundai USA also transmitted, or caused to be
15 transmitted, tens (perhaps hundreds) of thousands of advertisements which stressed
16 the safety of Hyundai Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television
17 communications in interstate commerce. Hyundai USA's misleading
18 advertisements are too numerous to recite completely, given the nationwide scope
19 and decade-long duration of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent
20 scheme. Examples of these advertisements are collected in Section IV.E.2.a.ii. and
21 Exhibit 9. Each such mailed advertisement—including brochures sent to
22 dealerships for display to consumers or print advertisements in newspapers or
23 magazines—was a violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such
24 internet-based, radio, and television advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud
25 statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343). Each advertisement that directly or indirectly assured
26 consumers that the Hyundai Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety
27 systems, airbags, and seatbelts was affirmatively misleading because the safety
28 systems, airbags, and seatbelts in Hyundai Class Vehicles had a dangerous safety

1 defect due to the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS. Hyundai USA
2 knew advertisements assuring the safety of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles were
3 misleading and would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or
4 leasing Hyundai Class Vehicles.

5 1552. Hyundai USA also placed copies of misleading Hyundai Class Vehicle
6 owner's manuals on its website. Upon information and belief, the publication of
7 these owner's manuals occurred at or around the commencement of public sales for
8 each model year. The publication of each these manuals on a website was a
9 violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343) because Hyundai USA knew
10 the owner's manuals for all Hyundai Class Vehicles were misleading and would
11 further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Hyundai Class
12 Vehicles. Each of these manuals contained statements that misleadingly assured
13 consumers the Hyundai Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems,
14 airbags, and seatbelts when, in fact, the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a
15 dangerous safety defect due to the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to
16 EOS.

17 1553. Hyundai USA also used mail and/or wire to send several misleading
18 statements to NHTSA about the ACU Defect, including:

- 19 a. a February 27, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report
20 using mail and/or wire (*see* Section IV.F.12) and
21 b. an April 18, 2018 filing of a misleading 573 Defect Report using
22 mail and/or wire (*see* Section IV.F.19).

23 1554. Hyundai USA intended for each of these misleading statements to
24 NHTSA to further the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to
25 defraud consumers and avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
26 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class
27 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.
28

1 v. **ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-**
3 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1555. ZF Electronics USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
5 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
6 IV.F.14. above:

- 7 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
8 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 9 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
10 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
11 2016);
- 12 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho¹ (which ZF
13 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 14 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

16 1556. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
17 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about Hyundai-Kia
18 Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Electronics USA specifically approved the
19 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
20 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
21 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of
22 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
23 consumers.

24 1557. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016 slide
25 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA’s causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
26 the fact that its Vice President of Passive Safety Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of

27 ¹ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the Vice
28 President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive Safety
Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
2 deck.

3 1558. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
4 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
5 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
6 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA caused this delivery to NHTSA too.

7 1559. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
8 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
9 the fact that its Technical Specialist, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
10 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
11 ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr.
12 Goodman's and Mr. Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with
13 NHTSA, where this slide deck was used.

14 1560. Moreover, because ZF Electronics USA's affiliates would not have
15 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
16 Electronics USA's contributions and approval, ZF Electronics USA was one of the
17 Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
18 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
19 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

20 1561. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Electronics USA worked
21 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, Hyundai Korea, and Kia Korea
22 to design the readiness indicators installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.
23 Specifically, ZF Electronics USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause
24 the readiness indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though
25 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in
26 foreseeable crash events with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF
27 Electronics USA assisted with this design, it knew Kia USA and Hyundai USA
28 would ship the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would

1 buy Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at
2 the point of sale or lease. Because Kia USA and Hyundai USA would not have
3 shipped Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles without ZF Electronics USA's assistance in
4 designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Electronics USA jointly caused each
5 shipment of a Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18
6 U.S.C. § 1341).

7 1562. Upon information and belief and based on a contract between Hyundai
8 Mobis and ZF TRW Corp., ZF Electronics USA received orders from Hyundai
9 Mobis for the defective DS84 ACUs used in every Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle and
10 shipped them by private or commercial interstate carrier to the nonparty-Enterprise-
11 members Kia Georgia, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC.
12 These shipments furthered the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
13 because the use of DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles was essential to the
14 cost-saving goal behind the scheme. When ZF Electronics USA shipped the
15 defective DS84 ACUs to the nonparty-Enterprise-members Kia Georgia, Inc. and
16 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, it knew they would be installed in
17 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF
18 Electronics USA was also specifically aware of Hyundai Korea's, Kia Korea's,
19 Hyundai USA's, and Kia USA's practice of making reassuring statements about
20 safety, airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification
21 labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's manuals and advertising for all Hyundai-Kia Class
22 Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew these statements were false because it knew
23 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and DS84 ASIC were defective.
24 Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA shipped each defective DS84 ACU with
25 the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members,
26 each of ZF Electronics USA's shipments of the defective DS84 ACUs violated the
27 mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The precise dates and locations of each
28 particular shipment of defective DS84 ACUs is not known to the Hyundai-Kia

1 Plaintiffs because they have no visibility into the shipments to Hyundai Motor
2 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC and Kia Georgia, Inc., and Defendants have not
3 produced documents that show that information. However, a chart produced by the
4 domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise volume of DS84 ACUs
5 shipped for each year for each model of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, and identifies
6 Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added
7 by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about shipping locations,
8 volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years contained in this chart. *See*
9 *Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679)* at 699-701. Upon information and belief, the shipping
10 address for each of these shipments by ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois
11 was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. The shipments for Kia Optimas
12 identified therein would have gone to Kia Georgia, Inc., whereas the shipments for
13 Hyundai Sonatas identified therein would have gone to Hyundai Motor
14 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC. The address for Kia Georgia, Inc. was 7777 Kia
15 Parkway West Point, Georgia 31833. The address for Hyundai Motor
16 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC is 700 Hyundai Blvd. Montgomery, Alabama 36105.
17 The information available in Exhibit 20 and the facts identified above are sufficient
18 for Defendants to identify the precise dates of shipments because Defendants will
19 have backup information that shows additional details about the underlying
20 shipments.

21 1563. ZF Electronics USA also separately violated the mail fraud act (18
22 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ST USA that required ST USA to ship
23 millions of defective DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the
24 following address: 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. When ZF
25 Electronics USA placed these orders, it knew it would install these DS84 ASICs
26 into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be installed in the Hyundai-Kia Class
27 Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also
28 specifically aware of Kia Korea's, Hyundai Korea's, Kia USA's, and Hyundai

1 USA’s practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts
2 in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner’s
3 manuals, and advertising for all Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA
4 knew these statements were false because it knew the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles,
5 DS84 ACU, and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics
6 USA caused shipments of defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a
7 fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC
8 shipments caused by ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C.
9 § 1341). ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 such invoices from the time
10 period between 2014 and the present alone. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
11 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
12 21.²

13 **vi. Hyundai Mobis violated the mail fraud statute**
14 **multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-**
15 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

16 1564. Upon information and belief and based on a contract between Hyundai
17 Mobis and ZF TRW Corp., Hyundai Mobis caused ZF Electronics USA use
18 interstate private or commercial carrier(s) to deliver thousands of DS84 ACUs to
19 Kia Georgia, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC between 2009
20 and 2019. Hyundai Mobis caused these shipments by placing orders for the DS84
21 ACU pursuant to its contract with ZF TRW Corp. These shipments furthered the
22 Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme because the use of DS84
23 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-saving goal behind
24 the scheme.

25

26 _____
27 ² ST USA made similar shipments relevant to the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles at
28 least between 2009 and 2014, but ST USA is presently withholding invoices for
these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the invoices for this
time period will show similar regularity of shipments.

1 1565. When Hyundai Mobis caused the shipment of the defective DS84
2 ACUs to the nonparty-Enterprise-members Kia Georgia, Inc. and Hyundai Motor
3 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, it knew they would be installed in the Hyundai-Kia
4 Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also
5 specifically aware of Hyundai Korea's, Kia Korea's, Hyundai USA's, and Kia
6 USA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts
7 in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
8 manuals and advertising for all Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA
9 knew these statements were false because it knew the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles,
10 DS84 ACU, and DS84 ASIC were defective.

11 1566. Accordingly, because Hyundai Mobis caused shipments of the
12 defective DS84 ACU with the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with the
13 other Enterprise members, each of ZF Electronics USA's shipments of the defective
14 DS84 ACUs violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The precise dates
15 and locations of each particular shipment of defective DS84 ACUs is not known to
16 the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs because they have no visibility into the shipments to
17 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC and Kia Georgia, Inc., and
18 Defendants have not produced documents that show that information. However, a
19 chart produced by the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise
20 volume of DS84 ACUs shipped for each year for each model of Hyundai-Kia Class
21 Vehicles, and identifies Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20
22 includes highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about
23 shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years
24 contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 699-701. Upon information
25 and belief, the shipping address for each of these shipments by ZF Electronics USA
26 from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. The
27 shipments for Kia Optimas identified therein would have gone to Kia Georgia, Inc.,
28 whereas the shipments for Hyundai Sonatas identified therein would have gone to

1 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC. The address for Kia Georgia, Inc.
2 was 7777 Kia Parkway West Point, Georgia 31833. The address for Hyundai Motor
3 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC is 700 Hyundai Blvd. Montgomery, Alabama 36105.

4 1567. The information available in Exhibit 20 and the facts identified above
5 are sufficient for Defendants to identify the precise dates of shipments because
6 Defendants will have backup information that shows additional details about the
7 underlying shipments.

8 **vii. ZF Passive Safety USA violated the mail fraud statute**
9 **multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-**
10 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

11 1568. ZF Passive Safety USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
12 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
13 IV.F.14. above:

- 14 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 16 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
17 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
18 2016);
- 19 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho³ (which ZF
20 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 21 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
22 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

23 1569. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
24 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about Hyundai-Kia
25 Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved
26

27 ³ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the Vice
28 President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive Safety
Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for
2 the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls
3 of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of
4 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
5 consumers.

6 1570. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016
7 slide deck. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by the
8 fact that its employee Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of confidentiality that was
9 enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide deck. Although Mr. Bolitho
10 also simultaneously served as a Vice President for ZF Electronics USA and a
11 Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp., ZF Passive Safety USA
12 alone paid his salary.

13 1571. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
14 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
15 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
16 information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA caused this delivery too.

17 1572. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
18 deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced
19 by the fact that its longtime employee, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
20 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
21 Although Mr. Goodman also served as the Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary. ZF Passive Safety USA's
23 causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr. Goodman's and Mr.
24 Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, where this slide
25 deck was used.

26 1573. Moreover, because ZF Passive Safety USA's affiliates would not have
27 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
28 Passive Safety USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one

1 of the Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
2 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
3 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

4 1574. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
5 each of the four documents described above contained misleading statements about
6 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA
7 specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to
8 NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid,
9 minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding,
10 minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the
11 continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF Passive Safety
12 USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written communications
13 noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Passive Safety USA's contributions
14 and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one of the Defendants who caused the
15 delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in
16 these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C.
17 § 1341).

18 1575. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Passive Safety USA
19 worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, Hyundai Korea, and Kia
20 Korea to design the readiness indicators installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.
21 Specifically, ZF Passive Safety USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would
22 cause the readiness indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even
23 though the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in
24 crash events with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Passive
25 Safety USA assisted with this design, it knew Kia USA and Hyundai USA would
26 ship the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy the
27 vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease.
28 Because Kia USA and Hyundai USA would not have shipped Hyundai-Kia Class

1 Vehicles without ZF Passive Safety USA's assistance in designing misleading
2 readiness indicators, ZF Passive Safety USA jointly caused each shipment of
3 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

4 **viii. ZF Automotive USA violated the mail fraud statute**
5 **multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-**
6 **ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

7 1576. ZF Automotive USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
8 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
9 IV.F.14. above:

- 10 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
11 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
12 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
13 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
14 2016);
15 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
16 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
17 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
18 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

19 1577. ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery via mail or private interstate
20 carrier of the February 5, 2016 slide deck, the July 19, 2016 slide deck, and the
21 March 8, 2018 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Automotive USA's role in causing the
22 delivery of these presentations is evidenced by its admission in a 573 Defect Report
23 that it attended the three meetings with NHTSA where these presentations were
24 used on its behalf.

25 1578. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery
26 of the September 2016 letter via mail or private interstate carrier by giving requisite
27 approval prior to the transmittal of the letter.
28

1 1579. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
2 each of these four documents contained misleading statements about Hyundai-Kia
3 Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Automotive USA specifically approved the
4 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
5 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
6 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of
7 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
8 consumers. Because ZF Automotive USA's affiliates would not have sent or
9 approved the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF
10 Automotive USA's contributions and approval, ZF Automotive USA was one of
11 the Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA.
12 Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail fraud
13 statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

14 1580. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Automotive USA worked
15 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Korea
16 to design the readiness indicators installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.
17 Specifically, ZF Automotive USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause
18 the readiness indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though
19 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash
20 events with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Automotive USA
21 assisted with this design, it knew Kia USA and Hyundai USA would ship the
22 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy the vehicles
23 without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because
24 Hyundai USA and Kia USA would not have shipped Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles
25 without ZF Automotive USA's affirmative assistance in designing misleading
26 readiness indicators, ZF Automotive USA jointly caused each shipment of
27 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

28

1 **ix. ZF TRW Corp. violated the mail fraud statute**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-**
3 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1581. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF TRW Corp. reviewed, drafted
5 and/or edited the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in
6 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 7 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
8 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 9 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
10 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
11 2016);
- 12 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho⁴ (which ZF
13 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 14 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

16 1582. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the February 5, 2016 slide
17 deck via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s role in the transmittal is
18 confirmed by the cover letter enclosed within the Fed Ex envelope alongside the
19 February 5, 2016 slide deck. This cover letter is signed: “Very truly yours, ZF
20 TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.” with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
21 Counsel of the company. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is further confirmed by a
22 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This document is the
23 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
24 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW.”
25 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
26 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

27 ⁴ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the Vice
28 President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive Safety
Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 1583. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the July 19, 2016 slide deck
2 to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is
3 confirmed by a footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This
4 document is the property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be
5 copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent
6 of ZF TRW.” Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to
7 the transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

8 1584. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. also gave requisite prior
9 authorization for the delivery of the September 2016 letter.

10 1585. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the March 8, 2018 slide deck
11 to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is
12 confirmed by the cover letter included with the mailing of the slide deck. The cover
13 letter is on the letter head of an “Active & Passive Safety Technology” business
14 unit. Because this is a reference to ZF TRW Corp.,⁵ ZF TRW Corp. must have
15 reviewed and approved the transmittal of the slide deck to NHTSA.

16 1586. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
17 each of these four documents described above contained misleading statements
18 about Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF TRW Corp.
19 specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to
20 NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid,
21 minimize, and/or delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding,
22 minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the
23 continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF TRW Corp.’s
24 affiliates would not have sent or approved the written communications noted in the

25
26 ⁵ According to ZF AG’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Corp. is the only
corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this letter was also
sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

1 preceding paragraph without ZF TRW Corp.'s contributions and approval, ZF
2 TRW Corp. was one of the Defendants who caused the delivery of these four
3 communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications
4 violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

5 **x. ZF Germany violated the mail fraud statute multiple**
6 **times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST**
7 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

8 1587. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF Germany reviewed and/or edited
9 the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2.,
10 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 11 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
12 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 13 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
14 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
15 2016);
- 16 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
17 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 18 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
19 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

20 1588. ZF Germany caused the delivery of these communications via mail
21 and wire. The three presentations bear copyright legends attributing ownership to
22 ZF Germany. Accordingly, sending these presentations must have required its
23 involvement and consent. Moreover, the slide decks dated February 5, 2016 and
24 July 19, 2016 identify ZF Germany as the corporate author on the title page.

25 1589. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14 above,
26 each of these documents described above contained misleading statements about
27 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Germany specifically
28 approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and

1 intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or
2 delay recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or
3 delaying recalls of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the
4 scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF Germany’s affiliates would not have
5 sent or approved the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph
6 without ZF Germany’s contributions and approval, ZF Germany was one of the
7 Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA.
8 Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail fraud
9 statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

10 **xi. ST USA violated the mail fraud statute multiple times**
11 **in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
12 **fraudulent scheme.**

13 1590. ST USA regularly received orders from ZF Electronics USA for DS84
14 ASICs, including all the defective DS84 ASICs used in Hyundai-Kia Class
15 Vehicles. In response to these orders ST USA would work with its affiliate, ST
16 Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA’s so-called “ST
17 Micro LAX Hub” near Los Angeles, California. Between 2007 and the present, ST
18 USA caused ST Malaysia to ship well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to
19 this location. In discovery, ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices
20 sent to ZF Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present
21 alone. Each invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where
22 ST Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
23 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
24 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
25 21.⁶

26 _____
27 ⁶ ST USA made similar shipments for Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles between 2009
28 and 2014, but is withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon
information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show a similar
regularity of shipments of DS84 ASICs from Malaysia.

1 1591. ST USA also shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to
2 ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the following address: 902 South 2nd Street,
3 Marshall, Illinois 62441. As explained above, Exhibit 21 provides exemplar
4 approximate shipment dates based on an incomplete set of invoices produced by ST
5 USA.⁷

6 1592. When ST USA required ST Malaysia to make these shipments and
7 then made its own shipments to ZF Electronics USA, it knew ZF Electronics USA
8 would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be
9 installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST
10 USA was also aware of Kia Korea's, Hyundai Korea's, Kia USA's and Hyundai
11 USA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts
12 in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
13 manuals and advertising for all Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. ST USA knew these
14 statements were false because it knew the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
15 and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST USA caused shipments
16 of well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a
17 fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC
18 shipments caused by ST USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

19 **xii. ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute multiple**
20 **times in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST**
21 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

22 1593. Between 2007 and the 2018, ST USA regularly worked with its
23 affiliate, ST Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA's
24 so-called "ST Micro LAX Hub" near Los Angeles, California. During that time

25
26 ⁷ ST USA made similar shipments for Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles between 2009
27 and 2014, but is withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon
28 information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show a similar
regularity of shipments of DS84 ASICs from the STMicro LAX Hub to the ZF
Electronics USA's manufacturing facility in Illinois.

1 period, ST Malaysia shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
2 location. ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
3 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each
4 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
5 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
6 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
7 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
8 21.⁸

9 1594. When ST Malaysia made these shipments, it knew ZF Electronics
10 USA would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those ACUs that
11 would be installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S.
12 consumers. ST Malaysia was also aware of Kia Korea's, Hyundai Korea's, Kia
13 USA's, and Hyundai USA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety,
14 airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-
15 vehicle labels, owner's manuals, and advertising for all Hyundai-Kia Class
16 Vehicles. ST Malaysia knew these statements were false because it knew the
17 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and ASIC were defective. Accordingly,
18 because ST Malaysia caused shipments of well over ten million defective DS84
19 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise
20 members, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments made by ST Malaysia violated the
21 mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

22
23
24
25
26
27 ⁸ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments.

1 **b. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA,**
2 **Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
3 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,**
4 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia advanced their fraudulent**
5 **scheme by concealing material information about a serious**
6 **safety defect that they had a duty to disclose.**

7 1595. The uses of mail and wire described in the section above violated the
8 mail and wire fraud statutes because they furthered a fraudulent scheme to
9 affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA. In addition, these same uses of mail
10 and wire fraud *also* violated the mail and wire fraud statutes because Kia Korea,
11 Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
12 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
13 and ST Malaysia had duties to disclose the ACU Defect.

14 1596. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
15 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
16 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each knew for years that the
17 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles are uniquely
18 vulnerable to EOS. *See* Section IV.D.3. above.

19 1597. To further the goals of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise and to their
20 mutual gain, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
21 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
22 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia concealed what they knew about
23 the existence, scope, and material safety risks of the ACU Defect in the Hyundai-
24 Kia Class Vehicles.

25 1598. Their careful efforts to conceal the ACU Defect in the Hyundai-Kia
26 Class Vehicles were critically important to the viability of their scheme. A decision
27 by any one Defendant or nonparty-Enterprise member to tell the truth about the
28 ACU Defect and its impact of vehicle safety to consumers or to NHTSA would
have been an existential threat to the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise. Instead, and

1 in pursuit of ill-gotten profits, they each kept key information about the ACU
2 Defect hidden for years. This concealment of material facts about the ACU Defect
3 was grounded in and advanced their scheme to defraud consumers through the
4 continued sale of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, and avoidance of costly recalls and
5 related reputational harms.

6 1599. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
7 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
8 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia’s concealment of the ACU Defect
9 violated several independent duties to disclose it.⁹

10 a. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai
11 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and
13 ST Malaysia each had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect
14 because of their exclusive knowledge and far superior
15 information about the ACU Defect.

17
18 ⁹ As vehicle manufacturers and component parts suppliers, Defendants are also
19 subject to statutory duties to disclose known safety defects to consumers and to
20 NHTSA pursuant to the Safety Act and its attendant regulations. *See, e.g.*, 49
21 U.S.C. § 30118(c) (“A manufacturer of a motor vehicle . . . shall notify the
22 Secretary by certified mail or electronic mail, and the owners, purchasers, and
23 dealers of the vehicle . . . as provided in section 30119(d) of this section, if the
24 manufacturer . . . learns the vehicle . . . contains a defect and decides in good faith
25 that the defect is related to motor vehicle safety.”); 49 U.S.C. §30119(d)
26 (manufacturers must notify “each person registered . . . as the owner and whose
27 name and address are reasonably ascertainable”); 49 C.F.R. §573.6(a) (“Each
28 manufacturer shall furnish a report to the NHTSA for each defect . . . in his items of
original . . . equipment that he . . . determines to be related to motor vehicle
safety.”). Plaintiffs previously pled Defendants had a duty to disclose based on
these provisions of the Safety Act, but the Court dismissed an omissions theory
based these alleged duties. Plaintiffs reserve the right to appeal this decision at a
later date, but do not rely upon the Safety Act as a basis for their omissions theory
in this pleading.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- b. These Defendants knew about the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS through their exclusive access to information about their design, development, and testing, and through their confidential and proprietary investigations into suspicious incidents. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, Plaintiffs and consumers lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own.
- c. In addition, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia also each had a duty to disclose because they knew that a defect in the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and their DS84 ACUs and ASICs gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the automotive industry, each of these Defendants knew that this information would have been material to consumers. For example, a February 3, 2004, prospectus filed by ZF TRW Corp. with the SEC observed that “85 percent of recent auto purchasers stated that they look for vehicle safety information before making their final decision.” Nonetheless, these Defendants still did not disclose it.
- d. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia also each had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect

1 because of the actions they took to conceal the ACU Defect in
2 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles from consumers. Each of these
3 Defendants acted to suppress the truth about the ACU Defect
4 through their misleading representations to NHTSA. *See*
5 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., IV.F.12., IV.F.13., IV.F.14.,
6 and IV.F.16 above. Because a truthful and accurate disclosure to
7 NHTSA would have been material to NHTSA’s decision
8 whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the
9 DS84 ACUs and ASICs, the affirmative steps they took to
10 mislead NHTSA about the ACU Defect also precluded
11 Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class
12 members from an opportunity that otherwise have led to their
13 discovery of the truth about the ACU Defect.

14 e. Finally, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai
15 USA affirmatively presented reassuring information about the
16 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles’ airbags, seatbelts, and overall
17 safety to consumers (*see* section IV.E.1. and I.V.E.2. above).
18 Because they opted to make these representations to consumers
19 about these topics, and because they knew information about the
20 ACU Defect that made those representations misleading or
21 untrue, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA
22 were under a separate duty to disclose the full truth about the
23 ACU Defect that materially undermined the reassuring
24 information they presented, or caused to be presented, to
25 consumers.

26 1600. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
27 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
28 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia knew and intended that NHTSA

1 would rely on their and the other members of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's
2 material omissions about the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles to approve them for
3 importation, marketing, and sale to consumers in the United States. And
4 conversely, they also understood that disclosing the ACU Defect would require
5 them to recall and fix the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, which would negatively
6 impact the profits of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

7 1601. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
8 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
9 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia also knew and intended that
10 consumers would rely on their and the other members of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
11 Enterprise's material omissions when deciding to purchase or lease the Hyundai-
12 Kia Class Vehicles. The Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs' reliance on this concealment is
13 demonstrated by the fact that they paid money for Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles that
14 never should have been introduced into the U.S. stream of commerce, and that they
15 overpaid for vehicles with defective safety systems without knowledge of the ACU
16 Defect.

17 **c. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise was an association-in-**
18 **fact enterprise with a common purpose of misleading**
19 **consumers and NHTSA as to the ACU Defect in Hyundai-**
20 **Kia Class Vehicles.**

21 1602. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose and
22 ongoing organization and functioned as a continuing unit.

23 **i. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common**
24 **purpose.**

25 1603. The common purpose of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise was to
26 perpetuate a fraudulent scheme to maximize sales and leases of Hyundai-Kia Class
27 Vehicles while hiding the ACU Defect from purchasers and lessees. Because all of
28 the Enterprise members' continued profits from this scheme ultimately depended on

1 consumers purchasing or leasing Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, the Enterprise
2 needed to convince consumers of a false premise: that Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles
3 had properly-functioning safety systems. Toward this end, the Enterprise needed to
4 make misleading statements to consumers. For this scheme to work, it was also
5 essential for the Enterprise to conceal the ACU Defect from NHTSA, because the
6 agency could halt the sale of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and order recalls that
7 necessarily require public notice of a defect. The expense of these recalls would
8 undermine the profitability of the scheme.

9 1604. This common purpose served the interests of all members of the
10 Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise. By concealing and minimizing the ACU Defect,
11 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
13 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and the nonparty-Enterprise-
14 members maximized their revenue by selling as many Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles
15 as possible while avoiding or limiting the substantial costs to recall and repair the
16 Vehicles and their defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

17 1605. The common purpose of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise is
18 evidenced by Hyundai Korea's, Kia Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia USA's, Hyundai
19 Mobis's, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive
20 USA's repeated, confidential consultations with one another about suspicious
21 crashes involving Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, problems with the design of the
22 DS84 ACU and ASIC, observations of EOS on DS84 ACUs and ASICs, and
23 dangerous safety system malfunctions in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. As the Court
24 has held, consultations about "observed evidence of EOS in Class Vehicles" among
25 Defendants "support[s] a reasonable inference" of a "common purpose of
26 misleading consumers and NHTSA as to the existence of a defect in the ACUs."
27 ECF 396 at 61.

28

1 1606. The common purpose of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise is further
2 evidenced by ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's repeated communications with
3 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA about
4 observations of EOS in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA, ZF
5 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA would regularly share this
6 information with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis by copying
7 excerpts of the reports received from ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia and
8 sending them to Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis, who would then
9 share them with Hyundai USA and Kia USA.

10 1607. The common purpose of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise is also
11 evidenced by coordinated efforts by Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
12 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
13 Automotive USA, and ZF Germany to mislead NHTSA about the existence and
14 scope of the ACU Defect by misleadingly blaming wire harnesses for safety system
15 malfunctions that were caused by the ACU Defect.

16 **ii. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise had an ongoing**
17 **organization.**

18 1608. The participation of separate entities or individuals that have an
19 existence outside an alleged enterprise is evidence of an ongoing organization with
20 its own structure, separate and apart from its members. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea,
21 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
22 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and
23 ST Malaysia each existed separately from the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

- 24 a. During the relevant period, Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea
25 contemporaneously designed, manufactured, and sold many
26 vehicles that do not contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
27 b. During the relevant period, Hyundai USA and Kia USA
28 contemporaneously provided services to Hyundai Korea and Kia

- 1 Korea relating to a large volume of vehicles that do not contain
2 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 3 c. During the relevant period, Hyundai Mobis manufactured and
4 supplied many parts other than the DS84 ACUs to Hyundai
5 Korea and Kia Korea.
- 6 d. During the relevant period, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
7 contemporaneously sold, designed, and/or manufactured many
8 other products aside from the defective DS84 ASICs used in the
9 defective DS84 ACUs.
- 10 e. During the relevant period, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA contemporaneously
12 designed, made, and/or sold many other automotive parts aside
13 from the defective DS84 ACUs.
- 14 f. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany also engaged in a wide variety
15 of business activities unrelated to the defective DS84 ACUs.

16 1609. Another hallmark of an ongoing organization is members with
17 delineated roles that further the organization's goals. Each member performed
18 important but separate roles within the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise
19 organization.

- 20 a. Hyundai Korea designed the Hyundai Class Vehicles, and made
21 many of them in South Korea. For the Hyundai Class Vehicles it
22 made itself, Hyundai Korea added permanent labels to each
23 vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety
24 standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag
25 labels and imprints.
- 26 b. Nonparty Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC made
27 the remaining Hyundai Class Vehicles manufactured outside of
28 South Korea, but had no discretion to depart from Hyundai

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Korea’s mandatory design specifications in the process. Hyundai Korea’s mandatory designs required Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC to add permanent labels to each vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.

- c. Kia Korea designed the Kia Class Vehicles, and made many of them in South Korea. For the Kia Class Vehicles it made itself, Kia Korea added permanent labels to each vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
- d. Nonparty Kia Georgia, Inc. made the remaining Kia Class Vehicles manufactured outside of South Korea, but had no discretion to depart from Kia Korea’s mandatory design specifications in the process. Kia Korea’s mandatory designs required Kia Georgia, Inc. to add permanent labels to each vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
- e. Kia USA received the Kia Class Vehicles made in South Korea from Kia Korea and the Kia Class Vehicles made in the United States from Kia Georgia Inc. It then placed misleading Monroney labels on them and distributed them to dealers. It also was responsible for responding to NHTSA’s investigation into Kia Class Vehicles on behalf of Kia Korea and for all misleading advertising of Kia Class Vehicles to United States consumers.

- 1 f. Hyundai USA received the Hyundai Class Vehicles made in
2 South Korea from Hyundai Korea and the Hyundai Class
3 Vehicles made in the United States from Hyundai Motor
4 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC. It then placed misleading
5 Monroney labels on them and distributed them to dealers. It also
6 was responsible for responding to NHTSA's investigation into
7 Hyundai Class Vehicles on behalf of Hyundai Korea and for all
8 misleading advertising of Hyundai Class Vehicles to United
9 States consumers.
- 10 g. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ACU for
12 use in the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, with Kia Korea's,
13 Hyundai Korea's, ST Italy's, and ST USA's input. ZF
14 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
15 USA also met with, and made misleading statements about the
16 ACU Defect, to NHTSA.
- 17 h. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany approved actions taken by ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
19 USA, and participated directly in making misleading statements
20 to NHTSA about the ACU Defect.
- 21 i. ZF Electronics USA made and shipped the DS84 ACUs to
22 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC and Kia Georgia
23 Inc.
- 24 j. Hyundai Mobis also manufactured DS84 ACUs in South Korea
25 and shipped them to Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea.
- 26 k. ST Italy and ST USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ASIC,
27 with input from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
28 and ZF Automotive USA.

- 1 l. ST Malaysia manufactured the defective DS84 ASICs and
- 2 shipped them to ST USA in California.
- 3 m. ST USA sold and shipped the defective DS84 ASIC to ZF
- 4 Electronics USA.
- 5 n. Each of the Defendants separately ensured that NHTSA and
- 6 consumers did not discover the ACU Defect.

7 1610. The Enterprise members dedicated personnel to the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-

8 ST Enterprise’s scheme, which further evidences the ongoing structure of the

9 Enterprise. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF

10 Automotive USA dedicated an entire applications team to implement the defective

11 DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles in 2008. This team included ZF

12 Passive Safety USA employees Hiro Kawakubo, Kyle Pellar-Kosbar, and Ed

13 Wampuszyc, potentially among others.

14 1611. When the passenger safety systems in Hyundai-Kia vehicles

15 repeatedly malfunctioned due to the ACU Defect over the course of several years

16 (starting at least as early as 2010), Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia

17 USA, and Hyundai USA routinely sought the involvement and assistance of ZF

18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST Italy, ST USA,

19 and ST Malaysia. These Defendants repeatedly coordinated, directly or indirectly,

20 with Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA on

21 these issues, including by assigning several investigations for Hyundai-Kia Class

22 Vehicles to the same personnel. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive

23 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA assigned Emanuel Goodman with the task of

24 analyzing DS84 ACUs from Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

26 [REDACTED]

27 1612. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise held multiple meetings to discuss

28 the ACU Defect and observations of ASIC EOS in Hyundai-Kia vehicles with

1 airbag failures. For example, in May 2012, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
2 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis held
3 a meeting on this topic.

4 1613. When NHTSA began to investigate the defective DS84 ACUs in 2015,
5 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany,
6 ZF TRW Corp., Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, and
7 Hyundai USA repeatedly met to discuss the subject. In 2016, ZF Electronics USA,
8 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany, ZF TRW Corp.,
9 shared excerpts of their misleading communications with NHTSA with Kia Korea,
10 Hyundai Korea, and Hyundai Mobis. For the next two years, these companies
11 repeatedly communicated about the ACU Defect and NHTSA investigation with
12 each other, as well as Kia America and Hyundai America. These repeated
13 communications allowed the participants in the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise to
14 coordinate their efforts to downplay the ACU Defect and avoid and minimize
15 recalls.

16 **iii. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise functioned as a**
17 **continuing unit.**

18 1614. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise continued for several years, at
19 least during the time period of 2008 to the present. Although Hyundai USA and Kia
20 USA stopped distributing new Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU in 2018 or 2019,
21 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles continue to sell on the used car market with
22 misleading in-vehicle statements and consumer-facing marketing (such as vehicle
23 brochures) made by the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

24 1615. During this protracted time, the members of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
25 Enterprise remained stable, with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis,
26 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
27 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia, ST Italy, Hyundai Motor
28 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC and Kia Georgia, Inc. remaining active members for

1 nearly a decade of ongoing production and sales of the Hyundai-Kia Class
2 Vehicles. ZF Germany, on the other hand, participated in the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
3 Enterprise shortly after acquiring ZF TRW Corp. in 2015.

4 **d. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of**
5 **racketeering caused Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and the**
6 **Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members to overpay for**
7 **Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles at the point of sale or lease.**

8 1616. Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members
9 are “person[s] injured in his or her business or property” by reason of the Hyundai-
10 Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s RICO violations, within the meaning of U.S.C. § 1964(c).
11 These The Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members are
12 entitled to bring this action for three times their actual damages, as well as
13 injunctive/equitable relief, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 18
14 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

15 1617. Because of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of
16 racketeering activity, the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia
17 Class members have been injured in their business and/or property through their
18 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with
19 an undisclosed safety defect.

20 1618. By making misleading statements and omissions at or before the point
21 of sale or lease, the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise directly or indirectly obtained
22 money from Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class
23 members by means of materially false or fraudulent misrepresentations and
24 omissions of material facts. Had they known what the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
25 Enterprise members knew about the ACU Defect, the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and
26 the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members would not have purchased the
27 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, or would not have paid as much as they did for them.
28

1 1619. Had Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai
2 Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
3 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia not concealed, and instead
4 decided to disclose, the information they knew about the ACU Defect and its
5 impact on vehicle safety, the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Hyundai-
6 Kia Class members would have learned of the disclosure.

7 a. The Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia
8 Class members would have learned about the ACU Defect
9 through any of the channels through the Hyundai-Kia Class
10 Vehicles were marketed to them. In other words, had Kia Korea,
11 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA made a disclosure
12 in *any* of the places in which it otherwise communicated
13 information about the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, Hyundai-
14 Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members
15 would have seen it. This includes in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicle
16 brochures and other advertising, on Monroney labels,
17 certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels, airbag warning
18 lamps, and in owner's manuals.

19 b. Further, Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia
20 Class members would have learned about the ACU Defect at the
21 times and places that they purchased or leased their Class
22 Vehicles. For example, had Kia USA or Hyundai USA made a
23 disclosure about the ACU Defect to authorized Hyundai and Kia
24 dealerships, sales personnel at the dealerships would have
25 passed on that material information to consumers at the time of
26 the contemplated purchases.

27 c. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
28 and existence of the ACU Defect to NHTSA, Hyundai-Kia

1 Plaintiffs and Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members would
2 have learned of it because NHTSA would have considered this
3 information material to its decision to require a recall, which
4 information would have been made public and passed onto
5 impacted consumers.

6 d. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
7 and existence of the ACU Defect to consumers or the public,
8 either through press releases, on their websites, or in any other
9 public channel or forum, Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs and Nationwide
10 Hyundai-Kia Class members would have learned of it due to the
11 materiality of this information about a serious safety defect in
12 millions of vehicles. Given the seriousness of the information
13 and the number of vehicles impacted, the news media and
14 consumer forums and blogs would pick up the story. This is
15 particularly so in the wake of the massive Takata recall and
16 litigation, which confirmed the strong public interest in airbags
17 and vehicle safety. For example, an April 23, 2019 article
18 available on ConsumerReports.com described NHTSA's
19 expanded investigation into the DS84 ACUs to be "the agency's
20 most in-depth look at airbags since the recall of more than 56
21 million airbags made by Takata."

22 1620. The Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's misleading statements to
23 NHTSA between 2016 and the present were essential to the scheme because
24 NHTSA would not have allowed continued sale of unremedied Hyundai-Kia Class
25 Vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs. At the very least, these misleading
26 statements delayed NHTSA's broader investigation of the Hyundai-Kia Class
27 Vehicles until April 2019, when NHTSA launched an Engineering Analysis
28 covering all unrecalled Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief,

1 ZF Electronics USA stopped making DS84 ACUs for the 2020 model year based in
2 large part on this investigation. Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA would have
3 stopped making DS84 ACUs if NHTSA had launched a broader investigation in
4 2016. For this reason, Plaintiffs who purchased and leased Hyundai-Kia Class
5 Vehicles after the first misleading statement to NHTSA by the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
6 Enterprise would have avoided purchasing or leasing their Hyundai-Kia Class
7 Vehicles entirely, or they would have paid less for them.

8 1621. Consumers are the only direct victims of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST
9 Enterprise's alleged fraudulent and misleading statements to NHTSA. NHTSA has
10 not suffered any reported, direct injury as a result of such conduct.

11 1622. Damages will not be difficult to ascertain; the Hyundai-Kia Plaintiffs
12 and the Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class members' damages are the difference
13 between what they paid for Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles without an ACU Defect,
14 and the value of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles they actually received. In the
15 similar *Takata* airbag litigation, for example, plaintiffs also alleged overpayment
16 damages suffered at the point of sale based on a dangerous airbag defect. Plaintiffs'
17 experts in that case performed a conjoint analysis using surveys of consumers and
18 found that the price premium paid by class members was at least ten percent of the
19 purchase price. A similar analysis could be performed in this litigation. Other
20 methodologies are also viable.

21 1623. All victims of Defendants' alleged conduct who claim to have
22 overpaid for the purchase or lease of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles are within the
23 alleged Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class. Consequently, there are no issues with
24 respect to reapportionment or multiple recovery.

25
26
27
28

1 **2. Nationwide Count 2: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the**
3 **Nationwide Hyundai-Kia Class Against Kia Korea, Hyundai**
4 **Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics**
5 **USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW**
6 **Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.**

7 1624. As applicable to this case, it is also unlawful “for any person to
8 conspire to violate” 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). To conspire in
9 violation of section 1962(c), the defendant must be “aware of the essential nature
10 and scope of the enterprise.” ECF 396 at 77. Enterprise members conspire to violate
11 section 1962(c) when “two or more people agree[] to commit a crime” and
12 “knowingly and willfully participate[] in the agreement. . . . The illegal agreement
13 need not be express as long as its existence can be inferred from the words, actions,
14 or interdependence of activities and persons involved.” *Id.* A defendant who
15 “agreed to facilitate a scheme” violates section 1962(d) even if he “does not himself
16 commit or agree to commit the two or more predicate acts requisite to the
17 underlying offense.” *Salinas v. United States*, 522 U.S. 52, 65-66 (1997).

18 1625. As explained in the section below, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia
19 USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
20 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and
21 ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-
22 ST Enterprise. Count 1 describes this Enterprise.

23 1626. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
24 and/or interdependence, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA,
25 Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
26 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany agreed to facilitate the following acts of
27 mail and wire fraud:

- 28 a. Hyundai USA’s and Kia USA’s interstate shipments between
 2009 and 2019 of millions of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with

1 misleading Monroney labels, readiness indicators, in-vehicle
2 airbag labels and imprints, and owners' manuals; and
3 b. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2008 and
4 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to Kia Georgia, Inc. and
5 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC.

6 1627. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
7 and/or interdependence, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
8 Italy, and ST Malaysia also agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail fraud:

- 9 a. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2009 and
10 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to Kia Georgia, Inc. and
11 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC;
12 b. ST Malaysia's interstate shipments between 2008 and 2019 of
13 millions of DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California; and
14 c. ST USA's interstate shipments between 2008 and 2019 of
15 millions DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

16 1628. The words, actions, or interdependence of activities of each of these
17 Defendants support the inference of agreement.

18 1629. Accordingly, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA,
19 Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
20 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each
21 violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

22 1630. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis,
23 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
24 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each reached an
25 agreement with certain Enterprise members that one or more of the members of the
26 Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise described in Count 1 above would commit at least
27 two predicate acts of mail and/or wire fraud. Accordingly, each of these Defendants
28 violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

1 1631. These violations caused the same injuries and damages described in
2 the prior Count. This Count incorporates by reference the allegations as to injury,
3 damages, and causation from the prior Count.

4 a. **Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, ZF**
5 **Electronics USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
6 **ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
7 **USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were all aware of the**
8 **essential nature and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST**
9 **Enterprise.**

10 1632. For a Defendant to conspire in violation of the RICO Act, it must be
11 aware of the essential nature and scope of the enterprise, even if some details about
12 the enterprise's illegal activities and members are unknown. Each Defendant had
13 this awareness.

14 i. **Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai**
15 **USA understood the nature and scope of the Hyundai-**
16 **Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

17 1633. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA were aware
18 of the essential nature and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

19 1634. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai USA, and Hyundai
20 Mobis are, and at all relevant times were, closely related corporate parties, given
21 their crossholdings in each other's stock and longstanding business relationships.
22 They monitor and/or are aware of each other's activities.

23 1635. As explained in Section IV.D.3. above, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea,
24 Kia USA, and Hyundai USA knew about the ACU Defect.

25 1636. When Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea decided to include DS84 ACUs
26 in their mandatory design specifications for each model year of the Hyundai-Kia
27 Class Vehicles, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA knew that
28 the nonparty-Enterprise members Kia Georgia Inc. and Hyundai Motor
Manufacturing Alabama, LLC would follow Kia Korea's and Hyundai Korea's

1 mandatory design specifications for the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Because these
2 Hyundai and Kia specifications were available to Hyundai USA and Kia USA,
3 respectively, they have always known precisely which makes and models of the
4 Class Vehicles associated with their brands would have the defective DS84 ACU
5 and DS84 ASIC.

6 1637. Throughout the relevant period, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai
7 USA, and Kia USA knew that the STMicroelectronics companies were responsible
8 for designing and manufacturing the DS84 ASIC for the DS84 ACUs used in
9 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

10 1638. Between 2008 and the present, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai
11 USA, and Kia USA have continuously tracked the volume of sales of Hyundai-Kia
12 vehicle makes and models in the United States. Accordingly, during the relevant
13 time period, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA knew roughly how many Hyundai
14 Class Vehicles would likely sell in the United States, and Kia Korea and Kia USA
15 knew roughly how many Kia Class Vehicles would likely sell in the United States.

16 1639. During each year between 2009 and the present, Hyundai Korea, Kia
17 Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA knew that reassuring certification labels, in-
18 vehicle airbag labels and imprints, and readiness indicators would be placed in
19 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles prior to the shipment to dealers in the United States.
20 They knew this would occur because Hyundai Korea's and Kia Korea's mandatory
21 designs required these statements to be placed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.
22 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA knew that consumers
23 would rely on some or all of these in-vehicle labels when purchasing or leasing
24 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

25 1640. During each year between 2009 and the present, Hyundai Korea and
26 Kia Korea knew that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would advertise the Hyundai-Kia
27 Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with properly functioning airbags and seatbelts.
28 Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA knew that consumers

1 would rely on such advertisements when purchasing or leasing Hyundai-Kia Class
2 Vehicles.

3 1641. During each year between 2008 and the present, Hyundai Korea and
4 Kia Korea knew that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would ship Hyundai-Kia Class
5 Vehicles with owner's manuals that included misleading statements about the
6 safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts of the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Hyundai
7 Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA knew that consumers would rely on
8 such advertisements when purchasing or leasing Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

9 1642. During each year between 2009 and the present, Kia Korea and
10 Hyundai Korea knew that Kia USA and Hyundai USA would create and affix
11 Monroney stickers with misleading statements about airbags and seatbelts to Kia
12 and Hyundai Class Vehicles, respectively. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
13 and Hyundai USA knew that consumers would rely on Monroney labels when
14 purchasing or leasing Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles.

15 1643. During each year between 2008 and the present, Hyundai Korea, Kia
16 Korea, Kia USA and Hyundai USA knew that Hyundai Mobis would place orders
17 with ZF Electronics USA, and that ZF Electronics USA would use private interstate
18 carriers to ship the defective DS84 ACUs to the plants that manufacture Hyundai-
19 Kia Class Vehicles.

20 1644. During each year between 2008 and the present, Hyundai Korea and
21 Kia Korea knew that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would cause the Hyundai-Kia
22 Class Vehicles to ship from manufacturing plants to automobile dealers across the
23 United States.

24 1645. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA knew in
25 2016 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
26 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany had made misleading statement to NHTSA about the
27 defect because in early 2016 they received copies of the misleading slide deck dated
28 February 5, 2016.

1 **ii. Hyundai Mobis understood the nature and scope of**
2 **the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent**
3 **scheme.**

4 1646. Hyundai Mobis was aware of the essential nature and scope of the
5 Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

6 1647. As explained in Section IV.D.3. above, Hyundai Mobis knew about
7 the ACU Defect.

8 1648. Hyundai Mobis knew which Hyundai Class Vehicle makes and models
9 would use the DS84 ACU because it needed that information to place the
10 appropriate orders and build the DS84 ACUs for Hyundai Sonata Hybrids, Kia
11 Fortes, and Kia Sedonas.

12 1649. Throughout the relevant period, Hyundai Mobis knew that the
13 STMicroelectronics companies were responsible for designing and manufacturing
14 the DS84 ASIC for the DS84 ACUs used in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Upon
15 information and belief, Hyundai Mobis received its own shipments of DS84 ASICs
16 from ST Malaysia.

17 1650. Hyundai Mobis knew the volume of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with
18 the DS84 ACUs because it placed the orders for the ACUs for the Hyundai-Kia
19 Class Vehicles built in the United States, and because it built the DS84 ACUs for
20 the remaining Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles itself.

21 1651. Hyundai Mobis knew ZF Electronics USA would use private or
22 commercial interstate carrier(s) to ship DS84 ACUs to Kia Georgia, Inc. and
23 Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC because Hyundai Mobis placed the
24 orders that generated these shipments.

25 1652. During each year between 2009 and the present, Hyundai Mobis knew
26 that reassuring certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints, and
27 readiness indicators would be placed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles prior to their
28 shipment to dealers in the United States.

1 1653. During each year between 2009 and the present, Hyundai Mobis knew
2 that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would advertise the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles
3 as safe vehicles with properly functioning airbags and seatbelts.

4 1654. During each year between 2008 and the present, Hyundai Mobis knew
5 that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would cause the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles to
6 ship from manufacturing plants to automobile dealers across the United States.

7 1655. Hyundai Mobis knew in 2016 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany had made
9 misleading statement to NHTSA about the defect because it received copies of the
10 misleading slide deck dated February 5, 2016 in early 2016.

11 **iii. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
12 **Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany**
13 **understood the nature and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-**
14 **ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

15 1656. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
16 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the essential nature and scope of
17 the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

18 1657. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU
20 Defect, because ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety
21 USA designed, manufactured, and/or sold the DS84 ACUs, confirmed the
22 vulnerability of the DS84 ACU to EOS in testing, and investigated dozens of field
23 incidents and crash tests where the vehicle’s safety system malfunctioned due to
24 ASIC EOS. ZF TRW Corp. had access to the information in the possession of these
25 companies because it owned them. ZF Germany gained access to this information
26 in 2015 when it acquired ZF TRW Corp.

27 1658. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
28 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew hundreds of thousands of Hyundai-Kia

1 Class Vehicles had the DS84 ACU because it made the ACUs for hundreds of
2 thousands of Kia Optimas and Hyundai Sonatas, and knew Hyundai Mobis was
3 making DS84 ACUs for Kia Fortes, Kia Sedonas, and Hyundai Sonata Hybrids.

4 1659. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
5 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew that Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai
6 USA, and/or Kia USA would make reassuring statements about the Hyundai-Kia
7 Class Vehicle's safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts.

8 **iv. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia understood the**
9 **nature and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST**
10 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

11 1660. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature
12 and scope of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise.

13 1661. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the nature and
14 scope of the ACU Defect, because ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia sold,
15 designed, and/or manufactured the defective DS84 ASIC. They knew of test results
16 that confirmed the vulnerability of the DS84 ASIC to EOS and confirmed EOS on
17 the DS84 ASICs retrieved from many field incidents and crash tests where the
18 vehicle's safety system malfunctioned due to ASIC EOS.

19 1662. Upon information and belief, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
20 knew the defective DS84 ASICs would be installed in the Hyundai-Kia Class
21 Vehicles. These companies also understood that automakers like the Hyundai-Kia
22 Defendants would advertise their vehicle's safety systems to consumers, and that
23 those safety systems would not work properly as a result of the DS84 ASIC's
24 vulnerability to EOS.

25 1663. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy were aware of the large scope of
26 the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise, among other reasons, because ST Malaysia and
27 ST USA made and sold the DS84 ASICs for the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles and
28

1 all these companies had access to records that showed millions of defective DS84
2 ASICs were shipping to Illinois per ZF Electronics USA's instructions.

3 **b. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia USA,**
4 **Hyundai Mobis, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,**
5 **ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany**
6 **agreed that one or more members of the Enterprise would**
7 **commit at least two predicate acts of mail or wire fraud in**
8 **furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's**
9 **fraudulent scheme.**

10 1664. Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, and Hyundai Mobis, ZF Passive Safety
11 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA began conspiring in
12 furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme in 2007.

13 1665. ZF TRW Corp. joined the conspiracy by no later than 2009, when its
14 executive signed a contract with Hyundai Mobis that governed the purchases of the
15 DS84 ACU.

16 1666. Hyundai USA and Kia USA joined the conspiracy when they began
17 shipping and advertising Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles in 2009.

18 1667. ZF Germany joined the conspiracy in or around 2015, when it acquired
19 ZF TRW Corp.

20 1668. When Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea agreed to use the defective DS84
21 ACU and ASIC in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea,
22 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
23 USA, and ZF Automotive USA mutually understood and intended that this
24 agreement would prompt Hyundai Mobis to cause ZF Electronics USA to ship
25 DS84 ACUs across state lines and Kia USA and Hyundai USA to ship the
26 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with misleading statements about the passive safety
27 system, airbags, and seatbelts therein.

28 a. Between 2007 and 2009, Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea agreed
 with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF

1 Automotive USA on the design specifications for the DS84
2 ACU installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles. Hyundai Korea,
3 Kia Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
4 ZF Automotive USA continued to agree on specifications for
5 Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU for every
6 model year until 2019.

7 b. Between 2009 and 2019, Kia USA and Hyundai USA used mail
8 and wire to advertise the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles as safe
9 vehicles with properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and
10 used private interstate carriers to ship the Hyundai-Kia Class
11 Vehicles with misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and
12 imprints, certification labels, readiness indicators, and owner's
13 manuals. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, ZF Passive Safety USA,
14 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Hyundai Mobis
15 all knew that Kia Korea and Hyundai Korea were doing this and
16 would do this.

17 c. When Kia Korea and Hyundai Korea agreed with ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on
19 specifications for the DS84 ACUs in Hyundai-Kia Class
20 Vehicles, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
21 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp. (and
22 ZF Germany after 2015), and Hyundai Mobis each mutually
23 understood and planned for Hyundai Mobis to send orders for
24 hundreds of thousands of DS84 ACUs every year via mail or
25 wire to ZF Electronics USA. They also both knew that ZF
26 Electronics USA would then ship hundreds of thousands of
27 DS84 ACUs via private interstate carrier to the nonparty-
28 Enterprise-members Kia Georgia, Inc. and Hyundai Motor

1 Manufacturing Alabama, LLC. The shipment of the defective
2 ACUs furthered (and was essential to) the scheme because the
3 goal of the scheme was to cause consumers to overpay for
4 vehicles with the defective DS84 ACU.

5 1669. As explained in Count 1 above, the shipments of Hyundai-Kia Class
6 Vehicles by Kia USA and Hyundai USA, the orders by Hyundai Mobis for DS84
7 ACUs for Kia Georgia, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, and
8 the shipments by ZF Electronics USA of the DS84 ACUs to the same two
9 manufacturers violated the mail fraud statute because they furthered the Hyundai-
10 Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to cause consumers to purchase or lease
11 vehicles that contain the ACU Defect. To accomplish this goal, the DS84 ACUs
12 needed to be shipped before they could be installed in the vehicles.

13 a. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA facilitated these mail
15 fraud act violations by collaborating on the defective design of
16 the ACU, the readiness indicators, and Hyundai-Kia Class
17 Vehicles.

18 b. Kia Korea and Hyundai Kia further facilitated these mail fraud
19 violations by (1) requiring all manufacturers of Hyundai-Kia
20 Class Vehicles to install the DS84 ACUs therein, and (2) placing
21 the misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, and
22 airbag labels and imprints within the Hyundai-Kia Class
23 Vehicles it made in Korea, and requiring the Kia Georgia, Inc.
24 and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC to do the
25 same.

26 c. ZF TRW Corp. facilitated the scheme because, upon
27 information and belief, its approval was required for the launch
28

1 of the DS84 ACU, which was one of the company's most
2 popular ACUs.

3 d. ZF Germany facilitated the scheme because, upon information
4 and belief, its approval was required to continue the sales of the
5 DS84 ACU.

6 1670. The conspiracy among Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, Hyundai
7 USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
8 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany is further evidenced by their
9 coordinated effort to cover up the ACU Defect.

10 a. For several years, Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA,
11 Hyundai USA, Hyundai Mobis, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
12 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA uncovered
13 evidence that DS84 ASICs and DS84 ACUs were failing as a
14 result of EOS, but they maintained the confidentiality of these
15 incidents among each other.

16 b. Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, Hyundai
17 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
18 Passive Safety USA repeatedly coordinated with each other in
19 response to NHTSA's investigation. In 2016, ZF Electronics
20 USA alerted Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea to NHTSA's
21 investigation of the DS84 ACUs and sent excerpted copies of
22 ZF's misleading February 5, 2016 slide deck to NHTSA as part
23 of an effort to coordinate with Kia Korea and Hyundai Korea to
24 conceal the ACU Defect. In 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, Kia
25 Korea, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai Mobis, Kia USA, Hyundai
26 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
27 Passive Safety USA repeatedly discussed NHTSA's
28 investigation.

1 1671. The joint activities of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
2 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany in support of their
3 misleading statements to NHTSA were predicate acts and also show agreement by
4 these Defendants to further the fraudulent scheme.

5 1672. ZF Electronics USA's placement of orders for DS84 ASICs and
6 shipments of DS84 ACUs, with knowledge of the ACU Defect, were predicate acts
7 and also show agreement by ZF Electronics USA to further the fraudulent scheme.

8 1673. The success of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
9 depended upon Kia Korea's, Hyundai Korea's, Kia USA's, Hyundai USA's,
10 Hyundai Mobis's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, ZF Electronics USA's, and ZF
11 Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had to maintain strict
12 confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue. Moreover, the
13 Hyundai-Kia companies depended on the ZF companies for the manufacture (and
14 the license to manufacture) of the defective ACUs, whereas the ZF companies
15 could not reach consumers of Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles without the agreement of
16 Hyundai Korea and Kia Korea. This interdependence further evidences the
17 agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

18 **i. ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA,**
19 **ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA**
20 **agreed on the commission of multiple violations of the**
21 **mail fraud statute in furtherance of the Hyundai-Kia-**
22 **ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

23 1674. ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA began conspiring with ZF Passive
24 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA in 2005, when the two
25 supplier groups began the joint design of an ACU ASIC with unique vulnerability
26 to ASIC EOS. By 2008, all these companies knew about internal thermal testing
27 that confirmed the weakness of the DS84 ASIC.

28 1675. Even after learning that DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs had
malfunctioned due to EOS during crashes, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ST USA, ZF

1 Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to
2 sell and send shipments of the parts. When doing so, these companies all knew that
3 Kia Korea, Hyundai Korea, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA would coordinate to cause
4 the Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles with the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC to be
5 presented to consumers with misleading certification labels, airbag labels and
6 imprints, and readiness indicators.

7 1676. Several actions by ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA further support
8 an inference of agreements with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
9 ZF Automotive USA to commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the
10 conspiracy:

- 11 a. ST Italy met with Hyundai Korea, Kia Korea, Hyundai Mobis,
12 ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive
13 Safety in May 2012 to discuss the ACU Defect.
- 14 b. Between September 2009 and 2018, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST
15 Malaysia regularly communicated with ZF Automotive USA,
16 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about
17 observations of EOS in DS84 ASICs, including some ASICs
18 from Hyundai-Kia vehicles. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
19 Malaysia's DS84 ASIC team confirmed EOS damage on ASICs
20 retrieved from at least seven Hyundai-Kia vehicles with ACU
21 malfunctions during crashes.
- 22 c. Upon information and belief, in 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent each ST
24 Defendant excerpted copies of its misleading statements from its
25 February 5, 2016 slide deck.
- 26 d. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA and ST
27 Malaysia continuously violated the mail fraud act in furtherance
28 of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise by shipping defective

1 DS84 ASICs with a mutual understanding that some of these
2 ASICs would be installed in Hyundai-Kia Class Vehicles, as
3 explained above.

4 e. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA, ST Italy, and
5 ST Malaysia maintained public silence about the ACU Defect,
6 despite the observed evidence of the DS84 ASIC's and ACU's
7 unusual vulnerability to transients.

8 1677. The success of the Hyundai-Kia-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
9 depended upon ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
10 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had
11 to maintain strict confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue.
12 Moreover, the ZF companies depended upon the ST companies for the manufacture
13 of the defective ASICs, whereas the ST companies depended upon the ZF
14 companies for a viable path to profit from the consumers of Hyundai-Kia Class
15 Vehicles. This interdependence further evidences the agreement to further the
16 fraudulent scheme.

17 **3. Nationwide Count 3: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
18 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the**
19 **Nationwide FCA Class Against FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
20 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.

21 1678. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
22 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

23 1679. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c): "It shall be unlawful for any person
24 employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which
25 affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or
26 indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of
27 racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt." FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
28 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,

1 and ST Malaysia are “persons” under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3) because each was
2 capable of holding “a legal or beneficial interest in property.”

3 1680. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) has four elements: “(1) conduct (2)
4 of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity.” ECF 396 at 59
5 (quoting *Sedima v. Imrex Co.*, 473 U.S. 479, 496 (1985)).

6 1681. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
7 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia, and several
8 nonparties formed the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise. The members of this Enterprise
9 included Defendants FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
10 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
11 Malaysia. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise also included several nonparty individuals
12 and corporations, for example, FCA Mexico Sa. De Cv., a manufacturing
13 subsidiary based in Toluca, Mexico. FCA’s bankrupt predecessor, Chrysler LLC,
14 was also a nonparty-Enterprise member. Discovery will likely reveal several
15 additional members of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise that are not currently known to
16 the FCA Plaintiffs.

17 1682. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
18 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are liable under 18
19 U.S.C. § 1962(c) because they conducted or participated in the conduct of the
20 affairs of an “association-in-fact enterprise”—i.e., the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise—
21 through a pattern of racketeering activity. In other words, each of these Defendants
22 committed at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the Enterprise’s fraudulent
23 scheme.

24 1683. 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) provides for a civil remedy for any violation of 18
25 U.S.C. § 1962 for “[a]ny person injured in his business or property by reason of a
26 violation of section 1962 of this chapter.” In addition to proving a violation of
27 § 1962, this remedy requires proximate cause of a cognizable injury. ECF 396 at
28 59.

1 1684. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
2 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each violated 18
3 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the business or property of the FCA Plaintiffs and the
4 Nationwide FCA Class. The FCA Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the
5 Nationwide FCA Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

6 **a. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
7 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,**
8 **and ST Malaysia each committed at least two predicate acts**
9 **of mail and wire fraud in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST**
10 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme to affirmatively mislead**
11 **consumers and NHTSA.**

12 1685. The members of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise devised a scheme for the
13 purpose of defrauding consumers and NHTSA by concealing or minimizing the
14 ACU Defect in FCA Class Vehicles through a pattern of affirmatively misleading
15 statements.

16 1686. In the alternative, the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise members devised an
17 illicit scheme for the purpose of obtaining money by fraudulent pretenses to
18 maximize the sale of FCA Class Vehicles, which ultimately provided revenue to the
19 FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise members.

20 1687. To carry out, or attempt to carry out, the fraudulent schemes, FCA, ZF
21 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
22 ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia—each of whom is a person associated-in-
23 fact with the Enterprise—knowingly conducted or participated, directly or
24 indirectly, in the affairs of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise through a pattern of
25 racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1), 1961(5), and
26 1962(c). In furtherance of the schemes, these FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise members each
27 committed *at least* two acts in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud) and
28 § 1343 (wire fraud), as described in the subsections below.

1 **i. FCA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes multiple**
2 **times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
3 **fraudulent scheme.**

4 1688. For every FCA Class Vehicle shipped on or after June 10, 2009,¹⁰
5 FCA delivered, or caused delivery of, each vehicle by private or commercial
6 interstate carrier to automobile dealerships across the United States.¹¹ FCA
7 delivered millions of Class Vehicles to execute its scheme to defraud consumers
8 and NHTSA. These deliveries furthered the scheme because FCA sent the vehicles
9 to the dealerships where consumers purchased or leased them and because, prior to
10 shipping the FCA Class Vehicles, FCA affixed, or caused to be affixed, several
11 affirmatively misleading statements on the Vehicles, including:

- 12 a. Misleading Monroney labels in every model year for all FCA
13 Class Vehicles shipped on or after June 10, 2009 (*see* Section
14 IV.E.1.a. above);
- 15 b. Misleading certification labels in every model year for all FCA
16 Class Vehicles made on or after June 10, 2009 (*see* Section
17 IV.E.1.b. above);
- 18 c. Misleading readiness indicators in every model year for all FCA
19 Class Vehicles made on or after June 10, 2009 (*see* Section
20 IV.E.1.c. above); and
- 21 d. Misleading in-vehicle labelling in every model year for all FCA
22 Class Vehicles made on or after June 10, 2009 (*see* Section
23 IV.E.1.d. above).

24
25 _____
26 ¹⁰ Nonparty conspirator Chrysler LLC was responsible for all in-vehicle statements
described below for FCA Class Vehicles that shipped prior to June 10, 2009.

27 ¹¹ In relevant part, the mail fraud statute imposes criminal liability for a person who
28 “deposits or caused to be deposited any matter or thing to be sent or delivered by
any private or commercial interstate carrier.” 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

1 1689. Each instance in which FCA shipped, or caused the shipment of, FCA
2 Class Vehicles to a dealer was a violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C.
3 § 1341) because FCA knew the four categories of affirmatively misleading
4 statements affixed to each Class Vehicle were misleading and would further the
5 scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing FCA Class Vehicles. Each
6 of these statements misleadingly assured consumers that the FCA Class Vehicles
7 had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts when, in fact, the
8 safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety defect due to the
9 vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS. FCA placed the statements on
10 the FCA Class Vehicles made in the United States. For FCA Class Vehicles made
11 in Mexico, FCA’s mandatory designs for the FCA Class Vehicles required FCA
12 Mexico Sa. De Cv. to do the same.

13 1690. Although the precise shipment dates for all FCA Class Vehicles are
14 not known to the FCA Plaintiffs, shipments occurred at least in each year from
15 2011 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to,
16 and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these transactions are
17 alleged above in Section II.B.2.

18 1691. Starting on June 10, 2009, FCA also transmitted, or caused to be
19 transmitted, tens (perhaps hundreds) of thousands of advertisements which stressed
20 the safety of FCA Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television
21 communications in interstate commerce.¹² FCA’s misleading advertisements are
22 too numerous to recite completely, given the nationwide scope and decade-long
23 duration of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme. Examples of these
24 advertisements are collected in Section IV.E.2.a.iii. and Exhibit 10. Each such
25 mailed advertisement—including brochures sent to dealerships for display to
26

27 ¹² Nonparty conspirator Chrysler LLC was responsible for all misleading
28 advertisements about FCA Class Vehicles mailed or transmitted prior to June 10,
2009.

1 consumers or print advertisements in newspapers or magazines—was a violation of
2 the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such internet-based, radio, and
3 television advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C.
4 § 1343). Each advertisement that directly or indirectly assured consumers that the
5 FCA Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts
6 was affirmatively misleading because the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts in
7 FCA Class Vehicles had a dangerous safety defect due to the vulnerability of the
8 DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC to EOS. FCA knew advertisements assuring the safety
9 of FCA Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud
10 consumers into purchasing or leasing FCA Class Vehicles.

11 1692. FCA deposited, or caused the deposit of, misleading owner’s manuals
12 inside every FCA Class Vehicle that shipped on or after June 10, 2009. These
13 owner’s manuals contain affirmatively misleading statements summarized in
14 Exhibit 16. These statements assured consumers that the FCA Class Vehicles had
15 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and therefore would have
16 suggested to any reasonable consumer that the Occupant Restraint System did not
17 suffer from the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating
18 the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. This was false because the FCA Class
19 Vehicles were equipped with a DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC, both of which had a
20 defect that can cause the FCA Class Vehicle’s airbags and seatbelts to fail. FCA
21 knew the owner’s manuals were misleading and would further the scheme to
22 defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing FCA Class Vehicles. Accordingly,
23 each shipment of an owner’s manual was a separate violation of the mail fraud
24 statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

25 1693. FCA filed a misleading 573 Defect Report with NHTSA on September
26 13, 2016. Upon information and belief, FCA used mail to send a paper copy to
27 NHTSA on that day and also used wire communications to send an electronic copy
28 to NHTSA that day. These transmittals violated the mail and wire fraud statutes (18

1 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343) because, as explained in Section IV.F.7., the 573 Defect
2 Report contained misleading statements denying a defect in the unrecalled FCA
3 Class Vehicles. FCA knew these statements in the 573 Defect Report were
4 misleading and would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or
5 leasing the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles by avoiding a recall of these vehicles.
6 FCA also knew these affirmatively misleading statements in the 573 Defect Report
7 would be made publicly available to all consumers. Accordingly, sending the
8 misleading 573 Defect Report to NHTSA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes.
9 (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343).

10 1694. FCA also filed a misleading amended 573 Defect Report with NHTSA
11 on November 29, 2016. Upon information and belief, FCA used mail to send a
12 paper copy to NHTSA on that day and also used wire communications to send an
13 electronic copy to NHTSA that day. These transmittals violated the mail and wire
14 fraud statutes (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343) because, as explained in Section IV.F.7.,
15 the amended 573 Defect Report misleadingly described the recall remedy. FCA
16 knew these statements in the 573 Defect Report were affirmatively misleading and
17 would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing the
18 unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles by avoiding a more expensive remedy for the
19 recalled FCA Class Vehicles and allowing FCA to continue to use the same
20 replacement DS84 ACUs in other unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles. FCA also knew
21 these misleading statements in the 573 Defect Report would be made publicly
22 available to all consumers. Accordingly, sending the misleading 573 Defect Report
23 to NHTSA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343).

24 1695. FCA separately violated the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341) by
25 placing orders with ZF Electronics USA that caused ZF Electronics USA to ship
26 defective DS84 ACUs by private or commercial interstate carrier to FCA in
27 Michigan, Illinois, Ohio, and Mexico. These shipments furthered the FCA-ZF-ST
28 Enterprise's fraudulent scheme because FCA's use of the defective DS84 ACUs in

1 FCA Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-saving goal behind the scheme. FCA
2 caused ZF Electronics USA to make these deliveries knowing it would install the
3 defective DS84 ACUs in the FCA Class Vehicles and market the vehicles to U.S.
4 consumers as safe. Accordingly, each of FCA's orders and ZF Electronics USA's
5 shipments of the DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The
6 precise dates and locations of each particular shipment of DS84 ACUs are not
7 known to the FCA Plaintiffs because they have no visibility into the shipments to
8 dealers and Defendants have not produced documents that show that information.
9 Nonetheless, in lieu of information about precise dates and locations of shipments,
10 Plaintiffs provide the following tracking numbers that are available in limited
11 invoicing information produced by FCA: 9991526125, 9991582883, 9991587074,
12 9991575865, 1000298864, 1000863459, 1000232414, 1000300877, 9991284080,
13 9991365356, 9991283893, 1000283858, 1000622256, 1000298860, 9991365322,
14 1000863500, 9991283895, 9991526119, 9991209614, 1000171634, 1000172125,
15 9991361628, 9991361624, 9991361619, 9991582893, 9991365352, 9991209570,
16 9991408172, 9991209559, 9991284020, 9991284021, 9991209577, 1000221360,
17 1000171630, 9991408409, 9991365324, 9991283898, 9991361629, 9991283896,
18 9991283984, 9991361621, 1000232413, 9991209621, 9991408411, 9991361627,
19 9991361626, 9991575866, 9991365327, 9991408194, 1000232415, 9991365316,
20 9991283897, 1000283863, 1000863575, 9991408406, 9991365354, 9991283900,
21 9991526120, 9991587075, 9991575864, 9991567612, 9991365326, 9991408414,
22 9991361620, 9991209603, 9991361625, 9991365319, 9991365325, and
23 1000622261.¹³ Upon information and belief, FCA can identify precise dates with
24 particularity using these tracking numbers and its information systems.

25 1696. Moreover, a chart produced by the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA
26 identifies the precise volume of DS84 ACUs shipped for each year for each model

27 _____
28 ¹³ Plaintiffs allege these tracking numbers as illustrative exemplars based on the
incomplete information presently available to them.

1 of the FCA Class Vehicles, and identifies Marshall, Illinois as the shipping
2 location. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the
3 particular information about shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and
4 models, and shipping years contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at
5 705-720. The month and day of each shipment are not known to the FCA Plaintiffs,
6 but Defendants can determine that information using the backup information in
7 their possession.

8 1697. The shipping address for each of these shipments of DS84 ACUs by
9 ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall,
10 Illinois 62441. For ACUs shipped to FCA for Jeep Patriots in Illinois, the recipient
11 address was 3000 W Chrysler Drive, Belvidere, Illinois 61008. For ACUs shipped
12 to FCA for Jeep Wranglers in Ohio, the recipient address was 4400 Chrysler Drive,
13 Toledo, Ohio 43608. For ACUs shipped to FCA for Chrysler 200s and Chrysler
14 Sebrings in Michigan, the recipient addresses were 38111 Van Dyke Ave, Sterling
15 Heights, Michigan 48312. For ACUs shipped to FCA for Dodge Rams in Michigan,
16 the recipient address was 21500 Mound Rd, Warren, MI 48091. For ACUs shipped
17 to FCA for Jeep Compasses in Mexico Sa. De Cv., the recipient address was Km
18 60.5, Carr Tolu-a - México, Delegación Sta Ana Tlapaltitlán, 50160 Toluca de
19 Lerdo, Méx., Mexico.

20 **ii. ZF Electronics USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
21 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-**
22 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

23 1698. ZF Electronics USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
24 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
25 IV.F.14. above:

- 26 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
27 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
28

- 1 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
2 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
3 2016);
- 4 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho¹⁴ (which ZF
5 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 6 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
7 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

8 1699. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
9 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about the FCA Class
10 Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Electronics USA specifically approved the
11 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
12 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
13 FCA Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of FCA Class
14 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

15 1700. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016 slide
16 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
17 the fact that its Vice President of Passive Safety Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
18 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
19 deck.

20 1701. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
21 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
22 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
23 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA caused this delivery to NHTSA too.

24 1702. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
25 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by

26 _____
27 ¹⁴ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW.

1 the fact that its Technical Specialist, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
2 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
3 ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr.
4 Goodman's and Mr. Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with
5 NHTSA, where this slide deck was used.

6 1703. Moreover, because ZF Electronics USA's affiliates would not have
7 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
8 Electronics USA's contributions and approval, ZF Electronics USA was one of the
9 Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
10 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
11 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

12 1704. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Electronics USA worked
13 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and FCA to design the
14 readiness indicators installed in FCA Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Electronics
15 USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness indicator not to
16 illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the FCA Class Vehicle's safety
17 systems were not ready to deploy in foreseeable crash events with negative
18 transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Electronics USA assisted with this
19 design, it knew FCA would ship the FCA Class Vehicles to dealers and that
20 consumers would buy FCA Class Vehicles without the airbag warning lamp
21 illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because FCA would not have shipped
22 FCA Class Vehicles without ZF Electronics USA's assistance in designing
23 misleading readiness indicators, ZF Electronics USA jointly caused each shipment
24 of a FCA Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

25 1705. ZF Electronics USA received orders from FCA and nonparty Chrysler
26 LLC for the defective DS84 ACUs used in every FCA Class Vehicle and shipped
27 them by private or commercial interstate carrier to FCA and nonparty Chrysler LLC
28 in Michigan, Illinois, Ohio, and Mexico. These shipments furthered the FCA-ZF-

1 ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme because Chrysler LLC’s and FCA’s use of the
2 defective DS84 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-saving goal
3 behind the scheme. When ZF Electronics USA shipped the defective DS84 ACUs
4 to FCA, it knew they would be installed in the FCA Class Vehicles that are
5 marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also specifically aware of
6 FCA’s practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts
7 in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner’s
8 manuals, and advertising for all FCA Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew
9 these statements were false because it knew the FCA Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
10 and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA shipped
11 each defective DS84 ACU with the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with
12 its conspirators, each of ZF Electronics USA’s shipments of the defective DS84
13 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The particularities of these
14 shipments are discussed above. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added by Plaintiffs
15 to identify the particular information about shipping locations, volumes, vehicle
16 makes and models, and shipping years contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-
17 MDL-679) at 705-720.

18 1706. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA can identify precise
19 dates with particularity using these tracking numbers and its information systems.
20 The domestic ZF Defendants’ ability to identify the dates of its prior shipments of
21 DS84 ACUs to FCA for FCA Class Vehicles is also demonstrated by Ex. 20 (ZF-
22 MDL-679). This document, which the domestic ZF Defendants produced to
23 NHTSA in or around 2019, shows the quantity of defective DS84 ACUs for FCA’s
24 U.S. Vehicles for each year. *See* Ex. 20 at ZF-MDL-705-719.

25 1707. ZF Electronics USA also separately violated the mail fraud act (18
26 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ST USA that required ST USA to ship
27 millions of defective DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the
28 following address: 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. When ZF

1 Electronics USA placed these orders, it knew it would place these DS84 ASICs into
2 DS84 ACUs, including those that would be installed in the FCA Class Vehicles that
3 are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also specifically aware of
4 FCA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts
5 in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
6 manuals, and advertising for all FCA Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew
7 these statements were false because it knew the FCA Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
8 and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA caused
9 shipments of defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
10 scheme with its conspirators, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments caused by ZF
11 Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). ST USA has
12 produced approximately 9,700 such invoices from the time period between 2014
13 and the present alone. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate shipping dates from
14 these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit 21.¹⁵

15 **iii. ZF Passive Safety USA violated the mail and wire**
16 **fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
17 **FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

18 1708. ZF Passive Safety USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
19 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
20 IV.F.14. above:

21 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
22 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);

23
24
25
26 ¹⁵ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but ST USA is
27 presently withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon
28 information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show similarly
regularity of shipments.

- 1 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
- 2 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
- 3 2016);
- 4 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho¹⁶ (which ZF
- 5 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 6 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
- 7 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

8 1709. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,

9 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about FCA Class

10 Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the

11 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the

12 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of

13 FCA Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of FCA Class

14 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

15 1710. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016

16 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is

17 evidenced by the fact that its employee Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of

18 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide

19 deck. Although Mr. Bolitho also simultaneously served as a Vice President for ZF

20 Electronics USA and a Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.,

21 ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary.

22 1711. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,

23 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for

24 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon

25 information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA caused this delivery too.

26 _____

27 ¹⁶ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the

28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 1712. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
2 deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced
3 by the fact that its longtime employee, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
4 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
5 Although Mr. Goodman also served as the Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
6 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary. ZF Passive Safety USA's
7 causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr. Goodman's and Mr.
8 Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, where this slide
9 deck was used.

10 1713. Moreover, because ZF Passive Safety USA's affiliates would not have
11 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
12 Passive Safety USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one
13 of the Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
14 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
15 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

16 1714. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
17 each of the four documents described above contained misleading statements about
18 the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the transmittal of
19 the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading
20 statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of FCA Class
21 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of FCA Class Vehicles
22 enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF Passive
23 Safety USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
24 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Passive Safety
25 USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one of the
26 Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA.
27 Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail fraud
28 statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

1 1715. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Passive Safety USA
2 worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and FCA to design the
3 readiness indicators installed in all FCA Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness
5 indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the FCA Class
6 Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with negative
7 transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Passive Safety USA assisted with this
8 design, it knew FCA would ship the FCA Class Vehicles to dealers and that
9 consumers would buy the vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at
10 the point of sale or lease. Because FCA would not have shipped the FCA Class
11 Vehicles without ZF Passive Safety USA's assistance in designing misleading
12 readiness indicators, ZF Passive Safety USA jointly caused each shipment of FCA
13 Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

14 **iv. ZF Automotive USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
15 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-**
16 **ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

17 1716. ZF Automotive USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
18 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
19 IV.F.14. above:

- 20 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
21 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 22 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
23 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
24 2016);
- 25 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
26 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 27 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
28 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

1 1717. ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery via mail or private interstate
2 carrier of the February 5, 2016 slide deck, the July 19, 2016 slide deck, and the
3 March 8, 2018 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Automotive USA's role in causing the
4 delivery of these slide decks is evidenced by its admission in a 573 Defect Report
5 that it attended the three meetings with NHTSA where these slide decks were used
6 on its behalf.

7 1718. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery
8 of the September 2016 letter to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier by
9 giving requisite approval prior to the transmittal of the letter.

10 1719. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
11 each of these four documents contained misleading statements about FCA Class
12 Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Automotive USA specifically approved the
13 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
14 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
15 FCA Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of FCA Class
16 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF
17 Automotive USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
18 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Automotive USA's
19 contributions and approval, ZF Automotive USA was one of the Defendants who
20 caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
21 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
22 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

23 1720. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Automotive USA worked
24 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and FCA to design the readiness
25 indicators installed in FCA Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Automotive USA
26 assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness indicator not to
27 illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the FCA Class Vehicle's safety
28 systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with negative transients due to the

1 ACU Defect. When ZF Automotive USA assisted with this design, it knew FCA
2 would ship the FCA Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy the
3 vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease.
4 Because FCA would not have shipped FCA Class Vehicles without ZF Automotive
5 USA's affirmative assistance in designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF
6 Automotive USA jointly caused each shipment of FCA Class Vehicle, in violation
7 of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

8 **v. ZF TRW Corp. violated the mail and wire fraud**
9 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-**
10 **ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

11 1721. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF TRW Corp. reviewed, drafted
12 and/or edited the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in
13 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 14 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
16 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
17 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
18 2016);
19 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho¹⁷ (which ZF
20 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
21 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
22 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

23 1722. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the February 5, 2016 slide
24 deck via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s role in the transmittal is
25 confirmed by the cover letter, which is signed: "Very truly yours, ZF TRW
26

27 ¹⁷ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 Automotive Holdings Corp.” with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
2 Counsel of the company. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is further confirmed by a
3 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This document is the
4 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
5 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW” Based
6 on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the transmittal of
7 the document to NHTSA.

8 1723. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the July 19, 2016 slide deck
9 via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is confirmed by a
10 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This document is the
11 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
12 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW.”
13 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
14 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

15 1724. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. also gave requisite prior
16 authorization for the delivery of the September 2016 letter.

17 1725. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the March 8, 2018 slide deck
18 via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is
19 confirmed by the cover letter included with the mailing of the slide deck. The cover
20 letter is on the letter head of an “Active & Passive Safety Technology” business
21 unit. Because this is a reference to ZF TRW Corp.,¹⁸ ZF TRW Corp. must have
22 reviewed and approved the transmittal of the slide deck to NHTSA.

23 1726. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
24 each of these four documents described above contained misleading statements

25 _____
26 ¹⁸ According to ZF AG’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Corp. is the only
corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this letter was also
sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

1 about FCA Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF TRW Corp. specifically
2 approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and
3 intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or
4 delay recalls of FCA Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls
5 of FCA Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
6 consumers. Because ZF TRW Corp.’s affiliates would not have sent or approved
7 the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF TRW
8 Corp.’s contributions and approval, ZF TRW Corp. was one of the Defendants who
9 caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
10 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
11 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

12 **vi. ZF Germany violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
13 **multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST**
14 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

15 1727. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF Germany reviewed and/or edited
16 the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2.,
17 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 18 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
19 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
20 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
21 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
22 2016);
23 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
24 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
25 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
26 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

27 1728. ZF Germany caused the delivery of these communications via mail
28 and wire. The three slide decks bear copyright legends attributing ownership to ZF

1 Germany. Accordingly, sending these slide decks must have required its
2 involvement and consent. Moreover, the slide decks dated February 5, 2016 and
3 July 19, 2016 identify ZF Germany as the corporate author on the title page.

4 1729. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
5 each of these documents described above contained misleading statements about
6 FCA Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Germany specifically approved the
7 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
8 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
9 FCA Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of FCA Class
10 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF
11 Germany's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written communications
12 noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Germany's contributions and
13 approval, ZF Germany was one of the Defendants who caused the delivery of these
14 four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these
15 communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. §
16 1341).

17 **vii. ST USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
18 **multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST**
19 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

20 1730. ST USA regularly received orders from ZF Electronics USA for DS84
21 ASICs, including all the defective DS84 ASICs used in FCA Class Vehicles. In
22 response to these orders ST USA would work with its affiliate, ST Malaysia, to
23 help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA's so-called "ST Micro LAX
24 Hub" near Los Angeles, California. Between 2007 and the present, ST USA caused
25 ST Malaysia to ship well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this location. In
26 discovery, ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
27 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each
28 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST

1 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
2 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
3 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
4 21.¹⁹

5 1731. ST USA also shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to
6 ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the following address: 902 South 2nd Street,
7 Marshall, Illinois 62441. As explained above, Exhibit 21 provides exemplar
8 approximate shipment dates based on an incomplete set of invoices produced by ST
9 USA²⁰

10 1732. When ST USA required ST Malaysia to make these shipments and
11 then made its own shipments to ZF Electronics USA, it knew ZF Electronics USA
12 would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be
13 installed in FCA Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST USA was
14 also aware of FCA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags,
15 and seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle
16 labels, owner's manuals, and advertising for all FCA Class Vehicles. ST USA knew
17 these statements were false because it knew the FCA Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
18 and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST USA caused shipments of well
19 over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
20 scheme with its conspirators, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments caused by ST USA
21 violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

22 _____
23 ¹⁹ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
24 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
25 invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments of DS84
26 ASICs from Malaysia.

27 ²⁰ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments of DS84
ASICs from the STMICRO LAX Hub to the ZF Electronics USA's manufacturing
facility in Illinois.

1 **viii. ST Malaysia violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1733. Between 2007 and the 2018, ST USA regularly worked with its
5 affiliate, ST Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA’s
6 so-called “ST Micro LAX Hub” near Los Angeles, California. During that time
7 period, ST Malaysia shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
8 location. ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
9 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each
10 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
11 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
12 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
13 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
14 21.²¹

15 1734. When ST Malaysia made these shipments, it knew ZF Electronics
16 USA would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those ACUs that
17 would be installed in FCA Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST
18 Malaysia was also aware of FCA’s practice of making reassuring statements about
19 safety, airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification
20 labels, in-vehicle labels, owner’s manuals, and advertising for all FCA Class
21 Vehicles. ST Malaysia knew these statements were false because it knew the FCA
22 Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST
23 Malaysia caused shipments of well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the
24 purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with its conspirators, each of the DS84
25 ASIC shipments made by ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. §
26 1341).

27 ²¹ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments.

1 **b. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
2 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,**
3 **and ST Malaysia advanced their fraudulent scheme by**
4 **concealing material information about a serious safety defect**
5 **that they had a duty to disclose.**

6 1735. The uses of mail and wire described in the section above violated the
7 mail and wire fraud statutes because they furthered a fraudulent scheme to
8 affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.

9 1736. In addition, these same uses of the mail and wire *also* violated the mail
10 and wire fraud statutes because, while they sent or caused to be sent these mailings,
11 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
12 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia had duties to disclose the ACU
13 Defect and failed to do so in order to advance their scheme.

14 1737. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
15 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each
16 knew for years that the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the FCA Class
17 Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS. *See* Section IV.D.4. above.

18 1738. To further the goals of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise and to their mutual
19 gain, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
20 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia concealed what
21 they knew about the existence, scope, and material safety risks of the ACU Defect
22 in the FCA Class Vehicles.

23 1739. Their careful efforts to conceal the ACU Defect in the FCA Class
24 Vehicles were critically important to the viability of their scheme. A decision by
25 any one Defendant or nonparty-Enterprise member to tell the truth about the ACU
26 Defect and its impact of vehicle safety to consumers or to NHTSA would have been
27 an existential threat to the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise. Instead, and in pursuit of ill-
28 gotten profits, they each kept key information about the ACU Defect hidden for
 years. This concealment of material facts about the ACU Defect was grounded in

1 and advanced their scheme to defraud consumers through the continued sale of
2 FCA Class Vehicles, and avoidance of costly recalls and their attendant reputational
3 harms.

4 1740. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
5 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia’s
6 concealment of the ACU Defect violated several independent duties to disclose it.²²

7 a. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
8 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST
9 Italy, and ST Malaysia each had a duty to disclose the ACU
10 Defect because of their exclusive knowledge and far superior
11 information about the ACU Defect.

12 b. These Defendants knew about the vulnerability of the DS84
13 ACU and ASIC to EOS through their exclusive access to
14 information about their design, development, and testing, and
15 through their confidential and proprietary investigations into
16 suspicious incidents. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and

17
18 ²² As vehicle manufacturers and component parts suppliers, Defendants are also
19 subject to statutory duties to disclose known safety defects to consumers and to
20 NHTSA pursuant to the Safety Act and its attendant regulations. *See, e.g.*, 49
21 U.S.C. § 30118(c) (“A manufacturer of a motor vehicle . . . shall notify the
22 Secretary by certified mail or electronic mail, and the owners, purchasers, and
23 dealers of the vehicle . . . as provided in section 30119(d) of this section, if the
24 manufacturer . . . learns the vehicle . . . contains a defect and decides in good faith
25 that the defect is related to motor vehicle safety.”); 49 U.S.C. §30119(d)
26 (manufacturers must notify “each person registered . . . as the owner and whose
27 name and address are reasonably ascertainable”); 49 C.F.R. §573.6(a) (“Each
28 manufacturer shall furnish a report to the NHTSA for each defect . . . in his items of
original . . . equipment that he . . . determines to be related to motor vehicle
safety.”). Plaintiffs previously pled Defendants had a duty to disclose based on
these provisions of the Safety Act, but the Court dismissed an omissions theory
based these alleged duties. Plaintiffs reserve the right to appeal this decision at a
later date, but do not rely upon the Safety Act as a basis for their omissions theory
in this pleading.

1 technical nature, Plaintiffs and consumers lack the sophisticated
2 expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that
3 would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own.
4 Had they known what these Defendants knew about the ACU
5 Defect, FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members
6 would not have purchased the FCA Class Vehicles, or would not
7 have paid as much as they did for them.

8 c. In addition, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
9 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
10 ST Italy, and ST Malaysia also each held a duty to disclose
11 because they knew that a defect in the FCA Class Vehicles and
12 their DS84 ACUs and ASICs gave rise to serious safety
13 concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles. As
14 sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
15 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the
16 automotive industry, each of these Defendants knew that this
17 information would have been material to consumers. For
18 example, a February 3, 2004, prospectus filed by ZF TRW Corp.
19 with the SEC observed that “85 percent of recent auto
20 purchasers stated that they look for vehicle safety information
21 before making their final decision.” Nonetheless, these
22 Defendants still did not disclose it.

23 d. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany also each
25 had a duty to disclose because of the actions they took to
26 conceal the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles from
27 consumers. Each of these Defendants acted to suppress the truth
28 about the ACU Defect through their misleading representations

1 to NHTSA. *See* Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.7., IV.F.8.,
2 IV.F.10., and IV.F.14. above. Because a truthful and accurate
3 disclosure to NHTSA would have been material to NHTSA’s
4 decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation
5 into the DS84 ACUs and ASICs, the affirmative steps they took
6 to mislead NHTSA about the ACU Defect also precluded the
7 FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members from an
8 opportunity that otherwise have led to their discovery of the
9 truth about the ACU Defect.

10 e. Finally, FCA affirmatively disclosed information about the FCA
11 Class Vehicles’ airbags, seatbelts, and overall safety to
12 consumers (*see* Sections IV.E.1 and I.V.E.2. above). Because it
13 opted to make these representations to consumers about these
14 topics, and because it knew other information about the ACU
15 Defect that made those representations misleading or untrue,
16 FCA was under a separate duty to disclose the full truth about
17 the ACU Defect that materially qualified the information it
18 provided.

19 1741. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
20 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia knew and
21 intended that NHTSA would rely on their and the other members of the FCA-ZF-
22 ST Enterprise’s material omissions made about the FCA Class Vehicles to approve
23 them for importation, marketing, and sale to consumers in the United States. And
24 conversely, they also understood that disclosing the ACU Defect would require
25 them to recall and fix the FCA Class Vehicles, which would negatively impact the
26 profits of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

27 1742. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
28 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia also knew

1 and intended that consumers would rely on their and the other members of the
2 FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's material omissions when deciding to purchase or lease the
3 FCA Class Vehicles. The FCA Plaintiffs' reliance on this concealment is
4 demonstrated by the fact that they paid money for FCA Class Vehicles that never
5 should have been introduced into the U.S. stream of commerce, and that they
6 overpaid for vehicles with defective safety systems without knowledge of the ACU
7 Defect.

8 **c. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise was an association-in-fact**
9 **enterprise with a common purpose of misleading consumers**
10 **and NHTSA as to the ACU Defect in FCA Class Vehicles.**

11 1743. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose and ongoing
12 organization and functioned as a continuing unit.

13 **i. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose.**

14 1744. The common purpose of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise was to perpetuate
15 a fraudulent scheme to maximize sales and leases of FCA Class Vehicles while
16 hiding the ACU Defect from purchasers and lessees. Because all of the Enterprise
17 members' continued profits from this scheme ultimately depended on consumers
18 purchasing or leasing FCA Class Vehicles, the Enterprise needed to convince
19 consumers of a false premise: that FCA Class Vehicles had properly functioning
20 airbags and seatbelts. Toward this end, the Enterprise needed to mislead consumers.
21 For this scheme to work, it was also essential for the Enterprise to conceal the ACU
22 Defect from NHTSA, because the agency could halt the sale of FCA Class Vehicles
23 and require recalls that necessarily require public notice of a defect. The expense of
24 these recalls would undermine the profitability of the scheme.

25 1745. This common purpose served the interests of all members of the FCA-
26 ZF-ST Enterprise. By concealing and minimizing the ACU Defect, FCA, ZF
27 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
28

1 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and the nonparty-Enterprise
2 members maximized their revenue by selling as many FCA Class Vehicles as
3 possible while avoiding or limiting the substantial costs to recall and repair FCA
4 Class Vehicles and their defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.

5 1746. The common purpose of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise is evidenced by
6 FCA, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's
7 repeated, confidential consultations with one another about suspicious crashes
8 involving FCA Class Vehicles, problems with the design of the DS84 ACU and
9 ASIC, observations of EOS on DS84 ACUs and ASICs, and dangerous safety
10 system malfunctions in FCA Class Vehicles. As the Court has held, consultations
11 about "observed evidence of EOS in Class Vehicles" among Defendants
12 "support[s] a reasonable inference" of a "common purpose of misleading
13 consumers and NHTSA as to the existence of a defect in the ACUs." ECF 396 at
14 61.

15 1747. The common purpose of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise is further
16 evidenced by ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's repeated communications with
17 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA about
18 observations of EOS in FCA Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
19 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA would regularly share this information with
20 FCA by copying excerpts of the reports received from ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
21 Malaysia and sending them to FCA.

22 1748. The common purpose of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise is also evidenced
23 by coordinated efforts by FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
24 Automotive USA, and ZF Germany to mislead NHTSA about the existence and
25 scope of the ACU Defect by wrongly blaming wire harnesses for safety system
26 malfunctions that were caused by the ACU Defect.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise had an ongoing organization.

1749. The participation of separate entities or individuals that have an existence outside an alleged enterprise is evidence of an ongoing organization with its own structure, separate and apart from its members. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each existed separately from the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

- a. During the relevant period, FCA manufactured and sold many vehicles that do not contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- b. During the relevant period, the FCA manufacturing subsidiaries manufactured FCA vehicles that do not contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- c. During the relevant period, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia sold, designed, and/or manufactured many other products aside from the defective DS84 ASICs used in the defective DS84 ACUs.
- d. During the relevant period, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA similarly designed, made, and/or sold many other automotive parts aside from the defective DS84 ACUs.
- e. During the relevant period, ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany also engaged in a wide variety of business activities unrelated to the defective DS84 ACUs.

1750. Another hallmark of an ongoing organization is members with delineated roles that further the organization's goals. Each Defendant performed important but separate roles within the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise organization.

- 1 a. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
2 Automotive USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ACU for
3 use in the FCA Class Vehicles, with FCA's, ST Italy's, and ST
4 USA's input.
- 5 b. ST Italy and ST USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ASIC,
6 with input from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 and ZF Automotive USA
- 8 c. ST Malaysia manufactured the defective DS84 ASICs and
9 shipped them to ST USA in California.
- 10 d. ST USA sold and shipped the defective DS84 ASIC to ZF
11 Electronics USA.
- 12 e. FCA designed, made, and distributed the FCA Class Vehicles to
13 dealers, so they could be sold to consumers with misleading
14 statements affixed to the vehicles by FCA. FCA was also
15 responsible for all misleading advertising to consumers.
- 16 f. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany approved actions taken by ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
18 USA, and knowingly approved, these activities, and participated
19 directly in making misleading statements to NHTSA about the
20 ACU Defect.
- 21 g. Each of the Defendants separately ensured that NHTSA and
22 consumers did not discover the ACU Defect.

23 1751. The Enterprise members dedicated personnel to the FCA-ZF-ST
24 Enterprise's scheme, which further evidences the ongoing structure of the
25 Enterprise. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
26 Automotive USA dedicated an entire applications team to implement the defective
27 DS84 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles in 2006, 2007, and 2008. ZF Electronics USA,
28 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA also dedicated a separate team to

1 analyze EOS occurrences in FCA Class Vehicles in 2013. Moreover, ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, and ST
3 Italy held regular meetings in 2016 concerning the EOS issues, just as NHTSA was
4 investigating FCA's Class Vehicles and the defective DS84 ACUs.

5 1752. FCA, on the other hand, dedicated its employee Kevin Plante as its
6 primary point of contact with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
7 Automotive USA relating to the defective DS84 ACU. Establishing a regular point
8 of contact further organized the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

9 1753. As the passenger safety systems in FCA Class Vehicles repeatedly
10 malfunctioned due to the ACU Defect over the course of several years (starting at
11 least as early as 2009), FCA routinely sought the involvement and assistance of ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST Italy, ST USA,
13 and ST Malaysia. These Defendants repeatedly coordinated, directly or indirectly,
14 with FCA on these issues, including by assigning several investigations for FCA
15 Class Vehicles to the same personnel. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
16 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA assigned Emmanuel Goodman with
17 the task of preparing written analyses about DS84 ACU field incidents, and he
18 authored many such analyses over the course of several years. [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 1754. When NHTSA began to investigate the defective DS84 ACUs in 2015,
22 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany,
23 and ZF TRW Corp. maintained the organization of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise by
24 sending their joint communications with NHTSA to FCA, ST USA, ST Italy, and
25 ST Malaysia. This allowed the participants in the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise to
26 coordinate their efforts to downplay the ACU Defect and avoid and minimize
27 recalls.

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

iii. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise functioned as a continuing unit.

1755. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise continued for several years, at least during the time period of 2007 to the present. Although FCA stopped distributing new Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU in 2018 or 2019, FCA Class Vehicles continue to sell on the used car market with misleading in-vehicle statements and consumer-facing marketing (such as vehicle brochures) made by the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

1756. During this protracted time, the members of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise remained stable, with FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy remaining active members for at least a decade of ongoing production and sales of the FCA Class Vehicles. ZF Germany, on the other hand, participated in the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise shortly after acquiring ZF TRW Corp. in 2015.

d. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering caused FCA Plaintiffs and the Nationwide FCA Class members to overpay for FCA Class Vehicles at the point of sale or lease.

1757. FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members are “person[s] injured in his or her business or property” by reason of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s RICO violations, within the meaning of U.S.C. § 1964(c). FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members are entitled to bring this action for three times their actual damages, as well as injunctive/equitable relief, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

1758. Because of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering activity, FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members have been injured in their business and/or property through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect.

1759. By making misleading statements and omissions at or before the point of sale or lease, the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise directly or indirectly obtained money

1 from FCA Plaintiffs and the Nationwide FCA Class by means of materially false or
2 fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions of material facts. Had the FCA
3 Plaintiffs known what the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise members knew about the ACU
4 Defect, they and Nationwide FCA Class members would not have purchased the
5 FCA Class Vehicles, or would not have paid as much as they did for them.

6 1760. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
7 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, or ST Malaysia not concealed, and
8 instead decided to disclose, the information they knew about the ACU Defect and
9 its impact on vehicle safety, the FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA would have
10 learned of the disclosure.

11 a. FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members would have
12 learned about the ACU Defect through any of the channels
13 through the FCA Class Vehicles were marketed to them. In
14 other words, had FCA made a disclosure in *any* of the places in
15 which it otherwise communicated information about the FCA
16 Class Vehicles, the FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class
17 members would have seen it. This includes in FCA Class
18 Vehicle brochures and other advertising, on Monroney labels,
19 certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels, airbag warning
20 lamps, and in owner's manuals.

21 b. Further, the FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide FCA Class members
22 would have learned about the ACU Defect at the times and
23 places that they purchased or leased their FCA Class Vehicles.
24 For example, had FCA made a disclosure about the ACU Defect
25 to authorized FCA dealerships, sales personnel at the dealerships
26 would have passed on that material information to consumers at
27 the time of the contemplated purchases.
28

1 c. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
2 and existence of the ACU Defect to NHTSA, the FCA Plaintiffs
3 and Nationwide FCA Class members would have learned of it
4 because NHTSA would have considered this information
5 material to its decision to require a recall, which information
6 would have been made public and passed onto impacted
7 consumers.

8 d. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
9 and existence of the ACU Defect to consumers or the public,
10 either through press releases, on their websites, or in any other
11 public channel or forum, the FCA Plaintiffs and Nationwide
12 FCA Class members would have learned of it due to the
13 materiality of this information about a serious safety defect in
14 millions of vehicles. Given the seriousness of the information
15 and the number of vehicles impacted, the news media and
16 consumer forums and blogs would pick up the story. This is
17 particularly so in the wake of the massive Takata recall and
18 litigation, which confirmed the strong public interest in airbags
19 and vehicle safety. For example, an April 23, 2019 article
20 available on ConsumerReports.com described NHTSA's
21 expanded investigation into the DS84 ACUs to be "the agency's
22 most in-depth look at airbags since the recall of more than 56
23 million airbags made by Takata."

24 1761. The FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's misleading statements to NHTSA
25 between 2016 and the present were essential to the scheme because NHTSA would
26 not have allowed continued sale of unremedied FCA Class Vehicles with defective
27 DS84 ACUs and ASICs. At the very least, these misleading statements delayed
28 NHTSA's broader investigation of the FCA Class Vehicles until April 2019, when

1 NHTSA launched an Engineering Analysis covering all unrecalled FCA Class
2 Vehicles. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA stopped making DS84
3 ACUs for the 2020 model year based in large part on this investigation.
4 Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA would have stopped making DS84 ACUs if
5 NHTSA had launched a broader investigation in 2016. For this reason, Plaintiffs
6 who purchased and leased FCA Class Vehicles after the first misleading statement
7 to NHTSA by the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise would have avoided purchasing or
8 leasing their FCA Class Vehicles entirely, or they would have paid less for them.

9 1762. Consumers are the only direct victims of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's
10 alleged fraudulent and misleading statements to NHTSA. NHTSA has not suffered
11 any reported, direct injury as a result of such conduct.

12 1763. Damages will not be difficult to ascertain; the FCA Plaintiffs and the
13 Nationwide FCA Class members' damages are the difference between what they
14 paid for FCA Class Vehicles without an ACU Defect, and the value of the FCA
15 Class Vehicles they actually received. In the similar *Takata* airbag litigation, for
16 example, plaintiffs also alleged overpayment damages suffered at the point of sale
17 based on a dangerous airbag defect. Plaintiffs' experts in that case performed a
18 conjoint analysis using surveys of consumers and found that the price premium paid
19 by class members was at least ten percent of the purchase price. A similar analysis
20 could be performed in this litigation. Other methodologies are also viable.

21 1764. All victims of Defendants' alleged conduct who claim to have
22 overpaid for the purchase or lease of FCA Class Vehicles are within the alleged
23 Nationwide FCA Class. Consequently, there are no issues with respect to
24 reapportionment or multiple recovery.

25
26
27
28

1 **4. Nationwide Count 4: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the**
3 **Nationwide FCA Class Against FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
4 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
5 **Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.**

6 1765. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 1766. It is unlawful “for any person to conspire to violate” 18 U.S.C.
9 § 1962(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). To conspire in violation of section 1962(c), the
10 defendant must be “aware of the essential nature and scope of the enterprise.” ECF
11 396 at 77. Enterprise members conspire to violate section 1962(c) when “two or
12 more people agree[] to commit a crime” and “knowingly and willfully participate[]
13 in the agreement. . . . The illegal agreement need not be express as long as its
14 existence can be inferred from the words, actions, or interdependence of activities
15 and persons involved.” *Id.* A defendant who “agreed to facilitate a scheme” violates
16 section 1962(d) even if he “does not himself commit or agree to commit the two or
17 more predicate acts requisite to the underlying offense.” *Salinas v. United States*,
18 522 U.S. 52, 65-66 (1997).

19 1767. As explained in the section below, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
20 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
21 ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature and scope of the FCA-
22 ZF-ST Enterprise. Count 3 describes this Enterprise.

23 1768. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
24 and/or interdependence, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
25 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany agreed to facilitate the
26 following acts of mail and wire fraud:

- 27 a. FCA’s interstate shipments between 2009 and 2019 of millions
28 of FCA Class Vehicles with misleading Monroney labels,

1 readiness indicators, in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints, and
2 owner’s manuals, and
3 b. ZF Electronics USA’s interstate shipments between 2009 and
4 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to FCA and its Mexican
5 subsidiary.

6 1769. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
7 and/or interdependence, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
8 Italy, and ST Malaysia also agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail fraud:

- 9 a. ZF Electronics USA’s interstate shipments between 2008 and
10 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to FCA, nonparty FCA Mexico
11 Sa. De Cv., and nonparty Chrysler LLC;
12 b. ST Malaysia’s interstate shipments between 2008 and 2019 of
13 millions of DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California; and
14 c. ST USA’s interstate shipments between 2008 and 2019 of
15 millions DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

16 1770. The words, actions, or interdependence of activities of each of these
17 Defendants support the inference of agreement.

18 1771. Accordingly, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
19 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
20 Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

21 1772. These violations caused the same injuries and damages described in
22 the prior Count. This Count incorporates by reference the allegations as to injury,
23 damages, and causation from the prior Count.

24 1773. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
25 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each
26 violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the business or property of the FCA
27 Plaintiffs and the Nationwide FCA Class. The FCA Plaintiffs claim damages for
28 themselves and the Nationwide FCA Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

1 **a. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
2 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,**
3 **ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were all aware of the essential**
4 **nature and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.**

5 1774. Each Defendant named in this Count was aware of the essential nature
6 and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise, even if some specific details about the
7 Enterprise’s illegal activities and members were unknown.

8 **i. FCA understood the nature and scope of the FCA-ZF-**
9 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

10 1775. FCA was aware of the essential nature and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST
11 Enterprise.

12 1776. As explained in Section IV.D.4. above, FCA knew about the nature
13 and scope of the ACU Defect.

14 1777. Between 2009 and 2019, FCA knew that the STMicroelectronics
15 companies were responsible for designing and manufacturing the DS84 ASIC for
16 the DS84 ACUs used in FCA Class Vehicles.

17 1778. Between 2009 and the present, FCA has continuously tracked the
18 volume of sales of FCA makes and models in the United States. Accordingly,
19 during the relevant time period, it knew roughly how many FCA Class Vehicles
20 would likely sell in the United States.

21 1779. During each year between 2009 and the present, FCA also knew it
22 would place reassuring Monroney stickers, certification labels, in-vehicle airbag
23 labels and imprints, and readiness indicators on FCA Class Vehicles, and then ship
24 those vehicles to dealers in the United States.

25 1780. During each year between 2009 and the present, FCA knew it would
26 advertise the FCA Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with properly functioning airbags
27 and seatbelts. FCA knew that consumers would rely on such advertisements when
28 purchasing or leasing FCA Class Vehicles.

1 1781. During each year between 2009 and the present, FCA knew it would
2 ship FCA Class Vehicles with owner's manuals that include misleading statements
3 about the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts of the FCA Class Vehicles.

4 1782. FCA knew in 2016 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
5 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany had made misleading
6 statements to NHTSA about the defect because in early 2016 it received copies of
7 the misleading slide deck dated February 5, 2016.

8 **ii. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
9 **Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany**
10 **understood the nature and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST**
11 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

12 1783. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
13 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the essential nature and scope of
14 the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

15 1784. As explained in Sections IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.4. above, ZF
16 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
17 and ZF Germany were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

18 1785. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew the approximate number of FCA Class
20 Vehicles with the DS84 ACU because it made the ACUs for those vehicles.

21 1786. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
22 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew that FCA would, consistent with common
23 practice in the automotive industry, make reassuring statements about the FCA
24 Class Vehicle's safety systems, airbags and seatbelts.

1 **iii. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia understood the**
2 **nature and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
3 **fraudulent scheme.**

4 1787. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature
5 and scope of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise.

6 1788. As explained in Section IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.4. above, ST USA,
7 ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

8 1789. Upon information and belief, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
9 knew the defective DS84 ASICs would be installed the FCA Class Vehicles. These
10 companies also understood that automakers like FCA would, consistent with
11 common practice in the automotive industry, advertise their safety systems to
12 consumers, and that those safety systems would not work properly as a result of the
13 DS84 ASIC’s vulnerability to EOS.

14 1790. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy were aware of the large scope of
15 the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise, among other reasons because ST Malaysia and ST USA
16 made and sold the DS84 ASICs for the FCA Class Vehicles and all these
17 companies had access to records that showed that millions of defective DS84
18 ASICs were shipping to Illinois per ZF Electronics USA’s instructions.

19 **b. FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
20 **Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany agreed that**
21 **one or more members of the Enterprise would commit at**
22 **least two predicate acts of mail or wire fraud in furtherance**
23 **of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

24 1791. FCA began conspiring with other members of the FCA-ZF-ST
25 Enterprise immediately upon taking control of Chrysler LLC’s assets and
26 operations on or around June 10, 2009. After taking over these operations, it
27 continued with the FCA Class Vehicle designs that included the DS84 ASIC for the
28 2010 model year. ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF

1 Automotive USA had previously agreed to these designs, and FCA ratified that
2 agreement by continuing to make FCA Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU.

3 1792. ZF Germany joined the conspiracy in or around 2015, when it acquired
4 ZF TRW Corp.

5 1793. When FCA agreed to use the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC in FCA
6 Class Vehicles, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
7 USA mutually understood and intended that this agreement would result in FCA
8 instructing ZF Electronics USA to ship DS84 ACUs across state lines and FCA
9 shipping the FCA Class Vehicles with misleading statements about the passive
10 safety system, airbags, and seatbelts therein.

11 a. In 2009, FCA agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
12 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on the design
13 specifications for the DS84 ACU installed in FCA Class
14 Vehicles. FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
15 and ZF Automotive USA continued to agree on specifications
16 for FCA Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU for every model
17 year until 2019.

18 b. Between 2009 and 2019, FCA used mail and wire to advertise
19 the FCA Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with properly-
20 functioning airbags and seatbelts, and used private interstate
21 carriers to ship the FCA Class Vehicles with misleading
22 Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints, certification labels,
23 readiness indicators, and owner's manuals. ZF Passive Safety
24 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA all knew
25 that FCA was doing this and would do this.

26 c. When FCA agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
27 USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications for the DS84
28 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive

1 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF
2 Germany after 2015) had a mutual understanding that this
3 agreement would cause FCA to send orders for hundreds of
4 thousands of DS84 ACUs every year via mail or wire to ZF
5 Electronics USA.

6 d. When FCA agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
7 USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications for the DS84
8 ACUs in FCA Class Vehicles, FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
9 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp.
10 (and ZF Germany after 2015) had a mutual understanding that
11 this agreement would cause ZF Electronics USA to ship
12 hundreds of thousands of DS84 ACUs via private interstate
13 carrier to FCA every year.

14 1794. As explained in Count 3 above, the shipments of FCA Class Vehicles
15 by FCA, the orders by FCA for DS84 ACUs, and the shipments by ZF Electronics
16 USA of the DS84 ACUs violated the mail fraud statute because they furthered the
17 FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to cause consumers to purchase or
18 lease vehicles that contain the ACU Defect. To accomplish this goal, the DS84
19 ACUs needed to be shipped before they could be installed in the vehicles.

20 a. FCA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
21 Automotive USA facilitated these mail fraud act violations by
22 collaborating on the defective design of the ACU, the readiness
23 indicators, and FCA Class Vehicles.

24 b. FCA further facilitated these mail fraud violations by requiring
25 (1) all manufacturers of FCA Class Vehicles to install the DS84
26 ACUs therein, and (2) placing the misleading certification
27 labels, readiness indicators, and airbag labels and imprints
28 within the FCA Class Vehicles it made, and requiring the

1 nonparty-Enterprise-member FCA Mexico Sa. De Cv. to do the
2 same.

3 c. FCA also facilitated this scheme by placing misleading
4 Monroney labels on the FCA Class Vehicles it shipped after
5 June 10, 2009.

6 d. ZF TRW Corp. facilitated the scheme because, upon
7 information and belief, its approval was required for the launch
8 of the DS84 ACU, which was one of the company's most
9 popular ACUs.

10 e. ZF Germany facilitated the scheme because, upon information
11 and belief, its approval was required to continue the sales of the
12 DS84 ACU.

13 1795. The conspiracy among FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany is further
15 evidenced by their coordinated efforts to cover up the ACU Defect.

16 a. For several years, FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics
17 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA uncovered evidence that DS84
18 ASICs and DS84 ACUs were failing as a result of EOS, but they
19 maintained the confidentiality of these incidents among each
20 other.

21 b. FCA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
22 Passive Safety USA repeatedly coordinated with each other in
23 response to NHTSA's investigation. In 2016, ZF Electronics
24 USA sent FCA excerpted copies of ZF's misleading February 5,
25 2016 slide deck to NHTSA as part of an effort to coordinate
26 with FCA to conceal the ACU Defect. Between 2018 and 2019,
27 FCA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
28 Automotive USA met every week to discuss the ACU Defect.

1 1796. The joint activities of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
2 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany in support of their
3 misleading statements to NHTSA were predicate acts and also show agreement by
4 these Defendants to advance the fraudulent scheme.

5 1797. ZF Electronics USA's placement of orders for DS84 ASICs and
6 shipments of DS84 ACUs were predicate acts and also show agreement by ZF
7 Electronics USA to advance the fraudulent scheme.

8 1798. The success of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
9 depended upon FCA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA cooperation. All these companies had to maintain strict
11 confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue. Moreover, FCA
12 depended on the ZF companies for the manufacture of the defective ACUs, whereas
13 the ZF companies could not reach consumers of FCA Class Vehicles without the
14 agreement of FCA. This interdependence evidences the agreement to further the
15 fraudulent scheme.

16 1799. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
17 member of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of the
18 FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of the
19 common goals of the scheme.

20 c. **ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
21 **Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA agreed on the**
22 **commission of multiple violations of the mail fraud statute in**
23 **furtherance of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent**
24 **scheme.**

25 1800. ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA began conspiring with ZF Passive
26 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA in 2005, when the two
27 supplier groups began the joint design of the DS84 ACU and DS84 ASIC with
28 unique vulnerability to EOS. By 2008, all these companies knew about internal
thermal testing that confirmed the weakness of the DS84 ASIC. ST Italy, ST

1 Malaysia, ST USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
2 Automotive USA held multiple meetings about this issue.

3 1801. Even after learning that DS84 ACUs and ASICs had malfunctioned
4 due to EOS during crashes, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ST USA, ZF Passive Safety
5 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to sell and send
6 shipments of the parts. When doing so, these companies all knew that FCA would
7 coordinate to cause the FCA Class Vehicles with the defective DS84 ACU and
8 ASIC to be presented to consumers with misleading certification labels, airbag
9 labels and imprints, and readiness indicators.

10 1802. Several actions by FCA further support an inference of agreements
11 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA to
12 commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the conspiracy:

- 13 a. Between 2009 and 2018, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
14 regularly communicated with ZF Automotive USA., ZF
15 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about
16 observations of EOS in DS84 ASICs, including some ASICs
17 from FCA Class Vehicles. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's
18 DS84 ASIC team confirmed EOS damage on ASICs retrieved
19 from at least five FCA vehicles with airbag failures during
20 crashes.
- 21 b. Upon information and belief, in 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
22 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent each ST
23 Defendant excerpted copies of its misleading statements from its
24 February 5, 2016 slide deck.
- 25 c. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA and ST
26 Malaysia continuously violated the mail fraud act in furtherance
27 of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise by shipping defective DS84
28

1 ASICs with a mutual understanding that some of these ASICs
2 would be installed in FCA Class Vehicles, as explained above.

3 d. Between 2008 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA, ST Italy, and
4 ST Malaysia maintained public silence about the ACU Defect,
5 despite the observed evidence of the DS84 ASIC's and ACU's
6 unusual vulnerability to transients.

7 1803. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
8 member of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of the
9 FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of the
10 common goals of the scheme.

11 1804. The success of the FCA-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
12 depended upon ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
13 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had
14 to maintain strict confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue.
15 Moreover, the ZF companies depended upon the ST companies for the manufacture
16 of the defective ASICs, whereas the ST companies depended upon the ZF
17 companies for a viable path to profit from the consumers of FCA Class Vehicles.
18 This interdependence evidences the agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

19 **5. Nationwide Count 5: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
20 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the**
21 **Toyota Nationwide Class Against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,**
22 **Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
23 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
24 **USA, and ST Malaysia.**

25 1805. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 1806. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c): "It shall be unlawful for any person
28 employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which
affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or

1 indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise’s affairs through a pattern of
2 racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.” Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
3 USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
4 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are
5 “persons” under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3) because each was capable of holding “a legal
6 or beneficial interest in property.”

7 1807. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) has four elements: “(1) conduct (2)
8 of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity.” ECF 396 at 59
9 (quoting *Sedima v. Imrex Co.*, 473 U.S. 479, 496 (1985)).

10 1808. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
12 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, and several nonparties formed the Toyota-ZF-
13 ST Enterprise. The members of this Enterprise included Defendants Toyota USA,
14 Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST
16 Italy, and ST Malaysia. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise also included several
17 nonparty individuals and corporations, including Toyota Japan, the Japanese parent
18 company of Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA; and
19 the Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries that built vehicles for distribution throughout
20 the United States.²³ Discovery will likely reveal several additional members of the
21 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise that are not currently known to the Toyota Plaintiffs.

22 1809. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
24 ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are liable under 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)

25
26 ²³ These manufacturing subsidiaries include Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada
27 Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing de
28 Baja California S. de R.L. de C.V.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc.;
Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc., and Toyota Motor Manufacturing,
Kentucky, Inc.

1 because they conducted or participated in the conduct of the affairs of an
2 “association-in-fact enterprise”—i.e., the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise—through a
3 pattern of racketeering activity. In other words, each of these Defendants committed
4 at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

5 1810. 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) provides for a civil remedy for any violation of 18
6 U.S.C. § 1962 for “[a]ny person injured in his business or property by reason of a
7 violation of section 1962 of this chapter.” In addition to proving a violation of
8 § 1962, this remedy requires proximate cause of a cognizable injury. ECF 396 at
9 59.

10 1811. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
11 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
12 ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and
13 injured the business or property of the Toyota Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Toyota
14 Class. The Toyota Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide
15 Toyota Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

16 **a. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA,**
17 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
18 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST**
19 **Malaysia each committed at least two predicate acts of mail**
20 **and wire fraud in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
21 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme to affirmatively mislead**
22 **consumers and NHTSA.**

22 1812. The members of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise devised a scheme for
23 the purpose of defrauding consumers and NHTSA by concealing or minimizing the
24 ACU Defect in Toyota Class Vehicles through a pattern of affirmatively misleading
25 statements.

26 1813. In the alternative, the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise members devised an
27 illicit scheme for the purpose of obtaining money by fraudulent pretenses to
28

1 maximize the sale of Toyota Class Vehicles, which ultimately provided revenue to
2 the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise members.

3 1814. To carry out, or attempt to carry out, the fraudulent schemes, Toyota
4 USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
5 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
6 ST Malaysia, the nonparty Toyota Japan, and the nonparty Toyota manufacturing
7 subsidiaries—each of whom is a person associated-in-fact with the Enterprise—
8 knowingly conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of the
9 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity within the
10 meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1), 1961(5), and 1962(c). In furtherance of the
11 schemes, these Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise members each committed *at least* two acts
12 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud) and § 1343 (wire fraud), as described
13 in the subsections below.

14 **i. Toyota USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
15 **multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
16 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

17 1815. Toyota USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341)
18 multiple times by overseeing the content of Monroney labels for all Toyota Class
19 Vehicles. Upon information and belief, before Toyota Sales USA could affix
20 Monroney labels to the Toyota Class Vehicles, Toyota USA had to approve the
21 content of each label for the relevant make and model years. Toyota USA gave this
22 approval even though it knew about the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles.
23 Accordingly, it knew the reassuring statements about Class Vehicle safety features
24 on Monroney labels were misleading. *See* Section IV.E.1.a. above. Toyota USA
25 approved these misleading Monroney labels with full knowledge and the specific
26 intent that Toyota Sales USA would distribute the Toyota Class Vehicles to dealers
27 across the United States using private interstate carriers. Toyota USA also knew
28 that consumers would rely on Monroney labels when purchasing or leasing Toyota

1 Class Vehicles. Accordingly, Toyota USA “knowingly cause[d]” the Toyota Class
2 Vehicles with misleading Monroney labels “to be delivered by . . . such carrier[s],”
3 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

4 1816. On January 17, 2020, Toyota USA authorized Toyota Engineering
5 USA to file a misleading 573 Defect Report with NHTSA. Upon information and
6 belief, Toyota Engineering USA then used mail to send a paper copy of the 573
7 Defect Report to NHTSA and also used wire communications to send an electronic
8 copy to NHTSA, both on that day. These transmittals violated the mail and wire
9 fraud statutes (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343) because, as explained in Section IV.F.20,
10 the 573 Defect Report contained misleading statements denying the ACU Defect in
11 the unrecalled Toyota Class Vehicles. Toyota USA knew these statements in the
12 573 Defect Report were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud
13 consumers into purchasing or leasing the unrecalled Toyota Class Vehicles by
14 avoiding a recall of these vehicles. Toyota USA also knew these affirmatively
15 misleading statements in the 573 Defect Report would be publicly available to all
16 consumers. Accordingly, Toyota USA’s authorization of the transmittal of the
17 misleading 573 Defect Report to NHTSA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes.
18 (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343).

19 **ii. Toyota Sales USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
20 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-**
21 **ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

22 1817. Toyota Sales USA committed mail fraud every time it shipped, or
23 caused to be shipped, a Toyota Class Vehicle to dealers in the United States. For
24 every Toyota Class Vehicle, Toyota Sales USA delivered, or caused delivery of,
25 each vehicle by private or commercial interstate carrier to automobile dealerships
26 across the United States. Toyota Sales USA delivered millions of Class Vehicles to
27 execute the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s scheme to defraud consumers and NHTSA.
28

- 1 a. These deliveries furthered the scheme because Toyota Sales
2 USA sent the Toyota Class Vehicles to the dealerships where
3 consumers would purchase or lease them and because, prior to
4 shipping the Toyota Class Vehicles, Toyota Japan had affixed,
5 or caused to be affixed, to the vehicles misleading certification
6 labels (*see* Section IV.E.1.b. above), readiness indicators (*see*
7 Section IV.E.1.c. above), and airbag labels and imprints (*see*
8 Section IV.E.1.d. above).
- 9 b. Moreover, prior to shipping each Toyota Class Vehicle, Toyota
10 Sales USA and Toyota USA agreed upon the content for
11 Monroney labels for each make and model. As explained above
12 in Section IV.E.1.a., the Monroney labels for the Toyota Class
13 Vehicles were misleading because they falsely assured
14 consumers that the Toyota Class Vehicles had properly-
15 functional airbags, seatbelts, and safety systems. Toyota Sales
16 USA would then cause these misleading labels to be placed on
17 the Toyota Class Vehicles prior to shipment to dealers.
18 Shipment of the Toyota Class Vehicles with these misleading
19 Monroney labels furthered the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's
20 scheme because consumers relied upon the labels when
21 purchasing or leasing them.
- 22 c. Finally, prior to shipping the vehicles, Toyota Sales USA also
23 ensured that each Toyota Class Vehicle came with an owner's
24 manual with misleading statements about the vehicle's safety
25 system (*see* Section IV.E.2.b.i. above).

26 1818. Toyota Sales USA knew the Monroney labels, certification labels,
27 readiness indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners' manuals shipped with
28

1 each Toyota Class Vehicle were misleading because the Toyota Class Vehicles all
2 contained the ACU Defect.

3 1819. Although the precise shipment dates for all Toyota Class Vehicles are
4 not known to the Toyota Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these shipments
5 occurred in all years in or about 2010 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle
6 misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and
7 locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.3.

8 1820. Starting in 2010, Toyota Sales USA also transmitted, or caused to be
9 transmitted, tens (perhaps hundreds) of thousands of advertisements which stressed
10 the safety of Toyota Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television
11 communications in interstate commerce. Toyota Sales USA's misleading
12 advertisements are too numerous to recite completely, given the nationwide scope
13 and decade-long duration of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.
14 Examples of these advertisements are collected in Section IV.E.2.a.i. and Exhibit
15 13. Each such mailed advertisement—including brochures sent to dealerships for
16 display to consumers or print advertisements in newspapers or magazines—was a
17 violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such internet-based,
18 radio, and television advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18
19 U.S.C. § 1343). Toyota Sales USA knew these advertisements assuring consumers
20 of the safety of Toyota Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the
21 scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Toyota Class Vehicles.

22 1821. Toyota Sales USA also placed copies of owners' manuals for the
23 Toyota Class Vehicles on its website. Upon information and belief, the publication
24 of these owners' manuals occurred at or around the commencement of public sales
25 for each model year. The publication of each these manuals on a website was a
26 violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343) because Toyota Sales USA
27 knew the owners' manuals for all Toyota Class Vehicles were misleading and
28

1 would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Toyota
2 Class Vehicles.

3 **iii. Toyota Engineering USA violated the mail and wire**
4 **fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
5 **Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

6 1822. On January 17, 2020, Toyota Engineering USA authorized the filing of
7 a misleading 573 Defect Report with NHTSA. Upon information and belief, Toyota
8 Engineering USA then used mail to send a paper copy to NHTSA and also used
9 wire communications to send an electronic copy to NHTSA, both on that day.
10 These transmittals violated the mail and wire fraud statutes (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341,
11 1343) because, as explained in Section IV.F.20., the 573 Defect Report contained
12 misleading statements denying the ACU Defect in the unrecalled Toyota Class
13 Vehicles. Toyota Engineering USA knew these statements in the 573 Defect Report
14 were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud consumers into
15 purchasing or leasing the Toyota Class Vehicles by avoiding a recall of these
16 vehicles. Toyota Engineering USA also knew these affirmatively misleading
17 statements in the 573 Defect Report would be made publicly available to all
18 consumers. Accordingly, Toyota Engineering USA’s transmittal of the misleading
19 573 Defect Report to NHTSA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes. (18 U.S.C.
20 §§ 1341, 1343).

21 1823. Toyota Engineering USA separately violated the mail fraud act (18
22 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ZF Electronics USA that caused ZF
23 Electronics USA to ship defective DS84 ACUs by private or commercial interstate
24 carrier to the nonparty-Enterprise members Toyota manufacturing companies in
25 Canada, Indiana, Mexico, Mississippi, Kentucky, and Texas. These shipments
26 furthered the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme because Toyota
27 Engineering USA’s use of the defective DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles was
28 essential to the cost-saving goal behind the scheme. Toyota Engineering USA

1 caused ZF Electronics USA to make these deliveries knowing that the defective
2 DS84 ACUs would be placed in the Toyota Class Vehicles and that Toyota Sales
3 USA would market the vehicles to U.S. consumers as safe. Accordingly, each of
4 Toyota Engineering USA's orders and ZF Electronics USA's shipments of the
5 DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

6 1824. The precise dates and locations of each particular order for, and
7 shipment of, DS84 ACUs are not known to the Toyota Plaintiffs because they have
8 no visibility into the shipments to automobile manufacturers and Defendants have
9 not produced documents that show that information. However, a chart produced by
10 the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise volume of DS84
11 ACUs shipped for each year for each model of the Toyota Class Vehicles, and
12 identifies Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20 includes
13 highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about
14 shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years
15 contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 686-691. The month and day
16 of each shipment are not known to the Toyota Plaintiffs, but Defendants can
17 determine that information using the backup information in their possession.

18 1825. The shipping address for each of these shipments of DS84 ACUs by
19 ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall,
20 Illinois 62441. For ACUs shipped to Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada Inc., the
21 recipient address was 1055 Fountain Street North, Cambridge, Ontario, Canada
22 N3H 5K2. For ACUs shipped to Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc., the
23 recipient address was 1001 Cherry Blossom Way Georgetown, KY 40324. For
24 ACUs shipped to Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc., the recipient
25 address was 1200 Magnolia Way, Blue Springs, MS 38828. For ACUs shipped to
26 Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc., the recipient address was 4000 Tulip
27 Tree Dr, Princeton, IN 47670. For ACUs shipped to Toyota Motor Manufacturing
28 de Baja California S. de R.L. de C.V., the recipient address was Carretera Libre

1 Tijuana - Tecate #33143 Tijuana, Baja California, Mexico CP 36102. For ACUs
2 shipped to Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc., the recipient address was 1
3 Lone Star Pass, San Antonio, TX 78264.

4 **iv. Nonparty Toyota Japan violated the mail and wire**
5 **fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
6 **Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

7 1826. While Toyota Japan is not a named Defendant in this litigation, it is a
8 nonparty-Enterprise member that caused misleading certification labels, readiness
9 indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners’ manuals to be placed within
10 every Toyota Class Vehicle prior to shipment to the dealers that sell or lease the
11 vehicles to U.S. consumers. As explained in Sections IV.E.1.a. and IV.E.1.b.i.
12 above, each of these statements misleadingly assured consumers that the Toyota
13 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts
14 when, in fact, the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts had a dangerous safety
15 defect due to the vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS. Toyota Japan
16 caused the inclusion of these misleading statements within every Toyota Class
17 Vehicle with full knowledge and the specific intent that Toyota Sales USA would
18 distribute the Toyota Class Vehicles to dealers across the United States using
19 private interstate carriers. Accordingly, Toyota Japan “knowingly cause[d]” the
20 Toyota Class Vehicles with misleading statements “to be delivered by . . . such
21 carrier[s],” in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

22 a. Toyota Japan was directly responsible for including all of these
23 misleading statements in all Toyota Class Vehicles made in
24 Japan. Upon information and belief, Toyota Japan placed the
25 misleading certification labels, airbag warning lamps, and airbag
26 labels and imprints in the Japanese-made Toyota Class Vehicles
27 when they manufactured them at the following address: 1,
28 Toyota-cho, Toyota-city, Aichi-pref., 471-8571, Japan. The

1 certification labels for these Japanese-made vehicles bore
2 Toyota Japan’s corporate name, “Toyota Motor Corp.” The
3 Toyota Class Vehicles made by Toyota Japan have vehicle
4 identification numbers that begin with the letter “J.” Toyota
5 Japan has records in its possession that will identify the dates
6 when it transferred these Class Vehicles to Toyota Sales USA,
7 with the purpose of distributing them to the United States for
8 sale to consumers. The Toyota Plaintiffs do not have access to
9 these confidential records that provide the precise dates of
10 transfer.

11 b. Although other, nonparty-Enterprise members (Toyota Motor
12 Manufacturing Canada Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing,
13 Indiana, Inc.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing de Baja California S.
14 de R.L. de C.V.; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc.;
15 Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc.; and Toyota Motor
16 Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc.) made the remaining Toyota
17 Class Vehicles and placed permanent certification labels on
18 them under their own names, they had no discretion to depart
19 from the mandatory Toyota Class Vehicle designs created by
20 Toyota Japan. Accordingly, Toyota Japan, as the entity
21 responsible for designing these vehicles, was at least jointly
22 responsible for the certifications for these vehicles. Toyota
23 Japan was also responsible for the misleading airbag warning
24 lamps and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints placed within
25 these Toyota Class Vehicles because Toyota Japan’s designs
26 required the inclusion of these misleading statements within the
27 Toyota Class Vehicles.

28

1 c. Toyota Japan was also responsible for the content of the owners'
2 manuals for Toyota Class Vehicles. It also owns the copyright
3 interest in these manuals. As explained in Section IV.E.2.b.i.
4 above, these owners' manuals contained misleading statements
5 about the vehicles' safety systems. Insofar as Toyota Sales USA
6 effectuated the shipments of the owner's manuals within Toyota
7 Class Vehicles to dealers in the United States, it acted as Toyota
8 Japan's distribution agent for Toyota Japan's copyrighted
9 material.

10 1827. Although the precise shipment dates for all Toyota Class Vehicles are
11 not known to the Toyota Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these shipments
12 occurred in all years in or about 2010 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle
13 misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and
14 locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.3.

15 1828. Each shipment of a Toyota Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer was a
16 violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Toyota Japan knew
17 the certification labels, airbag warning labels, in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints,
18 and owner's manuals in all Toyota Class Vehicles were misleading and would
19 further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Toyota Class
20 Vehicles.

21 1829. When Toyota Sales USA distributed the Toyota Class Vehicles to
22 dealers in the United States, it acted as Toyota Japan's agent.

23 1830. Toyota Japan also provided Toyota Sales USA with authorization to
24 place copies of misleading Toyota Class Vehicle owner's manuals on Toyota Sales
25 USA's website. Upon information and belief, the publication of these owner's
26 manuals in print and on the website occurred at or around the commencement of
27 public sales for each model year. The publication of each of these manuals on a
28 website was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343) because Toyota

1 Japan knew the owner's manuals for all Toyota Class Vehicles were misleading and
2 would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Toyota
3 Class Vehicles. Toyota Sales USA acted as an agent of Toyota Japan when it
4 published these owner's manuals because Toyota Japan, not Toyota Sales USA,
5 holds the copyright in the manuals.

6 v. **ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute**
7 **multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
8 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

9 1831. ZF Electronics USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
10 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
11 IV.F.14. above:

- 12 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
13 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
14 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
15 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
16 2016);
17 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho²⁴ (which ZF
18 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
19 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
20 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

21 1832. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
22 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about the ACU Defect.
23 ZF Electronics USA specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of
24 these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained
25 therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Toyota Class Vehicles.

26 _____
27 ²⁴ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW.

1 Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Toyota Class Vehicles enabled the
2 continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

3 1833. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016 slide
4 deck. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by the fact that
5 its Vice President of Passive Safety Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
6 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
7 deck.

8 1834. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
9 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
10 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
11 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA caused this delivery to NHTSA too.

12 1835. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
13 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
14 the fact that its Technical Specialist, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
15 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
16 ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr.
17 Goodman's and Mr. Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with
18 NHTSA, where this slide deck was used.

19 1836. Moreover, because ZF Electronics USA's affiliates would not have
20 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
21 Electronics USA's contributions and approval, ZF Electronics USA was one of the
22 Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
23 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
24 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

25 1837. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Electronics USA worked
26 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Toyota Japan to design the
27 readiness indicators installed in Toyota Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Electronics
28 USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness indicator not to

1 illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Toyota Class Vehicle’s
2 safety systems were not ready to deploy in foreseeable crash events with negative
3 transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Electronics USA assisted with this
4 design, it knew Toyota Sales USA would ship the Toyota Class Vehicles to dealers
5 and that consumers would buy Toyota Class Vehicles without the airbag warning
6 lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because Toyota Sales USA would
7 not have shipped Toyota Class Vehicles without ZF Electronics USA’s assistance
8 in designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Electronics USA jointly caused
9 each shipment of a Toyota Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18
10 U.S.C. § 1341).

11 1838. ZF Electronics USA received orders from Toyota Engineering USA
12 for the defective DS84 ACUs used in every Toyota Class Vehicle and shipped them
13 by private or commercial interstate carrier to the nonparty-Enterprise-member
14 Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries based in Canada, Indiana, Mexico, Mississippi,
15 Kentucky, and Texas. These shipments furthered the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s
16 fraudulent scheme because the use of DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles was
17 essential to the cost-saving goal behind the scheme. When ZF Electronics USA
18 shipped the defective DS84 ACUs to the nonparty Toyota manufacturing
19 subsidiaries, it knew they would be installed in the Toyota Class Vehicles that are
20 marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also specifically aware of
21 Toyota Japan’s, Toyota USA’s, and Toyota Sales USA’s practice of making
22 reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing
23 Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner’s manuals, and
24 advertising for all Toyota Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew these
25 statements were false because it knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and
26 DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA shipped
27 each defective DS84 ACU with the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme with
28 the other Enterprise members, each of ZF Electronics USA’s shipments of the

1 defective DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The
2 particularities of these shipments are discussed above. Exhibit 20 includes
3 highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about
4 shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years
5 contained in this chart. *See Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 686-691.*

6 1839. ZF Electronics USA also separately violated the mail fraud act (18
7 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ST USA that required ST USA to ship
8 millions of defective DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the
9 following address: 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. When ZF
10 Electronics USA placed these orders, it knew it would install these DS84 ASICs
11 into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be installed in the Toyota Class
12 Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also
13 specifically aware of Toyota Japan's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
14 practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in
15 consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
16 manuals, and advertising for all Toyota Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew
17 these statements were false because it knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
18 and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA caused
19 shipments of defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
20 scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments
21 caused by ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).
22 ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 such invoices from the time period
23 between 2014 and the present alone. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate shipping
24 dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit 21.²⁵

25
26
27
28
²⁵ ST USA made similar shipments relevant to the Toyota Class Vehicles at least
between 2009 and 2014, but ST USA is presently withholding invoices for these
shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the invoices for this time
period will show similar regularity of shipments.

1 also simultaneously served as a Vice President for ZF Electronics USA and a
2 Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp., ZF Passive Safety USA
3 alone paid his salary.

4 1843. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
5 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
6 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
7 information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA caused this delivery too.

8 1844. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
9 deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced
10 by the fact that its longtime employee, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
11 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
12 Although Mr. Goodman also served as the Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
13 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary. ZF Passive Safety USA's
14 causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr. Goodman's and Mr.
15 Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, where this slide
16 deck was used.

17 1845. Moreover, because ZF Passive Safety USA's affiliates would not have
18 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
19 Passive Safety USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one
20 of the Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
21 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
22 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

23 1846. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
24 each of the four documents described above contained misleading statements about
25 the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the transmittal of
26 the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading
27 statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Toyota
28 Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Toyota Class

1 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF
2 Passive Safety USA’s affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
3 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Passive Safety
4 USA’s contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one of the
5 Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA.
6 Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail fraud
7 statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

8 1847. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Passive Safety USA
9 worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and nonparty Toyota
10 Japan to design the readiness indicators installed in all Toyota Class Vehicles.
11 Specifically, ZF Passive Safety USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would
12 cause the readiness indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even
13 though the Toyota Class Vehicle’s safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash
14 events with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Passive Safety
15 USA assisted with this design, it knew nonparty Toyota Japan would ship the
16 Toyota Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy the vehicles
17 without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because
18 Toyota Sales USA would not have shipped Toyota Class Vehicles without ZF
19 Passive Safety USA’s assistance in designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF
20 Passive Safety USA jointly caused each shipment of Toyota Class Vehicle, in
21 violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

22 **vii. ZF Automotive USA violated the mail fraud statute**
23 **multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
24 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

25 1848. ZF Automotive USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
26 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
27 IV.F.14. above:
28

- 1 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
- 2 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 3 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
- 4 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
- 5 2016);
- 6 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
- 7 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 8 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
- 9 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

10 1849. ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery via mail or private interstate
11 carrier of the February 5, 2016 slide deck, the July 19, 2016 slide deck, and the
12 March 8, 2018 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Automotive USA's role in causing the
13 delivery of these presentations is evidenced by its admission in a 573 Defect Report
14 that it attended the three meetings with NHTSA where these presentations were
15 used on its behalf.

16 1850. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery
17 of the September 2016 letter via mail or private interstate carrier by giving requisite
18 approval prior to the transmittal of the letter.

19 1851. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
20 each of these four documents contained misleading statements about Toyota Class
21 Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Automotive USA specifically approved the
22 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
23 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
24 Toyota Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Toyota
25 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.
26 Because ZF Automotive USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the
27 written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Automotive
28 USA's contributions and approval, ZF Automotive USA was one of the Defendants

1 who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
2 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
3 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

4 1852. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Automotive USA worked
5 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan to
6 design the readiness indicators installed in Toyota Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF
7 Automotive USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness
8 indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Toyota
9 Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with
10 negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Automotive USA assisted
11 with this design, it knew Toyota Sales USA would ship the Toyota Class Vehicles
12 to dealers and that consumers would buy the vehicles without the airbag warning
13 lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because Toyota Sales USA would
14 not have shipped Toyota Class Vehicles without ZF Automotive USA's affirmative
15 assistance in designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Automotive USA
16 jointly caused each shipment of Toyota Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud
17 act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

18 **viii. ZF TRW Corp. violated the mail fraud statute**
19 **multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
20 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

21 1853. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF TRW Corp. reviewed, drafted
22 and/or edited the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in
23 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 24 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
25 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
26 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
27 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
28 2016);

- 1 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho²⁷ (which ZF
- 2 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 3 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
- 4 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

5 1854. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the February 5, 2016 slide
6 deck via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s role in the transmittal is
7 confirmed by the cover letter, which is signed: "Very truly yours, ZF TRW
8 Automotive Holdings Corp." with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
9 Counsel of the company. ZF TRW Corp.'s causal role is further confirmed by a
10 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: "This document is the
11 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
12 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW" Based
13 on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the transmittal of
14 the document to NHTSA.

15 1855. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the July 19, 2016 slide deck
16 via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s causal role is confirmed by a
17 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: "This document is the
18 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
19 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW."
20 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
21 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

22 1856. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. also gave requisite prior
23 authorization for the delivery of the September 2016 letter.

24 1857. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the March 8, 2018 slide deck
25 to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s causal role is

26

27 ²⁷ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 confirmed by the cover letter included with the mailing of the slide deck. The cover
2 letter is on the letter head of an “Active & Passive Safety Technology” business
3 unit. Because this is a reference to ZF TRW Corp.,²⁸ ZF TRW Corp. must have
4 reviewed and approved the transmittal of the slide deck to NHTSA.

5 1858. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
6 each of these four documents described above contained misleading statements
7 about Toyota Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF TRW Corp. specifically
8 approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and
9 intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or
10 delay recalls of Toyota Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying
11 recalls of Toyota Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
12 consumers. Because ZF TRW Corp.’s affiliates would not have sent or approved
13 the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF TRW
14 Corp.’s contributions and approval, ZF TRW Corp. was one of the Defendants who
15 caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
16 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
17 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

18 **ix. ZF Germany violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
19 **multiple times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST**
20 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

21 1859. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF Germany reviewed and/or edited
22 the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2.,
23 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

24
25 _____
26 ²⁸ According to ZF AG’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Corp. is the only
corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this letter was also
sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

- 1 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
- 2 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 3 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
- 4 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
- 5 2016);
- 6 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
- 7 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 8 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
- 9 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

10 1860. ZF Germany caused the delivery of these communications via mail
11 and wire. The three presentations bear copyright legends attributing ownership to
12 ZF Germany. Accordingly, sending these presentations must have required its
13 involvement and consent. Moreover, the slide decks dated February 5, 2016 and
14 July 19, 2016 identify ZF Germany as the corporate author on the title page.

15 1861. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
16 each of these documents described above contained misleading statements about
17 Toyota Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect. ZF Germany specifically approved the
18 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
19 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
20 Toyota Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Toyota
21 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.
22 Because ZF Germany's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
23 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Germany's
24 contributions and approval, ZF Germany was one of the Defendants who caused the
25 delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in
26 these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C.
27 § 1341).

28

1 1864. When ST USA required ST Malaysia to make these shipments and
2 then made its own shipments to ZF Electronics USA, it knew ZF Electronics USA
3 would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be
4 installed in Toyota Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST USA
5 was also aware of Toyota USA's, Toyota Sales USA's, and nonparty Toyota
6 Japan's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and
7 seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels,
8 owner's manuals, and advertising for all Toyota Class Vehicles. ST USA knew
9 these statements were false because it knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
10 and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST USA caused shipments
11 of well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a
12 fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC
13 shipments caused by ST USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

14 **xi. ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute multiple**
15 **times in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's**
16 **fraudulent scheme.**

17 1865. Between 2007 and the 2018, ST USA regularly worked with its
18 affiliate, ST Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA's
19 so-called "ST Micro LAX Hub" near Los Angeles, California. During that time
20 period, ST Malaysia shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
21 location. ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
22 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present. Each invoice
23 notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST Malaysia
24 operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an approximate date for
25 these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate shipping dates from these
26 invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit 21.³¹

27 ³¹ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the

1 1866. When ST Malaysia made these shipments, it knew ZF Electronics
2 USA would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those ACUs that
3 would be installed in Toyota Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers.
4 ST Malaysia was also aware of Toyota USA's, Toyota Sales USA's, and nonparty
5 Toyota Japan's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and
6 seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels,
7 owner's manuals, and advertising for all Toyota Class Vehicles. ST Malaysia knew
8 these statements were false because it knew the Toyota Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
9 and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST Malaysia caused
10 shipments of well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of
11 executing a fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84
12 ASIC shipments made by ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. §
13 1341).

14 **b. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA,**
15 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
16 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia,**
17 **and nonparty Toyota Japan advanced their fraudulent**
18 **scheme by concealing material information about a serious**
safety defect that they had a duty to disclose.

19 1867. The uses of mail and wire described in the section above violated the
20 mail and wire fraud statutes because they furthered a fraudulent scheme to
21 affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.

22 1868. In addition, these same uses of the mail and wire *also* violated the mail
23 and wire fraud statutes because, while they sent or caused to be sent these mailings,
24 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA,
25 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
26 USA, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan had duties to disclose the ACU
27 Defect and failed to do so in order to advance their scheme.

28 _____
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments.

1 1869. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
3 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan each
4 knew for years that the defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs in the Toyota Class
5 Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS. *See* Section IV.D.5. above.

6 1870. To further the goals of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise and to their
7 mutual gain, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
8 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
9 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and Toyota Japan concealed what
10 they knew about the existence, scope, and material safety risks of the ACU Defect
11 in the Toyota Class Vehicles.

12 1871. Their careful efforts to conceal the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class
13 Vehicles were critically important to the viability of their scheme. A decision by
14 any one Defendant or nonparty-Enterprise member to tell the truth about the ACU
15 Defect and its impact of vehicle safety to consumers or to NHTSA would have been
16 an existential threat to the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise. Instead, and in pursuit of ill-
17 gotten profits, they each kept key information about the ACU Defect hidden for
18 years. This concealment of material facts about the ACU Defect was grounded in
19 and advanced their scheme to defraud consumers through the continued sale of
20 Toyota Class Vehicles, and avoidance of costly recalls and their attendant
21 reputational harms.

22 1872. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
24 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan's
25 concealment of the ACU Defect violated several independent duties to disclose it.³²

26 _____
27 ³² As vehicle manufacturers and component parts suppliers, Defendants are also
28 subject to statutory duties to disclose known safety defects to consumers and to
NHTSA pursuant to the Safety Act and its attendant regulations. *See, e.g.*, 49

- 1 a. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
3 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
4 and nonparty Toyota Japan each had a duty to disclose the ACU
5 Defect because of their exclusive knowledge and far superior
6 information about the ACU Defect.
- 7 b. These Defendants and nonparty Toyota Japan knew about the
8 vulnerability of the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS through their
9 exclusive access to information about their design, development,
10 and testing, and through their confidential and proprietary
11 investigations into suspicious incidents. Given the ACU
12 Defect’s hidden and technical nature, Plaintiffs and consumers
13 lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and
14 electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the
15 ACU Defect on their own.
- 16 c. In addition, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
17 Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
18 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST

19 _____
20 U.S.C. § 30118(c) (“A manufacturer of a motor vehicle . . . shall notify the
21 Secretary by certified mail or electronic mail, and the owners, purchasers, and
22 dealers of the vehicle . . . as provided in section 30119(d) of this section, if the
23 manufacturer . . . learns the vehicle . . . contains a defect and decides in good faith
24 that the defect is related to motor vehicle safety.”); 49 U.S.C. §30119(d)
25 (manufacturers must notify “each person registered . . . as the owner and whose
26 name and address are reasonably ascertainable”); 49 C.F.R. §573.6(a) (“Each
27 manufacturer shall furnish a report to the NHTSA for each defect . . . in his items of
28 original . . . equipment that he . . . determines to be related to motor vehicle
safety.”). Plaintiffs previously pled Defendants had a duty to disclose based on
these provisions of the Safety Act, but the Court dismissed an omissions theory
based these alleged duties. Plaintiffs reserve the right to appeal this decision at a
later date, but do not rely upon the Safety Act as a basis for their omissions theory
in this pleading.

1 USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan also
2 each had a duty to disclose because they knew that a defect in
3 the Toyota Class Vehicles and their DS84 ACUs and ASICs
4 gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use
5 the vehicles. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities
6 that generate billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in
7 the automotive industry, each of these Defendants and nonparty
8 Toyota Japan knew that this information would have been
9 material to consumers. For example, a February 3, 2004,
10 prospectus filed by ZF TRW Corp. with the SEC observed that
11 “85 percent of recent auto purchasers stated that they look for
12 vehicle safety information before making their final decision.”
13 Nonetheless, Defendants and nonparty Toyota Japan still did not
14 disclose it.

15 d. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
16 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
17 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, and nonparty Toyota Japan also
18 each had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect because of the
19 actions they took to conceal the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class
20 Vehicles from consumers. Each of these Defendants and
21 nonparty Toyota Japan acted to suppress the truth about the
22 ACU Defect through their misleading representations to
23 NHTSA. *See* Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., IV.F.14.,
24 IV.F.20., and IV.F.22. Because a truthful and accurate
25 disclosure to NHTSA would have been material to NHTSA’s
26 decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation
27 into the DS84 ACUs and ASICs, the affirmative steps they took
28 to mislead NHTSA about the ACU Defect also precluded the

1 Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members from
2 an opportunity that otherwise have led to their discovery of the
3 truth about the ACU Defect.

4 e. Finally, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering
5 USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan affirmatively presented
6 reassuring information about the Toyota Class Vehicles’
7 airbags, seatbelts, and overall safety to consumers (*see* Sections
8 IV.E.1 and I.V.E.2. above). Because they opted to make these
9 representations to consumers about these topics, and because it
10 knew information about the ACU Defect that made those
11 representations misleading or untrue, Toyota USA, Toyota
12 Engineering, Toyota Sales USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan
13 were under a separate duty to disclose the full truth about the
14 ACU Defect that materially undermined the reassuring
15 information they presented, or caused to be presented, to
16 consumers.

17 1873. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
18 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
19 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew
20 and intended that NHTSA would rely on their and the other members of the
21 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s material omissions about the Toyota Class Vehicles to
22 approve them for importation, marketing, and sale to consumers in the United
23 States. And conversely, they also understood that disclosing the ACU Defect would
24 require them to recall and fix the Toyota Class Vehicles, which would negatively
25 impact the profits of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise.

26 1874. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
27 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
28 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and nonparty Toyota Japan also

1 knew and intended that consumers would rely on their and the other members of the
2 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's material omissions when deciding to purchase or lease
3 the Toyota Class Vehicles. The Toyota Plaintiffs' reliance on this concealment is
4 demonstrated by the fact that they paid money for Toyota Class Vehicles that never
5 should have been introduced into the U.S. stream of commerce, and that they
6 overpaid for vehicles with defective safety systems without knowledge of the ACU
7 Defect.

8 **c. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise was an association-in-fact**
9 **enterprise with a common purpose of misleading consumers**
10 **and NHTSA regarding the ACU Defect in Toyota Class**
11 **Vehicles.**

12 1875. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose and ongoing
13 organization and functioned as a continuing unit.

14 **i. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose.**

15 1876. The common purpose of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise was to
16 perpetuate a fraudulent scheme to maximize sales and leases of Toyota Class
17 Vehicles while hiding the ACU Defect from purchasers and lessees. Because all of
18 the Enterprise members' continued profits from this scheme ultimately depended on
19 consumers purchasing or leasing Toyota Class Vehicles, the Enterprise needed to
20 convince consumers of a false premise: that Toyota Class Vehicles had properly
21 functioning airbags and seatbelts. Toward this end, the Enterprise needed to mislead
22 consumers. For this scheme to work, it was also essential for the Enterprise to
23 conceal the ACU Defect from NHTSA, because the agency could halt the sale of
24 Toyota Class Vehicles and require recalls that necessarily require public notice of a
25 defect. The expense of these recalls would undermine the profitability of the
26 scheme.

27 1877. This common purpose served the interests of all members of the
28 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise. By concealing and minimizing the ACU Defect, Toyota

1 USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
2 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
3 ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and the nonparty-Enterprise members (Toyota Japan and the
4 Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries) maximized their revenue by selling as many
5 Toyota Class Vehicles as possible while avoiding or limiting the substantial costs to
6 recall and repair the Toyota Class Vehicles and their defective DS84 ACUs and
7 ASICs.

8 1878. The common purpose of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise is evidenced by
9 Toyota USA's, Toyota Sales USA's, Toyota Engineering USA's, ZF Electronics
10 USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, ZF Automotive USA's, and nonparty Toyota
11 Japan's repeated, confidential consultations with one another about suspicious
12 crashes involving Toyota Class Vehicles, problems with the design of the DS84
13 ACU and ASIC, observations of EOS on DS84 ACUs and ASICs, and dangerous
14 safety system malfunctions in Toyota Class Vehicles. As the Court has held,
15 consultations about "observed evidence of EOS in Class Vehicles" among
16 Defendants "support[s] a reasonable inference" of a "common purpose of
17 misleading consumers and NHTSA as to the existence of a defect in the ACUs."
18 ECF 396 at 61.

19 1879. The common purpose of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise is further
20 evidenced by ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's repeated communications with
21 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA about
22 observations of EOS in Toyota Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA would regularly share this information with
24 Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA by
25 copying excerpts of the reports received from ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
26 and sending them to Toyota Japan, who would then share them with Toyota USA,
27 Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA.

28

1 1880. The common purpose of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise is also
2 evidenced by coordinated efforts by Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota
3 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
4 USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Germany to mislead NHTSA about the
5 existence and scope of the ACU Defect by wrongly blaming wire harnesses for
6 safety system malfunctions that were caused by the ACU Defect.

7 **ii. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise had an ongoing**
8 **organization.**

9 1881. The participation of separate entities or individuals that have an
10 existence outside an alleged enterprise is evidence of an ongoing organization with
11 its own structure, separate and apart from its members. Toyota USA, Toyota
12 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
13 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST
14 Malaysia, the nonparty-Enterprise members (Toyota Japan and the Toyota
15 manufacturing subsidiaries) each existed separately from the Toyota-ZF-ST
16 Enterprise.

- 17 a. During the relevant period, Toyota Japan contemporaneously
18 designed, manufactured, and sold many vehicles that do not
19 contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 20 b. During the relevant period, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota
21 USA, and Toyota Sales USA contemporaneously provided
22 services to Toyota Japan relating to a large volume of vehicles
23 that do not contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 24 c. During the relevant period, the Toyota manufacturing
25 subsidiaries manufactured Toyota vehicles that do not contain
26 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 27 d. During the relevant period, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
28 contemporaneously sold, designed, and/or manufactured many

1 other products aside from the defective DS84 ASICs used in the
2 defective DS84 ACUs.

3 e. During the relevant period, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
4 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA contemporaneously
5 designed, made, and/or sold many other automotive parts aside
6 from the defective DS84 ACUs.

7 f. During the relevant time period, ZF TRW Corp. and ZF
8 Germany also engaged in a wide variety of business activities
9 unrelated to the defective DS84 ACUs.

10 1882. Another hallmark of an ongoing organization is members with
11 delineated roles that further the organization's goals. Each member performed
12 important and separate roles within the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise organization.

13 a. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
14 Automotive USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ACU for
15 use in the Toyota Class Vehicles, with Toyota Japan's, ST
16 Italy's, and ST USA's input.

17 b. ST Italy and ST USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ASIC,
18 with input from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
19 and ZF Automotive USA.

20 c. ST Malaysia manufactured the defective DS84 ASICs and
21 shipped them to ST USA in California.

22 d. ST USA sold and shipped the defective DS84 ASIC to ZF
23 Electronics USA.

24 e. Nonparty Toyota Japan designed the Toyota Class Vehicles, and
25 made many of them in Japan. Toyota Japan required any
26 company that made Toyota Class Vehicles to strictly follow its
27 designs. For the Toyota Class Vehicles made by Toyota Japan,
28 Toyota Japan added permanent labels to each vehicle that

- 1 certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well
2 as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
- 3 f. The nonparty Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries made Toyota
4 Class Vehicles by strictly following the mandatory design
5 specifications provided by Toyota Japan. For the Toyota Class
6 Vehicles made by these subsidiaries, Toyota Japan's mandatory
7 designs required the manufacturer to add permanent labels to
8 each vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety
9 standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag
10 labels and imprints.
- 11 g. Toyota USA responded to NHTSA's investigation on behalf of
12 Toyota Japan, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA.
13 Toyota USA also oversaw the content of Monroney labels and
14 shared responsibility for those labels on Toyota Class Vehicles
15 with Toyota Sales USA. Toyota USA also oversaw and
16 approved the content of Monroney labels for the Toyota Class
17 Vehicles.
- 18 h. Toyota Sales USA created the Monroney labels for Toyota Class
19 Vehicles and caused them to be affixed to each Toyota Class
20 Vehicles prior to their shipment to authorized Toyota dealers. It
21 also distributed the Toyota Class Vehicles to dealers, so they
22 could be sold to consumers with misleading Monroney labels
23 and the in-vehicle statements required by Toyota Japan's
24 mandatory design specifications. Toyota Sales USA was also
25 responsible for all misleading advertising to consumers.
- 26 i. Toyota Engineering USA was responsible for procuring the
27 defective DS84 ACUs from ZF Electronics USA. Toyota
28 Engineering USA also filed misleading statements with NHTSA

1 purporting to justify the decision not to recall many of the
2 Toyota Class Vehicles.

3 j. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany approved actions taken by ZF
4 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
5 USA, and participated directly in making misleading statements
6 to NHTSA about the ACU Defect.

7 k. Each of the Defendants separately ensured that NHTSA and
8 consumers did not discover the ACU Defect.

9 1883. The Enterprise members dedicated personnel to the Toyota-ZF-ST
10 Enterprise's common goals, which further evidences the ongoing structure of the
11 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, and ZF Automotive USA dedicated an entire applications team to implement
13 the defective DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles in 2008. Tom Wilson, a ZF
14 Passive Safety USA employee, was a member of this team.

15 1884. When the passenger safety systems in Toyota vehicles repeatedly
16 malfunctioned due to the ACU Defect over the course of several years (starting at
17 least as early as 2010), Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA,
18 and nonparty Toyota Japan routinely sought the involvement and assistance of ZF
19 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST Italy, ST USA,
20 and ST Malaysia. These Defendants repeatedly coordinated, directly or indirectly,
21 with Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Japan
22 on the ACU Defect and related malfunctions, including by assigning several
23 investigations for Toyota Class Vehicles to the same personnel. For example, ZF
24 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA assigned
25 Emanuel Goodman with the task of analyzing DS84 ACUs from Toyota Class
26 Vehicles. [REDACTED]

27 [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]

1 1885. In 2018 and 2019, in-house lawyers and senior executives for ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST USA, and ST
3 Italy repeatedly spoke with each other concerning NHTSA’s investigation into the
4 DS84 ACUs and Toyota Class Vehicles.

5 1886. Nonparty Toyota Japan, on the other hand, dedicated members of a
6 Toyota Japan design group called “3SJ” to participate in weekly meetings about the
7 ACU Defect with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
8 Automotive USA between 2018 and 2019. During those years, this group held
9 approximately weekly conference calls with the ZF team consisting of Emanuel
10 Goodman and Raad Konja, among others. Moreover, although ZF Automotive
11 USA and its subsidiaries contracted with Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Automotive
12 USA treated Toyota Japan’s Group Manager Tsutomu Kondo as its primary point
13 of contact with regards to the ACUs with the DS84 ASIC.

14 1887. When NHTSA began to investigate the defective DS84 ACUs in 2015,
15 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany,
16 and ZF TRW Corp. maintained the organization of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise by
17 sending excerpts of their misleading communications with NHTSA to Toyota
18 Japan, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia. Upon information and belief, Toyota
19 Japan would share this information with Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota USA,
20 and Toyota Sales USA. This allowed the participants in the Toyota-ZF-ST
21 Enterprise to coordinate their efforts to downplay the ACU Defect and avoid and
22 minimize recalls.

23 **iii. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise functioned as a**
24 **continuing unit.**

25 1888. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise continued to function for several years,
26 at least during the time period of 2008 to the present. Although Toyota Sales USA
27 stopped distributing new Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU in 2018 or 2019,
28 Toyota Class Vehicles continue to sell on the used car market with misleading in-

1 vehicle statements and consumer-facing marketing (such as vehicle brochures)
2 made by the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise.

3 1889. During this protracted time of ongoing sale and production of the
4 Toyota Class Vehicles, the members of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise remained
5 stable, with Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
7 ST USA, ST Malaysia, ST Italy, and the nonparty-Enterprise members (Toyota
8 Japan and the Toyota Manufacturing subsidiaries) remaining active members of the
9 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise. ZF Germany, on the other hand, started to participate in
10 the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise shortly after acquiring ZF TRW Corp. in 2015.

11 **d. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering**
12 **caused Toyota Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Toyota Class**
13 **members to overpay for Toyota Class Vehicles at the point**
14 **of sale or lease.**

15 1890. Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members are
16 “person[s] injured in his or her business or property” by reason of the Toyota-ZF-
17 ST Enterprise’s RICO violations, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1964. Toyota
18 Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members are entitled to bring this action for
19 three times their actual damages, as well as injunctive/equitable relief, costs, and
20 reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

21 1891. Because of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering
22 activity, Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members have been injured
23 in their business and/or property through their overpayment at the time of purchase
24 or lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect.

25 1892. By making misleading statements and omissions at or before the point
26 of sale or lease, the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise directly or indirectly obtained money
27 from Toyota Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Toyota Class by means of materially
28 false or fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions of material facts. Had the

1 Toyota Plaintiffs known what the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise members knew about
2 the ACU Defect, Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members would
3 not have purchased the Toyota Class Vehicles, or would not have paid as much as
4 they did for them.

5 1893. Had Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
6 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
7 ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Malaysia, or nonparty Toyota Japan not concealed, and
8 instead decided to disclose, the information they knew about the ACU Defect and
9 its impact on vehicle safety, Plaintiffs would have learned of the disclosure.

10 a. Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members would
11 have learned about the ACU Defect through any of the channels
12 through the Toyota Class Vehicles were marketed to them. In
13 other words, had Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and/or
14 nonparty Toyota Japan made a disclosure in *any* of the places in
15 which it otherwise communicated information about the Toyota
16 Class Vehicles, Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class
17 members would have seen it. This includes in Toyota Class
18 Vehicle brochures and other advertising, on Monroney labels,
19 certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels, airbag warning
20 lamps, and in owner's manuals.

21 b. Further, Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class
22 members would have learned about the ACU Defect at the times
23 and places that they purchased or leased their Toyota Class
24 Vehicles. For example, had Toyota USA or Toyota Sales USA
25 made a disclosure about the ACU Defect to authorized Toyota
26 dealerships, sales personnel at the dealerships would have
27 passed on that material information to consumers at the time of
28 the contemplated purchases.

1 c. Had any of the Defendants listed above or nonparty Toyota
2 Japan disclosed the true scope and existence of the ACU Defect
3 to NHTSA, Toyota Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class
4 members would have learned of it because NHTSA would have
5 considered this information material to its decision to require a
6 recall, which information would have been made public and
7 passed onto impacted consumers.

8 d. Had any of the Defendants listed above or nonparty Toyota
9 Japan disclosed the true scope and existence of the ACU Defect
10 to consumers or the public, either through press releases, on
11 their websites, or in any other public channel or forum, Toyota
12 Plaintiffs and Nationwide Toyota Class members would have
13 learned of it due to the materiality of this information about a
14 serious safety defect in millions of vehicles. Given the
15 seriousness of the information and the number of vehicles
16 impacted, the news media and consumer forums and blogs
17 would pick up the story. This is particularly so in the wake of
18 the massive Takata recall and litigation, which confirmed the
19 strong public interest in airbags and vehicle safety. For example,
20 an April 23, 2019 article available on ConsumerReports.com
21 described NHTSA’s expanded investigation into the DS84
22 ACUs to be “the agency’s most in-depth look at airbags since
23 the recall of more than 56 million airbags made by Takata.”

24 1894. The Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s misleading statements to NHTSA
25 between 2016 and the present were essential to the scheme because NHTSA would
26 not have allowed continued sale of unremedied Toyota Class Vehicles with
27 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs. At the very least, these misleading statements
28 delayed NHTSA’s broader investigation of the Toyota Class Vehicles until April

1 2019, when NHTSA launched an Engineering Analysis covering all unrecalled
2 Toyota Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA stopped
3 making DS84 ACUs for the 2020 model year based in large part on this
4 investigation. Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA would have stopped making DS84
5 ACUs if NHTSA had launched a broader investigation in 2016. For this reason, the
6 Toyota Plaintiffs who purchased and leased Toyota Class Vehicles after the first
7 misleading statement to NHTSA by the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise would have
8 avoided purchasing or leasing their Toyota Class Vehicles entirely, or they would
9 have paid less for them.

10 1895. Consumers are the only direct victims of the Toyota-ZF-ST
11 Enterprise's alleged fraudulent and misleading statements to NHTSA. NHTSA has
12 not suffered any reported, direct injury as a result of such conduct.

13 1896. Damages will not be difficult to ascertain; the Toyota Plaintiffs and the
14 Nationwide Toyota Class members' damages are the difference between what they
15 paid for Toyota Class Vehicles without an ACU Defect, and the value of the Toyota
16 Class Vehicles they actually received. In the similar *Takata* airbag litigation, for
17 example, plaintiffs also alleged overpayment damages suffered at the point of sale
18 based on a dangerous airbag defect. Plaintiffs' experts in that case performed a
19 conjoint analysis using surveys of consumers and found that the price premium paid
20 by class members was at least ten percent of the purchase price. A similar analysis
21 could be performed in this litigation. Other methodologies are also viable.

22 1897. All victims of Defendants' alleged conduct who claim to have
23 overpaid for the purchase or lease of Toyota Class Vehicles are within the alleged
24 Nationwide Toyota Class. Consequently, there are no issues with respect to
25 reapportionment or multiple recovery.

26
27
28

1 **6. Nationwide Count 6: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the**
3 **Toyota Nationwide Class Against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,**
4 **Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
5 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
6 **USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.**

7 1898. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 1899. It is unlawful “for any person to conspire to violate” 18 U.S.C. §
10 1962(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). To conspire in violation of section 1962(c), the
11 defendant must be “aware of the essential nature and scope of the enterprise.” ECF
12 396 at 77. Enterprise members conspire to violate section 1962(c) when “two or
13 more people agree[] to commit a crime” and “knowingly and willfully participate[]
14 in the agreement. . . . The illegal agreement need not be express as long as its
15 existence can be inferred from the words, actions, or interdependence of activities
16 and persons involved.” *Id.* A defendant who “agreed to facilitate a scheme” violates
17 section 1962(d) even if he “does not himself commit or agree to commit the two or
18 more predicate acts requisite to the underlying offense.” *Salinas v. United States*,
19 522 U.S. 52, 65-66 (1997).

20 1900. As explained in the section below, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,
21 Toyota Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia
23 and nonparty Toyota Japan were aware of the essential nature and scope of the
24 Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise. Count 5 describes this Enterprise.

25 1901. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
26 and/or interdependence, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering
27 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
28 TRW Corp., ZF Germany and nonparty Toyota Japan agreed to facilitate the
29 following acts of mail and wire fraud:

- 1 a. Toyota Sales USA's interstate shipments between 2010 and
- 2 2019 of millions of Toyota Class Vehicles with misleading
- 3 Monroney labels, readiness indicators, in-vehicle airbag labels
- 4 and imprints, and owner's manuals, and
- 5 b. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2010 and
- 6 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to the nonparty-Enterprise-
- 7 member Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries.

8 1902. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
9 and/or interdependence, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
10 Italy, and ST Malaysia also agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail fraud:

- 11 a. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2010 and
- 12 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to the nonparty-Enterprise-
- 13 member Toyota manufacturing subsidiaries;
- 14 b. ST Malaysia's interstate shipments between 2010 and 2019 of
- 15 millions of DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California; and
- 16 c. ST USA's interstate shipments between 2010 and 2019 of
- 17 millions DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

18 1903. The words, actions, or interdependence of activities of each of these
19 Defendants support the inference of agreement.

20 1904. Accordingly, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering
21 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
22 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each violated 18
23 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

24 1905. These violations caused the same injuries and damages described in
25 the prior Count. This Count incorporates by reference the allegations as to injury,
26 damages, and causation from the prior Count.

27 1906. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, ZF
28 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,

1 ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and
2 injured the business or property of the Toyota Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Toyota
3 Class. The Toyota Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide
4 Toyota Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

5 **a. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA,**
6 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
7 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and**
8 **ST Malaysia were all aware of the essential nature and scope**
 of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise.

9 1907. Each Defendant named in this Count was aware of the essential nature
10 and scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise, even if some specific details about the
11 Enterprise’s illegal activities and members were unknown.

12 **i. Toyota Japan, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and**
13 **Toyota Engineering USA understood the nature and**
14 **scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent**
15 **scheme.**

16 1908. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and
17 nonparty Toyota Japan were aware of the essential nature and scope of the Toyota-
18 ZF-ST Enterprise.

19 1909. Nonparty Toyota Japan always knew of the activities of Toyota Sales
20 USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA and their role in the Enterprise
21 because it owns these companies and monitors their activities. Likewise, Toyota
22 USA knew of the activities of Toyota Sales USA and Toyota Engineering USA and
23 their role in the Enterprise because it owns these companies and monitors their
24 activities.

25 1910. As explained in Section IV.D.5. above, Toyota USA, Toyota
26 Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA and nonparty Toyota Japan knew about the
27 nature and scope of the ACU Defect.
28

1 1911. Between 2008 and 2019, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
2 Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that the STMicroelectronics
3 companies were responsible for designing and manufacturing the DS84 ASIC for
4 the DS84 ACUs used in Toyota Class Vehicles.

5 1912. Between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA,
6 Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan have continuously tracked
7 the volume of sales of Toyota makes and models in the United States. Accordingly,
8 during the relevant time period, they knew roughly how many Toyota Class
9 Vehicles would likely sell in the United States.

10 1913. During each year between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota
11 Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that
12 reassuring certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints, and readiness
13 indicators would be placed in Toyota Class Vehicles prior to the shipment to
14 dealers in the United States. They knew this would occur because Toyota Japan's
15 mandatory designs required these statements to be placed in Toyota Class Vehicles.
16 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota
17 Japan knew that consumers would rely on some or all of these in-vehicle labels
18 when purchasing or leasing Toyota Class Vehicles.

19 1914. During each year between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota
20 Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that
21 Toyota Sales USA would advertise the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with
22 properly functioning airbags and seatbelts. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
23 Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that consumers would rely on
24 such advertisements when purchasing or leasing Toyota Class Vehicles.

25 1915. During each year between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota
26 Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that
27 Toyota Sales USA would ship Toyota Class Vehicles with owner's manuals that
28 include misleading statements about the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts of the

1 Toyota Class Vehicles. Likewise, each of these Defendants and nonparty Toyota
2 Japan knew that Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA would create and affix
3 Monroney stickers with misleading statements about airbags and seatbelts to
4 Toyota Class Vehicles. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA,
5 and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that consumers would rely on the Monroney
6 labels and manuals when purchasing or leasing Toyota Class Vehicles.

7 1916. During each year between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota
8 Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that
9 complying with Toyota Japan's mandatory design specifications for Toyota Class
10 Vehicles would require Toyota Engineering USA to place orders with ZF
11 Electronics USA, and for ZF Electronics USA to use mail or private interstate
12 carriers to ship the defective DS84 ACUs to the plants that manufacture Toyota
13 Class Vehicles.

14 1917. During each year between 2008 and the present, Toyota USA, Toyota
15 Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan knew that
16 Toyota Sales USA would, as a result of its direction to do so, cause the Toyota
17 Class Vehicles to ship from manufacturing plants to automobile dealers across the
18 United States.

19 1918. Nonparty Toyota Japan and Toyota USA knew in 2016 that ZF
20 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
21 and ZF Germany had made misleading statement to NHTSA about the ACU Defect
22 because in early 2016 they received copies of the misleading slide deck dated
23 February 5, 2016.

24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ii. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany understood the nature and scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

1919. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the essential nature and scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise.

1920. As explained in Section IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.5. above, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

1921. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew the approximate number of Toyota Class Vehicles because it made the ACUs for those vehicles.

1922. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew that nonparty Toyota Japan or its subsidiaries would, consistent with common practice in the automotive industry, make reassuring statements about the Toyota Class Vehicle’s safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts.

iii. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia understood the nature and scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

1923. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature and scope of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise.

1924. As explained in Section IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.5. above, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

1925. Upon information and belief, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA knew the defective DS84 ASICs would be installed the Toyota Class Vehicles. These companies also understood that automakers like the Toyota Defendants

1 would, consistent with common practice in the automotive industry, advertise their
2 safety systems to consumers, and that those safety systems would not work
3 properly as a result of the DS84 ASIC's vulnerability to EOS.

4 1926. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy were aware of the large scope of
5 the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise, among other reasons because ST Malaysia and ST
6 USA made and sold the DS84 ASICs for the Toyota Class Vehicles and all these
7 companies had access to records that showed that millions of defective DS84
8 ASICs were shipping to Illinois per ZF Electronics USA's instructions.

9 **b. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA,**
10 **ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
11 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, and nonparty Toyota**
12 **Japan agreed that one or more members of the Enterprise**
13 **would commit at least two predicate acts of mail or wire**
14 **fraud in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's**
15 **fraudulent scheme.**

16 1927. ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA,
17 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota
18 Japan began conspiring in furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent
19 scheme in 2008.

20 1928. ZF Germany joined the conspiracy in or around 2015, when it acquired
21 ZF TRW Corp.

22 1929. When nonparty Toyota Japan agreed to use the defective DS84 ACU
23 and ASIC in Toyota Class Vehicles, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
24 Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
25 USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan mutually understood and intended that this
26 agreement would prompt Toyota Engineering USA to cause ZF Electronics USA to
27 ship DS84 ACUs across state lines and Toyota Sales USA to ship the Toyota Class
28 Vehicles with misleading statements about the passive safety system, airbags, and
seatbelts therein.

- 1 a. In 2008, nonparty Toyota Japan agreed with ZF Electronics
2 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on the
3 design specifications for the DS84 ACU installed in Toyota
4 Class Vehicles. Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
5 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to agree on
6 specifications for Toyota Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU for
7 every model year until 2019.
- 8 b. Between 2009 and 2019, Toyota Sales USA used mail and wire
9 to advertise the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with
10 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and used private
11 interstate carriers to ship the Toyota Class Vehicles with
12 misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints,
13 certification labels, readiness indicators, and owner's manuals.
14 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive
15 USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty
16 Toyota Japan all knew that Toyota Sales USA was doing this
17 and would do this.
- 18 c. When nonparty Toyota Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA,
19 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on
20 specifications for the DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles,
21 Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after
23 2015) had a mutual understanding that this agreement would
24 cause Toyota Engineering USA to send orders for hundreds of
25 thousands of DS84 ACUs every year via mail or wire to ZF
26 Electronics USA.
- 27 d. When nonparty Toyota Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA,
28 ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on

1 specifications for the DS84 ACUs in Toyota Class Vehicles,
2 Toyota Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
3 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after
4 2015) had a mutual understanding that this agreement would
5 cause ZF Electronics USA to ship hundreds of thousands of
6 DS84 ACUs via private interstate carrier to the nonparty-
7 Enterprise-member Toyota manufacturing plants every year.

8 1930. As explained in Count 5 above, the shipments of Toyota Class
9 Vehicles by Toyota Sales USA, the orders by Toyota Engineering USA for DS84
10 ACUs, and the shipments by ZF Electronics USA of the DS84 ACUs violated the
11 mail fraud statute because they furthered the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent
12 scheme to cause consumers to purchase or lease vehicles that contain the ACU
13 Defect. To accomplish this goal, the DS84 ACUs needed to be shipped before they
14 could be installed in the vehicles.

- 15 a. ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive
16 USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan facilitated these mail fraud act
17 violations by collaborating on the defective design of the DS84
18 ACU, the readiness indicators, and Toyota Class Vehicles.
- 19 b. Nonparty Toyota Japan further facilitated these mail fraud
20 violations by (1) requiring all manufacturers of Toyota Class
21 Vehicles to install the DS84 ACUs therein, and (2) placing the
22 misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag
23 labels and imprints within the Toyota Class Vehicles it made in
24 Japan, and requiring the nonparty-Enterprise-member Toyota
25 manufacturing subsidiaries that made Toyota Class Vehicles in
26 North America to do the same.
- 27 c. ZF TRW Corp. facilitated the scheme because, upon
28 information and belief, its approval was required for the launch

1 of the DS84 ACU, which was one of the company's most
2 popular ACUs.

3 d. ZF Germany facilitated the scheme because, upon information
4 and belief, its approval was required to continue the sales of the
5 DS84 ACU.

6 e. Toyota USA facilitated this scheme by overseeing and
7 approving the misleading Monroney labels that Toyota Sales
8 USA placed, or caused to be placed, on Toyota Class Vehicles.

9 1931. The conspiracy among Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
10 Engineering, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
11 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, and nonparty Toyota Japan is further evidenced by
12 their coordinated efforts to cover up the ACU Defect.

13 a. For several years, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
14 Engineering, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
15 Passive Safety USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan uncovered
16 evidence that DS84 ASICs and DS84 ACUs were failing as a
17 result of EOS, but they maintained the confidentiality of these
18 incidents among each other.

19 b. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
20 USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan repeatedly coordinated with
21 each other in response to NHTSA's investigation. In 2016, ZF
22 Electronics USA alerted Toyota Japan to NHTSA's
23 investigation of the DS84 ACUs and sent excerpted copies of
24 ZF's misleading February 5, 2016 slide deck to NHTSA as part
25 of an effort to coordinate with Toyota Japan to conceal the ACU
26 Defect. Between 2018 and 2019, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
27 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and nonparty Toyota
28 Japan met every week to discuss the ACU Defect.

1 1932. The joint activities of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
2 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany in support of their
3 misleading statements to NHTSA were predicate acts and also show agreement by
4 these Defendants to advance the fraudulent scheme.

5 1933. ZF Electronics USA's placement of orders for DS84 ASICs and
6 shipments of DS84 ACUs were predicate acts and also show agreement by ZF
7 Electronics USA to advance the fraudulent scheme.

8 1934. The success of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
9 depended upon ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA,
10 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and nonparty Toyota
11 Japan's cooperation. All these companies had to maintain strict confidentiality
12 about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue. Moreover, the Toyota companies
13 depended on the ZF companies for the manufacture of the defective ACUs, whereas
14 the ZF companies could not reach consumers of Toyota Class Vehicles without the
15 agreement of nonparty Toyota Japan. This interdependence evidences the
16 agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

17 1935. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
18 member of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of
19 the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of
20 the common goals of the scheme.

21 **c. ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
22 **Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA agreed on the**
23 **commission of multiple violations of the mail fraud statute in**
24 **furtherance of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent**
25 **scheme.**

26 1936. ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA began conspiring with ZF Passive
27 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA in 2005, when the two
28 supplier groups began the joint design of an ACU ASIC with unique vulnerability
to EOS. By 2008, all these companies knew about internal thermal testing that

1 confirmed the weakness of the ASIC. They held multiple meetings about this issue.
2 Documents sent to Toyota Japan by ZF Electronics USA and ZF Passive Safety
3 USA in 2008 expressly noted the risk that a negative transient could travel up the
4 front sensor lines, reach the DS84 ASIC, and cause airbag failures during a crash.
5 In spite of this early knowledge, and after the years already sunk into development
6 work for the cheaper ACU, they proceeded to launch and use the DS84 ACU for
7 millions of Class Vehicles for more than a decade.

8 1937. Even after learning that DS84 ACUs and ASICs had malfunctioned
9 due to EOS during crashes, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ST USA, ZF Passive Safety
10 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to sell and send
11 shipments of the parts. When doing so, these companies all knew that Toyota USA,
12 Toyota Sales USA, and nonparty Toyota Japan would coordinate to cause the
13 Toyota Class Vehicles to be presented to consumers with misleading certification
14 labels, airbag labels and imprints, and readiness indicators.

15 1938. Several actions by ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA further support
16 an inference of agreements with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
17 ZF Automotive USA to commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the
18 conspiracy:

- 19 a. Between September 2009 and 2018, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
20 Malaysia regularly communicated with ZF Automotive US Inc.,
21 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about
22 observations of EOS in DS84 ASICs, including some ASICs
23 from Toyota vehicles. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's
24 DS84 ASIC team confirmed EOS damage on ASICs retrieved
25 from at least two Toyota vehicles with airbag failures during
26 crashes.
- 27 b. Upon information and belief, in 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
28 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent each ST

1 Defendant excerpted copies of its misleading statements from its
2 February 5, 2016 slide deck.

3 c. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA and ST
4 Malaysia continuously violated the mail fraud act in furtherance
5 of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise by shipping defective DS84
6 ASICs with a mutual understanding that some of these ASICs
7 would be installed in Toyota Class Vehicles, as explained above.

8 d. Between 2008 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA, ST Italy, and
9 ST Malaysia maintained public silence about the ACU Defect,
10 despite the observed evidence of the DS84 ASIC's and ACU's
11 unusual vulnerability to transients.

12 1939. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
13 member of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of
14 the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of
15 the common goals of the scheme.

16 1940. The success of the Toyota-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
17 depended upon ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
18 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had
19 to maintain strict confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue.
20 Moreover, the ZF companies depended upon the ST companies for the manufacture
21 of the defective ASICs, whereas the ST companies depended upon the ZF
22 companies for a viable path to profit from the consumers of Class Vehicles. This
23 interdependence evidences the agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

24
25
26
27
28

1 **7. Nationwide Count 7: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the**
3 **Nationwide Honda Class Against Honda Japan, Honda USA,**
4 **Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
5 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
6 **USA, and ST Malaysia.**

7 1941. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 1942. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c): “It shall be unlawful for any person
10 employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which
11 affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or
12 indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise’s affairs through a pattern of
13 racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.” Honda Japan, Honda USA,
14 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
15 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are
16 “persons” under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3) because each was capable of holding “a legal
17 or beneficial interest in property.”

18 1943. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) has four elements: “(1) conduct (2)
19 of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity.” ECF 396 at 59
20 (quoting *Sedima v. Imrex Co.*, 473 U.S. 479, 496 (1985)).

21 1944. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
23 ST USA, and ST Malaysia, and several nonparties formed the Honda-ZF-ST
24 Enterprise. The members of this Enterprise included Defendants Honda Japan,
25 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
26 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
27 Malaysia. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise also included several nonparty individuals
28 and corporations, for example, the Honda manufacturing subsidiaries that built

1 vehicles for distribution throughout the United States.³³ Discovery will likely reveal
2 several additional members of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise that are not currently
3 known to the Honda Plaintiffs.

4 1945. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
5 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
6 ST USA, and ST Malaysia are liable under 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) because they
7 conducted or participated in the conduct of the affairs of an “association-in-fact
8 enterprise”—i.e. the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise—through a pattern of racketeering
9 activity. In other words, each of these Defendants committed at least two predicate
10 acts in furtherance of the Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

11 1946. 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) provides for a civil remedy for any violation of 18
12 U.S.C. § 1962 for “[a]ny person injured in his business or property by reason of a
13 violation of section 1962 of this chapter.” In addition to proving a violation of
14 § 1962, this remedy requires proximate cause of a cognizable injury. ECF 396 at
15 59.

16 1947. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
17 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
18 ST USA, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the
19 business or property of the Honda Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Honda Class. The
20 Honda Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide Honda Class
21 members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

22
23
24
25
26

27 ³³ These manufacturing subsidiaries include Honda Manufacturing of Alabama;
28 Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC; Honda De México S.A. de C.V. and Honda
of Canada Mfg.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. **Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each committed at least two predicate acts of mail and wire fraud in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme to affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.**

1948. The members of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise devised a scheme for the purpose of defrauding consumers and NHTSA by concealing or minimizing the ACU Defect in Honda Class Vehicles through a pattern of affirmatively misleading statements.

1949. In the alternative, the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise members devised an illicit scheme for the purpose of obtaining money by fraudulent pretenses to maximize the sale of Honda Class Vehicles, which ultimately provided revenue to the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise members.

1950. To carry out, or attempt to carry out, the fraudulent schemes, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, the nonparty Honda manufacturing subsidiaries, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia—each of whom is a person associated-in-fact with the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise—knowingly conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1), 1961(5), and 1962(c). In furtherance of the schemes, the Defendant Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise members each committed *at least* two acts in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud) and § 1343 (wire fraud), as described in the subsections below.

1 **i. Honda Japan violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1951. Honda Japan violated the mail fraud statute multiple times by causing
5 misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and
6 owner’s manuals to be placed within every Honda Class Vehicle prior to shipment
7 to the dealers that sell or lease the vehicles to U.S. consumers. Honda Japan caused
8 the inclusion of these misleading statements within every Honda Class Vehicle with
9 full knowledge and the specific intent that Honda USA would distribute the Honda
10 Class Vehicles to dealers across the United States using private or commercial
11 interstate carriers. Accordingly, Honda Japan “knowingly cause[d]” the Honda
12 Class Vehicles with misleading statements “to be delivered by . . . such carrier[s],”
13 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

14 a. Honda Japan was directly responsible for including these
15 misleading statements in all Honda Class Vehicles made in
16 Japan. Upon information and belief, Honda Japan placed the
17 misleading certification labels, airbag warning lamps, and airbag
18 labels and imprints in the Japanese-made Honda Class Vehicles
19 when Honda Japan manufactured them at and then shipped them
20 from its plants in Japan, including at the following address: 1907
21 Hirata-cho, Suzuka-shi, Mie Pref., Japan. The certification
22 labels for these Japanese-made vehicles bore Honda Japan’s
23 corporate name, “Honda Motor Co., Ltd.” The Honda Class
24 Vehicles made by Honda Japan have vehicle identification
25 numbers that begin with the letter “J.” Honda Japan has records
26 in its possession that will identify the dates when it transferred
27 these Honda Class Vehicles to Honda USA with the purpose of
28 distributing them to the United States for sale to consumers. The

1 Honda Plaintiffs do not have access to these confidential records
2 that provide the precise dates of transfer.

3 b. While the nonparty-Enterprise members (Honda Manufacturing
4 of Alabama; Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC; Honda De
5 México S.A. de C.V. and Honda of Canada Mfg.) also made
6 Honda Class Vehicles and placed permanent certification labels
7 on them under their own names, they had no discretion to depart
8 from the mandatory Honda Class Vehicle designs created by
9 Honda Japan. Accordingly, Honda Japan, as the entity
10 responsible for designing these vehicles, was at least jointly
11 responsible for the certifications for these vehicles. Honda Japan
12 was also responsible for the misleading airbag warning lamps
13 and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints placed within these
14 Honda Class Vehicles because Honda Japan's designs required
15 the inclusion of these misleading statements within the Honda
16 Class Vehicles.

17 c. Honda Japan was also responsible for the misleading content of
18 the owner's manuals for Honda Class Vehicles. Honda Japan
19 reviewed and approved the contents of the manuals from Honda
20 USA at the time of their publication. Insofar as Honda USA
21 effectuated the shipments of the owner's manuals within Honda
22 Class Vehicles to dealers in the United States, it also acted as
23 Honda Japan's distribution agent.

24 1952. Although the precise shipment dates for all Honda Class Vehicles are
25 not known to the Honda Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these shipments
26 occurred in all years in or about 2012 to 2019. Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle
27 misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The dates and
28 locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.4.

1 1953. Each shipment of a Honda Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer was a
2 violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Honda Japan knew
3 the certification labels, airbag warning labels, in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints,
4 and owner’s manuals in all Honda Class Vehicles were misleading and would
5 further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Honda Class
6 Vehicles.

7 1954. When Honda USA distributed the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers in
8 the United States, it acted as Honda Japan’s agent.

9 1955. Honda Japan separately violated the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341)
10 by placing orders with ZF Electronics USA that caused ZF Electronics USA to ship
11 defective DS84 ACUs by private or commercial interstate carrier. This allegation is
12 based on the inclusion of a Japanese address and contact in a chart produced by the
13 domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA that identifies DS84 ACU shipments for
14 Honda Class Vehicles. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679). These orders for shipments
15 furthered the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme because Honda Japan’s
16 use of the defective DS84 ACUs in Honda Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-
17 saving goal behind the scheme. Honda Japan made this orders for ZF Electronics
18 USA to make deliveries knowing that the defective DS84 ACUs would be placed in
19 the Honda Class Vehicles and that Honda USA would market the vehicles to U.S.
20 consumers as safe. Accordingly, each of Honda Japan’s orders and ZF Electronics
21 USA’s shipments of the DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. §
22 1341).

23 1956. The precise dates and locations of each particular order for, and
24 shipment of, DS84 ACUs are not known to the Honda Plaintiffs because they have
25 no visibility into the shipments to automobile manufacturers and Defendants have
26 not produced documents that show that information. However, a chart produced by
27 the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise volume of DS84
28 ACUs shipped for each year for each model of Honda Class Vehicles, and identifies

1 Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added
2 by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about shipping locations,
3 volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years contained in this chart. *See*
4 Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 692-698. Upon information and belief, the shipping
5 address for each of these shipments by ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois
6 was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. The information available in
7 this chart is sufficient for the Honda Defendants to identify the precise dates of
8 shipments and the recipient addresses because the Honda Defendants will have
9 backup information that shows additional details about the underlying shipments.

10 **ii. Honda Engineering USA violated the mail and wire**
11 **fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
12 **Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

13 1957. Honda Engineering USA violated the mail fraud statute multiple times
14 by causing misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag labels
15 and imprints to be placed within the Honda Class Vehicles it manufactured in Ohio
16 prior to shipment to the dealers that sell or lease the vehicles to U.S. consumers.
17 Honda Engineering USA caused the inclusion of these misleading statements
18 within every Honda Class Vehicle it manufactured with full knowledge and the
19 specific intent that Honda USA would distribute the Honda Class Vehicles to
20 dealers across the United States using private interstate carriers. Accordingly,
21 Honda Engineering USA “knowingly cause[d]” the Honda Class Vehicles with
22 misleading statements “to be delivered by . . . such carrier[s],” in violation of 18
23 U.S.C. § 1341.

24 a. Honda Engineering USA was directly responsible for including
25 all of these misleading statements in the Honda Class Vehicles it
26 made in the United States. Upon information and belief, Honda
27 Engineering USA placed the misleading certification labels,
28 airbag warning lamps, and airbag labels and imprints in the

1 Honda Class Vehicles when it manufactured them at its plant,
2 including at the following address: 24000 Honda Pkwy,
3 Marysville, OH 43040 and 11000 State Route 347, East Liberty,
4 OH 43319-9407. The certification labels for these vehicles bore
5 Honda Engineering USA's previous corporate name, "Honda of
6 America Mfg." The Honda Class Vehicles made by Honda
7 Engineering USA have vehicle identification numbers that begin
8 with a numeric digit, i.e. "1" or "5." Honda Engineering USA
9 has records in its possession that will identify the dates when it
10 transferred these Class Vehicles to Honda USA with the purpose
11 of distributing them throughout the United States for sale to
12 consumers. The Honda Plaintiffs do not have access to these
13 confidential records that provide the precise dates of transfer.

14 1958. Although the precise shipment dates for all Honda Class Vehicles are
15 not known to the Honda Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these shipments
16 occurred in all years in or about 2010 to 2019. The Honda Plaintiffs were exposed
17 to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The
18 dates and locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.4. Honda
19 Engineering USA built the Honda Class Vehicles belonging to Plaintiff Rubio,
20 Plaintiff Huitzil, Plaintiff McPherson, Plaintiff Chaiken, and Plaintiff Namakkal,
21 and was therefore directly responsible for placing these misleading statements in
22 their vehicles.

23 1959. Each shipment of a Honda Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer was a
24 violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Honda Engineering
25 USA knew the certification labels, airbag warning labels, and in-vehicle airbag
26 labels and imprints, in all Honda Class Vehicles were misleading and would further
27 the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Honda Class Vehicles.
28

1 1960. Honda Engineering USA separately violated the mail fraud act (18
2 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ZF Electronics USA that caused ZF
3 Electronics USA to ship defective DS84 ACUs by private or commercial interstate
4 carrier to Honda Engineering USA at the following address: 24000 Honda Pkwy,
5 Marysville, OH 43040 and 11000 State Route 347, East Liberty, OH 43319-9407,
6 and to the nonparty-Enterprise members Honda manufacturing companies in
7 Canada, Mexico, Alabama, and Indiana, including to the following addresses: 1800
8 Honda Dr, Lincoln, AL 35096; 2755 N Michigan Ave, Greensburg, IN 47240; La
9 Luz Sur, 38140 Celaya, Gto., Mexico; 4700 Industrial Pkwy, Alliston, ON L9R
10 1A2, Canada. These shipments furthered the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent
11 scheme because Honda Engineering USA's use of the defective DS84 ACUs in
12 Honda Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-saving goal behind the scheme.
13 Honda Engineering USA caused ZF Electronics USA to make these deliveries
14 knowing that the defective DS84 ACUs would be placed in the Honda Class
15 Vehicles and that Honda USA would market the vehicles to U.S. consumers as safe.
16 Accordingly, each of Honda Engineering USA's orders and ZF Electronics USA's
17 shipments of the DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

18 1961. The precise dates and locations of each particular order for, and
19 shipment of, DS84 ACUs are not known to the Honda Plaintiffs because they have
20 no visibility into the shipments to automobile manufacturers and Defendants have
21 not produced documents that show that information. However, a chart produced by
22 the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise volume of DS84
23 ACUs shipped for each year for each model of Honda Class Vehicles, and identifies
24 Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added
25 by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about shipping locations,
26 volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years contained in this chart. *See*
27 Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 692-698. Upon information and belief, the shipping
28 address for each of these shipments by ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois

1 was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. Honda Engineering USA
2 received shipments to its Ohio plants at the addresses noted above. Likewise, the
3 Honda manufacturing subsidiaries received shipments at the following addresses:
4 1800 Honda Dr, Lincoln, AL 35096; 2755 N Michigan Ave, Greensburg, IN 47240;
5 La Luz Sur, 38140 Celaya, Gto., Mexico; 4700 Industrial Pkwy, Alliston, ON L9R
6 1A2, Canada.

7 1962. The information available in this chart is sufficient for Defendants to
8 identify the precise dates of shipments and the recipient addresses because
9 Defendants will have backup information that shows additional details about the
10 underlying shipments.

11 **iii. Honda USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
12 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
13 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

14 1963. Honda USA committed mail fraud every time it shipped, or caused to
15 be shipped, a Honda Class Vehicle to dealers in the United States. For every Honda
16 Class Vehicle, Honda USA delivered, or caused delivery of, each vehicle by private
17 or commercial interstate carrier to automobile dealerships across the United States.
18 Honda USA delivered millions of Class Vehicles to execute the Honda-ZF-ST
19 Enterprise's scheme to defraud consumers and NHTSA.

20 a. These deliveries furthered the scheme because Honda USA sent
21 the vehicles to the dealerships where consumers would purchase
22 or lease them and because, prior to shipping the Honda Class
23 Vehicles, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and/or the
24 nonparty Honda manufacturing subsidiaries had affixed, or
25 caused to be affixed, to the vehicles misleading certification
26 labels (*see* Section IV.E.1.b. above), readiness indicators (*see*
27 Section IV.E.1.c. above), and airbag labels and imprints (*see*
28 Section IV.E.1.d. above).

1 b. Moreover, Honda USA created the Monroney labels for the
2 Honda Class Vehicles and caused them to be affixed to each
3 Honda Class Vehicle prior to shipment. Shipment of the Honda
4 Class Vehicles with these misleading Monroney labels furthered
5 the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s scheme because consumers relied
6 upon the labels when purchasing or leasing them. Honda USA
7 distributed the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers, so they could be
8 sold to consumers with misleading Monroney labels.

9 c. Finally, prior to shipping the vehicles, also ensured that each
10 Class Vehicle came with an owner’s manual with misleading
11 statements about the vehicle’s safety system (*see* Section
12 IV.E.2.b.v. above). Honda USA owns the copyright interest in
13 these manuals.

14 1964. Honda USA knew the Monroney labels, certification labels, readiness
15 indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners’ manuals shipped with each
16 Honda Class Vehicle were misleading because the Honda Class Vehicles all
17 contained the ACU Defect.

18 1965. Although the precise shipment dates for all Honda Class Vehicles are
19 not known to the Honda Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these shipments
20 occurred in all years in or about 2010 to 2019. The Honda Plaintiffs were exposed
21 to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The
22 dates and locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.4.

23 1966. Starting in 2012, Honda USA also transmitted, or caused to be
24 transmitted, tens (perhaps hundreds) of thousands of advertisements which stressed
25 the safety of Honda Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television
26 communications in interstate commerce. Honda USA’s misleading advertisements
27 are too numerous to recite completely, given the nationwide scope and decade-long
28 duration of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme. Examples of these

1 advertisements are collected in Section IV.E.2.a.iv. and Exhibit 11. Each such
2 mailed advertisement—including brochures sent to dealerships for display to
3 consumers or print advertisements in newspapers or magazines—was a violation of
4 the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such internet-based, radio, and
5 television advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C.
6 § 1343). Honda USA knew these advertisements assuring consumers of the safety
7 of Honda Class Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud
8 consumers into purchasing or leasing Honda Class Vehicles.

9 **iv. ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute**
10 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
11 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

12 1967. ZF Electronics USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
13 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
14 IV.F.14. above:

- 15 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
16 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
17 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
18 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
19 2016);
20 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho³⁴ (which ZF
21 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
22 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
23 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

24 1968. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
25 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about Honda Class
26

27 ³⁴ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW.

1 Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Electronics USA specifically approved the
2 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
3 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
4 Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Honda
5 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

6 1969. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016 slide
7 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
8 the fact that its Vice President of Passive Safety Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
9 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
10 deck.

11 1970. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
12 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
13 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
14 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA caused this delivery to NHTSA too.

15 1971. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
16 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
17 the fact that its Technical Specialist, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
18 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
19 ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr.
20 Goodman's and Mr. Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with
21 NHTSA, where this slide deck was used.

22 1972. Moreover, because ZF Electronics USA's affiliates would not have
23 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
24 Electronics USA's contributions and approval, ZF Electronics USA was one of the
25 Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
26 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
27 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.
28

1 1973. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Electronics USA worked
2 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Honda Japan to design the
3 readiness indicators installed in Honda Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Electronics
4 USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness indicator not to
5 illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Honda Class Vehicle's
6 safety systems were not ready to deploy in foreseeable crash events with negative
7 transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Electronics USA assisted with this
8 design, it knew Honda USA would ship the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers and
9 that consumers would buy Honda Class Vehicles without the airbag warning lamp
10 illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because Honda USA would not have
11 shipped Honda Class Vehicles without ZF Electronics USA's assistance in
12 designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Electronics USA jointly caused each
13 shipment of a Honda Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C.
14 § 1341).

15 1974. ZF Electronics USA received orders from Honda Japan and Honda
16 Engineering USA for the defective DS84 ACUs used in every Honda Class Vehicle
17 and shipped them by private or commercial interstate carrier to Honda Japan in
18 Japan, Honda Engineering USA in Ohio, and the nonparty-Enterprise-member
19 Honda manufacturing subsidiaries based in Canada, Mexico, Alabama, and Indiana.
20 These shipments furthered the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
21 because the use of DS84 ACUs in Honda Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-
22 saving goal behind the scheme. When ZF Electronics USA shipped the defective
23 DS84 ACUs to the nonparty Honda manufacturing subsidiaries, it knew they would
24 be installed in the Honda Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF
25 Electronics USA was also specifically aware of Honda Japan's, Honda Engineering
26 USA's, and Honda USA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety,
27 airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-
28 vehicle labels, owner's manuals, and advertising for all Honda Class Vehicles. ZF

1 Electronics USA knew these statements were false because it knew the Honda
2 Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because
3 ZF Electronics USA shipped each defective DS84 ACU with the purpose of
4 executing a fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of ZF
5 Electronics USA's shipments of the defective DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud
6 statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). The particularities of these shipments are discussed
7 above. Exhibit 20 includes highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular
8 information about shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and
9 shipping years contained in this chart. *See Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 686-691.* Upon
10 information and belief, the shipping address for each of these shipments by ZF
11 Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall,
12 Illinois 62441.

13 1975. ZF Electronics USA also separately violated the mail fraud act (18
14 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ST USA that required ST USA to ship
15 millions of defective DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the
16 following address: 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. When ZF
17 Electronics USA placed these orders, it knew it would install these DS84 ASICs
18 into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be installed in the Honda Class
19 Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also
20 specifically aware of Honda Japan's, Honda Engineering USA's, and Honda USA's
21 practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in
22 consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
23 manuals, and advertising for all Honda Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew
24 these statements were false because it knew the Honda Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
25 and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA caused
26 shipments of defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
27 scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments
28 caused by ZF Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

1 ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 such invoices from the time period
2 between 2014 and the present. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate shipping dates
3 from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit 21.³⁵

4 v. **ZF Passive Safety USA violated the mail fraud statute**
5 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
6 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

7 1976. ZF Passive Safety USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
8 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
9 IV.F.14. above:

- 10 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
11 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
12 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
13 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
14 2016);
15 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho³⁶ (which ZF
16 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
17 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
18 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

19 1977. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
20 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about Honda Class
21 Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the
22 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the
23 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of

24 ³⁵ ST USA made similar shipments relevant to the Honda Class Vehicles at least
25 between 2009 and 2014, but ST USA is presently withholding invoices for these
26 shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the invoices for this time
27 period will show similar regularity of shipments.

28 ³⁶ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW.

1 Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Honda
2 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

3 1978. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016
4 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is
5 evidenced by the fact that its employee Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
6 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
7 deck. Although Mr. Bolitho also simultaneously served as a Vice President for ZF
8 Electronics USA and a Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.,
9 ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary.

10 1979. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
11 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
12 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
13 information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA caused this delivery too.

14 1980. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
15 deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced
16 by the fact that its longtime employee, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
17 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
18 Although Mr. Goodman also served as the Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
19 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary. ZF Passive Safety USA's
20 causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr. Goodman's and Mr.
21 Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, where this slide
22 deck was used.

23 1981. Moreover, because ZF Passive Safety USA's affiliates would not have
24 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
25 Passive Safety USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one
26 of the Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
27 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
28 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

1 1982. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
2 each of the four documents described above contained misleading statements about
3 Honda Class Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically
4 approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and
5 intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or
6 delay recalls of Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying
7 recalls of Honda Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
8 consumers. Because ZF Passive Safety USA's affiliates would not have sent or
9 approved the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF
10 Passive Safety USA's contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one
11 of the Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to
12 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
13 fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

14 1983. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Passive Safety USA
15 worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Honda Japan to design
16 the readiness indicators installed in all Honda Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF
17 Passive Safety USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness
18 indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Honda Class
19 Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with negative
20 transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Passive Safety USA assisted with this
21 design, it knew Honda USA would ship the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers and
22 that consumers would buy the vehicles without the airbag warning lamp
23 illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because Honda USA would not have
24 shipped Honda Class Vehicles without ZF Passive Safety USA's assistance in
25 designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Passive Safety USA jointly caused
26 each shipment of Honda Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C.
27 § 1341).

28

1 **vi. ZF Automotive USA violated the mail fraud statute**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1984. ZF Automotive USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
5 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
6 IV.F.14. above:

- 7 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
8 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 9 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
10 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
11 2016);
- 12 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
13 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 14 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

16 1985. ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery via mail or private interstate
17 carrier of the February 5, 2016 slide deck, the July 19, 2016 slide deck, and the
18 March 8, 2018 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Automotive USA’s role in causing the
19 delivery of these presentations is evidenced by its admission in a 573 Defect Report
20 that it attended the three meetings with NHTSA where these presentations were
21 used on its behalf.

22 1986. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery
23 of the September 2016 letter via mail or private interstate carrier by giving requisite
24 approval prior to the transmittal of the letter.

25 1987. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
26 each of these four documents contained misleading statements about Honda Class
27 Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Automotive USA specifically approved the
28 transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the

1 misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of
2 Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Honda
3 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.
4 Because ZF Automotive USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the
5 written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Automotive
6 USA's contributions and approval, ZF Automotive USA was one of the Defendants
7 who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
8 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
9 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

10 1988. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Automotive USA worked
11 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and Honda Japan to design the
12 readiness indicators installed in Honda Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF Automotive
13 USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness indicator not to
14 illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Honda Class Vehicle's
15 safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with negative transients due
16 to the ACU Defect. When ZF Automotive USA assisted with this design, it knew
17 would ship the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy the
18 vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease.
19 Because Honda USA would not have shipped Honda Class Vehicles without ZF
20 Automotive USA's affirmative assistance in designing misleading readiness
21 indicators, ZF Automotive USA jointly caused each shipment of Honda Class
22 Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

23 **vii. ZF TRW Corp. violated the mail fraud statute**
24 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
25 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

26 1989. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF TRW Corp. reviewed, drafted
27 and/or edited the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in
28 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 1 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
- 2 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 3 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
- 4 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
- 5 2016);
- 6 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho³⁷ (which ZF
- 7 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 8 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
- 9 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

10 1990. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the February 5, 2016 slide
11 deck via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s role in the transmittal is
12 confirmed by the cover letter, which is signed: "Very truly yours, ZF TRW
13 Automotive Holdings Corp." with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
14 Counsel of the company. ZF TRW Corp.'s causal role is further confirmed by a
15 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: "This document is the
16 property of ZF TRW Corp. and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied,
17 disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF
18 TRW." Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
19 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

20 1991. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the July 19, 2016 slide deck
21 via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.'s causal role is confirmed by a
22 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: "This document is the
23 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
24 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW."
25

27 ³⁷ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW

1 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
2 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

3 1992. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. also gave requisite prior
4 authorization for the delivery of the September 2016 letter.

5 1993. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the March 8, 2018 slide deck
6 to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is
7 confirmed by the cover letter included with the mailing of the slide deck to
8 NHTSA. The cover letter is on the letter head of an “Active & Passive Safety
9 Technology” business unit. Because this is a reference to ZF TRW Corp.,³⁸ ZF
10 TRW Corp. must have reviewed and approved the transmittal of the slide deck to
11 NHTSA.

12 1994. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
13 each of these four documents described above contained misleading statements
14 about Honda Class Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF TRW Corp. specifically
15 approved the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and
16 intended for the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or
17 delay recalls of Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying
18 recalls of Honda Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud
19 consumers. Because ZF TRW Corp.’s affiliates would not have sent or approved
20 the written communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF TRW
21 Corp.’s contributions and approval, ZF TRW Corp. was one of the Defendants who
22 caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
23 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
24 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

25 _____
26 ³⁸ According to ZF AG’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Corp. is the only
corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this letter was also
sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

1 **viii. ZF Germany violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
2 **multiple times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 1995. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF Germany reviewed and/or edited
5 the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2.,
6 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 7 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
8 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 9 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
10 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
11 2016);
- 12 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which ZF
13 Electronics USA mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 14 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

16 1996. ZF Germany caused the delivery of these communications via mail
17 and wire. The three presentations bear copyright legends attributing ownership to
18 ZF Germany. Accordingly, sending these presentations must have required its
19 involvement and consent. Moreover, the slide decks dated February 5, 2016 and
20 July 19, 2016 identify ZF Germany as the corporate author on the title page.

21 1997. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
22 each of these documents described above contained misleading statements about
23 Honda Class Vehicles and/or the ACU Defect. ZF Germany specifically approved
24 the transmittal of the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for
25 the misleading statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls
26 of Honda Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Honda
27 Class Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.
28 Because ZF Germany’s affiliates would not have sent or approved the written

1 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Germany’s
2 contributions and approval, ZF Germany was one of the Defendants who caused the
3 delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in
4 these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C.
5 § 1341).

6 **ix. ST USA violated the mail fraud statute multiple times**
7 **in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
8 **fraudulent scheme.**

9 1998. ST USA regularly received orders from ZF Electronics USA for DS84
10 ASICs, including all the defective DS84 ASICs used in Honda Class Vehicles. In
11 response to these orders ST USA would work with its affiliate, ST Malaysia, to
12 help it manufacture and then ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA’s so-called “ST Micro
13 LAX Hub” near Los Angeles, California. Between 2007 and the present, ST USA
14 caused ST Malaysia to ship well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
15 location. In discovery, ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to
16 ZF Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone.
17 Each invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
18 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
19 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
20 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
21 21.³⁹

22 1999. ST USA also shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to
23 ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the following address: 902 South 2nd Street,
24 Marshall, Illinois 62441. As explained above, Exhibit 21 provides exemplar
25

26 ³⁹ ST USA made similar shipments for Honda Class Vehicles between 2010 and
27 2014, but is withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon
28 information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show a similar
regularity of shipments of DS84 ASICs from Malaysia.

1 approximate shipment dates based on an incomplete set of invoices produced by ST
2 USA.⁴⁰

3 2000. When ST USA required ST Malaysia to make these shipments and
4 then made its own shipments to ZF Electronics USA, it knew ZF Electronics USA
5 would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be
6 installed in Honda Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST USA
7 was also aware of Honda Japan's, Honda Engineering USA's, and Honda USA's
8 practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in
9 consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's
10 manuals, and advertising for all Honda Class Vehicles. ST USA knew these
11 statements were false because it knew the Honda Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and
12 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST USA caused shipments of well over
13 ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
14 scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments
15 caused by ST USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

16 **x. ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute multiple**
17 **times in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's**
18 **fraudulent scheme.**

19 2001. Between 2007 and the 2018, ST USA regularly worked with its
20 affiliate, ST Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA's
21 so-called "ST Micro LAX Hub" near Los Angeles, California. During that time
22 period, ST Malaysia shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
23 location. ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
24 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each

25
26 ⁴⁰ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
27 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
28 invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments of DS84
ASICs from the STMicro LAX Hub to the ZF Electronics USA's manufacturing
facility in Illinois.

1 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
2 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
3 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
4 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
5 21.⁴¹

6 2002. When ST Malaysia made these shipments, it knew ZF Electronics
7 USA would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those ACUs that
8 would be installed in Honda Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers.
9 ST Malaysia was also aware of Honda Japan's, Honda Engineering USA's, and
10 Honda USA's practice of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and
11 seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels,
12 owner's manuals, and advertising for all Honda Class Vehicles. ST Malaysia knew
13 these statements were false because it knew the Honda Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,
14 and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST Malaysia caused shipments of
15 well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a
16 fraudulent scheme with the other Enterprise members, each of the DS84 ASIC
17 shipments made by ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

18 **b. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF**
19 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
20 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST**
21 **Malaysia advanced their fraudulent scheme by concealing**
22 **material information about a serious safety defect that they**
23 **had a duty to disclose.**

24 2003. The uses of mail and wire described in the section above violated the
25 mail and wire fraud statutes because they furthered a fraudulent scheme to
26 affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.

27 ⁴¹ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments.

1 2004. In addition, these same uses of the mail and wire *also* violated the mail
2 and wire fraud statutes because, while they sent or caused to be sent these mailings,
3 Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
4 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
5 and ST Malaysia had duties to disclose the ACU Defect and failed to do so in order
6 to advance their scheme.

7 2005. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
8 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
9 ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each knew for years that the defective DS84
10 ACUs and ASICs in the Honda Class Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS. *See*
11 Section IV.D.6. above.

12 2006. To further the goals of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise and to their
13 mutual gain, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
15 ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia concealed what they knew about the existence,
16 scope, and material safety risks of the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles.

17 2007. Their careful efforts to conceal the ACU Defect in the Honda Class
18 Vehicles were critically important to the viability of their scheme. A decision by
19 any one Defendant or nonparty-Enterprise member to tell the truth about the ACU
20 Defect and its impact of vehicle safety to consumers or to NHTSA would have been
21 an existential threat to the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise. Instead, and in pursuit of ill-
22 gotten profits, they each kept key information about the ACU Defect hidden for
23 years. This concealment of material facts about the ACU Defect was grounded in
24 and advanced their scheme to defraud consumers through the continued sale of
25 Honda Class Vehicles, and avoidance of costly recalls and their attendant
26 reputational harms.

27 2008. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
28 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,

1 ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia’s concealment of the ACU Defect violated
2 several independent duties to disclose it.⁴²

- 3 a. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF
4 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
5 ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each
6 had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect because of their
7 exclusive knowledge and far superior information about the
8 ACU Defect. These Defendants knew about the vulnerability of
9 the DS84 ACU and ASIC to EOS through their exclusive access
10 to information about their design, development, and testing, and
11 through their confidential and proprietary investigations into
12 suspicious incidents. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and
13 technical nature, Plaintiffs and consumers lack the sophisticated
14 expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that
15 would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own.

17
18 ⁴² As vehicle manufacturers and component parts suppliers, Defendants are also
19 subject to statutory duties to disclose known safety defects to consumers and to
20 NHTSA pursuant to the Safety Act and its attendant regulations. *See, e.g.*, 49
21 U.S.C. § 30118(c) (“A manufacturer of a motor vehicle . . . shall notify the
22 Secretary by certified mail or electronic mail, and the owners, purchasers, and
23 dealers of the vehicle . . . as provided in section 30119(d) of this section, if the
24 manufacturer . . . learns the vehicle . . . contains a defect and decides in good faith
25 that the defect is related to motor vehicle safety.”); 49 U.S.C. §30119(d)
26 (manufacturers must notify “each person registered . . . as the owner and whose
27 name and address are reasonably ascertainable”); 49 C.F.R. §573.6(a) (“Each
28 manufacturer shall furnish a report to the NHTSA for each defect . . . in his items of
original . . . equipment that he . . . determines to be related to motor vehicle
safety.”). Plaintiffs previously pled Defendants had a duty to disclose based on
these provisions of the Safety Act, but the Court dismissed an omissions theory
based these alleged duties. Plaintiffs reserve the right to appeal this decision at a
later date, but do not rely upon the Safety Act as a basis for their omissions theory
in this pleading.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. In addition, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia also each had a duty to disclose because they knew that a defect in the Honda Class Vehicles and their DS84 ACUs and ASICs gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles. As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the automotive industry, each of these Defendants knew that this information would have been material to consumers. For example, a February 3, 2004, prospectus filed by ZF TRW Corp. with the SEC observed that “85 percent of recent auto purchasers stated that they look for vehicle safety information before making their final decision.” Nonetheless, Defendants still did not disclose it.

c. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany also each had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect because of the actions they took to conceal the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles from consumers. Each of these Defendants acted to suppress the truth about the ACU Defect through their misleading representations to NHTSA. *See* Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above. Because a truthful and accurate disclosure to NHTSA would have been material to NHTSA’s decision whether to require a recall or expand its investigation into the DS84 ACUs and ASICs, the affirmative steps they took to mislead NHTSA about the ACU Defect also precluded Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members from an opportunity that

1 otherwise have led to their discovery of the truth about the ACU
2 Defect.

3 d. Finally, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
4 USA affirmatively presented reassuring information about the
5 Honda Class Vehicles' airbags, seatbelts, and overall safety to
6 consumers (*see* Sections IV.E.1 and I.V.E.2. above). Because
7 they opted to make these representations to consumers about
8 these topics, and because they knew information about the ACU
9 Defect that made those representations misleading or untrue,
10 Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were
11 under a separate duty to disclose the full truth about the ACU
12 Defect that materially undermined the reassuring information
13 they presented, or caused to be presented, to consumers.

14 2009. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
15 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
16 ST USA, and ST Malaysia knew and intended that NHTSA would rely on their and
17 the other members of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's material omissions about the
18 Honda Class Vehicles to approve them for importation, marketing, and sale to
19 consumers in the United States. And conversely, they also understood that
20 disclosing the ACU Defect would require them to recall and fix the Honda Class
21 Vehicles, which would negatively impact the profits of the Honda-ZF-ST
22 Enterprise.

23 2010. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
24 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
25 ST USA, and ST Malaysia also knew and intended that consumers would rely on
26 their and the other members of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's material omissions
27 when deciding to purchase or lease the Honda Class Vehicles. The Honda
28 Plaintiffs' reliance on this concealment is demonstrated by the fact that they paid

1 money for Honda Class Vehicles that never should have been introduced into the
2 U.S. stream of commerce, and that they overpaid for vehicles with defective safety
3 systems without knowledge of the ACU Defect.

4 **c. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise was an association-in-fact**
5 **enterprise with a common purpose of misleading consumers**
6 **and NHTSA regarding the ACU Defect in Honda Class**
7 **Vehicles.**

8 2011. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose and ongoing
9 organization and functioned as a continuing unit.

10 **i. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose,**
11 **ongoing organization, and functioned as continuing**
12 **unit.**

13 2012. The common purpose of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise was to
14 perpetuate a fraudulent scheme to maximize sales and leases of Honda Class
15 Vehicles while hiding the ACU Defect from purchasers and lessees. Because all of
16 the Enterprise members' continued profits from this scheme ultimately depended on
17 consumers purchasing or leasing Honda Class Vehicles, the Enterprise needed to
18 convince consumers of a false premise: that Honda Class Vehicles had properly
19 functioning airbags and seatbelts. Toward this end, the Enterprise needed to mislead
20 consumers. For this scheme to work, it was also essential for the Enterprise to
21 conceal the ACU Defect from NHTSA, because the agency could halt the sale of
22 Honda Class Vehicles and mandate recalls that necessarily require public notice of
23 a defect. The expense of these recalls would undermine the profitability of the
24 scheme.

25 2013. This common purpose served the interests of all members of the
26 Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise. By concealing and minimizing the ACU Defect, Honda
27 Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
28 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST

1 Italy, ST Malaysia, and the nonparty-Enterprise members maximized their revenue
2 by selling as many Honda Class Vehicles as possible while avoiding or limiting the
3 substantial costs to recall and repair the Vehicles and their defective DS84 ACUs
4 and ASICs.

5 2014. The common purpose of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise is evidenced by
6 Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, ZF Electronics USA’s, ZF Passive Safety USA’s,
7 and ZF Automotive USA’s repeated, confidential consultations with one another
8 about suspicious crashes and test results involving Honda vehicles with the DS84
9 ACU, problems with the design of the DS84 ACU and ASIC, observations of EOS
10 on DS84 ACUs and ASICs, and dangerous safety system malfunctions in Honda
11 Class Vehicles. As the Court has held, consultations about “observed evidence of
12 EOS in Class Vehicles” among Defendants “support[s] a reasonable inference” of a
13 “common purpose of misleading consumers and NHTSA as to the existence of a
14 defect in the ACUs.” ECF 396 at 61.

15 2015. The common purpose of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise is further
16 evidenced by ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia’s repeated communications with
17 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA about
18 observations of EOS, including in Honda Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA, ZF
19 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA would regularly share this
20 information with Honda Japan. On information and belief, Honda Japan would
21 share this information with its subsidiaries Honda USA and Honda Engineering
22 USA. This allowed the participants in the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise to coordinate
23 their efforts to downplay the ACU Defect and avoid and minimize recalls.

24 2016. The common purpose of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise is also
25 evidenced by coordinated efforts by Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
26 USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF
27 Germany to implement changes to the DS84 ASIC in some Honda Class Vehicles.
28 These changes confirmed an agreement by Honda Motor Co., Ltd., ZF ASE, ZF

1 PSS, and ZF Automotive US Inc. that observed malfunctions in DS84 ACUs in
2 Honda Class Vehicles were serious enough to necessitate design changes. These
3 changes, while inadequate, did not apply to all Honda Class Vehicles, including
4 those already on the road.

5 **ii. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise had an ongoing**
6 **organization.**

7
8 2017. The participation of separate entities or individuals that have an
9 existence outside an alleged enterprise is evidence of an ongoing organization with
10 its own structure, separate and apart from its members. Honda Japan, Honda USA,
11 Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
13 Malaysia each existed separately from the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

- 14 a. During the relevant period, Honda Japan contemporaneously
15 designed, manufactured, and sold many vehicles that do not
16 contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 17 b. During the relevant period, Honda Engineering USA
18 contemporaneously manufactured and sold many vehicles that
19 do not contain defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 20 c. During the relevant period, the Honda manufacturing
21 subsidiaries manufactured Honda vehicles that do not contain
22 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 23 d. During the relevant period, Honda USA and Honda Engineering
24 USA contemporaneously provided services to Honda Japan
25 relating to a large volume of vehicles that do not contain
26 defective DS84 ACUs and ASICs.
- 27 e. During the relevant period, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
28 contemporaneously sold, designed, and/or manufactured many

1 other products aside from the defective DS84 ASICs used in the
2 defective DS84 ACUs.

3 f. During the relevant period, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
4 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA contemporaneously
5 designed, made, and/or sold many other automotive parts aside
6 from the defective DS84 ACUs.

7 g. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany also engaged in a wide variety
8 of business activities unrelated to the defective DS84 ACUs.

9 2018. Another hallmark of an ongoing organization is members with
10 delineated roles that further the organization's goals. Each member performed
11 important and separate roles within the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise organization.

- 12 a. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
13 Automotive USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ACU for
14 use in the Honda Class Vehicles, with Honda Japan's, ST
15 Italy's, and ST USA's input.
- 16 b. ST Italy and ST USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ASIC,
17 with input from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
18 and ZF Automotive USA.
- 19 c. ST Malaysia manufactured the defective DS84 ASICs and
20 shipped them to ST USA in California.
- 21 d. ST USA sold and shipped the defective DS84 ASIC to ZF
22 Electronics USA.
- 23 e. Honda Japan designed the Honda Class Vehicles, and made
24 many of them in Japan. Honda Japan required any company that
25 made Honda Class Vehicles to strictly follow its designs. For the
26 Honda Class Vehicles made by Honda Japan, Honda Japan
27 added permanent labels to each vehicle that certified compliance
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
- f. Honda Engineering USA made many of the Honda Class Vehicles in the United States; Honda Engineering USA also placed permanent labels in each Honda Class Vehicle it made that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
 - g. The nonparty Honda manufacturing subsidiaries made Honda Class Vehicles by strictly following the mandatory design specifications provided by Honda Japan. For the Honda Class Vehicles made by these subsidiaries, Honda Japan’s mandatory designs required the manufacturer to add permanent labels to each vehicle that certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints.
 - h. Honda USA responded to NHTSA’s investigation of the Honda Class Vehicles for the Honda Defendants. Honda USA also created the Monroney labels for Honda Class Vehicles and caused them to be affixed to each Honda Class Vehicles prior to their shipment to authorized Honda dealers. It also distributed the Honda Class Vehicles to dealers, so they could be sold to consumers with misleading Monroney labels and the in-vehicle statements required by Honda Japan’s mandatory design specifications. Honda USA was also responsible for misleading advertising to consumers.
 - i. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany approved actions taken by ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive

1 USA, and participated directly in making misleading statements
2 to NHTSA about the ACU Defect.

3 j. Each of the Defendants separately ensured that NHTSA and
4 consumers did not discover the ACU Defect.

5 2019. When the passenger safety systems in Honda vehicles with the DS84
6 ACU repeatedly malfunctioned due to the ACU Defect over the course of several
7 years (starting at least as early as 2012), Honda Japan sought the involvement and
8 assistance of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
9 ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia. These Defendants coordinated, directly or
10 indirectly, with Honda Japan on the ACU Defect and related malfunctions. For
11 example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA
12 assigned Emanuel Goodman with the task of attending inspections and analyzing
13 DS84 ACUs from multiple Honda Class Vehicles. [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]

16 2020. The Enterprise members dedicated personnel to the Honda-ZF-ST
17 Enterprise's scheme, which further evidences the ongoing structure of the
18 Enterprise. For example, Honda Japan used its Chief Engineer Nobuhiro Koyota as
19 its primary point of contact with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
20 Automotive USA relating to the defective DS84 ACU. Establishing a regular point
21 of contact further organized the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

22 2021. When NHTSA began to investigate the defective DS84 ACUs in 2015,
23 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany,
24 and ZF TRW Corp. maintained the organization of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise by
25 sending excerpts of their misleading communications with NHTSA to Honda
26 Japan, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia. Upon information and belief, Honda
27 Japan would share this information with Honda Engineering USA and Honda USA.
28

1 This allowed the participants in the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise to coordinate their
2 efforts to downplay the ACU Defect and avoid and minimize recalls.

3 2022. Finally, faced with numerous consumer complaints of malfunctions in
4 the Honda Class Vehicles with known symptoms of the ACU Defect, including
5 airbag non-deployments, Honda USA repeatedly closed consumer complaints about
6 airbag non-deployments in Honda Class Vehicles without inspecting or
7 investigating whether the vehicles had an ACU malfunction. Honda USA's practice
8 of doing so—for more than 300 incidents between 2012 and 2019—avoided
9 further investigation or suspicion into the prevalence of the ACU Defect in Honda
10 Class Vehicles, and further avoided the creation of a written record regarding the
11 same.

12 **iii. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise functioned as a**
13 **continuing unit.**

14 2023. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise continued to function for several years,
15 at least during the time period of 2009 to the present. Although Honda Japan and
16 Honda USA stopped distributing new Honda Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU in
17 or about 2019, Honda Class Vehicles continue to sell on the used car market with
18 misleading in-vehicle statements and consumer-facing marketing (such as vehicle
19 brochures) made by the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

20 2024. During this protracted time of ongoing sale and production of the
21 Honda Class Vehicles, the members of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise remained
22 stable, with Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
23 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST
24 Malaysia, ST Italy, and the nonparty Honda Manufacturing subsidiaries remaining
25 active members of the Enterprise. ZF Germany, on the other hand, started to
26 participate in the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise shortly after acquiring ZF TRW Corp. in
27 2015.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

d. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering caused Honda Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Honda Class members to overpay for Honda Class Vehicles at the point of sale or lease.

2025. Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members are “person[s] injured in his or her business or property” by reason of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s RICO violations, within the meaning of U.S.C. § 1964(c). Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members are entitled to bring this action for three times their actual damages, as well as injunctive/equitable relief, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

2026. Because of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering activity, Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members have been injured in their business and/or property through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect.

2027. By making misleading statements and omissions at or before the point of sale or lease, the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise directly or indirectly obtained money from Honda Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Honda Class by means of materially false or fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions of material facts. Had the Honda Plaintiffs known what the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise members knew about the ACU Defect, Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members would not have purchased the Honda Class Vehicles, or would not have paid as much as they did for them.

2028. Had Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, or ST Malaysia not concealed, and instead decided to disclose, the information they knew about the ACU Defect and its impact on vehicle safety, Plaintiffs would have learned of the disclosure.

- 1 a. Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members would
2 have learned about the ACU Defect through any of the channels
3 through the Honda Class Vehicles were marketed to them. In
4 other words, had Honda Japan, Honda USA, and/or Honda
5 Engineering USA made a disclosure in *any* of the places in
6 which it otherwise communicated information about the Honda
7 Class Vehicles, Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class
8 members would have seen it. This includes in Honda Class
9 Vehicle brochures and other advertising, on Monroney labels,
10 certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels, airbag warning
11 lamps, and in owner's manuals.
- 12 b. Further, Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide Honda Class members
13 would have learned about the ACU Defect at the times and
14 places that they purchased or leased their Class Vehicles. For
15 example, had Honda USA made a disclosure about the ACU
16 Defect to authorized Honda dealerships, sales personnel at the
17 dealerships would have passed on that material information to
18 consumers at the time of the contemplated purchases.
- 19 c. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
20 and existence of the ACU Defect to NHTSA, Honda Plaintiffs
21 and Nationwide Honda Class members would have learned of it
22 because NHTSA would have considered this information
23 material to its decision to require a recall, which information
24 would have been made public and passed onto impacted
25 consumers.
- 26 d. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
27 and existence of the ACU Defect to consumers or the public,
28 either through press releases, on their websites, or in any other

1 public channel or forum, Honda Plaintiffs and Nationwide
2 Honda Class members would have learned of it due to the
3 materiality of this information about a serious safety defect in
4 millions of vehicles. Given the seriousness of the information
5 and the number of vehicles impacted, the news media and
6 consumer forums and blogs would pick up the story. This is
7 particularly so in the wake of the massive Takata recall and
8 litigation, which confirmed the strong public interest in airbags
9 and vehicle safety. For example, an April 23, 2019 article
10 available on ConsumerReports.com described NHTSA’s
11 expanded investigation into the DS84 ACUs to be “the agency’s
12 most in-depth look at airbags since the recall of more than 56
13 million airbags made by Takata.”

14 2029. The Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s misleading statements to NHTSA
15 between 2016 and the present were essential to the scheme because NHTSA would
16 not have allowed continued sale of unremedied Honda Class Vehicles with
17 defective DS84 ACUs. At the very least, these misleading statements delayed
18 NHTSA’s broader investigation of the Honda Class Vehicles until April 2019,
19 when NHTSA launched an Engineering Analysis covering all unrecalled Honda
20 Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA stopped making
21 DS84 ACUs for the 2020 model year based in large part on this investigation.
22 Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA would have stopped making DS84 ACUs if
23 NHTSA had launched a broader investigation in 2016. For this reason, Plaintiffs
24 who purchased and leased Honda Class Vehicles after the first misleading statement
25 to NHTSA by the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise would have avoided purchasing or
26 leasing their Honda Class Vehicles entirely, or they would have paid less for them.

27
28

1 2030. Consumers are the only direct victims of the Honda-ZF-ST
2 Enterprise’s alleged fraudulent and misleading statements to NHTSA. NHTSA has
3 not suffered any reported, direct injury as a result of such conduct.

4 2031. Damages will not be difficult to ascertain; the Honda Plaintiffs and the
5 Nationwide Honda Class members’ damages are the difference between what they
6 paid for Honda Class Vehicles without an ACU Defect, and the value of the Honda
7 Class Vehicles they actually received. In the similar *Takata* airbag litigation, for
8 example, plaintiffs also alleged overpayment damages suffered at the point of sale
9 based on a dangerous airbag defect. Plaintiffs’ experts in that case performed a
10 conjoint analysis using surveys of consumers and found that the price premium paid
11 by class members for class vehicles was at least ten percent of the purchase price. A
12 similar analysis could be performed in this litigation. Other methodologies are also
13 viable.

14 2032. All victims of Defendants’ alleged conduct who claim to have
15 overpaid for the purchase or lease of Honda Class Vehicles are within the alleged
16 Nationwide Honda Class. Consequently, there are no issues with respect to
17 reapportionment or multiple recovery.

18 **8. Nationwide Count 8: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
19 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the**
20 **Honda Nationwide Class Against Honda Japan, Honda USA,**
21 **Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
22 **USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia.

23 2033. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25 2034. It is also unlawful “for any person to conspire to violate” 18 U.S.C.
26 § 1962(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). To conspire in violation of section 1962(c), the
27 defendant must be “aware of the essential nature and scope of the enterprise.” ECF
28 396 at 77. Enterprise members conspire to violate section 1962(c) when “two or

1 more people agree[] to commit a crime” and “knowingly and willfully participate[]
2 in the agreement. . . . The illegal agreement need not be express as long as its
3 existence can be inferred from the words, actions, or interdependence of activities
4 and persons involved.” *Id.* A defendant who “agreed to facilitate a scheme” violates
5 section 1962(d) even if he “does not himself commit or agree to commit the two or
6 more predicate acts requisite to the underlying offense.” *Salinas v. United States*,
7 522 U.S. 52, 65-66 (1997).

8 2035. As explained in the section below, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
9 Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
10 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were
11 aware of the essential nature and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise. Count 7
12 describes this Enterprise.

13 2036. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
14 and/or interdependence, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF
15 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
16 and ZF Germany, agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail and wire fraud:

- 17 a. Honda USA’s interstate shipments between 2012 and 2019 of
18 millions of Honda Class Vehicles with misleading Monroney
19 labels, readiness indicators, in-vehicle airbag labels and
20 imprints, and owner’s manuals, and
21 b. ZF Electronics USA’s interstate shipments between 2012 and
22 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to Honda Japan and Honda
23 Engineering USA.

24 2037. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
25 and/or interdependence, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
26 Italy, and ST Malaysia also agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail fraud:

27
28

- 1 a. ZF Electronics USA’s interstate shipments between 2012 and
- 2 2019 of millions of DS84 ACUs to Honda Japan and Honda
- 3 Engineering USA;
- 4 b. ST Malaysia’s interstate shipments between 2012 and 2019 of
- 5 millions of DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California; and
- 6 c. ST USA’s interstate shipments between 2012 and 2019 of
- 7 millions DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

8 2038. The words, actions, or interdependence of activities of each of these
9 Defendants support the inference of agreement.

10 2039. ZF TRW Corp. Accordingly, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
11 Engineering USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
12 USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each
13 violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

14 2040. These violations caused the same injuries and damages described in
15 the prior Count. This Count incorporates by reference the allegations as to injury,
16 damages, and causation from the prior Count.

17 2041. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF Electronics
18 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany,
19 ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) and injured
20 the business or property of the Honda Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Honda Class.
21 The Honda Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide Honda
22 Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **a. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF**
2 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
3 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and**
4 **ST Malaysia were all aware of the essential nature and scope**
5 **of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.**

6 2042. Each Defendant named in this Count was aware of the essential nature
7 and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise, even if some specific details about the
8 Enterprise’s illegal activities and members were unknown.

9 **i. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering**
10 **USA understood the nature and scope of the Honda-**
11 **ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

12 2043. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were aware
13 of the essential nature and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

14 2044. Honda Japan always knew of the activities of Honda USA and Honda
15 Engineering USA and their role in the Enterprise because it owns these companies
16 and monitors their activities.

17 2045. As explained in Section IV.D.6. above, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
18 Honda Engineering USA, and knew about the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

19 2046. Between 2009 and 2019, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
20 Engineering USA knew that the STMicroelectronics companies were responsible
21 for designing and manufacturing the DS84 ASIC for the DS84 ACUs used in
22 Honda Class Vehicles.

23 2047. Between 2012 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
24 Engineering USA have continuously tracked the volume of sales of Honda makes
25 and models in the United States. Accordingly, during the relevant time period, they
26 knew roughly how many Honda Class Vehicles would likely sell in the United
27 States.

28 2048. During each year between 2012 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda
USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that reassuring certification labels, in-

1 vehicle airbag labels and imprints, and readiness indicators would be placed in
2 Honda Class Vehicles prior to the shipment to dealers in the United States. They
3 knew this would occur because Honda Japan's mandatory designs required these
4 statements to be placed in Honda Class Vehicles. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
5 Honda Engineering USA knew that consumers would rely on some or all of these
6 in-vehicle labels when purchasing or leasing Honda Class Vehicles.

7 2049. During each year between 2012 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda
8 USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that Honda USA would advertise the
9 Honda Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with properly functioning airbags and
10 seatbelts. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that
11 consumers would rely on such advertisements when purchasing or leasing Honda
12 Class Vehicles.

13 2050. During each year between 2012 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda
14 USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that Honda USA would ship Honda Class
15 Vehicles with owner's manuals that include misleading statements about the safety
16 systems, airbags, and seatbelts of the Honda Class Vehicles. Likewise, each of
17 these Defendants knew that Honda USA would create and affix Monroney stickers
18 with misleading statements about airbags and seatbelts to Honda Class Vehicles.
19 Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that consumers
20 would rely on the Monroney labels and manuals when purchasing or leasing Honda
21 Class Vehicles.

22 2051. During each year between 2009 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda
23 USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that complying with Honda Japan's
24 mandatory design specifications for Honda Class Vehicles would require Honda
25 Japan and Honda Engineering USA to place orders with ZF Electronics USA, and
26 for ZF Electronics USA to use mail or private interstate carriers to ship the
27 defective DS84 ACUs to Honda Japan in Japan, Honda Engineering USA in Ohio,
28

1 and the plants that manufacture Honda Class Vehicles in Alabama, Indiana, Mexico
2 and Canada.

3 2052. During each year between 2009 and the present, Honda Japan, Honda
4 USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that Honda USA would, as a result of its
5 direction to do so, cause the Honda Class Vehicles to ship from manufacturing
6 plants to automobile dealers across the United States.

7 2053. Honda Japan knew in 2016 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany had made
9 misleading statement to NHTSA about the defect because it received copies of the
10 misleading slide deck dated February 5, 2016 in early 2016. On information and
11 belief, Honda Japan shared this information with its subsidiaries.

12 **ii. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
13 **Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany**
14 **understood the nature and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST**
15 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

16 2054. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
17 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the essential nature and scope of
18 the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

19 2055. As explained in Sections IV.D.1., IV.D.2., IV.D.6. above, ZF
20 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
21 and ZF Germany were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

22 2056. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
23 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew the approximate number of Honda vehicles
24 with the DS84 ACU because it made the ACUs for those vehicles.

25 2057. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
26 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew that Honda Japan or its subsidiaries would,
27 consistent with common practice in the automotive industry, make reassuring
28 statements about the Honda Class Vehicle’s safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts.

1 **iii. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia understood the**
2 **nature and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
3 **fraudulent scheme.**

4 2058. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature
5 and scope of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise.

6 2059. As explained in Sections IV.D.1., IV.D.2., IV.D.6 above, ST USA, ST
7 Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

8 2060. Upon information and belief, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
9 knew the defective DS84 ASICs would be installed in some of Honda’s U.S.
10 vehicles. These companies also understood that automakers like the Honda
11 Defendants would, consistent with common practice in the automotive industry,
12 advertise their safety systems to consumers.

13 2061. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy were aware of the scope of the
14 Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise, because ST Malaysia and ST USA made and sold the
15 DS84 ASICs for the Honda Class Vehicles and all these companies had access to
16 records which showed that millions of defective DS84 ASICs shipping to Illinois
17 per ZF Electronics USA’s instructions.

18 **b. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF**
19 **Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety**
20 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany agreed that one or**
21 **more members of the Enterprise would commit at least two**
22 **predicate acts of mail or wire fraud in furtherance of the**
23 **Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

24 2062. Honda Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
25 Automotive USA, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA began conspiring in
26 furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme in 2009.

27 2063. ZF Germany joined the conspiracy in or around 2015, when it acquired
28 with ZF TRW Corp.

1 2064. When Honda Japan agreed to use the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC
2 in Honda Class Vehicles, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, ZF
3 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA mutually
4 understood and intended that this agreement would prompt Honda Engineering
5 USA and Honda Japan to cause ZF Electronics USA to ship DS84 ACUs across
6 interstate lines and Honda USA to ship the Honda Class Vehicles with misleading
7 statements about the passive safety system, airbags, and seatbelts therein.

8 a. In 2009, Honda Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
9 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on the design
10 specifications for the DS84 ACU installed in Honda Class
11 Vehicles. Honda Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to agree on
13 specifications for Honda Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU for
14 every model year until 2019.

15 b. Between 2012 and 2019, Honda USA used mail and wire to
16 advertise the Honda Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with
17 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and used private
18 interstate carriers to ship the Honda Class Vehicles with
19 misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints,
20 certification labels, readiness indicators, and owner's manuals.
21 Honda Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Automotive USA, and Honda Engineering USA all knew that
23 Honda USA was doing this and would do this.

24 c. When Honda Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
25 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications
26 for the DS84 ACUs in Honda Class Vehicles, Honda Japan, ZF
27 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
28 and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after 2015) they had a

1 mutual understanding that this agreement would cause Honda
2 Japan and Honda Engineering USA to send orders for hundreds
3 of thousands of DS84 ACUs every year via mail or wire to ZF
4 Electronics USA.

5 d. When Honda Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications
7 for the DS84 ACUs in Honda Class Vehicles, Honda Japan, ZF
8 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
9 and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after 2015) had a mutual
10 understanding that this agreement would cause ZF Electronics
11 USA to ship hundreds of thousands of DS84 ACUs via private
12 interstate carrier to Honda Japan and Honda Engineering USA.

13 2065. As explained in Count 7 above, the shipments of Honda Class
14 Vehicles by Honda USA, the orders by Honda Japan and Honda Engineering USA
15 for DS84 ACUs, and the shipments by ZF Electronics USA of the DS84 ACUs
16 violated the mail fraud statute because they furthered the Honda-ZF-ST
17 Enterprise's fraudulent scheme to cause consumers to purchase or lease vehicles
18 that contain the ACU Defect. To accomplish this goal, the DS84 ACUs needed to
19 be shipped before they could be installed in the vehicles.

20 a. Honda Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
21 ZF Automotive USA facilitated these mail fraud act violations
22 by collaborating on the defective design of the ACU, the
23 readiness indicators, and Honda Class Vehicles.

24 b. Honda Japan further facilitated these mail fraud violations by
25 requiring (1) all manufacturers of Honda Class Vehicles to
26 install the DS84 ACUs therein, and (2) placing the misleading
27 certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag labels and
28 imprints within the Honda Class Vehicles it made in Japan, and

1 requiring the nonparty-Enterprise-member Honda
2 manufacturing subsidiaries that made Honda Class Vehicles in
3 North America to do the same.

4 c. ZF TRW Corp. facilitated the scheme because, upon
5 information and belief, its approval was required for the launch
6 of the DS84 ACU, which was one of the company's most
7 popular ACUs.

8 d. ZF Germany facilitated the scheme because, upon information
9 and belief, its approval was required to continue the sales of the
10 DS84 ACU.

11 e. Honda USA facilitated this scheme by overseeing and approving
12 the misleading Monroney labels that it placed, or caused to be
13 placed, on Honda Class Vehicles.

14 f. Honda Engineering USA facilitated this scheme by placing the
15 misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag
16 labels and imprints within the Honda Class Vehicles it made in
17 the United States.

18 2066. The conspiracy among Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
19 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
20 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany is further evidenced by their coordinated efforts to
21 cover up the ACU Defect.

22 a. For several years, Honda Japan, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA uncovered evidence
24 of ASIC EOS on DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs, but they
25 maintained confidentiality of these incidents amongst each
26 other.

27 b. Honda Japan, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
28 ZF Passive Safety USA also repeatedly coordinated in response

1 to NHTSA’s investigation. In 2016, ZF Electronics USA alerted
2 Honda Japan to NHTSA’s investigation of the DS84 ACUs and
3 sent excerpted copies of the misleading February 5, 2016 slide
4 deck to NHTSA as part of an effort to coordinate with Honda
5 Japan.

6 2067. The joint activities of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
7 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany in support of their
8 misleading statements to NHTSA were predicate acts and also show agreement by
9 these Defendants to advance the fraudulent scheme.

10 2068. ZF Electronics USA’s placement of orders for DS84 ASICs and
11 shipments of DS84 ACUs were predicate acts and also show agreement by ZF
12 Electronics USA to advance the fraudulent scheme.

13 2069. The success of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme
14 depended upon Honda Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
15 Automotive USA, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA’s cooperation. All
16 these companies had to maintain strict confidentiality about the ACU Defect for the
17 scheme to continue. Moreover, the Honda companies depended on the ZF
18 companies for the manufacture of the defective ACUs, whereas the ZF companies
19 could not reach consumers of Honda Class Vehicles without the agreement of
20 Honda Japan. This interdependence evidences the agreement to further the
21 fraudulent scheme.

22 2070. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
23 member of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of
24 the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of the
25 common goals of the scheme.

26
27
28

1 i. **ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA,**
2 **ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA**
3 **agreed on the commission of multiple violations of the**
4 **mail fraud statute in furtherance of the Honda-ZF-ST**
5 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

6 2071. ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA began conspiring with ZF Passive
7 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA in 2005, when the two
8 supplier groups began the joint design of an ACU ASIC with unique vulnerability
9 to ASIC EOS. By 2008, all these companies knew about internal thermal testing
10 that confirmed the weakness of the ASIC. They held multiple meetings about this
11 issue. In spite of this early knowledge, and after the years already sunk into
12 development work for the cheaper ACU, they proceeded to launch and use the
13 DS84 ACU for millions of Class Vehicles for more than a decade.

14 2072. Even after learning that DS84 ACUs and ASICs had malfunctioned
15 due to EOS during crashes, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ST USA, ZF Passive Safety
16 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to sell and send
17 shipments of the parts. When doing so, these companies all knew that Honda Japan,
18 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA would coordinate to cause the Honda
19 Class Vehicles with the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC to be presented to
20 consumers with misleading certification labels, airbag labels and imprints, and
21 readiness indicators.

22 2073. Several actions by ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA further support
23 an inference of agreements with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and
24 ZF Automotive USA to commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the
25 conspiracy:

26 a. Between September 2009 and 2018, ST USA, ST Italy and ST
27 Malaysia regularly communicated with ZF Automotive USA,
28 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about
 observations of EOS in DS84 ASICs, including some ASICs

1 from Honda vehicles. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's
2 DS84 ASIC team observed EOS damage on ASICs retrieved
3 from at least two Honda vehicles.

4 b. Upon information and belief, in 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
5 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent each ST
6 Defendant excerpted copies of its misleading statements from its
7 February 5, 2016 slide deck.

8 c. Between 2009 and 2019 at the very least, ST USA and ST
9 Malaysia continuously violated the mail fraud act in furtherance
10 of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise by shipping DS84 ASICs, with a
11 mutual understanding that some of these ASICs would be
12 installed in Honda Class Vehicles, as explained above.

13 d. Between 2008 and 2019 at the very least, ST USA, ST Italy, and
14 ST Malaysia maintained public silence about the ACU Defect,
15 despite the DS84 ASIC's and ACU's unusual vulnerability to
16 transients.

17 2074. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
18 member of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members of
19 the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and furtherance of the
20 common goals of the scheme.

21 2075. The success of the Honda-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
22 depended upon ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
23 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had
24 to maintain strict confidence about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue.
25 Moreover, the ZF companies depended upon the ST companies for the manufacture
26 of the defective ASICs, whereas the ST companies depended upon the ZF
27 companies for a viable path to profit from the consumers of Class Vehicles. This
28 interdependence evidences the agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

1 **9. Nationwide Count 9: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c), on Behalf of the**
3 **Nationwide Mitsubishi Class Against Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi**
4 **Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
5 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST**
6 **Malaysia.**

7 2076. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 2077. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c): “It shall be unlawful for any person
10 employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which
11 affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or
12 indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise’s affairs through a pattern of
13 racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.” Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi
14 Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
15 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia are “persons” under 18
16 U.S.C. § 1961(3) because each was capable of holding “a legal or beneficial interest
17 in property.”

18 2078. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) has four elements: “(1) conduct (2)
19 of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity.” ECF 396 at 59
20 (quoting *Sedima v. Imrex Co.*, 473 U.S. 479, 496 (1985)).

21 2079. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
23 Malaysia, and several nonparties formed the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise. The
24 members of this Enterprise included Defendants Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
25 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
26 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia. Discovery will likely
27 reveal several additional members of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise that are not
28 currently known to the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs.

 2080. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST

1 Malaysia are liable under 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) because they conducted or
2 participated in the conduct of the affairs of an “association-in-fact enterprise”—i.e.,
3 the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise—through a pattern of racketeering activity. In
4 other words, each of these Defendants committed at least two predicate acts in
5 furtherance of the Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.

6 2081. 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) provides for a civil remedy for any violation of 18
7 U.S.C. § 1962 for “[a]ny person injured in his business or property by reason of a
8 violation of section 1962 of this chapter.” In addition to proving a violation of
9 1962, this remedy requires proximate cause of a cognizable injury. ECF 396 at 59.

10 2082. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
11 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
12 Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the business or property of
13 the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class. The Mitsubishi
14 Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class
15 members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

16 a. **Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
17 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,**
18 **ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia each committed at**
19 **least two predicate acts of mail and wire fraud in**
20 **furtherance of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s**
21 **fraudulent scheme to affirmatively mislead consumers and**
22 **NHTSA.**

23 2083. The members of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise devised a scheme
24 for the purpose of defrauding consumers and NHTSA by concealing or minimizing
25 the ACU Defect in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles through a pattern of affirmatively
26 misleading statements.

27 2084. In the alternative, the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise members devised
28 an illicit scheme for the purpose of obtaining money by fraudulent pretenses
because they had the purpose of maximizing the sale of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
which ultimately provided revenue to the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise members.

1 2085. To carry out, or attempt to carry out the fraudulent schemes,
2 Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
3 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
4 Malaysia—each of whom is a person associated-in-fact with the Enterprise—did
5 knowingly conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of the
6 Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity within the
7 meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1), 1961(5), and 1962(c). In furtherance of the
8 scheme to defraud, the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise members each committed *at*
9 *least* two acts in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud) and § 1343 (wire fraud),
10 as described in the subsections below.

11 **i. Mitsubishi Japan violated the mail and wire fraud**
12 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
13 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

14 2086. Mitsubishi Japan violated the mail fraud statute multiple times by
15 causing misleading certification labels, readiness indicators, airbag labels and
16 imprints, and owner’s manuals to be placed within every Mitsubishi Class Vehicle
17 prior to their shipment to the dealers that sell or lease the vehicles to consumers.
18 Mitsubishi Japan caused the inclusion of these misleading statements within every
19 Mitsubishi Class Vehicle with full knowledge and the specific intent that
20 Mitsubishi USA would distribute the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to dealers across
21 the United States using private interstate carriers. Accordingly, Mitsubishi Japan
22 “knowingly cause[d]” the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with misleading statements “to
23 be delivered by . . . such carrier[s],” in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

24 a. Mitsubishi Japan was directly responsible for including all of
25 these misleading statements in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
26 Upon information and belief, Mitsubishi Japan placed the
27 misleading certification labels, airbag warning lamps, and airbag
28 labels and imprints in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles when it

1 manufactured them in Japan, including at its manufacturing
2 plant in Mizushima, Japan at following address: 1, Kaigan-dori
3 1-chome, Mizushima, Kurashiki, Okayama Prefecture 712-8501.
4 The certification labels bore Mitsubishi Japan’s corporate name,
5 “Mitsubishi Motors Corporation.” The Mitsubishi Class
6 Vehicles made by Mitsubishi Japan have vehicle identification
7 numbers that begin with the letter “J.” Mitsubishi Japan has
8 records in its possession that will identify the dates (between
9 approximately 2012 and 2017) and locations when it shipped the
10 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to the United States and to Mitsubishi
11 USA, with the purpose of distributing them in the United States
12 for sale to consumers. Plaintiffs do not have access to these
13 confidential records that provide the precise dates and locations.

14 b. Mitsubishi Japan was also responsible for the content of the
15 owner’s manuals for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. It owns the
16 copyright interest in these manuals, which state also they were
17 “Printed in Japan.” Insofar as Mitsubishi USA effectuated the
18 shipments of the owner’s manuals within Mitsubishi Class
19 Vehicles to dealers in the United States, it acted as Mitsubishi
20 Japan’s distribution agent for Mitsubishi Japan’s copyright
21 material. Upon information and belief, the publication of these
22 owner’s manuals occurred at or around the commencement of
23 public sales for each model year.

24 2087. Although the precise shipment dates for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
25 are not known to the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these
26 shipments occurred in all years in or about 2012 to 2017, and originated from
27 Mitsubishi’s production facilities in Japan, including its Mizushima facility at: 1,
28 Kaigan-dori 1-chome, Mizushima, Kurashiki, Okayama Prefecture 712-8501.

1 Plaintiffs were exposed to in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at the
2 point of, sale or lease. The dates and locations of these transactions are alleged
3 above in Section II.B.5.

4 2088. Each shipment of a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle or Vehicles to a dealer
5 was a violation of the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341) because Mitsubishi
6 Japan knew the certification labels, airbag warning labels, in-vehicle airbag labels
7 and imprints, and owner's manuals in all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were
8 misleading and would further the scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or
9 leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

10 2089. When Mitsubishi USA distributed the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to
11 dealers in the United States, it acted as Mitsubishi Japan's agent.

12 2090. Mitsubishi Japan separately violated the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C.
13 § 1341) by placing orders with ZF Electronics USA that caused ZF Electronics
14 USA to ship defective DS84 ACUs by private or commercial interstate carrier to
15 Mitsubishi Japan in Japan. These shipments furthered the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
16 Enterprise's fraudulent scheme because Mitsubishi Japan's use of the defective
17 DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles was essential to the cost-saving goal
18 behind the scheme. Mitsubishi Japan caused ZF Electronics USA to make these
19 deliveries knowing it would install the defective DS84 ACUs in the Mitsubishi
20 Class Vehicles and market the vehicles to U.S. consumers as safe. Accordingly,
21 each of Mitsubishi USA's orders and ZF Electronics USA's shipments of the DS84
22 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

23 2091. The precise dates and locations of each particular shipment of DS84
24 ACUs are not known to the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs because they have no visibility
25 into the shipments to from ZF Electronics USA to Mitsubishi Japan as Defendants
26 have not produced documents that show that information. However, a chart
27 produced by the domestic ZF Defendants to NHTSA identifies the precise volume
28 of DS84 ACUs shipped for each year for each model of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,

1 and identifies Marshall, Illinois as the shipping location. Exhibit 20 includes
2 highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about
3 shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years
4 contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 684. Upon information and
5 belief, the shipping address for each of these shipments by ZF Electronics USA
6 from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. Upon
7 information and belief, these ACUs were shipped to Mitsubishi Japan’s production
8 facilities including to the following address in Mizushima, Japan: 1, Kaigan-dori 1-
9 chome, Mizushima, Kurashiki, Okayama Prefecture 712-8501. The information
10 available in this chart is sufficient for Defendants to identify the precise dates of
11 shipments and the recipient addresses because Defendants will have backup
12 information that shows additional details about the underlying shipments.

13 **ii. Mitsubishi USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
14 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
15 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

16 2092. Mitsubishi USA committed mail fraud every time it shipped, or caused
17 to be shipped, a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle to dealers in the United States. For every
18 Mitsubishi Class Vehicle, Mitsubishi USA delivered, or caused delivery of, each
19 vehicle by private or commercial interstate carrier to automobile dealerships across
20 the United States. Mitsubishi USA delivered these tens of thousands of Class
21 Vehicles to execute the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s scheme to defraud
22 consumers and NHTSA.

23 a. These deliveries furthered the scheme because Mitsubishi USA
24 sent the vehicles to the dealerships where consumers would
25 purchase or lease them and because, prior to shipping the
26 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, Mitsubishi Japan had affixed, or
27 caused to be affixed, to the vehicles misleading certification
28 labels (*see* Section IV.E.1.b. above), readiness indicators (*see*

1 Section IV.E.1.c. above), and airbag labels and imprints (*see*
2 Section IV.E.1.d. above).

3 b. Moreover, prior to shipping each Mitsubishi Class Vehicle,
4 Mitsubishi USA approved the content for Monroney labels for
5 each make and model. Mitsubishi USA would then cause these
6 misleading labels to be placed on the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
7 prior to shipment to dealers. Shipment of the Mitsubishi Class
8 Vehicles with these misleading Monroney labels furthered the
9 Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's scheme because consumers
10 relied upon the labels when purchasing or leasing the Vehicles.

11 2093. Mitsubishi USA knew the Monroney labels, certification labels,
12 readiness indicators, airbag labels and imprints, and owners' manuals shipped with
13 each Mitsubishi Class Vehicle were misleading because the Mitsubishi Class
14 Vehicles all contained the ACU Defect.

15 2094. Although the precise shipment dates for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
16 are not known to the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs, on information and belief, these
17 shipments occurred in all years in or about 2012 to 2017. Plaintiffs were exposed to
18 in-vehicle misleading statements prior to, and at the point of, sale or lease. The
19 dates and locations of these transactions are alleged above in Section II.B.5.

20 2095. Starting in 2012, Mitsubishi USA also transmitted, or caused to be
21 transmitted, thousands of advertisements which stressed the safety of Mitsubishi
22 Class Vehicles using mail, wire, radio, or television communications in interstate
23 commerce. Mitsubishi USA's misleading advertisements are too numerous to recite
24 completely, given the nationwide scope and years-long duration of the Mitsubishi-
25 ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme. Examples of these advertisements are
26 collected in Section IV.E.2.a.v. and Exhibit 12. Each such mailed advertisement—
27 including brochures sent to dealerships for display to consumers or print
28 advertisements in newspapers or magazines—was a violation of the mail fraud

1 statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). Each such internet-based, radio, and television
2 advertisement was a violation of the wire fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1343).
3 Mitsubishi USA knew advertisements assuring the safety of Mitsubishi Class
4 Vehicles were misleading and would further the scheme to defraud consumers into
5 purchasing or leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

6 2096. Mitsubishi USA also effectuated shipments of the owner's manuals
7 within Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to dealers in the United States, and acted as
8 Mitsubishi Japan's distribution agent for its copyrighted material in doing so.
9 Mitsubishi USA knew the owner's manuals were misleading and would further the
10 scheme to defraud consumers into purchasing or leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
11 Accordingly, each shipment of an owner's manual was a separate violation of the
12 mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

13 **iii. ZF Electronics USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
14 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
15 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

16 2097. ZF Electronics USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
17 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
18 IV.F.14. above:

- 19 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
20 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
21 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
22 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
23 2016);
24 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho⁴³ (which was
25 mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
26 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF

27 ⁴³ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

2 2098. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
3 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about the ACU Defect.
4 ZF Electronics USA specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of
5 these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained
6 therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
7 Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles enabled
8 the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

9 2099. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016 slide
10 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
11 the fact that its Vice President of Passive Safety Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
12 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
13 deck.

14 2100. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
15 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
16 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
17 information and belief, ZF Electronics USA caused this delivery to NHTSA too.

18 2101. ZF Electronics USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
19 deck to NHTSA. ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced by
20 the fact that its Technical Specialist, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
21 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
22 ZF Electronics USA's causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr.
23 Goodman's and Mr. Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with
24 NHTSA, where this slide deck was used.

25 2102. Moreover, because ZF Electronics USA's affiliates would not have
26 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
27 Electronics USA's contributions and approval, ZF Electronics USA was one of the
28 Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to

1 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
2 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

3 2103. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Electronics USA worked
4 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Mitsubishi Japan to design
5 the readiness indicators installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF
6 Electronics USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness
7 indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Mitsubishi
8 Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in foreseeable crash events
9 with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Electronics USA assisted
10 with this design, it knew Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA would ship the
11 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would buy Mitsubishi
12 Class Vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or
13 lease. Because Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA would not have shipped
14 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles without ZF Electronics USA's assistance in designing
15 misleading readiness indicators, ZF Electronics USA jointly caused each shipment
16 of a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

17 2104. ZF Electronics USA received orders from Mitsubishi Japan for the
18 defective DS84 ACUs used in every Mitsubishi Class Vehicle and shipped them by
19 private or commercial interstate carrier to Mitsubishi Japan in Japan. These
20 shipments furthered the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme because
21 Mitsubishi Japan's use of the defective DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
22 was essential to the cost-saving goal behind the scheme. When ZF Electronics USA
23 shipped the defective DS84 ACUs to Mitsubishi Japan, it knew they would be
24 installed in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF
25 Electronics USA was also specifically aware of Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi
26 USA's practices of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and
27 seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels,
28 owner's manuals, and advertising for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics

1 USA knew these statements were false because it knew the Mitsubishi Class
2 Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and DS84 ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF
3 Electronics USA shipped each defective DS84 ACU with the purpose of executing
4 a fraudulent scheme with its conspirators, each of ZF Electronics USA's shipments
5 of the defective DS84 ACU violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

6 2105. The particularities of these shipments are discussed above. Exhibit 20
7 includes highlighting added by Plaintiffs to identify the particular information about
8 shipping locations, volumes, vehicle makes and models, and shipping years
9 contained in this chart. *See* Ex. 20 (ZF-MDL-679) at 684. As this same document
10 indicates, the DS84 ASICs were shipped in each year from 2012 to 2017 from
11 Marshall, Illinois. Upon information and belief, the shipping address for each of
12 these shipments by ZF Electronics USA from Marshall, Illinois was 902 South 2nd
13 Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. Upon information and belief, the receiving address
14 for these shipments was Mitsubishi Japan's production facilities in Japan,
15 including to the following address in Mizushima, Japan: 1, Kaigan-dori 1-chome,
16 Mizushima, Kurashiki, Okayama Prefecture 712-8501.

17 2106. ZF Electronics USA also separately violated the mail fraud act (18
18 U.S.C. § 1341) by placing orders with ST USA that required ST USA to ship
19 millions of defective DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the
20 following address: 902 South 2nd Street, Marshall, Illinois 62441. When ZF
21 Electronics USA placed these orders, it knew it would place these DS84 ASICs into
22 DS84 ACUs, including those that would be installed in the Mitsubishi Class
23 Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ZF Electronics USA was also
24 specifically aware of Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA's practices of making
25 reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-facing
26 Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's manuals, and
27 advertising for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. ZF Electronics USA knew these
28 statements were false because it knew the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU,

1 and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ZF Electronics USA caused
2 shipments of defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent
3 scheme with its conspirators, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments caused by ZF
4 Electronics USA violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341). ST USA has
5 produced approximately 9,700 such invoices from the time period between 2014
6 and the present alone. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate shipping dates from
7 these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit 21.⁴⁴

8 **iv. ZF Passive Safety USA violated the mail and wire**
9 **fraud statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
10 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

11 2107. ZF Passive Safety USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
12 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
13 IV.F.14. above:

- 14 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
15 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
16 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
17 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
18 2016);
19 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho⁴⁵ (which was
20 mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
21 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
22 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

23
24 ⁴⁴ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but ST USA is
25 presently withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon
26 information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show similarly
27 regularity of shipments.

28 ⁴⁵ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 2108. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
2 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about the ACU Defect.
3 ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of
4 these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained
5 therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
6 Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles enabled
7 the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers.

8 2109. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the February 5, 2016
9 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is
10 evidenced by the fact that its employee Marc Bolitho signed an affidavit of
11 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the February 5, 2016 slide
12 deck. Although Mr. Bolitho also simultaneously served as a Vice President for ZF
13 Electronics USA and a Director of Passive Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.,
14 ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary.

15 2110. Because the July 19, 2016 slide deck closely resembles the February 5,
16 2016 slide deck, the same personnel and companies were likely responsible for
17 sending it via mail or private interstate carrier to NHTSA. Accordingly, upon
18 information and belief, ZF Passive Safety USA caused this delivery too.

19 2111. ZF Passive Safety USA caused the delivery of the March 8, 2018 slide
20 deck to NHTSA. ZF Passive Safety USA's causal role in the delivery is evidenced
21 by the fact that its longtime employee, Emanuel Goodman, signed the affidavit of
22 confidentiality that was enclosed with the mailing of the March 8, 2018 slide deck.
23 Although Mr. Goodman also served as the Technical Specialist for ZF Electronics
24 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA alone paid his salary. ZF Passive Safety USA's
25 causal role in the delivery is further evidenced by Mr. Goodman's and Mr.
26 Bolitho's attendance at the March 8, 2018 meeting with NHTSA, where this slide
27 deck was used.

28

1 2112. Moreover, because ZF Passive Safety USA’s affiliates would not have
2 sent or approved the four written communications described above without ZF
3 Passive Safety USA’s contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one
4 of the Defendants who jointly caused the delivery of these four communications to
5 NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail
6 fraud statute at least four times. 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

7 2113. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
8 each of the four documents described above contained misleading statements about
9 the ACU Defect. ZF Passive Safety USA specifically approved the transmittal of
10 the final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading
11 statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi
12 Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class
13 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF
14 Passive Safety USA’s affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
15 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Passive Safety
16 USA’s contributions and approval, ZF Passive Safety USA was one of the
17 Defendants who caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA.
18 Accordingly, its participation in these communications violated the mail fraud
19 statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

20 2114. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Passive Safety USA
21 worked with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Automotive USA, and Mitsubishi Japan to
22 design the readiness indicators installed in all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
23 Specifically, ZF Passive Safety USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would
24 cause the readiness indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even
25 though the Mitsubishi Class Vehicle’s safety systems were not ready to deploy in
26 crash events with negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Passive
27 Safety USA assisted with this design, it knew that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi
28 USA would ship the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to dealers and that consumers would

1 buy the vehicles without the airbag warning lamp illuminating at the point of sale or
2 lease. Because Mitsubishi Sales USA would not have shipped Mitsubishi Class
3 Vehicles without ZF Passive Safety USA's assistance in designing misleading
4 readiness indicators, ZF Passive Safety USA jointly caused each shipment of
5 Mitsubishi Class Vehicle, in violation of the mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

6 **v. ZF Automotive USA violated the mail and wire fraud**
7 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
8 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

9 2115. ZF Automotive USA drafted and/or edited the following misleading
10 statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and
11 IV.F.14. above:

- 12 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
13 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 14 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
15 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
16 2016);
- 17 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which was
18 mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 19 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
20 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

21 2116. ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery via mail or private interstate
22 carrier of the February 5, 2016 slide deck, the July 19, 2016 slide deck, and the
23 March 8, 2018 slide deck to NHTSA. ZF Automotive USA's role in causing the
24 delivery of these presentations is evidenced by its admission in a 573 Defect Report
25 that it attended the three meetings with NHTSA where these presentations were
26 used on its behalf.
27
28

1 2117. Upon information and belief, ZF Automotive USA caused the delivery
2 of the September 2016 letter via mail or private interstate carrier by giving requisite
3 approval prior to the transmittal of the letter.

4 2118. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
5 each of these four documents contained misleading statements about the ACU
6 Defect. ZF Automotive USA specifically approved the transmittal of the final
7 versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements
8 contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi Class
9 Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class
10 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF
11 Automotive USA's affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
12 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF Automotive USA's
13 contributions and approval, ZF Automotive USA was one of the Defendants who
14 caused the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
15 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
16 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

17 2119. As explained in Section IV.E.1.c. above, ZF Automotive USA worked
18 with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and Mitsubishi Japan to design
19 the readiness indicators installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Specifically, ZF
20 Automotive USA assisted with a design of ACUs that would cause the readiness
21 indicator not to illuminate at the point of sale or lease, even though the Mitsubishi
22 Class Vehicle's safety systems were not ready to deploy in crash events with
23 negative transients due to the ACU Defect. When ZF Automotive USA assisted
24 with this design, it knew Mitsubishi USA would ship the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
25 to dealers and that consumers would buy the vehicles without the airbag warning
26 lamp illuminating at the point of sale or lease. Because Mitsubishi Sales USA
27 would not have shipped Mitsubishi Class Vehicles without ZF Automotive USA's
28 affirmative assistance in designing misleading readiness indicators, ZF Automotive

1 USA jointly caused each shipment of Mitsubishi Class Vehicle, in violation of the
2 mail fraud act (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

3 **vi. ZF TRW Corp. violated the mail and wire fraud**
4 **statutes multiple times in furtherance of the**
5 **Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

6 2120. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF TRW Corp. reviewed, drafted
7 and/or edited the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in
8 Sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 9 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
10 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
11 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
12 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
13 2016);
14 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho⁴⁶ (which was
15 mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
16 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
17 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

18 2121. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the February 5, 2016 slide
19 deck via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s role in the transmittal is
20 confirmed by the cover letter, which is signed: “Very truly yours, ZF TRW
21 Automotive Holdings Corp.” with a signature from Sheri Roberts, the Senior
22 Counsel of the company. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is further confirmed by a
23 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This document is the
24 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
25 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW.”

26 _____
27 ⁴⁶ Mr. Bolitho was simultaneously an employee of ZF Passive Safety USA, the
28 Vice President of Passive Safety for ZF Electronics USA, and Director of Passive
Safety Engineering for ZF TRW Corp.

1 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
2 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

3 2122. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the July 19, 2016 slide deck
4 via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is confirmed by a
5 footer on every page of the slide deck itself, which reads: “This document is the
6 property of ZF TRW and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed
7 to others, or used for manufacturing without the written consent of ZF TRW.”

8 Based on this footer, ZF TRW Corp. gave requisite written consent to the
9 transmittal of the document to NHTSA.

10 2123. Upon information and belief, ZF TRW Corp. also gave requisite prior
11 authorization for the delivery of the September 2016 letter.

12 2124. ZF TRW Corp. caused the transmittal of the March 8, 2018 slide deck
13 to NHTSA via mail or private interstate carrier. ZF TRW Corp.’s causal role is
14 confirmed by the cover letter included with the mailing of the slide deck. The cover
15 letter is on the letter head of an “Active & Passive Safety Technology” business
16 unit. Because this is a reference to ZF TRW Corp.,⁴⁷ ZF TRW Corp. must have
17 reviewed and approved the transmittal of the slide deck to NHTSA.

18 2125. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
19 each of these four documents described above contained misleading statements
20 about the ACU Defect. ZF TRW Corp. specifically approved the transmittal of the
21 final versions of these documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading
22 statements contained therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi
23 Class Vehicles. Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class
24 Vehicles enabled the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF

25
26 ⁴⁷ According to ZF AG’s 2017 Annual Report, the “Active & Passive Safety
27 Technology Division” was “established by ZF Group to manage the business
28 activities of ZF TRW after its acquisition.” Because ZF TRW Automotive Holdings
Corp. is the only corporate entity with “ZF TRW” as part of its corporate name, this
letter was also sent on behalf of ZF TRW Corp.

1 TRW Corp.’s affiliates would not have sent or approved the written
2 communications noted in the preceding paragraph without ZF TRW Corp.’s
3 contributions and approval, ZF TRW Corp. was one of the Defendants who caused
4 the delivery of these four communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its
5 participation in these communications violated the mail fraud statute at least four
6 times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

7 **vii. ZF Germany violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
8 **multiple times in furtherance of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
9 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

10 2126. Prior to their delivery to NHTSA, ZF Germany reviewed and/or edited
11 the following misleading statements to NHTSA, as discussed in Sections IV.F.2.,
12 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above:

- 13 a. The slide deck presentation dated February 5, 2016 (which ZF
14 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 14, 2016);
- 15 b. The slide deck presentation dated July 19, 2016 (which, upon
16 information and belief, was mailed to NHTSA in July or August
17 2016);
- 18 c. The September 2016 letter signed by Marc Bolitho (which was
19 mailed to NHTSA in September 2016); and
- 20 d. The slide deck presentation dated March 8, 2018 (which ZF
21 TRW Corp. mailed to NHTSA on March 12, 2018).

22 2127. ZF Germany caused the delivery of these communications via mail
23 and wire. The three presentations bear copyright legends attributing ownership to
24 ZF Germany. Accordingly, sending these presentations must have required its
25 involvement and consent. Moreover, the slide decks dated February 5, 2016 and
26 July 19, 2016 identify ZF Germany as the corporate author on the title page.

27 2128. As explained in sections IV.F.2., IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above,
28 each of these transmittals contained misleading statements about the ACU Defect.

1 ZF Germany specifically approved the transmittal of the final versions of these
2 documents to NHTSA, and intended for the misleading statements contained
3 therein to avoid, minimize, and/or delay recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
4 Avoiding, minimizing, and/or delaying recalls of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles enabled
5 the continuation of the scheme to defraud consumers. Because ZF Germany’s
6 affiliates would not have sent or approved the written communications noted in the
7 preceding paragraph without ZF Germany’s contributions and approval, ZF
8 Germany was one of the Defendants who caused the delivery of these four
9 communications to NHTSA. Accordingly, its participation in these communications
10 violated the mail fraud statute at least four times. (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

11 **viii. ST USA violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
12 **multiple times in furtherance of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
13 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

14 2129. ST USA regularly received orders from ZF Electronics USA for DS84
15 ASICs, including all the defective DS84 ASICs used in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
16 In response to these orders ST USA would work with its affiliate, ST Malaysia, to
17 help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA’s so-called “ST Micro LAX
18 Hub” near Los Angeles, California. Between 2007 and the present, ST USA caused
19 ST Malaysia to ship well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this location. In
20 discovery, ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
21 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each
22 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
23 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
24 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
25 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
26 21.⁴⁸

27 ⁴⁸ ST USA made similar shipments for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles between 2012
28 and 2014, but is withholding invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon

1 2130. ST USA also shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to
2 ZF Electronics USA at a facility with the following address: 902 South 2nd Street,
3 Marshall, Illinois 62441. As explained above, Exhibit 21 provides exemplar
4 approximate shipment dates based on an incomplete set of invoices produced by ST
5 USA.⁴⁹

6 2131. When ST USA required ST Malaysia to make these shipments and
7 then made its own shipments to ZF Electronics USA, it knew ZF Electronics USA
8 would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those that would be
9 installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S. consumers. ST
10 USA was also aware of Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's practices of
11 making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and seatbelts in consumer-
12 facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels, owner's manuals,
13 and advertising for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. ST USA knew these statements
14 were false because it knew the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, DS84 ACU, and ASIC
15 were defective. Accordingly, because ST USA caused shipments of well over ten
16 million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of executing a fraudulent scheme
17 with its conspirators, each of the DS84 ASIC shipments caused by ST USA
18 violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

19 **ix. ST Malaysia violated the mail and wire fraud statutes**
20 **multiple times in furtherance of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
21 **Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.**

22 2132. Between 2007 and the 2018, ST USA regularly required worked with
23 its affiliate, ST Malaysia, to help it manufacture and ship DS84 ASICs to ST USA's

24 _____
25 information and belief, the invoices for this time period will show a similar
26 regularity of shipments of DS84 ASICs from Malaysia.

27 ⁴⁹ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments of DS84
ASICs from the STMico LAX Hub to the ZF Electronics USA's manufacturing
facility in Illinois.

1 so-called “ST Micro LAX Hub” near Los Angeles, California. During that time
2 period, ST Malaysia shipped well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs to this
3 location. ST USA has produced approximately 9,700 invoices sent to ZF
4 Electronics USA from the time period between 2014 and the present alone. Each
5 invoice notes the defective DS84 ASICs were made in Malaysia, where ST
6 Malaysia operated. The invoice dates from these documents provide an
7 approximate date for these shipments. Plaintiffs have extracted approximate
8 shipping dates from these invoices, which are presented as exemplars in Exhibit
9 21.⁵⁰

10 2133. When ST Malaysia made these shipments, it knew ZF Electronics
11 USA would place the DS84 ASICs into DS84 ACUs, including those ACUs that
12 would be installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that are marketed to U.S.
13 consumers. ST Malaysia was also aware of Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi
14 USA’s practices of making reassuring statements about safety, airbags, and
15 seatbelts in consumer-facing Monroney labels, certification labels, in-vehicle labels,
16 owner’s manuals, and advertising for all Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. ST Malaysia
17 knew these statements were false because it knew the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
18 DS84 ACU, and ASIC were defective. Accordingly, because ST Malaysia caused
19 shipments of well over ten million defective DS84 ASICs with the purpose of
20 executing a fraudulent scheme with its conspirators, each of the DS84 ASIC
21 shipments made by ST Malaysia violated the mail fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1341).

22
23
24
25
26
27 ⁵⁰ ST USA made similar shipments between 2007 and 2014, but is withholding
28 invoices for these shipments from discovery. Upon information and belief, the
invoices for this time period will show a similar regularity of shipments.

1 **b. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
2 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,**
3 **ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia advanced**
4 **their fraudulent scheme by concealing material information**
5 **about a serious safety defect that they had a duty to disclose.**

6 2134. The uses of mail and wire described in the sections above violated the
7 mail and wire fraud statutes because they furthered a fraudulent scheme to
8 affirmatively mislead consumers and NHTSA.

9 2135. In addition, these same uses of the mail and wire *also* violated the mail
10 and wire fraud statutes because, when they sent or caused to be sent these mailings,
11 Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
12 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST Malaysia had
13 duties to disclose the ACU Defect and failed to do so in order to advance their
14 scheme.

15 2136. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
17 Malaysia each knew for years that the defective DS84 ACUs in the Mitsubishi
18 Class Vehicles are uniquely vulnerable to EOS. *See* Section IV.D.7. above.

19 2137. To further the goals of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise and to their
20 mutual gain, Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
21 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST
22 Italy, and ST Malaysia concealed what they knew about the existence, scope, and
23 material safety risks of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

24 2138. Their careful efforts to conceal the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi
25 Class Vehicles were critically important to the viability of their scheme. A decision
26 by any one Defendant or nonparty-Enterprise member to tell the truth about the
27 ACU Defect and its impact of vehicle safety to consumers or to NHTSA would
28 have been an existential threat to the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise. Instead, and in
 pursuit of ill-gotten profits, they each kept key information about the ACU Defect

1 hidden for years. This concealment of material facts about the ACU Defect was
2 grounded in and advanced their scheme to defraud consumers through the
3 continued sale of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and avoidance of costly recalls and
4 reputational harms.

5 2139. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST
7 Italy, and ST Malaysia’s concealment of the ACU Defect violated several
8 independent duties to disclose it.⁵¹

9 a. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
10 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF
11 Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each had a duty
12 to disclose the ACU Defect because of their exclusive
13 knowledge and far superior information about the ACU Defect.
14 These Defendants knew about the vulnerability of the DS84
15 ACU and ASIC to EOS through their exclusive access to
16 information about their design, development, and testing, and

17
18 ⁵¹ As vehicle manufacturers and component parts suppliers, Defendants are also
19 subject to statutory duties to disclose known safety defects to consumers and to
20 NHTSA pursuant to the Safety Act and its attendant regulations. *See, e.g.*, 49
21 U.S.C. § 30118(c) (“A manufacturer of a motor vehicle . . . shall notify the
22 Secretary by certified mail or electronic mail, and the owners, purchasers, and
23 dealers of the vehicle . . . as provided in section 30119(d) of this section, if the
24 manufacturer . . . learns the vehicle . . . contains a defect and decides in good faith
25 that the defect is related to motor vehicle safety.”); 49 U.S.C. §30119(d)
26 (manufacturers must notify “each person registered . . . as the owner and whose
27 name and address are reasonably ascertainable”); 49 C.F.R. §573.6(a) (“Each
28 manufacturer shall furnish a report to the NHTSA for each defect . . . in his items of
original . . . equipment that he . . . determines to be related to motor vehicle
safety.”). Plaintiffs previously pled Defendants had a duty to disclose based on
these provisions of the Safety Act, but the Court dismissed an omissions theory
based these alleged duties. Plaintiffs reserve the right to appeal this decision at a
later date, but do not rely upon the Safety Act as a basis for their omissions theory
in this pleading.

1 through their confidential and proprietary investigations into
2 suspicious incidents. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and
3 technical nature, Plaintiffs and consumers lack the sophisticated
4 expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that
5 would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own.

6 b. In addition, Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics
7 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
8 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia also
9 each held a duty to disclose because they knew that a defect in
10 the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and their DS84 ACUs gave rise to
11 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles.
12 As sophisticated and well-funded corporate entities that generate
13 billions of dollars in annual revenue from work in the
14 automotive industry, each of these Defendants knew that this
15 information would have been material to consumers. For
16 example, a February 3, 2004, prospectus filed by ZF TRW Corp.
17 with the SEC observed that “85 percent of recent auto
18 purchasers stated that they look for vehicle safety information
19 before making their final decision.” Nonetheless, Defendants
20 still did not disclose it.

21 c. Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
22 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany also
23 each had a duty to disclose because of the actions they took to
24 conceal the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles from
25 consumers. Each of the ZF Defendants listed here acted to
26 suppress the truth about the ACU Defect through their
27 misleading representations to NHTSA. *See* Sections IV.F.2.,
28 IV.F.4., IV.F.8., and IV.F.14. above. Because a truthful and

1 accurate disclosure to NHTSA would have been material to
2 NHTSA’s decision whether to require a recall or expand its
3 investigation into the DS84 ACUs, the affirmative steps they
4 took to mislead NHTSA about the ACU Defect also precluded
5 Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members
6 from an opportunity that otherwise could have led to their
7 discovery of the truth about the ACU Defect. Mitsubishi USA,
8 for its part, engaged in a routine pattern and practice of failing to
9 respond to and investigate crashes reported by consumers with
10 airbag failures, thereby avoiding further investigation and a
11 written record of the ACU Defect in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

12 d. Finally, Mitsubishi USA and Mitsubishi Japan affirmatively
13 disclosed information about the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles’
14 airbags, seatbelts, and overall safety to consumer (*see* Sections
15 IV.E.1 and I.V.E.2. above). Because they opted to make these
16 representations to consumers about these topics, and because
17 they knew other information about the ACU Defect that made
18 those representations misleading or untrue, Mitsubishi USA and
19 Mitsubishi Japan were under separate duties to disclose the full
20 truth about the ACU Defect that materially qualified the
21 information they provided.

22 2140. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
24 Malaysia knew and intended that NHTSA would rely on their and the other
25 members of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s material omissions made about the
26 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to approve them for importation, marketing, and sale to
27 consumers in the United States. And conversely, they also understood that
28 disclosing the ACU Defect would require them to recall and fix the Mitsubishi

1 Class Vehicles, which would negatively impact the profits of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
2 Enterprise.

3 2141. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, and ST
5 Malaysia also knew and intended that consumers would rely on their and the other
6 members of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's material omissions when deciding
7 to purchase or lease the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. The Mitsubishi Plaintiffs'
8 reliance on this concealment is demonstrated by the fact that they paid money for
9 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that never should have been introduced into the U.S.
10 stream of commerce, and that they overpaid for vehicles with defective safety
11 systems without knowledge of the ACU Defect.

12 **c. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise was an association-in-fact**
13 **enterprise with a common purpose of misleading consumers**
14 **and NHTSA regarding the ACU Defect in Mitsubishi Class**
15 **Vehicles.**

16 2142. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common purpose and ongoing
17 organization and functioned as a continuing unit

18 **i. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise had a common**
19 **purpose and ongoing organization and functioned as a**
20 **continuing unit.**

21 2143. The common purpose of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise was to
22 perpetuate a fraudulent scheme to maximize sales and leases of Mitsubishi Class
23 Vehicles while hiding the ACU Defect from purchasers and lessees. Because all of
24 the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise members' continued profits from this scheme
25 ultimately depended on consumers choosing to purchase Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
26 the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise needed to convince consumers of a false premise:
27 that Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had properly functioning airbags and seatbelts. For
28 this scheme to work, it was essential for the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise to

1 conceal the ACU Defect from NHTSA, because the agency could halt the sale of
2 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and mandate recalls that necessarily require public notice
3 of a defect. The expense of these recalls would undermine the profitability of the
4 scheme.

5 2144. This common purpose served the interests of all members of the
6 Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise. By concealing and minimizing the ACU Defect,
7 Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
8 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
9 Malaysia maximized their revenue by selling as many Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as
10 possible while avoiding or limiting the substantial costs to recall and repair the
11 Vehicles and their defective DS84 ACUs.

12 2145. The common purpose of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise is also
13 evidenced by coordinated efforts by Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF
14 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Germany
15 avoid NHTSA's discovery of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and
16 to ensure a united front through sharing information about the ACU Defect that ZF
17 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, and ZF Germany
18 presented to NHTSA.

19 2146. The common purpose of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise is evidenced
20 by Mitsubishi USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's, ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety
21 USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's confidential consultations with one another
22 about problems with the design of the DS84 ACU, observations of EOS on DS84
23 ACUs, and dangerous safety system malfunctions in vehicles with DS84 ACUs,
24 including in a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle. As the Court has held, consultations about
25 "observed evidence of EOS in Class Vehicles" among Defendants "support[s] a
26 reasonable inference" of a "common purpose of misleading consumers and NHTSA
27 as to the existence of a defect in the ACUs." ECF 396 at 61.

28 2147. The common purpose of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise is further

1 evidenced by, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia's communications with ZF
2 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA about
3 observations of EOS in a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle, including after recalls for other
4 vehicles with the same DS84 ACUs used in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had already
5 been initiated. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
6 USA shared this information with Mitsubishi USA by copying excerpts of the
7 reports received from ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia and sending them to
8 Mitsubishi USA.

9 **ii. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise had an ongoing**
10 **organization.**

11 2148. The participation of separate entities or individuals that have an
12 existence outside an alleged enterprise is evidence of an ongoing organization with
13 its own structure, separate and apart from its members. Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi
14 Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
15 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each existed
16 separately from the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise.

- 17 a. During the relevant period, Mitsubishi Japan contemporaneously
18 designed, manufactured, and sold many vehicles that do not
19 contain defective DS84 ACUs.
- 20 b. During the relevant period, Mitsubishi USA contemporaneously
21 provided services to Mitsubishi Japan relating to a large volume
22 of Mitsubishi vehicles that do not contain defective DS84
23 ACUs.
- 24 c. During the relevant period, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia
25 contemporaneously sold, designed, and/or manufactured many
26 other products aside from the defective DS84 ASICs used in the
27 defective DS84 ACUs.
- 28 d. During the relevant period, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF

1 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA contemporaneously
2 designed, made, and/or sold many other automotive parts aside
3 from the defective DS84 ACUs.

4 e. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany also engaged in a wide variety
5 of business activities unrelated to the defective DS84 ACUs.

6 2149. Another hallmark of an ongoing organization is members with
7 delineated roles that further the organization's goals. Each Defendant performed
8 important but separate roles within the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise organization.

- 9 a. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ACU for
11 use in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, with Mitsubishi Japan's,
12 ST Italy's, and ST USA's input.
- 13 b. ST Italy and ST USA jointly designed the defective DS84 ASIC,
14 with input from ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
15 and ZF Automotive USA
- 16 c. ST Malaysia manufactured the defective DS84 ASICs and
17 shipped them to ST USA in California.
- 18 d. ST USA sold and shipped the defective DS84 ASIC to ZF
19 Electronics USA.
- 20 e. Mitsubishi Japan designed the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and
21 made them in Japan, and then shipped them to the United States.
22 Mitsubishi Japan added permanent labels to each vehicle that
23 certified compliance with U.S. Federal safety standards, as well
24 as readiness indicators and in-vehicle airbag labels and imprints,
25 prior to doing so.
- 26 f. Mitsubishi USA responded to NHTSA's investigation on behalf
27 of Mitsubishi Japan. Mitsubishi USA created the Monroney
28 labels for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and caused them to be

1 affixed to each Mitsubishi Class Vehicles prior to their shipment
2 to authorized Mitsubishi dealers. It also distributed the
3 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to dealers, so they could be sold to
4 consumers with misleading Monroney labels and the in-vehicle
5 statements. Mitsubishi USA was also responsible for misleading
6 advertising to consumers.

7 g. ZF TRW Corp. and ZF Germany approved actions taken by ZF
8 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
9 USA, and knowingly approved and participated directly in
10 making misleading statements to NHTSA about the ACU
11 Defect.

12 h. Each of the Defendants separately ensured that NHTSA and
13 consumers did not discover the ACU Defect.

14 2150. The Enterprise members dedicated to the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
15 Enterprise's scheme, which further evidences the ongoing structure of the
16 Enterprise. For example, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
17 Automotive USA dedicated an entire applications team to implement the defective
18 DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles in 2012. This included ZF Passive Safety
19 USA employee William Wong, who served on ZF's Mitsubishi applications team,
20 among others. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA,
21 ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia also dedicated personnel and resources to
22 analyze EOS occurrences DS84 ACUs, including in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
23 Moreover, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ST
24 USA, and ST Italy held regular meetings in 2016 concerning the EOS issues, just as
25 NHTSA was investigating the same defective DS84 ACUs used in Mitsubishi Class
26 Vehicles.

27 2151. Mitsubishi Japan, on the other hand, dedicated its employee Mikuni
28 Fukutaro as its primary point of contact with ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive

1 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA relating to the defective DS84 ACU.
2 Establishing a regular point of contact further organized the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
3 Enterprise.

4 2152. As the passenger safety systems in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
5 malfunctioned with known symptoms of the ACU Defect, including airbag
6 nondeployments—and with similar malfunctions for other vehicles with the DS84
7 ACU already well-known at the time—Mitsubishi USA *repeatedly* closed
8 consumer complaints about airbag non-deployments in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
9 without inspecting or investigating whether the vehicles had an ACU malfunction.
10 Mitsubishi USA’s practice of doing so—for more than 50 incidents between 2014
11 and 2019— avoided further investigation into the prevalence of malfunctions due to
12 the ACU Defect in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and further avoided the creation of a
13 written record regarding the same. Further, when faced with a suspicious
14 malfunction in a Mitsubishi Class Vehicle in 2017, Mitsubishi USA sought the
15 involvement and assistance of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
16 ZF Automotive USA.

17 2153. When NHTSA began to investigate the defective DS84 ACUs in 2015,
18 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany,
19 and ZF TRW Corp. maintained the organization of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
20 Enterprise by sending their joint communications and other information they
21 presented to NHTSA to Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ST USA, ST Italy, and
22 ST Malaysia. This allowed the participants in the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise to
23 coordinate their efforts to downplay the ACU Defect in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
24 and avoid and minimize recalls.

25 **iii. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise functioned as a**
26 **continuing unit.**

27 2154. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise continued for several years, at least
28 during the time period of 2012 to the present. Although Mitsubishi USA stopped

1 distributing new Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU in or about 2017 or
2 2018, Mitsubishi Class Vehicles continue to sell on the used car market with
3 misleading in-vehicle statements and consumer-facing marketing (such as vehicle
4 brochures) made by the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise.

5 2155. During this time, the members of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise
6 remained stable, with Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
7 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ST USA, ST Malaysia,
8 and ST Italy remaining active members for years of ongoing production and sales
9 of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. ZF Germany, on the other hand, started to
10 participate in the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise shortly after acquiring ZF TRW
11 Corp. in 2015.

12 **d. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering**
13 **caused Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Mitsubishi**
14 **Class members to overpay for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at**
the point of sale or lease.

15 2156. Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members are
16 “person[s] injured in his or her business or property” by reason of the Mitsubishi-
17 ZF-ST Enterprise’s RICO violations, within the meaning of U.S.C. § 1964(c).
18 Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members are entitled to
19 bring this action for three times their actual damages, as well as injunctive/equitable
20 relief, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

21 2157. Because of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s pattern of racketeering
22 activity, Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members have been
23 injured in their business and/or property through their overpayment at the time of
24 purchase or lease for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect.

25 2158. By making misleading statements and omissions at or before the point
26 of sale or lease, the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise directly or indirectly obtained
27 money from Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class by means of
28 materially false or fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions of material facts.

1 Had the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs known what the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise
2 members knew about the ACU Defect, Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide
3 Mitsubishi Class members would not have purchased the Mitsubishi Class
4 Vehicles, or would not have paid as much as they did for them.

5 2159. Had Mitsubishi USA, Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA,
7 ST Italy, or ST Malaysia not concealed, and instead decided to disclose, the
8 information they knew about the ACU Defect and its impact on vehicle safety,
9 Mitsubishi Plaintiffs would have learned of the disclosure.

10 a. Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members
11 would have learned about the ACU Defect through any of the
12 channels in which the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were marketed
13 to them. In other words, had Mitsubishi USA or Mitsubishi
14 Japan made a disclosure in *any* of the places in which they
15 otherwise communicated information about the Mitsubishi Class
16 Vehicles, Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class
17 members would have seen it. This includes in Mitsubishi USA's
18 brochures and other advertising, on Monroney labels, and in
19 Mitsubishi Japan's certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels,
20 airbag warning lamps, and in owner's manuals.

21 b. Further, Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class
22 members would have learned about the ACU Defect at the times
23 and places that they purchased or leased their Class Vehicles.
24 For example, had Mitsubishi USA made a disclosure about the
25 ACU Defect to its authorized Mitsubishi USA dealerships, sales
26 personnel at the dealerships would have passed on that material
27 information to consumers at the time of the contemplated
28 purchases.

1 c. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
2 and existence of the ACU Defect to NHTSA, Mitsubishi
3 Plaintiffs and Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members would
4 have learned of it because NHTSA would have considered this
5 information material to its decision to require a recall, which
6 information would have been made public and passed onto
7 impacted consumers.

8 d. Had any of the Defendants listed above disclosed the true scope
9 and existence of the ACU Defect to consumers or the public,
10 either through press releases, on their websites, or in any other
11 public channel or forum, Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and Nationwide
12 Mitsubishi Class members would have learned of it due to the
13 materiality of this information about a serious safety defect in
14 hundreds of thousands vehicles. Given the seriousness of the
15 information and the number of vehicles impacted, the news
16 media and consumer forums and blogs would pick up the story.
17 This is particularly so in the wake of the massive Takata recall
18 and litigation, which confirmed the strong public interest in
19 airbags and vehicle safety. For example, an April 23, 2019
20 article available on ConsumerReports.com described NHTSA's
21 expanded investigation into the DS84 ACUs to be "the agency's
22 most in-depth look at airbags since the recall of more than 56
23 million airbags made by Takata."

24 2160. The Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's misleading statements and
25 omissions to NHTSA between 2016 and the present were essential to the scheme
26 because NHTSA would not have allowed continued sale of Mitsubishi Class
27 Vehicles with defective DS84 ACUs. At the very least, these misleading statements
28 delayed NHTSA's broader investigation of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles until

1 April 2019, when NHTSA launched an Engineering Analysis covering all
2 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Upon information and belief, ZF Electronics USA
3 stopped making DS84 ACUs for the 2020 model year based in large part on this
4 investigation. Accordingly, ZF Electronics USA would have stopped making DS84
5 ACUs if NHTSA had launched a broader investigation in 2016. For this reason,
6 Plaintiffs who purchased and leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles after the first
7 misleading statement to NHTSA by the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise would have
8 avoided purchasing or leasing their Mitsubishi Class Vehicles entirely, or they
9 would have paid less for them.

10 2161. Consumers are the only direct victims of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST
11 Enterprise's alleged fraudulent and misleading statements to NHTSA. NHTSA has
12 not suffered any reported, direct injury as a result of such conduct.

13 2162. Damages will not be difficult to ascertain; the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and
14 the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class members' damages are, among others, the
15 difference between what they bargained and paid for – Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
16 without an ACU Defect – and the value of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles they
17 actually received. In the similar *Takata* airbag litigation, for example, plaintiffs also
18 alleged overpayment damages suffered at the point of sale based on a dangerous
19 airbag defect. Plaintiffs' experts in that case performed a conjoint analysis using
20 surveys of consumers and found that the price premium paid by class members for
21 class vehicles was at least ten percent of the purchase price. A similar analysis
22 could be performed in this litigation. Other methodologies are also viable.

23 2163. All victims of Defendants' alleged conduct who claim to have
24 overpaid for the purchase or lease of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are within the
25 alleged Nationwide Mitsubishi Class. Consequently, there are no issues with
26 respect to reapportionment or multiple recovery.

27
28

1 **10. Nationwide Count 10: Violations of the Racketeer Influenced**
2 **Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), on Behalf of the**
3 **Nationwide Mitsubishi Class Against Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi**
4 **USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
5 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST**
6 **Malaysia.**

7 2164. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 2165. It is also unlawful “for any person to conspire to violate” 18 U.S.C.
10 § 1962(c). *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). To conspire in violation of section 1962(c), the
11 defendant must be “aware of the essential nature and scope of the enterprise.” ECF
12 396 at 77. Enterprise members conspire to violate section 1962(c) when “two or
13 more people agree[] to commit a crime” and “knowingly and willfully participate[]
14 in the agreement. . . . The illegal agreement need not be express as long as its
15 existence can be inferred from the words, actions, or interdependence of activities
16 and persons involved.” *Id.* A defendant who “agreed to facilitate a scheme” violates
17 section 1962(d) even if he “does not himself commit or agree to commit the two or
18 more predicate acts requisite to the underlying offense.” *Salinas v. United States*,
19 522 U.S. 52, 65-66 (1997).

20 2166. As explained in the section below, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
21 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
22 Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the
23 essential nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise. Count 9 describes
24 this Enterprise.

25 2167. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
26 and/or interdependence, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA,
27 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany
28 agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail and wire fraud:

- 1 a. Mitsubishi's interstate shipments between 2012 and 2017 of
- 2 thousands of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with misleading
- 3 Monroney labels, readiness indicators, in-vehicle airbag labels
- 4 and imprints, and owners' manuals, and
- 5 b. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2012 and
- 6 2017 of tens of thousands of DS84 ACUs to Mitsubishi Japan.

7 2168. As explained in the section below, based on their words, actions,
8 and/or interdependence, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ST USA, ST
9 Italy, and ST Malaysia also agreed to facilitate the following acts of mail fraud:

- 10 a. ZF Electronics USA's interstate shipments between 2012 and
- 11 2017 of thousands of DS84 ACUs to Mitsubishi in Japan;
- 12 b. ST Malaysia's interstate shipments between 2012 and 2017 of
- 13 thousands of DS84 ASICs to ST USA in California; and
- 14 c. ST USA's interstate shipments between 2012 and 2017 of
- 15 thousands of DS84 ASICs to ZF Electronics USA in Illinois.

16 2169. The words, actions, or interdependence of activities of each of these
17 Defendants support the inference of agreement.

18 2170. Accordingly, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA,
19 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
20 USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

21 2171. These violations caused the same injuries and damages described in
22 the prior Count. This Count incorporates by reference the allegations as to injury,
23 damages, and causation from the prior Count.

24 2172. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
25 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST USA, ST
26 Italy, and ST Malaysia each violated 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and injured the business
27 or property of the Mitsubishi Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Mitsubishi Class. The
28

1 Mitsubishi Plaintiffs claim damages for themselves and the Nationwide Mitsubishi
2 Class members under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

3 **a. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
4 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,**
5 **ZF Germany, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were all**
6 **aware of the essential nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-**
7 **ZF-ST Enterprise.**

8 2173. Each Defendant named in this Count was aware of the essential nature
9 and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise, even if some specific details about
10 the Enterprise’s illegal activities and members were unknown.

11 **i. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA understood the**
12 **nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
13 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

14 2174. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA were aware of the essential
15 nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise.

16 2175. Mitsubishi Japan always knew of the activities of Mitsubishi USA and
17 its role in the Enterprise because it owns the company and monitors its activities.

18 2176. As explained in Section IV.D.7. above, Mitsubishi Japan and
19 Mitsubishi USA knew about the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

20 2177. Between 2012 and 2017, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew
21 that the STMicroelectronics companies were responsible for designing and
22 manufacturing the DS84 ASIC for the DS84 ACUs used in Mitsubishi Class
23 Vehicles.

24 2178. Between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA
25 continuously tracked the volume of sales of Mitsubishi makes and models in the
26 United States. Accordingly, during the relevant time period, they knew roughly
27 how many Mitsubishi Class Vehicles would likely sell in the United States.

28 2179. During each year between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi Japan and
Mitsubishi USA knew that reassuring certification labels, in-vehicle airbag labels

1 and imprints, and readiness indicators would be placed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
2 prior to the shipment to dealers in the United States. They knew this would occur
3 because Mitsubishi Japan's mandatory designs required these statements to be
4 placed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew
5 that consumers would rely on some or all of these in-vehicle labels when
6 purchasing or leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

7 2180. During each year between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi Japan
8 knew that Mitsubishi USA would advertise the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as safe
9 vehicles with properly functioning airbags and seatbelts. Mitsubishi Japan and
10 Mitsubishi USA knew that consumers would rely on such advertisements when
11 purchasing or leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

12 2181. During each year between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi Japan
13 knew that Mitsubishi USA would ship Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with the owners'
14 manuals that Mitsubishi Japan authored, which include misleading statements about
15 the safety systems, airbags, and seatbelts of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.
16 Likewise, Mitsubishi Japan knew that Mitsubishi USA would create and affix
17 Monroney stickers with misleading statements about airbags and seatbelts to
18 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew that
19 consumers would rely on the Monroney labels and manuals when purchasing or
20 leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

21 2182. During each year between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi USA knew
22 that complying with Mitsubishi Japan's mandatory design specifications for
23 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles would require Mitsubishi Japan to place orders with ZF
24 Electronics USA, and for ZF Electronics USA to use mail or private interstate
25 carriers to ship the defective DS84 ACUs to Mitsubishi Japan in Japan.

26 2183. During each year between 2012 and the present, Mitsubishi Japan
27 knew that Mitsubishi USA would, as a result of its direction to do so, cause the
28

1 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to ship from their port of entry to automobile dealers
2 across the United States.

3 2184. Mitsubishi Japan knew in 2016 that ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany had made
5 misleading statement to NHTSA about the defect because in early 2016 they
6 received copies of the misleading slide deck dated February 5, 2016.

7 **ii. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
8 **Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany**
9 **understood the nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-**
10 **ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

11 2185. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
12 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany were aware of the essential nature and scope of
13 the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise.

14 2186. As explained in Sections IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.7., above, ZF
15 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
16 and ZF Germany were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU Defect.

17 2187. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
18 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew the approximate number of Mitsubishi
19 Class Vehicles with the DS84 ACU because it made the ACUs for those vehicles.

20 2188. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
21 ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany knew that Mitsubishi Japan or its subsidiaries
22 would, consistent with common practice in the automotive industry, make
23 reassuring statements about the Mitsubishi Class Vehicle’s safety systems, airbags,
24 and seatbelts.

25
26
27
28

1 **iii. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia understood the**
2 **nature and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
3 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

4 2189. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the essential nature
5 and scope of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise.

6 2190. As explained in Sections IV.D.1., IV.D.2., and IV.D.7. above, ST
7 USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia were aware of the nature and scope of the ACU
8 Defect.

9 2191. Upon information and belief, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
10 knew the defective DS84 ASICs would be installed in the Mitsubishi Class
11 Vehicles. These companies also understood that automakers like the Mitsubishi
12 Defendants would, consistent with common practice in the automotive industry,
13 advertise their safety systems to consumers, and that those safety systems would
14 not work properly as a result of the DS84 ASIC’s vulnerability to EOS.

15 2192. ST USA, ST Malaysia, and ST Italy were aware of the large scope of
16 the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise, among other reasons because ST Malaysia and
17 ST USA made and sold the DS84 ASICs for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and all
18 these companies had access to records that showed that thousands of defective
19 DS84 ASICs were shipping to Illinois per ZF Electronics USA’s instructions.

20 **b. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF**
21 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,**
22 **and ZF Germany agreed that one or more members of the**
23 **Enterprise would commit at least two predicate acts of mail**
24 **or wire fraud in furtherance of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST**
25 **Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme.**

26 2193. Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
27 Automotive USA, and Mitsubishi USA began conspiring in furtherance of the
28 Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise’s fraudulent scheme in 2012.

1 2194. ZF Germany joined the conspiracy in or around 2015, when it acquired
2 ZF TRW Corp.

3 2195. When Mitsubishi Japan agreed to use the defective DS84 ACU and
4 ASIC in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles in 2012, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF
5 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA mutually
6 understood and intended that this agreement prompt Mitsubishi Japan to cause ZF
7 Electronics USA to ship DS84 ACUs across state lines and Mitsubishi USA to ship
8 the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with misleading statements about the passive safety
9 system, airbags, and seatbelts therein.

10 a. In 2012, Mitsubishi Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on the design
12 specifications for the DS84 ACU installed in Mitsubishi Class
13 Vehicles. Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
14 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to agree on
15 specifications for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with the DS84
16 ACU for every model year until 2017.

17 b. Between 2012 and 2017, Mitsubishi USA used mail and wire to
18 advertise the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as safe vehicles with
19 properly-functioning airbags and seatbelts, and used private
20 interstate carriers to ship the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with
21 misleading Monroney labels, airbag labels and imprints,
22 certification labels, readiness indicators, and owner's manuals.
23 Mitsubishi Japan knew that Mitsubishi USA was doing this and
24 would do this.

25 c. When Mitsubishi Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
26 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications
27 for the DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, Mitsubishi
28 Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF

1 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after
2 2015) had a mutual understanding that this agreement would
3 cause Mitsubishi Japan to send orders for tens of thousands of
4 DS84 ACUs every year via mail or wire to ZF Electronics USA.

5 d. When Mitsubishi Japan agreed with ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA on specifications
7 for the DS84 ACUs in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, Mitsubishi
8 Japan, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
9 Automotive USA, and ZF TRW Corp. (and ZF Germany after
10 2015) had a mutual understanding that this agreement would
11 cause ZF Electronics USA to ship tens of thousands of DS84
12 ACUs via private interstate carrier to Mitsubishi Japan in Japan.

13 2196. As explained in Count 9 above, the shipments of Mitsubishi Class
14 Vehicles by Mitsubishi USA, the orders by Mitsubishi Japan for DS84 ACUs, and
15 the shipments by ZF Electronics USA of the DS84 ACUs violated the mail fraud
16 statute because they furthered the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
17 to cause consumers to purchase or lease vehicles that contain the ACU Defect. To
18 accomplish this goal, the DS84 ACUs needed to be shipped before they could be
19 installed in the vehicles.

20 a. Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA,
21 and ZF Automotive USA facilitated these mail fraud act
22 violations by collaborating on the defective design of the ACU,
23 the readiness indicators, and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

24 b. Mitsubishi Japan further facilitated these mail fraud violations
25 by, prior to shipment: (1) installing the DS84 ACUs in
26 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and (2) placing the misleading
27 certification labels, readiness indicators, and airbag labels and
28 imprints within the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

- 1 c. ZF TRW Corp. facilitated the scheme because, upon
- 2 information and belief, its approval was required for the launch
- 3 of the DS84 ACU, which was one of the company's most
- 4 popular ACUs.
- 5 d. ZF Germany facilitated the scheme because, upon information
- 6 and belief, its approval was required to continue the sales of the
- 7 DS84 ACU.
- 8 e. Mitsubishi USA facilitated this scheme by authoring and
- 9 affixing misleading Monroney labels on Mitsubishi Class
- 10 Vehicles.

11 2197. The conspiracy among Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
13 and ZF Germany is further evidenced by their coordinated efforts to cover up the
14 ACU Defect.

- 15 a. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
- 16 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA uncovered evidence
- 17 of ASIC EOS on DS84 ACUs and DS84 ASICs and related
- 18 malfunctions, but they maintained confidentiality amongst each
- 19 other.
- 20 b. Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA,
- 21 and ZF Passive Safety USA also coordinated in response to
- 22 NHTSA's investigation. In 2016, ZF Electronics USA alerted
- 23 Mitsubishi Japan to NHTSA's investigation of the DS84 ACUs
- 24 and sent excerpted copies of the misleading February 5, 2016
- 25 slide deck to NHTSA as part of an effort to coordinate with
- 26 Mitsubishi Japan.
- 27 c. Likewise, ZF Automotive USA warned Mitsubishi USA when it
- 28 provided information to NHTSA in September 2016 that would

1 alert NHTSA to the presence of the DS84 ACU in the
2 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

3 2198. The joint activities of ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,
4 ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany in support of their
5 misleading statements to NHTSA were predicate acts and also show agreement by
6 these Defendants to advance the fraudulent scheme.

7 2199. ZF Electronics USA's placement of orders for DS84 ASICs and
8 shipments of DS84 ACUs were predicate acts and also show agreement by ZF
9 Electronics USA to advance the fraudulent scheme.

10 2200. The success of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
11 depended upon Mitsubishi Japan, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, and Mitsubishi USA. All these companies had to maintain strict
13 confidence about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue. Moreover, the
14 Mitsubishi companies depended on the ZF companies for the manufacture of the
15 defective ACUs, whereas the ZF companies could not reach consumers of
16 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles without the agreement of Mitsubishi Japan. This
17 interdependence evidences the agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

18 2201. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
19 member of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members
20 of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and
21 furtherance of the common goals of the scheme.

22 **i. ST USA, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ZF Automotive USA,**
23 **ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA**
24 **agreed on the commission of multiple violations of the**
25 **mail fraud statute in furtherance of the Mitsubishi-**
ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme.

26 2202. ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA began conspiring with ZF Passive
27 Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA in 2005, when the two
28 supplier groups began the joint design of an ACU ASIC with unique vulnerability

1 to ASIC EOS. By 2008, all these companies knew about internal thermal testing
2 that confirmed the weakness of the ASIC. They held multiple meetings about this
3 issue. In spite of this early knowledge, and after the years already sunk into
4 development work for the cheaper ACU, they proceeded to launch and use the
5 DS84 ACU for millions of Class Vehicles for more than a decade.

6 2203. Even after learning that DS84 ACUs and ASICs had malfunctioned
7 due to EOS during crashes, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, ST USA, ZF Passive Safety
8 USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA continued to sell and send
9 shipments of the parts. When doing so, these companies all knew that Mitsubishi
10 Japan and Mitsubishi USA would coordinate to cause the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
11 with the defective DS84 ACU and ASIC to be presented to consumers with
12 misleading certification labels, airbag labels and imprints, and readiness indicators.

13 2204. Several actions by ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA support an
14 inference of agreements with ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF
15 Automotive USA to commit at least two predicate acts in furtherance of the
16 conspiracy:

- 17 a. Between September 2009 and 2018, ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
18 Malaysia regularly communicated with ZF Automotive USA,
19 ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA about
20 observations of EOS in DS84 ASICs. ST USA, ST Italy, and ST
21 Malaysia's DS84 ASIC team confirmed EOS damage on ASICs
22 retrieved from at least one Mitsubishi Class Vehicle.
- 23 b. Upon information and belief, in 2016, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
24 Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA sent each ST
25 Defendant excerpted copies of its misleading statements from its
26 February 5, 2016 slide deck.
- 27 c. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA and ST
28 Malaysia continuously violated the mail fraud act in furtherance

1 of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise by shipping DS84 ASICs,
2 with a mutual understanding that some of these ASICs would be
3 installed in Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as explained above.

4 d. Between 2009 and 2018 at the very least, ST USA, ST Italy, and
5 ST Malaysia maintained public silence about the ACU Defect,
6 despite the observed evidence of the DS84 ASIC's and ACU's
7 unusual vulnerability to transients.

8 2205. The actions detailed above and throughout the Complaint as to each
9 member of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise were foreseeable to the other members
10 of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise given their direct relationship to and
11 furtherance of the common goals of the scheme.

12 2206. The success of the Mitsubishi-ZF-ST Enterprise's fraudulent scheme
13 depended upon ST USA, ST Italy, and ST Malaysia, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
14 Electronics USA, and ZF Automotive USA's cooperation. All these companies had
15 to maintain strict confidence about the ACU Defect for the scheme to continue.
16 Moreover, the ZF companies depended upon the ST companies for the manufacture
17 of the defective ASICs, whereas the ST companies depended upon the ZF
18 companies for a viable path to profit from the consumers of Class Vehicles. This
19 interdependence evidences the agreement to further the fraudulent scheme.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[Continued in Volume III]

1 David S. Stellings (*pro hac vice*)
2 dstellings@lchb.com
3 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
4 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10013-1413
5 Telephone: 212.355.9500
6 Facsimile: 212.355.9592

7 Roland Tellis (SBN 186269)
8 rtellis@baronbudd.com
9 BARON & BUDD, P.C.
10 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
Encino, CA 91436
11 Telephone: 818.839.2333

12 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

13 *Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Members Listed on Signature Page*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16
17
18 *In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units*
Products Liability Litigation

19 ALL CASES

MDL No. 2905

Case No. 2:19-ml-02905-JAK-FFM

**VOLUME THREE OF
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT (STATE
COUNTS)**

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII. Counts (Continued from Volume II) 824

 B. State-Specific Claims 824

 1. Alabama 824

 2. Arizona 847

 3. California 865

 4. Colorado 900

 5. Connecticut 921

 6. Florida 939

 7. Illinois 966

 8. Indiana 991

 9. Maryland 1012

 10. Massachusetts 1034

 11. Michigan 1054

 12. Minnesota 1073

 13. Missouri 1101

 14. Nevada 1121

 15. New Jersey 1141

 16. New York 1158

 17. North Carolina 1178

 18. Oklahoma 1197

 19. Pennsylvania 1217

 20. South Carolina 1240

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

21. South Dakota1260
22. Texas..... 1279
23. Washington.....1302
24. Wisconsin1317
VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF..... 1333
IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL..... 1335

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII. Counts (Continued from Volume II)

B. State-Specific Claims

1. Alabama

a. Alabama Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Ala. Code §§ 7-2-313 and 7-2a-210) Against Honda Japan and Honda USA

2207. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

2208. Plaintiff Sigfredo Rubio (hereinafter, the “Alabama Plaintiff”) brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan and Honda USA.

2209. Honda Japan and Honda USA are and were at all relevant times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Ala. Code §§ 7-2-104(1) and 7-2A-103(3), “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 7-2-103(1)(d).

2210. With respect to leases, Honda Japan and Honda USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Ala. Code § 7-2A-103(1)(p).

2211. All Alabama State Class members who purchased Honda Class Vehicles in Alabama are “buyers” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 7-2-103(1)(a).

2212. All Alabama State Class Members who leased Honda Class Vehicles in Alabama are “lessees” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 7-2A-103(1)(n).

2213. The Honda Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods” within the meaning of Ala. Code §§ 7-2-105(1) and 7-2A-103(1)(h).

2214. In connection with the purchase or lease of Honda Class Vehicles, Honda Japan and Honda USA provided the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members with warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Honda Class Vehicles as safe and reliable,

1 and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt
2 pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash

3 2215. However, Honda Japan and Honda USA knew or should have known
4 that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Honda Japan and
5 Honda USA were aware of the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles, which
6 made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were
7 sold and leased to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members.

8 2216. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members were aware
9 the Honda Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties
10 were an essential part of the bargain between them and Honda Japan and Honda
11 USA when the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members unknowingly
12 purchased and leased Honda Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective
13 ACUs and ASICs.

14 2217. Honda Japan and Honda USA misrepresented the Honda Class
15 Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect,
16 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members were exposed to those
17 misrepresentations, and the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
18 had no way of discerning that Honda Japan's and Honda USA's representations
19 were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Honda Japan
20 and Honda USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Alabama
21 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members reasonably relied on Honda Japan's and
22 Honda USA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Honda Class
23 Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above.
24 To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
25 Plaintiff.

26 2218. Honda Japan and Honda USA knowingly breached their express
27 warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the
28 ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Honda Class

1 Vehicles. Honda Japan and Honda USA also breached their express warranties by
2 selling and leasing Honda Class Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to
3 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members.

4 2219. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members have
5 provided Honda Japan and Honda USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to
6 cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
7 complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Alabama
8 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
9 became public. See ECF No. 396 at 121 (“Plaintiffs have alleged that ‘[t]he
10 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members have provided Honda Japan
11 and Honda USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
12 their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against
13 them, and the individual notice letters sent by Alabama State Class members within
14 a reasonable amount of time after the ACU defect became public.’ Dkt. 278 ¶ 744.
15 This is sufficient to satisfy the notice requirement.”). Additionally, on April 24,
16 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
17 Class members to Honda Japan and Honda USA.

18 2220. Alternatively, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
19 were excused from providing Honda Japan and Honda USA with notice and an
20 opportunity to cure the breach, or to present their Honda Class Vehicles for repair,
21 because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Honda Japan and Honda USA
22 have long known that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and
23 that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
24 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Honda Japan and Honda USA have not
25 instituted a recall or any other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU
26 Defect exists—even though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
27 investigation. Therefore, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
28 had no reason to believe that Honda Japan and Honda USA would have repaired the

1 ACU Defect if the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members presented
2 their Class Vehicles to Honda Japan and Honda USA for repair.

3 2221. As a direct and proximate result of Honda Japan's and Honda USA's
4 breach of their express warranties, the Honda Class Vehicles were and are defective
5 and the ACU Defect in the Alabama Plaintiff's and Alabama State Class members'
6 Kia Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Alabama Plaintiff and
7 Alabama State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at
8 trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Honda Class
9 Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

10 **b. Alabama Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
11 **Merchantability (Ala. Code §§ 7-2-314 and 7-2a-212)**
12 **Against Honda USA¹**

13 2222. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
14 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

15 2223. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
16 members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
17 Vehicles, against Honda USA.

18 2224. A warranty that the Honda Class Vehicles were in merchantable
19 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
20 by law pursuant to Ala. Code §§ 7-2-314 and 7-2A-212.

21 2225. Honda USA is and was at all relevant times a "merchant" with respect
22 to motor vehicles Ala. Code §§ 7-2-104(1) and 7-2A-103(3), and "seller" of motor
23 vehicles under § 7-2-103(1)(d).

24 2226. With respect to leases, Honda USA is and was at all relevant times a
25 "lessor" of motor vehicles under Ala. Code § 7-2A-103(1)(p).

26
27
28 ¹ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Alabama Plaintiff stated a
claim against Honda USA for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 123.

1 2227. All Alabama State Class members who purchased Honda Class
2 Vehicles in Alabama are “buyers” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 7-2-
3 103(1)(a).

4 2228. All Alabama State Class members who leased Honda Class Vehicles
5 in Alabama are “lessees” within the meaning of Ala. Code 7-2A-103(1)(n).

6 2229. The Honda Class Vehicles were at all relevant times “goods” within
7 the meaning of Ala. Code §§ 7-2-105(1) and 7-2A-103(1)(h).

8 2230. The Honda Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty
9 of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
10 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
11 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
12 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Honda Class
13 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
14 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
15 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
16 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
17 Honda Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

18 2231. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members have
19 provided Honda USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches
20 of its implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against
21 it, and the individual notice letters sent by Alabama State Class members within a
22 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
23 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Alabama Plaintiff and
24 Alabama State Class members to Honda USA.

25 2232. Alternatively, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
26 were excused from providing Honda USA with notice and an opportunity to cure
27 the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Honda USA has
28 long known that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the

1 ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving
2 Class Vehicles; however, to date, Honda USA has not instituted a recall or any
3 other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even
4 though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore,
5 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members had no reason to believe
6 that Honda USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Alabama Plaintiff and
7 Alabama State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to Honda USA for
8 repair.

9 2233. As a direct and proximate result of Honda USA’s breach of the
10 implied warranty of merchantability, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
11 Class members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of
12 purchase or lease for Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an
13 amount to be proven at trial.

14 **c. Alabama Count 3: Violation of the Alabama Deceptive**
15 **Trade Practices Act (Ala. Code §§ 8-19-1, et seq.) Against**
16 **Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA**

17 2234. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 2235. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
21 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

22 2236. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA, Alabama
23 Plaintiff, and Alabama State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of
24 Ala. Code § 8-19-3(5).

25 2237. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members are
26 “consumers” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 8-19-3(2).

27 2238. The Honda Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “goods”
28 within the meaning of Ala. Code. § 8-19-3(3).

1 2239. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were and are
2 engaged in “trade or commerce” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 8-19-3(8).

3 2240. The Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Alabama DTPA”)
4 prohibits “deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]”
5 Ala. Code § 8-19-5.

6 2241. In the course of their business, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
7 Engineering USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
8 Alabama DTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting,
9 concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety,
10 and performance of the Honda Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant
11 Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

12 2242. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had an
13 ongoing duty to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to refrain
14 from unfair or deceptive practices under the Alabama DTPA in the course of their
15 business. Specifically, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
16 owed the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members a duty to disclose all
17 the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles because
18 they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect
19 from the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members, and/or they made
20 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
21 by withheld facts.

22 2243. By misrepresenting the Honda Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
23 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
24 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
25 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Honda Japan, Honda
26 USA, and Honda Engineering USA engaged in one or more of the following unfair
27 or deceptive business practices prohibited by Ala. Code § 8-19-5:
28

- 1 a. Causing likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the
- 2 approval or certification of the Honda Class Vehicles;
- 3 b. Representing that the Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective
- 4 ACUs and ASICs installed in them have characteristics, uses,
- 5 benefits, and qualities which they do not have;
- 6 c. Representing that the Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective
- 7 ACUs and ASICs installed in them are of a particular standard,
- 8 quality, and grade when they are not;
- 9 d. Advertising the Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective
- 10 ACUs and ASICs installed in them with the intent not to sell or
- 11 lease them as advertised; and
- 12 e. Engaging in unconscionable, false, misleading, and deceptive
- 13 acts and practices in the conduct of trade or commerce
- 14 pertaining to the Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective
- 15 ACUs and ASICs installed in them.

16 Ala. Code §§ 8-19-5(2), (5), (7), (9) and (27).

17 2244. Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s unfair
18 and deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments,
19 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a
20 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
21 Honda Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts,
22 and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would
23 perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
24 collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
25 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
26 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members, about the true safety and
27 reliability of Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed

28

1 in them, the quality of the Honda Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Honda
2 Class Vehicles.

3 2245. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
4 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
5 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
6 Systems in the Honda Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Alabama
7 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as
8 Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intended. The Alabama
9 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members were exposed to those
10 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
11 and relied on Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
12 misrepresentations that the Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
13 Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Honda Class
14 Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above.
15 To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
16 Plaintiff. The Alabama Plaintiff's and Alabama State Class members' reliance was
17 reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Honda Japan's, Honda USA's,
18 and Honda Engineering USA's representations were false and misleading, or
19 otherwise learning the facts that Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
20 USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
21 Class members did not, and could not, unravel Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and
22 Honda Engineering USA's deception on their own.

23 2246. Had the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members known
24 the truth about the ACU Defect, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
25 members would not have purchased or leased Honda Class Vehicles, or would have
26 paid significantly less for them.

27 2247. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members suffered
28 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of

1 purchase and lease for Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
2 direct and proximate result of Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda
3 Engineering USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose
4 material information.

5 2248. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
6 violations present a continuing risk to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
7 Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain
8 unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, Honda Japan's,
9 Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's unlawful acts and practices
10 complained of herein affect the public interest.

11 2249. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were
12 provided notice of the issues raised in this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA
13 investigations, the numerous complaints filed against them, and the individual
14 notice letters sent by Alabama State Class members within a reasonable amount of
15 time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, pursuant to Ala. Code § 8-
16 19-10(e) on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Alabama
17 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
18 Honda Engineering USA. Because Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
19 Engineering USA failed to adequately remedy their unlawful conduct within the
20 requisite time period, the Alabama Plaintiff seeks all damages and relief to which
21 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members are entitled. Pursuant to
22 Ala. Code § 8-19-10(e) on May 25, 2022, a notice letter was also sent on behalf of
23 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to ST Italy and ST
24 Malaysia.

25 2250. Alternatively, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
26 were excused from providing Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
27 USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been
28 futile. As alleged above, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA

1 have long known that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and
2 that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
3 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
4 Engineering USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair program, or even
5 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even though Honda Class Vehicles are
6 subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama
7 State Class members had no reason to believe that Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
8 Honda Engineering USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Alabama
9 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to
10 Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA for repair.

11 2251. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 8-19-10, the Alabama Plaintiff and the
12 Alabama State Class members seek an order enjoining Honda Japan’s, Honda
13 USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s unfair or deceptive acts and/or practices and
14 awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under the Alabama
15 DTPA.

16 **d. Alabama Count 4: Violation of the Alabama Deceptive**
17 **Trade Practices Act (Ala. Code §§ 8-19-1, et seq.) Against ZF**
18 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and
ST Malaysia

19 2252. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 2253. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
22 members of the Alabama State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
23 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
24 the ZF Defendants’), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
25 “ST Defendants”).

26 2254. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Alabama Plaintiff, and
27 Alabama State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 8-
28 19-3(5).

1 2255. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members are
2 “consumers” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 8-19-3(2).

3 2256. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “goods” within the
4 meaning of Ala. Code. § 8-19-3(3).

5 2257. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade or
6 commerce” within the meaning of Ala. Code § 8-19-3(8).

7 2258. The Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Alabama DTPA”)
8 prohibits “deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]”
9 Ala. Code § 8-19-5.

10 2259. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Alabama
11 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
12 practices under the Alabama DTPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
13 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
14 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
15 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
16 concealed the ACU Defect from the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
17 members.

18 2260. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
19 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Alabama DTPA by
20 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
21 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
22 Vehicles, as detailed above.

23 2261. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
24 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
25 Alabama DTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
26 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
27 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
28 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle

1 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
2 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
3 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
4 properly in a crash.

5 2262. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
6 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
7 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Ala. Code § 8-
8 19-5, including engaging in unconscionable, false, misleading, and/or deceptive
9 acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.

10 2263. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
11 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
12 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
13 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
14 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
15 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
16 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
17 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
18 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama
19 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
20 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
21 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

22 2264. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members justifiably
23 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
24 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
25 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
26 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
27 their own.
28

1 2265. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations and concealment of the
2 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
3 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
4 Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants
5 intended. Had they known the truth, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
6 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
7 paid significantly less for them.

8 2266. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members suffered
9 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
10 and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
11 material information.

12 2267. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
13 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members, as well as to the general
14 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
15 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
16 of herein affect the public interest.

17 2268. The ZF and ST Defendants were provided notice of the issues raised in
18 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous
19 complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Alabama
20 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the allegations of the
21 ACU Defect became public. Additionally, pursuant to Ala. Code § 8-19-10(e) on
22 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Alabama Plaintiff and
23 Alabama State Class members to the ZF Defendants, and on June 5, 2020, notice
24 letter was sent on behalf of the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
25 members to ST USA. Because these Defendants failed to adequately remedy their
26 unlawful conduct within the requisite time period, the Alabama Plaintiff seeks all
27 damages and relief to which the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
28 members are entitled.

1 2269. Alternatively, any requirement to give notice to the Defendants under
2 Ala. Code § 8-19-10(e) is excused because, *inter alia*, on information and belief the
3 ZF and ST Defendants do not maintain a place of business or do not keep assets
4 within Alabama. Moreover, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
5 members were excused from providing the ZF and ST Defendants with notice and
6 an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged
7 above, the ZF and ST Defendants have long known that the Class Vehicles
8 contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs
9 to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, the ZF and
10 ST Defendants have not even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even
11 though the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants Class Vehicles’ are subject to the
12 NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
13 members had no reason to believe that the ZF and ST Defendants would have
14 repaired the ACU Defect if the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
15 members presented their Class Vehicles the ZF and ST Defendants for repair.

16 2270. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 8-19-10, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama
17 State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or
18 deceptive acts and/or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
19 relief available under the Alabama DTPA.

20 e. **Alabama Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
21 **Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering**
 USA

22 2271. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 2272. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
26 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.
27
28

1 2273. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA are liable for
2 both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of
3 Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

4 2274. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
5 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
6 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
7 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
8 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
9 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
10 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
11 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
12 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

13 2275. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had a duty to
14 disclose the ACU Defect to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class
15 members because:

- 16 a. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had
17 exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical
18 facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 19 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
20 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members lack the
21 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
22 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
23 on their own;
- 24 c. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew
25 that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the
26 consumers who use the vehicles, and the Honda Class Vehicles
27 containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to
28

1 the Alabama Plaintiff's and Alabama State Class members'
2 decisions to buy or lease Honda Class Vehicles; and
3 d. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA made
4 incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the
5 Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System,
6 while purposefully withholding material facts about a known
7 safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided
8 with each Class Vehicle, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
9 Engineering USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
10 failed to disclose to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
11 Class members that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the
12 ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information
13 about the Honda Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered
14 for sale and lease to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
15 Class members, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
16 Engineering USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

17 2276. In breach of their duties, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
18 Engineering USA failed to disclose that the Honda Class Vehicles were not safe
19 and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and
20 seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

21 2277. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intended for
22 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to rely on their
23 omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the Honda Class Vehicles at
24 the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Honda
25 Class Vehicles would function properly.

26 2278. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
27 not have expected that the Honda Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
28 poses such a serious risk. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA

1 knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and
2 seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to
3 purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's
4 products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its
5 products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine
6 people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been
7 injured.

8 2279. Additionally, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
9 ensured that the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members did not
10 discover this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature
11 of the Honda Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint System to consumers and
12 NHTSA.

13 2280. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA actively
14 concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a
15 market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that
16 would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial
17 reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the
18 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members.

19 2281. To this day, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
20 have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
21 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
22 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
23 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,
24 and because they directly impact the value of the Honda Class Vehicles purchased
25 or leased by the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members.

26 2282. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles,
27 and Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's callous
28 disregard for safety, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members either

1 would not have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not
2 have purchased or leased them.

3 2283. As alleged in Section V above, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
4 Honda Engineering USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
5 consumers and NHTSA, the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members
6 would have seen such a disclosure.

7 2284. Accordingly, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
8 are liable to the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members for their
9 damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost
10 overpayment for the Honda Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

11 2285. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's acts
12 were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
13 reckless disregard of the Alabama Plaintiff's and Alabama State Class members'
14 rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and
15 Honda Engineering USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
16 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
17 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

18 **f. Alabama Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
19 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
20 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
21 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

22 2286. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 2287. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
26 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
27 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
28 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

1 2288. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
2 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 2289. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 2290. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
13 the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members because:

- 14 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
15 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
16 Defect;
- 17 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
18 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members lack the
19 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
20 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
21 on their own;
- 22 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
23 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
24 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
25 would have been a material fact to the Alabama Plaintiff’s and
26 Alabama State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
27 Vehicles; and
28

1 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
2 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
4 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
5 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
8 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
9 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
11 in a crash.

12 2291. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
13 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
14 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
15 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

16 2292. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Alabama Plaintiff and
17 Alabama State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
18 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
19 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

20 2293. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
21 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
22 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
23 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
24 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
25 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
26 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
27 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
28 and many more have been injured.

1 2294. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Alabama
2 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members did not discover this information by
3 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
4 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

5 2295. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
6 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
7 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
8 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
9 products. They did so at the expense of the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State
10 Class members.

11 2296. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
12 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
13 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
14 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
15 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
16 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Alabama Plaintiff and
17 Alabama State Class members.

18 2297. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
19 ZF and ST Defendants’ callous disregard for safety, the Alabama Plaintiff and
20 Alabama State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
21 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 2298. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Alabama
24 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

25 2299. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Alabama
26 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
27 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
28 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

1 2300. The ZF and ST Defendants’ acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
2 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Alabama Plaintiff’s
3 and Alabama State Class members’ rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
4 The ZF and ST Defendants’ misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
5 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
6 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

7 **g. Alabama Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Honda**
8 **Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA**

9 2301. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
10 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

11 2302. The Alabama Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
12 members of the Alabama State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
13 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA.

14 2303. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members conferred
15 tangible and material monetary benefits upon Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
16 Honda Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the Honda Class Vehicles.
17 Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA readily accepted and
18 retained these benefits.

19 2304. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members would not
20 have purchased or leased the Honda Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
21 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
22 Therefore, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA profited from
23 the sale and lease of the Honda Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the
24 Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members.

25 2305. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA appreciated
26 these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of Honda Japan,
27 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA acting in their pecuniary interest at the
28 expense of their customers. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering

1 USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they
2 failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama
3 State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Honda Class Vehicles
4 while profiting from this deception.

5 2306. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Honda Japan,
6 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA to retain these monetary benefits,
7 including because they were procured as a result of Honda Japan's, Honda USA's,
8 and Honda Engineering USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

9 2307. The Alabama Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members are entitled
10 to restitution of the benefits Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
11 USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the Alabama
12 Plaintiff and Alabama State Class members to the position they occupied prior to
13 dealing with Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA, with such
14 amounts to be determined at trial.

15 2308. The Alabama Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
16 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
17 Alabama Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
18 favor of Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA, the Alabama
19 Plaintiff will have no adequate legal remedy.

20 **2. Arizona**

21 **a. Arizona Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 47-2313 and 47-2A210) Against FCA²**

22
23 2309. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25

26

27

28

² The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Arizona Plaintiff stated a claim against FCA for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 125.

1 2310. Plaintiff James Kneup (hereinafter, “Arizona Plaintiff”) brings this
2 count individually and on behalf of members of the Arizona State Class who
3 purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

4 2311. FCA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
5 motor vehicles under Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 47-2104(A) and 47-2A103(C)(11),
6 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 47-2103(A)(4).

7 2312. With respect to leases, FCA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor”
8 of motor vehicles under Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 47-2a103(A)(16).

9 2313. All Arizona State Class members who purchased FCA Class Vehicles
10 in Arizona are “buyers” within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 47-
11 2103(A)(1).

12 2314. All Arizona State Class members who leased FCA Class Vehicles in
13 Arizona are “lessees” within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 47-
14 2A103(A)(14).

15 2315. The FCA Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 47-2105(A) and 47-2A103(A)(8).

17 2316. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA Class Vehicles, FCA
18 provided the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members with warranties in
19 the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of
20 components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of
21 the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
22 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly
23 in the event of a crash

24 2317. However, FCA knew or should have known that the warranties were
25 false and/or misleading. Specifically, FCA was aware of the ACU Defect in the
26 FCA Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous
27 at the time that they were sold and leased to the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
28 Class members.

1 2318. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members were aware
2 the FCA Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties
3 were an essential part of the bargain between them and FCA when the Arizona
4 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members unknowingly purchased and leased FCA
5 Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

6 2319. FCA misrepresented the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while
7 concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona
8 State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, and the Arizona
9 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members had no way of discerning that FCA's
10 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
11 that FCA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Arizona Plaintiff and
12 Arizona State Class members reasonably relied on FCA's express warranties when
13 purchasing or leasing their FCA Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information
14 they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit
15 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

16 2320. FCA knowingly breached its express warranties to repair defects in
17 materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect or replace the
18 defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA also breached its
19 express warranties by selling and leasing FCA Class Vehicles with a defect that
20 was never disclosed to the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members.

21 2321. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members have provided
22 FCA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of its express
23 warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it, and the
24 individual notice letters sent by Arizona State Class members within a reasonable
25 amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on April 24,
26 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
27
28

1 Class members to FCA.³ This Court found that Plaintiffs’ allegations regarding
2 notice are sufficient. *See* ECF No. 396 at 124.

3 2322. Alternatively, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members
4 were excused from providing FCA with notice and an opportunity to cure the
5 breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA has long known
6 that the FCA Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has
7 caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
8 however, to date, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair program with
9 respect to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU
10 Defect exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA Class
11 Vehicles—even though FCA Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
12 investigation. Therefore, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members
13 had no reason to believe that FCA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the
14 Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members presented their Class Vehicles
15 to FCA for repair.

16 2323. As a direct and proximate result of FCA’s breach of its express
17 warranties, the FCA Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in
18 the Arizona Plaintiff’s and Arizona State Class members’ FCA Class Vehicles was
19 not remedied. Therefore, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members
20 have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment
21 at the time of purchase or lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
22 defect that would not be remedied.

23 **b. Arizona Count 2: Violation of the Arizona Consumer Fraud**
24 **Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 44-1521, *et seq.*) Against FCA**

25 2324. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 _____
28 ³ This Court held that Plaintiffs’ sufficiently alleged that they provided the required
notice. *See* ECF No. 396 at 124.

1 2325. The Arizona Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Arizona State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles,
3 against FCA.

4 2326. FCA, the Arizona Plaintiff, and Arizona State Class members are
5 “persons” within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 44-1521(6).

6 2327. The FCA Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are
7 “merchandise” within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann § 44-1521(5).

8 2328. The Arizona Consumer Fraud Act (“Arizona CFA”) prohibits unlawful
9 business practices. Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann § 44-1522(A).

10 2329. In the course of its business, FCA, through its agents, employees,
11 and/or subsidiaries, violated the Arizona CFA by knowingly and intentionally
12 misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts
13 regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the FCA Class Vehicles and/or
14 the defective ACUs, as detailed above.

15 2330. FCA had an ongoing duty to the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
16 Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the Arizona
17 DTPA in the course of its business. Specifically, FCA owed the Arizona Plaintiff
18 and Arizona State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts
19 concerning the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles because it possessed
20 exclusive knowledge, it intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Arizona
21 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members, and it made misrepresentations that
22 were rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

23 2331. By misrepresenting the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
24 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
25 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
26 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA engaged in
27 deceptive acts or practices, as outlined in Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 44-1522(A), including
28 using or employing deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise or

1 misrepresentation, or the concealment, suppression or omission of a material fact
2 with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression, or omission, in
3 connection with the advertisement and sale or lease of the FCA Class Vehicles.

4 2332. FCA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including its
5 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
6 were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
7 false impression in consumers that the FCA Class Vehicles had properly-
8 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
9 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
10 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
11 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
12 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona
13 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of FCA Class Vehicles
14 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the FCA
15 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the FCA Class Vehicles.

16 2333. FCA's misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions
17 of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant
18 Restraint Systems in the FCA Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
19 Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members to purchase and lease those
20 vehicles, as FCA intended. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members
21 were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
22 suppressions of material facts, and relied on the FCA's misrepresentations that the
23 FCA Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in
24 deciding to purchase and lease FCA Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
25 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
26 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

27 2334. The Arizona Plaintiff's and Arizona State Class members' reliance
28 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA's representations were

1 false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that FCA had concealed or
2 failed to disclose. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members did not,
3 and could not, unravel FCA's deception on their own.

4 2335. Had the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members known the
5 truth about the ACU Defect, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members
6 would not have purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles, or would have paid
7 significantly less for them.

8 2336. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members suffered
9 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
10 purchase and lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
11 direct and proximate result of FCA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or
12 failure to disclose material information.

13 2337. FCA's violations present a continuing risk to the Arizona Plaintiff and
14 Arizona State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class
15 Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally,
16 FCA's unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

17 2338. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members seek an order
18 enjoining FCA's unfair and/or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages
19 and any other just and proper relief available under the Arizona CFA.

20 c. **Arizona Count 3: Violation of the Arizona Consumer Fraud**
21 **Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 44-1521, et seq.) Against ZF**
22 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and
ST Malaysia

23 2339. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25 2340. The Arizona Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
26 members of the Arizona State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
27 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the
28

1 “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST
2 Defendants”).

3 2341. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Arizona Plaintiff, and Arizona
4 State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann.
5 § 44-1521(6).

6 2342. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “merchandise”
7 within the meaning of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann § 44-1521(5).

8 2343. The Arizona Consumer Fraud Act (“Arizona CFA”) prohibits unlawful
9 business practices. Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann § 44-1522(A).

10 2344. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Arizona
11 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
12 practices under the Arizona CFA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
13 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
14 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
15 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
16 concealed the ACU Defect from the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
17 members.

18 2345. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
19 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Arizona CFA by
20 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
21 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
22 Vehicles, as detailed above.

23 2346. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
24 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
25 Arizona CFA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
26 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
27 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
28 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle

1 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
2 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
3 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
4 properly in a crash.

5 2347. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
6 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
7 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Ariz. Rev. Stat.
8 § 44-1522(A), including using or employing deception or fraud, and/or the
9 concealment, suppression and/or omission of a material fact with intent that others
10 rely upon such concealment, suppression, or omission.

11 2348. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
12 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
13 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
14 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
15 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
16 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
17 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
18 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
19 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona
20 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
21 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
22 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

23 2349. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members justifiably
24 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
25 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
26 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
27 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
28 their own.

1 2350. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations and concealment of the
2 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
3 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
4 Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants
5 intended. Had they known the truth, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
6 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
7 paid significantly less for them.

8 2351. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members suffered
9 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
10 and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
11 material information.

12 2352. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
13 Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members, as well as to the general public,
14 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
15 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained of
16 herein affect the public interest.

17 2353. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members seek an order
18 enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair and/or deceptive acts or practices and
19 awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under the Arizona
20 CFA.

21 **d. Arizona Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
22 **Against FCA**

23 2354. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25 2355. The Arizona Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
26 members of the Arizona State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles,
27 against FCA.
28

1 2356. FCA is liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See,*
2 *e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 2357. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 2358. FCA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Arizona Plaintiff
13 and Arizona State Class members because:

- 14 a. FCA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about
15 technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 16 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
17 Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members lack the
18 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
19 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
20 on their own;
- 21 c. FCA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety
22 concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the FCA
23 Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a
24 material fact to the Arizona Plaintiff’s and Arizona State Class
25 members’ decisions to buy or lease FCA Class Vehicles; and
- 26 d. FCA made incomplete representations about the safety and
27 reliability of the FCA Class Vehicles and their Occupant
28 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts

1 about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and
2 materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA intentionally
3 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Arizona
4 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members that the FCA Class
5 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered
6 to provide information about the FCA Class Vehicles that they
7 marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Arizona Plaintiff
8 and Arizona State Class members, FCA had the duty to disclose
9 the whole truth.

10 2359. In breach of its duties, FCA failed to disclose that the FCA Class
11 Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems,
12 including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash
13 due to the ACU Defect.

14 2360. FCA intended for the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
15 members to rely on its omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the
16 FCA Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint
17 Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

18 2361. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
19 not have expected that the FCA Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
20 such a serious risk. FCA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle
21 has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in
22 deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether
23 a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer
24 stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here
25 when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more
26 have been injured.

27 2362. Additionally, FCA ensured that the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
28 Class members did not discover this information by actively concealing and

1 misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint
2 Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 2363. FCA actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole
4 or in part, to maintain a market for its Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to
5 avoid costly recalls that would expose it to liability for those expenses and harm the
6 commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. It did so at the expense of
7 the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members.

8 2364. To this day, FCA has not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU
9 Defect, and it continues to conceal material information about the defect from
10 consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were material because a
11 reasonable person would find them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a
12 new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact the value of the FCA
13 Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
14 members.

15 2365. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles,
16 and FCA's callous disregard for safety, the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
17 Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class
18 Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

19 2366. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA had fully and adequately
20 disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Arizona Plaintiff and
21 Arizona State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

22 2367. Accordingly, FCA is liable to the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
23 Class members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but
24 not limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA Class Vehicles at the time of
25 purchase or lease.

26 2368. FCA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with
27 intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Arizona Plaintiff's and Arizona State
28 Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. FCA's misconduct

1 warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount
2 sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined
3 according to proof at trial.

4 e. **Arizona Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
5 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
6 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
7 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

8 2369. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
9 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

10 2370. The Arizona Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
11 members of the Arizona State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
12 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
13 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
14 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

15 2371. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
16 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

17 2372. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
18 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
19 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
20 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
21 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
22 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
23 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
24 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
25 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

26 2373. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
27 the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members because:
28

- 1 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
2 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
3 Defect;
- 4 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
5 Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members lack the
6 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
7 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
8 on their own;
- 9 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
10 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
11 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
12 would have been a material fact to the Arizona Plaintiff’s and
13 Arizona State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
14 Vehicles; and
- 15 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
16 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
17 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
18 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
19 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
20 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
21 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
22 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
23 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
24 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
25 in a crash.

26 2374. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
27 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
28

1 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
2 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

3 2375. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Arizona Plaintiff and
4 Arizona State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
5 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
6 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 2376. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
9 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
10 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
11 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
12 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
13 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
14 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
15 and many more have been injured.

16 2377. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Arizona
17 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members did not discover this information by
18 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
19 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

20 2378. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
21 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
22 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
23 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
24 products. They did so at the expense of the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State
25 Class members.

26 2379. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
27 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
28 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were

1 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
2 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
3 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Arizona Plaintiff and
4 Arizona State Class members.

5 2380. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
6 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Arizona Plaintiff and
7 Arizona State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
8 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

9 2381. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
10 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Arizona
11 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

12 2382. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Arizona
13 Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
14 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
15 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

16 2383. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
17 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Arizona Plaintiff's
18 and Arizona State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
19 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
20 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
21 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

22 **f. Arizona Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA**

23 2384. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
24 Sections 1-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

25 2385. The Arizona Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
26 members of the Arizona State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles,
27 against FCA.
28

1 2386. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members conferred
2 tangible and material economic benefits upon FCA when they purchased or leased
3 the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

4 2387. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members would not
5 have purchased or leased the FCA Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
6 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
7 Therefore, FCA profited from the sale and lease of the FCA Class Vehicles to the
8 detriment and expense of the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members.

9 2388. FCA appreciated these benefits. These benefits were the expected
10 result of FCA acting in its pecuniary interest at the expense of its customers. FCA
11 knew of these benefits because it was aware of the ACU Defect, yet it failed to
12 disclose this knowledge and misled the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class
13 members regarding the nature and quality of the FCA Class Vehicles while
14 profiting from this deception.

15 2389. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA to retain
16 these benefits, including because they were procured as a result of FCA's wrongful
17 conduct alleged above.

18 2390. The Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members are entitled to
19 restitution of the benefits FCA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to
20 return the Arizona Plaintiff and Arizona State Class members to the position they
21 occupied prior to dealing with FCA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

22 2391. The Arizona Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
23 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
24 Arizona Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
25 favor of Defendants, the Arizona Plaintiff will have no adequate legal remedy.

26
27
28

1 **3. California**

2 **a. California Count 1: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
3 **Merchantability (Cal. Com. Code §§ 2314 and 10212)**
4 **Against FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA,**
5 **Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA**

6 2392. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 2393. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
9 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
10 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

11 2394. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
12 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
13 Vehicles, against Honda USA.

14 2395. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
15 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
16 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai USA.

17 2396. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
18 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
19 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia USA.

20 2397. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
21 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
22 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi USA.

23 2398. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
24 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
25 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

26 2399. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
27 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
28 Altier, and Renteria.

1 2400. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
2 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

3 2401. The California Plaintiffs purchased and leased their Class Vehicles
4 from FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota authorized dealers, and
5 are therefore in privity with those Defendants. Moreover, the California Plaintiffs
6 were the intended and direct beneficiaries of agreements between the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants and their dealers regarding sales and leases of the Class
8 Vehicles, because, upon information and belief, the agreements expressly were
9 made for the direct benefit of California State Class members. Moreover, their false
10 and misleading representations found in marketing materials and brochures for each
11 of the Class Vehicles, which were intended for car purchasers, rather than the
12 dealers themselves.

13 2402. A warranty that the Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition and
14 fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
15 pursuant to Cal. Com. Code §§ 2314 and 10212.

16 2403. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and
17 Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times “merchants” with respect to
18 motor vehicles, Cal. Com. Code §§ 2104(1) and 10103(c), and “sellers” of motor
19 vehicles under § 2103(1)(d).

20 2404. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and
21 Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles
22 under Cal. Com. Code § 10103(a)(16).

23 2405. All California State Class members who purchased Class Vehicles in
24 California are “buyers” within the meaning of Cal. Com. Code § 2103(1)(a).

25 2406. All California State Class members who leased Class Vehicles in
26 California are “lessees” within the meaning of Cal. Com. Code § 10103(a)(14).

27 2407. The Class Vehicles were at all relevant times “goods” within the
28 meaning of Cal. Com. Code §§ 2105(1) and 10103(a)(8).

1 2408. The Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
2 merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter, they were
3 defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without objection in
4 the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles were used.
5 Specifically, the Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect, which may cause the
6 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to
7 unlock doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or
8 high-voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of
9 which render the Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

10 2409. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members have
11 provided FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota
12 Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of their
13 implied warranties by way of the NHTSA investigations, the numerous complaints
14 filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by California State Class
15 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
16 Additionally, on May 23, 2019, California State Class members sent a notice letter
17 to them. Moreover, a second notice letter was sent on behalf of the California
18 Plaintiffs and California State Class members to FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA,
19 Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA on April 24, 2020.

20 2410. Alternatively, the California Plaintiffs and California State Class
21 members were excused from providing FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia
22 USA, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA with notice and an opportunity to
23 cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, they have long
24 known that the Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect
25 has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
26 however, to date, Honda USA and Mitsubishi USA have not instituted a recall or
27 any other repair program with respect to the Honda and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
28 or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class Vehicles—

1 even though Honda and Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are subject to a NHTSA
2 investigation. Similarly, FCA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA
3 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
4 unrecalled FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
5 that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class Vehicles, including the recalled
6 FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles. Therefore, they have refused to
7 recall or repair defective vehicles, and for those that were recalled, the repair was
8 inadequate because it did not fix the ACU Defect. As such, the California Plaintiffs
9 and California State Class members had no reason to believe that FCA, Honda
10 USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA would
11 have repaired the ACU Defect if they presented their Class Vehicles to them for
12 repair.

13 2411. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Honda USA's, Hyundai
14 USA's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's breach of the
15 implied warranty of merchantability, the California Plaintiffs' and California State
16 Class members' Class Vehicles were and are defective, and the ACU Defect in their
17 Class Vehicles were not remedied. Therefore, the California Plaintiffs and
18 California State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at
19 trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for the Class
20 Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

21 **b. California Count 2: Violations of Song-Beverly Consumer**
22 **Warranty Act For Breach of Implied Warranty of**
23 **Merchantability (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1791.1 and 1792)**
24 **Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, and
Toyota Sales USA⁴

25 2412. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 ⁴ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that Plaintiffs Laveaux, Hernandez,
28 Van Houten and Ronan have stated claims for breach of implied warranty under the

1 2413. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
3 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

4 2414. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
5 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
6 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

7 2415. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
8 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
9 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

10 2416. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
11 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
12 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

13 2417. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
14 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
15 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

16 2418. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
17 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
18 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

19 2419. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
20 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
21 Altier, and Renteria.

22 2420. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
23 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

24 2421. The California Plaintiffs purchased their Class Vehicles from FCA,
25 Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA authorized dealers,
26 and are therefore in privity with those Defendants. Moreover, the California
27 Plaintiffs were the intended and direct beneficiaries of agreements between the

28 _____
Song-Beverly Act. *See* ECF No. 396 at 131.

1 Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants and their dealers regarding sales and leases of the
2 Class Vehicles, as, upon information and belief, the agreements expressly were
3 made for the direct benefit of California State Class members. Moreover, their false
4 and misleading representations found in marketing materials and brochures for each
5 of the Class Vehicles, which were intended for car purchasers, rather than the
6 dealers themselves.

7 2422. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Kia
8 Korea, and Mitsubishi Japan are “manufacturer[s]” of the Class Vehicles within the
9 meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(j).

10 2423. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and
11 Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times “sellers” of motor vehicles
12 under Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(l).

13 2424. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA, and
14 Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles
15 under Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(i).

16 2425. All California State Class members who purchased Class Vehicles in
17 California are “buyers” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(b).

18 2426. All California State Class members who leased Class Vehicles in
19 California are “lessees” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(h).

20 2427. The Class Vehicles are “consumer goods” within the meaning of Cal.
21 Civ. Code § 1791(a).

22 2428. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
23 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
24 and Toyota Sales USA impliedly warranted to the California Plaintiffs and
25 California State Class members that their Class Vehicles were “merchantable”
26 within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1791.1(a) and 1792.

27
28

1 2429. Cal. Civ. Code § 1791.1(a) states: “Implied warranty of
2 merchantability” or “implied warranty that goods are merchantable” means that the
3 consumer goods meet each of the following:

- 4 a. Pass without objection in the trade under the contract
5 description.
- 6 b. Are fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used.
- 7 c. Are adequately contained, packaged, and labeled.
- 8 d. Conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the
9 container or label.

10 2430. The Class Vehicles would not pass without objection in the automotive
11 trade due to the ACU Defect. Because the Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect,
12 the Class Vehicles are not in merchantable condition and thus not fit for ordinary
13 purposes.

14 2431. The Class Vehicles are not adequately labeled because the labeling
15 fails to disclose the ACU Defect. The Class Vehicles do not conform to the
16 promises and affirmations made by FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
17 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
18 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA regarding safety.

19 2432. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches of the implied
20 warranty of merchantability by FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
21 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
22 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA, the California Plaintiffs’ and
23 California State Class members’ Class Vehicles were and are defective, and the
24 ACU Defect in their Class Vehicles were not remedied. Therefore, the California
25 Plaintiffs and California State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to
26 be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for the
27 Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.
28

1 2433. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1791.1(d) and 1794, the California
2 Plaintiffs and California State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA, Honda
3 Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
4 Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, and Toyota Sales USA from
5 continuing their unfair and/or deceptive acts or practices, and for damages, punitive
6 damages, and any other just and proper relief available under the Song-Beverly
7 Consumer Warranty Act.

8 **c. California Count 3: False Advertising Under the California**
9 **False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, et**
10 **seq.) Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
11 **Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia**
12 **Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota**
13 **USA, and Toyota Sales USA**

12 2434. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
13 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

14 2435. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
15 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
16 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

17 2436. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
18 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
19 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

20 2437. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
21 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
22 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

23 2438. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
24 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
25 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

26 2439. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
27 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
28 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

1 2440. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
3 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

4 2441. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
5 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
6 Altier, and Renteria.

7 2442. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to the FCA, Honda,
8 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

9 2443. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
10 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
11 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, the California Plaintiffs, and the California State
12 Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
13 § 17506.

14 2444. The California False Advertising Law (“California FAL”) prohibits
15 false advertising. California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500.

16 2445. In the course of their business, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
17 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
18 Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA, through
19 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the California FAL by
20 knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to
21 disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Class
22 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
23 detailed above.

24 2446. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
25 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
26 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had an ongoing duty to the California Plaintiffs
27 and California State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices
28 under the California FAL in the course of their business. Specifically, they owed the

1 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members a duty to disclose all the
2 material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles because:

- 3 a. They possessed exclusive access to and far superior knowledge
4 about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 5 b. They knew consumers lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle
6 components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary
7 to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- 8 c. They knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety
9 concerns for the consumers who purchased and lease Class
10 Vehicles; and
- 11 d. They made, helped to make, or conspired to make incomplete
12 representations about the safety and reliability of the Class
13 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
14 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
15 defect.

16 2447. By misrepresenting the Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
17 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
18 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
19 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Honda Japan,
20 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
21 Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
22 engaged in untrue and misleading advertising prohibited by California Bus. & Prof.
23 Code § 17500.

24 2448. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
25 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
26 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA made or caused to be made and disseminated
27 throughout California advertising, marketing, labeling, and other publications
28 containing numerous statements that were untrue or misleading, and which were

1 known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care they should have been known to
2 be untrue and misleading to consumers, including the California Plaintiffs and
3 California State Class members. Numerous examples of these statements and
4 advertisements appear in the preceding paragraphs and in the Exhibits hereto.

5 2449. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
6 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
7 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's unfair or deceptive acts
8 and practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
9 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
10 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the Class
11 Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the
12 Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its
13 intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed,
14 those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material
15 facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the California Plaintiffs
16 and California State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
17 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs installed in them, the quality of the Class
18 Vehicles and their brands, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

19 2450. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
20 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
21 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations,
22 omissions, and concealment of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
23 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles were
24 material to the decisions of the California Plaintiffs and California State Class
25 members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
26 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
27 Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intended.
28 The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members were exposed to those

1 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
2 and relied on FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
3 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
4 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations that
5 the Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in
6 deciding to purchase and lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they
7 relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19
8 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

9 2451. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members' reliance
10 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that those representations were
11 false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that Defendants had concealed
12 or failed to disclose. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members
13 did not, and could not, unravel those Defendants' deception on their own.

14 2452. Had the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members
15 known the truth about the ACU Defect, they would not have purchased or leased
16 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

17 2453. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members suffered
18 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of FCA's,
19 Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's,
20 Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's, Mitsubishi USA's,
21 Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or
22 failure to disclose material information.

23 2454. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
24 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
25 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's violations present a
26 continuing risk to the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members, as
27 well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the
28

1 defective ACUs and ASICs therein. The unlawful acts and practices complained of
2 herein affect the public interest.

3 2455. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members seek an
4 order enjoining FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
5 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
6 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's false advertising, any
7 such orders or judgments as may be necessary to restore to the California Plaintiffs
8 and California State Class members any money acquired by unfair competition,
9 including restitution and/or restitutionary disgorgement, and any other just and
10 proper relief available under the false advertising provisions of the California FAL.

11 2456. The California Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
12 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
13 California Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered on
14 them in favor of Defendants, the California Plaintiffs would have no adequate legal
15 remedy.

16 **d. California Count 4: Violation of the Consumer Legal**
17 **Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.) Against FCA,**
18 **Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,**
19 **Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,**
20 **Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF**
21 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
22 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
23 **ST Malaysia⁵**

24 2457. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
25 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

26 2458. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
27 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
28 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA, as well as ZF Electronics USA, ZF
Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany

⁵ Plaintiffs bring this count against ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia based on their omissions and concealment of material facts only.

1 (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
2 (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3 2459. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
4 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
5 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, the ZF
6 Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

7 2460. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
8 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
9 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA, the ZF Defendants, and
10 the ST Defendants.

11 2461. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
12 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
13 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea, Kia USA, the ZF Defendants, and
14 the ST Defendants.

15 2462. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
16 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
17 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, the
18 ZF Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

19 2463. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
20 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
21 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, the ZF
22 Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

23 2464. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
24 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
25 Altier, and Renteria.

26 2465. For purposes of this count, “Defendants” refers to FCA, Honda Japan,
27 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
28 Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF

1 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
2 ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA.

3 2466. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
4 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

5 2467. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “goods” within the
6 meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a).

7 2468. Defendants, the California Plaintiffs, and California State Class
8 members are “persons” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(c).

9 2469. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members are
10 “consumers” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(d).

11 2470. The California Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”) prohibits “unfair
12 methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices undertaken by any
13 person in a transaction intended to result or that results in the sale or lease of goods
14 or services to any consumer[.]” Cal. Civ. Code § 1770.

15 2471. In the course of their business, Defendants, through their agents,
16 employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the CLRA by knowingly and intentionally
17 misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts
18 regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Class Vehicles and the
19 defective ACUs, as detailed above.

20 2472. Defendants had an ongoing duty to the California Plaintiffs and
21 California State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under
22 the CLRA in the course of their business. Specifically, Defendants owed the
23 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members a duty to disclose all the
24 material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles because:

25 a. Defendants possessed exclusive access to and far superior
26 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;

27
28

- 1 b. Consumers lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle
- 2 components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary
- 3 to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- 4 c. Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious
- 5 safety concerns for the consumers who purchased and leased
- 6 Class Vehicles; and
- 7 d. Defendants made, helped to make, or conspired to make
- 8 incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the
- 9 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
- 10 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
- 11 defect.

12 2473. By misrepresenting the Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
13 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
14 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
15 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Defendants engaged in
16 one or more of the following unfair or deceptive business practices as defined in
17 Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a):

- 18 a. Representing that the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
- 19 installed in them have characteristics, uses, benefits, and
- 20 qualities which they do not have.
- 21 b. Representing that the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
- 22 installed in them are of a particular standard, quality, and grade
- 23 when they are not.
- 24 c. Advertising the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
- 25 installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease them as
- 26 advertised.
- 27 d. Representing that the subject of a transaction has been supplied
- 28 in accordance with a previous representation when it has not.

1 Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1770(a)(5), (7), (9), and (16).

2 2474. Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including their
3 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and/or suppressions of material facts,
4 were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
5 false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and
6 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not
7 contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
8 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations,
9 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive
10 reasonable consumers, including the California Plaintiffs and California State Class
11 members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or the defective
12 ACUs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles, and the true value of the
13 Class Vehicles.

14 2475. Defendants' misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
15 suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of
16 the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles were material to the decisions
17 of the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members to purchase and
18 leased those vehicles, as Defendants intended. The California Plaintiffs and
19 California State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations,
20 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on
21 Defendants' misrepresentations that the Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
22 Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Class Vehicles.
23 Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid
24 review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
25 Plaintiff.

26 2476. The California Plaintiffs' and California State Class members' reliance
27 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Defendants' representations
28 were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that Defendants had

1 concealed or failed to disclose. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class
2 members did not, and could not, unravel Defendants' deception on their own.

3 2477. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members suffered
4 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
5 Defendants' concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material
6 information.

7 2478. Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the California
8 Plaintiffs and California State Class members, as well as to the general public,
9 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
10 therein. Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the
11 public interest.

12 2479. Defendants were provided notice of the issues raised in this count and
13 this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous complaints filed
14 against them, and the individual notice letters sent by California State Class
15 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
16 Additionally, on May 23, 2019, California State Class members sent a notice letter
17 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1782 to them. Moreover, a notice letter was sent on
18 behalf of the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members pursuant to
19 Cal. Civ. Code § 1782 to FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
20 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi USA,
21 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and the ZF Defendants on April 24, 2020, and a
22 notice letter was sent to Mitsubishi Japan and ST USA on June 5, 2020. Finally, a
23 notice letter was sent to ST Italy and ST Malaysia pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code
24 § 1782 on May 25, 2022. Because Defendants failed to adequately remedy their
25 unlawful conduct within the requisite time period, the California Plaintiffs seek all
26 damages and relief to which the California Plaintiffs and California State Class
27 members are entitled.

28

1 2480. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1782, Plaintiffs’ counsel, on behalf of the
2 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members, sent a notice letter to ST
3 Italy and ST Malaysia on May 25, 2022, which demanded that they correct or agree
4 to correct the actions described therein within thirty (30) days of such notice. As
5 such, the California Plaintiffs’ and California State Class members’ claims against
6 ST Italy and ST Malaysia under this count right now are for injunctive relief only. If
7 ST Italy and ST Malaysia fail to correct or agree to correct the actions described in
8 the notice letter, the California Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to include all
9 compensatory and monetary damages against ST Italy and ST Malaysia to which
10 the California Plaintiffs and California Class members are entitled.

11 2481. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(a), the California Plaintiffs and
12 California State Class members seek an order enjoining the above unfair or
13 deceptive acts or practices and awarding actual damages, treble damages,
14 restitution, attorneys’ fees, and any other just and proper relief available under the
15 CLRA against all Defendants except ST Italy and ST Malaysia. With respect to ST
16 Italy and ST Malaysia, the California Plaintiffs and California Class members seek
17 an order enjoining those Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and any
18 other just and proper equitable relief available under the CLRA.

19 e. **California Count 5: Unlawful, Unfair, or Fraudulent**
20 **Business Practices Under the California Unfair Competition**
21 **Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*) Against FCA,**
22 **Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,**
23 **Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,**
24 **Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota**
25 **Engineering USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA,**
26 **ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW**
27 **Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA**

28 2482. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

 2483. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA, as well as ZF Electronics USA, ZF

1 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany
2 (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
3 (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

4 2484. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
5 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
6 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, the ZF
7 Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

8 2485. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
9 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
10 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA, the ZF Defendants, and
11 the ST Defendants.

12 2486. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
13 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
14 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea, Kia USA, ZF Defendants, and the
15 ST Defendants.

16 2487. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
17 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
18 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, the
19 ZF Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

20 2488. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
21 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
22 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
23 Engineering USA, the ZF Defendants, and the ST Defendants.

24 2489. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
25 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
26 Altier, and Renteria.

27 2490. For purposes of this count, “Defendants” refers to FCA, Honda Japan,
28 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,

1 Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering
2 USA, Toyota Sales USA, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
3 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST
4 USA.

5 2491. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
6 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

7 2492. California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Business and
8 Professions Code § 17200, prohibits any “unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business
9 act or practices.”

10 2493. Defendants committed an unlawful business act or practice in violation
11 of §17200 by violating the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt
12 Organizations (“RICO”) Act, the California FAL, the CLRA, and other laws alleged
13 herein.

14 2494. Defendants committed fraudulent acts or practices in violation of
15 §17200.⁶ Specifically, as alleged in detail above, Defendants designed, developed,
16 tested, manufactured, and/or installed defective ACUs into Class Vehicles,
17 knowingly and intentionally marketed and sold those Class Vehicles with the
18 defective ACUs installed while misrepresenting the safety of the Class Vehicles,
19 and/or and omitting, and failing to disclose material facts regarding the existence,
20 nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles from both NHTSA and
21 consumers alike, including the California Plaintiffs and California State Class
22 members.

23 2495. Defendants had an ongoing duty to the California Plaintiffs and
24 California State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices in the
25 course of their business. Specifically, Defendants owed the California Plaintiffs and
26

27 ⁶ Plaintiffs bring their claim under the fraudulent prong of the UCL against ZF
28 TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia based on their
omissions and concealment of material facts only.

1 California State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning
2 the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles because:

- 3 a. Defendants possessed exclusive access to and far superior
4 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 5 b. Consumers lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle
6 components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary
7 to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- 8 c. Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious
9 safety concerns for the consumers who purchased and leased
10 Class Vehicles; and
- 11 d. Defendants made, helped to make, or conspired to make
12 incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the
13 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
14 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
15 defect.

16 2496. Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices were designed to
17 mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in
18 consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and
19 seatbelts, and that the Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems did not contain
20 the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts
21 and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments,
22 omissions, and suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable
23 consumers, including the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members,
24 about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles, the defective ACUs and
25 ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles, and the true value of the
26 Class Vehicles.

27 2497. Defendants' misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
28 suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of

1 the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles were material to the decisions
2 of the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members to purchase and
3 lease those vehicles, as Defendants intended. The California Plaintiffs and
4 California State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations,
5 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on
6 Defendants' misrepresentations that the Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
7 Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Class Vehicles.
8 Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid
9 review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
10 Plaintiff.

11 2498. The California Plaintiffs' and California State Class members' reliance
12 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning Defendants' representations were
13 false and misleading, or otherwise learning that the Class Vehicles contained the
14 ACU Defect, as alleged above. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class
15 members did not, and could not, unravel Defendants' deception on their own.

16 2499. Had they known the truth about the ACU Defect, the California
17 Plaintiffs and California State Class members would not have purchased or leased
18 the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

19 2500. Additionally, Defendants committed unfair business acts and practices
20 in violation of § 17200 when they concealed the existence and nature of the ACU
21 Defect and the dangers and risks posed by the Class Vehicles and the ACU Defect
22 installed in them from consumers and NHTSA while misrepresenting or conspiring
23 to misrepresent that the Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs installed
24 in them were reliable and safe when, in fact, they are not. These acts and practices
25 offend established public policy and the harm they cause to consumers greatly
26 outweighs any benefits associated with those practices. Defendants' conduct has
27 also impaired competition within the automotive vehicles market and has prevented
28 the California Plaintiffs and the California State Class members from making fully

1 informed decisions about whether to purchase or lease Class Vehicles and/or the
2 price to be paid to purchase or lease them.

3 2501. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members suffered
4 ascertainable losses as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful,
5 fraudulent, and unfair business acts and practices.

6 2502. Defendants' acts and practices described above present a continuing
7 risk to the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members, as well as to the
8 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs
9 and ASICs therein. Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of herein
10 affect the public interest.

11 2503. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, the California Plaintiffs
12 and California State Class members seek an order enjoining Defendants' unfair
13 and/or deceptive acts or practices, any such orders or judgments as may be
14 necessary to restore to the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members
15 any money acquired by unfair competition, including restitution and/or
16 restitutionary disgorgement, as provided in Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17203, and
17 any other just and proper relief available under the California UCL.

18 2504. The California Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
19 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
20 California Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered on
21 them in favor of Defendants, the California Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal
22 remedy.

23 **f. California Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
24 **Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
25 **Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia**
26 **Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, Mitsubishi**
27 **Japan, and Mitsubishi USA**

28 2505. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 2506. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
3 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

4 2507. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
5 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
6 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

7 2508. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
8 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
9 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

10 2509. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
11 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
12 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

13 2510. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
14 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
15 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

16 2511. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
17 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
18 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

19 2512. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
20 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
21 Altier, and Renteria.

22 2513. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
23 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

24 2514. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
25 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
26 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and
27 non-disclosure. See, e.g., Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

28

1 2515. As explained in Section V, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
2 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
3 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
4 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
5 `vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
6 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
7 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
8 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
9 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

10 2516. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
11 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
12 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the
13 California Plaintiffs and the California State Class members because:

- 14 a. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
15 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
16 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
17 had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about
18 technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 19 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
20 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members lack the
21 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
22 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
23 on their own;
- 24 c. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
25 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
26 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
27 knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns
28 for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Class Vehicles

1 containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to
2 the California Plaintiffs' and California State Class members'
3 decisions to buy or lease FCA, Class Vehicles; and

4 d. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
5 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
6 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
7 made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability
8 of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System,
9 while purposefully withholding material facts about a known
10 safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided
11 with each Class Vehicle, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
12 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
13 Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota
14 USA, and Toyota Sales USA intentionally concealed,
15 suppressed, and failed to disclose to the consumers that the
16 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they
17 volunteered to provide information about the Class Vehicles that
18 they marketed and offered for sale and lease to consumers, FCA,
19 Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
20 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan,
21 Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had the
22 duty to disclose the whole truth.

23 2517. In breach of their duties, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
24 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
25 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA failed to disclose that
26 the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
27 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
28 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

1 2518. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
2 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
3 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intended for the California Plaintiffs and
4 California State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
5 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
6 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 2519. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such a
9 serious risk. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
10 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
11 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that
12 their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
13 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
14 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
15 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
16 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
17 and many more have been injured.

18 2520. Additionally, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
19 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan,
20 Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA ensured that the California
21 Plaintiffs and California State Class members did not discover this information by
22 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
23 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

24 2521. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
25 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
26 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA actively concealed and suppressed these
27 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
28 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for

1 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
2 products. They did so at the expense of the California Plaintiffs and California State
3 Class members.

4 2522. To this day, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
5 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan,
6 Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA have not fully and adequately
7 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
8 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
9 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
10 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
11 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the California Plaintiffs and
12 California State Class members.

13 2523. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and
14 FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
15 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's, Mitsubishi
16 USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's callous disregard for safety, the
17 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members either would not have paid
18 as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or
19 leased them.

20 2524. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
21 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
22 Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the California
24 Plaintiffs and California State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

25 2525. Accordingly, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
26 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan,
27 Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA are liable to the California
28 Plaintiffs and California State Class members for their damages in an amount to be

1 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
2 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 2526. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
4 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Mitsubishi Japan's,
5 Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's acts were done
6 maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard
7 of the California Plaintiffs' and California State Class members' rights and well-
8 being; and to enrich themselves. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda
9 Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's,
10 Mitsubishi Japan's, Mitsubishi USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
11 misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an
12 amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be
13 determined according to proof at trial.

14 **g. California Count 7: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
15 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
16 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

17 2527. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 2528. The California Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
21 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
22 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
23 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

24 2529. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
25 and non-disclosure. See, e.g., Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

26 2530. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
27 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
28 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release

1 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
2 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
3 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
4 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
5 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
6 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

7 2531. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
8 the California Plaintiffs and California State Class members because:

- 9 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
10 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
11 Defect;
- 12 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
13 California Plaintiffs and California State Class members lack the
14 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
15 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
16 on their own;
- 17 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
18 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
19 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
20 would have been a material fact to the California Plaintiffs' and
21 California State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
22 Vehicles; and
- 23 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
24 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
25 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
26 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
27 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
28 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle

1 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
2 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
3 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
4 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
5 in a crash.

6 2532. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
7 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
8 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
9 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

10 2533. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the California Plaintiffs and
11 California State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
12 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
13 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

14 2534. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
15 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such a
16 serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
17 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
18 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
19 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
20 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
21 Especially here, when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU
22 Defect, and many more have been injured.

23 2535. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the California
24 Plaintiffs and California State Class members did not discover this information by
25 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
26 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

27 2536. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
28 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to

1 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
2 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
3 products. They did so at the expense of the California Plaintiffs and California State
4 Class members.

5 2537. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
6 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
7 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
8 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
9 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
10 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the California Plaintiffs and
11 California State Class members.

12 2538. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
13 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the California Plaintiffs and
14 California State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
15 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

16 2539. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
17 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the California
18 Plaintiffs and California State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

19 2540. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the California
20 Plaintiffs and California State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
21 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
22 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

23 2541. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
24 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the California Plaintiffs'
25 and California State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
26 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
27 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
28 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

1 **h. California Count 8: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA,**
2 **Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,**
3 **Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,**
4 **Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota**
5 **Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA**

6 2542. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
7 Sections I-VI above though fully set forth herein.

8 2543. Plaintiffs Remigiusz Rundzio and Steve Laveaux bring this count
9 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
10 or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

11 2544. Plaintiff Kevin Burns brings this count individually and on behalf of
12 members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
13 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

14 2545. Plaintiff Michael Hernandez brings this count individually and on
15 behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
16 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

17 2546. Plaintiffs Bonnie Dellatorre and Lore Van Houten bring this count
18 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
19 or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

20 2547. Plaintiffs Tiffany Ecklor and Gaylynn Sanchez bring this count
21 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
22 or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

23 2548. Plaintiffs Mark Altier and Alejandra Renteria bring this count
24 individually and on behalf of members of the California State Class who purchased
25 or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA,
26 and Toyota Sales USA.

27 2549. For purposes of this count, “California Plaintiffs” refers to Plaintiffs
28 Rundzio, Laveaux, Burns, Hernandez, Dellatorre, Van Houten, Ecklor, Sanchez,
29 Altier, and Renteria.

1 2550. For purposes of this count, “Class Vehicles” refers to FCA, Honda,
2 Hyundai, Kia, Mitsubishi, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

3 2551. When they purchased and leased the Class Vehicles, the California
4 Plaintiffs and California State Class members conferred tangible and material
5 economic benefits upon FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
6 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi
7 USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA, who readily
8 accepted and retained these benefits.

9 2552. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members would
10 not have purchased or leased their Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them,
11 had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease. Therefore,
12 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
13 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota
14 USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA profited from the sale and
15 lease of the Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the California Plaintiffs
16 and California State Class members.

17 2553. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
18 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA,
19 Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA appreciated these
20 economic benefits. These benefits were the expected result of FCA, Honda Japan,
21 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
22 Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering
23 USA, and Toyota Sales USA acting in their pecuniary interest at the expense of
24 their customers. They knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU
25 Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the California
26 Plaintiffs and California State Class members regarding the nature and quality of
27 the Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.
28

1 2554. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA, Honda
2 Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
3 Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota
4 Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales USA to retain these benefits, including because
5 they were procured as a result of their wrongful conduct alleged above.

6 2555. The California Plaintiffs and California State Class members are
7 entitled to restitution of the benefits FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
8 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Mitsubishi
9 Japan, Mitsubishi USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Engineering USA, and Toyota Sales
10 USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the California
11 Plaintiffs and California State Class members to the position they occupied prior to
12 dealing with those Defendants, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

13 2556. The California Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in
14 the alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if
15 the California Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered
16 on them in favor of Defendants, the California Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal
17 remedy.

18 **4. Colorado**

19 **a. Colorado Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Colo. Rev.**
20 **Stat. §§ 4-2-313 and 4-2.5-210) Mitsubishi Japan and**
21 **Mitsubishi USA**

22 2557. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 2558. Plaintiff Michael Nearing (hereinafter, "Colorado Plaintiff") brings
25 this count individually and on behalf of members of the Colorado State Class who
26 purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and
27 Mitsubishi USA.
28

1 2559. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are and were at all relevant
2 times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-
3 104(1) and 4-2.5-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 4-2-103(1)(d).

4 2560. With respect to leases, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are and
5 were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-
6 2.5-103(1)(p).

7 2561. All Colorado State Class members who purchased Mitsubishi Class
8 Vehicles in Colorado are “buyers” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-2-
9 103(1)(a).

10 2562. All Colorado State Class members who leased Mitsubishi Class
11 Vehicles in Colorado are “lessees” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-2.5-
12 103(1)(p).

13 2563. The Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
14 “goods” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-105(1) and 4-2.5-103(1)(h).

15 2564. In connection with the purchase or lease of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
16 Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA provided the Colorado Plaintiff and
17 Colorado State Class members with warranties in the form of: (a) written express
18 warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in
19 materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as
20 safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags
21 and seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash

22 2565. However, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew or should have
23 known that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Mitsubishi
24 Japan and Mitsubishi USA were aware of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class
25 Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time
26 that they were sold and leased to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
27 members.

28

1 2566. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members were aware
2 the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those
3 warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them and Mitsubishi Japan
4 and Mitsubishi USA when the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
5 members unknowingly purchased and leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that came
6 equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

7 2567. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA misrepresented the Mitsubishi
8 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU
9 Defect, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members were exposed to
10 those misrepresentations, and the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
11 members had no way of discerning that Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's
12 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
13 that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had concealed or failed to disclose.
14 Accordingly, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members reasonably
15 relied on Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's express warranties when
16 purchasing or leasing their Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
17 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
18 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

19 2568. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knowingly breached their
20 express warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to
21 repair the ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Mitsubishi
22 Class Vehicles. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA also breached their express
23 warranties by selling and leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with a defect that was
24 never disclosed to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members.

25 2569. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members have
26 provided Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA with reasonable notice and
27 opportunity to cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous
28 NHTSA complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by

1 Colorado State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU
2 Defect became public. *See* ECF No. 396 at 133 (“Plaintiffs have alleged that they
3 provided pre-suit notice to the Mitsubishi Defendants, which is sufficient to state a
4 claim for breach of express warranty under Colorado law.”). On April 24, 2020 and
5 June 5, 2020, notice letters were sent on behalf of the Colorado Plaintiff and
6 Colorado State Class members to Mitsubishi USA and Mitsubishi Japan,
7 respectively.

8 2570. Alternatively, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
9 members were excused from providing Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA with
10 notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As
11 alleged above, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have long known that the
12 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has
13 caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
14 however, to date, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have not instituted a recall
15 or any other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—
16 even though Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
17 Therefore, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members had no reason
18 to believe that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA would have repaired the ACU
19 Defect if the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members presented their
20 Class Vehicles to Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA for repair.

21 2571. As a direct and proximate result of Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi
22 USA’s breach of their express warranties, the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were and
23 are defective and the ACU Defect in the Colorado Plaintiff’s and Colorado State
24 Class members’ Mitsubishi Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the
25 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members have been damaged, in an
26 amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
27 lease for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not
28 be remedied.

1 **b. Colorado Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
2 **Merchantability (Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-314 and 4-2.5-212)**
3 **Against Mitsubishi USA**

4 2572. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 2573. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
7 members of the Colorado State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
8 Vehicles, against Mitsubishi USA.

9 2574. A warranty that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were in merchantable
10 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
11 by law pursuant to Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-314 and 4-2.5-212.

12 2575. The Mitsubishi Defendants are and were at all relevant times
13 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-104(1)
14 and 4-2.5-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 4-2-103(1)(d).

15 2576. With respect to leases, the Mitsubishi Defendants are and were at all
16 relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-2.5-103(1)(p).

17 2577. All Colorado State Class members who purchased Mitsubishi Class
18 Vehicles in Colorado are “buyers” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-2-
19 103(1)(a).

20 2578. All Colorado State Class members who leased Mitsubishi Class
21 Vehicles in Colorado are “lessees” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. § 4-2.5-
22 103(1)(p).

23 2579. The Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
24 “goods” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 4-2-105(1) and 4-2.5-103(1)(h).

25 2580. The Mitsubishi Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied
26 warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter,
27 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
28 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
 were used. Specifically, the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect,

1 which may cause the airbags and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a
2 crash, the failure to unlock doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a
3 fuel supply or high-voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently
4 deploy, rendering the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles inherently defective and
5 dangerous.

6 2581. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members have
7 provided Mitsubishi USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the
8 breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints
9 filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Colorado State Class
10 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
11 On April 24, 2020 and June 5, 2020, notice letters were sent on behalf of the
12 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members to Mitsubishi USA and
13 Mitsubishi Japan, respectively.

14 2582. Alternatively, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
15 members were excused from providing Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA with
16 notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As
17 alleged above, Mitsubishi USA have long known that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
18 contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs
19 to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Mitsubishi
20 Japan and Mitsubishi USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair program,
21 or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even though Mitsubishi Class
22 Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Colorado Plaintiff
23 and Colorado State Class members had no reason to believe that Mitsubishi Japan
24 and Mitsubishi USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Colorado Plaintiff
25 and Colorado State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to Mitsubishi
26 Japan and Mitsubishi USA for repair.

27 2583. As a direct and proximate result of Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi
28 USA's breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, the Colorado Plaintiff

1 and Colorado State Class members have been damaged in an amount to be proven
2 at trial.

3 **c. Colorado Count 3: Violation of the Colorado Consumer**
4 **Protection Act (Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101, *et seq.*) Against**
5 **Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA**

6 2584. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 2585. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
9 members of the Colorado State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
10 Vehicles, against the Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

11 2586. Mitsubishi Japan, Mitsubishi USA, the Colorado Plaintiff, and
12 Colorado State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat.
13 § 6-1-102(6).

14 2587. The Colorado Consumer Protection Act (“Colorado CPA”) prohibits
15 unfair, unconscionable, and deceptive acts or practices in the course of the person’s
16 business, vocation, or occupation. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-105.

17 2588. In the course of their business, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA,
18 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Colorado CPA by
19 knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to
20 disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the
21 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the
22 ACU Defect, as detailed above.

23 2589. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had an ongoing duty to the
24 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members to refrain from unfair or
25 deceptive practices under the Colorado DTPA in the course of their business.
26 Specifically, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA owed the Colorado Plaintiff and
27 Colorado State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning
28 the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive
knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Colorado

1 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members, and they made misrepresentations that
2 were rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

3 2590. By misrepresenting the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and the defective
4 ACUs and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from defects,
5 and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk posed by the
6 ACU Defect, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA engaged in one or more of the
7 following unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-
8 1-105:

- 9 a. Representing that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the
10 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them have
11 characteristics, uses, benefits, and qualities which they do not
12 have;
- 13 b. Making false representations about the approval or certification
14 of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and
15 ASICs installed in them;
- 16 c. Making false representations regarding the characteristics, uses,
17 and benefits of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the
18 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them;
- 19 d. Representing that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the
20 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them are of a particular
21 standard, quality, and grade when they are not;
- 22 e. Advertising the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the defective
23 ACUs and ASICs installed in them with the intent not to sell or
24 lease them as advertised;
- 25 f. Engaging in the other unconscionable, false, misleading, or
26 deceptive acts or practices pertaining to the Mitsubishi Class
27 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them
28 alleged above.

1 Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 6-1-105(1)(b), (e), (g), (i), and (3).

2 2591. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's unfair or deceptive acts or
3 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
4 suppressions of material facts, had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
5 false impression in consumers that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had properly-
6 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
7 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
8 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
9 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
10 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Colorado Plaintiff and
11 Colorado State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Mitsubishi
12 Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality
13 of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Mitsubishi Class
14 Vehicles.

15 2592. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's misrepresentations,
16 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
17 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Mitsubishi
18 Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Colorado Plaintiff and
19 Colorado State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Mitsubishi
20 Japan and Mitsubishi USA intended. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State
21 Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions,
22 and suppressions of material facts, and relied on Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi
23 USA's misrepresentations that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and their Occupant
24 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease
25 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in
26 Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
27 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

28

1 2593. The Colorado Plaintiff's and Colorado State Class members' reliance
2 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Mitsubishi Japan's and
3 Mitsubishi USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning
4 the facts that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had concealed or failed to
5 disclose. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members did not, and
6 could not, unravel Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's deception on their
7 own.

8 2594. Had the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members known
9 the truth about the ACU Defect, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
10 members would not have purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, or would
11 have paid significantly less for them.

12 2595. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members suffered
13 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
14 purchase and lease for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect
15 as a direct and proximate result of Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's
16 concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

17 2596. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's violations present a
18 continuing risk to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members, as well
19 as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the
20 defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, Mitsubishi Japan's and
21 Mitsubishi USA's unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the
22 public interest.

23 2597. Pursuant to Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-113, the Colorado Plaintiff and the
24 Colorado State Class members seek an order enjoining Mitsubishi Japan's and
25 Mitsubishi USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and
26 any other just and proper relief available under the Colorado CPA.

27
28

1 **d. Colorado Count 4: Violation of the Colorado Consumer**
2 **Protection Act (Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101, et seq.) Against**
3 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
4 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
5 **ST Malaysia**

6 2598. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 2599. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
9 members of the Colorado State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
10 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
11 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
12 “ST Defendants”).

13 2600. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Colorado Plaintiff, and
14 Colorado State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Colo. Rev. Stat.
15 § 6-1-102(6).

16 2601. The Colorado Consumer Protection Act (“Colorado CPA”) prohibits
17 unfair, unconscionable, and deceptive acts or practices in the course of the person’s
18 business, vocation, or occupation. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-105.

19 2602. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Colorado
20 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
21 practices under the Colorado CPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
22 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
23 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
24 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
25 concealed the ACU Defect from the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
26 members.

27 2603. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
28 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Colorado CPA by
29 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material

1 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
2 Vehicles, as detailed above.

3 2604. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
4 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
5 Colorado CPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
6 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
7 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
9 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
10 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
11 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
12 crash.

13 2605. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
14 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
15 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive trade practices prohibited by Colo. Rev. Stat.
16 § 6-1-105, including failing to disclose material information.

17 2606. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
18 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
19 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
20 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
21 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
22 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
23 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
24 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
25 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Colorado Plaintiff and
26 Colorado State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
27 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
28 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

1 2607. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members justifiably
2 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, omissions, and
3 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
4 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
5 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants’ deception on
6 their own.

7 2608. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations and concealment of the
8 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
9 Vehicles were material to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class
10 members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
11 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members would not have purchased or
12 leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

13 2609. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members suffered
14 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
15 and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment, and/or failure to disclose
16 material information.

17 2610. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
18 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members, as well as to the general
19 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
20 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
21 of herein affect the public interest.

22 2611. Pursuant to Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-113, the Colorado Plaintiff and
23 Colorado State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’
24 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
25 proper relief available under the Colorado CPA.

26
27
28

1 **e. Colorado Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
2 **Against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA**

3 2612. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
4 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

5 2613. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
6 members of the Colorado State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
7 Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

8 2614. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are liable for both fraudulent
9 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
10 51 (1977).

11 2615. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
12 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
13 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
14 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
15 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
16 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
17 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
18 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
19 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

20 2616. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
21 Defect to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members because:

- 22 a. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had exclusive access to
23 and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the
24 ACU Defect;
- 25 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
26 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members lack the
27 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
28

1 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
2 on their own;

3 c. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew that the ACU
4 Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers
5 who use the vehicles, and the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles
6 containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to
7 the Colorado Plaintiff's and Colorado State Class members'
8 decisions to buy or lease Mitsubishi Class Vehicles; and

9 d. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA made incomplete
10 representations about the safety and reliability of the Mitsubishi
11 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
12 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
13 defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each
14 Class Vehicle, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA
15 intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the
16 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members that the
17 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because
18 they volunteered to provide information about the Mitsubishi
19 Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease
20 to the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members,
21 Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had the duty to disclose
22 the whole truth.

23 2617. In breach of their duties, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA failed
24 to disclose that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that
25 their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
26 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

27 2618. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA intended for the Colorado
28 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they

1 did by purchasing and leasing the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at the prices they paid
2 believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would
3 function properly.

4 2619. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
5 not have expected that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
6 poses such a serious risk. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew that
7 reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt
8 pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease,
9 or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe
10 and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
11 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
12 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

13 2620. Additionally, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA ensured that the
14 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members did not discover this
15 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
16 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

17 2621. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA actively concealed and
18 suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their
19 Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose
20 them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of
21 Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the Colorado Plaintiff
22 and Colorado State Class members.

23 2622. To this day, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have not fully and
24 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
25 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
26 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
27 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
28

1 because they directly impact the value of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles purchased
2 or leased by the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members.

3 2623. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class
4 Vehicles, and Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's callous disregard for
5 safety, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members either would not
6 have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have
7 purchased or leased them.

8 2624. As alleged in Section V above, if Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi
9 USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and
10 NHTSA, the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members would have
11 seen such a disclosure.

12 2625. Accordingly, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are liable to the
13 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members for their damages in an
14 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
15 for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

16 2626. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's acts were done maliciously,
17 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the
18 Colorado Plaintiff's and Colorado State Class members' rights and well-being; and
19 to enrich themselves. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's misconduct
20 warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount
21 sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined
22 according to proof at trial.

23 **f. Colorado Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
24 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
25 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

26 2627. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
27 allegations as though fully set forth herein.
28

1 2628. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Colorado State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
3 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
4 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
5 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

6 2629. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
7 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

8 2630. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
9 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
10 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
11 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
12 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
13 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
14 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
15 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
16 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

17 2631. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
18 the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members because:

- 19 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
20 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
21 Defect;
- 22 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
23 Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members lack the
24 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
25 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
26 on their own;
- 27 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
28 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the

1 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
2 would have been a material fact to the Colorado Plaintiff's and
3 Colorado State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
4 Vehicles; and

5 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
6 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
7 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
8 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
9 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
10 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
11 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
12 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
13 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
14 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
15 in a crash.

16 2632. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
17 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
18 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
19 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

20 2633. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Colorado Plaintiff and
21 Colorado State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
22 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
23 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

24 2634. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
25 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
26 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
27 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
28 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor

1 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
2 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
3 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
4 and many more have been injured.

5 2635. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Colorado
6 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members did not discover this information by
7 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
8 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

9 2636. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
10 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
11 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
12 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
13 products. They did so at the expense of the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State
14 Class members.

15 2637. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
16 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
17 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
18 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
19 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
20 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Colorado Plaintiff and
21 Colorado State Class members.

22 2638. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
23 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Colorado Plaintiff and
24 Colorado State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
25 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

26 2639. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
27 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Colorado
28 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

1 2640. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Colorado
2 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
3 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
4 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

5 2641. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
6 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Colorado Plaintiff's
7 and Colorado State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
8 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
9 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
10 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

11 **g. Colorado Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Mitsubishi**
12 **Japan and Mitsubishi USA**

13 2642. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
14 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

15 2643. The Colorado Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
16 members of the Colorado State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
17 Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

18 2644. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members conferred
19 tangible and material economic benefits upon Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi
20 USA when they purchased or leased the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Mitsubishi
21 Japan and Mitsubishi USA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

22 2645. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members would not
23 have purchased or leased the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
24 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
25 Therefore, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA profited from the sale and lease of
26 the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the Colorado
27 Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members.

28

1 2646. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA appreciated these monetary
2 benefits. These benefits were the expected result of the Mitsubishi Defendants
3 acting in their pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Mitsubishi Japan
4 and Mitsubishi USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU
5 Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Colorado Plaintiff
6 and Colorado State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the
7 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

8 2647. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Mitsubishi
9 Japan and Mitsubishi USA to retain these benefits, including because they were
10 procured as a result of Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi USA’s wrongful conduct
11 alleged above.

12 2648. The Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State Class members are entitled
13 to restitution of the benefits Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA unjustly retained
14 and/or any amounts necessary to return the Colorado Plaintiff and Colorado State
15 Class members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with Mitsubishi Japan
16 and Mitsubishi USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

17 2649. The Colorado Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
18 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
19 Colorado Plaintiff’s claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
20 favor of Defendants, the Colorado Plaintiff will have no adequate legal remedy.

21 **5. Connecticut**

22 **a. Connecticut Count 1: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
23 **Merchantability (Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. §§ 42a-2-314 and**
24 **§ 42a-2a-504) Against Honda USA**

25 2650. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 2651. Plaintiff Paul Huitzil (hereinafter, “Connecticut Plaintiff”) brings this
28 count individually and on behalf of members of the Connecticut State Class who
purchased or leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda USA.

1 2652. A warranty that the Honda Class Vehicles were in merchantable
2 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
3 by law pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. §§ 42A-2-314 and § 42a-2a-504.

4 2653. Honda USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect
5 to motor vehicles under Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. §§ 42a-2-104(1) and 42a-2-103(2),
6 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 42a-2-103(1)(c).

7 2654. With respect to leases, Honda USA is and was at all relevant times a
8 “lessor” of motor vehicles under Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42a-2A-102(a)(23).

9 2655. All Connecticut State Class members who purchased Honda Class
10 Vehicles in Connecticut are “buyers” within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann.
11 § 42a-2-103(1)(a).

12 2656. All Connecticut State Class members who leased Honda Class
13 Vehicles in Connecticut are “lessees” within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann.
14 § 42a-2A-102(a)(21).

15 2657. The Honda Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. §§ 42a-2-105(1) and 42a-2-103(2).

17 2658. The Honda Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty
18 of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
19 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
20 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
21 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Honda Class
22 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
23 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
24 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
25 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
26 Honda Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

27 2659. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members have
28 provided Honda USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches

1 of their implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed
2 against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Connecticut State Class
3 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
4 Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the
5 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to Honda USA.

6 2660. Alternatively, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class
7 members were excused from providing Honda USA with notice and an opportunity
8 to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Honda
9 USA has long known that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect,
10 and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
11 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Honda USA has not instituted a recall
12 or any other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—
13 even though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
14 Therefore, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members had no
15 reason to believe that Honda USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the
16 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members presented their Class
17 Vehicles to Honda USA for repair.

18 2661. As a direct and proximate result of Honda USA's breach of the
19 implied warranty of merchantability, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut
20 State Class members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of
21 purchase or lease for Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an
22 amount to be proven at trial.

23 **b. Connecticut Count 2: Violation of the Connecticut Unlawful**
24 **Trade Practices Act (Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a, et**
25 **seq.) Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda**
Engineering USA

26 2662. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
27 allegations as though fully set forth herein.
28

1 2663. The Connecticut Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
2 of members of the Connecticut State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
3 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

4 2664. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA, the
5 Connecticut Plaintiff, and Connecticut State Class members are “persons” within
6 the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a(3).

7 2665. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were and are
8 engaged in “trade” or “commerce” within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann.
9 § 42-110a(4).

10 2666. The Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (“Connecticut UTPA”)
11 prohibits “unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in
12 the conduct of any trade or commerce.” Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110b(a).

13 2667. In the course of their business, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
14 Engineering USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
15 Connecticut UTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting,
16 concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety,
17 and performance of the Honda Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant
18 Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

19 2668. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had an
20 ongoing duty to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to
21 refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the Connecticut UTPA in the
22 course of their business. Specifically, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
23 Engineering USA owed the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class
24 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
25 Honda Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they
26 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Connecticut Plaintiff and
27 Connecticut State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were
28 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

1 2669. By misrepresenting the Honda Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
2 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
3 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
4 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Honda Japan, Honda
5 USA, and Honda Engineering USA engaged in unfair methods of competition and
6 unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce, as
7 prohibited by Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110b(a).

8 2670. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's unfair
9 and deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments,
10 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a
11 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
12 Honda Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts,
13 and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would
14 perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
15 collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
16 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
17 the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members, about the true
18 safety and reliability of Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and
19 ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Honda Class Vehicles, and the true value
20 of the Honda Class Vehicles.

21 2671. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
22 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
23 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
24 Systems in the Honda Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
25 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to purchase and lease
26 those vehicles, as Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
27 intended. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members were
28 exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of

1 material facts, and relied on Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering
2 USA's misrepresentations that the Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Honda
4 Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B
5 above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers
6 for each Plaintiff.

7 2672. The Connecticut Plaintiff's and Connecticut State Class members'
8 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Honda Japan's,
9 Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's representations were false and
10 misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
11 Honda Engineering USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Connecticut
12 Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members did not, and could not, unravel
13 Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's deception on their
14 own.

15 2673. Had the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
16 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut
17 State Class members would not have purchased or leased Honda Class Vehicles, or
18 would have paid significantly less for them.

19 2674. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
20 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
21 time of purchase and lease for Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
22 defect as a direct and proximate result of Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda
23 Engineering USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose
24 material information.

25 2675. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
26 violations present a continuing risk to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut
27 State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles
28 remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, Honda

1 Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's unlawful acts and practices
2 complained of herein affect the public interest.

3 2676. Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110g, the Connecticut Plaintiff
4 and Connecticut State Class members seek an order enjoining Honda Japan's,
5 Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices
6 and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under the
7 Connecticut UTPA.

8 **c. Connecticut Count 3: Violation of the Connecticut Unlawful**
9 **Trade Practices Act (Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a, et**
10 **seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
11 **ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
12 **Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

13 2677. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
14 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

15 2678. The Connecticut Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
16 of members of the Connecticut State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
17 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
18 the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
19 "ST Defendants").

20 2679. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Connecticut Plaintiff, and
21 Connecticut State Class members are "persons" within the meaning of Conn. Gen.
22 Stat. Ann. § 42-110a(3).

23 2680. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in "trade" or
24 "commerce" within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a(4).

25 2681. The Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act ("Connecticut UTPA")
26 prohibits "unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in
27 the conduct of any trade or commerce." Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110b(a).

28 2682. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Connecticut
Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
practices under the Connecticut UTPA in the course of their business. Specifically,

1 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State
2 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
3 in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and
4 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Connecticut Plaintiff and
5 Connecticut State Class members.

6 2683. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
7 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Connecticut UTPA by
8 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
9 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
10 Vehicles, as detailed above.

11 2684. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
12 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
13 Connecticut UTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class
14 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
15 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
16 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
17 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
18 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
19 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
20 properly in a crash.

21 2685. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
22 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
23 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive trade practices prohibited by Conn. Gen. Stat.
24 § 42-110b, including failing to disclose material information.

25 2686. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
26 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
27 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
28 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-

1 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
2 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
3 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
4 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
5 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Connecticut Plaintiff and
6 Connecticut State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
7 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
8 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

9 2687. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
10 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
11 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
12 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State
13 Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
14 deception on their own.

15 2688. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
16 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
17 Vehicles were material to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class
18 members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
19 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members would not have
20 purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for
21 them.

22 2689. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
23 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
24 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
25 disclose material information.

26 2690. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
27 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members, as well as to the
28 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective

1 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices
2 complained of herein affect the public interest.

3 2691. Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110g, the Connecticut Plaintiff
4 and Connecticut State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
5 Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
6 other just and proper relief available under the Connecticut UTPA.

7 **d. Connecticut Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
8 **Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering**
9 **USA**

10 2692. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 2693. The Connecticut Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
13 of members of the Connecticut State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
14 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

15 2694. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA are liable for
16 both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of
17 Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

18 2695. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
19 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
20 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
21 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
22 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
23 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
24 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
25 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
26 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

27 2696. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had a duty to
28 disclose the ACU Defect the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class
members because:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- c. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Honda Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the Connecticut Plaintiff’s and Connecticut State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Honda Class Vehicles; and
- d. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members that the Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about the Honda Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members, Honda Japan, Honda USA,

1 and Honda Engineering USA had the duty to disclose the whole
2 truth.

3 2697. In breach of their duties, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
4 Engineering USA failed to disclose that the Honda Class Vehicles were not safe
5 and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and
6 seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 2698. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intended for
8 the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to rely on their
9 omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the Honda Class Vehicles at
10 the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class
11 Vehicles would function properly.

12 2699. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
13 not have expected that the Honda Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
14 poses such a serious risk. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
15 knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and
16 seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to
17 purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s
18 products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its
19 products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine
20 people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been
21 injured.

22 2700. Additionally, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
23 ensured that the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members did not
24 discover this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature
25 of the Honda Class Vehicles’ Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and
26 NHTSA.

27 2701. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA actively
28 concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a

1 market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that
2 would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial
3 reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the
4 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members.

5 2702. To this day, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
6 have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
7 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
8 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
9 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,
10 and because they directly impact the value of the Honda Class Vehicles purchased
11 or leased by the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members.

12 2703. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Honda Class Vehicles,
13 and Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's callous
14 disregard for safety, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
15 either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they
16 would not have purchased or leased them.

17 2704. As alleged in Section V above, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
18 Honda Engineering USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
19 consumers and NHTSA, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class
20 members would have seen such a disclosure.

21 2705. Accordingly, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
22 are liable to the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members for their
23 damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost
24 overpayment for the Honda Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

25 2706. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's acts
26 were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
27 reckless disregard of the Connecticut Plaintiff's and Connecticut State Class
28 members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. Honda Japan's, Honda

1 USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of
2 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
3 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

4 e. **Connecticut Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
5 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
6 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
7 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

7 2707. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 2708. The Connecticut Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
10 of members of the Connecticut State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
11 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
12 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
13 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

14 2709. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
15 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

16 2710. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
17 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
18 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
19 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
20 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
21 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
22 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
23 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
24 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

25 2711. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
26 the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members because:

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the Connecticut Plaintiff’s and Connecticut State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class Vehicles; and
- d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a crash.

2712. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint

1 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
2 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

3 2713. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Connecticut Plaintiff and
4 Connecticut State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
5 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
6 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 2714. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
9 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
10 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
11 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
12 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
13 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
14 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
15 and many more have been injured.

16 2715. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Connecticut
17 Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members did not discover this information by
18 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
19 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

20 2716. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
21 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
22 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
23 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
24 products. They did so at the expense of the Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut
25 State Class members.

26 2717. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
27 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
28 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were

1 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
2 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
3 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Connecticut Plaintiff and
4 Connecticut State Class members.

5 2718. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
6 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Connecticut Plaintiff and
7 Connecticut State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
8 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

9 2719. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
10 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
11 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members would have seen such a
12 disclosure.

13 2720. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Connecticut
14 Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
15 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
16 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

17 2721. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
18 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Connecticut
19 Plaintiff's and Connecticut State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
20 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
21 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
22 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

23 **f. Connecticut Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against Honda**
24 **Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA**

25 2722. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
26 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

27
28

1 2723. The Connecticut Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
2 of members of the Connecticut State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
3 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

4 2724. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members
5 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon Honda Japan, Honda USA,
6 and Honda Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the Honda Class
7 Vehicles. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA readily accepted
8 and retained these benefits.

9 2725. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members would
10 not have purchased or leased the Honda Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
11 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
12 Therefore, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA profited from
13 the sale and lease of the Honda Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the
14 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members.

15 2726. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA appreciated
16 these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of Honda Japan,
17 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA acting in their pecuniary interest at the
18 expense of their customers. Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
19 USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they
20 failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Connecticut Plaintiff and
21 Connecticut State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Honda
22 Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

23 2727. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Honda Japan,
24 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA to retain these benefits, including
25 because they were procured as a result of Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda
26 Engineering USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

27 2728. The Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members are
28 entitled to restitution of the benefits Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda

1 Engineering USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the
2 Connecticut Plaintiff and Connecticut State Class members to the position they
3 occupied prior to dealing with Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
4 USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

5 2729. The Connecticut Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
6 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
7 Connecticut Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
8 favor of Defendants, the Connecticut Plaintiff will have no adequate legal remedy.

9 **6. Florida**

10 **a. Florida Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Fla. Stat. §§ 672.313 and 680.21) Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda**
11 **USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,**
12 **and Toyota Sales USA**

13 2730. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
14 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

15 2731. Plaintiffs Moises Senti and Maximillian Accetta bring this count
16 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
17 leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

18 2732. Plaintiffs Fredericka McPherson and Brian Chaiken bring this count
19 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
20 leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan and Honda USA.

21 2733. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus brings this count individually and on
22 behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
23 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

24 2734. Plaintiffs John Colbert and Lawrence Graziano bring this count
25 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
26 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

27
28

1 2735. Plaintiffs Samuel Choc, and Tatiana Gales bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
3 leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

4 2736. For purposes of the count, Plaintiffs Senti, Accetta, McPherson,
5 Chaiken, Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the
6 “Florida Plaintiffs.”

7 2737. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
8 Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times
9 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Fla. Stat. §§ 672.104(1) and
10 680.1031(3)(k), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 672.103(1)(d).

11 2738. With respect to leases, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
12 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and
13 Toyota Sales USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles
14 under Fla. Stat. § 680.1031(1)(p).

15 2739. All Florida State Class members who purchased FCA, Honda,
16 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles in Florida are “buyers” within the
17 meaning of Fla. Stat. §§ 672.103(1)(a).

18 2740. All Florida State Class members who leased FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
19 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles in Florida are “lessees” within the meaning of Fla.
20 Stat. § 680.1031(1)(n).

21 2741. FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles are and were at
22 all relevant times “goods” within the meaning of Fla. Stat. §§ 672.105(1) and
23 680.1031(1)(h).

24 2742. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
25 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea,
26 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA provided the Florida
27 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members with warranties in the form of: (a)
28 written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that

1 are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the FCA, Honda,
2 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their
3 Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners,
4 would function properly in the event of a crash.

5 2743. However, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
6 USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA knew or should have known
7 that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, they were aware of
8 the ACU Defect in the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles,
9 which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they
10 were sold and leased to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members.

11 2744. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members were aware the
12 FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles were covered by express
13 warranties, and those warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them
14 and FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
15 Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA when the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State
16 Class members unknowingly purchased and leased FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and
17 Toyota Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

18 2745. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
19 Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA misrepresented the FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
20 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they
21 contained the ACU Defect, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members
22 were exposed to those misrepresentations, and the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida
23 State Class members had no way of discerning that those representations were false
24 and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that FCA, Honda Japan,
25 Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota
26 Sales USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Florida Plaintiffs
27 and Florida State Class members reasonably relied on those Defendants' express
28 warranties when purchasing or leasing their FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and

1 Toyota Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section
2 II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph
3 numbers for each Plaintiff.

4 2746. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members reasonably
5 relied on of FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai
6 USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's express warranties when
7 purchasing or leasing their FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

8 2747. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
9 Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA knowingly breached their express
10 warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the
11 ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA, Honda,
12 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
13 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA also
14 breached their express warranties by selling and leasing FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
15 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the Florida
16 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members.

17 2748. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members have provided
18 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia
19 USA, and Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the
20 breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints
21 filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Florida State Class
22 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
23 Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida
24 State Class members to FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
25 USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA, on April 24, 2020.

26 2749. Alternatively, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members
27 were excused from providing FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea,
28 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA with notice and an

1 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
2 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia
3 USA, and Toyota Sales USA have long known that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
4 and Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has
5 caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
6 however, to date, Honda Japan and Honda USA have not instituted a recall or any
7 other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even
8 though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Similarly,
9 FCA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA
10 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
11 unrecalled FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
12 that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class Vehicles, including the recalled
13 FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles. Therefore, they have refused to
14 recall or repair defective FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, and for
15 those that were recalled, the repair was inadequate because it did not fix the ACU
16 Defect. As such, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members had no
17 reason to believe that FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
18 USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA would have repaired the ACU
19 Defect if the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members presented their
20 FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles to them for repair.

21 2750. As a direct and proximate result of FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda
22 USA’s, Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, Kia USA’s, and Toyota
23 Sales USA’s breach of their express warranties, the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
24 and Toyota Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in the
25 Florida Plaintiffs’ and Florida State Class members’ Class Vehicles were not
26 remedied. Therefore, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members have
27 been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the
28

1 time of purchase or lease for the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
2 Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

3 **b. Florida Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
4 **Merchantability (Fla. Stat. §§ 672.314 and 680.212) Against**
5 **FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota**
6 **Sales USA**

7 2751. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 2752. Plaintiffs Moises Senti and Maximillian Accetta bring this count
10 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
11 leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

12 2753. Plaintiffs Fredericka McPherson and Brian Chaiken bring this count
13 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
14 leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda USA.

15 2754. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus brings this count individually and on
16 behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
17 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai USA.

18 2755. Plaintiffs John Colbert and Lawrence Graziano bring this count
19 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
20 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia USA.

21 2756. Plaintiffs Samuel Choc and Tatiana Gales bring this count individually
22 and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased
23 Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

24 2757. For purposes of the count, Plaintiffs Senti, Accetta, McPherson,
25 Chaiken, Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the
26 “Florida Plaintiffs.”

27 2758. The Florida Plaintiffs purchased their Class Vehicles from FCA,
28 Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA authorized dealers,
and are therefore in privity with those Defendants. Moreover, the Florida Plaintiffs

1 were intended and direct beneficiaries of agreements between FCA, Honda USA,
2 Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA and their dealers regarding sales
3 and leases of the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

4 2759. A warranty that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
5 Vehicles were in merchantable condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which
6 such goods are used is implied by law pursuant to Fla. Stat. §§ 672.314 and
7 680.212.

8 2760. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA
9 are and were at all relevant times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under
10 Fla. Stat. §§ 672.104(1) and 680.1031(3)(k), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under
11 § 672.103(1)(d).

12 2761. FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA
13 are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Fla. Stat.
14 § 680.1031(1)(p).

15 2762. All Florida State Class members who purchased FCA, Honda,
16 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles in Florida are “buyers” within the
17 meaning of Fla. Stat. §§ 672.103(1)(a).

18 2763. All Florida State Class members who leased FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
19 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles in Florida are “lessees” within the meaning of Fla.
20 Stat. § 680.1031(1)(n).

21 2764. The FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles are and
22 were at all relevant times “goods” within the meaning of Fla. Stat. §§ 672.105(1)
23 and 680.1031(1)(h).

24 2765. The FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles did not
25 comply with the implied warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale
26 and lease and at all times thereafter, they were defective and not in merchantable
27 condition, would not pass without objection in the trade, and were not fit for the
28 ordinary purpose for which vehicles were used. Specifically, at the time they were

1 sold and leased, the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles
2 contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
3 to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors automatically after a
4 crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage battery after a crash, or
5 the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
6 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

7 2766. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members have provided
8 FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA with
9 reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of their express warranties
10 by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against them, and individual
11 notice letters sent by the Florida State Class members within a reasonable amount
12 of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent
13 on behalf of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members to FCA, Honda
14 USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA on April 24, 2020.

15 2767. Alternatively, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members
16 were excused from providing FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia USA, and
17 Toyota Sales USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it
18 would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, Kia
19 USA, and Toyota Sales USA have long known that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
20 and Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has
21 caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
22 however, to date, Honda USA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
23 program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—even though Honda
24 Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Similarly, FCA, Hyundai
25 USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA have not instituted a recall or any other
26 repair program with respect to the unrecalled FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
27 Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class
28 Vehicles, including the recalled FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles.

1 Therefore, they have refused to recall or repair defective FCA, Hyundai, Kia, and
2 Toyota Class Vehicles, and for those that were recalled, the repair was inadequate
3 because it did not fix the ACU Defect. As such, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida
4 State Class members had no reason to believe that FCA, Honda USA, Hyundai
5 USA, Kia USA, and Toyota Sales USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the
6 Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members presented their FCA, Honda,
7 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles to them for repair.

8 2768. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Honda USA's, Hyundai
9 USA's, Kia USA's, Toyota Sales USA's breach of the implied warranty of
10 merchantability, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members have been
11 damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

12 **c. Florida Count 3: Violation of the Florida Deceptive &**
13 **Unfair Trade Practices Act (Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.)**
14 **Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
15 **Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia**
16 **Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA⁷**

17 2769. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 2770. Plaintiffs Moises Senti and Maximillian Accetta bring this count
20 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
21 leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

22 2771. Plaintiffs Fredericka McPherson and Brian Chaiken bring this count
23 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
24 leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
25 Engineering USA.

26 2772. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus brings this count individually and on
27 behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
28 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

⁷ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Florida Plaintiffs stated a claim for Violation of the Florida Deceptive & Unfair Trade Practices Act against FCA, Kia USA, and Hyundai USA. *See* ECF No. 396 at 94.

1 2773. Plaintiffs John Colbert and Lawrence Graziano bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
3 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

4 2774. Plaintiffs Samuel Choc and Tatiana Gales bring this count individually
5 and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased
6 Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA.

7 2775. For purposes of the count, Plaintiffs Senti, Accetta, McPherson,
8 Chaiken, Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the
9 “Florida Plaintiffs.”

10 2776. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members are
11 “consumers” within the meaning of Fla. Stat. § 501.203(7).

12 2777. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
13 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
14 were and are engaged in “trade or commerce” within the meaning of Fla. Stat.
15 § 501.203(8).

16 2778. The Florida Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Florida
17 UDTPA”) prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or
18 practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or
19 commerce.” Fla. Stat. § 501.204(1).

20 2779. In the course of their business, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
21 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
22 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA, through their agents, employees, and/or
23 subsidiaries, violated the Florida UDTPA by knowingly and intentionally
24 misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts
25 regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
26 and Toyota Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the
27 ACU Defect, as detailed above.

28

1 2780. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
2 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
3 had an ongoing duty to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members to
4 refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the Florida UDTPA in the course of
5 their business. Specifically, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
6 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and
7 Toyota Sales USA owed the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members a
8 duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the FCA,
9 Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive
10 knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Florida Plaintiffs
11 and Florida State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were
12 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

13 2781. By misrepresenting the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
14 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
15 properly-functioning and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively
16 concealing the dangers and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and
17 NHTSA, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
18 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
19 engaged in unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices
20 in the conduct of trade or commerce, as prohibited by Fla. Stat. § 501.204(1).

21 2782. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
22 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and
23 Toyota Sales USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including their
24 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
25 were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
26 false impression in consumers that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota
27 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that
28 the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform

1 its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision.
2 Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
3 material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Florida
4 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of
5 FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
6 and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and
7 Toyota Class Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

8 2783. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
9 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and
10 Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions
11 of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant
12 Restraint Systems in the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles
13 were material to the decisions of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
14 members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
15 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
16 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intended. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida
17 State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments,
18 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's, Honda Japan's,
19 Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia
20 Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations
21 that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles and their Occupant
22 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease those
23 vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To
24 aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
25 Plaintiff.

26 2784. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members had no way of
27 discerning that FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
28 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and

1 Toyota Sales USA’s representations were false and misleading, or otherwise
2 learning the facts that the they had concealed or failed to disclose. The Florida
3 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members did not, and could not, unravel FCA’s,
4 Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, Honda Engineering USA’s, Hyundai Korea’s,
5 Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, Kia USA’s, Toyota USA’s, and Toyota Sales USA’s
6 deception on their own.

7 2785. Had the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members known the
8 truth about the ACU Defect, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members
9 would not have purchased or leased FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
10 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

11 2786. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members suffered
12 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of FCA’s,
13 Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, Honda Engineering USA’s, Hyundai Korea’s,
14 Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, Kia USA’s, Toyota USA’s, and Toyota Sales USA’s
15 concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

16 2787. FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, Honda Engineering USA’s,
17 Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, Kia USA’s, Toyota USA’s, and
18 Toyota Sales USA’s violations present a continuing risk to the Florida Plaintiffs
19 and Florida State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class
20 Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Their
21 unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

22 2788. Pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 501.211, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State
23 Class members seek an order enjoining FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s,
24 Honda Engineering USA’s, Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, Kia
25 USA’s, Toyota USA’s, and Toyota Sales USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or
26 practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under
27 the Florida UDTPA.
28

1 **d. Florida Count 4: Violation of the Florida Deceptive &**
2 **Unfair Trade Practices Act (Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.)**
3 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
4 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
5 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

6 2789. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 2790. Plaintiffs Moises Senti, Maximillian Accetta, Fredericka McPherson,
9 Brian Chaiken, Carl Paul Maurilus, John Colbert, Lawrence Graziano, Samuel
10 Choc and Tatiana Gales bring this count individually and on behalf of members of
11 the Florida State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF
12 Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF
13 Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST
14 Defendants”).

15 2791. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Senti, Accetta, McPherson,
16 Chaiken, Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the
17 “Florida Plaintiffs.”

18 2792. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members are
19 “consumers” within the meaning of Fla. Stat. § 501.203(7).

20 2793. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade or
21 commerce” within the meaning of Fla. Stat. § 501.203(8).

22 2794. The Florida Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Florida
23 UDTPA”) prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or
24 practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or
25 commerce.” Fla. Stat. § 501.204(1).

26 2795. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Florida
27 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
28 practices under the Florida UDTPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
29 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members
30 a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class

1 Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
2 concealed the ACU Defect from the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
3 members.

4 2796. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
5 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Florida UDTPA by
6 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
7 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
8 Vehicles, as detailed above.

9 2797. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
11 Florida UDTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
12 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
13 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
14 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
15 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
16 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
17 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
18 crash.

19 2798. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
20 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
21 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive trade practices prohibited by Fla. Stat.
22 § 501.204(1).

23 2799. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
24 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
25 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
26 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
27 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
28 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of

1 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
2 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
3 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida
4 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
5 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
6 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

7 2800. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members justifiably
8 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
9 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
10 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
11 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
12 their own.

13 2801. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
14 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
15 Vehicles were material to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members, as
16 the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Florida
17 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
18 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

19 2802. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members suffered
20 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
21 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
22 material information.

23 2803. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
24 Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members, as well as to the general public,
25 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
26 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of
27 herein affect the public interest.
28

1 2804. Pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 501.211, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State
2 Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or
3 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
4 relief available under the Florida UDTPA.

5 e. **Florida Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
6 **Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
7 **Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia**
8 **Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA**

8 2805. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
9 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

10 2806. Plaintiffs Moises Senti and Maximillian Accetta bring this count
11 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
12 leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

13 2807. Plaintiffs Fredericka McPherson and Brian Chaiken bring this count
14 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
15 leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
16 Engineering USA.

17 2808. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus brings this count individually and on
18 behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
19 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

20 2809. Plaintiffs John Colbert and Lawrence Graziano bring this count
21 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
22 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

23 2810. Plaintiffs Samuel Choc and Tatiana Gales bring this count individually
24 and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased
25 Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

26 2811. For purposes of the count, Plaintiffs Senti, Accetta, McPherson,
27 Chaiken, Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the
28 “Florida Plaintiffs.”

1 2812. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
2 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
3 are liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. See, e.g.,
4 Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

5 2813. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
6 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
7 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
8 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
9 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
10 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
11 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
12 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
13 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

14 2814. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
15 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
16 had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Florida Plaintiffs and the Florida State
17 Class members because:

- 18 a. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
19 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota
20 USA, and Toyota Sales USA had exclusive access to and far
21 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
22 Defect;
- 23 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
24 Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members lack the
25 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
26 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
27 on their own;

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- c. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Florida Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the Florida Plaintiffs' and Florida State Class members' decisions to buy or lease FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles; and
- d. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

1 2815. In breach of their duties, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
2 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota
3 USA, and Toyota Sales USA failed to disclose that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia,
4 and Toyota Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
5 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
6 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 2816. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
8 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
9 intended for the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members to rely on their
10 omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
11 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant
12 Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

13 2817. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
14 not have expected that the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles
15 contained a safety defect that poses such a serious risk. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda
16 USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia
17 USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA knew that reasonable consumers expect
18 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
19 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
20 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
21 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
22 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
23 and many more have been injured.

24 2818. Additionally, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
25 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and
26 Toyota Sales USA ensured that the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
27 members did not discover this information by actively concealing and
28

1 misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class
2 Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 2819. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
4 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
5 actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to
6 maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly
7 recalls that would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the
8 commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the
9 expense of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members.

10 2820. To this day, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
11 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and
12 Toyota Sales USA have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and
13 they continue to conceal material information about the defect from consumers and
14 NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable
15 person would find them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used
16 motor vehicle, and because they directly impact the value of the FCA, Honda,
17 Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Florida
18 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members.

19 2821. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA, Honda, Hyundai,
20 Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, and FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda
21 Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's,
22 Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's callous disregard for safety, the Florida
23 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members either would not have paid as much as
24 they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

25 2822. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
26 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
27 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU
28

1 Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
2 members would have seen such a disclosure.

3 2823. Accordingly, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
4 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, and
5 Toyota Sales USA are liable to the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class
6 members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not
7 limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota
8 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

9 2824. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
10 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and
11 Toyota Sales USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with
12 intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Florida Plaintiffs' and Florida State
13 Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. FCA's, Honda
14 Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai
15 USA's, Kia Korea's, Kia USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
16 misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an
17 amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be
18 determined according to proof at trial.

19 **f. Florida Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
20 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
21 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

22 2825. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 2826. The Florida Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles, against
26 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
27 Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
28 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

1 2827. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
2 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 2828. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 2829. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
13 the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members because:

- 14 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
15 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
16 Defect;
- 17 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
18 Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members lack the
19 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
20 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
21 on their own;
- 22 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
23 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
24 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
25 would have been a material fact to the Florida Plaintiffs’ and
26 Florida State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
27 Vehicles; and

28

1 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
2 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
4 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
5 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
8 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
9 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
11 in a crash.

12 2830. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
13 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
14 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
15 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

16 2831. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Florida Plaintiffs and
17 Florida State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
18 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
19 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

20 2832. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
21 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
22 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
23 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
24 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
25 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
26 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
27 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
28 and many more have been injured.

1 2833. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Florida
2 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members did not discover this information by
3 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
4 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

5 2834. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
6 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
7 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
8 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
9 products. They did so at the expense of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State
10 Class members.

11 2835. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
12 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
13 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
14 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
15 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
16 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Florida Plaintiffs and
17 Florida State Class members.

18 2836. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
19 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Florida Plaintiffs and
20 Florida State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
21 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 2837. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Florida
24 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

25 2838. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Florida
26 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
27 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
28 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

1 2839. The ZF and ST Defendants’ acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
2 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Florida Plaintiffs’
3 and Florida State Class members’ rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
4 The ZF and ST Defendants’ misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
5 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
6 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

7 **g. Florida Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA, Honda**
8 **Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai**
9 **Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA,**
 Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA

10 2840. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
11 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

12 2841. Plaintiff Moises Senti brings this count individually and on behalf of
13 members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles,
14 against FCA.

15 2842. Plaintiffs Fredericka McPherson and Brian Chaiken bring this count
16 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
17 leased Honda Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
18 Engineering USA.

19 2843. Plaintiff Carl Paul Maurilus brings this count individually and on
20 behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai
21 Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

22 2844. Plaintiffs John Colbert and Lawrence Graziano bring this count
23 individually and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or
24 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

25 2845. Plaintiffs Samuel Choc and Tatiana Gales bring this count individually
26 and on behalf of members of the Florida State Class who purchased or leased
27 Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota
28 Engineering USA.

1 2846. For purposes of the count, Plaintiffs Senti, McPherson, Chaiken,
2 Maurilus, Colbert, Graziano, Choc, and Gales shall be referred to as the “Florida
3 Plaintiffs.”

4 2847. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members conferred
5 tangible and material monetary benefits upon FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
6 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
7 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA when they
8 purchased or leased the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles.
9 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
10 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota
11 Engineering USA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

12 2848. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members would not have
13 purchased or leased the FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles, or
14 would have paid less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of
15 purchase or lease. Therefore, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
16 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota
17 Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA profited from the sale and lease of the
18 FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles to the detriment and
19 expense of the Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members.

20 2849. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA,
21 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
22 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA appreciated these monetary benefits. These
23 benefits were the expected result of FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
24 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota
25 USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA acting in their pecuniary
26 interest at the expense of their customers.

27 2850. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
28 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and

1 Toyota Engineering USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the
2 ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Florida
3 Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the
4 FCA, Honda, Hyundai, Kia, and Toyota Class Vehicles while profiting from this
5 deception.

6 2851. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA, Honda
7 Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
8 Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA to
9 retain these benefits, including because they were procured as a result of the
10 wrongful conduct alleged above.

11 2852. The Florida Plaintiffs and Florida State Class members are entitled to
12 restitution of the benefits FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering
13 USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, Toyota USA, Toyota
14 Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts
15 necessary to return the Florida Plaintiff and Florida State Class members to the
16 position they occupied prior to dealing with FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
17 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA,
18 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA, with such amounts
19 to be determined at trial.

20 2853. The Florida Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
21 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
22 Florida Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
23 of Defendants, the Florida Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

24 **7. Illinois**

25 **a. Illinois Count 1: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
26 **Merchantability (810 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/2-314 and 5/2A-212)**
27 **Against Kia USA**

28 2854. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 2855. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
2 individually and on behalf of members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or
3 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia USA.

4 2856. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson and Collins shall be
5 referred to as the “Illinois Plaintiffs.”

6 2857. The Illinois Plaintiffs purchased their Kia Class Vehicles from Kia
7 USA authorized dealers. Additionally, Kia USA knew the identity, purpose, and
8 requirements of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, and made
9 specific promises through advertising and label information to them about the Kia
10 Class Vehicles. Accordingly, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
11 are in privity with Kia USA.

12 2858. Moreover, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members were
13 intended and direct beneficiaries of agreements between Kia USA and their dealers
14 regarding sales and leases of the Kia Class Vehicles, as, upon information and
15 belief, the agreements expressly were made for the direct benefit of the Illinois
16 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members.

17 2859. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
18 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
19 pursuant to 810 ILCS 5/2-314 and 5/2A-212.

20 2860. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
21 motor vehicles under 810 ILCS 5/2-104(1) and 5/2A-103(3), and a “seller” of
22 motor vehicles under 5/2-103(1)(d).

23 2861. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor” of motor vehicles
24 under 810 ILCS 5/2A-103(1)(p).

25 2862. All Illinois State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles in
26 Illinois are “buyers” within the meaning of 810 ILCS 5/2-103(1)(a).

27 2863. All Illinois State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
28 Illinois are “lessees” within the meaning of 810 ILCS 5/2A-103(1)(n).

1 2864. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
2 within the meaning of 810 ILCS 5/2-105(1) and 5/2A-103(1)(h).

3 2865. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
4 merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
5 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
6 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
7 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Kia Class
8 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
9 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
10 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
11 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
12 Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

13 2866. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members have provided
14 Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of its express
15 warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it, and
16 individual notice letters sent by the Illinois State Class members within a
17 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, a
18 notice letter was sent on behalf of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
19 members to Kia USA on April 24, 2020.

20 2867. Alternatively, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
21 were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the
22 breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Kia USA has long
23 known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
24 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
25 Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
26 program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
27 that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia
28 Class Vehicles. Therefore, it has refused to recall or repair defective Kia Class

1 Vehicles, and for those that were recalled, the repair was inadequate because it did
2 not fix the ACU Defect. As such, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
3 members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would have repaired the ACU
4 Defect if the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members presented their Kia
5 Class Vehicles to it for repair.

6 2868. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA’s breach of the implied
7 warranty of merchantability, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
8 have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

9 **b. Illinois Count 2: Violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud
10 and Deceptive Business Practices Act (815 Ill. Comp. Stat.
11 505/1, et seq.) Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

12 2869. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
13 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

14 2870. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
15 individually and on behalf of members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or
16 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

17 2871. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson, and Collins shall be
18 referred to as the “Illinois Plaintiffs.”

19 2872. Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Illinois Plaintiffs, and the Illinois State Class
20 members are “persons” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(c).

21 2873. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members are
22 “consumers” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(e).

23 2874. The Kia Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them are
24 “merchandise” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(b).

25 2875. Kia Korea and Kia USA were and are engaged in “trade” and
26 “commerce” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(f).

27 2876. The Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act
28 (“Illinois CFA”) prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive
acts or practices[.]” 815 ILCS 505/2.

1 2877. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
2 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Illinois CFA by knowingly and
3 intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
4 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
5 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
6 detailed above.

7 2878. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Illinois Plaintiffs
8 and Illinois State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under
9 the Illinois CFA in the course of their business. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia
10 USA owed the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members a duty to disclose
11 all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles because
12 they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect
13 from the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, and they made
14 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
15 by withheld facts.

16 2879. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
17 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
18 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
19 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Kia Korea and Kia USA
20 engaged in the following unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by 815
21 ILCS 505/2 and 510/2:

- 22 a. Causing likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the
23 approval or certification of the Kia Class Vehicles;
- 24 b. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles have approval,
25 characteristics, uses, or benefits that they do not have;
- 26 c. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles are of a particular
27 standard, quality, and grade when they are not;
- 28

- 1 d. Advertising the Kia Class Vehicles with the intent not to sell or
- 2 lease them as advertised;
- 3 e. Engaging in other conduct which created a likelihood of
- 4 confusion or of misunderstanding; and/or
- 5 f. Using or employing deception, fraud, false pretense, false
- 6 promise or misrepresentation, or the concealment, suppression
- 7 or omission of a material fact with intent that others rely upon
- 8 such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with
- 9 the advertisement and sale/lease of the Kia Class Vehicles,
- 10 whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived or
- 11 damaged thereby.

12 ILCS 505/2, 815 ILCS 510/2

13 2880. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices,

14 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of

15 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead

16 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had

17 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant

18 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended

19 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those

20 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did

21 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois

22 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class Vehicles

23 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Kia Class

24 Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

25 2881. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,

26 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true

27 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were

28 material to the decisions of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members

1 to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended. The
2 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members were exposed to those
3 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
4 and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia Class
5 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
6 purchase and lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
7 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
8 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

9 2882. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members had no way of
10 discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were false and
11 misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that Kia Korea and Kia USA had
12 concealed or failed to disclose. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
13 members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and Kia USA's deception on
14 their own.

15 2883. Had the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members known the
16 truth about the ACU Defect, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
17 would not have purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid
18 significantly less for them.

19 2884. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members suffered
20 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of Kia
21 Korea's and Kia USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose
22 material information.

23 2885. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
24 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as well as to the general public,
25 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
26 therein. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unlawful acts and practices complained of
27 herein affect the public interest.
28

1 2886. Pursuant to 815 ILCS 505/10a, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State
2 Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s unfair or
3 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
4 relief available under the Illinois CFA.

5 **c. Illinois Count 3: Violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud
6 and Deceptive Business Practices Act (815 Ill. Comp. Stat.
7 505/1, et seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF
9 Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

8 2887. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
9 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

10 2888. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
11 individually and behalf of members of the Illinois State Class against ZF
12 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
13 and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
14 and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

15 2889. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson and Collins shall be
16 referred to as the “Illinois Plaintiffs.”

17 2890. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Illinois Plaintiffs, and
18 Illinois State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of 815 ILCS
19 505/1(c).

20 2891. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members are
21 “consumers” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(e).

22 2892. The Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them are “merchandise”
23 within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(b).

24 2893. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” and
25 “commerce” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 505/1(f).

26 2894. The Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act
27 (“Illinois CFA”) prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive
28 acts or practices[.]” 815 ILCS 505/2.

1 2895. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Illinois
2 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
3 practices under the Illinois CFA in the course of their business. Specifically, the ZF
4 and ST Defendants owed the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members a
5 duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class
6 Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
7 concealed the ACU Defect from the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
8 members.

9 2896. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
10 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Illinois CFA by
11 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
12 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
13 Vehicles, as detailed above.

14 2897. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
15 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
16 Illinois CFA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles as
17 safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
18 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
19 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
20 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
21 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
22 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
23 crash.

24 2898. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
25 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
26 ST Defendants engaged in unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by 815
27 ILCS 505/2, including the use or employment of deception and fraud, and/or the
28

1 concealment, suppression or omission of material facts, and engaging in conduct
2 which creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding.

3 2899. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
4 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
5 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
6 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
7 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
8 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
9 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
10 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
11 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois
12 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
13 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
14 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

15 2900. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members justifiably
16 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
17 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
18 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
19 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
20 their own.

21 2901. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
22 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
23 Vehicles were material to the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as
24 the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Illinois
25 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
26 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

27 2902. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members suffered
28 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF

1 and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
2 material information.

3 2903. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
4 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as well as to the general public,
5 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
6 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained of
7 herein affect the public interest.

8 2904. Pursuant to 815 ILCS 505/10a, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State
9 Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or
10 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
11 relief available under the Illinois CFA.

12 **d. Illinois Count 4: Violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive**
13 **Trade Practices Act (815 Ill. Comp. Stat. 510/1, et seq.)**
14 **Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

15 2905. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
16 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

17 2906. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
18 individually and on behalf of members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or
19 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

20 2907. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson and Collins shall be
21 referred to as the “Illinois Plaintiffs.”

22 2908. Kia USA, the Illinois Plaintiffs, and Illinois State Class members are
23 “persons” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 510/1(5).

24 2909. The Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Illinois
25 UDTPA”) prohibits deceptive trade practices in the course of a business, vocation,
26 or occupation. 815 ILCS 510/2(a).

27 2910. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
28 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Illinois UDTPA by knowingly
and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose

1 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
2 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
3 detailed above.

4 2911. Specifically, by misrepresenting the Class Vehicles and the defective
5 ACUs and ASICs installed in them as safe and/or free from defects, and by failing
6 to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles
7 and the ACU Defect, Kia Korea and Kia USA engaged in one or more of the
8 following unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by 815 ILCS 510/2(a):

- 9 a. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective
10 ACUs and ASICs installed in them have characteristics, uses,
11 benefits, and qualities which they do not have;
- 12 b. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective
13 ACUs and ASICs installed in them are of a particular standard,
14 quality, and grade when they are not;
- 15 c. Advertising the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
16 and ASICs installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease
17 them as advertised; and
- 18 d. engaging in other conduct which similarly creates a likelihood
19 of confusion or misunderstanding.

20 815 ILCS 510/2(a)(5), (7), (9), and (12)

21 2912. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices,
22 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
23 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
24 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
25 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
26 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
27 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
28 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did

1 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois
2 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class Vehicles
3 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Kia Class
4 Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

5 2913. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
6 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
7 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were
8 material to the decisions of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
9 to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended. The
10 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members were exposed to those
11 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
12 and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia Class
13 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
14 purchase and lease Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied
15 upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
16 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

17 2914. The Illinois Plaintiffs' and Illinois State Class members' reliance was
18 reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
19 representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that they
20 had concealed or failed to disclose. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
21 members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and Kia USA's deception on
22 their own.

23 2915. Had the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members known the
24 truth about the ACU Defect, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
25 would not have purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid
26 significantly less for them.

27 2916. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members suffered
28 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of

1 purchase and lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
2 direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's concealment,
3 misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

4 2917. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
5 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as well as to the general public,
6 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
7 therein. Additionally, Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unlawful acts and practices
8 complained of herein affect the public interest.

9 2918. Pursuant to 815 ILCS 510/3, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State
10 Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair or
11 deceptive acts or practices and awarding other just and proper relief available under
12 the Illinois UDTPA.

13 2919. The Illinois Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
14 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
15 Illinois Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
16 of Defendants, the Illinois Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

17 e. **Illinois Count 5: Violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive**
18 **Trade Practices Act (815 Ill. Comp. Stat. 510/1, et seq.)**
19 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

20 2920. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
21 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

22 2921. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
23 individually and behalf of members of the Illinois State Class against ZF
24 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
25 and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
26 and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

27 2922. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson and Collins shall be
28 referred to as the "Illinois Plaintiffs."

1 2923. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Illinois Plaintiffs, and Illinois
2 State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of 815 ILCS 510/1(5).

3 2924. The Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Illinois
4 UDTPA”) prohibits deceptive trade practices in the course of a business, vocation,
5 or occupation. 815 ILCS 510/2(a).

6 2925. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
7 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Illinois UDTPA by
8 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
9 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
10 Vehicles, as detailed above.

11 2926. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
12 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
13 Illinois UDTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
14 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
15 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
17 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
18 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
19 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
20 crash.

21 2927. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
22 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
23 ST Defendants engaged in unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by 815
24 ILCS 510/2, including the use or employment of deception and fraud, and/or the
25 concealment, suppression or omission of material facts, and engaging in conduct
26 which creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding.

27 2928. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
28 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of

1 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
2 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
3 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
4 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
5 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
6 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
7 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois
8 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
9 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
10 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

11 2929. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members justifiably
12 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
13 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
14 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
15 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
16 their own.

17 2930. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
18 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
19 Vehicles were material to the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as
20 the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Illinois
21 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
22 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

23 2931. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members suffered
24 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
25 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
26 material information.

27 2932. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
28 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, as well as to the general public,

1 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
2 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of
3 herein affect the public interest.

4 2933. Pursuant to 815 ILCS 510/3, the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State
5 Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or
6 deceptive acts or practices and awarding any other just and proper relief available
7 under the Illinois UDTPA.

8 2934. The Illinois Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
9 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
10 Illinois Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
11 of Defendants, the Illinois Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

12 **f. Illinois Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
13 **Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

14 2935. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 2936. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
17 individually and on behalf of members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or
18 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

19 2937. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson, and Collins shall be
20 referred to as the "Illinois Plaintiffs."

21 2938. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and
22 non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 2939. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)

1 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
2 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
3 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

4 2940. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the
5 Illinois Plaintiffs and the Illinois State Class members because:

- 6 a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior
7 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 8 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
9 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members lack the
10 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
11 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
12 on their own;
- 13 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
14 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
15 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
16 have been a material fact to the Illinois Plaintiffs’ and Illinois
17 State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Kia Class
18 Vehicles; and
- 19 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
20 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
21 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
22 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
23 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
24 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
25 failed to disclose to the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
26 members that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect.
27 Because they volunteered to provide information about the Kia
28 Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease

1 to the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members, Kia
2 Korea and Kia USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

3 2941. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
4 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
5 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
6 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 2942. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois
8 State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by purchasing and
9 leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

11 2943. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
12 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
13 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
14 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
15 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
16 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and
17 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
18 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
19 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

20 2944. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the Illinois
21 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members did not discover this information by
22 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class Vehicles'
23 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

24 2945. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
25 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
26 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
27 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
28

1 products. They did so at the expense of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
2 members.

3 2946. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
4 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
5 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
6 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
7 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
8 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Illinois Plaintiffs and
9 Illinois State Class members.

10 2947. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
11 and Kia Korea's and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the Illinois Plaintiffs
12 and Illinois State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
13 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

14 2948. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
15 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Illinois
16 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

17 2949. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the Illinois
18 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
19 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia
20 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

21 2950. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
22 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Illinois Plaintiffs'
23 and Illinois State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
24 Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
25 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
26 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

27
28

1 g. **Illinois Count 7: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
2 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
3 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
4 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

5 2951. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 2952. The Illinois Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
8 members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles, against
9 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
10 Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
11 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

12 2953. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
13 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

14 2954. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
15 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
16 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
17 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
18 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
19 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
20 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
21 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
22 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

23 2955. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
24 the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members because:

- 25 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
26 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
27 Defect;
- 28 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
 Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members lack the

1 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
2 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
3 on their own;

4 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
5 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
6 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
7 would have been a material fact to the Illinois Plaintiffs' and
8 Illinois State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
9 Vehicles; and

10 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
11 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
14 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
17 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
18 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
19 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
20 in a crash.

21 2956. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
22 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
23 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
24 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

25 2957. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Illinois Plaintiffs and
26 Illinois State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
27 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
28 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

1 2958. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
3 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
4 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
5 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
6 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
7 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
8 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
9 and many more have been injured.

10 2959. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Illinois
11 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 2960. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class
19 members.

20 2961. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Illinois Plaintiffs and
26 Illinois State Class members.

27 2962. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
28 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Illinois Plaintiffs and

1 Illinois State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
2 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 2963. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Illinois
5 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

6 2964. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Illinois
7 Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
8 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
9 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

10 2965. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
11 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Illinois Plaintiffs'
12 and Illinois State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
13 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
14 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
15 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

16 **h. Illinois Count 8: Unjust Enrichment Against Kia Korea and**
17 **Kia USA**

18 2966. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
19 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

20 2967. Plaintiffs Amanda Swanson and Brian Collins bring this count
21 individually and on behalf of members of the Illinois State Class who purchased or
22 leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

23 2968. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Swanson and Collins shall be
24 referred to as the "Illinois Plaintiffs."

25 2969. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members conferred
26 tangible and material economic benefits upon Kia Korea and Kia USA when they
27 purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and Kia USA readily
28 accepted and retained these benefits.

1 2970. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members would not have
2 purchased or leased their Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them, had
3 they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease. Therefore, Kia
4 Korea and Kia USA profited from the sale and lease of the Kia Class Vehicles to
5 the detriment and expense of the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members.

6 2971. Kia Korea and Kia USA appreciated those benefits. These benefits
7 were the expected result of Kia Korea and Kia USA acting in their pecuniary
8 interest at the expense of their customers. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew of these
9 benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this
10 knowledge and misled the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
11 regarding the nature and quality of the Kia Class Vehicles while profiting from this
12 deception.

13 2972. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Kia Korea and
14 Kia USA to retain these benefits, including because they were procured as a result
15 of their wrongful conduct alleged above.

16 2973. The Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members are entitled to
17 restitution of the benefits Kia Korea and Kia USA unjustly retained and/or any
18 amounts necessary to return the Illinois Plaintiffs and Illinois State Class members
19 to the position they occupied prior to dealing with Kia Korea and Kia USA, with
20 such amounts to be determined at trial.

21 2974. The Illinois Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
22 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
23 Illinois Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
24 of Defendants, the Illinois Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

25
26
27
28

1 **8. Indiana**

2 **a. Indiana Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Ind. Code**
3 **§§ 26-1-2-313 and 26-1-2.1-210) Against Kia Korea and Kia**
4 **USA**

5 2975. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 2976. Plaintiff Kenneth Ogorek (hereinafter, “Indiana Plaintiff”) brings this
8 count individually and on behalf of members of the Indiana State Class who
9 purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

10 2977. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times “merchants”
11 with respect to motor vehicles under Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2.1-103(3) and 26-1-2-
12 104(1), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 26-1-2-103(1)(d).

13 2978. With respect to leases, Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all
14 relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Ind. Code § 26-1-2.1-103(1)(p).

15 2979. All Indiana State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles in
16 Indiana are “buyers” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 26-1-2-103(1)(a).

17 2980. All Indiana State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
18 Indiana are “lessees” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 26-1-2.1-103(1)(n).

19 2981. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
20 within the meaning of Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2.1-103(1)(h) and 26-1-2-105(1).

21 2982. In connection with the purchase or lease of Kia Class Vehicles, Kia
22 Korea and Kia USA provided the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
23 with written express warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties
24 covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in materials or
25 workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable,
26 and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt
27 pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash.

28 2983. However, Kia Korea and Kia USA knew or should have known that
the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia USA

1 were aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
2 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
3 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members.

4 2984. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members were aware the
5 Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties were
6 an essential part of the bargain between them and Kia Korea and Kia USA when the
7 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members unknowingly purchased and
8 leased Kia Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

9 2985. Kia Korea and Kia USA misrepresented the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
10 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Indiana
11 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members were exposed to those
12 misrepresentations, and the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members had
13 no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were false
14 and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Kia Korea and Kia
15 USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Indiana Plaintiff and
16 Indiana State Class members reasonably relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
17 express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs
18 allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
19 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

20 2986. Kia Korea and Kia USA knowingly breached their express warranties
21 to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect
22 or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and
23 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by selling and leasing Kia Class
24 Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
25 State Class members.

26 2987. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members have provided
27 Kia Korea and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the
28 breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints

1 filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Indiana State Class
2 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
3 On April 24, 2020, notice letters were sent on behalf of the Indiana Plaintiff and
4 Indiana State Class members to Kia Korea and Kia USA.

5 2988. Alternatively, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
6 were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice and an
7 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
8 Kia Korea and Kia USA have long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the
9 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
10 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea and Kia USA
11 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
12 unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in
13 all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore,
14 the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members had no reason to believe that
15 Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Indiana
16 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to Kia
17 Korea and Kia USA for repair.

18 2989. As a direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's breach
19 of their express warranties, the Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the
20 ACU Defect in the Indiana Plaintiff's and Indiana State Class members' Kia Class
21 Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
22 members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their
23 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an
24 undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

25 **b. Indiana Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
26 **Merchantability (Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2-314 and 26-1-2.1-212)**
27 **Against Kia USA**

28 2990. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 2991. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Indiana State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
3 against Kia USA.

4 2992. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
5 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
6 pursuant to Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2-314 and 26-1-2.1-212.

7 2993. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
8 motor vehicles under Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2.1-103(3) and 26-1-2-104(1), and a
9 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 26-1-2-103(1)(d).

10 2994. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor” of motor vehicles
11 under Ind. Code § 26-1-2.1-103(1)(p).

12 2995. All Indiana State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles in
13 Indiana are “buyers” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 26-1-2-103(1)(a).

14 2996. All Indiana State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
15 Indiana are “lessees” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 26-1-2.1-103(1)(n).

16 2997. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
17 within the meaning of Ind. Code §§ 26-1-2.1-103(1)(h) and 26-1-2-105(1).

18 2998. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
19 merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
20 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
21 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
22 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Kia Class
23 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
24 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
25 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
26 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
27 Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous
28

1 2999. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members have provided
2 Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of its implied
3 warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it, and
4 individual notice letters sent by Indiana State Class members within a reasonable
5 amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on May 23,
6 2019, Indiana State Class members sent a notice letter pursuant Ind. Code § 24-5-
7 0.5-5(a) to Kia USA. Moreover, a second notice letter was sent on behalf of the
8 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members to Kia USA on April 24, 2020.

9 3000. Alternatively, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
10 were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the
11 breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Kia USA have long
12 known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
13 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
14 Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair
15 program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
16 that the ACU Defect exists in all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled
17 Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
18 members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would have repaired the ACU
19 Defect if the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members presented their
20 Class Vehicles to Kia USA for repair.

21 3001. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA's breach of the implied
22 warranty of merchantability, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
23 have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

24 **c. Indiana Count 3: Violation of the Indiana Deceptive**
25 **Consumer Sales Act (Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3, et seq.) Against**
26 **Kia Korea and Kia USA**

27 3002. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
28 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 3003. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Indiana State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
3 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

4 3004. Kia Korea and Kia USA are “suppliers” within the meaning of Ind.
5 Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(3).

6 3005. Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Indiana Plaintiff, and the Indiana State Class
7 members are “persons” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(2).

8 3006. Kia Korea and Kia USA were and are engaged in “consumer
9 transactions” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(1).

10 3007. The Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act (“Indiana DCSA”)
11 prohibits a supplier from committing an “unfair, abusive, or deceptive act,
12 omission, or practice in connection with a consumer transaction.” Ind. Code § 24-5-
13 0.5-3(a).

14 3008. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
15 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Indiana DCSA by knowingly
16 and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
17 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
18 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
19 detailed above.

20 3009. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Indiana Plaintiff
21 and Indiana State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under
22 the Indiana DCSA in the course of their business. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia
23 USA owed the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members a duty to disclose
24 all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles because
25 they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect
26 from the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members, and they made
27 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
28 by withheld facts.

1 3010. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs
2 installed in them as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in
3 them as properly-functioning and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and
4 actively concealing the dangers and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both
5 consumers and NHTSA, Kia Korea and Kia USA engaged in one or more of the
6 following unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Ind. Code § 24-5-
7 0.5-3:

- 8 a. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles have approval,
9 characteristics, uses, or benefits that they do not have;
- 10 b. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles are of a particular
11 standard, quality, and grade when they are not; and
- 12 c. Advertising the Kia Class Vehicles with the intent not to sell or
13 lease them as advertised.

14 Ind. Code §§ 24-5-0.5-3(b)(1), (2), and (11).

15 3011. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices,
16 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
17 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
18 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
19 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
20 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
21 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
22 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
23 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
24 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class Vehicles
25 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Kia Class
26 Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

27 3012. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
28 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true

1 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were
2 material to the decisions of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members to
3 purchase and lease those vehicles, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended. The
4 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members were exposed to those
5 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
6 and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia Class
7 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
8 purchase and lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
9 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
10 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

11 3013. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members had no way of
12 discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were false and
13 misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that Kia Korea and Kia USA had
14 concealed or failed to disclose. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
15 members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and Kia USA's deception on
16 their own.

17 3014. Had the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members known the
18 truth about the ACU Defect, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
19 would not have purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid
20 significantly less for them.

21 3015. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members suffered
22 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of Kia
23 Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealment, and/or failure to disclose
24 material information.

25 3016. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
26 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members, as well as to the general public,
27 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
28

1 therein. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unlawful acts and practices complained of
2 herein affect the public interest.

3 3017. Kia Korea and Kia USA were provided notice of the issues raised in
4 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous
5 complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by the Indiana
6 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
7 became public. Additionally, on May 23, 2019, Indiana State Class members sent a
8 notice letter pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-5(a) to Kia. Moreover, a second
9 notice letter was sent on behalf of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
10 members pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-5(a) to Kia on April 24, 2020. Because
11 Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to adequately remedy their unlawful conduct within
12 the requisite time period, the Indiana Plaintiff seeks all damages and relief to which
13 he and the Indiana State Class members are entitled.

14 3018. Alternatively, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
15 were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice and an
16 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
17 Kia Korea and Kia USA have long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the
18 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
19 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea and Kia USA
20 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
21 unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in
22 all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore,
23 the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members had no reason to believe that
24 Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Indiana
25 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to Kia
26 Korea and Kia USA for repair.

27 3019. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
28 State Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair or

1 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
2 relief available under the Indiana DCSA.

3 **d. Indiana Count 4: Violation of the Indiana Deceptive**
4 **Consumer Sales Act (Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3, et seq.) Against**
5 **ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
6 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
7 **ST Malaysia**

8 3020. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
9 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

10 3021. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
11 members of the Indiana State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
12 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the
13 “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST
14 Defendants”).

15 3022. The ZF and ST Defendants are “suppliers” within the meaning of Ind.
16 Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(3).

17 3023. The ZF and ST Defendants, the Indiana Plaintiff, and the Indiana State
18 Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(2).

19 3024. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “consumer
20 transactions” within the meaning of Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(1).

21 3025. The Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act (“Indiana DCSA”)
22 prohibits a supplier from committing an “unfair, abusive, or deceptive act,
23 omission, or practice in connection with a consumer transaction.” Ind. Code § 24-5-
24 0.5-3(a).

25 3026. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Indiana
26 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
27 practices under the Indiana DCSA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
28 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class
Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally

1 concealed the ACU Defect from the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
2 members.

3 3027. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
4 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Indiana DCSA by
5 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
6 material facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the
7 Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

8 3028. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
9 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
10 Indiana DCSA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
11 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
12 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
13 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
14 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
15 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
16 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
17 properly in a crash.

18 3029. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
19 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
20 ST Defendants engaged in an unfair, abusive, or deceptive act, omission, or practice
21 in connection with a consumer transaction prohibited by Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a).

22 3030. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
23 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
24 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
25 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
26 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
27 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
28 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those

1 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
2 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
3 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and the
4 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles, and
5 the true value of the Class Vehicles.

6 3031. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members justifiably
7 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
8 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
9 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
10 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
11 their own.

12 3032. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
13 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
14 Vehicles were material to the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members, as
15 the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Indiana Plaintiff
16 and Indiana State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class
17 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

18 3033. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members suffered
19 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
20 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
21 material information.

22 3034. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
23 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members, as well as to the general public,
24 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
25 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of
26 herein affect the public interest.

27 3035. The ZF and ST Defendants were provided notice of the issues raised in
28 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous

1 complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by the Indiana
2 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
3 became public. Also, on May 23, 2019, Indiana State Class members sent a notice
4 letter pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-5(a) to the ZF Defendants. Moreover,
5 additional notice letters were sent on behalf of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
6 State Class members pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-5(a) on April 24, 2020 (to
7 the ZF Defendants), June 5, 2020 (to ST USA) and May 25, 2022 (to ST Italy and
8 ST Malaysia). Because the ZF and ST Defendants failed to adequately remedy their
9 unlawful conduct within the requisite time period, the Indiana Plaintiff seeks all
10 damages and relief to which he and the Indiana State Class members are entitled.

11 3036. Alternatively, any requirement to give notice to the Defendants under
12 Ind. Code §§ 24-5-0.5-5 is excused because the ACU Defect is incurable and the
13 ZF and ST Defendants' behavior was part of a scheme, artifice, or device with
14 intent to defraud and mislead.

15 3037. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4, the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
16 State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or
17 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
18 relief available under the Indiana DCSA.

19 **e. Indiana Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
20 **Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

21 3038. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
22 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

23 3039. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
24 members of the Indiana State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
25 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

26 3040. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and
27 non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).
28

1 3041. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
2 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
3 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
4 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
5 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
6 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
7 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
8 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
9 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

10 3042. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the
11 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members because:

- 12 a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior
13 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 14 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
15 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members lack the
16 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
17 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
18 on their own;
- 19 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
20 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
21 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
22 have been a material fact to the Indiana Plaintiff’s and Indiana
23 State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Kia Class
24 Vehicles; and
- 25 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
26 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
27 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
28 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform

1 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
2 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
3 failed to disclose to the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
4 members that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect.
5 Because they volunteered to provide information about the Kia
6 Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease
7 to the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members, Kia
8 Korea and Kia USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

9 3043. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
10 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
11 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
12 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

13 3044. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana
14 State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by purchasing and
15 leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant
16 Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

17 3045. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
18 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
19 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
20 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
21 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
22 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and
23 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
24 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
25 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

26 3046. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the Indiana
27 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members did not discover this information by
28

1 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class Vehicles’
2 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 3047. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
4 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
5 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
6 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
7 products. They did so at the expense of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
8 members.

9 3048. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
10 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
11 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
12 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
13 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
14 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Indiana Plaintiff and
15 Indiana State Class members.

16 3049. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
17 and Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s callous disregard for safety, the Indiana Plaintiff
18 and Indiana State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
19 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

20 3050. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
21 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Indiana
22 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

23 3051. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the Indiana Plaintiff
24 and Indiana State Class members for their damages in an amount to be proven at
25 trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia Class Vehicles
26 at the time of purchase or lease.

27 3052. Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
28 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Indiana Plaintiff’s

1 and Indiana State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
2 Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
3 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
4 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

5 **f. Indiana Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
6 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
7 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
8 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

9 3053. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 3054. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
12 members of the Indiana State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
13 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
14 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
15 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

16 3055. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
17 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

18 3056. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
19 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
20 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
21 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
22 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
23 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
24 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
25 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
26 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

27 3057. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
28 the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members because:

- 1 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
2 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
3 Defect;
- 4 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
5 Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members lack the
6 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
7 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
8 on their own;
- 9 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
10 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
11 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
12 would have been a material fact to the Indiana Plaintiff’s and
13 Indiana State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
14 Vehicles; and
- 15 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
16 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
17 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
18 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
19 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
20 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
21 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
22 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
23 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
24 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
25 in a crash.

26 3058. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
27 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
28

1 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
2 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

3 3059. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Indiana Plaintiff and
4 Indiana State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
5 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
6 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 3060. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
9 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
10 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
11 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
12 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
13 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
14 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
15 and many more have been injured.

16 3061. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Indiana
17 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members did not discover this information by
18 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
19 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

20 3062. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
21 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
22 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
23 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
24 products. They did so at the expense of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class
25 members.

26 3063. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
27 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
28 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were

1 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
2 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
3 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Indiana Plaintiff and
4 Indiana State Class members.

5 3064. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
6 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Indiana Plaintiff and
7 Indiana State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
8 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

9 3065. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
10 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Indiana
11 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

12 3066. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Indiana
13 Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
14 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
15 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

16 3067. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
17 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Indiana Plaintiff's
18 and Indiana State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
19 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
20 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
21 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

22 **g. Indiana Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Kia Korea and**
23 **Kia USA**

24 3068. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
25 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

26 3069. The Indiana Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
27 members of the Indiana State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
28 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

1 3070. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members conferred
2 tangible and material economic benefits upon Kia Korea and Kia USA when they
3 purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and Kia USA readily
4 accepted and retained these benefits.

5 3071. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members would not have
6 purchased or leased their Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them, had
7 they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease. Therefore, Kia
8 Korea and Kia USA profited from the sale and lease of the Kia Class Vehicles to
9 the detriment and expense of the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members.

10 3072. Kia Korea and Kia USA appreciated these benefits. These benefits
11 were the expected result of Kia Korea and Kia USA acting in their pecuniary
12 interest at the expense of their customers. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew of these
13 benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this
14 knowledge and misled the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
15 regarding the nature and quality of the Kia Class Vehicles while profiting from this
16 deception.

17 3073. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Kia Korea and
18 Kia USA to retain these benefits, including because they were procured as a result
19 of the wrongful conduct alleged above.

20 3074. The Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members are entitled to
21 restitution of the benefits Kia Korea and Kia USA unjustly retained and/or any
22 amounts necessary to return the Indiana Plaintiff and Indiana State Class members
23 to the position they occupied prior to dealing with Kia Korea and Kia USA, with
24 such amounts to be determined at trial.

25 3075. The Indiana Plaintiff plead this claim separately as well as in the
26 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
27 Indiana Plaintiff' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
28 of Defendants, the Indiana Plaintiff will have no adequate legal remedy.

1 **9. Maryland**

2 **a. Maryland Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Md. Code**
3 **Com. Law §§ 2-313 and 2A-210) Against Hyundai Korea,**
4 **Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA⁸**

5 3076. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 3077. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller bring this count individually
8 and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased
9 Hyundai Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

10 3078. Plaintiff Diana King brings this count individually and on behalf of
11 members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
12 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

13 3079. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller and
14 King shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

15 3080. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are and were
16 at all relevant times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Md. Code.
17 Com. Law §§ 2-104(1) and 2A-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 2-
18 103(1)(d).

19 3081. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are and were
20 at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Md. Code. Com. Law § 2A-
21 103(1)(p).

22 3082. All Maryland State Class members who purchased Hyundai and Kia
23 Class Vehicles in Maryland are “buyers” within the meaning of Md. Code. Com.
24 Law § 2-103(1)(a).

25 3083. All Maryland State Class members who leased Hyundai and Kia Class
26 Vehicles in Maryland are “lessees” within the meaning of Md. Code. Com. Law
27 § 2A-103(1)(n).

28 ⁸ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Maryland Plaintiffs stated a
claim for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 143.

1 3084. The Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
2 “goods” within the meaning of Md. Code. Com. Law §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-
3 103(1)(h).

4 3085. In connection with the purchase or lease of Hyundai and Kia Class
5 Vehicles, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA provided the
6 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members with written express
7 warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or
8 replacement of components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b)
9 descriptions of the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
10 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would
11 function properly in the event of a crash.

12 3086. However, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
13 knew or should have known that the warranties were false and/or misleading.
14 Specifically, they were aware of the ACU Defect in the Hyundai and Kia Class
15 Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time
16 that they were sold and leased to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
17 members.

18 3087. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members were
19 aware the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and
20 those warranties formed the basis of the bargain that was reached when the
21 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members unknowingly purchased or
22 leased Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles that came equipped with a defective ACU.

23 3088. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea and Kia USA
24 misrepresented the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while
25 concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Maryland Plaintiffs and
26 Maryland State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, and the
27 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members had no way of discerning
28 that Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s representations

1 were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that they had
2 concealed or failed to disclose. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
3 members reasonably relied on Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and
4 Kia USA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Hyundai and Kia
5 Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B
6 above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers
7 for each Plaintiff.

8 3089. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knowingly
9 breached their express warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by
10 failing to repair the ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the
11 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
12 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by providing a product containing
13 defects that were never disclosed to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State
14 Class members.

15 3090. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members have
16 provided Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea and Kia USA with reasonable
17 notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of
18 the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against them, and individual notice letters
19 sent by the Maryland State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after
20 the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of
21 the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members to Hyundai and Kia on
22 April 24, 2020.

23 3091. Alternatively, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
24 members were excused from providing Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
25 and Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would
26 have been futile. As alleged above, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea and
27 Kia USA have long known that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles contained the
28 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction

1 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
2 USA, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair
3 program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
4 that the ACU Defect exists in all of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, including
5 the recalled Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Maryland Plaintiffs and
6 Maryland State Class members had no reason to believe that Hyundai Korea,
7 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect they
8 presented their Class Vehicles to them for repair.

9 3092. As a direct and proximate result of Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's,
10 Kia Korea's and Kia USA's breach of their express warranties, the Hyundai and
11 Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in the Maryland
12 Plaintiffs' and Maryland State Class members' Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles
13 was not remedied. Therefore, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
14 members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their
15 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles
16 with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

17 **b. Maryland Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
18 **Merchantability (Md. Code Com. Law §§ 2-314 and 2A-212)**
19 **Against Hyundai USA and Kia USA⁹**

20 3093. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
21 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

22 3094. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller bring this count individually
23 and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased
24 Hyundai Class Vehicles, against Hyundai USA.

25 3095. Plaintiff Diana King brings this count individually and on behalf of
26 members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
27 against Kia USA.

28 ⁹ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Maryland Plaintiffs stated a claim for breach of implied warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 143.

1 3096. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller and
2 King shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

3 3097. Hyundai USA and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times
4 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles Md. Code Com. Law §§ 2-104(1) and
5 2A-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 2-103(1)(d).

6 3098. With respect to leases, Hyundai USA, and Kia USA are and were at all
7 relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Md. Code. Com. Law § 2A-
8 103(1)(p).

9 3099. All Maryland State Class members who purchased Class Vehicles in
10 Maryland are “buyers” within the meaning of Md. Code. Com. Law § 2-103(1)(a).

11 3100. All Maryland State Class members who leased Class Vehicles in
12 Maryland are “lessees” within the meaning of Md. Code. Com. Law § 2A-
13 103(1)(n).

14 3101. The Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods” within
15 the meaning of Md. Code. Com. Law §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

16 3102. A warranty that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were in
17 merchantable condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are
18 used is implied by law pursuant to Md. Code Com. Law §§ 2-314 and 2A-212.

19 3103. The Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied
20 warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter,
21 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
22 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
23 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Hyundai and Kia
24 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and
25 seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash the failure to unlock doors
26 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
27 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
28 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

1 3104. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members have
2 provided Hyundai USA and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to
3 cure the breaches of their implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
4 complaints filed against them, and individual notice letters sent by the Maryland
5 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
6 became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Maryland
7 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members to Hyundai USA and Kia USA on
8 April 24, 2020.

9 3105. Alternatively, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
10 members were excused from providing Hyundai USA and Kia USA with notice and
11 an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged
12 above, Hyundai USA and Kia USA, have long known that the Hyundai and Kia
13 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused
14 ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to
15 date, Hyundai USA and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair
16 program with respect to the unrecalled Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, or even
17 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of those Class Vehicles, including
18 the recalled Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, they have refused to recall
19 or repair defective Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, and for those that were
20 recalled, the repair was inadequate because it did not fix the ACU Defect. As such,
21 the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members had no reason to believe
22 that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the
23 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members presented their Hyundai
24 and Kia Class Vehicles to them for repair.

25 3106. As a direct and proximate result of Hyundai USA's and Kia USA's
26 breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, the Maryland Plaintiffs and
27 Maryland State Class members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at
28 trial.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

c. Maryland Count 3: Violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act (Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101, *et seq.*) Against Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA¹⁰

3107. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

3108. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller bring this count individually and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

3109. Plaintiff Diana King brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea, and Kia USA.

3110. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller and King shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

3111. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Maryland Plaintiffs, and Maryland State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101(h).

3112. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members are “consumers” within the meaning of Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101(c).

3113. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “merchandise” within the meaning of Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101(f).

3114. The Maryland Consumer Protection Act (“Maryland CPA”) prohibits “[u]nfair, abusive, or deceptive trade practices[.]” Md. Code Com. Law § 13-301.

3115. In the course of their business, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Maryland CPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety,

¹⁰ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Maryland Plaintiffs stated a claim against Hyundai USA and Kia USA for Violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act based on alleged fraudulent omissions. *See* ECF No. 396 at 99.

1 and performance of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, the safety of their
2 Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

3 3116. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had an
4 ongoing duty to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members to
5 refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the Maryland CPA in the course of
6 their business. Specifically, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia
7 USA owed the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members a duty to
8 disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Hyundai and Kia
9 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally
10 concealed the ACU Defect from the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
11 members, and they made misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because
12 they were contradicted by withheld facts.

13 3117. By misrepresenting the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and
14 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
15 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers
16 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Hyundai Korea,
17 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA engaged in one or more of the following
18 unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Md. Code Com. Law § 13-303:

- 19 a. Representing that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
20 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them have
21 characteristics, uses, benefits, and qualities which they do not
22 have;
- 23 b. Representing that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
24 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them are of a particular
25 standard, quality, and grade when they are not;
- 26 c. Failure to state material facts about the Hyundai and Kia Class
27 Vehicles and defective ACUs and ASICs;
- 28

- 1 d. Advertising the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
- 2 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them with the intent not
- 3 to sell or lease them as advertised; and
- 4 e. Otherwise engaging in deception, fraud, false pretense, false
- 5 premise, misrepresentation, or knowing concealment,
- 6 suppression, or omission of material facts regarding the safety of
- 7 the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or defective ACUs.

8 Md. Code Com. Law §§ 13-301(1), (2)(i), (2)(iv), (3), (5)(i), and (9)(i).

9 3118. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s unfair
10 or deceptive acts or practices, including misrepresentations, concealments,
11 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a
12 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
13 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and
14 seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect
15 and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags
16 during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
17 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
18 the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members, about the true safety
19 and reliability of Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and
20 ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, and the
21 true value of those vehicles.

22 3119. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s
23 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
24 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
25 Systems in the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were material to the Maryland
26 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members, as Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA,
27 Kia Korea, and Kia USA intended. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State
28 Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions,

1 and suppressions of material facts, and relied on Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's,
2 Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Hyundai and Kia Class
3 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
4 purchase and lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
5 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
6 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

7 3120. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members had no
8 way of discerning that Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia
9 USA's representations were false and misleading and/or otherwise learning the
10 facts that Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had concealed
11 or failed to disclose. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members
12 did not, and could not, unravel Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and
13 Kia USA's deception on their own.

14 3121. Had they known the truth, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State
15 Class members would not have purchased or leased the Hyundai and Kia Class
16 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

17 3122. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members suffered
18 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of Hyundai
19 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's concealment,
20 misrepresentations, and failure to disclose material information.

21 3123. Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
22 violations present a continuing risk to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State
23 Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain
24 unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai
25 USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's unlawful acts and practices complained of
26 herein affect the public interest.

27 3124. Pursuant to Md. Code Com. Law § 13-408, the Maryland Plaintiffs
28 and Maryland State Class members seek an order enjoining Hyundai Korea's,

1 Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices
2 and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under the
3 Maryland CPA.

4 **d. Maryland Count 4: Violation of the Maryland Consumer**
5 **Protection Act (Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.)**
6 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
7 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
8 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

9 3125. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 3126. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller, and Diana King bring this count
12 individually and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class against ZF
13 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
14 and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
15 and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

16 3127. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller and
17 King shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

18 3128. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Maryland Plaintiffs, and
19 Maryland State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Md. Code
20 Com. Law § 13-101(h).

21 3129. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members are
22 “consumers” within the meaning of Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101(c).

23 3130. The Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are
24 “merchandise” within the meaning of Md. Code Com. Law § 13-101(f).

25 3131. The Maryland Consumer Protection Act (“Maryland CPA”) prohibits
26 “[u]nfair, abusive, or deceptive trade practices[.]” Md. Code Com. Law § 13-301.

27 3132. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Maryland
28 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
practices under the Maryland CPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
ZF and ST Defendants owed the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class

1 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
2 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
3 concealed the ACU Defect from the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
4 members.

5 3133. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
6 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Maryland CPA by
7 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
8 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
9 Vehicles, as detailed above.

10 3134. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
12 Maryland CPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
13 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
14 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
16 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
17 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
18 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
19 crash.

20 3135. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
21 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
22 ST Defendants engaged in unfair or deceptive trade practices prohibited by Md.
23 Code Com. Law § 13-301, including failing to state material facts, deception, fraud,
24 and/or knowing concealment, suppression, or omission of material facts.

25 3136. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
26 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
27 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
28 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-

1 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
2 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
3 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
4 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
5 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Maryland Plaintiffs and
6 Maryland State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
7 Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
8 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

9 3137. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members justifiably
10 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
11 concealment, as they had no way of learning the facts that the ZF and ST
12 Defendants had concealed or failed to disclose. The Maryland Plaintiffs and
13 Maryland State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST
14 Defendants' deception on their own.

15 3138. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
16 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
17 Vehicles were material to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
18 members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
19 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members would not have purchased
20 or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

21 3139. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members suffered
22 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
23 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
24 material information.

25 3140. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
26 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members, as well as to the general
27 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
28

1 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
2 of herein affect the public interest.

3 3141. Pursuant to Md. Code Com. Law § 13-408, the Maryland Plaintiffs
4 and Maryland State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
5 Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
6 other just and proper relief available under the Maryland CPA.

7 e. **Maryland Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
8 **Against Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia**
9 **USA**

10 3142. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 3143. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller bring this count individually
13 and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased
14 Hyundai Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

15 3144. Plaintiff Diana King brings this count individually and on behalf of
16 members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
17 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

18 3145. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller, Tina Fuller and
19 Diana King shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

20 3146. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are liable for
21 both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of
22 Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 3147. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as

1 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
2 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

3 3148. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had a duty to
4 disclose the ACU Defect to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
5 members because:

- 6 a. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had
7 exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical
8 facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 9 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
10 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members lack the
11 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
12 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
13 on their own;
- 14 c. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew
15 that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the
16 consumers who use the vehicles, and the Hyundai and Kia Class
17 Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material
18 fact to the Maryland Plaintiffs' and Maryland State Class
19 members' decisions to buy or lease Hyundai and Kia Class
20 Vehicles; and
- 21 d. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA made
22 incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the
23 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
24 System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a
25 known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials
26 provided with each Class Vehicle, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
27 USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed,
28 suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Maryland Plaintiffs and

1 Maryland State Class members that the Hyundai and Kia Class
2 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered
3 to provide information about the Hyundai and Kia Class
4 Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the
5 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members,
6 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had
7 the duty to disclose the whole truth.

8 3149. In breach of their duties, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
9 and Kia USA failed to disclose that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were not
10 safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags
11 and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

12 3150. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intended for
13 the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members to rely on their
14 omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the Hyundai and Kia Class
15 Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in
16 their Class Vehicles would function properly.

17 3151. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
18 not have expected that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety
19 defect that poses such a serious risk. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
20 Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working
21 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether
22 to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's
23 products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its
24 products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine
25 people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been
26 injured.

27 3152. Additionally, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
28 ensured that the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members did not

1 discover this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature
2 of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers
3 and NHTSA.

4 3153. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA actively
5 concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a
6 market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that
7 would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial
8 reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the
9 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members.

10 3154. To this day, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
11 have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
12 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
13 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
14 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,
15 and because they directly impact the value of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles
16 purchased or leased by the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members.

17 3155. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Hyundai and Kia Class
18 Vehicles, and Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
19 callous disregard for safety, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
20 members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles,
21 or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 3156. As alleged in Section V above, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
23 Korea, and Kia USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
24 consumers and NHTSA, the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class
25 members would have seen such a disclosure.

26 3157. Accordingly, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
27 are liable to the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members for their
28 damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost

1 overpayment for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or
2 lease.

3 3158. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s acts
4 were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
5 reckless disregard of the Maryland Plaintiffs’ and Maryland State Class members’
6 rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s,
7 Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
8 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
9 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

10 **f. Maryland Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
11 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
12 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
13 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

14 3159. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 3160. The Maryland Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
17 members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
18 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
19 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
20 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

21 3161. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
22 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 3162. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as

1 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
2 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3 3163. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
4 the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members because:

- 5 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
6 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
7 Defect;
- 8 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
9 Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members lack the
10 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
11 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
12 on their own;
- 13 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
14 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
15 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
16 would have been a material fact to the Maryland Plaintiffs’ and
17 Maryland State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
18 Vehicles; and
- 19 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
20 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
21 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
22 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
23 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
24 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
25 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
26 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
27 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
28

1 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
2 in a crash.

3 3164. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
4 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
5 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
6 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 3165. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Maryland Plaintiffs and
8 Maryland State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
9 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
10 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

11 3166. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
12 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
13 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
14 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
15 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
16 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
17 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
18 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
19 and many more have been injured.

20 3167. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Maryland
21 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members did not discover this information by
22 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
23 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

24 3168. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
25 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
26 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
27 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
28

1 products. They did so at the expense of the Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State
2 Class members.

3 3169. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
4 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
5 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
6 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
7 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
8 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Maryland Plaintiffs and
9 Maryland State Class members.

10 3170. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
11 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Maryland Plaintiffs and
12 Maryland State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
13 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

14 3171. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
15 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Maryland
16 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

17 3172. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Maryland
18 Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
19 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
20 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

21 3173. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
22 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Maryland Plaintiffs'
23 and Maryland State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
24 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
25 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
26 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

27
28

1 **g. Maryland Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Hyundai**
2 **Korea and Hyundai USA**

3 3174. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
4 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

5 3175. Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller bring this count individually
6 and on behalf of members of the Maryland State Class who purchased or leased
7 Hyundai Class Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

8 3176. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Joseph Fuller and Tina Fuller
9 shall be referred to as the “Maryland Plaintiffs.”

10 3177. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members conferred
11 tangible and material economic benefits upon Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA
12 when they purchased or leased the Hyundai Class Vehicles. Hyundai Korea and
13 Hyundai USA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

14 3178. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members would not
15 have purchased or leased their Hyundai Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
16 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
17 Therefore, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA profited from the sale and lease of the
18 Hyundai Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the Maryland Plaintiffs and
19 Maryland State Class members.

20 3179. Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA appreciated these benefits, which
21 were the expected result of Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA acting in their
22 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Hyundai Korea and Hyundai
23 USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they
24 failed to disclose this knowledge and misled Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland
25 State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Hyundai Class
26 Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

1 3180. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Hyundai Korea
2 and Hyundai USA to retain these benefits, including because they were procured as
3 a result of their wrongful conduct alleged above.

4 3181. The Maryland Plaintiffs and Maryland State Class members are
5 entitled to restitution of the benefits Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA unjustly
6 retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the Maryland Plaintiffs and
7 Maryland State Class members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with
8 Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

9 3182. The Maryland Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
10 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
11 Maryland Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
12 favor of Defendants, the Maryland Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

13 **10. Massachusetts**

14 **a. Massachusetts Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Mass.**
15 **Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-313 and 2A-210) Against Kia Korea**
16 **and Kia USA¹¹**

17 3183. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 3184. Plaintiff Dylan DeMoranville (hereinafter, "the Massachusetts
20 Plaintiff") brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the
21 Massachusetts State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia
22 Korea and Kia USA.

23 3185. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times "merchants"
24 with respect to motor vehicles under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-104(1) and
25 2A-103(3), and "sellers" of motor vehicles under § 2-103(1)(d).

26 3186. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times "lessors" of
27 motor vehicles under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, § 2A-103(1)(p).

28 ¹¹ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Massachusetts Plaintiff
stated a claim for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 144.

1 3187. All Massachusetts State Class members who purchased Kia Class
2 Vehicles in Massachusetts are “buyers” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch.
3 106, § 2-103(1)(a).

4 3188. All Massachusetts State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles
5 in Massachusetts are “lessees” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106,
6 § 2A-103(1)(n).

7 3189. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
8 within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

9 3190. In connection with the purchase or lease of Kia Class Vehicles, Kia
10 Korea and Kia USA provided the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
11 Class members with written express warranties in the form of: (a) written express
12 warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in
13 materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
14 and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and
15 seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash.

16 3191. However, Kia Korea and Kia USA knew or should have known that
17 the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia USA
18 were aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
19 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
20 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members.

21 3192. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
22 were aware the Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those
23 warranties were an essential part of the bargain that was reached when the
24 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members unknowingly
25 purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs
26 and ASICs.

27 3193. Kia Korea and Kia USA misrepresented the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
28 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the

1 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members were exposed to
2 those misrepresentations, and the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
3 Class members had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
4 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
5 that Kia Korea and Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the
6 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members reasonably relied
7 on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their
8 Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B
9 above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers
10 for each Plaintiff.

11 3194. Kia Korea and Kia USA knowingly breached their express warranties
12 to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect
13 or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and
14 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by providing a product containing
15 defects that were never disclosed to the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts
16 State Class members.

17 3195. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
18 have provided Kia Korea and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to
19 cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
20 complaints filed against them, and individual notice letters sent by the
21 Massachusetts State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the
22 ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on May 23, 2019, Massachusetts State
23 Class members sent a notice letter to Kia. Moreover, a second notice letter was sent
24 on behalf of the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members to
25 Kia on April 24, 2020.

26 3196. Alternatively, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
27 Class members were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice
28 and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged

1 above, Kia Korea and Kia USA have long known that the Kia Class Vehicles
2 contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs
3 to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea
4 and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to
5 the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect
6 exists in all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles.
7 Therefore, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members had
8 no reason to believe that Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU
9 Defect if the they presented their Class Vehicles to Kia Korea and Kia USA for
10 repair.

11 3197. As a direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's breach
12 of their express warranties, the Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the
13 ACU Defect in the Massachusetts Plaintiff's and Massachusetts State Class
14 members' Kia Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Massachusetts
15 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members have been damaged, in an amount
16 to be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for
17 Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

18 **b. Massachusetts Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
19 **Merchantability (Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-314 and 2A-**
20 **212) Against Kia USA¹²**

21 3198. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
22 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

23 3199. The Massachusetts Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
24 behalf of members of the Massachusetts State Class who purchased or leased Kia
25 Class Vehicles, against Kia USA.

26
27

28 ¹² The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Massachusetts Plaintiff stated a claim for breach of implied warranty. See ECF No. 396 at 144.

1 3200. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
2 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
3 pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-314 and 2A-212.

4 3201. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
5 motor vehicles under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-104(1) and 2A-103(3), and a
6 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 2-103(1)(d).

7 3202. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor” of motor vehicles
8 under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, § 2A-103(1)(p).

9 3203. All Massachusetts State Class members who purchased Kia Class
10 Vehicles in Massachusetts are “buyers” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch.
11 106, § 2-103(1)(a).

12 3204. All Massachusetts State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles
13 in Massachusetts are “lessees” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106,
14 § 2A-103(1)(n).

15 3205. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 106, §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

17 3206. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
18 merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter, they were
19 defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without objection in
20 the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles were used.
21 Specifically, the Kia Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect, which may cause the
22 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during an crash, the failure to
23 unlock doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or
24 high-voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of
25 which render the Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

26 3207. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
27 have provided Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the
28 breaches of its implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints

1 filed against it, and individual notice letters sent by the Massachusetts State Class
2 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
3 Additionally, on May 23, 2019, Massachusetts State Class members sent a notice
4 letter to Kia. Moreover, a second notice letter was sent on behalf of the
5 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members to Kia USA, on
6 April 24, 2020.

7 3208. Alternatively, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
8 Class members were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an
9 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
10 Kia USA has long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect,
11 and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
12 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or
13 any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even
14 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including
15 the recalled Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
16 Massachusetts State Class members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would
17 have repaired the ACU Defect if the they presented their Class Vehicles to Kia
18 USA for repair.

19 3209. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA's breach of the implied
20 warranty of merchantability, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
21 Class members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

22 c. **Massachusetts Count 3: Violation of the Deceptive Acts or**
23 **Practices Prohibited By Massachusetts Law (Mass. Gen.**
24 **Laws ch. 93a, § 1, *et seq.*) Against Kia Korea and Kia USA¹³**

25 3210. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 ¹³ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Massachusetts Plaintiff
28 stated a claim against Kia USA for violation of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93a, § 1, *et seq.* See ECF No. 396 at 101.

1 3211. The Massachusetts Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
2 behalf of members of the Massachusetts State Class who purchased or leased Kia
3 Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

4 3212. Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Massachusetts Plaintiff, and Massachusetts
5 State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch.
6 93A, § 1(a).

7 3213. Kia Korea and Kia USA were and are engaged in “trade” or
8 “commerce” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 1(b).

9 3214. The Massachusetts consumer protection law (“Massachusetts Act”)
10 prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices
11 in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 2.

12 3215. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
13 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Massachusetts Act by
14 knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to
15 disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia
16 Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU
17 Defect, as detailed above.

18 3216. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Massachusetts
19 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
20 practices under the Massachusetts Act in the course of their business. Specifically,
21 Kia Korea and Kia USA owed the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
22 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
23 in the Kia Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and
24 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
25 Massachusetts State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were
26 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

27 3217. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
28 installed in them as safe, reliable, and free from defects, and by failing to disclose

1 and actively concealing the dangers and risk posed by the Kia Class Vehicles and
2 the ACU Defect, Kia Korea and Kia USA engaged in unfair or deceptive business
3 practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, as prohibited by Mass. Gen.
4 Laws ch. 93A, § 2.

5 3218. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
6 including misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and/or suppressions of
7 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
8 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
9 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
10 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
11 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
12 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
13 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
14 Massachusetts State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia
15 Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality
16 of the Kia Class Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

17 3219. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
18 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
19 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Hyundai and Kia Class
20 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
21 Massachusetts State Class members, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended. The
22 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members were exposed to
23 those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material
24 facts, and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia
25 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in
26 deciding to purchase and lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they
27 relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19
28 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

1 3220. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
2 had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were
3 false and misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that Kia Korea and Kia
4 USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and
5 Massachusetts State Class members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and
6 Kia USA's deception on their own.

7 3221. Had they known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Massachusetts
8 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members would not have purchased or
9 leased the Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

10 3222. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
11 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
12 Kia Korea's and Kia USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to
13 disclose material information.

14 3223. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
15 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members, as well as to the
16 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
17 ACUs and ASICs therein. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unlawful acts and practices
18 complained of herein affect the public interest.

19 3224. Kia Korea and Kia USA were provided notice of the issues raised in
20 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous
21 complaints filed against them, and the many individual notice letters sent by
22 Massachusetts State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the
23 ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on May 23, 2019, Massachusetts State
24 Class members sent a notice letter pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9(3) to
25 Kia. Moreover, a second notice letter was sent on behalf of the Massachusetts
26 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch.
27 93A, § 9(3) to Kia on April 24, 2020. Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to adequately
28 remedy their unlawful conduct within the requisite time period, the Massachusetts

1 Plaintiff seeks all damages and relief to which the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
2 Massachusetts State Class members are entitled.

3 3225. Alternatively, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
4 Class members were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice
5 and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged
6 above, Kia Korea and Kia USA has long known that the Kia Class Vehicles
7 contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs
8 to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea
9 and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to
10 the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect
11 exists in all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles.
12 Therefore, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members had
13 no reason to believe that Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU
14 Defect if the they presented their Class Vehicles to Kia Korea and Kia USA for
15 repair.

16 3226. Pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9, the Massachusetts Plaintiff
17 and Massachusetts State Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea's and
18 Kia USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
19 other just and proper relief available under the Massachusetts Act.

20 **d. Massachusetts Count 4: Violation of the Deceptive Acts or**
21 **Practices Prohibited By Massachusetts Law (Mass. Gen.**
22 **Laws ch. 93a, § 1, et seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia

23 3227. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25 3228. The Massachusetts Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
26 behalf of members of the Massachusetts State Class against ZF Electronics USA,
27 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany
28

1 (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
2 (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3 3229. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Massachusetts Plaintiff, and
4 Massachusetts State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Mass.
5 Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 1(a).

6 3230. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
7 “commerce” within the meaning of Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 1(b).

8 3231. The Massachusetts consumer protection law (“Massachusetts Act”)
9 prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices
10 in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 2.

11 3232. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Massachusetts
12 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
13 practices under the Massachusetts Act in the course of their business. Specifically,
14 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts
15 State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU
16 Defect in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and
17 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
18 Massachusetts State Class members.

19 3233. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
20 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Massachusetts Act by
21 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
22 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
23 Vehicles, as detailed above.

24 3234. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
25 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
26 Massachusetts Act when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
27 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
28 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF

1 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
2 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
3 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
4 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
5 properly in a crash.

6 3235. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
7 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
8 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Mass. Gen.
9 Laws ch. 93A, § 2.

10 3236. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
11 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
12 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
13 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
14 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
15 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
16 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
17 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
18 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
19 Massachusetts State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
20 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
21 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

22 3237. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
23 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
24 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
25 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts
26 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
27 deception on their own.

28

1 3238. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
2 concealment of the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and
3 ASICs in the Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Massachusetts
4 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members to purchase and lease Class
5 Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
6 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members would not have
7 purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for
8 them.

9 3239. The Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
10 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
11 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
12 material information.

13 3240. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
14 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members, as well as to the
15 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
16 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices
17 complained of herein affect the public interest.

18 3241. The ZF and ST Defendants were provided notice of the issues raised in
19 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous
20 complaints filed against them, and the many individual notice letters sent by
21 Massachusetts State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the
22 allegations of the ACU Defect became public. Also, on May 23, 2019,
23 Massachusetts State Class members sent a notice letter pursuant to Mass. Gen.
24 Laws ch. 93A, § 9(3) to the ZF Defendants, and a second notice letter was sent to
25 the ZF Defendants on behalf of the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
26 Class members pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9(3) on April 24, 2020.
27 Moreover, a notice letter was sent to ST USA on behalf of the Massachusetts
28 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch.

1 93A, § 9(3) on June 5, 2020, and to ST Italy and ST Malaysia on May 25, 2022.
2 Because the ZF and ST Defendants failed to adequately remedy their unlawful
3 conduct within the requisite time period, the Massachusetts Plaintiff seeks all
4 damages and relief to which the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State
5 Class members are entitled.

6 3242. Alternatively, any requirement to give notice to the Defendants under
7 Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9(3) is excused because, *inter alia*, on information and
8 belief the ZF and ST Defendants do not maintain a place of business or do not keep
9 assets within Massachusetts.

10 3243. Pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9, the Massachusetts Plaintiff
11 and Massachusetts State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
12 Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
13 other just and proper relief available under the Massachusetts Act.

14 **e. Massachusetts Count 5: Fraud by Omission and**
15 **Concealment Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

16 3244. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
17 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

18 3245. The Massachusetts Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
19 behalf of members of the Massachusetts State Class who purchased or leased Kia
20 Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

21 3246. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and
22 non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 3247. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)

1 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
2 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
3 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

4 3248. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the
5 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members because:

- 6 a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior
7 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 8 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
9 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members
10 lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and
11 electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the
12 ACU Defect on their own;
- 13 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
14 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
15 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
16 have been a material fact to the Massachusetts Plaintiff’s and
17 Massachusetts State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease
18 Kia Class Vehicles; and
- 19 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
20 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
21 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
22 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
23 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
24 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
25 failed to disclose to the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
26 Massachusetts State Class members that the Kia Class Vehicles
27 contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide
28 information about the Kia Class Vehicles that they marketed and

1 offered for sale and lease to the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
2 Massachusetts State Class members, Kia Korea and Kia USA
3 had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

4 3249. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
5 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
6 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
7 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

8 3250. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
9 Massachusetts State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
10 purchasing and leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
11 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

12 3251. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
13 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
14 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
15 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
16 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
17 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and
18 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
19 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
20 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

21 3252. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the Massachusetts
22 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members did not discover this information
23 by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class
24 Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

25 3253. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
26 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
27 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
28 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their

1 products. They did so at the expense of the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
2 Massachusetts State Class members.

3 3254. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
4 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
5 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
6 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
7 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
8 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Massachusetts
9 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members.

10 3255. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
11 and Kia Korea's and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the Massachusetts
12 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members either would not have paid as
13 much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or
14 leased them.

15 3256. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
16 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
17 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members would have seen
18 such a disclosure.

19 3257. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the Massachusetts
20 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members for their damages in an amount to
21 be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia
22 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

23 3258. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
24 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Massachusetts
25 Plaintiff's and Massachusetts State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
26 enrich themselves. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment
27 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
28 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

f. Massachusetts Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia

3259. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

3260. The Massachusetts Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the Massachusetts State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3261. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3262. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3) failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3263. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members because:

- a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members

1 lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and
2 electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the
3 ACU Defect on their own;

4 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
5 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
6 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
7 would have been a material fact to the Massachusetts Plaintiff's
8 and Massachusetts State Class members' decisions to buy or
9 lease Class Vehicles; and

10 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
11 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
14 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
17 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
18 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
19 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
20 in a crash.

21 3264. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
22 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
23 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
24 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

25 3265. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Massachusetts Plaintiff
26 and Massachusetts State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did
27 by purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
28 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

1 3266. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
3 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
4 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
5 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
6 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
7 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
8 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
9 and many more have been injured.

10 3267. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the
11 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members did not discover
12 this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
13 Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 3268. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the Massachusetts Plaintiff and
19 Massachusetts State Class members.

20 3269. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Massachusetts Plaintiff
26 and Massachusetts State Class members.

27 3270. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
28 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Massachusetts Plaintiff and

1 Massachusetts State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
2 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 3271. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
5 Massachusetts Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members would have seen
6 such a disclosure.

7 3272. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Massachusetts
8 Plaintiff and Massachusetts State Class members for their damages in an amount to
9 be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
10 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

11 3273. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
12 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Massachusetts
13 Plaintiff's and Massachusetts State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
14 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
15 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
16 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

17 **11. Michigan**

18 **a. Michigan Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2313 and 440.2860) Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

19
20 3274. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
21 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

22 3275. Plaintiff Kinyata Jones (hereinafter, "Michigan Plaintiff") brings this
23 count individually and on behalf of members of the Michigan State Class who
24 purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

25 3276. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members purchased
26 their Kia Class Vehicles primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

27
28

1 3277. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times “merchants”
2 with respect to motor vehicles under Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2104(1) and
3 440.2803(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 440.2103(1)(c).

4 3278. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of
5 motor vehicles under Mich. Comp. Laws § 440.2803(1)(p).

6 3279. All Michigan State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles
7 in Michigan are “buyers” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws
8 §440.2103(1)(a).

9 3280. All Michigan State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
10 Michigan are “lessees” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws § 440.2803(1)(n).

11 3281. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
12 within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2105(1) and 4400.2803(1)(h).

13 3282. In connection with the purchase or lease of Kia Class Vehicles, Kia
14 Korea and Kia USA provided the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
15 members with written express warranties in the form of: (a) written express
16 warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in
17 materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
18 and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and
19 seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash

20 3283. However, Kia Korea and Kia USA knew or should have known that
21 the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia USA
22 were aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
23 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
24 Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members.

25 3284. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members were aware
26 the Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties
27 were an essential part of the bargain that was reached when they unknowingly
28 purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles that contained the ACU Defect.

1 3285. Kia Korea and Kia USA misrepresented the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
2 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Michigan
3 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members were exposed to those
4 misrepresentations, and the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members
5 had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were
6 false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Kia Korea and Kia
7 USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Michigan Plaintiff and
8 Michigan State Class members reasonably relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
9 express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs
10 allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
11 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

12 3286. Kia Korea and Kia USA knowingly breached their express warranties
13 to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect
14 or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and
15 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by providing a product containing
16 defects that were never disclosed to the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State
17 Class members.

18 3287. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members have
19 provided Kia Korea and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure
20 the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
21 complaints filed against them, and individual notice letters sent by the Michigan
22 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
23 became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Michigan
24 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members to Kia Korea and Kia USA on April
25 24, 2020.

26 3288. Alternatively, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
27 members were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice and an
28 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,

1 Kia Korea and Kia USA have long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the
2 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
3 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea and Kia USA
4 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
5 unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in
6 all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore,
7 the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members had no reason to believe
8 that Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the they
9 presented their Class Vehicles to Kia Korea and Kia USA for repair.

10 3289. As a direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's breach
11 of their express warranties, the Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the
12 ACU Defect in the Michigan Plaintiff's and Michigan State Class members' Kia
13 Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan
14 State Class members have been, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their
15 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an
16 undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

17 **b. Michigan Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
18 **Merchantability (Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2314 and**
440.2862) Against Kia USA

19 3290. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 3291. The Michigan Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
22 members of the Michigan State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
23 against Kia USA.

24 3292. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
25 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
26 pursuant to Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2314 and 440.2862.

27
28

1 3293. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
2 motor vehicles under Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2104(1) and 440.2803(3), and a
3 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 440.2103(1)(c).

4 3294. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor” of motor vehicles
5 under Mich. Comp. Laws § 440.2803(1)(p).

6 3295. All Michigan State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles
7 in Michigan are “buyers” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws
8 § 440.2103(1)(a).

9 3296. All Michigan State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
10 Michigan are “lessees” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws § 440.2803(1)(n).

11 3297. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
12 within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 440.2105(1) and 4400.2803(1)(h).

13 3298. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
14 merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter, they were
15 defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without objection in
16 the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles were used.
17 Specifically, the Kia Class Vehicles contain the ACU Defect, which may cause the
18 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during an crash, the failure to
19 unlock doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or
20 high-voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of
21 which render the Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

22 3299. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members have
23 provided Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
24 their implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against
25 them, and individual notice letters sent by the Michigan State Class members
26 within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
27 Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Michigan Plaintiff and
28 Michigan State Class members to Kia USA on April 24, 2020.

1 3300. Alternatively, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
2 members were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to
3 cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Kia USA has
4 long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the
5 ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving
6 Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or any other
7 repair program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even
8 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of the Kia Class Vehicles, including
9 the recalled Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan
10 State Class members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would have repaired
11 the ACU Defect if they presented their Class Vehicles to Kia USA for repair.

12 3301. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA’s breach of the implied
13 warranty of merchantability, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
14 members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

15 **c. Michigan Count 3: Violation of the Michigan Consumer**
16 **Protection Act (Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901, et seq.)**
17 **Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

18 3302. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 3303. The Michigan Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
21 members of the Michigan State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
22 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

23 3304. Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Michigan Plaintiff, and Michigan State Class
24 members are “persons” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.902(1)(d).

25 3305. Kia Korea and Kia USA were and are engaged in “trade” or
26 “commerce” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.902(1)(g).

27 3306. The Michigan Consumer Protection Act (“Michigan CPA”) prohibits
28 “[u]nfair, unconscionable, or deceptive methods, acts, or practices in the conduct of
trade or commerce[.]” Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.903(1).

1 3307. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
2 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Michigan CPA by knowingly
3 and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
4 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
5 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
6 detailed above.

7 3308. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Michigan Plaintiff
8 and Michigan State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices
9 under the Michigan CPA in the course of their business. Specifically, Kia Korea
10 and Kia USA owed the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members a
11 duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Kia Class
12 Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
13 concealed the ACU Defect from the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
14 members, and they made misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because
15 they were contradicted by withheld facts.

16 3309. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
17 installed in them as safe, reliable, and free from defects, and by failing to disclose
18 and actively concealing the dangers and risk posed by the Kia Class Vehicles and
19 the ACU Defect, Kia Korea and Kia USA engaged in unfair or deceptive business
20 practices prohibited by Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 445.903:

- 21 a. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective
22 ACUs and ASICs installed in them have characteristics, uses,
23 benefits, and qualities which they do not have.
- 24 b. Representing that the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective
25 ACUs installed in them are of a particular standard, quality, and
26 grade when they are not.

27
28

- 1 c. Advertising the Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
- 2 installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease them as
- 3 advertised.
- 4 d. Failing to reveal a material fact, the omission of which tends to
- 5 mislead or deceive the consumer, and which fact could not
- 6 reasonably be known by the consumer.
- 7 e. Failing to reveal facts that are material to the transaction in light
- 8 of representations of fact made in a positive manner.

9 Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 445.903(1)(c), (e), (g), (s), and (cc).

10 3310. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
11 including misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and/or suppressions of
12 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
13 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
14 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
15 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
16 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
17 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
18 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Michigan Plaintiff and
19 Michigan State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class
20 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
21 Kia Class Vehicles, and the true value of those vehicles.

22 3311. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
23 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
24 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were
25 material to the decisions of the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
26 members, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended. The Michigan Plaintiff and
27 Michigan State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations,
28 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on Kia

1 Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia Class Vehicles and their
2 Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
3 lease those vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section
4 II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph
5 numbers for each Plaintiff.

6 3312. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members had no way
7 of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's representations were false and
8 misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that Kia Korea and Kia USA had
9 concealed or failed to disclose. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
10 members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and Kia USA's deception on
11 their own.

12 3313. Had they known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Michigan
13 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
14 Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

15 3314. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's fraudulent behavior, described herein,
16 concerned whether the Kia Class Vehicles had a functional Occupant Restraint
17 System and the value of the Kia Class Vehicles, and therefore deprived the
18 Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members of the ability to negotiate fair
19 terms and make an informed decision about whether to purchase or lease Kia Class
20 Vehicles and how much to pay for them.

21 3315. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members suffered
22 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of Kia
23 Korea's and Kia USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and failure to disclose
24 material information.

25 3316. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
26 Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members, as well as to the general
27 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
28

1 ASICs therein. Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s unlawful acts and practices complained
2 of herein affect the public interest.

3 3317. Pursuant to Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.911, the Michigan Plaintiff and
4 Michigan State Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s
5 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
6 proper relief available under the Michigan CPA.

7 **d. Michigan Count 4: Violation of the Michigan Consumer**
8 **Protection Act (Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901, et seq.)**
9 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
10 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
11 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

12 3318. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
13 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

14 3319. The Michigan Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
15 of members of the Michigan State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
16 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
17 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
18 “ST Defendants”).

19 3320. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Michigan Plaintiff, and
20 Michigan State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Mich. Comp.
21 Laws § 445.902(1)(d).

22 3321. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
23 “commerce” within the meaning of Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.902(1)(g).

24 3322. The Michigan Consumer Protection Act (“Michigan CPA”) prohibits “[u]nfair, unconscionable, or deceptive methods, acts, or practices in the
25 conduct of trade or commerce[.]” Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.903(1).

26 3323. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Michigan
27 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
28 practices under the Michigan CPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
ZF and ST Defendants owed the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class

1 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
2 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
3 concealed the ACU Defect from the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
4 members.

5 3324. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
6 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Michigan CPA by
7 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
8 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
9 Vehicles, as detailed above.

10 3325. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and
11 ZF Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated
12 the Michigan CPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
13 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
14 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
15 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
17 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
18 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
19 properly in a crash.

20 3326. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
21 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and ST
22 Defendants engaged in one or more of the unfair, unconscionable, or deceptive
23 methods, acts, or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce prohibited by Mich.
24 Comp. Laws § 445.903(1), (1)(c), (e), (g), (s), and (cc), including misrepresenting
25 and failing to reveal material facts that could not reasonably be known by the
26 consumer, and failing to reveal facts that are material to the transaction in light of
27 representations of fact made by the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants.

28

1 3327. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
2 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
3 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
4 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
5 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
6 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
7 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
8 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
9 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Michigan Plaintiff and
10 Michigan State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
11 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs installed in them.

12 3328. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members
13 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, omissions, and
14 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained the
15 ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
16 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants’ deception on
17 their own.

18 3329. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, omissions, and
19 concealment of the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and
20 ASICs in the Class Vehicles were material to the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan
21 State Class members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the
22 truth about the ACU Defect, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
23 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
24 paid significantly less for them.

25 3330. The ZF and ST Defendants’ fraudulent behavior, described herein,
26 concerned whether Class Vehicles had a functional Occupant Restraint System and
27 the value of the Class Vehicles, and therefore deprived the Michigan Plaintiff and
28 Michigan State Class members of the ability to negotiate fair terms and make an

1 informed decision about whether to purchase or lease Class Vehicles and how much
2 to pay for them.

3 3331. The Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members
4 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
5 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
6 material information.

7 3332. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to
8 the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members, as well as to the general
9 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
10 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained
11 of herein affect the public interest.

12 3333. Pursuant to Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.911, the Michigan Plaintiff and
13 Michigan State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants'
14 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
15 proper relief available under the Michigan CPA.

16 **e. Michigan Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
17 **Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

18 3334. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 3335. The Michigan Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
21 members of the Michigan State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
22 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

23 3336. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and
24 non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

25 3337. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
26 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
27 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
28 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the

1 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
2 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
3 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
4 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
5 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

6 3338. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the
7 Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members because:

- 8 a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior
9 knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 10 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
11 Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members lack the
12 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
13 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
14 on their own;
- 15 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
16 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
17 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
18 have been a material fact to the Michigan Plaintiff's and
19 Michigan State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Kia
20 Class Vehicles; and
- 21 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
22 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
23 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
24 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
25 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
26 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
27 failed to disclose to the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State
28 Class members that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU

1 Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about
2 the Kia Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale
3 and lease to the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class
4 members, Kia Korea and Kia USA had the duty to disclose the
5 whole truth.

6 3339. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
7 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
8 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, could fail in
9 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

10 3340. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the Michigan Plaintiff and
11 Michigan State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
12 purchasing and leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
13 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

14 3341. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
15 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
16 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
17 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
18 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
19 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and
20 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
21 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
22 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

23 3342. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the Michigan
24 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members did not discover this information by
25 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class Vehicles'
26 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

27 3343. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
28 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to

1 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
2 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
3 products. They did so at the expense of the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State
4 Class members.

5 3344. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
6 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
7 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
8 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
9 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
10 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Michigan Plaintiff
11 and Michigan State Class members.

12 3345. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
13 and Kia Korea's and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the Michigan Plaintiff
14 and Michigan State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
15 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

16 3346. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
17 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Michigan
18 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

19 3347. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the Michigan
20 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
21 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia
22 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

23 3348. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
24 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Michigan Plaintiff's
25 and Michigan State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
26 themselves. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of
27 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
28 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

f. Michigan Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia

3349. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

3350. The Michigan Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the Michigan State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3351. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3352. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3) failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3353. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members because:

- a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members lack the

1 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
2 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
3 on their own;

4 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
5 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
6 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
7 would have been a material fact to the Michigan Plaintiff's and
8 Michigan State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
9 Vehicles; and

10 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
11 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
14 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
17 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
18 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
19 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
20 in a crash.

21 3354. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
22 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
23 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, could fail in the event
24 of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

25 3355. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Michigan Plaintiff and
26 Michigan State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
27 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
28 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

1 3356. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
3 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
4 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
5 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
6 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
7 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
8 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
9 and many more have been injured.

10 3357. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Michigan
11 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 3358. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the Michigan Plaintiff and Michigan State
19 Class members.

20 3359. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Michigan Plaintiff and
26 Michigan State Class members.

27 3360. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
28 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Michigan Plaintiff and

1 Michigan State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
2 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 3361. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Michigan
5 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

6 3362. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Michigan
7 Plaintiff and Michigan State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
8 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
9 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

10 3363. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
11 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Michigan Plaintiff's
12 and Michigan State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
13 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
14 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
15 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

16 **12. Minnesota**

17 **a. Minnesota Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Minn.
18 Stat. §§ 336.2-313 and 336.2A-210) Against FCA, Kia Korea,
and Kia USA**

19 3364. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 3365. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
22 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
23 Vehicles, against FCA.

24 3366. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
25 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
26 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

27 3367. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
28 be referred to as the "Minnesota Plaintiffs."

1 3368. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times
2 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-104(1) and
3 336.2A-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 336.2-103(1)(d).

4 3369. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times
5 “lessors” of motor vehicles under Minn. Stat. § 336.2A-103(1)(p).

6 3370. All Minnesota State Class members who purchased FCA and Kia
7 Class Vehicles in Minnesota are “buyers” within the meaning of Minn. Stat.
8 § 336.2-103(1)(a).

9 3371. All Minnesota State Class members who leased FCA and Kia Class
10 Vehicles in Minnesota are “lessees” within the meaning of Minn. Stat. § 336.2A-
11 103(1)(n).

12 3372. The FCA and Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
13 “goods” within the meaning of Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-105(1) and 336.2A-103(1)(h).

14 3373. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA and Kia Class
15 Vehicles, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA provided the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
16 Minnesota State Class members with written express warranties in the form of: (a)
17 written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that
18 are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the FCA and Kia
19 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems,
20 including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the
21 event of a crash.

22 3374. However, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew or should have known
23 that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, FCA, Kia Korea, and
24 Kia USA were aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, which
25 made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were
26 sold and leased to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members.

27 3375. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members were
28 aware the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and

1 those warranties were an essential part of the bargain between the Minnesota
2 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
3 when they unknowingly purchased or leased FCA and Kia Class Vehicles that
4 contained the ACU Defect.

5 3376. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA misrepresented the FCA and Kia Class
6 Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect,
7 the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members were exposed to those
8 misrepresentations, and the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
9 members had no way of discerning that FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
10 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
11 that FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose.
12 Accordingly, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
13 reasonably relied on FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's express warranties when
14 purchasing or leasing their FCA and Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
15 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
16 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

17 3377. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knowingly breached their express
18 warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the
19 ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA and Kia Class
20 Vehicles. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA also breached their express warranties by
21 providing a product containing defects that were never disclosed to the Minnesota
22 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members.

23 3378. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members have
24 provided FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to
25 cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
26 complaints filed against them, and individual notice letters sent by the Minnesota
27 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
28 became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Minnesota

1 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members to FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA on
2 April 24, 2020.

3 3379. Alternatively, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
4 members were excused from providing FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA with notice
5 and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged
6 above, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA have long known that the FCA and Kia
7 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused
8 ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to
9 date, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair
10 program with respect to the unrecalled FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, or even
11 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles,
12 including the recalled FCA and Kia Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Minnesota
13 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members had no reason to believe that FCA,
14 Kia Korea, and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if they presented
15 their Class Vehicles to FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA for repair.

16 3380. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia
17 USA's breach of their express warranties, the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles were
18 and are defective and the ACU Defect in the Minnesota Plaintiffs' and Minnesota
19 State Class members' FCA and Kia Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore,
20 the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members have been damaged,
21 in an amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of
22 purchase or lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that
23 would not be remedied.

24 **b. Minnesota Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
25 **Merchantability (Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-314 and 336.2A-212)**
26 **Against FCA and Kia USA**

27 3381. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
28 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 3382. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
3 Vehicles, against FCA.

4 3383. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
5 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
6 Vehicles, against Kia USA.

7 3384. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
8 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

9 3385. A warranty that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable
10 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
11 by law pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-314 and 336.2A-212.

12 3386. The FCA and Kia Defendants are and were at all relevant times
13 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-104(1) and
14 336.2A-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 336.2-103(1)(d).

15 3387. With respect to leases, the FCA and Kia Defendants are and were at all
16 relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Minn. Stat. § 336.2A-103(1)(p).

17 3388. All Minnesota State Class members who purchased FCA and Kia
18 Class Vehicles in Minnesota are “buyers” within the meaning of Minn. Stat.
19 § 336.2-103(1)(a),

20 3389. All Minnesota State Class members who leased FCA and Kia Class
21 Vehicles in Minnesota are “lessees” within the meaning of Minn. Stat. § 336.2A-
22 103(1)(n).

23 3390. The FCA and Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
24 “goods” within the meaning of Minn. Stat. §§ 336.2-105(1) and 336.2A-103(1)(h).

25 3391. The FCA and Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied
26 warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale and at all times thereafter,
27 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
28 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles

1 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased the FCA and Kia
2 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and
3 seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
4 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
5 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
6 FCA and Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

7 3392. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members have
8 provided FCA and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the
9 breaches of their implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints
10 filed against them, and individual notice letters sent by the Minnesota State Class
11 members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
12 Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
13 Minnesota State Class members to FCA and Kia USA on April 24, 2020.

14 3393. Alternatively, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
15 members were excused from providing FCA and Kia USA with notice and an
16 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
17 FCA and Kia USA have long known that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles
18 contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs
19 to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, FCA and Kia
20 USA have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
21 unrecalled FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect
22 exists in all of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA and Kia
23 Class Vehicles. Therefore, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
24 members had no reason to believe that FCA and Kia USA would have repaired the
25 ACU Defect if they presented their Class Vehicles to FCA and Kia USA for repair.

26 3394. As a direct and proximate result of the FCA's and Kia USA's breach
27 of the implied warranty of merchantability, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota
28 State Class members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

1 c. **Minnesota Count 3: Violation of the Minnesota Prevention**
2 **of Consumer Fraud Act (Minn. Stat. § 325F.68, et seq. and**
3 **Minn. Stat. § 8.31, subd. 3a) Against FCA, Kia Korea, and**
4 **Kia USA**

5 3395. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 3396. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
8 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
9 Vehicles, against FCA.

10 3397. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
11 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
12 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

13 3398. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
14 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

15 3399. FCA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Minnesota Plaintiffs, and the
16 Minnesota State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Minn. Stat.
17 § 325F.68(3).

18 3400. The FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and ACUs and ASICs installed in
19 them are “merchandise” within the meaning of Minn. Stat. § 325F.68(2).

20 3401. The Minnesota Prevention of Consumer Fraud Act (“Minnesota
21 CFA”) prohibits “act, use, or employment by any person of any fraud, false
22 pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, misleading statement or deceptive
23 practice, with the intent that others rely thereon in connection with the sale of any
24 merchandise, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived, or
25 damaged[.]” Minn. Stat. § 325F.69(1).

26 3402. In the course of their business, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA,
27 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Minnesota CFA
28 by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or
 failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of

1 the Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU
2 Defect, as detailed above.

3 3403. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Minnesota
4 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
5 practices under the Minnesota CFA in the course of their business. Specifically,
6 FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA owed the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State
7 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
8 in the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of
9 and intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
10 Minnesota State Class members, and they made misrepresentations that were
11 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

12 3404. By misrepresenting the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and
13 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
14 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers
15 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Kia
16 Korea, and Kia USA engaged in unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited
17 by Minn. Stat. § 325F.69, including use, or employment by any person of any fraud,
18 false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, misleading statement or deceptive
19 practice, with the intent that others rely thereon in connection with the sale of any
20 merchandise.

21 3405. FCA's, Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or
22 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
23 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
24 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the FCA and
25 Kia Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and
26 that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would
27 perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
28 collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and

1 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
2 the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, about the true safety
3 and reliability of FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs
4 installed in them, the quality of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, and their true
5 value.

6 3406. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misrepresentations,
7 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
8 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the FCA and
9 Kia Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
10 Minnesota State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as FCA, Kia
11 Korea, and Kia USA intended. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
12 members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
13 suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
14 misrepresentations that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and their Occupant
15 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease those
16 vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To
17 aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
18 Plaintiff.

19 3407. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members had no
20 way of discerning that FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's representations were
21 false and misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that FCA, Kia Korea, and
22 Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and
23 Minnesota State Class members did not, and could not, unravel FCA's, Kia
24 Korea's, and Kia USA's deception on their own.

25 3408. Had the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
26 known the truth about the ACU Defect, they would not have purchased or leased
27 the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.
28

1 3409. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members suffered
2 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of FCA’s,
3 Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s misrepresentations, concealment, and/or failure to
4 disclose material information.

5 3410. FCA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s violations present a continuing
6 risk to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, as well as to
7 the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
8 ACUs and ASICs therein. FCA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s unlawful acts and
9 practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

10 3411. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 8.31(3a) and 549.20(1)(a), the Minnesota
11 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA’s, Kia
12 Korea’s, and Kia USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages
13 and any other just and proper relief available under the Minnesota CFA.

14 **d. Minnesota Count 4: Violation of the Minnesota Uniform**
15 **Deceptive Trade Practices Act (Minn. Stat. § 325D.43, et**
16 **seq.) Against FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA**

17 3412. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
18 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

19 3413. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
21 Vehicles, against FCA.

22 3414. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
23 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
24 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

25 3415. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
26 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

27 3416. The Minnesota Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Minnesota DTPA”)
28 prohibits deceptive trade practices in the course of a business, vocation, or
occupation. Minn. Stat. § 325D.44, Subd. 1.

1 3417. In the course of their business, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA,
2 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Minnesota DTPA
3 by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or
4 failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of
5 the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems,
6 and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

7 3418. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Minnesota
8 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
9 practices under the Minnesota DTPA in the course of their business. Specifically,
10 FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA owed the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State
11 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
12 in the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of
13 and intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
14 Minnesota State Class members, and they made misrepresentations that were
15 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

16 3419. By misrepresenting the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and
17 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
18 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers
19 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Kia
20 Korea, and Kia USA engaged in one or more of the following unfair or deceptive
21 business practices prohibited by Minn. Stat. § 325D.44, Subd. 1:

- 22 a. Representing that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and the
23 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them have
24 characteristics, uses, benefits, and qualities which they do not
25 have;
- 26 b. Representing that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
27 defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them are of a particular
28 standard, quality, and grade when they are not;

- 1 c. Advertising the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and
- 2 ASICs installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease them
- 3 as advertised; and
- 4 d. Engaging in false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practice in
- 5 the conduct of trade or commerce pertaining to the FCA and Kia
- 6 Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs installed in them.

7 Minn. Stat. §§ 325D.44, Subd. 1(5), (7), (9), and (13).

8 3420. FCA's, Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or
9 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
10 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
11 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the FCA and
12 Kia Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and
13 that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would
14 perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
15 collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
16 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
17 the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, about the true safety
18 and reliability of FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs
19 installed in them, the quality of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, and their true
20 value.

21 3421. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misrepresentations,
22 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
23 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the FCA and
24 Kia Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
25 Minnesota State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as FCA, Kia
26 Korea, and Kia USA intended. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
27 members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
28 suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's

1 misrepresentations that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles and their Occupant
2 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease those
3 vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To
4 aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
5 Plaintiff.

6 3422. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members had no
7 way of discerning that FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's representations were
8 false and misleading and/or otherwise learning the facts that FCA, Kia Korea, and
9 Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and
10 Minnesota State Class members did not, and could not, unravel FCA's, Kia
11 Korea's, and Kia USA's deception on their own.

12 3423. Had the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
13 known the truth about the ACU Defect, they would not have purchased or leased
14 the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

15 3424. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members suffered
16 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of FCA's,
17 Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealment, and/or failure to
18 disclose material information.

19 3425. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's violations present a continuing
20 risk to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, as well as to
21 the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
22 ACUs and ASICs therein. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's unlawful acts and
23 practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

24 3426. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 8.31(3a), 325D.45, and 549.20(1)(a), the
25 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members seek an order enjoining
26 FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and any
27 other just and proper relief available under the Minnesota CFA.
28

1 3427. The Minnesota Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
2 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
3 Minnesota Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
4 favor of Defendants, the Minnesota Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

5 e. **Minnesota Count 5: Violation of the Minnesota Prevention
6 of Consumer Fraud Act (Minn. Stat. § 325F.68, *et seq.* and
7 Minn. Stat. § 8.31, subd. 3a) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF
8 Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
9 ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

10 3428. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 3429. Plaintiffs Steve Keister and Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge bring this count
13 individually and on behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class ZF Electronics
14 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF
15 Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST
16 USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

17 3430. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
18 be referred to as the "Minnesota Plaintiffs."

19 3431. The ZF Defendants, ST Defendants, Minnesota Plaintiffs, and
20 Minnesota State Class members are "persons" within the meaning of Minn. Stat.
21 § 325F.68(3).

22 3432. The Class Vehicles and defective ACUs installed in them are
23 "merchandise" within the meaning of Minn. Stat. § 325F.68(2).

24 3433. The Minnesota Prevention of Consumer Fraud Act ("Minnesota
25 CFA") prohibits "act, use, or employment by any person of any fraud, false
26 pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, misleading statement or deceptive
27 practice, with the intent that others rely thereon in connection with the sale of any
28 merchandise, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived, or
damaged[.]" Minn. Stat. § 325F.69(1).

1 3434. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Minnesota
2 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
3 practices under the Minnesota CFA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
4 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
5 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
6 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
7 concealed the ACU Defect from the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
8 members.

9 3435. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
10 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Minnesota CFA by
11 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
12 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
13 Vehicles, as detailed above.

14 3436. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
15 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
16 Minnesota CPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class Vehicles
17 as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
18 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
19 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
20 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
21 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
22 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
23 crash.

24 3437. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
25 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
26 ST Defendants engaged in unfair or deceptive trade practices prohibited by Minn.
27 Stat. § 325F.69, including the use or employment of fraud, false pretense, and
28 deceptive practices.

1 3438. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
2 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
3 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
4 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
5 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
6 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
7 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
8 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
9 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
10 Minnesota State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
11 Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
12 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

13 3439. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
14 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
15 concealment, as they had no way of learning the facts that the ZF and ST
16 Defendants had concealed or failed to disclose. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and
17 Minnesota State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST
18 Defendants' deception on their own.

19 3440. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
20 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
21 Vehicles were material to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
22 members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
23 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members would not have purchased
24 or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

25 3441. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members suffered
26 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
27 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
28 material information.

1 3442. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
2 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, as well as to the general
3 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
4 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
5 of herein affect the public interest.

6 3443. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 8.31(3a) and 549.20(1)(a), the Minnesota
7 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and
8 ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
9 other just and proper relief available under the Minnesota CFA.

10 **f. Minnesota Count 6: Violation of the Minnesota Uniform**
11 **Deceptive Trade Practices Act (Minn. Stat. § 325D.43, et**
12 **seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
13 **ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST**
14 **Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

15 3444. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
16 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

17 3445. Plaintiffs Steve Keister and Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge bring this count
18 individually and on behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class against ZF
19 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
20 and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
21 and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

22 3446. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
23 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

24 3447. The Minnesota Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Minnesota DTPA”)
25 prohibits deceptive trade practices in the course of a business, vocation, or
26 occupation. Minn. Stat. § 325D.44, Subd. 1.

27 3448. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Minnesota
28 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
practices under the Minnesota DTPA in the course of their business. Specifically,
the ZF and ST Defendants owed the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class

1 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
2 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge of and intentionally
3 concealed the ACU Defect from the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
4 members.

5 3449. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
6 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Minnesota DTPA by
7 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
8 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class
9 Vehicles, as detailed above.

10 3450. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
12 Minnesota DTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the Class
13 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
14 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
15 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
17 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
18 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
19 properly in a crash.

20 3451. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
21 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
22 ST Defendants engaged in unfair or deceptive trade practices prohibited by Minn.
23 Stat. § 325D.44, Subd. 1, including engaging in false, misleading, or deceptive acts
24 or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce pertaining to the FCA and Kia
25 Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs installed in them.

26 3452. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
27 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
28 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead

1 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
2 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
3 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
4 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
5 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
6 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
7 Minnesota State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
8 Vehicles and the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
9 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

10 3453. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
11 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
12 concealment, as they had no way of learning the facts that the ZF and ST
13 Defendants had concealed or failed to disclose. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and
14 Minnesota State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST
15 Defendants' deception on their own.

16 3454. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
17 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
18 Vehicles were material to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
19 members, as the ZF and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the
20 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members would not have purchased
21 or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

22 3455. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members suffered
23 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
24 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment, and failure to disclose
25 material information.

26 3456. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
27 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, as well as to the general
28 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and

1 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
2 of herein affect the public interest.

3 3457. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 8.31(3a), 325D.45, and 549.20(1)(a), the
4 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members seek an order enjoining
5 the ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding
6 damages and any other just and proper relief available under the Minnesota DTPA.

7 3458. The Minnesota Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
8 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
9 Minnesota Plaintiffs’ claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
10 favor of Defendants, the Minnesota Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

11 **g. Minnesota Count 7: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
12 **Against FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA**

13 3459. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
14 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

15 3460. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
16 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
17 Vehicles, against FCA.

18 3461. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
19 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
20 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

21 3462. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
22 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

23 3463. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent
24 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
25 51 (1977).

26 3464. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
27 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
28 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release

1 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
2 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
3 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
4 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
5 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
6 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

7 3465. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect
8 to the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members because:

- 9 a. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far
10 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
11 Defect;
- 12 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
13 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members lack
14 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
15 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
16 on their own;
- 17 c. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave
18 rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
19 vehicles, and the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles containing the
20 ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the Minnesota
21 Plaintiffs' and Minnesota State Class members' decisions to buy
22 or lease FCA and Kia Class Vehicles; and
- 23 d. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA made incomplete representations
24 about the safety and reliability of the FCA and Kia Class
25 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
26 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
27 defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each
28 Class Vehicle, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intentionally

1 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Minnesota
2 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members that the FCA and
3 Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they
4 volunteered to provide information about the FCA and Kia Class
5 Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the
6 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members, FCA,
7 Kia Korea, and Kia USA had the duty to disclose the whole
8 truth.

9 3466. In breach of their duties, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA failed to
10 disclose that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that
11 their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners,
12 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

13 3467. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intended for the Minnesota Plaintiffs
14 and Minnesota State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
15 purchasing and leasing the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid
16 believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would
17 function properly.

18 3468. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
19 not have expected that the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect
20 that poses such a serious risk. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew that reasonable
21 consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
22 and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a
23 new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and
24 reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
25 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
26 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

27 3469. Additionally, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA ensured that the
28 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members did not discover this

1 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA
2 and Kia Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 3470. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed
4 these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class
5 Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to
6 liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and
7 their products. They did so at the expense of the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
8 Minnesota State Class members.

9 3471. To this day, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA have not fully and
10 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
11 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
12 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
13 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
14 because they directly impact the value of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles
15 purchased or leased by the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
16 members.

17 3472. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA and Kia Class
18 Vehicles, and FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the
19 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members either would not have
20 paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased
21 or leased them.

22 3473. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had
23 fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
24 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members would have seen such a
25 disclosure.

26 3474. Accordingly, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are liable to the
27 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members for their damages in an
28

1 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
2 for the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 3475. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously,
4 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the
5 Minnesota Plaintiffs' and Minnesota State Class members' rights and well-being;
6 and to enrich themselves. FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misconduct warrants
7 an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to
8 deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to
9 proof at trial.

10 **h. Minnesota Count 8: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
11 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
12 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
13 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

14 3476. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 3477. The Minnesota Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
17 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
18 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
19 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
20 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

21 3478. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
22 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 3479. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as

1 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
2 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3 3480. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
4 the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members because:

- 5 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
6 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
7 Defect;
- 8 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
9 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members lack
10 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
11 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
12 on their own;
- 13 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
14 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
15 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
16 would have been a material fact to the Minnesota Plaintiffs’ and
17 Minnesota State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
18 Vehicles; and
- 19 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
20 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
21 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
22 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
23 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
24 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
25 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
26 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
27 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
28

1 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
2 in a crash.

3 3481. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
4 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
5 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, could fail in the event
6 of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 3482. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
8 Minnesota State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
9 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
10 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

11 3483. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
12 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
13 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
14 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
15 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
16 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
17 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
18 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
19 and many more have been injured.

20 3484. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Minnesota
21 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members did not discover this information by
22 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
23 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

24 3485. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
25 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
26 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
27 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
28

1 products. They did so at the expense of the Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota
2 State Class members.

3 3486. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
4 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
5 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
6 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
7 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
8 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
9 Minnesota State Class members.

10 3487. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
11 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
12 Minnesota State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
13 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

14 3488. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
15 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
16 Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members would have seen such a
17 disclosure.

18 3489. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Minnesota
19 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
20 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
21 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

22 3490. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
23 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Minnesota
24 Plaintiffs' and Minnesota State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
25 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
26 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
27 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.
28

1 **i. Minnesota Count 9: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA, Kia**
2 **Korea, and Kia USA**

3 3491. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
4 allegations in Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

5 3492. Plaintiff Steve Keister brings this count individually and on behalf of
6 members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
7 Vehicles, against FCA.

8 3493. Plaintiff Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge brings this count individually and on
9 behalf of members of the Minnesota State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
10 Vehicles, against Kia Korea, and Kia USA.

11 3494. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Keister and Birk-LaBarge shall
12 be referred to as the “Minnesota Plaintiffs.”

13 3495. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members
14 conferred tangible and material economic benefits upon FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia
15 USA when they purchased or leased the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles. FCA, Kia
16 Korea, and Kia USA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

17 3496. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members would
18 not have purchased or leased their FCA and Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid
19 less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
20 Therefore, FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA profited from the sale and lease of the
21 FCA and Kia Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the Minnesota
22 Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members.

23 3497. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA appreciated these benefits, which were
24 the expected result of FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA acting in their pecuniary
25 interest at the expense of their customers. FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew of
26 these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to
27 disclose this knowledge and misled Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class
28

1 members regarding the nature and quality of the FCA and Kia Class Vehicles while
2 profiting from this deception.

3 3498. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA, Kia
4 Korea, and Kia USA to retain these benefits, including because they were procured
5 as a result of FCA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

6 3499. The Minnesota Plaintiffs and Minnesota State Class members are
7 entitled to restitution of the benefits FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA unjustly
8 retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the Minnesota Plaintiffs and
9 Minnesota State Class members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with
10 FCA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

11 3500. The Minnesota Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
12 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
13 Minnesota Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
14 favor of Defendants, the Minnesota Plaintiffs will have no adequate legal remedy.

15 **13. Missouri**

16 **a. Missouri Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-313 and 400.2A-210) Against Kia Korea and**
17 **Kia USA**

18 3501. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 3502. Plaintiff Dan Sutterfield (hereinafter, "Missouri Plaintiff") brings this
21 count individually and on behalf of members of the Missouri State Class who
22 purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

23 3503. Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times "merchants"
24 with respect to motor vehicles under Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-104(1) and 400.2A-
25 103(3), and "sellers" of motor vehicles under § 400.2-103(1)(d).

26 3504. With respect to leases, Kia Korea and Kia USA are and were at all
27 relevant times "lessors" of motor vehicles under Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2A-
28 103(1)(p).

1 3505. All Missouri State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles
2 in Missouri are “buyers” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2-103(1)(a).

3 3506. All Missouri State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
4 Missouri are “lessees” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2A-103(1)(n).

5 3507. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
6 within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-105(1) and 400.2A-103(1)(h).

7 3508. In connection with the purchase or lease of Kia Class Vehicles, Kia
8 Korea and Kia USA provided the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
9 members with warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the
10 repair or replacement of components that are defective in materials or
11 workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable,
12 and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt
13 pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash.

14 3509. However, Kia Korea and Kia USA knew or should have known that
15 the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Kia Korea and Kia USA
16 were aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
17 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
18 Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members.

19 3510. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members were aware
20 the Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties
21 were an essential part of the bargain between them, Kia Korea and Kia USA when
22 the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members unknowingly purchased
23 and leased Kia Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

24 3511. Kia Korea and Kia USA misrepresented the Kia Class Vehicles as safe
25 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Missouri
26 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members were exposed to those
27 misrepresentations, and the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members
28 had no way of discerning that Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s representations were

1 false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Kia Korea and Kia
2 USA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Missouri Plaintiff and
3 Missouri State Class members reasonably relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
4 express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs
5 allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
6 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

7 3512. Kia Korea and Kia USA knowingly breached their express warranties
8 to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect
9 or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and
10 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by selling and leasing Kia Class
11 Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the Missouri Plaintiff and
12 Missouri State Class members.

13 3513. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members have
14 provided Kia Korea and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure
15 the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
16 complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by Missouri
17 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
18 became public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of
19 the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members to Kia Korea and Kia
20 USA.

21 3514. Alternatively, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members
22 were excused from providing Kia Korea and Kia USA with notice and an
23 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
24 Kia Korea and Kia USA have long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the
25 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
26 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia Korea and Kia USA
27 have not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the
28 unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in

1 all Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class Vehicles—even though all
2 of the Kia Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the
3 Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members had no reason to believe that
4 Kia Korea and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Missouri
5 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to them
6 for repair.

7 3515. As a direct and proximate result of Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s breach
8 of their express warranties, the Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the
9 ACU Defect in the Missouri Plaintiff’s and Missouri State Class members’ Kia
10 Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri
11 State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial,
12 through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Kia Class Vehicles
13 with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

14 **b. Missouri Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
15 **Merchantability (Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-314 and 400.2A-**
16 **212) Against Kia USA**

17 3516. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 3517. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the Missouri State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
21 against Kia USA.

22 3518. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
23 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
24 pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-314 and 400.2A-212.

25 3519. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
26 motor vehicles under Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-104(1) and 400.2A-103(3), and a
27 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 400.2-103(1)(d).

28 3520. With respect to leases, Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a
“lessor” of motor vehicles under Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2A-103(1)(p).

1 3521. All Missouri State Class members who purchased Kia Class Vehicles
2 in Missouri are “buyers” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2-103(1)(a).

3 3522. All Missouri State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
4 Missouri are “lessees” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 400.2A-103(1)(n).

5 3523. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
6 within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 400.2-105(1) and 400.2A-103(1)(h).

7 3524. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
8 merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
9 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
10 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
11 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Kia Class
12 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
13 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
14 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
15 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
16 Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

17 3525. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members have
18 provided Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
19 its implied warranty by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it,
20 and the individual notice letters sent by Missouri State Class members within a
21 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
22 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Missouri Plaintiff and
23 Missouri State Class members to Kia USA.

24 3526. Alternatively, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members
25 were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the
26 breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Kia USA has long
27 known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
28 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class

1 Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
2 program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
3 that the ACU Defect exists in all Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia
4 Class Vehicles—even though all of the Kia Class Vehicles are subject to the
5 NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
6 members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would have repaired the ACU
7 Defect if the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members presented their
8 Class Vehicles to it for repair.

9 3527. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA’s breach of the implied
10 warranty of merchantability, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
11 members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
12 lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be
13 proven at trial.

14 c. **Missouri Count 3: Violation of the Missouri Merchandising**
15 **Practices Act (Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, *et seq.*) Against Kia**
16 **Korea and Kia USA**

17 3528. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 3529. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the Missouri State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
21 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

22 3530. Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Missouri Plaintiff, and Missouri State Class
23 members are “persons” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010(5).

24 3531. Kia Korea and Kia USA were and are engaged in “trade or commerce”
25 within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010(7).

26 3532. The Missouri Merchandising Practices Act (“Missouri MPA”)
27 prohibits unlawful business practices. Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.020(1).
28

1 3533. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class Members purchased
2 their Kia Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them primarily for personal,
3 family, or household purposes.

4 3534. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
5 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Missouri MPA by knowingly
6 and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
7 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
8 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
9 detailed above.

10 3535. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the Missouri Plaintiff
11 and Missouri State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices
12 under the Missouri MPA in the course of their business. Specifically, Kia Korea
13 and Kia USA owed the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members a duty
14 to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Kia Class
15 Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed
16 the ACU Defect from the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members,
17 and/or they made misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they
18 were contradicted by withheld facts.

19 3536. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
20 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
21 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
22 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Kia Korea and Kia USA
23 engaged in one or more of the following unfair or deceptive business practices
24 prohibited by Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.020(1): using or employing deception, fraud,
25 false pretense, false promise or misrepresentation, or the concealment, suppression
26 or omission of a material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment,
27 suppression or omission, in connection with the advertisement and sale/lease of the
28

1 Kia Class Vehicles, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived or
2 damaged thereby.

3 3537. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices,
4 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
5 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
6 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
7 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
8 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
9 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
10 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
11 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri
12 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class Vehicles
13 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Kia Class
14 Vehicles, and the true value of the Kia Class Vehicles.

15 3538. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
16 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
17 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were
18 material to the decisions of the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
19 members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended.
20 The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members were exposed to those
21 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
22 and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia Class
23 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
24 purchase and lease Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied
25 upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
26 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

27 3539. The Missouri Plaintiff's and Missouri State Class members' reliance
28 was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia USA's

1 representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that Kia
2 Korea and Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Missouri Plaintiff and
3 Missouri State Class members did not, and could not, unravel Kia Korea's and Kia
4 USA's deception on their own.

5 3540. Had the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members known
6 the truth about the ACU Defect, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
7 members would not have purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, or would have
8 paid significantly less for them.

9 3541. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members suffered
10 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
11 purchase and lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
12 direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's concealment,
13 misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

14 3542. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
15 Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members, as well as to the general
16 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
17 ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices complained of herein
18 affect the public interest.

19 3543. Pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.025, the Missouri Plaintiff and
20 Missouri State Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea's and Kia USA's
21 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
22 proper relief available under the Missouri MPA.

23 **d. Missouri Count 4: Violation of the Missouri Merchandising**
24 **Practices Act (Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.) Against ZF**
25 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
26 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
27 **ST Malaysia**

28 3544. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 3545. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Missouri State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
3 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
4 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
5 “ST Defendants”).

6 3546. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Missouri Plaintiff, and
7 Missouri State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat.
8 § 407.010(5).

9 3547. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade or
10 commerce” within the meaning of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010(7).

11 3548. The Missouri Merchandising Practices Act (“Missouri MPA”)
12 prohibits unlawful business practices. Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.020(1).

13 3549. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class Members purchased
14 their Kia Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them primarily for personal,
15 family, or household purposes.

16 3550. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Missouri
17 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
18 practices under the Missouri MPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
19 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
20 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
21 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they intentionally
22 concealed the ACU Defect from the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
23 members.

24 3551. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
25 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Missouri MPA by
26 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
27 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
28 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

1 3552. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
2 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
3 Missouri MPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
4 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
5 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
6 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
8 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
9 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
10 properly in a crash.

11 3553. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
12 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
13 ST Defendants engaged in unlawful business practices prohibited by Mo. Rev. Stat.
14 § 407.020(1), including using or employing deception and fraud, and/or the
15 misrepresentation, concealment, suppression or omission of material facts regarding
16 the ACU Defect.

17 3554. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
18 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
19 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
20 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
21 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
22 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
23 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
24 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
25 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri
26 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
27 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
28 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

1 3555. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members justifiably
2 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, omissions, and
3 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
4 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
5 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants’ deception on
6 their own.

7 3556. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations and concealment of the
8 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
9 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State
10 Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants
11 intended. Had they known the truth, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
12 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
13 paid significantly less for them.

14 3557. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members suffered
15 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
16 and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
17 material information.

18 3558. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
19 Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members, as well as to the general
20 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
21 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices complained
22 of herein affect the public interest.

23 3559. Pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.025, the Missouri Plaintiff and
24 Missouri State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’
25 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
26 proper relief available under the Missouri MPA.

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**e. Missouri Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment
Against Kia Korea and Kia USA**

3560. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

3561. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the Missouri State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

3562. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. See, e.g., Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3563. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3) failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3564. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Missouri Plaintiff and the Missouri State Class members because:

- a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;

1 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
2 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
3 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
4 have been a material fact to the Missouri Plaintiff's and
5 Missouri State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Kia
6 Class Vehicles; and

7 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
8 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
9 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
10 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
11 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
12 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
13 failed to disclose to the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State
14 Class members that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU
15 Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about
16 the Kia Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale
17 and lease to the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
18 members, Kia Korea and Kia USA had the duty to disclose the
19 whole truth.

20 3565. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
21 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
22 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
23 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

24 3566. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the Missouri Plaintiff and
25 Missouri State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
26 purchasing and leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
27 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.
28

1 3567. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
3 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
4 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
5 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
6 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and
7 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
8 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
9 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

10 3568. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the Missouri
11 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 3569. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State
19 Class members.

20 3570. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Missouri Plaintiff
26 and Missouri State Class members.

27 3571. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
28 and Kia Korea's and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the Missouri Plaintiff

1 and Missouri State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
2 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 3572. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Missouri
5 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

6 3573. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the Missouri
7 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
8 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia
9 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

10 3574. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
11 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Missouri Plaintiff's
12 and Missouri State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
13 Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
14 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
15 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

16 **f. Missouri Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
17 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
18 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

19 3575. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 3576. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
22 members of the Missouri State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
23 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
24 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
25 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

26 3577. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
27 and non-disclosure. See, e.g., Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).
28

1 3578. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
2 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
3 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
4 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
5 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
6 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
7 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
8 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
9 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

10 3579. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
11 the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members because:

- 12 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
13 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
14 Defect;
- 15 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
16 Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members lack the
17 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
18 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
19 on their own;
- 20 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
21 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
22 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
23 would have been a material fact to the Missouri Plaintiff’s and
24 Missouri State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
25 Vehicles; and
- 26 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
27 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
28 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts

1 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
2 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
3 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
4 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
5 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
6 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
7 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
8 in a crash.

9 3580. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
10 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
11 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
12 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

13 3581. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Missouri Plaintiff and
14 Missouri State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
15 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
16 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

17 3582. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
18 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
19 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
20 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
21 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
22 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
23 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
24 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
25 and many more have been injured.

26 3583. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Missouri
27 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members did not discover this information by
28

1 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
2 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 3584. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
4 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
5 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
6 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
7 products. They did so at the expense of the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State
8 Class members.

9 3585. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
10 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
11 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
12 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
13 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
14 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Missouri Plaintiff and
15 Missouri State Class members.

16 3586. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
17 ZF and ST Defendants’ callous disregard for safety, the Missouri Plaintiff and
18 Missouri State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
19 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

20 3587. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
21 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Missouri
22 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

23 3588. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Missouri
24 Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
25 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
26 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

27 3589. The ZF and ST Defendants’ acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
28 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Missouri Plaintiff’s

1 and Missouri State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
2 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
3 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
4 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

5 **g. Missouri Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against the Kia**
6 **Korea and Kia USA**

7 3590. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
8 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

9 3591. The Missouri Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
10 members of the Missouri State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles,
11 against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

12 3592. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members conferred
13 tangible and material monetary benefits upon Kia Korea and Kia USA when they
14 purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and Kia USA readily
15 accepted and retained these benefits.

16 3593. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members would not
17 have purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them,
18 had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease. Therefore, Kia
19 Korea and Kia USA profited from the sale and lease of the Kia Class Vehicles to
20 the detriment and expense of the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
21 members.

22 3594. Kia Korea and Kia USA appreciated these monetary benefits. These
23 benefits were the expected result of Kia Korea and Kia USA acting in their
24 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew
25 of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to
26 disclose this knowledge and misled the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
27 members regarding the nature and quality of the Kia Class Vehicles while profiting
28 from this deception.

1 3595. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Kia Korea and
2 Kia USA to retain these monetary benefits, including because they were procured
3 as a result of Kia Korea’s and Kia USA’s wrongful conduct alleged above.

4 3596. The Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class members are entitled
5 to restitution of the benefits Kia Korea and Kia USA unjustly retained and/or any
6 amounts necessary to return the Missouri Plaintiff and Missouri State Class
7 members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with Kia Korea and Kia
8 USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

9 3597. The Missouri Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
10 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
11 Missouri Plaintiff’s claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
12 favor of Defendants, the Missouri Plaintiff would have no adequate legal remedy.

13 **14. Nevada**

14 **a. Nevada Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2313 and 104A.2210) Against Toyota Sales USA¹⁴**

15
16 3598. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
17 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

18 3599. Plaintiff Gary Samouris (hereinafter, “Nevada Plaintiff”) brings this
19 count individually and on behalf of members of the Nevada State Class who
20 purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

21 3600. Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with
22 respect to motor vehicles under Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2104(1) and 104A.2103(3),
23 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 104.2103(1)(c).

24 3601. With respect to leases, Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant
25 times a “lessor” of motor vehicles under Nev. Rev. Stat. § 104A.2103(1)(p).

26

27

28 ¹⁴ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Nevada Plaintiff stated a claim for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 150.

1 3602. All Nevada State Class members who purchased Toyota Class
2 Vehicles in Nevada are “buyers” within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat.
3 § 104.2103(1)(a).

4 3603. All Nevada State Class members who leased Toyota Class Vehicles in
5 Nevada are “lessees” within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 104A.2103(1)(n).

6 3604. The Toyota Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
7 within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2105(1) and 104A.2103(1)(h).

8 3605. In connection with the purchase or lease of Toyota Class Vehicles,
9 Toyota Sales USA provided the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members
10 with warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or
11 replacement of components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b)
12 descriptions of the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their
13 Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners,
14 would function properly in the event of a crash

15 3606. However, Toyota Sales USA knew or should have known that the
16 warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Toyota Sales USA was aware
17 of the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
18 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
19 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members.

20 3607. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members were aware the
21 Toyota Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those warranties
22 were an essential part of the bargain between them and Toyota Sales USA when the
23 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members unknowingly purchased and
24 leased Toyota Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

25 3608. Toyota Sales USA misrepresented the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe
26 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Nevada
27 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members were exposed to those
28 misrepresentations, and the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members had

1 no way of discerning that Toyota Sales USA's representations were false and
2 misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Toyota Sales USA had
3 concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State
4 Class members reasonably relied on Toyota Sales USA's express warranties when
5 purchasing or leasing their Toyota Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information
6 they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit
7 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

8 3609. Toyota Sales USA knowingly breached its express warranties to repair
9 defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect or
10 replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Toyota Class Vehicles. Toyota Sales
11 USA also breached its express warranties by selling and leasing Toyota Class
12 Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada
13 State Class members.

14 3610. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members provided
15 Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
16 its express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it,
17 and the individual notice letters sent by Nevada State Class members within a
18 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
19 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Nevada Plaintiff and
20 Nevada State Class members to Toyota Sales USA.

21 3611. Alternatively, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members
22 were excused from providing Toyota Sales USA with notice and an opportunity to
23 cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Toyota Sales
24 USA has long known that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect,
25 and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
26 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Toyota Sales USA has not instituted a
27 recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled Toyota Class
28 Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect still exists in all Toyota Class

1 Vehicles, including the recalled Toyota Class Vehicles—even though Toyota Class
2 Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Nevada Plaintiff
3 and Nevada State Class members had no reason to believe that Toyota Sales USA
4 would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State
5 Class members presented their Class Vehicles to it for repair.

6 3612. As a direct and proximate result of Toyota Sales USA’s breach of its
7 express warranties, the Toyota Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU
8 Defect in the Nevada Plaintiff’s and Nevada State Class members’ Toyota Class
9 Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
10 members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their
11 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an
12 undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

13 **b. Nevada Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
14 **Merchantability (Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2314 and**
104A.2212) Against Toyota Sales USA

15 3613. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
16 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

17 3614. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
18 members of the Nevada State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
19 Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

20 3615. A warranty that the Toyota Class Vehicles were in merchantable
21 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
22 by law pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2314 and 104A.2212.

23 3616. Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with
24 respect to motor vehicles under Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2104(1) and 104A.2103(3),
25 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 104.2103(1)(c).

26 3617. With respect to leases, Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant
27 times a “lessor” of motor vehicles under Nev. Rev. Stat. § 104A.2103(1)(p).
28

1 3618. All Nevada State Class members who purchased Toyota Class
2 Vehicles in Nevada are “buyers” within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat.
3 § 104.2103(1)(a).

4 3619. All Nevada State Class members who leased Toyota Class Vehicles in
5 Nevada are “lessees” within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 104A.2103(1)(n).

6 3620. The Toyota Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
7 within the meaning of Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 104.2105(1) and 104A.2103(1)(h).

8 3621. The Toyota Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty
9 of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
10 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
11 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
12 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Toyota Class
13 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
14 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
15 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
16 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
17 Toyota Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

18 3622. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members have provided
19 Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
20 their implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against
21 them, and the individual notice letters sent by Nevada State Class members within a
22 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
23 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Nevada Plaintiff and
24 Nevada State Class members to Toyota Sales USA.

25 3623. Alternatively, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members
26 were excused from providing Toyota Sales USA with notice and an opportunity to
27 cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Toyota Sales
28 USA has long known that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect,

1 and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes
2 involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Toyota Sales USA has not instituted a
3 recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled Toyota Class
4 Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect still exists in all Toyota Class
5 Vehicles, including the recalled Toyota Class Vehicles—even though Toyota Class
6 Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Nevada Plaintiff
7 and Nevada State Class members had no reason to believe that Toyota Sales USA
8 would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State
9 Class members presented their Class Vehicles to it for repair.

10 3624. As a direct and proximate result of Toyota Sales USA’s breach of the
11 implied warranty of merchantability, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
12 members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
13 lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an amount to
14 be proven at trial.

15 **c. Nevada Count 3: Violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade**
16 **Practices Act (Nev. Rev. Stat. § 598.0903, et seq.) Against**
Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA

17 3625. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
18 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

19 3626. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
20 members of the Nevada State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
21 Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA.

22 3627. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Nevada DTPA”), Nev.
23 Rev. Stat. § 598.0903, et. seq. prohibits the use of deceptive trade practices in the
24 course of business and occupation.

25 3628. In the course of their business, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA,
26 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Nevada DTPA by
27 knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to
28 disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the

1 Toyota Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the
2 ACU Defect, as detailed above.

3 3629. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA had an ongoing duty to the
4 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members to refrain from unfair or
5 deceptive practices under the Nevada DTPA in the course of their business.
6 Specifically, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA owed the Nevada Plaintiff and
7 Nevada State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the
8 ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive
9 knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Toyota Plaintiff
10 and Toyota State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were
11 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

12 3630. By misrepresenting the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
13 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
14 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
15 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Toyota Sales USA and
16 Toyota USA engaged in one or more of the following unfair or deceptive business
17 practices prohibited by Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 598.0915, 598.0923, and 598.0925:

- 18 a. Representing that the Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective
19 ACU and ASICs installed in them have certifications which they
20 do not have;
- 21 b. Representing that the Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective
22 ACU and ASICs installed in them have characteristics, uses,
23 benefits, and qualities which they do not have;
- 24 c. Representing that the Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective
25 ACU and ASICs installed in them are of a particular standard,
26 quality, and grade when they are not;

27
28

- 1 d. Advertising the Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACU
- 2 and ASICs installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease
- 3 them as advertised;
- 4 e. Failing to disclose the defective ACU and ASICs in connection
- 5 with the sale of the Toyota Class Vehicles; and
- 6 f. Making an assertion of scientific fact in an advertisement which
- 7 would cause a reasonable person to believe that the assertion is
- 8 true.

9 Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 598.0915(5), (7), (9), (15), 598.0923(2), and 598.0925.
10 3631. Toyota Sales USA’s and Toyota USA’s unfair and deceptive acts or
11 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
12 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
13 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the Toyota
14 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that
15 the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform
16 its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision.
17 Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
18 material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Nevada
19 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of
20 Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the
21 quality of the Toyota Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Toyota Class
22 Vehicles.

23 3632. Toyota Sales USA’s and Toyota USA’s misrepresentations,
24 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
25 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Toyota
26 Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada
27 State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Toyota Sales USA
28 and Toyota USA intended. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members

1 were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
2 suppressions of material facts, and relied on Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota
3 USA's misrepresentations that the Toyota Class Vehicles and their Occupant
4 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Toyota
5 Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B
6 above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers
7 for each Plaintiff.

8 3633. The Nevada Plaintiff's and Nevada State Class members' reliance was
9 reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota
10 USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts
11 that Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The
12 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members did not, and could not, unravel
13 Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's deception on their own.

14 3634. Had the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members known the
15 truth about the ACU Defect, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members
16 would not have purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, or would have paid
17 significantly less for them.

18 3635. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members suffered
19 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
20 purchase and lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
21 direct and proximate result of Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's concealment,
22 misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

23 3636. Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's violations present a continuing
24 risk to the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members, as well as to the
25 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
26 ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices
27 complained of herein affect the public interest.
28

1 3637. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 41.600, the Nevada Plaintiff and
2 Nevada State Class members seek an order enjoining Toyota Sales USA’s and
3 Toyota USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
4 other just and proper relief available under the Nevada DTPA.

5 **d. Nevada Count 4: Violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade**
6 **Practices Act (Nev. Rev. Stat. § 598.0903, et seq.) Against ZF**
7 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
8 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
9 **ST Malaysia.**

10 3638. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 3639. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
13 members of the Nevada State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
14 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the
15 “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST
16 Defendants”).

17 3640. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Nevada DTPA”), Nev.
18 Rev. Stat. § 598.0903, et. seq. prohibits the use of deceptive trade practices in the
19 course of business and occupation.

20 3641. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Nevada
21 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
22 practices under the Nevada DTPA in the course of their business. Specifically, the
23 ZF and ST Defendants owed the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members
24 a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class
25 Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they intentionally
26 concealed the ACU Defect from the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
27 members.

28 3642. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Nevada DTPA by
knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material

1 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
2 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

3 3643. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
4 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
5 Nevada DTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
6 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
7 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
8 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
9 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
10 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
11 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
12 properly in a crash.

13 3644. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
14 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
15 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by the Nevada
16 DTPA.

17 3645. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
18 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
19 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
20 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
21 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
22 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
23 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
24 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
25 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada
26 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
27 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
28 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

1 3646. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members justifiably
2 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
3 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
4 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
5 members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on
6 their own

7 3647. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
8 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
9 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State
10 Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants
11 intended. Had they known the truth, the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
12 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
13 paid significantly less for them.

14 3648. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members suffered
15 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
16 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
17 material information.

18 3649. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
19 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members, as well as to the general public,
20 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
21 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of
22 herein affect the public interest.

23 3650. Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 41.600, the Nevada Plaintiff and
24 Nevada State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants'
25 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
26 proper relief available under the Nevada DTPA.

27
28

1 **e. Nevada Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
2 **Against Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA**

3 3651. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
4 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

5 3652. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
6 members of the Nevada State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
7 Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA.

8 3653. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA are liable for both fraudulent
9 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
10 51 (1977).

11 3654. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
12 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
13 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
14 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
15 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
16 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
17 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
18 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
19 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

20 3655. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
21 Defect to the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members because:

- 22 a. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA had exclusive access to and
23 far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
24 Defect;
- 25 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
26 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members lack the
27 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
28

1 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
2 on their own;

3 c. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA knew that the ACU Defect
4 gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use
5 the vehicles, and the Toyota Class Vehicles containing the ACU
6 Defect would have been a material fact to the Nevada Plaintiff's
7 and Nevada State Class members' decisions to buy or lease
8 Toyota Class Vehicles; and

9 d. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA made incomplete
10 representations about the safety and reliability of the Toyota
11 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
12 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
13 defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each
14 Class Vehicle, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA intentionally
15 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Nevada
16 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members that the Toyota Class
17 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered
18 to provide information about the Toyota Class Vehicles that they
19 marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Nevada Plaintiff
20 and Nevada State Class members, Toyota Sales USA and
21 Toyota USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

22 3656. In breach of their duties, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA failed to
23 disclose that the Toyota Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their
24 Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
25 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

26 3657. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA intended for the Nevada Plaintiff
27 and Nevada State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
28 purchasing and leasing the Toyota Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing

1 that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function
2 properly.

3 3658. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
4 not have expected that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
5 poses such a serious risk. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA knew that reasonable
6 consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
7 and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a
8 new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and
9 reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
10 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
11 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

12 3659. Additionally, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA ensured that the
13 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members did not discover this information
14 by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Toyota Class
15 Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

16 3660. Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA actively concealed and suppressed
17 these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class
18 Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to
19 liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and
20 their products. They did so at the expense of the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State
21 Class members.

22 3661. To this day, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA have not fully and
23 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
24 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
25 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
26 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
27 because they directly impact the value of the Toyota Class Vehicles purchased or
28 leased by the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members.

1 3662. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles,
2 and Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's callous disregard for safety, the
3 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members either would not have paid as
4 much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or
5 leased them.

6 3663. As alleged in Section V above, if Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA
7 had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
8 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members would have seen such a
9 disclosure.

10 3664. Accordingly, Toyota Sales USA and Toyota USA are liable to the
11 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members for their damages in an amount
12 to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the
13 Toyota Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

14 3665. Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's acts were done maliciously,
15 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the
16 Nevada Plaintiff's and Nevada State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
17 enrich themselves. Toyota Sales USA's and Toyota USA's misconduct warrants an
18 assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to
19 deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to
20 proof at trial.

21 **f. Nevada Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
22 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
23 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
24 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

25 3666. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 3667. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
28 members of the Nevada State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF

1 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
2 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3 3668. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
4 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

5 3669. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
6 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
7 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
8 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
9 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
10 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
11 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
12 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
13 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

14 3670. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
15 the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members because:

- 16 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
17 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
18 Defect;
- 19 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
20 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members lack the
21 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
22 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
23 on their own;
- 24 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
25 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
26 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
27 would have been a material fact to the Nevada Plaintiff’s and
28

1 Nevada State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
2 Vehicles; and

3 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
4 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
5 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
6 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
7 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
9 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
10 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
11 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
12 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
13 in a crash.

14 3671. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
15 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
16 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
17 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

18 3672. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Nevada Plaintiff and
19 Nevada State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
20 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
21 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

22 3673. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
23 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
24 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
25 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
26 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
27 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
28 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.

1 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
2 and many more have been injured.

3 3674. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Nevada
4 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members did not discover this information by
5 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
6 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

7 3675. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
8 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
9 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
10 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
11 products. They did so at the expense of the Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class
12 members.

13 3676. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
14 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
15 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
16 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
17 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
18 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Nevada Plaintiff and
19 Nevada State Class members.

20 3677. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
21 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Nevada Plaintiff and
22 Nevada State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
23 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

24 3678. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
25 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Nevada
26 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

27 3679. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Nevada
28 Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members for their damages in an amount to be

1 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
2 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 3680. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
4 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Nevada Plaintiff's
5 and Nevada State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
6 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
7 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
8 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

9 **g. Nevada Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Toyota Sales**
10 **USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA**

11 3681. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
12 Sections I-V above as though fully set forth herein.

13 3682. The Nevada Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
14 members of the Nevada State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
15 Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA.

16 3683. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members conferred
17 tangible and material monetary benefits upon Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and
18 Toyota Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the Toyota Class
19 Vehicles. Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA readily
20 accepted and retained these benefits.

21 3684. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members would not have
22 purchased or leased the Toyota Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them,
23 had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease. Therefore,
24 Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA profited from the
25 sale and lease of the Toyota Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the
26 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members.

27 3685. Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA
28 appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of

1 Toyota Sales USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Engineering USA's acting in their
2 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Toyota Sales USA, Toyota
3 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA knew of these benefits because they were
4 aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the
5 Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members regarding the nature and quality
6 of the Toyota Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

7 3686. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Toyota Sales
8 USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA to retain these monetary benefits,
9 including because they were procured as a result of Toyota Sales USA's, Toyota
10 USA's, and Toyota Engineering USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

11 3687. The Nevada Plaintiff and Nevada State Class members are entitled to
12 restitution of the benefits Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering
13 USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the Nevada Plaintiff
14 and Nevada State Class members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with
15 Toyota Sales USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Engineering USA, with such amounts
16 to be determined at trial.

17 3688. The Nevada Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
18 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
19 Nevada Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
20 of Defendants, the Nevada Plaintiff would have no adequate legal remedy.

21 **15. New Jersey**

22 **a. New Jersey Count 1: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
23 **Merchantability (N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 12A:2-314 and 12A:2A-**
24 **212) Against Kia USA**

25 3689. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 3690. Plaintiff Gersen Damens (hereinafter, "New Jersey Plaintiff") brings
28 this count individually and on behalf of members of the New Jersey State Class
who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia USA.

1 3691. A warranty that the Kia Class Vehicles were in merchantable condition
2 and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied by law
3 pursuant to N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 12A:2-314 and 12A:2A-212.

4 3692. Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
5 motor vehicles under N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 12A:2-104(1) and 12A:2A-103(3), and a
6 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 12A:2-103(1)(d).

7 3693. With respect to leases, Kia USA is and was at all relevant times a
8 “lessor” of motor vehicles under N.J. Stat. Ann. § 12A:2A-103(1)(p).

9 3694. All New Jersey State Class members who purchased Kia Class
10 Vehicles in New Jersey are “buyers” within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann.
11 § 12A:2-103(1)(a).

12 3695. All New Jersey State Class members who leased Kia Class Vehicles in
13 New Jersey are “lessees” within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann. § 12A:2A-
14 103(1)(n).

15 3696. The Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 12A:2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

17 3697. The Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
18 merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
19 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
20 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
21 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Kia Class
22 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
23 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
24 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
25 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
26 Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

27 3698. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members have
28 provided Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of

1 its implied warranty by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it,
2 and individual notice letters sent by the New Jersey State Class members within a
3 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
4 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the New Jersey Plaintiff and
5 New Jersey State Class members to Kia USA.

6 3699. Alternatively, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class
7 members were excused from providing Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to
8 cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, Kia USA has
9 long known that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the
10 ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving
11 Class Vehicles; however, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or any other
12 repair program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even
13 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all Kia Class Vehicles, including the
14 recalled Kia Class Vehicles—even though all of the Kia Class Vehicles are subject
15 to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
16 State Class members had no reason to believe that Kia USA would have repaired
17 the ACU Defect if the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
18 presented their Class Vehicles to it for repair.

19 3700. As a direct and proximate result of Kia USA’s breach of the implied
20 warranty of merchantability, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class
21 members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
22 lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be
23 proven at trial.

24 **b. New Jersey Count 2: Violation of New Jersey Consumer**
25 **Fraud Act (N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.) Against Kia**
26 **Korea and Kia USA**

27 3701. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
28 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 3702. The New Jersey Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
2 of members of the New Jersey State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
3 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

4 3703. Kia Korea and Kia USA, the New Jersey Plaintiff, and New Jersey
5 State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-
6 1(d).

7 3704. The Kia Class Vehicles and the ACU and ASICs installed in them are
8 “merchandise” within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1(c).

9 3705. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act (“New Jersey CFA”) prohibits
10 unfair trade practices. N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-2.

11 3706. In the course of their business, Kia Korea and Kia USA, through their
12 agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the New Jersey CFA by knowingly
13 and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose
14 material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the Kia Class
15 Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as
16 detailed above.

17 3707. Kia Korea and Kia USA had an ongoing duty to the New Jersey
18 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
19 practices under the New Jersey CFA in the course of their business. Specifically,
20 Kia Korea and Kia USA owed the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class
21 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
22 Kia Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they intentionally
23 concealed the ACU Defect from the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State
24 Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were rendered misleading
25 because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

26 3708. By misrepresenting the Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
27 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
28 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk

1 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Kia Korea and Kia USA
2 engaged in one or more of the following unfair or deceptive business practices
3 prohibited by N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-2: using or employing deception, fraud, false
4 pretense, false promise or misrepresentation, or the concealment, suppression or
5 omission of a material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment,
6 suppression or omission, in connection with the advertisement and sale/lease of the
7 Kia Class Vehicles.

8 3709. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices,
9 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
10 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
11 and create a false impression in consumers that the Kia Class Vehicles had
12 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
13 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
14 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
15 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
16 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
17 Jersey State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Kia Class
18 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
19 Kia Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Kia Class Vehicles.

20 3710. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations, concealments,
21 omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true
22 characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Kia Class Vehicles were
23 material to the decisions of the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class
24 members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Kia Korea and Kia USA intended.
25 The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members were exposed to
26 those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material
27 facts, and relied on Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misrepresentations that the Kia
28 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in

1 deciding to purchase and lease Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information
2 they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit
3 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

4 3711. The New Jersey Plaintiff's and New Jersey State Class members'
5 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Kia Korea's and Kia
6 USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts
7 that Kia Korea and Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The New Jersey
8 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members did not, and could not, unravel Kia
9 Korea's and Kia USA's deception on their own.

10 3712. Had the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
11 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
12 State Class members would not have purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, or
13 would have paid significantly less for them.

14 3713. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
15 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
16 time of purchase and lease for Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect
17 as a direct and proximate result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's concealment,
18 misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

19 3714. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's violations present a continuing risk to the
20 New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members, as well as to the general
21 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
22 ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices complained of herein
23 affect the public interest.

24 3715. Pursuant to N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-19, the New Jersey Plaintiff and
25 New Jersey State Class members seek an order enjoining Kia Korea's and Kia
26 USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other
27 just and proper relief available under the New Jersey CFA.

28

1 c. **New Jersey Count 3: Violation of New Jersey Consumer**
2 **Fraud Act (N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.) Against ZF**
3 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
4 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
5 **ST Malaysia.**

6 3716. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 3717. The New Jersey Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
9 of members of the New Jersey State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
10 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
11 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
12 “ST Defendants”).

13 3718. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the New Jersey Plaintiff, and
14 New Jersey State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of N.J. Stat.
15 Ann. § 56:8-1(d).

16 3719. The Class Vehicles and ACU and ASICs installed in them are
17 “merchandise” within the meaning of N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1(c).

18 3720. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act (“New Jersey CFA”) prohibits
19 unfair trade practices. N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-2.

20 3721. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the New Jersey
21 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
22 practices under the New Jersey CFA in the course of their business. Specifically,
23 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State
24 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
25 in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they
26 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
27 Jersey State Class members.

28 3722. In the course of their business the ZF and ST Defendants, through their
agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the New Jersey CFA by knowingly
and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material facts

1 regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles,
2 as detailed above.

3 3723. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
4 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
5 New Jersey CFA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
6 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
7 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
8 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
9 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
10 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
11 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
12 properly in a crash.

13 3724. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
14 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
15 ST Defendants engaged in one or more of the unlawful practices prohibited by N.J.
16 Stat. Ann. § 56:8-2, including the act, use, or employment of any unconscionable
17 commercial practice, and/or concealment, suppression or omission of material facts.

18 3725. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
19 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
20 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
21 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
22 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
23 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
24 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
25 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
26 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
27 Jersey State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles
28

1 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class
2 Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

3 3726. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
4 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
5 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
6 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State
7 Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
8 deception on their own.

9 3727. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
10 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
11 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
12 State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST
13 Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
14 Jersey State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles,
15 or would have paid significantly less for them.

16 3728. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
17 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
18 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
19 disclose material information.

20 3729. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
21 New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members, as well as to the general
22 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
23 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained
24 of herein affect the public interest.

25 3730. Pursuant to N.J. Stat Ann. § 56:8-19, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
26 Jersey State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants'
27 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
28 proper relief available under the New Jersey CFA.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

d. New Jersey Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment Against Kia Korea and Kia USA

3731. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

3732. The New Jersey Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the New Jersey State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

3733. Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3734. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3) failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

3735. Kia Korea and Kia USA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members because:

- a. Kia Korea and Kia USA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;

1 c. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to
2 serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles,
3 and the Kia Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would
4 have been a material fact to the New Jersey Plaintiff's and New
5 Jersey State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Kia Class
6 Vehicles; and

7 d. Kia Korea and Kia USA made incomplete representations about
8 the safety and reliability of the Kia Class Vehicles and their
9 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
10 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
11 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Kia
12 Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and
13 failed to disclose to the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
14 State Class members that the Kia Class Vehicles contained the
15 ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information
16 about the Kia Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for
17 sale and lease to the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State
18 Class members, Kia Korea and Kia USA had the duty to
19 disclose the whole truth.

20 3736. In breach of their duties, Kia Korea and Kia USA failed to disclose
21 that the Kia Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
22 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in
23 the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

24 3737. Kia Korea and Kia USA intended for the New Jersey Plaintiff and
25 New Jersey State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
26 purchasing and leasing the Kia Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
27 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.
28

1 3738. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
3 such a serious risk. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers
4 expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would
5 rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used
6 motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and
7 whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a
8 consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the
9 ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

10 3739. Additionally, Kia Korea and Kia USA ensured that the New Jersey
11 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Kia Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 3740. Kia Korea and Kia USA actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
19 State Class members.

20 3741. To this day, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Kia Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the New Jersey Plaintiff
26 and New Jersey State Class members.

27 3742. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Kia Class Vehicles,
28 and Kia Korea's and Kia USA's callous disregard for safety, the New Jersey

1 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members either would not have paid as much
2 as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased
3 them.

4 3743. As alleged in Section V above, if Kia Korea and Kia USA had fully
5 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the New
6 Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members would have seen such a
7 disclosure.

8 3744. Accordingly, Kia Korea and Kia USA are liable to the New Jersey
9 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
10 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Kia
11 Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

12 3745. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
13 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the New Jersey
14 Plaintiff's and New Jersey State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
15 enrich themselves. Kia Korea's and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment
16 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
17 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

18 e. **New Jersey Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
19 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
20 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

21 3746. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
22 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

23 3747. The New Jersey Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
24 of members of the New Jersey State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
25 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
26 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
27 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").
28

1 3748. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
2 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 3749. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 3750. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
13 the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members because:

- 14 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
15 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
16 Defect;
- 17 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the New
18 Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members lack the
19 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
20 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
21 on their own;
- 22 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
23 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
24 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
25 would have been a material fact to the New Jersey Plaintiff’s
26 and New Jersey State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease
27 Class Vehicles; and

28

1 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
2 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
4 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
5 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
8 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
9 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
11 in a crash.

12 3751. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
13 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
14 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
15 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

16 3752. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the New Jersey Plaintiff and
17 New Jersey State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
18 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
19 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

20 3753. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
21 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
22 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
23 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
24 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
25 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
26 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
27 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
28 and many more have been injured.

1 3754. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the New Jersey
2 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members did not discover this information by
3 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
4 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

5 3755. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
6 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
7 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
8 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
9 products. They did so at the expense of the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
10 State Class members.

11 3756. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
12 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
13 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
14 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
15 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
16 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the New Jersey Plaintiff and
17 New Jersey State Class members.

18 3757. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
19 ZF and ST Defendants’ callous disregard for safety, the New Jersey Plaintiff and
20 New Jersey State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
21 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 3758. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the New
24 Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members would have seen such a
25 disclosure.

26 3759. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the New Jersey
27 Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
28

1 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
2 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 3760. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
4 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the New Jersey
5 Plaintiff's and New Jersey State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
6 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
7 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
8 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

9 **f. New Jersey Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against Kia Korea**
10 **and Kia USA**

11 3761. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
12 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

13 3762. The New Jersey Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
14 of members of the New Jersey State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
15 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

16 3763. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members
17 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon Kia Korea and Kia USA
18 when they purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles. Kia Korea and Kia USA
19 readily accepted and retained these benefits.

20 3764. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members would
21 not have purchased or leased the Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
22 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
23 Therefore, Kia Korea and Kia USA profited from the sale and lease of the Kia
24 Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the New Jersey Plaintiff and New
25 Jersey State Class members.

26 3765. Kia Korea and Kia USA appreciated these monetary benefits. These
27 benefits were the expected result of Kia Korea and Kia USA acting in their
28 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Kia Korea and Kia USA knew

1 of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to
2 disclose this knowledge and misled the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State
3 Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Kia Class Vehicles while
4 profiting from this deception.

5 3766. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Kia Korea and
6 Kia USA to retain these monetary benefits, including because they were procured
7 as a result of Kia Korea's and Kia USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

8 3767. The New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey State Class members are
9 entitled to restitution of the benefits Kia Korea and Kia USA unjustly retained
10 and/or any amounts necessary to return the New Jersey Plaintiff and New Jersey
11 State Class members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with Kia Korea
12 and Kia USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

13 3768. The New Jersey Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
14 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
15 New Jersey Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
16 favor of Defendants, the New Jersey Plaintiff would have no adequate legal
17 remedy.

18 **16. New York**

19 **a. New York Count 1: Violation of New York General Business**
20 **Law § 349 (N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349) Against FCA, Honda**
21 **Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA**

22 3769. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 3770. Plaintiff Eric Fishon brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
26 Vehicles, against FCA.

27 3771. Plaintiff Ravichandran Namakkal bring this count individually and on
28 behalf of members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased Honda
Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

1 3772. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Fishon and Namakkal shall be
2 referred to as the “New York Plaintiffs.”

3 3773. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members are
4 “persons” within the meaning of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349(h).

5 3774. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA are
6 each a “person,” “firm,” “corporation,” or “association” within the meaning of N.Y.
7 Gen. Bus. Law § 349.

8 3775. The New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act (“New York
9 DAPA”) prohibits “[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business,
10 trade or commerce[.]” N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law. § 349.

11 3776. In the course of their business, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
12 Honda Engineering USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries,
13 violated the New York DAPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting,
14 omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the
15 reliability, safety, and performance of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, the
16 safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

17 3777. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had an
18 ongoing duty to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to
19 refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the New York DAPA in the course
20 of their business. Specifically, they owed the New York Plaintiffs and New York
21 State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU
22 Defect in the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive
23 knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the New York
24 Plaintiffs and New York State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations
25 that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

26 3778. By misrepresenting the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles as safe and
27 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
28 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers

1 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Honda
2 Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA engaged in deceptive acts or
3 practices in the conduct of business, trade or commerce, and/or in the furnishing of
4 any service, as prohibited by N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349.

5 3779. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
6 unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations,
7 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to
8 mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in
9 consumers that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and
10 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not
11 contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
12 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations,
13 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive
14 reasonable consumers, including the New York Plaintiffs and New York State
15 Class members, about the true safety and reliability of FCA and Honda Class
16 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
17 FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, and the true value of the FCA and Honda Class
18 Vehicles.

19 3780. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
20 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
21 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
22 Systems in the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
23 New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to purchase and lease
24 those vehicles, as FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
25 intended. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members were
26 exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
27 material facts, and relied on FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda
28 Engineering USA's misrepresentations that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles and

1 their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
2 lease FCA and Honda Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied
3 upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
4 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

5 3781. The New York Plaintiffs' and New York State Class members'
6 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA's, Honda
7 Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's representations were false
8 and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that they had concealed or failed to
9 disclose. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members did not, and
10 could not, unravel FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering
11 USA's deception on their own.

12 3782. Had the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members
13 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the New York Plaintiffs and New York
14 State Class members would not have purchased or leased FCA and Honda Class
15 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

16 3783. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members suffered
17 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
18 purchase and lease for FCA and Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
19 defect as a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's,
20 and Honda Engineering USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to
21 disclose material information.

22 3784. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
23 violations present a continuing risk to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State
24 Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain
25 unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful
26 acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

27 3785. Pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, the New York Plaintiffs and
28 New York State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA's, Honda Japan's,

1 Honda USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices
2 and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under the New
3 York DAPA.

4 **b. New York Count 2: Violation of New York General Business**
5 **Law § 349 (N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349) Against ZF**
6 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
7 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
8 **ST Malaysia**

9 3786. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 3787. Plaintiffs Eric Fishon and Ravichandran Namakkal bring this count
12 individually and on behalf of members of the New York State Class against ZF
13 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
14 and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
15 and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

16 3788. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Fishon and Namakkal shall be
17 referred to as the “New York Plaintiffs.”

18 3789. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members are
19 “persons” within the meaning of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349(h).

20 3790. The ZF and ST Defendants are each a “person,” “firm,” “corporation,”
21 or “association” within the meaning of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349.

22 3791. The New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act (“New York
23 DAPA”) prohibits “[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business,
24 trade or commerce[.]” N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law. § 349.

25 3792. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the New York
26 Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
27 practices under the New York DAPA in the course of their business. Specifically,
28 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
 Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they intentionally

1 concealed the ACU Defect from the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
2 members.

3 3793. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
4 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the New York DAPA by
5 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
6 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
7 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

8 3794. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
9 Automotive USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
10 New York DAPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
11 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
12 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
13 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
14 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
15 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
16 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
17 properly in a crash.

18 3795. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose and actively concealing the
19 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
20 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of business,
21 trade or commerce prohibited by N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349.

22 3796. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
23 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
24 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
25 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
26 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
27 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
28 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those

1 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
2 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the New York Plaintiffs and New
3 York State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles
4 and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class
5 Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

6 3797. The New York Plaintiffs' and New York State Class members
7 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
8 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
9 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State
10 Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
11 deception on their own

12 3798. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
13 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
14 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the New York Plaintiffs and New York
15 State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST
16 Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the New York Plaintiffs and New
17 York State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles,
18 or would have paid significantly less for them.

19 3799. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members suffered
20 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
21 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
22 material information.

23 3800. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
24 New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members, as well as to the general
25 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
26 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained
27 of herein affect the public interest.
28

1 3801. Pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, the New York Plaintiffs and
2 New York State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’
3 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
4 proper relief available under the New York DAPA.

5 **c. New York Count 3: Violation of New York General Business**
6 **Law § 350 (N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350) Against FCA, Honda**
7 **Japan, and Honda USA**

8 3802. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
9 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

10 3803. Plaintiff Eric Fishon brings this count individually and on behalf of
11 members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
12 Vehicles, against FCA.

13 3804. Plaintiff Ravichandran Namakkal bring this count individually and on
14 behalf of members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased Honda
15 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan and Honda USA.

16 3805. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Fishon and Namakkal shall be
17 referred to as the “New York Plaintiffs.”

18 3806. FCA, Honda Japan and Honda USA were and are engaged in “conduct
19 of business, trade or commerce” within the meaning of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350.

20 3807. The New York False Advertising Act (“New York FAA”) prohibits
21 “[f]alse advertising in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce.” N.Y. Gen.
22 Bus. Law § 350.

23 3808. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA caused to be made or
24 disseminated through New York, through advertising, marketing, and other
25 publications, statements that were untrue or misleading, and which were known, or
26 which by exercise of reasonable care should have been known by them to be untrue
27 and misleading to consumers, including the New York Plaintiffs and New York
28 State Class members. Numerous examples of these statements and advertisements

1 appear in the preceding paragraphs throughout this Complaint and the Exhibits
2 hereto.

3 3809. In the course of their business, FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA,
4 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the New York FAA
5 by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or
6 failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of
7 the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems,
8 and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

9 3810. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA had an ongoing duty to the New
10 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to refrain from unfair or
11 deceptive practices under the New York FAA in the course of their business.
12 Specifically, they owed the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
13 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
14 FCA and Honda Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they
15 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the New York Plaintiffs and New
16 York State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were rendered
17 misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

18 3811. By misrepresenting the Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the
19 defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free from
20 defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
21 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Honda Japan, and
22 Honda USA engaged in the false and misleading advertising practices prohibited by
23 N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 350.

24 3812. FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda USA's unfair and deceptive acts or
25 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
26 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
27 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the FCA and
28 Honda Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts,

1 and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would
2 perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a
3 collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
4 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
5 the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members, about the true safety
6 and reliability of FCA and Honda Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and
7 ASICs installed in them, the quality of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, and the
8 true value of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles.

9 3813. FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda USA's misrepresentations,
10 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
11 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the FCA and
12 Honda Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the New York Plaintiffs and
13 New York State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as FCA,
14 Honda Japan, and Honda USA intended. The New York Plaintiffs and New York
15 State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments,
16 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's, Honda Japan's,
17 and Honda USA's misrepresentations that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles and
18 their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
19 lease FCA and Honda Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied
20 upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
21 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

22 3814. The New York Plaintiffs' and New York State Class members'
23 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA's, Honda
24 Japan's, and Honda USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise
25 learning the facts that FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA had concealed or failed
26 to disclose. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members did not,
27 and could not, unravel FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda USA's deception on their
28 own.

1 3815. Had the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members
2 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the New York Plaintiffs and New York
3 State Class members would not have purchased or leased FCA and Honda Class
4 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

5 3816. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members suffered
6 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of FCA's,
7 Honda Japan's, and Honda USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure
8 to disclose material information.

9 3817. FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda USA's violations present a
10 continuing risk to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members, as
11 well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the
12 defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices
13 complained of herein affect the public interest.

14 3818. Pursuant to New York FAA, the New York Plaintiffs and New York
15 State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda
16 USA's false advertising practices and awarding damages and any other just and
17 proper relief available under the New York FAA.

18 **d. New York Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
19 **Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda**
20 **Engineering USA**

21 3819. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
22 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

23 3820. Plaintiff Eric Fishon brings this count individually and on behalf of
24 members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
25 Vehicles, against FCA.

26 3821. Plaintiff Ravichandran Namakkal bring this count individually and on
27 behalf of members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased Honda
28 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

1 3822. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Fishon and Namakkal shall be
2 referred to as the “New York Plaintiffs.”

3 3823. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA are
4 liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement
5 (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

6 3824. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
7 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
8 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
9 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
10 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
11 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
12 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
13 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
14 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

15 3825. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had a
16 duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State
17 Class members because:

- 18 a. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
19 had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about
20 technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 21 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the New
22 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members lack the
23 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
24 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
25 on their own;
- 26 c. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
27 knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns
28 for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the FCA and Honda

1 Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a
2 material fact to the New York Plaintiffs’ and New York State
3 Class members’ decisions to buy or lease FCA and Honda Class
4 Vehicles; and

5 d. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
6 made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability
7 of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant
8 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
9 about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and
10 materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA, Honda Japan,
11 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intentionally
12 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the New York
13 Plaintiffs and New York State Class members that the FCA and
14 Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they
15 volunteered to provide information about the FCA and Honda
16 Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease
17 to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members,
18 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
19 had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

20 3826. In breach of their duties, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
21 Engineering USA failed to disclose that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were
22 not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their
23 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU
24 Defect.

25 3827. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
26 intended for the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to rely on
27 their omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the FCA and Honda
28

1 Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems
2 in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

3 3828. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
4 not have expected that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles contained a safety defect
5 that poses such a serious risk. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
6 Engineering USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has
7 working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in
8 deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether
9 a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer
10 stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here
11 when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more
12 have been injured.

13 3829. Additionally, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
14 Engineering USA ensured that the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
15 members did not discover this information by actively concealing and
16 misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles' Occupant
17 Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

18 3830. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
19 actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to
20 maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly
21 recalls that would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the
22 commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the
23 expense of the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members.

24 3831. To this day, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
25 USA have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
26 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
27 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
28 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,

1 and because they directly impact the value of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles
2 purchased or leased by the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
3 members.

4 3832. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA and Honda Class
5 Vehicles, and FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering
6 USA's callous disregard for safety, the New York Plaintiffs and New York State
7 Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class
8 Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

9 3833. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
10 Honda Engineering USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
11 consumers and NHTSA, the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
12 members would have seen such a disclosure.

13 3834. Accordingly, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
14 Engineering USA are liable to the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
15 members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not
16 limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles at the
17 time of purchase or lease.

18 3835. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
19 acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
20 reckless disregard of the New York Plaintiffs' and New York State Class members'
21 rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda
22 USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's misconduct warrants an assessment of
23 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
24 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

25
26
27
28

1 e. **New York Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
2 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
3 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
4 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

5 3836. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 3837. The New York Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
8 members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
9 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
10 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
11 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

12 3838. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
13 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

14 3839. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
15 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
16 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
17 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
18 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
19 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
20 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
21 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
22 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

23 3840. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
24 the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members because:

- 25 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
26 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
27 Defect;
- 28 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the New
 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members lack the

1 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
2 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
3 on their own;

4 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
5 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
6 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
7 would have been a material fact to the New York Plaintiffs' and
8 New York State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
9 Vehicles; and

10 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
11 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
14 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
17 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
18 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
19 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
20 in a crash.

21 3841. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
22 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
23 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
24 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

25 3842. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the New York Plaintiffs and
26 New York State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
27 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
28 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

1 3843. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
3 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
4 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
5 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
6 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
7 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
8 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
9 and many more have been injured.

10 3844. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the New York
11 Plaintiffs and New York State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 3845. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the New York Plaintiffs and New York
19 State Class members.

20 3846. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the New York Plaintiffs and
26 New York State Class members.

27 3847. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
28 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the New York Plaintiffs and

1 New York State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
2 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 3848. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the New
5 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members would have seen such a
6 disclosure.

7 3849. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the New York
8 Plaintiffs and New York State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
9 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
10 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

11 3850. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
12 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the New York
13 Plaintiffs' and New York State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
14 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
15 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
16 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

17 **f. New York Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA,**
18 **Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA**

19 3851. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
20 Sections I- VI above as though fully set forth herein.

21 3852. Plaintiff Eric Fishon brings this count individually and on behalf of
22 members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
23 Vehicles, against FCA.

24 3853. Plaintiff Ravichandran Namakkal brings this count individually and on
25 behalf of members of the New York State Class who purchased or leased Honda
26 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

27 3854. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Fishon and Namakkal shall be
28 referred to as the "New York Plaintiffs."

1 3855. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members
2 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon FCA, Honda Japan, Honda
3 USA, and Honda Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the FCA and
4 Honda Class Vehicles. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
5 USA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

6 3856. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members would
7 not have purchased or leased the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, or would have
8 paid less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or
9 lease. Therefore, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
10 profited from the sale and lease of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles to the
11 detriment and expense of the New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class
12 members.

13 3857. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
14 appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of
15 FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA acting in their
16 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda
17 USA, and Honda Engineering USA knew of these benefits because they were aware
18 of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the New
19 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members regarding the nature and
20 quality of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

21 3858. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA, Honda
22 Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA to retain these monetary benefits,
23 including because they were procured as a result of FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda
24 USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

25 3859. The New York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members are
26 entitled to restitution of the benefits FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
27 Engineering USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the New
28 York Plaintiffs and New York State Class members to the position they occupied

1 prior to dealing with FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
2 USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

3 3860. The New York Plaintiffs plead this claim separately as well as in the
4 alternative to their claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
5 New York Plaintiffs claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
6 favor of Defendants, the New York Plaintiffs would have no adequate legal
7 remedy.

8 **17. North Carolina**

9 **a. North Carolina Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (N.C.
10 Gen. Stat. §§ 25-2-313 and 25-2A-210) Against FCA, Honda
11 Japan, and Honda USA¹⁵**

12 3861. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
13 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

14 3862. Plaintiff Constanza Gonzalez brings this count individually and on
15 behalf of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased FCA
16 Class Vehicles, against FCA.

17 3863. Plaintiff Tonya McNeely brings this count individually and on behalf
18 of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Honda
19 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan and Honda USA.

20 3864. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Gonzalez and McNeely shall be
21 referred to as the “North Carolina Plaintiffs.”

22 3865. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA are and were at all relevant times
23 “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 25-2-104(1)
24 and 25-2A-103(3), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 25-2-103(1)(d).

25 3866. With respect to leases, FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA are and
26 were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 25-
27 2A-103(1)(p).

28 ¹⁵ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the North Carolina Plaintiffs
stated a claim for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 155-56.

1 3867. All North Carolina State Class members who purchased FCA and
2 Honda Class Vehicles in North Carolina are “buyers” within the meaning of N.C.
3 Gen. Stat. § 25-2-103(1)(a).

4 3868. All North Carolina State Class members who leased FCA and Honda
5 Class Vehicles in North Carolina are “lessees” within the meaning of N.C. Gen.
6 Stat. § 25-2A-103(1)(n).

7 3869. The FCA and Honda Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
8 “goods” within the meaning of N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 25-2-105(1) and 25-2A-
9 103(1)(h).

10 3870. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA and Honda Class
11 Vehicles, FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA provided the North Carolina
12 Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members with warranties in the form of:
13 (a) written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of components
14 that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the FCA and
15 Honda Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
16 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly
17 in the event of a crash

18 3871. However, FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA knew or should have
19 known that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, FCA, Honda
20 Japan, and Honda USA were aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA and Honda
21 Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the
22 time that they were sold and leased to the North Carolina Plaintiff and North
23 Carolina State Class members.

24 3872. The North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
25 were aware the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were covered by express
26 warranties, and those warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them
27 and FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA when the North Carolina Plaintiffs and
28

1 North Carolina State Class members unknowingly purchased and leased FCA and
2 Honda Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

3 3873. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA misrepresented the FCA and
4 Honda Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they contained the
5 ACU Defect, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
6 were exposed to those misrepresentations, and the North Carolina Plaintiffs and
7 North Carolina State Class members had no way of discerning that FCA's, Honda
8 Japan's, and Honda USA's representations were false and misleading or otherwise
9 learning the material facts that FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA had concealed
10 or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina
11 State Class members reasonably relied on FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda
12 USA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their FCA and Honda Class
13 Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above.
14 To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
15 Plaintiff.

16 3874. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA knowingly breached their express
17 warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the
18 ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA and Honda
19 Class Vehicles. FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA also breached their express
20 warranties by selling and leasing FCA and Honda Class Vehicles with a defect that
21 was never disclosed to the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
22 members.

23 3875. The North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
24 have provided FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA with reasonable notice and
25 opportunity to cure the breaches of their express warranties by way of the numerous
26 NHTSA complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by
27 North Carolina State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the
28 ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was

1 sent on behalf of the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
2 members to FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA.

3 3876. Alternatively, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State
4 Class members were excused from providing FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA
5 with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been
6 futile. As alleged above, FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA have long known that
7 the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
8 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
9 Vehicles; however, to date, the Honda Japan and Honda USA have not instituted a
10 recall or any other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect
11 exists—even though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
12 Similarly, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect
13 to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect
14 exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA Class Vehicles.
15 Therefore, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
16 had no reason to believe that FCA, Honda Japan, and Honda USA would have
17 repaired the ACU Defect if the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State
18 Class members presented their FCA and Honda Class Vehicles to FCA, Honda
19 Japan, and Honda USA for repair.

20 3877. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Honda Japan's, and Honda
21 USA's breach of their express warranties, the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were
22 and are defective and the ACU Defect in the North Carolina Plaintiffs' and North
23 Carolina State Class members' FCA and Honda Class Vehicles was not remedied.
24 Therefore, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
25 have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment
26 at the time of purchase or lease for FCA and Honda Class Vehicles with an
27 undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

28

1 **b. North Carolina Count 2: Violation of the North Carolina**
2 **Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act (N.C. Gen. Stat.**
3 **§ 75-1.1, et seq.) Against FCA¹⁶, Honda Japan, Honda USA,**
4 **and Honda Engineering USA**

5 3878. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
6 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

7 3879. Plaintiff Constanza Gonzalez brings this count individually and on
8 behalf of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased FCA
9 Class Vehicles, against FCA.

10 3880. Plaintiff Tonya McNeely brings this count individually and on behalf
11 of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Honda
12 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

13 3881. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Gonzalez and McNeely shall be
14 referred to as the “North Carolina Plaintiffs.”

15 3882. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA were
16 and are engaged in “commerce” within the meaning of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1(b).

17 3883. In the course of their business, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
18 Honda Engineering USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries,
19 violated the North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“North
20 Carolina UDTPA”) by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting,
21 concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety,
22 and performance of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, the safety of their
23 Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

24 3884. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had an
25 ongoing duty to the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
26 members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the North Carolina
27 UDTPA in the course of their business. Specifically, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda

28 ¹⁶ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that Plaintiff Gonzalez had
 sufficiently plead a Violation of the North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade
 Practices Act against FCA. *See* ECF No. 396 at 111.

1 USA, and Honda Engineering USA owed the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
2 Carolina State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning
3 the ACU Defect in the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles because they possessed
4 exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the North
5 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members, and/or they made
6 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
7 by withheld facts.

8 3885. By misrepresenting the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles as safe and
9 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
10 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers
11 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA, Honda
12 Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA engaged the unfair methods of
13 competition in or affecting commerce, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or
14 affecting commerce prohibited by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-16.

15 3886. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
16 unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations,
17 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to
18 mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in
19 consumers that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and
20 reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not
21 contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating the
22 seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations,
23 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive
24 reasonable consumers, including the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina
25 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of FCA and Honda Class
26 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
27 FCA and Honda Class Vehicles, and the true value of the FCA and Honda Class
28 Vehicles.

1 3887. FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s
2 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
3 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
4 Systems in the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
5 North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members to purchase and
6 lease those vehicles, as FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
7 USA intended. The North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
8 members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
9 suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s,
10 and Honda Engineering USA’s misrepresentations that the FCA and Honda Class
11 Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to
12 purchase and lease FCA and Honda Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
13 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
14 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

15 3888. The North Carolina Plaintiffs’ and North Carolina State Class
16 members’ reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA’s,
17 Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s representations were
18 false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that FCA, Honda Japan, Honda
19 USA, and Honda Engineering USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The North
20 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members did not, and could not,
21 unravel FCA’s, Honda Japan’s, Honda USA’s, and Honda Engineering USA’s
22 deception on their own.

23 3889. Had the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
24 members known the truth about the ACU Defect, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and
25 North Carolina State Class members would not have purchased or leased FCA and
26 Honda Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

27 3890. The North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members
28 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the

1 time of purchase and lease for FCA and Honda Class Vehicles with an undisclosed
2 safety defect as a direct and proximate result of FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda
3 USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or
4 failure to disclose material information.

5 3891. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
6 violations present a continuing risk to the North Carolina Plaintiff and North
7 Carolina State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class
8 Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally,
9 their unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

10 3892. Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-16, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and
11 North Carolina State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA's, Honda
12 Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's unfair or deceptive acts or
13 practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under
14 the North Carolina UDTPA.

15 c. **North Carolina Count 3: Violation of the North Carolina**
16 **Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act (N.C. Gen. Stat.**
17 **§ 75-1.1, et seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
18 **Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
19 **Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

20 3893. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
21 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

22 3894. Plaintiffs Constanza Gonzalez and Tonya McNeely bring this count
23 individually and on behalf of members of the North Carolina State Class against ZF
24 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
25 and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
26 and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

27 3895. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Gonzalez and McNeely shall be
28 referred to as the "North Carolina Plaintiffs."

3896. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in "commerce"
within the meaning of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1(b).

1 3897. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the North Carolina
2 Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members to refrain from unfair or
3 deceptive practices under the North Carolina UDTPA in the course of their
4 business. Specifically, the ZF and ST Defendants owed the North Carolina
5 Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members a duty to disclose all the
6 material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles because they
7 possessed exclusive knowledge and they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect
8 from the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members.

9 3898. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
10 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the North Carolina UDTPA
11 by knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose
12 material facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and
13 ASIC installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

14 3899. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
15 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
16 North Carolina UDTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the
17 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in
18 them as properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics
19 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
20 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
21 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
22 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
23 properly in a crash.

24 3900. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
25 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
26 ST Defendants engaged in unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce,
27 and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce prohibited by
28 N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1(a).

1 3901. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
2 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
3 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
4 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
5 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
6 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
7 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
8 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
9 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the North Carolina Plaintiffs and
10 North Carolina State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
11 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
12 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

13 3902. The North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
14 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, omissions, and
15 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
16 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina
17 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants’
18 deception on their own

19 3903. The ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations and concealment of the
20 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
21 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
22 Carolina State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and
23 ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the North Carolina Plaintiffs
24 and North Carolina State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
25 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

26 3904. The North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
27 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
28

1 the ZF and ST Defendants’ misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
2 disclose material information.

3 3905. The ZF and ST Defendants’ violations present a continuing risk to the
4 North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members, as well as to the
5 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
6 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices
7 complained of herein affect the public interest.

8 3906. Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1(a), the North Carolina Plaintiffs
9 and North Carolina State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
10 Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
11 other just and proper relief available under the North Carolina UDTPA.

12 **d. North Carolina Count 4: Fraud by Omission and**
13 **Concealment Against FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and**
14 **Honda Engineering USA**

15 3907. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
16 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

17 3908. Plaintiff Constanza Gonzalez brings this count individually and on
18 behalf of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased FCA
19 Class Vehicles, against FCA.

20 3909. Plaintiff Tonya McNeely brings this count individually and on behalf
21 of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Honda
22 Class Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

23 3910. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Gonzalez and McNeely shall be
24 referred to as the “North Carolina Plaintiffs.”

25 3911. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA are
26 liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement
(Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

27 3912. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
28 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and

1 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
2 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
3 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
4 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
5 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
6 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
7 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

8 3913. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA had a
9 duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
10 Carolina State Class members because:

- 11 a. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
12 had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about
13 technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 14 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the North
15 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members lack
16 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
17 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
18 on their own;
- 19 c. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
20 knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns
21 for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the FCA and Honda
22 Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a
23 material fact to the North Carolina Plaintiffs' and North Carolina
24 State Class members' decisions to buy or lease FCA and Honda
25 Class Vehicles; and
- 26 d. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
27 made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability
28 of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles and their Occupant

1 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
2 about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and
3 materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA, Honda Japan,
4 Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA intentionally
5 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the North
6 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members that
7 the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect.
8 Because they volunteered to provide information about the FCA
9 and Honda Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for
10 sale and lease to the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
11 Carolina State Class members, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA,
12 and Honda Engineering USA had the duty to disclose the whole
13 truth.

14 3914. In breach of their duties, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
15 Engineering USA failed to disclose that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles were
16 not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their
17 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU
18 Defect.

19 3915. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
20 intended for the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members
21 to rely on their omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the FCA and
22 Honda Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint
23 Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

24 3916. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
25 not have expected that the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles contained a safety defect
26 that poses such a serious risk. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
27 Engineering USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has
28 working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in

1 deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether
2 a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer
3 stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here
4 when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more
5 have been injured.

6 3917. Additionally, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
7 Engineering USA ensured that the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina
8 State Class members did not discover this information by actively concealing and
9 misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles' Occupant
10 Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

11 3918. FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA
12 actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to
13 maintain a market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly
14 recalls that would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the
15 commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the
16 expense of the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members.

17 3919. To this day, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering
18 USA have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
19 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
20 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
21 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,
22 and because they directly impact the value of the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles
23 purchased or leased by the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class
24 members.

25 3920. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA and Honda Class
26 Vehicles, and FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering
27 USA's callous disregard for safety, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
28

1 Carolina State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
2 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 3921. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and
4 Honda Engineering USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
5 consumers and NHTSA, the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State
6 Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

7 3922. Accordingly, FCA, Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda
8 Engineering USA are liable to the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina
9 State Class members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including,
10 but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA and Honda Class Vehicles at
11 the time of purchase or lease.

12 3923. FCA's, Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's
13 acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
14 reckless disregard of the North Carolina Plaintiffs' and North Carolina State Class
15 members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. FCA's, Honda Japan's,
16 Honda USA's, and Honda Engineering USA's misconduct warrants an assessment
17 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
18 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

19 **e. North Carolina Count 5: Fraud by Omission and**
20 **Concealment Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
21 **Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
22 **Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

23 3924. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25 3925. The North Carolina Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on
26 behalf of members of the North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Class
27 Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
28 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and
ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

1 3926. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
2 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 3927. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 3928. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
13 the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members because:

- 14 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
15 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
16 Defect;
- 17 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the North
18 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members lack
19 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
20 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
21 on their own;
- 22 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
23 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
24 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
25 would have been a material fact to the North Carolina Plaintiffs’
26 and North Carolina State Class members’ decisions to buy or
27 lease Class Vehicles; and

28

1 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
2 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
4 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
5 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
8 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
9 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
11 in a crash.

12 3929. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
13 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
14 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
15 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

16 3930. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the North Carolina Plaintiffs
17 and North Carolina State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did
18 by purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
19 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

20 3931. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
21 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
22 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
23 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
24 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
25 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
26 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
27 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
28 and many more have been injured.

1 3932. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the North
2 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members did not discover this
3 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class
4 Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

5 3933. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
6 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
7 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
8 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
9 products. They did so at the expense of the North Carolina Plaintiffs and North
10 Carolina State Class members.

11 3934. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
12 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
13 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
14 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
15 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
16 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the North Carolina Plaintiffs
17 and North Carolina State Class members.

18 3935. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
19 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the North Carolina Plaintiffs
20 and North Carolina State Class members either would not have paid as much as
21 they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 3936. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the North
24 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members would have seen such a
25 disclosure.

26 3937. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the North
27 Carolina Plaintiffs and North Carolina State Class members for their damages in an
28

1 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
2 for the Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 3938. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
4 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the North Carolina
5 Plaintiffs' and North Carolina State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
6 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
7 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
8 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

9 **f. North Carolina Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against Honda**
10 **Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA**

11 3939. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
12 Sections I- VI above as though fully set forth herein.

13 3940. Plaintiff Tonya McNeely (for purposes of this count, the "North
14 Carolina Plaintiff"), brings this count individually and on behalf of members of the
15 North Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class Vehicles, against
16 Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA.

17 3941. The North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members
18 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon Honda Japan, Honda
19 Engineering USA, and Honda USA when they purchased or leased the Honda Class
20 Vehicles. Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA readily accepted
21 and retained these benefits.

22 3942. The North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members
23 would not have purchased or leased the Honda Class Vehicles, or would have paid
24 less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
25 Therefore, Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA profited from
26 the sale and lease of the Honda Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the
27 North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members.

28

1 3943. Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA appreciated
2 these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of Honda Japan,
3 Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA acting in their pecuniary interest at the
4 expense of their customers. Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda
5 USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they
6 failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the North Carolina Plaintiff and North
7 Carolina State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Honda Class
8 Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

9 3944. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Honda Japan,
10 Honda Engineering USA, and Honda USA to retain these monetary benefits,
11 including because they were procured as a result of Honda Japan's, Honda
12 Engineering USA's, and Honda USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

13 3945. The North Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members
14 are entitled to restitution of the benefits Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA,
15 and Honda USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the North
16 Carolina Plaintiff and North Carolina State Class members to the position they
17 occupied prior to dealing with Honda Japan, Honda Engineering USA, and Honda
18 USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

19 3946. The North Carolina Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in
20 the alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if
21 the North Carolina Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is
22 entered in favor of Defendants, the North Carolina Plaintiff would have no adequate
23 legal remedy.

24 **18. Oklahoma**

25 **a. Oklahoma Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Okla.**
26 **Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-313 and 2A-210) Against FCA**

27 3947. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
28 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

1 3948. Plaintiff James Dean (hereinafter, “Oklahoma Plaintiff”) brings this
2 count individually and on behalf of members of the Oklahoma State Class who
3 purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

4 3949. FCA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
5 motor vehicles under Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-104(1) and 2-A-103(3), and a
6 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 2-103(1)(c).

7 3950. With respect to leases, FCA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor”
8 of motor vehicles under Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, § 2A-103(1)(p).

9 3951. All Oklahoma State Class members who purchased FCA Class
10 Vehicles in Oklahoma are “buyers” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A,
11 § 2-103(1)(a).

12 3952. All Oklahoma State Class members who leased FCA Class Vehicles in
13 Oklahoma are “lessees” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, § 2A-
14 103(1)(n).

15 3953. The FCA Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

17 3954. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA Class Vehicles, FCA
18 provided the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members with
19 warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or
20 replacement of components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b)
21 descriptions of the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
22 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would
23 function properly in the event of a crash.

24 3955. However, FCA knew or should have known that the warranties were
25 false and/or misleading. Specifically, FCA was aware of the ACU Defect in the
26 FCA Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous
27 at the time that they were sold and leased to the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma
28 State Class members.

1 3956. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members were
2 aware the Honda Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those
3 warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them and FCA when the
4 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members unknowingly purchased
5 and leased FCA Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs and
6 ASICs.

7 3957. FCA misrepresented the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while
8 concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
9 Oklahoma State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, and the
10 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members had no way of discerning
11 that FCA's representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the
12 material facts that FCA had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the
13 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members reasonably relied on the
14 FCA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their FCA Class Vehicles.
15 Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid
16 review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
17 Plaintiff.

18 3958. FCA knowingly breached its express warranties to repair defects in
19 materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect or replace the
20 defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA also breached its
21 express warranties by selling and leasing FCA Class Vehicles with a defect that
22 was never disclosed to the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members.

23 3959. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members have
24 provided FCA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of their
25 express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it, and
26 the individual notice letters sent by Oklahoma State Class members within a
27 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
28

1 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
2 Oklahoma State Class members to FCA.

3 3960. Alternatively, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
4 members were excused from providing FCA with notice and an opportunity to cure
5 the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA has long
6 known that the FCA Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
7 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
8 Vehicles; however, to date, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
9 program with respect to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
10 that the ACU Defect exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA
11 Class Vehicles—even though FCA Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
12 investigation. Therefore, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
13 members had no reason to believe that FCA would have repaired the ACU Defect if
14 the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members presented their Class
15 Vehicles to it for repair.

16 3961. As a direct and proximate result of FCA’s breach of their express
17 warranties, the FCA Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in
18 the Oklahoma Plaintiff’s and Oklahoma State Class members’ FCA Class Vehicles
19 was not remedied. Therefore, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
20 members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through their
21 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an
22 undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

23 **b. Oklahoma Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
24 **Merchantability (Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-314 and 2A-**
25 **212) Against FCA**

26 3962. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
27 allegations as though fully set forth herein.
28

1 3963. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Oklahoma State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
3 Vehicles, against FCA.

4 3964. A warranty that the FCA Class Vehicles were in merchantable
5 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
6 by law pursuant to Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-314 and 2A-212.

7 3965. FCA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
8 motor vehicles under Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-104(1) and 2-A-103(3), and a
9 “seller” of motor vehicles under § 2-103(1)(c).

10 3966. With respect to leases, FCA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor”
11 of motor vehicles under Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, § 2A-103(1)(p).

12 3967. All Oklahoma State Class members who purchased FCA Class
13 Vehicles in Oklahoma are “buyers” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A,
14 § 2-103(1)(a).

15 3968. All Oklahoma State Class members who leased FCA Class Vehicles in
16 Oklahoma are “lessees” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, § 2A-
17 103(1)(n).

18 3969. The FCA Class Vehicles were at all relevant times “goods” within the
19 meaning of Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12A, §§ 2-105(1) and 2A-103(1)(h).

20 3970. The FCA Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
21 merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
22 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
23 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
24 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the FCA Class
25 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
26 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
27 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
28

1 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
2 FCA Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

3 3971. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members have
4 provided FCA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of its
5 implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it, and
6 the individual notice letters sent by Oklahoma State Class members within a
7 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
8 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
9 Oklahoma State Class members to FCA.

10 3972. Alternatively, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
11 members were excused from providing FCA with notice and an opportunity to cure
12 the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA has long
13 known that the FCA Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
14 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
15 Vehicles; however, to date, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
16 program with respect to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
17 that the ACU Defect exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA
18 Class Vehicles—even though FCA Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
19 investigation. Therefore, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
20 members had no reason to believe that FCA would have repaired the ACU Defect if
21 the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members presented their Class
22 Vehicles to it for repair.

23 3973. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's breach of the implied
24 warranty of merchantability, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
25 members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
26 lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be
27 proven at trial.

28

1 **c. Oklahoma Count 3: Violation of the Oklahoma Consumer**
2 **Protection Act (Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 15, § 751, et seq.)**
3 **Against FCA¹⁷**

4 3974. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 3975. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
7 members of the Oklahoma State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
8 Vehicles, against FCA.

9 3976. FCA, the Oklahoma Plaintiff, and the Oklahoma State Class members
10 are “persons” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 752(1).

11 3977. FCA is and was engaged in “consumer transactions” within the
12 meaning of Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 752(2).

13 3978. The FCA Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are
14 “merchandise” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 752(7).

15 3979. The Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act (“Oklahoma CPA”) prohibits
16 deceptive and unfair trade practices.

17 3980. In the course of its business, FCA, through its agents, employees,
18 and/or subsidiaries, violated the Oklahoma CPA by knowingly and intentionally
19 misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts
20 regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the FCA Class Vehicles, the
21 safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

22 3981. FCA had an ongoing duty to the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma
23 State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the
24 Oklahoma CPA in the course of its business. Specifically, FCA owed the Oklahoma
25 Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts
26 concerning the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles because it possessed
27 exclusive knowledge, it intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the

28 ¹⁷ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Oklahoma Plaintiff had
 sufficiently plead an Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act claim against FCA. *See*
 ECF No. 396 at 113.

1 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members, and/or it made
2 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
3 by withheld facts.

4 3982. By misrepresenting the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
5 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
6 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
7 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA engaged in one or
8 more of the following unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Okla.
9 Stat. tit. 15, § 753:

- 10 a. Representing that the FCA Class Vehicles and/or the defective
11 ACUs installed in them are approved and certified as safe and
12 reliable;
- 13 b. Representing that the FCA Class Vehicles and/or the defective
14 ACUs installed in them are of a particular standard, quality, and
15 grade when they are not;
- 16 c. Advertising the FCA Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
17 installed in them as safe and free from defects, with the intent
18 not to sell or lease them as advertised; and
- 19 d. Engaging in the immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous,
20 or substantially injurious to consumers described above, which
21 offends established public policy.

22 Okla. Stat. tit. 15, §§ 753(5), (7), (8), and (20).

23 3983. FCA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including their
24 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
25 were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
26 false impression in consumers that the FCA Class Vehicles had properly-
27 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
28 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of

1 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
2 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
3 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
4 Oklahoma State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of FCA Class
5 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
6 FCA Class Vehicles, and the true value of the FCA Class Vehicles.

7 3984. FCA's misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions
8 of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant
9 Restraint Systems in the FCA Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
10 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members to purchase and lease those
11 vehicles, as FCA intended. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
12 members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
13 suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's misrepresentations that the FCA
14 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in
15 deciding to purchase and lease FCA Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
16 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
17 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

18 3985. The Oklahoma Plaintiff's and Oklahoma State Class members'
19 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA's
20 representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that FCA
21 had concealed or failed to disclose. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
22 Class members did not, and could not, unravel FCA's deception on their own.

23 3986. Had the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members
24 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
25 Class members would not have purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles, or would
26 have paid significantly less for them.

27 3987. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members suffered
28 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of

1 purchase and lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect as a
2 direct and proximate result of FCA’s concealment, misrepresentations, and/or
3 failure to disclose material information.

4 3988. FCA’s violations present a continuing risk to the Oklahoma Plaintiff
5 and Oklahoma State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the
6 Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein.
7 Additionally, FCA’s unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the
8 public interest.

9 3989. Pursuant to Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 761.1, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
10 Oklahoma State Class members seek an order enjoining the FCA’s unfair or
11 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
12 relief available under the Oklahoma CPA.

13 **d. Oklahoma Count 4: Violation of the Oklahoma Consumer**
14 **Protection Act (Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 15, § 751, et seq.)**
15 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
16 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
17 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia.**

18 3990. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 3991. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
21 members of the Oklahoma State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
23 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
24 “ST Defendants”).

25 3992. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Oklahoma Plaintiff, and
26 Oklahoma State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. tit.
27 15, § 752(1).

28 3993. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “consumer
transactions” within the meaning of Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 752(2).

1 3994. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “merchandise”
2 within the meaning of Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 752(7).

3 3995. The Oklahoma CPA prohibits deceptive and unfair trade practices.

4 3996. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
5 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Oklahoma CPA by
6 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
7 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
8 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

9 3997. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
10 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
11 Oklahoma CPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
12 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
13 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
14 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
15 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
16 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
17 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
18 properly in a crash.

19 3998. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
20 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
21 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Okla. Stat. tit.
22 15, § 753.

23 3999. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
24 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
25 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
26 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
27 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
28 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of

1 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
2 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
3 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
4 Oklahoma State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
5 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
6 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

7 4000. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members justifiably
8 relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
9 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
10 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
11 Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
12 deception on their own

13 4001. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
14 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
15 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma
16 State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST
17 Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
18 Oklahoma State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class
19 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

20 4002. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members suffered
21 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
22 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
23 material information.

24 4003. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
25 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members, as well as to the general
26 public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and
27 ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained
28 of herein affect the public interest.

1 4004. Pursuant to Okla. Stat. tit. 15, § 761.1, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
2 Oklahoma State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants’
3 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
4 proper relief available under the Oklahoma CPA.

5 **e. Oklahoma Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
6 **Against FCA**

7 4005. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
8 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

9 4006. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
10 members of the Oklahoma State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
11 Vehicles, against FCA.

12 4007. FCA is liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See,*
13 *e.g.,* Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

14 4008. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
15 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
16 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
17 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
18 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
19 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
20 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
21 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
22 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

23 4009. FCA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the Oklahoma Plaintiff
24 and Oklahoma State Class members because:

- 25 a. FCA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about
26 technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 27 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
28 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members lack the

1 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
2 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
3 on their own;

4 c. FCA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety
5 concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the FCA
6 Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a
7 material fact to the Oklahoma Plaintiff's and Oklahoma State
8 Class members' decisions to buy or lease FCA Class Vehicles;
9 and

10 d. FCA made incomplete representations about the safety and
11 reliability of the FCA Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and
14 materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA intentionally
15 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Oklahoma
16 Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members that the FCA Class
17 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered
18 to provide information about the FCA Class Vehicles that they
19 marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Oklahoma
20 Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members, FCA had the duty
21 to disclose the whole truth.

22 4010. In breach of its duties, FCA failed to disclose that the FCA Class
23 Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems,
24 including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash
25 due to the ACU Defect.

26 4011. FCA intended for the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
27 members to rely on its omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the
28

1 FCA Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint
2 Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

3 4012. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
4 not have expected that the FCA Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
5 such a serious risk. FCA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle
6 has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in
7 deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether
8 a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer
9 stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here
10 when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more
11 have been injured.

12 4013. Additionally, FCA ensured that the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma
13 State Class members did not discover this information by actively concealing and
14 misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint
15 Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

16 4014. FCA actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole
17 or in part, to maintain a market for its Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to
18 avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm
19 the commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. It did so at the
20 expense of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members.

21 4015. To this day, FCA has not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU
22 Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about the defect from
23 consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were material because a
24 reasonable person would find them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a
25 new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact the value of the FCA
26 Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
27 Class members.

28

1 4016. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles,
2 and FCA’s callous disregard for safety, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
3 Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class
4 Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

5 4017. As alleged in Section V above, FCA had fully and adequately
6 disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
7 Oklahoma State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

8 4018. Accordingly, FCA is liable to the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma
9 State Class members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including,
10 but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA Class Vehicles at the time of
11 purchase or lease.

12 4019. FCA’s acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with
13 intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Oklahoma Plaintiff’s and Oklahoma
14 State Class members’ rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. FCA’s
15 misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an
16 amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be
17 determined according to proof at trial.

18 **f. Oklahoma Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
19 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
20 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
21 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

22 4020. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24 4021. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Oklahoma State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
26 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
27 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
28 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

1 4022. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
2 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

3 4023. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
4 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
5 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
6 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
7 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
8 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
9 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
10 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
11 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

12 4024. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
13 the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members because:

- 14 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
15 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
16 Defect;
- 17 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
18 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members lack the
19 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
20 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
21 on their own;
- 22 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
23 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
24 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
25 would have been a material fact to the Oklahoma Plaintiff’s and
26 Oklahoma State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Class
27 Vehicles; and
28

1 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
2 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
3 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
4 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
5 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
6 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
7 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
8 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
9 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
10 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
11 in a crash.

12 4025. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
13 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
14 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
15 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

16 4026. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
17 Oklahoma State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
18 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
19 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

20 4027. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
21 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
22 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
23 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
24 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
25 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
26 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
27 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
28 and many more have been injured.

1 4028. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Oklahoma
2 Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members did not discover this information by
3 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
4 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

5 4029. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
6 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
7 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
8 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
9 products. They did so at the expense of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State
10 Class members.

11 4030. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
12 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
13 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
14 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
15 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
16 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
17 Oklahoma State Class members.

18 4031. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
19 ZF and ST Defendants’ callous disregard for safety, the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
20 Oklahoma State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
21 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

22 4032. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
23 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
24 Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members would have seen such a
25 disclosure.

26 4033. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Oklahoma
27 Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
28

1 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
2 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

3 4034. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
4 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Oklahoma
5 Plaintiff's and Oklahoma State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
6 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
7 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
8 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

9 **g. Oklahoma Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA**

10 4035. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
11 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

12 4036. The Oklahoma Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
13 members of the Oklahoma State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
14 Vehicles, against FCA.

15 4037. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members conferred
16 tangible and material monetary benefits upon FCA when they purchased or leased
17 the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA readily accepted and retained these benefits.

18 4038. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members would not
19 have purchased or leased the FCA Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
20 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
21 Therefore, FCA profited from the sale and lease of the FCA Class Vehicles to the
22 detriment and expense of the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class
23 members.

24 4039. FCA appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the
25 expected result of FCA acting in its pecuniary interest at the expense of its
26 customers. FCA knew of these benefits because it was aware of the ACU Defect,
27 yet it failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Oklahoma Plaintiff and
28

1 Oklahoma State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the FCA Class
2 Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

3 4040. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA to retain
4 these monetary benefits, including because they were procured as a result of FCA's
5 wrongful conduct alleged above.

6 4041. The Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members are
7 entitled to restitution of the benefits FCA unjustly retained and/or any amounts
8 necessary to return the Oklahoma Plaintiff and Oklahoma State Class members to
9 the position they occupied prior to dealing with FCA, with such amounts to be
10 determined at trial.

11 4042. The Oklahoma Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
12 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
13 Oklahoma Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
14 favor of Defendants, the Oklahoma Plaintiff would have no adequate legal remedy.

15 **19. Pennsylvania**

16 **a. Pennsylvania Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (13 Pa.**
17 **Cons. Stat. §§ 2313 and 2A210) Against Hyundai Korea,**
Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA

18 4043. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 4044. Plaintiff Larae Angel brings this count individually and on behalf of
21 members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
22 Vehicles, against the Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

23 4045. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel brings this count individually and on behalf
24 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
25 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

26 4046. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
27 referred to as the "Pennsylvania Plaintiffs."
28

1 4047. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are and were
2 at all relevant times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under 13 Pa. Cons.
3 Stat. §§ 2104 and 2A103(c), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under § 2103(a).

4 4048. With respect to leases, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
5 Kia USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under 13 Pa.
6 Cons. Stat. § 2A103(a).

7 4049. All Pennsylvania State Class members who purchased Hyundai and
8 Kia Class Vehicles in Pennsylvania are “buyers” within the meaning of 13 Pa.
9 Cons. Stat. § 2103(a).

10 4050. All Pennsylvania State Class members who leased Hyundai and Kia
11 Class Vehicles in Pennsylvania are “lessees” within the meaning of 13 Pa. Cons.
12 Stat. § 2A103(a).

13 4051. The Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
14 “goods” within the meaning of 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 2105(a) and 2A103(a).

15 4052. In connection with the purchase or lease of Hyundai and Kia Class
16 Vehicles, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA provided the
17 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members with warranties in
18 the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or replacement of
19 components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of
20 the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
21 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would
22 function properly in the event of a crash.

23 4053. However, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
24 knew or should have known that the warranties were false and/or misleading.
25 Specifically, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA were aware
26 of the ACU Defect in the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
27 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
28 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members.

1 4054. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
2 were aware the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were covered by express
3 warranties, and those warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them,
4 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA when the Pennsylvania
5 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members unknowingly purchased and
6 leased Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs
7 and ASICs.

8 4055. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
9 misrepresented the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while
10 concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
11 Pennsylvania State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, and
12 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members had no way of
13 discerning that Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
14 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
15 that Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had concealed or
16 failed to disclose. Accordingly, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State
17 Class members reasonably relied on Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia
18 Korea's, and Kia USA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their
19 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
20 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
21 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

22 4056. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knowingly
23 breached their express warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by
24 failing to repair the ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the
25 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
26 Kia USA also breached their express warranties by selling and leasing Hyundai and
27 Kia Class Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the Pennsylvania
28 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members.

1 4057. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
2 have provided Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA with
3 reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of their express warranties
4 by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against them, and individual
5 notice letters sent by the Pennsylvania State Class members within a reasonable
6 amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on April 24,
7 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
8 Pennsylvania State Class members to Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
9 and Kia USA.

10 4058. Alternatively, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
11 members were excused from providing Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
12 and Kia USA with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would
13 have been futile. As alleged above, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA have long
14 known that the Hyundai Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the
15 ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving
16 Class Vehicles; however, to date, Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA have not
17 instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled
18 Hyundai Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all
19 Hyundai Class Vehicles, including the recalled Hyundai Class Vehicles—even
20 though all of the Hyundai Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
21 Similarly, to date, Kia Korea and Kia USA have not instituted a recall or any other
22 repair program with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even
23 acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all Kia Class Vehicles, including the
24 recalled Kia Class Vehicles—even though all of the Kia Class Vehicles are subject
25 to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
26 Pennsylvania State Class members had no reason to believe that Hyundai Korea,
27 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if
28

1 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members presented their
2 Class Vehicles to them for repair.

3 4059. As a direct and proximate result of Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's,
4 Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's breach of their express warranties, the Hyundai and
5 Kia Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in the Pennsylvania
6 Plaintiffs' and Pennsylvania State Class members' Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles
7 was not remedied. Therefore, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State
8 Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial, through
9 their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Hyundai and Kia Class
10 Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

11 **b. Pennsylvania Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
12 **Merchantability (13 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 2314 and 2A212)**
13 **Against Hyundai USA and Kia USA**

14 4060. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 4061. Plaintiff Larae Angel brings this count individually and on behalf of
17 members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
18 Vehicles, against Hyundai USA.

19 4062. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel brings this count individually and on behalf
20 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
21 Vehicles, against Kia USA.

22 4063. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
23 referred to as the "Pennsylvania Plaintiffs."

24 4064. A warranty that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were in
25 merchantable condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are
26 used is implied by law pursuant to 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 2314 and 2A212.

27 4065. Hyundai USA and Kia USA are and were at all relevant times
28 "merchants" with respect to motor vehicles under 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 2104 and
2A103(c), and "sellers" of motor vehicles under § 2103(a).

1 4066. With respect to leases, Hyundai USA and Kia USA are and were at all
2 relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 2A103(a).

3 4067. All Pennsylvania State Class members who purchased Class Vehicles
4 in Pennsylvania are “buyers” within the meaning of 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 2103(a).

5 4068. All Pennsylvania State Class members who leased Class Vehicles in
6 Pennsylvania are “lessees” within the meaning of 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 2A103(a).

7 4069. The Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods” within
8 the meaning of 13 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 2105(a) and 2A103(a).

9 4070. The Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied
10 warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times
11 thereafter, they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass
12 without objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which
13 vehicles were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Hyundai
14 and Kia Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags
15 and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock
16 doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-
17 voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which
18 render the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

19 4071. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
20 have provided Hyundai USA and Kia USA with reasonable notice and opportunity
21 to cure the breaches of its implied warranty by way of the numerous NHTSA
22 complaints filed against it, and the individual notice letters sent by Pennsylvania
23 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
24 became public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of
25 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members to Hyundai USA
26 and Kia USA.

27 4072. Alternatively, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
28 members were excused from providing Hyundai USA and Kia USA with notice and

1 an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged
2 above, Hyundai USA has long known that the Hyundai Class Vehicles contained
3 the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to
4 malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Hyundai USA
5 has not instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled
6 Hyundai Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all
7 Hyundai Class Vehicles, including the recalled Hyundai Class Vehicles—even
8 though all of the Hyundai Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
9 Similarly, to date, Kia USA has not instituted a recall or any other repair program
10 with respect to the unrecalled Kia Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the
11 ACU Defect exists in all Kia Class Vehicles, including the recalled Kia Class
12 Vehicles—even though all of the Kia Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
13 investigation. Therefore, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
14 members had no reason to believe that Hyundai USA and Kia USA would have
15 repaired the ACU Defect if the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State
16 Class members presented their Class Vehicles to them for repair.

17 4073. As a direct and proximate result of Hyundai USA’s and Kia USA’s
18 breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, the Pennsylvania Plaintiff and
19 Pennsylvania State Class members have been damaged through their overpayment
20 at the time of purchase or lease for Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles with an
21 undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be proven at trial.

22 c. **Pennsylvania Count 3: Violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair**
23 **Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law (73 Pa.**
24 **Cons. Stat. §§ 201-1, et seq.) Against Hyundai Korea,**
Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA¹⁸

25 4074. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 ¹⁸ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
28 stated a claim under the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer

1 4075. Plaintiff Larae Angel brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
3 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

4 4076. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel brings this count individually and on behalf
5 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
6 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

7 4077. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
8 referred to as the “Pennsylvania Plaintiffs.”

9 4078. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, Kia USA, the Pennsylvania
10 Plaintiffs, and Pennsylvania State Class members are “persons” within the meaning
11 of 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(2).

12 4079. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class Members
13 purchased their Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them
14 primarily for personal, family, or household purposes within the meaning of 73 Pa.
15 Cons. Stat. § 201-9.2(a).

16 4080. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA were and are
17 engaged in “trade” or “commerce” within the meaning of 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-
18 2(3).

19 4081. The Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection
20 Law (“Pennsylvania CPL”) prohibits “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the
21 conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-3.

22 4082. In the course of their business, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
23 Korea, and Kia USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated
24 the Pennsylvania CPL by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting,
25 concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety,
26 and performance of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, the safety of their
27 Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

28 _____
Protection Law against Hyundai USA and Kia USA. *See* ECF No. 396 at 114-15.

1 4083. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had an
2 ongoing duty to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
3 to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the Pennsylvania CPL in the
4 course of their business. Specifically, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
5 and Kia USA owed the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
6 members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the
7 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge, they
8 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
9 Pennsylvania State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that were
10 rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

11 4084. By misrepresenting the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles as safe and
12 reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning
13 and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers
14 and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Hyundai Korea,
15 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA engaged in one or more of the following
16 unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(3):

- 17 a. Representing that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
18 defective ACUs installed in them have characteristics, uses,
19 benefits, and qualities which they do not have.
- 20 b. Representing that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
21 defective ACUs installed in them are of a particular standard,
22 quality, and grade when they are not.
- 23 c. Advertising the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the
24 defective ACUs installed in them with the intent not to sell or
25 lease them as advertised.
- 26 d. Engaging in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which
27 creates a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding.

28 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(4)(v), (vii), (ix) and (xxi).

1 4085. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s unfair
2 and deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments,
3 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a
4 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
5 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and
6 seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect
7 and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags
8 during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
9 suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including
10 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members, about the true
11 safety and reliability of Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
12 and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles,
13 and the true value of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles.

14 4086. Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and Kia USA’s
15 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
16 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
17 Systems in the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of
18 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members to purchase and
19 lease those vehicles, as Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
20 intended. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members were
21 exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
22 material facts, and relied on Hyundai Korea’s, Hyundai USA’s, Kia Korea’s, and
23 Kia USA’s misrepresentations that the Hyundai-Kia Vehicles and their Occupant
24 Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease Hyundai
25 and Kia Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section
26 II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph
27 numbers for each Plaintiff.
28

1 4087. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs' and Pennsylvania State Class members'
2 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Hyundai Korea's,
3 Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's representations were false and
4 misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
5 Korea, and Kia USA had concealed or failed to disclose. The Pennsylvania
6 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members did not, and could not, unravel
7 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's deception on their
8 own.

9 4088. Had the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
10 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
11 Pennsylvania State Class members would not have purchased or leased Hyundai
12 and Kia Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

13 4089. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
14 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
15 time of purchase and lease for Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles with an undisclosed
16 safety defect as a direct and proximate result of Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's,
17 Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to
18 disclose material information.

19 4090. Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
20 violations present a continuing risk to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania
21 State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles
22 remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their
23 unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

24 4091. Pursuant to 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-9.2(a), the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
25 and Pennsylvania State Class members seek an order enjoining the Hyundai
26 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's unfair or deceptive acts or
27 practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under
28 the Pennsylvania CPL.

1 **d. Pennsylvania Count 4: Violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair**
2 **Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law (73 Pa.**
3 **Cons. Stat. §§ 201-1, et seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF**
4 **Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,**
5 **ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

6 4092. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 4093. Plaintiffs Larae Angel and Richard Kintzel bring this count
9 individually and on behalf of members of the Pennsylvania State Class against ZF
10 Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp.,
11 and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia,
12 and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

13 4094. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
14 referred to as the “Pennsylvania Plaintiffs.”

15 4095. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs,
16 and Pennsylvania State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of 73 Pa.
17 Cons. Stat. § 201-2(2).

18 4096. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class Members
19 purchased their Class Vehicles and the ACUs installed in them primarily for
20 personal, family, or household purposes within the meaning of 73 Pa. Cons. Stat.
21 § 201-9.2(a).

22 4097. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
23 “commerce” within the meaning of 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(3).

24 4098. The Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection
25 Law (“Pennsylvania CPL”) prohibits “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the
26 conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-3.

27 4099. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Pennsylvania
28 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
 practices under the Pennsylvania CPL in the course of their business. Specifically,
 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State

1 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
2 in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they
3 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
4 Pennsylvania State Class members.

5 4100. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
6 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Pennsylvania CPL by
7 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
8 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
9 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

10 4101. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
11 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
12 Pennsylvania CPL when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
13 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
14 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
15 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
17 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
18 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
19 properly in a crash.

20 4102. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
21 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
22 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by 73 Pa. Cons.
23 Stat. § 201-3.

24 4103. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
25 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
26 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
27 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
28 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint

1 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
2 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
3 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
4 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
5 Pennsylvania State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
6 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
7 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

8 4104. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
9 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
10 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
11 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania
12 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
13 deception on their own

14 4105. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
15 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
16 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
17 Pennsylvania State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF
18 and ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
19 and Pennsylvania State Class members would not have purchased or leased the
20 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

21 4106. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
22 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
23 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
24 disclose material information.

25 4107. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
26 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members, as well as to the
27 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
28

1 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants’ unlawful acts and practices
2 complained of herein affect the public interest.

3 4108. Pursuant to 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-9.2(a), the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
4 and Pennsylvania State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
5 Defendants’ unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
6 other just and proper relief available under the Pennsylvania CPL.

7 e. **Pennsylvania Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
8 **Against Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia**
9 **USA**

10 4109. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 4110. Plaintiff Larae Angel brings this count individually and on behalf of
13 members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
14 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

15 4111. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel brings this count individually and on behalf
16 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
17 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

18 4112. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
19 referred to as the “Pennsylvania Plaintiffs.”

20 4113. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA are liable for
21 both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of
22 Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 4114. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as

1 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
2 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

3 4115. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had a duty to
4 disclose the ACU Defect to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State
5 Class members because:

- 6 a. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA had
7 exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical
8 facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 9 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
10 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
11 lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and
12 electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the
13 ACU Defect on their own;
- 14 c. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA knew
15 that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the
16 consumers who use the vehicles, and the Hyundai and Kia Class
17 Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material
18 fact to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs' and Pennsylvania State Class
19 members' decisions to buy or lease Hyundai and Kia Class
20 Vehicles; and
- 21 d. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA made
22 incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the
23 Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
24 System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a
25 known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials
26 provided with each Class Vehicle, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
27 USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intentionally concealed,
28 suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs

1 and Pennsylvania State Class members that the Hyundai and Kia
2 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they
3 volunteered to provide information about the Hyundai and Kia
4 Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease
5 to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
6 members, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia
7 USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

8 4116. In breach of their duties, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea,
9 and Kia USA failed to disclose that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles were not
10 safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags
11 and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

12 4117. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA intended for
13 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members to rely on their
14 omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing the Hyundai and Kia Class
15 Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in
16 their Class Vehicles would function properly.

17 4118. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
18 not have expected that the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles contained a safety
19 defect that poses such a serious risk. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
20 Kia USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working
21 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether
22 to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's
23 products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its
24 products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine
25 people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been
26 injured.

27 4119. Additionally, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
28 ensured that the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members did

1 not discover this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true
2 nature of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to
3 consumers and NHTSA.

4 4120. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA actively
5 concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a
6 market for their Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that
7 would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial
8 reputations of Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the
9 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members.

10 4121. To this day, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
11 have not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to
12 conceal material information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The
13 omitted and concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find
14 them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle,
15 and because they directly impact the value of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles
16 purchased or leased by the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
17 members.

18 4122. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Hyundai and Kia Class
19 Vehicles, and Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's
20 callous disregard for safety, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State
21 Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class
22 Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

23 4123. As alleged in Section V above, if Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia
24 Korea, and Kia USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to
25 consumers and NHTSA, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
26 members would have seen such a disclosure.

27 4124. Accordingly, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
28 are liable to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members for

1 their damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their
2 lost overpayment for the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or
3 lease.

4 4125. Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's acts
5 were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in
6 reckless disregard of the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs' and Pennsylvania State Class
7 members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves. Hyundai Korea's,
8 Hyundai USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's misconduct warrants an assessment
9 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
10 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

11 **f. Pennsylvania Count 6: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
12 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
13 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
14 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

14 4126. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 4127. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf
17 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Class
18 Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
19 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and
20 ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

21 4128. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
22 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

23 4129. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
24 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
25 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
26 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
27 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
28 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)

1 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
2 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
3 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

4 4130. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
5 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members because:

- 6 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
7 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
8 Defect;
- 9 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
10 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
11 lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and
12 electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the
13 ACU Defect on their own;
- 14 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
15 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
16 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
17 would have been a material fact to the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs’
18 and Pennsylvania State Class members’ decisions to buy or
19 lease Class Vehicles; and
- 20 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
21 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
22 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
23 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
24 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
25 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
26 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
27 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
28 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant

1 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
2 in a crash.

3 4131. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
4 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
5 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
6 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

7 4132. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
8 and Pennsylvania State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did
9 by purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
10 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

11 4133. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
12 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
13 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
14 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
15 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
16 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
17 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
18 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
19 and many more have been injured.

20 4134. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Pennsylvania
21 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members did not discover this information
22 by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
23 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

24 4135. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
25 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
26 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
27 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
28

1 products. They did so at the expense of the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
2 Pennsylvania State Class members.

3 4136. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
4 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
5 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
6 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
7 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
8 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs
9 and Pennsylvania State Class members.

10 4137. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
11 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
12 Pennsylvania State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
13 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

14 4138. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
15 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
16 Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members would have seen
17 such a disclosure.

18 4139. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Pennsylvania
19 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members for their damages in an amount to
20 be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
21 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

22 4140. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
23 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Pennsylvania
24 Plaintiffs' and Pennsylvania State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
25 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
26 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
27 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.
28

1 **g. Pennsylvania Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against Hyundai**
2 **Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA**

3 4141. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
4 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

5 4142. Plaintiff Larae Angel brings this count individually and on behalf of
6 members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
7 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

8 4143. Plaintiff Richard Kintzel brings this count individually and on behalf
9 of members of the Pennsylvania State Class who purchased or leased Kia Class
10 Vehicles, against Kia Korea and Kia USA.

11 4144. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Angel and Kintzel shall be
12 referred to as the “Pennsylvania Plaintiffs.”

13 4145. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
14 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
15 USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA when they purchased or leased the Hyundai and Kia
16 Class Vehicles. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA readily
17 accepted and retained these benefits.

18 4146. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members
19 would not have purchased or leased the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles, or would
20 have paid less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase
21 or lease. Therefore, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA
22 profited from the sale and lease of the Hyundai and Kia Class Vehicles to the
23 detriment and expense of the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class
24 members.

25 4147. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA appreciated
26 these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of Hyundai Korea,
27 Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA acting in their pecuniary interest at the
28 expense of their customers. Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia

1 USA knew of these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they
2 failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and
3 Pennsylvania State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the Hyundai
4 and Kia Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

5 4148. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Hyundai
6 Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA to retain these monetary benefits,
7 including because they were procured as a result of Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai
8 USA's, Kia Korea's, and Kia USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

9 4149. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members are
10 entitled to restitution of the benefits Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and
11 Kia USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the Pennsylvania
12 Plaintiffs and Pennsylvania State Class members to the position they occupied prior
13 to dealing with Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Kia Korea, and Kia USA, with such
14 amounts to be determined at trial.

15 4150. The Pennsylvania Plaintiffs pleads this claim separately as well as in
16 the alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if
17 the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is
18 entered in favor of Defendants, the Pennsylvania Plaintiffs would have no adequate
19 legal remedy.

20 **20. South Carolina**

21 **a. South Carolina Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (S.C.**
22 **Code Ann. §§ 36-2-313 and 36-2A-210) Toyota Sales USA¹⁹**

23 4151. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
24 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25

26

27

28

¹⁹ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the South Carolina Plaintiff stated a claim for breach of express warranty. *See* ECF No. 396 at 160-61.

1 4152. Plaintiff Michael Hines (hereinafter, “South Carolina Plaintiff”) brings
2 this count individually and on behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class
3 who purchased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

4 4153. Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with
5 respect to motor vehicles under S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-104(1) and 36-2A-103(3),
6 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 36-2-103(1)(d).

7 4154. With respect to leases, Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant
8 times a “lessor” of motor vehicles under S.C. Code Ann. § 36-2A-103(1)(p).

9 4155. All South Carolina State Class members who purchased Toyota Class
10 Vehicles in South Carolina are “buyers” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann.
11 § 36-2-103(1)(a).

12 4156. All South Carolina State Class members who leased Toyota Class
13 Vehicles in South Carolina are “lessees” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann.
14 § 36-2A-103(1)(n).

15 4157. The Toyota Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
16 within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-105(1) and 36-2A-103(1)(h).

17 4158. In connection with the purchase or lease of Toyota Class Vehicles,
18 Toyota Sales USA provided the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State
19 Class members with warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties
20 covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in materials or
21 workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and
22 reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and
23 seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash

24 4159. However, Toyota Sales USA knew or should have known that the
25 warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Toyota Sales USA was aware
26 of the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles
27 inherently defective and dangerous at the time that they were sold and leased to the
28 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members.

1 4160. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
2 were aware the Toyota Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and
3 those warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them and Toyota
4 Sales USA when the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class
5 members unknowingly purchased and leased Toyota Class Vehicles that came
6 equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.

7 4161. Toyota Sales USA misrepresented the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe
8 and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the South
9 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members were exposed to those
10 misrepresentations, and the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class
11 members had no way of discerning that Toyota Sales USA's representations were
12 false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts that Toyota Sales USA
13 had concealed or failed to disclose. Accordingly, the South Carolina Plaintiff and
14 South Carolina State Class members reasonably relied on Toyota Sales USA's
15 express warranties when purchasing or leasing their Toyota Class Vehicles.
16 Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid
17 review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
18 Plaintiff.

19 4162. Toyota Sales USA knowingly breached its express warranties to repair
20 defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect or
21 replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Toyota Class Vehicles. Toyota Sales
22 USA also breached its express warranties by selling and leasing Toyota Class
23 Vehicles with a defect that was never disclosed to the South Carolina Plaintiff and
24 South Carolina State Class members.

25 4163. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
26 have provided Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure
27 the breaches of its express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints
28 filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by South Carolina State

1 Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became
2 public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the
3 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members to Toyota Sales
4 USA.

5 4164. Alternatively, the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State
6 Class members were excused from providing Toyota Sales USA with notice and an
7 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
8 Toyota Sales USA has long known that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained the
9 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
10 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Toyota Sales USA has not
11 instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled Toyota
12 Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all Toyota
13 Class Vehicles, including the recalled Toyota Class Vehicles—even though Toyota
14 Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the South
15 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members had no reason to believe
16 that Toyota Sales USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the South Carolina
17 Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to
18 it for repair.

19 4165. As a direct and proximate result of Toyota Sales USA's breach of its
20 express warranties, the Toyota Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU
21 Defect in the South Carolina Plaintiff's and South Carolina State Class members'
22 Toyota Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the South Carolina Plaintiff
23 and South Carolina State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be
24 proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for
25 Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be
26 remedied.

27
28

1 **b. South Carolina Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
2 **Merchantability (S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-314 and 36-2A-**
3 **212) Against Toyota Sales USA**²⁰

4 4166. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 4167. The South Carolina Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
7 behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class who purchased Toyota Class
8 Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

9 4168. A warranty that the Toyota Class Vehicles were in merchantable
10 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
11 by law pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-314 and 36-2A-212.

12 4169. Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with
13 respect to motor vehicles under S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-104(1) and 36-2A-103(3),
14 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 36-2-103(1)(d).

15 4170. With respect to leases, Toyota Sales USA is and was at all relevant
16 times a “lessor” of motor vehicles under S.C. Code Ann. § 36-2A-103(1)(p).

17 4171. All South Carolina State Class members who purchased Toyota Class
18 Vehicles in South Carolina are “buyers” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann.
19 § 36-2-103(1)(a).

20 4172. All South Carolina State Class members who leased Toyota Class
21 Vehicles in South Carolina are “lessees” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann.
22 § 36-2A-103(1)(n).

23 4173. The Toyota Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
24 within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-2-105(1) and 36-2A-103(1)(h).

25 4174. The Toyota Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty
26 of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,
27 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
28 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles

²⁰ *Id.*

1 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the Toyota Class
2 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
3 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
4 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
5 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
6 Toyota Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

7 4175. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina Plaintiff State Class
8 members have provided Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and opportunity
9 to cure the breaches of its implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA
10 complaints filed against it, and the individual notice letters sent by South Carolina
11 State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect
12 became public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of
13 the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members to Toyota
14 Sales USA.

15 4176. Alternatively, the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State
16 Class members were excused from providing Toyota Sales USA with notice and an
17 opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above,
18 Toyota Sales USA has long known that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained the
19 ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction
20 in crashes involving Class Vehicles; however, to date, Toyota Sales USA has not
21 instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to the unrecalled Toyota
22 Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all Toyota
23 Class Vehicles, including the recalled Toyota Class Vehicles—even though Toyota
24 Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the South
25 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members had no reason to believe
26 that Toyota Sales USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the South Carolina
27 Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to
28 it for repair.

1 4177. As a direct and proximate result of Toyota Sales USA’s breach of the
2 implied warranty of merchantability, the South Carolina Plaintiff and South
3 Carolina Plaintiff State Class members have been damaged through their
4 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an
5 undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be proven at trial.

6 **c. South Carolina Count 3: Violation of the South Carolina**
7 **Unfair Trade Practices Act (S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10, et**
8 **seq.) Against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA**

9 4178. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 4179. The South Carolina Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
12 behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class who purchased Toyota Class
13 Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

14 4180. Toyota USA Toyota Sales USA, the South Carolina Plaintiff, and the
15 South Carolina State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of S.C. Code
16 Ann. § 39-5-10(a).

17 4181. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA were and are engaged in “trade”
18 or “commerce” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10(b).

19 4182. The South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act (“South Carolina
20 UTPA”) prohibits “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade
21 or commerce[.]” S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-20(a).

22 4183. In the course of their business, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA,
23 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the South Carolina
24 UTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing,
25 and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and
26 performance of the Toyota Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint
27 Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

28 4184. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had an ongoing duty to the South
Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members to refrain from unfair or

1 deceptive practices under the South Carolina UTPA in the course of their business.
2 Specifically, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA owed the South Carolina Plaintiff
3 and South Carolina State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts
4 concerning the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles because they possessed
5 exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the South
6 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members, and/or they made
7 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
8 by withheld facts.

9 4185. By misrepresenting the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
10 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
11 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
12 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Toyota USA and Toyota
13 Sales USA engaged in unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by S.C.
14 Code Ann. § 39-5-20(a).

15 4186. Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's unfair and deceptive acts or
16 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
17 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
18 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the Toyota
19 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that
20 the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform
21 its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision.
22 Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
23 material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the South
24 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members, about the true safety
25 and reliability of Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs
26 installed in them, the quality of the Toyota Class Vehicles, and the true value of the
27 Toyota Class Vehicles.

28

1 4187. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s misrepresentations,
2 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU
3 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Toyota
4 Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the South Carolina Plaintiff and
5 South Carolina State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as
6 Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA intended. The South Carolina Plaintiff and
7 South Carolina State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations,
8 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on Toyota
9 USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s misrepresentations that the Toyota Class Vehicles
10 and their Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase
11 and lease Toyota Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
12 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
13 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

14 4188. The South Carolina Plaintiff’s and South Carolina State Class
15 members’ reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Toyota
16 USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s representations were false and misleading, or
17 otherwise learning the facts that Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had concealed
18 or failed to disclose. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class
19 members did not, and could not, unravel Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s
20 deception on their own.

21 4189. Had the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class
22 members known the truth about the ACU Defect, the South Carolina Plaintiff and
23 South Carolina State Class members would not have purchased or leased Toyota
24 Class Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

25 4190. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
26 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
27 time of purchase and lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
28

1 defect as a direct and proximate result of Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s
2 concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.

3 4191. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s violations present a continuing
4 risk to the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members, as well
5 as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the
6 defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices
7 complained of herein affect the public interest.

8 4192. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-140(a), the South Carolina Plaintiff
9 and South Carolina State Class members seek an order enjoining Toyota USA’s and
10 Toyota Sales USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages
11 and any other just and proper relief available under the South Carolina UTPA.

12 **d. South Carolina Count 4: Violation of the South Carolina**
13 **Unfair Trade Practices Act (S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10, et**
14 **seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia

15 4193. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
16 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

17 4194. The South Carolina Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
18 behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class against ZF Electronics USA,
19 ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany
20 (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA
21 (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

22 4195. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the South Carolina Plaintiff,
23 and South Carolina State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of S.C.
24 Code Ann. § 39-5-10(a).

25 4196. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
26 “commerce” within the meaning of S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10(b).

27
28

1 4197. The South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act (“South Carolina
2 UTPA”) prohibits “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade
3 or commerce[.]” S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-20(a).

4 4198. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the South Carolina
5 Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members to refrain from unfair or
6 deceptive practices under the South Carolina UTPA in the course of their business.
7 Specifically, the ZF and ST Defendants owed the South Carolina Plaintiff and
8 South Carolina State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts
9 concerning the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive
10 knowledge and they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the South
11 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members.

12 4199. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
13 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the South Carolina UTPA by
14 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
15 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
16 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

17 4200. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
18 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
19 South Carolina UTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the
20 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in
21 them as properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics
22 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
23 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
24 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
25 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
26 properly in a crash.

27 4201. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
28 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and

1 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by S.C. Code Ann.
2 § 39-5-20(a).

3 4202. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
4 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
5 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
6 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
7 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
8 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
9 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
10 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
11 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the South Carolina Plaintiff and
12 South Carolina State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
13 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
14 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

15 4203. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
16 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
17 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
18 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina
19 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
20 deception on their own

21 4204. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
22 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
23 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the South Carolina Plaintiff and South
24 Carolina State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and
25 ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the South Carolina Plaintiff and
26 South Carolina State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class
27 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

28

1 4205. The South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
2 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
3 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
4 disclose material information.

5 4206. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
6 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members, as well as to the
7 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
8 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices
9 complained of herein affect the public interest.

10 4207. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-140(a), the South Carolina Plaintiff
11 and South Carolina State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
12 Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
13 other just and proper relief available under the South Carolina UTPA.

14 e. **South Carolina Count 5: Fraud by Omission and**
15 **Concealment Against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA**

16 4208. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
17 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

18 4209. The South Carolina Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
19 behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class who purchased or leased
20 Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

21 4210. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA are liable for both fraudulent
22 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
23 51 (1977).

24 4211. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
25 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
26 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
27 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
28 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for

1 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
2 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
3 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
4 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

5 4212. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
6 Defect to the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members
7 because:

- 8 a. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had exclusive access to and
9 far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
10 Defect;
- 11 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the South
12 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members lack
13 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
14 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
15 on their own;
- 16 c. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA knew that the ACU Defect
17 gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use
18 the vehicles, and the Toyota Class Vehicles containing the ACU
19 Defect would have been a material fact to the South Carolina
20 Plaintiff's and South Carolina State Class members' decisions to
21 buy or lease Toyota Class Vehicles; and
- 22 d. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA made incomplete
23 representations about the safety and reliability of the Toyota
24 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
25 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
26 defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each
27 Class Vehicle, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA intentionally
28 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the South

1 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members that
2 the Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because
3 they volunteered to provide information about the Toyota Class
4 Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the
5 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class
6 members, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had the duty to
7 disclose the whole truth.

8 4213. In breach of their duties, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA failed to
9 disclose that the Toyota Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their
10 Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
11 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

12 4214. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA intended for the South Carolina
13 Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members to rely on their omissions—
14 which they did by purchasing and leasing the Toyota Class Vehicles at the prices
15 they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles
16 would function properly.

17 4215. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
18 not have expected that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
19 poses such a serious risk. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA knew that reasonable
20 consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
21 and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a
22 new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and
23 reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
24 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
25 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

26 4216. Additionally, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA ensured that the
27 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members did not discover
28

1 this information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
2 Toyota Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

3 4217. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA actively concealed and suppressed
4 these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class
5 Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to
6 liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and
7 their products. They did so at the expense of the South Carolina Plaintiff and South
8 Carolina State Class members.

9 4218. To this day, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA have not fully and
10 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
11 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
12 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
13 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
14 because they directly impact the value of the Toyota Class Vehicles purchased or
15 leased by the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members.

16 4219. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles,
17 and Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's callous disregard for safety, the South
18 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members either would not have
19 paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased
20 or leased them.

21 4220. As alleged in Section V above, if Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA
22 had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
23 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members would have seen
24 such a disclosure.

25 4221. Accordingly, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA are liable to the
26 South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members for their damages
27 in an amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost
28 overpayment for the Toyota Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

1 4222. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s acts were done maliciously,
2 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the South
3 Carolina Plaintiff’s and South Carolina State Class members’ rights and well-being;
4 and to enrich themselves. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s misconduct
5 warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount
6 sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined
7 according to proof at trial.

8 **f. South Carolina Count 6: Fraud by Omission and**
9 **Concealment Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
10 **Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
11 **Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

11 4223. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
12 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

13 4224. The South Carolina Plaintiff brings this count individually and on
14 behalf of members of the South Carolina State Class who purchased or leased Class
15 Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive
16 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and
17 ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

18 4225. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
19 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

20 4226. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
21 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
22 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
23 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
24 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
25 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
26 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
27 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
28 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

1 4227. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
2 the South Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members because:

- 3 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
4 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
5 Defect;
- 6 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the South
7 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members lack
8 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
9 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
10 on their own;
- 11 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
12 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
13 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
14 would have been a material fact to the South Carolina Plaintiff’s
15 and South Carolina State Class members’ decisions to buy or
16 lease Class Vehicles; and
- 17 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
18 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
19 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
20 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
21 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
22 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
23 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
24 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
25 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
26 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
27 in a crash.
28

1 4228. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
2 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
3 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
4 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

5 4229. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the South Carolina Plaintiff
6 and South Carolina State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did
7 by purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that
8 the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

9 4230. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
10 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
11 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
12 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
13 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
14 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
15 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
16 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
17 and many more have been injured.

18 4231. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the South
19 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members did not discover this
20 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class
21 Vehicles’ Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

22 4232. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
23 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
24 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
25 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
26 products. They did so at the expense of the South Carolina Plaintiff and South
27 Carolina State Class members.

28

1 4233. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
2 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
3 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
4 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
5 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
6 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the South Carolina Plaintiff
7 and South Carolina State Class members.

8 4234. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
9 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the South Carolina Plaintiff
10 and South Carolina State Class members either would not have paid as much as
11 they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

12 4235. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
13 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the South
14 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members would have seen such a
15 disclosure.

16 4236. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the South
17 Carolina Plaintiff and South Carolina State Class members for their damages in an
18 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
19 for the Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

20 4237. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
21 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the South Carolina
22 Plaintiff's and South Carolina State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
23 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
24 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
25 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

26
27
28

1 **21. South Dakota**

2 **a. South Dakota Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-313 and 57A-2A-210) Against FCA**

3
4 4238. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 4239. Plaintiff Desiree Meyer (hereinafter, “South Dakota Plaintiff”) brings
7 this count individually and on behalf of members of the South Dakota State Class
8 who purchased or leased FCA Class Vehicles, against FCA.

9 4240. FCA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
10 motor vehicles under S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-104(1) and 57A-2A-103(3),
11 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 57A-2-103(1)(d).

12 4241. With respect to leases, FCA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor”
13 of motor vehicles under S.D. Codified Laws § 57A-2A-103(1)(p).

14 4242. All South Dakota State Class members who purchased FCA Class
15 Vehicles in South Dakota are “buyers” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws
16 § 57A-2-103(1)(a).

17 4243. All South Dakota State Class members who leased FCA Class
18 Vehicles in South Dakota are “lessees” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws
19 § 57A-2A-103(1)(n).

20 4244. The FCA Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
21 within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-105(1) and 57A-2A-
22 103(1)(h).

23 4245. In connection with the purchase or lease of FCA Class Vehicles, FCA
24 provided the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members with
25 warranties in the form of: (a) written express warranties covering the repair or
26 replacement of components that are defective in materials or workmanship, and (b)
27 descriptions of the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, and that their Occupant
28

1 Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners, would
2 function properly in the event of a crash

3 4246. However, FCA knew or should have known that the warranties were
4 false and/or misleading. Specifically, FCA was aware of the ACU Defect in the
5 FCA Class Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous
6 at the time that they were sold and leased to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
7 Dakota State Class members.

8 4247. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
9 were aware the FCA Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those
10 warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them and FCA when the
11 South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members unknowingly
12 purchased and leased FCA Class Vehicles that came equipped with defective ACUs
13 and ASICs.

14 4248. FCA misrepresented the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while
15 concealing that they contained the ACU Defect, the South Dakota Plaintiff and
16 South Dakota State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, and
17 the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members had no way of
18 discerning that FCA's representations were false and misleading or otherwise
19 learning the material facts that FCA had concealed or failed to disclose.

20 Accordingly, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
21 reasonably relied on FCA's express warranties when purchasing or leasing their
22 FCA Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section
23 II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph
24 numbers for each Plaintiff.

25 4249. FCA knowingly breached its express warranties to repair defects in
26 materials and workmanship by failing to repair the ACU Defect or replace the
27 defective ACUs and ASICs in the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA also breached its
28 express warranties by selling and leasing FCA Class Vehicles with a defect that

1 was never disclosed to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
2 members.

3 4250. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
4 have provided FCA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
5 its express warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it,
6 and the individual notice letters sent by South Dakota State Class members within a
7 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
8 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the South Dakota Plaintiff and
9 South Dakota State Class members to FCA.

10 4251. Alternatively, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
11 members were excused from providing FCA with notice and an opportunity to cure
12 the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA has long
13 known that the FCA Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
14 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
15 Vehicles; however, to date, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
16 program with respect to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
17 that the ACU Defect exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA
18 Class Vehicles—even though FCA Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
19 investigation. Therefore, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
20 members had no reason to believe that FCA would have repaired the ACU Defect if
21 the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members presented their
22 Class Vehicles to it for repair.

23 4252. As a direct and proximate result of FCA's breach of its express
24 warranties, the FCA Class Vehicles were and are defective and the ACU Defect in
25 the South Dakota Plaintiff's and South Dakota State Class members' FCA Class
26 Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota
27 State Class members have been damaged, in an amount to be proven at trial,
28

1 through their overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for FCA Class Vehicles
2 with an undisclosed safety defect that would not be remedied.

3 **b. South Dakota Count 2: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
4 **Merchantability (S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-314 and 57A-**
5 **2A-212) Against FCA**

6 4253. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 4254. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
9 of members of the South Dakota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
10 Vehicles, against FCA.

11 4255. A warranty that the FCA Class Vehicles were in merchantable
12 condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used is implied
13 by law pursuant to S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-314 and 57A-2A-212.

14 4256. FCA is and was at all relevant times a “merchant” with respect to
15 motor vehicles under S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-104(1) and 57A-2A-103(3),
16 and a “seller” of motor vehicles under § 57A-2-103(1)(d).

17 4257. With respect to leases, FCA is and was at all relevant times a “lessor”
18 of motor vehicles under S.D. Codified Laws § 57A-2A-103(1)(p).

19 4258. All South Dakota State Class members who purchased FCA Class
20 Vehicles in South Dakota are “buyers” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws
21 § 57A-2-103(1)(a).

22 4259. All South Dakota State Class members who leased FCA Class
23 Vehicles in South Dakota are “lessees” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws
24 § 57A-2A-103(1)(n).

25 4260. The FCA Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods”
26 within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws §§ 57A-2-105(1) and 57A-2A-
27 103(1)(h).

28 4261. The FCA Class Vehicles did not comply with the implied warranty of
merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at all times thereafter,

1 they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would not pass without
2 objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which vehicles
3 were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the FCA Class
4 Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may cause the airbags and seatbelt
5 pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the failure to unlock doors
6 automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel supply or high-voltage
7 battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy, all of which render the
8 FCA Class Vehicles inherently defective and dangerous.

9 4262. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
10 have provided FCA with reasonable notice and opportunity to cure the breaches of
11 its implied warranties by way of the numerous NHTSA complaints filed against it,
12 and the individual notice letters sent by South Dakota State Class members within a
13 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. Additionally, on
14 April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the South Dakota Plaintiff and
15 South Dakota State Class members to FCA.

16 4263. Alternatively, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
17 members were excused from providing FCA with notice and an opportunity to cure
18 the breach, because it would have been futile. As alleged above, FCA has long
19 known that the FCA Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
20 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
21 Vehicles; however, to date, FCA has not instituted a recall or any other repair
22 program with respect to the unrecalled FCA Class Vehicles, or even acknowledged
23 that the ACU Defect exists in all FCA Class Vehicles, including the recalled FCA
24 Class Vehicles—even though FCA Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA
25 investigation. Therefore, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
26 members had no reason to believe that FCA would have repaired the ACU Defect if
27 the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members presented their
28 Class Vehicles to it for repair.

1 4264. As a direct and proximate result of Honda USA’s breach of the
2 implied warranty of merchantability, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota
3 State Class members have been damaged through their overpayment at the time of
4 purchase or lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect in an
5 amount to be proven at trial.

6 **c. South Dakota Count 3: Violation of the South Dakota**
7 **Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law**
8 **(S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1, et seq.) Against FCA**

9 4265. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 4266. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
12 of members of the South Dakota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
13 Vehicles, against FCA.

14 4267. FCA, the South Dakota Plaintiff, and the South Dakota State Class
15 members are “persons” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1(8).

16 4268. The FCA Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are
17 “merchandise” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1(7).

18 4269. FCA is and was engaged in “trade” or “commerce” within the meaning
19 of S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1(13).

20 4270. The South Dakota Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection
21 Law (“South Dakota CPA”) prohibits “deceptive acts or practices.” S.D. Codified
22 Laws § 37-24-6(1).

23 4271. In the course of its business, FCA, through its agents, employees,
24 and/or subsidiaries, violated the South Dakota CPA by knowingly and intentionally
25 misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or failing to disclose material facts
26 regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of the FCA Class Vehicles, the
27 safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

28 4272. FCA had an ongoing duty to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
Dakota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive practices under the

1 South Dakota CPA in the course of its business. Specifically, FCA owed the South
2 Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members a duty to disclose all the
3 material facts concerning the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles because it
4 possessed exclusive knowledge, it intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the
5 South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members, and/or it made
6 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
7 by withheld facts.

8 4273. By misrepresenting the FCA Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
9 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
10 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
11 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, FCA engaged in unfair
12 or deceptive business practices prohibited by S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-6(1).

13 4274. FCA's unfair and deceptive acts or practices, including its
14 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
15 were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead and create a
16 false impression in consumers that the FCA Class Vehicles had properly-
17 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
18 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
19 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
20 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
21 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the South Dakota Plaintiff and
22 South Dakota State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of FCA
23 Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality
24 of the FCA Class Vehicles, and the true value of the FCA Class Vehicles.

25 4275. FCA's misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions
26 of material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant
27 Restraint Systems in the FCA Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the
28 South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members to purchase and

1 lease those vehicles, as FCA intended. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South
2 Dakota State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations,
3 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on FCA's
4 misrepresentations that the FCA Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint
5 Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and lease FCA Class
6 Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in Section II.B above.
7 To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each
8 Plaintiff.

9 4276. The South Dakota Plaintiff's and South Dakota State Class members'
10 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that FCA's
11 representations were false and misleading, or otherwise learning the facts that FCA
12 had concealed or failed to disclose. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota
13 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel FCA's deception on their own.

14 4277. Had the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
15 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
16 Dakota State Class members would not have purchased or leased FCA Class
17 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

18 4278. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
19 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
20 time of purchase and lease for FCA Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
21 defect as a direct and proximate result of FCA's concealment, misrepresentations,
22 and/or failure to disclose material information.

23 4279. FCA's violations present a continuing risk to the South Dakota
24 Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members, as well as to the general public,
25 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
26 therein. Additionally, FCA's unlawful acts and practices complained of herein
27 affect the public interest.

28

1 4280. Pursuant to S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-31, the South Dakota Plaintiff
2 and South Dakota State Class members seek an order enjoining FCA’s unfair or
3 deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper
4 relief available under the South Dakota CPA.

5 **d. South Dakota Count 4: Violation of the South Dakota**
6 **Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law**
7 **(S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1, et seq.) Against ZF**
8 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive**
9 **USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and**
10 **ST Malaysia**

11 4281. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
12 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

13 4282. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
14 members of the South Dakota State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
16 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
17 “ST Defendants”).

18 4283. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the South Dakota Plaintiff,
19 and South Dakota State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of S.D.
20 Codified Laws § 37-24-1(8).

21 4284. The Class Vehicles and ACUs installed in them are “merchandise”
22 within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1(7).

23 4285. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
24 “commerce” within the meaning of S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-1(13).

25 4286. The South Dakota Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection
26 Law (“South Dakota CPA”) prohibits “deceptive acts or practices.” S.D. Codified
27 Laws § 37-24-6(1).

28 4287. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the South Dakota
Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
practices under the South Dakota CPA in the course of their business. Specifically,

1 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State
2 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
3 in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they
4 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
5 Dakota State Class members.

6 4288. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
7 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the South Dakota CPA by
8 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
9 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
10 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

11 4289. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
12 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
13 South Dakota CPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the
14 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in
15 them as properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics
16 USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
17 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
18 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
19 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
20 properly in a crash.

21 4290. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
22 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and
23 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by S.D. Codified
24 Laws § 37-24-6, including acting, using, or employing deceptive acts or practices
25 and fraud, and/or concealing, suppressing, or omitting material facts.

26 4291. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
27 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
28 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead

1 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
2 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
3 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
4 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
5 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
6 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the South Dakota Plaintiff and
7 South Dakota State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
8 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
9 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

10 4292. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
11 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
12 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
13 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota
14 State Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
15 deception on their own

16 4293. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
17 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
18 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
19 Dakota State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and
20 ST Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the South Dakota Plaintiff and
21 South Dakota State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class
22 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

23 4294. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
24 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
25 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
26 disclose material information.

27 4295. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
28 South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members, as well as to the

1 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
2 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices
3 complained of herein affect the public interest.

4 4296. Pursuant to S.D. Codified Laws § 37-24-31, the South Dakota Plaintiff
5 and South Dakota State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
6 Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
7 other just and proper relief available under the South Dakota CPA.

8 **e. South Dakota Count 5: Fraud by Omission and**
9 **Concealment Against FCA**

10 4297. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
11 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

12 4298. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
13 of members of the South Dakota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
14 Vehicles, against FCA.

15 4299. FCA is liable for both fraudulent concealment and non-disclosure. *See,*
16 *e.g.,* Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

17 4300. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
18 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
19 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
20 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
21 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
22 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
23 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
24 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
25 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

26 4301. FCA had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to the South Dakota
27 Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members because:
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a. FCA had exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- c. FCA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the FCA Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the South Dakota Plaintiff’s and South Dakota State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease FCA Class Vehicles; and
- d. FCA made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the FCA Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, FCA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members that the FCA Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about the FCA Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members, FCA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

4302. In breach of its duties, FCA failed to disclose that the FCA Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems,

1 including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash
2 due to the ACU Defect.

3 4303. FCA intended for the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State
4 Class members to rely on its omissions—which they did by purchasing and leasing
5 the FCA Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant
6 Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 4304. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the FCA Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses
9 such a serious risk. FCA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle
10 has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in
11 deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether
12 a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer
13 stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here
14 when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more
15 have been injured.

16 4305. Additionally, FCA ensured that the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
17 Dakota State Class members did not discover this information by actively
18 concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the FCA Class Vehicles'
19 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

20 4306. FCA actively concealed and suppressed these material facts, in whole
21 or in part, to maintain a market for its Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to
22 avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for those expenses and harm
23 the commercial reputations of Defendants and their products. It did so at the
24 expense of the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members.

25 4307. To this day, FCA has not fully and adequately disclosed the ACU
26 Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about the defect from
27 consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were material because a
28 reasonable person would find them important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a

1 new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact the value of the FCA
2 Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota
3 State Class members.

4 4308. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the FCA Class Vehicles,
5 and FCA's callous disregard for safety, the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
6 Dakota State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
7 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

8 4309. As alleged in Section V above, if FCA had fully and adequately
9 disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the South Dakota Plaintiff
10 and South Dakota State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

11 4310. Accordingly, FCA is liable to the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
12 Dakota State Class members for their damages in an amount to be proven at trial,
13 including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the FCA Class Vehicles at
14 the time of purchase or lease.

15 4311. FCA's acts were done maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with
16 intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the South Dakota Plaintiff's and South
17 Dakota State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
18 FCA's misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by
19 law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall
20 be determined according to proof at trial.

21 **f. South Dakota Count 6: Fraud by Omission and**
22 **Concealment Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive**
23 **Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF**
Germany, ST Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia

24 4312. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
25 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

26 4313. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
27 of members of the South Dakota State Class who purchased or leased Class
28 Vehicles, against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive

1 USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and
2 ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

3 4314. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
4 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

5 4315. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
6 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
7 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
8 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
9 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
10 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
11 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
12 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
13 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

14 4316. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
15 the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members because:

- 16 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
17 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
18 Defect;
- 19 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the South
20 Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members lack the
21 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
22 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
23 on their own;
- 24 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
25 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
26 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
27 would have been a material fact to the South Dakota Plaintiff’s
28

1 and South Dakota State Class members’ decisions to buy or
2 lease Class Vehicles; and
3 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
4 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
5 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
6 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
7 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
8 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
9 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
10 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
11 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
12 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
13 in a crash.

14 4317. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
15 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
16 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
17 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

18 4318. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the South Dakota Plaintiff and
19 South Dakota State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
20 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
21 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

22 4319. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
23 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
24 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
25 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
26 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
27 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
28 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.

1 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
2 and many more have been injured.

3 4320. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the South Dakota
4 Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members did not discover this information
5 by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
6 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

7 4321. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
8 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
9 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
10 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
11 products. They did so at the expense of the South Dakota Plaintiff and South
12 Dakota State Class members.

13 4322. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
14 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
15 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
16 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
17 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
18 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the South Dakota Plaintiff
19 and South Dakota State Class members.

20 4323. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
21 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the South Dakota Plaintiff and
22 South Dakota State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
23 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

24 4324. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
25 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the South
26 Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members would have seen such a
27 disclosure.

28

1 4325. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the South Dakota
2 Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members for their damages in an amount to
3 be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
4 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

5 4326. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
6 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the South Dakota
7 Plaintiff's and South Dakota State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
8 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
9 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
10 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

11 **g. South Dakota Count 7: Unjust Enrichment Against FCA**

12 4327. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
13 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

14 4328. The South Dakota Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
15 of members of the South Dakota State Class who purchased or leased FCA Class
16 Vehicles, against FCA.

17 4329. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
18 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon FCA when they purchased
19 or leased the FCA Class Vehicles. FCA readily accepted and retained these
20 benefits.

21 4330. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members
22 would not have purchased or leased the FCA Class Vehicles, or would have paid
23 less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
24 Therefore, FCA profited from the sale and lease of the FCA Class Vehicles to the
25 detriment and expense of the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
26 members.

27 4331. FCA appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the
28 expected result of FCA acting in its pecuniary interest at the expense of its

1 customers. FCA knew of these benefits because it was aware of the ACU Defect,
2 yet it failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the South Dakota Plaintiff and
3 South Dakota State Class members regarding the nature and quality of the FCA
4 Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

5 4332. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for FCA to retain
6 these monetary benefits, including because they were procured as a result of FCA's
7 wrongful conduct alleged above.

8 4333. The South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class members are
9 entitled to restitution of the benefits FCA unjustly retained and/or any amounts
10 necessary to return the South Dakota Plaintiff and South Dakota State Class
11 members to the position they occupied prior to dealing with FCA, with such
12 amounts to be determined at trial.

13 4334. The South Dakota Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in
14 the alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if
15 the South Dakota Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is
16 entered in favor of Defendants, the South Dakota Plaintiff would have no adequate
17 legal remedy.

18 **22. Texas**

19 **a. Texas Count 1: Breach of Implied Warranty of**
20 **Merchantability (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. §§ 2.314 and**
21 **2A.212) Against Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota**
Sales USA²¹

22 4335. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
23 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

24
25
26
27 ²¹ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that Plaintiffs DeRouen, Hunt and
28 Green each have stated a claim for breach of implied warranty under Texas law. *See*
ECF No. 396 at 167.

1 4336. Plaintiff Angela Bowens brings this count individually and on behalf
2 of members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
3 Vehicles, against Honda USA.

4 4337. Plaintiff Burton Reckles brings this count individually and on behalf of
5 members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
6 Vehicles, against Hyundai USA.

7 4338. Plaintiffs Brent DeRouen, Danny Hunt, Evan Green, and Joy Davis
8 bring this count individually and on behalf of members of the Texas State Class
9 who purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota Sales USA.

10 4339. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Bowens, Reckles, DeRouen,
11 Hunt, Green, and Davis shall be referred to as the “Texas Plaintiffs.”

12 4340. A warranty that the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles were
13 in merchantable condition and fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are
14 used is implied by law pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. §§ 2.314 and
15 2A.212.

16 4341. Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales USA are and were at all
17 relevant times “merchants” with respect to motor vehicles under Tex. Bus. & Com.
18 Code Ann. §§ 2.104(a) and 2A.103(c), and “sellers” of motor vehicles under
19 § 2.103(a)(4).

20 4342. With respect to leases, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales
21 USA are and were at all relevant times “lessors” of motor vehicles under Tex. Bus.
22 & Com. Code Ann. § 2A.103(a)(16).

23 4343. All Texas State Class members who purchased Honda, Hyundai, and
24 Toyota Class Vehicles in Texas are “buyers” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. &
25 Com. Code Ann. § 2.103(a).

26 4344. All Texas State Class members who leased Honda, Hyundai, and
27 Toyota Class Vehicles in Texas are “lessees” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. &
28 Com. Code Ann. § 2A.103(a)(14).

1 4345. The Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times “goods” within
2 the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. §§ 2.105(a) and 2A.103(a)(8).

3 4346. The Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles did not comply with
4 the implied warranty of merchantability because, at the time of sale and lease and at
5 all times thereafter, they were defective and not in merchantable condition, would
6 not pass without objection in the trade, and were not fit for the ordinary purpose for
7 which vehicles were used. Specifically, at the time they were sold and leased, the
8 Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, which may
9 cause the airbags and seatbelt pretensioners to fail to deploy during a crash, the
10 failure to unlock doors automatically after a crash, the failure to turn off a fuel
11 supply or high-voltage battery after a crash, or the airbags to inadvertently deploy,
12 all of which render the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles inherently
13 defective and dangerous.

14 4347. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members have provided
15 Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales USA with reasonable notice and
16 opportunity to cure the breaches of their implied warranties by way of the numerous
17 NHTSA complaints filed against them, and the individual notice letters sent by
18 Texas State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU
19 Defect became public. Additionally, on April 24, 2020, a notice letter was sent on
20 behalf of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members to Honda USA,
21 Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales USA.

22 4348. Alternatively, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members
23 were excused from providing Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales USA
24 with notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been
25 futile. As alleged above, Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota Sales USA have
26 long known that Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU
27 Defect has caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class
28 Vehicles; however, to date, Hyundai USA and Toyota Sales USA have not

1 instituted a recall or any other repair program with respect to their unrecalled Class
2 Vehicles, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists in all their Class
3 Vehicles, including the recalled Class Vehicles—even though Hyundai and Toyota
4 Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation. Similarly, to date, Honda
5 USA has not instituted a recall or any other repair program, or even acknowledged
6 that the ACU Defect exists—even though Honda Class Vehicles are subject to the
7 NHTSA investigation. Therefore, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
8 members had no reason to believe that Honda USA, Hyundai USA, and Toyota
9 Sales USA would have repaired the ACU Defect if the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas
10 State Class members presented their Class Vehicles to them for repair.

11 4349. As a direct and proximate result of Honda USA’s, Hyundai USA’s,
12 and Toyota Sales USA’s breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, the
13 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members have been damaged through their
14 overpayment at the time of purchase or lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an
15 undisclosed safety defect in an amount to be proven at trial.

16 **b. Texas Count 2: Violation of the Deceptive Trade Practices-**
17 **Consumer Protection Act (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.**
18 **§ 17.41, et seq.) Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda**
Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota
USA, and Toyota Sales USA

19 4350. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 4351. Plaintiff Angela Bowens brings this count individually and on behalf
22 of members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
23 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

24 4352. Plaintiff Burton Reckles brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
26 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

27 4353. Plaintiffs Brent DeRouen, Danny Hunt, Evan Green, and Joy Davis
28 bring this count individually and on behalf of members of the Texas State Class

1 who purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota
2 Sales USA.

3 4354. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Bowens, Reckles, DeRouen,
4 Hunt, Green, and Davis shall be referred to as the “Texas Plaintiffs.”

5 4355. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
6 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, the Texas Plaintiffs, and Texas
7 State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code
8 Ann. § 17.45(3).

9 4356. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members are “consumers”
10 within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.45(4).

11 4357. The Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles are “goods” within
12 the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.45(1).

13 4358. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
14 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA were and are engaged in
15 “trade” or “commerce” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.
16 § 17.45(6).

17 4359. The Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act (“Texas
18 DTPA”) prohibits “[f]alse, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct
19 of any trade or commerce[,]” Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.46(a), and an
20 “unconscionable action or course of action[,]” Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.
21 §§ 17.45(5) and 17.50(a)(3).

22 4360. In the course of their business, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
23 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales
24 USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Texas
25 DTPA by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing,
26 and/or failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and
27 performance of the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles, the safety of their
28 Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

1 4361. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
2 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had an ongoing duty to the
3 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
4 practices under the Texas DTPA in the course of their business. Specifically, Honda
5 Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA,
6 Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA owed the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State
7 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
8 in the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles because they possessed
9 exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Texas
10 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members, and/or they made misrepresentations that
11 were rendered misleading because they were contradicted by withheld facts.

12 4362. By misrepresenting the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles as
13 safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
14 functioning and free from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing
15 the dangers and risk posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA,
16 Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
17 USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA engaged in one or more of the following
18 unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.
19 §§ 17.46:

- 20 a. Representing that the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
21 installed in them have characteristics, uses, benefits, and
22 qualities which they do not have;
- 23 b. Representing that the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
24 installed in them are of a particular standard, quality, and grade
25 when they are not; and
- 26 c. Advertising the Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
27 installed in them with the intent not to sell or lease them as
28 advertised.

1 Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. §§ 17.46(5), (7), and (9).

2 4363. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
3 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's unfair and
4 deceptive acts or practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments,
5 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a
6 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
7 Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable
8 airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the
9 ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of activating the seatbelts and
10 airbags during a collision. Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments,
11 omissions, and suppressions of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable
12 consumers, including the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members, about
13 the true safety and reliability of Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles and/or
14 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Honda,
15 Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Honda, Hyundai, and
16 Toyota Class Vehicles.

17 4364. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
18 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
19 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts
20 regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint
21 Systems in the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles were material to the
22 decisions of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members to purchase and
23 lease those vehicles, as Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
24 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intended. The
25 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members were exposed to those
26 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts,
27 and relied on Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
28 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's

1 misrepresentations that the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles and their
2 Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
3 lease Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information
4 they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit
5 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

6 4365. The Texas Plaintiffs' and Texas State Class members' reliance was
7 reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Honda Japan's, Honda USA's,
8 Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and
9 Toyota Sales USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise
10 learning the facts that they had concealed or failed to disclose. The Texas Plaintiffs
11 and Texas State Class members did not, and could not, unravel Honda Japan's,
12 Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's,
13 Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's deception on their own.

14 4366. Had the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members known the
15 truth about the ACU Defect, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members
16 would not have purchased or leased Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles, or
17 would have paid significantly less for them.

18 4367. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members suffered
19 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of
20 purchase and lease for Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles with an
21 undisclosed safety defect as a direct and proximate result of Honda Japan's, Honda
22 USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota
23 USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to
24 disclose material information.

25 4368. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
26 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's violations
27 present a continuing risk to the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members, as
28 well as to the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the

1 defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices
2 complained of herein affect the public interest.

3 4369. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
4 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA were provided notice of the
5 issues raised in this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the
6 numerous complaints filed against them, and the many individual notice letters sent
7 within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public.
8 Additionally, on May 23, 2019, Texas State Class members sent them a notice letter
9 pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.505(a). Moreover, a second notice
10 letter was sent on behalf of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members
11 pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.505(a) to Honda Japan, Honda USA,
12 Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota
13 Sales USA on April 24, 2020. Because all of these Defendants failed to adequately
14 remedy their unlawful conduct within the requisite time period, the Texas Plaintiffs
15 seek all damages and relief to which the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
16 members are entitled.

17 4370. Alternatively, any requirement to give notice to the Defendants under
18 Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.505(a) is excused because, *inter alia*, notice was
19 impracticable due to the necessity of filing suit in order to prevent the expiration of
20 the statute of limitations on certain Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
21 Members' claims.

22 4371. Pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.50, the Texas Plaintiffs
23 and Texas State Class members seek an order enjoining Honda Japan's, Honda
24 USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota
25 USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding
26 damages and any other just and proper relief available under the Texas DTPA.

27
28

1 c. **Texas Count 3: Violation of the Deceptive Trade Practices-
2 Consumer Protection Act (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.
3 § 17.41, *et seq.*) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
4 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF Germany, ST Italy,
5 ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

6 4372. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 4373. Plaintiffs Angela Bowens, Burton Reckles, Brent DeRouen, Danny
9 Hunt, Evan Green, and Joy Davis bring this count individually and on behalf of
10 members of the Texas State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety
11 USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the
12 “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST
13 Defendants”).

14 4374. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Bowens, Reckles, DeRouen,
15 Hunt, Green, and Davis shall be referred to as the Texas Plaintiffs.

16 4375. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Texas Plaintiffs, and
17 Texas State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com.
18 Code Ann. § 17.45(3).

19 4376. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members are “consumers”
20 within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.45(4).

21 4377. The Class Vehicles are “goods” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. &
22 Com. Code Ann. § 17.45(1).

23 4378. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
24 “commerce” within the meaning of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.45(6).

25 4379. The Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act (“Texas
26 DTPA”) prohibits “[f]alse, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct
27 of any trade or commerce[,]” Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.46(a), and an
28 “unconscionable action or course of action[,]” Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.
 §§ 17.45(5) and 17.50(a)(3).

1 4380. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
2 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Texas DTPA by
3 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
4 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
5 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

6 4381. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
7 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
8 Texas DTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
9 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
10 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
11 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
12 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
13 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
14 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
15 properly in a crash.

16 4382. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
17 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, The ZF and
18 ST Defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Tex. Bus. &
19 Com. Code Ann. §§ 17.46.

20 4383. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
21 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
22 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
23 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
24 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
25 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
26 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
27 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
28 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas

1 State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or
2 the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles,
3 and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

4 4384. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members justifiably relied
5 on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and concealment, as
6 they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, as
7 alleged above. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members did not, and
8 could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants' deception on their own

9 4385. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
10 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
11 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State
12 Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST Defendants
13 intended. Had they known the truth, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
14 members would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have
15 paid significantly less for them.

16 4386. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members suffered
17 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the ZF
18 and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to disclose
19 material information.

20 4387. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
21 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members, as well as to the general public,
22 because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs
23 therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices complained of
24 herein affect the public interest.

25 4388. The ZF and ST Defendants were provided notice of the issues raised in
26 this count and this Complaint by the NHTSA investigations, the numerous
27 complaints filed against them, and the many individual notice letters sent within a
28 reasonable amount of time after the ACU Defect became public. A notice letter was

1 sent on behalf of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members pursuant to
2 Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.505(a) to the ZF Defendants on April 24, 2020,
3 ST USA on June 5, 2020, and ST Italy and ST Malaysia on May 25, 2022. Because
4 the ZF and ST Defendants failed to adequately remedy their unlawful conduct
5 within the requisite time period, the Texas Plaintiffs seek all damages and relief to
6 which the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members are entitled.

7 4389. Alternatively, any requirement to give notice to the ZF and ST
8 Defendants under Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.505(a) is excused because,
9 *inter alia*, notice was impracticable due to the necessity of filing suit in order to
10 prevent the expiration of the statute of limitations on certain Texas Plaintiffs and
11 Texas State Class Members' claims.

12 4390. Pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.50, the Texas Plaintiffs
13 and Texas State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST Defendants'
14 unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any other just and
15 proper relief available under the Texas DTPA.

16 **d. Texas Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
17 **Against Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering**
18 **USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and**
Toyota Sales USA

19 4391. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
20 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

21 4392. Plaintiff Angela Bowens brings this count individually and on behalf
22 of members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
23 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

24 4393. Plaintiff Burton Reckles brings this count individually and on behalf of
25 members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
26 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

27 4394. Plaintiffs Brent DeRouen, Danny Hunt, Evan Green, and Joy Davis
28 bring this count individually and on behalf of members of the Texas State Class

1 who purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota
2 Sales USA.

3 4395. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Bowens, Reckles, DeRouen,
4 Hunt, Green, and Davis shall be referred to as the “Texas Plaintiffs.”

5 4396. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
6 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA are liable for both fraudulent
7 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
8 51 (1977).

9 4397. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
10 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
11 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
12 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
13 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
14 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
15 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
16 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
17 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

18 4398. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
19 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
20 Defect to the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members because:

- 21 a. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
22 Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA had
23 exclusive access to and far superior knowledge about technical
24 facts regarding the ACU Defect;
- 25 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Texas
26 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members lack the sophisticated
27 expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that
28 would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;

1 c. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
2 Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
3 knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns
4 for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Honda,
5 Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
6 would have been a material fact to the Texas Plaintiffs' and
7 Texas State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Honda,
8 Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles; and

9 d. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
10 Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
11 made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability
12 of the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles and their
13 Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding
14 material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform
15 advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle,
16 Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
17 Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA
18 intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the
19 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members that the Honda,
20 Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect.
21 Because they volunteered to provide information about the
22 Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles that they marketed
23 and offered for sale and lease to the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas
24 State Class members, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
25 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA,
26 and Toyota Sales USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

27 4399. In breach of their duties, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
28 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales

1 USA failed to disclose that the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles were
2 not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their
3 airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU
4 Defect.

5 4400. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
6 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA intended for the Texas
7 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they
8 did by purchasing and leasing the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles at
9 the prices they paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class
10 Vehicles would function properly.

11 4401. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
12 not have expected that the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles contained a
13 safety defect that poses such a serious risk. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
14 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales
15 USA knew that reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags
16 and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to
17 purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s
18 products are safe and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its
19 products, are material concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine
20 people have already died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been
21 injured.

22 4402. Additionally, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
23 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA ensured that
24 the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members did not discover this
25 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
26 Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles’ Occupant Restraint Systems to
27 consumers and NHTSA.

28

1 4403. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
2 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA actively concealed and
3 suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their
4 Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose
5 them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of
6 Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the Texas Plaintiffs
7 and Texas State Class members.

8 4404. To this day, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
9 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA have not fully
10 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
11 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
12 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
13 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
14 because they directly impact the value of the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class
15 Vehicles purchased or leased by the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
16 members.

17 4405. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Honda, Hyundai, and
18 Toyota Class Vehicles, and Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering
19 USA's, Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
20 callous disregard for safety, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members
21 either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they
22 would not have purchased or leased them.

23 4406. As alleged in Section V above, if Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
24 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales
25 USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and
26 NHTSA, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members would have seen such
27 a disclosure.

28

1 4407. Accordingly, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
2 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA are liable to
3 the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members for their damages in an amount
4 to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the
5 Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

6 4408. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's, Hyundai
7 Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's acts were done
8 maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard
9 of the Texas Plaintiffs' and Texas State Class members' rights and well-being; and
10 to enrich themselves. Honda Japan's, Honda USA's, Honda Engineering USA's,
11 Hyundai Korea's, Hyundai USA's, Toyota USA's, and Toyota Sales USA's
12 misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an
13 amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be
14 determined according to proof at trial.

15 e. **Texas Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
16 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
17 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
ST USA, and ST Malaysia

18 4409. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
19 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

20 4410. The Texas Plaintiffs bring this count individually and on behalf of
21 members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles, against
22 ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW
23 Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the "ZF Defendants"), and ST Italy, ST
24 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the "ST Defendants").

25 4411. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
26 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

27 4412. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
28 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and

1 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
2 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
3 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
4 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
5 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
6 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
7 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

8 4413. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
9 the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members because:

- 10 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
11 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
12 Defect;
- 13 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the Texas
14 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members lack the sophisticated
15 expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that
16 would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- 17 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
18 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
19 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
20 would have been a material fact to the Texas Plaintiffs' and
21 Texas State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
22 Vehicles; and
- 23 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
24 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
25 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
26 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
27 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
28 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle

1 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
2 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
3 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
4 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
5 in a crash.

6 4414. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
7 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
8 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
9 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

10 4415. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas
11 State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by purchasing and
12 leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the Occupant
13 Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

14 4416. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
15 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
16 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
17 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
18 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
19 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer’s products are safe and reliable, and whether that
20 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
21 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
22 and many more have been injured.

23 4417. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Texas
24 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members did not discover this information by
25 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles’
26 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

27 4418. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
28 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to

1 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
2 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
3 products. They did so at the expense of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
4 members.

5 4419. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
6 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
7 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
8 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
9 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
10 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Texas Plaintiffs and
11 Texas State Class members.

12 4420. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
13 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas
14 State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for their Class
15 Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

16 4421. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
17 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the Texas
18 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members would have seen such a disclosure.

19 4422. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Texas
20 Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
21 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
22 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

23 4423. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
24 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Texas Plaintiffs'
25 and Texas State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich themselves.
26 The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive
27 damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the
28 future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

1 **f. Texas Count 6: Unjust Enrichment Against Honda Japan,**
2 **Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,**
3 **Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, and Toyota Sales USA, and**
4 **Toyota Engineering USA**

4 4424. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
5 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

6 4425. Plaintiff Angela Bowens brings this count individually and on behalf
7 of members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Honda Class
8 Vehicles, against Honda Japan, Honda USA, and Honda Engineering USA.

9 4426. Plaintiff Burton Reckles brings this count individually and on behalf of
10 members of the Texas State Class who purchased or leased Hyundai Class
11 Vehicles, against Hyundai Korea and Hyundai USA.

12 4427. Plaintiffs Brent DeRouen, Danny Hunt, Evan Green, and Joy Davis
13 bring this count individually and on behalf of members of the Texas State Class
14 who purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
15 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA.

16 4428. For purposes of this count, Plaintiffs Bowens, Reckles, DeRouen,
17 Hunt, Green, and Davis shall be referred to as the “Texas Plaintiffs.”

18 4429. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members conferred
19 tangible and material monetary benefits upon Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda
20 Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
21 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the Honda,
22 Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles. These Defendants readily accepted and
23 retained these benefits.

24 4430. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members would not have
25 purchased or leased the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class Vehicles, or would have
26 paid less for them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or
27 lease. Therefore, Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai
28 Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering

1 USA profited from the sale and lease of the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class
2 Vehicles to the detriment and expense of the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
3 members.

4 4431. Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea,
5 Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA
6 appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of
7 these Defendants acting in their pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers.
8 Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai
9 USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA knew of
10 these benefits because they were aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to
11 disclose this knowledge and misled the Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class
12 members regarding the nature and quality of the Honda, Hyundai, and Toyota Class
13 Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

14 4432. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Honda Japan,
15 Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA, Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota
16 USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA to retain these monetary
17 benefits, including because they were procured as a result of the wrongful conduct
18 alleged above.

19 4433. The Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members are entitled to
20 restitution of the benefits Honda Japan, Honda USA, Honda Engineering USA,
21 Hyundai Korea, Hyundai USA, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota
22 Engineering USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the
23 Texas Plaintiffs and Texas State Class members to the position they occupied prior
24 to dealing with them, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

25 4434. The Texas Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
26 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
27 Texas Plaintiffs' claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in favor
28 of Defendants, the Texas Plaintiffs would have no adequate legal remedy.

1 **23. Washington**

2 **a. Washington Count 1: Violation of the Washington**
3 **Consumer Protection Act (Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et**
4 **seq.) Against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA**

4 4435. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 4436. Plaintiff Dee Roberts (hereinafter, “Washington Plaintiff”) brings this
7 count individually and on behalf of members of the Washington State Class who
8 purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales
9 USA.

10 4437. Toyota USA Toyota Sales USA, the Washington Plaintiff, and
11 Washington State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Wash. Rev.
12 Code § 19.86.010(1).

13 4438. The Toyota Class Vehicles and defective ACUs installed in them are
14 “assets” within the meaning of Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010(3).

15 4439. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA are and were engaged in “trade”
16 or “commerce” within the meaning of Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010(2).

17 4440. The Washington Consumer Protection Act (“Washington CPA”)
18 prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices
19 in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.020.

20 4441. In the course of their business, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA,
21 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Washington CPA
22 by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting, omitting, concealing, and/or
23 failing to disclose material facts regarding the reliability, safety, and performance of
24 the Toyota Class Vehicles, the safety of their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the
25 ACU Defect, as detailed above.

26 4442. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had an ongoing duty to the
27 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members to refrain from unfair or
28 deceptive practices under the Washington CPA in the course of their business.

1 Specifically, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA owed the Washington Plaintiff
2 and Washington State Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts
3 concerning the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles because they possessed
4 exclusive knowledge, they intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the
5 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members, and/or they made
6 misrepresentations that were rendered misleading because they were contradicted
7 by withheld facts.

8 4443. By misrepresenting the Toyota Class Vehicles as safe and reliable and
9 the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and free
10 from defects, and by failing to disclose and actively concealing the dangers and risk
11 posed by the ACU Defect to both consumers and NHTSA, Toyota USA and Toyota
12 Sales USA engaged in unfair or deceptive business practices prohibited by Wash.
13 Rev. Code § 19.86.020.

14 4444. Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's unfair and deceptive acts or
15 practices, including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and
16 suppressions of material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or
17 capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the Toyota
18 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that
19 the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform
20 its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision.
21 Indeed, those misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
22 material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Washington
23 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members, about the true safety and reliability
24 of Toyota Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them,
25 the quality of the Toyota Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Toyota Class
26 Vehicles.

27 4445. Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations,
28 concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts regarding the ACU

1 Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Toyota
2 Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Washington Plaintiff and
3 Washington State Class members to purchase and lease those vehicles, as Toyota
4 USA and Toyota Sales USA intended. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington
5 State Class members were exposed to those misrepresentations, concealments,
6 omissions, and suppressions of material facts, and relied on Toyota USA's and
7 Toyota Sales USA's misrepresentations that the Toyota Class Vehicles and their
8 Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
9 lease Toyota Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon in
10 Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
11 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

12 4446. The Washington Plaintiff's and Washington State Class members'
13 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Toyota USA's and
14 Toyota Sales USA's representations were false and misleading, or otherwise
15 learning the facts that Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had concealed or failed
16 to disclose. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members did not,
17 and could not, unravel Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's deception on their
18 own.

19 4447. Had the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members
20 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Washington Plaintiff and Washington
21 State Class members would not have purchased or leased Toyota Class Vehicles, or
22 would have paid significantly less for them.

23 4448. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members
24 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the
25 time of purchase and lease for Toyota Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety
26 defect as a direct and proximate result of Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's
27 concealment, misrepresentations, and/or failure to disclose material information.
28

1 4449. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s violations present a continuing
2 risk to the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members, as well as to
3 the general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
4 ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and practices
5 complained of herein affect the public interest.

6 4450. Pursuant to Wash. Rev. Code §§ 19.86.090, the Washington Plaintiff
7 and Washington State Class members seek an order enjoining Toyota USA’s and
8 Toyota Sales USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages
9 and any other just and proper relief available under the Washington CPA.

10 **b. Washington Count 2: Violation of the Washington**
11 **Consumer Protection Act (Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et**
12 **seq.) Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA,**
ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST
Italy, ST USA, and ST Malaysia.

13 4451. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
14 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

15 4452. The Washington Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
16 of members of the Washington State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
17 Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively,
18 the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the
19 “ST Defendants”).

20 4453. The ZF Defendants, the ST Defendants, the Washington Plaintiff, and
21 Washington State Class members are “persons” within the meaning of Wash. Rev.
22 Code § 19.86.010(1).

23 4454. The Class Vehicles and defective ACUs installed in them are “assets”
24 within the meaning of Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010(3).

25 4455. The ZF and ST Defendants were and are engaged in “trade” or
26 “commerce” within the meaning of Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010(2).

27
28

1 4456. The Washington Consumer Protection Act (“Washington CPA”)
2 prohibits “[u]nfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices
3 in the conduct of any trade or commerce[.]” Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.020.

4 4457. The ZF and ST Defendants had an ongoing duty to the Washington
5 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members to refrain from unfair or deceptive
6 practices under the Washington CPA in the course of their business. Specifically,
7 the ZF and ST Defendants owed the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State
8 Class members a duty to disclose all the material facts concerning the ACU Defect
9 in the Class Vehicles because they possessed exclusive knowledge and they
10 intentionally concealed the ACU Defect from the Washington Plaintiff and
11 Washington State Class members.

12 4458. In the course of their business, the ZF and ST Defendants, through
13 their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Washington CPA by
14 knowingly and intentionally omitting, concealing, and failing to disclose material
15 facts regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the defective ACU and ASIC
16 installed in the Class Vehicles, as detailed above.

17 4459. Additionally, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF
18 Automotive USA through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the
19 Washington CPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class
20 Vehicles as safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as
21 properly-functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
22 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
23 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness
24 indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class
25 Members that the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function
26 properly in a crash.

27 4460. By misrepresenting, failing to disclose, and actively concealing the
28 dangers and risk posed by the Class Vehicles due to the ACU Defect, the ZF and

1 ST Defendants engaged unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts
2 or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce prohibited by Wash. Rev.
3 Code § 19.86.020.

4 4461. The ZF and ST Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices,
5 including their misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of
6 material facts, were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
7 and create a false impression in consumers that the Class Vehicles had properly-
8 functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant Restraint
9 System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended function of
10 activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those
11 misrepresentations, concealments, omissions, and suppressions of material facts did
12 in fact deceive reasonable consumers, including the Washington Plaintiff and
13 Washington State Class members, about the true safety and reliability of Class
14 Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the
15 Class Vehicles, and the true value of the Class Vehicles.

16 4462. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members
17 justifiably relied on the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
18 concealment, as they had no way of discerning that the Class Vehicles contained
19 the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State
20 Class members did not, and could not, unravel the ZF and ST Defendants'
21 deception on their own

22 4463. The ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations and concealment of the
23 ACU Defect and true characteristics of the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Class
24 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Washington Plaintiff and Washington
25 State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as the ZF and ST
26 Defendants intended. Had they known the truth, the Washington Plaintiff and
27 Washington State Class members would not have purchased or leased the Class
28 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

1 4464. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members
2 suffered ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of
3 the ZF and ST Defendants' misrepresentations, concealment and/or failure to
4 disclose material information.

5 4465. The ZF and ST Defendants' violations present a continuing risk to the
6 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members, as well as to the
7 general public, because the Class Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective
8 ACUs and ASICs therein. The ZF and ST Defendants' unlawful acts and practices
9 complained of herein affect the public interest.

10 4466. Pursuant to Wash. Rev. Code §§ 19.86.090, the Washington Plaintiff
11 and Washington State Class members seek an order enjoining the ZF and ST
12 Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and any
13 other just and proper relief available under the Washington CPA.

14 **c. Washington Count 3: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
15 **Against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA**

16 4467. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
17 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

18 4468. The Washington Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
19 of members of the Washington State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
20 Vehicles, against Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA.

21 4469. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA are liable for both fraudulent
22 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
23 51 (1977).

24 4470. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
25 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
26 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
27 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
28 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for

1 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
2 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
3 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
4 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

5 4471. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
6 Defect to the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members because:

- 7 a. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had exclusive access to and
8 far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
9 Defect;
- 10 b. Given the ACU Defect's hidden and technical nature, the
11 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members lack
12 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
13 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
14 on their own;
- 15 c. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA knew that the ACU Defect
16 gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use
17 the vehicles, and the Toyota Class Vehicles containing the ACU
18 Defect would have been a material fact to the Washington
19 Plaintiff's and Washington State Class members' decisions to
20 buy or lease Toyota Class Vehicles; and
- 21 d. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA made incomplete
22 representations about the safety and reliability of the Toyota
23 Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while
24 purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety
25 defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each
26 Class Vehicle, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA intentionally
27 concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Washington
28 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members that the Toyota

1 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they
2 volunteered to provide information about the Toyota Class
3 Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the
4 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members,
5 Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA had the duty to disclose the
6 whole truth.

7 4472. In breach of their duties, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA failed to
8 disclose that the Toyota Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their
9 Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
10 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

11 4473. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA intended for the Washington
12 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members to rely on their omissions—which
13 they did by purchasing and leasing the Toyota Class Vehicles at the prices they paid
14 believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would
15 function properly.

16 4474. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
17 not have expected that the Toyota Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
18 poses such a serious risk. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA knew that reasonable
19 consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
20 and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a
21 new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and
22 reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
23 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
24 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

25 4475. Additionally, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA ensured that the
26 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members did not discover this
27 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
28 Toyota Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

1 4476. Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA actively concealed and suppressed
2 these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their Class
3 Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to
4 liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and
5 their products. They did so at the expense of the Washington Plaintiff and
6 Washington State Class members.

7 4477. To this day, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA have not fully and
8 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material
9 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
10 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
11 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
12 because they directly impact the value of the Toyota Class Vehicles purchased or
13 leased by the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members.

14 4478. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Toyota Class Vehicles,
15 and Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's callous disregard for safety, the
16 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members either would not have
17 paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased
18 or leased them.

19 4479. As alleged in Section V above, if Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA
20 had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
21 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members would have seen such a
22 disclosure.

23 4480. Accordingly, Toyota USA and Toyota Sales USA are liable to the
24 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members for their damages in an
25 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
26 for the Toyota Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

27 4481. Toyota USA's and Toyota Sales USA's acts were done maliciously,
28 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the

1 Washington Plaintiff’s and Washington State Class members’ rights and well-
2 being; and to enrich themselves. Toyota USA’s and Toyota Sales USA’s
3 misconduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an
4 amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be
5 determined according to proof at trial.

6 **d. Washington Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
7 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
8 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
9 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

9 4482. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
10 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

11 4483. The Washington Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
12 of members of the Washington State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
13 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
14 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
15 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

16 4484. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
17 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

18 4485. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
19 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
20 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
21 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
22 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
23 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
24 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
25 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
26 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

27 4486. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
28 the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members because:

- 1 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
2 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
3 Defect;
- 4 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
5 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members lack
6 the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
7 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
8 on their own;
- 9 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
10 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
11 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
12 would have been a material fact to the Washington Plaintiff’s
13 and Washington State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease
14 Class Vehicles; and
- 15 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
16 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
17 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
18 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
19 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
20 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
21 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
22 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
23 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
24 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
25 in a crash.

26 4487. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
27 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
28

1 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
2 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

3 4488. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Washington Plaintiff and
4 Washington State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
5 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
6 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

7 4489. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
8 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
9 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
10 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
11 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
12 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
13 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
14 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
15 and many more have been injured.

16 4490. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Washington
17 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members did not discover this information by
18 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
19 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

20 4491. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
21 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
22 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
23 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
24 products. They did so at the expense of the Washington Plaintiff and Washington
25 State Class members.

26 4492. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
27 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
28 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were

1 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
2 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
3 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Washington Plaintiff and
4 Washington State Class members.

5 4493. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
6 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Washington Plaintiff and
7 Washington State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did
8 for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

9 4494. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
10 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
11 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members would have seen such a
12 disclosure.

13 4495. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Washington
14 Plaintiff and Washington State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
15 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
16 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

17 4496. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
18 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Washington
19 Plaintiff's and Washington State Class members' rights and well-being; and to
20 enrich themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment
21 of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
22 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

23 **e. Washington Count 5: Unjust Enrichment Against Toyota**
24 **USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA**

25 4497. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all allegations in
26 Sections I-VI above as though fully set forth herein.

27
28

1 4498. The Washington Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf
2 of members of the Washington State Class who purchased or leased Toyota Class
3 Vehicles, against Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA.

4 4499. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members
5 conferred tangible and material monetary benefits upon Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
6 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA when they purchased or leased the Toyota
7 Class Vehicles. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA
8 readily accepted and retained these benefits.

9 4500. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members would
10 not have purchased or leased the Toyota Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for
11 them, had they known of the ACU Defect at the time of purchase or lease.
12 Therefore, Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA profited
13 from the sale and lease of the Toyota Class Vehicles to the detriment and expense
14 of the Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members.

15 4501. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA
16 appreciated these monetary benefits. These benefits were the expected result of
17 Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA acting in their
18 pecuniary interest at the expense of their customers. Toyota USA, Toyota Sales
19 USA, and Toyota Engineering USA knew of these benefits because they were
20 aware of the ACU Defect, yet they failed to disclose this knowledge and misled the
21 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members regarding the nature
22 and quality of the Toyota Class Vehicles while profiting from this deception.

23 4502. It would be unjust, inequitable, and unconscionable for Toyota USA,
24 Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota Engineering USA to retain these monetary benefits,
25 including because they were procured as a result of Toyota USA's, Toyota Sales
26 USA's, and Toyota Engineering USA's wrongful conduct alleged above.

27 4503. The Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members are
28 entitled to restitution of the benefits Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota

1 Engineering USA unjustly retained and/or any amounts necessary to return the
2 Washington Plaintiff and Washington State Class members to the position they
3 occupied prior to dealing with Toyota USA, Toyota Sales USA, and Toyota
4 Engineering USA, with such amounts to be determined at trial.

5 4504. The Washington Plaintiff pleads this claim separately as well as in the
6 alternative to his claims for damages under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(3), because if the
7 Washington Plaintiff's claims for damages are dismissed or judgment is entered in
8 favor of Defendants, the Washington Plaintiff would have no adequate legal
9 remedy.

10 **24. Wisconsin**

11 **a. Wisconsin Count 1: Breach of Express Warranty (Wis. Stat.**
12 **§§ 402.313 and 411.210) Against Mitsubishi Japan and**
13 **Mitsubishi USA²²**

14 4505. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
15 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

16 4506. Plaintiff John Sancomb (hereinafter, "Wisconsin Plaintiff") brings this
17 count individually and on behalf of members of the Wisconsin State Class who
18 purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and
19 Mitsubishi USA.

20 4507. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are and were at all relevant
21 times "merchants" with respect to motor vehicles under Wis. Stat. §§ 402.104(3)
22 and 411.103(1)(t), and "sellers" of motor vehicles under § 402.103(1)(d).

23 4508. With respect to leases, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are and
24 were at all relevant times "lessors" of motor vehicles under Wis. Stat.
25 § 411.103(1)(p).

26
27 ²² The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Wisconsin Plaintiff has
28 pleaded sufficient facts to state a claim for breach of express warranty against
MMNA under Wisconsin law. *See* ECF No. 396 at 169.

1 4509. All Wisconsin State Class members who purchased Mitsubishi Class
2 Vehicles in Wisconsin are “buyers” within the meaning of Wis. Stat.
3 § 402.103(1)(a).

4 4510. All Wisconsin State Class members who leased Mitsubishi Class
5 Vehicles in Wisconsin are “lessees” within the meaning of Wis. Stat.
6 § 411.103(1)(n).

7 4511. The Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are and were at all relevant times
8 “goods” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. §§ 402.105(1)(c) and 411.103(1)(h).

9 4512. In connection with the purchase or lease of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles,
10 Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA provided the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
11 Wisconsin State Class members with warranties in the form of: (a) written express
12 warranties covering the repair or replacement of components that are defective in
13 materials or workmanship, and (b) descriptions of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as
14 safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags
15 and seatbelt pretensioners, would function properly in the event of a crash

16 4513. However, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew or should have
17 known that the warranties were false and/or misleading. Specifically, Mitsubishi
18 Japan and Mitsubishi USA were aware of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class
19 Vehicles, which made the vehicles inherently defective and dangerous at the time
20 that they were sold and leased to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class
21 members.

22 4514. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members were
23 aware the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were covered by express warranties, and those
24 warranties were an essential part of the bargain between them, Mitsubishi Japan,
25 and Mitsubishi USA when the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class
26 members unknowingly purchased and leased Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that came
27 equipped with defective ACUs and ASICs.
28

1 4515. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA misrepresented the Mitsubishi
2 Class Vehicles as safe and reliable while concealing that they contained the ACU
3 Defect, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members were exposed
4 to those misrepresentations, and the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class
5 members had no way of discerning that Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's
6 representations were false and misleading or otherwise learning the material facts
7 that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had concealed or failed to disclose.
8 Accordingly, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members
9 reasonably relied on Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's express warranties
10 when purchasing or leasing their Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the
11 information they relied upon in Section II.B above. To aid review of this
12 information, Exhibit 19 provides paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

13 4516. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knowingly breached its express
14 warranties to repair defects in materials and workmanship by failing to repair the
15 ACU Defect or replace the defective ACUs and ASICs in the Mitsubishi Class
16 Vehicles. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA also breached their express
17 warranties by selling and leasing Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with a defect that was
18 never disclosed to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members.

19 4517. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members have
20 provided Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA with reasonable notice and
21 opportunity to cure the breaches of its express warranties by way of the numerous
22 NHTSA complaints filed against it, and individual notice letters sent by the
23 Wisconsin State Class members within a reasonable amount of time after the ACU
24 Defect became public. Additionally, a notice letter was sent on behalf of the
25 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members to Mitsubishi USA on
26 April 24, 2020, and a notice letter was sent to Mitsubishi Japan on June 5, 2020.²³

27 ²³ The Court held in its February 9, 2022 Order that the Wisconsin Plaintiff
28 adequately alleged that he provided the requisite notice to Mitsubishi USA, *see*

1 4518. Alternatively, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class
2 members were excused from providing Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA with
3 notice and an opportunity to cure the breach, because it would have been futile. As
4 alleged above, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have long known that the
5 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, and that the ACU Defect has
6 caused ACUs and ASICs to malfunction in crashes involving Class Vehicles;
7 however, to date, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have not instituted a recall
8 or any other repair program, or even acknowledged that the ACU Defect exists—
9 even though Mitsubishi Class Vehicles are subject to the NHTSA investigation.
10 Therefore, the Mitsubishi Plaintiff and Mitsubishi State Class members had no
11 reason to believe that Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA would have repaired
12 the ACU Defect if the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members
13 presented their Class Vehicles to Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA for repair.

14 4519. As a direct and proximate result of Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi
15 USA’s breach of their express warranties, the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were and
16 are defective and the ACU Defect in the Wisconsin Plaintiff’s and Wisconsin State
17 Class members’ Mitsubishi Class Vehicles was not remedied. Therefore, the
18 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members have been damaged, in an
19 amount to be proven at trial, through their overpayment at the time of purchase or
20 lease for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect that would not
21 be remedied.

22 **b. Wisconsin Count 2: Violation of the Wisconsin Deceptive**
23 **Trade Practices Act (Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.) Against**
24 **Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA**

25 4520. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
26 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

27 _____
28 ECF No. 396 at 169, but failed to, “include sufficient allegations that notice was
provided [to Mitsubishi Japan]. *Id.* The Wisconsin Plaintiff has amended this claim
to include the date in which he sent Mitsubishi Japan a notice letter.

1 4521. The Wisconsin Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
2 members of the Wisconsin State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
3 Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

4 4522. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are “person[s], firm[s],
5 corporation[s], or association[s]” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

6 4523. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class are members of
7 “the public” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

8 4524. The Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs installed in
9 them are “merchandise” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

10 4525. The Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Wisconsin DTPA”)
11 prohibits any “assertion, representation or statement of fact which is untrue,
12 deceptive or misleading.” Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

13 4526. In the course of their business, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA,
14 through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Wisconsin DTPA
15 by knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting material facts regarding the
16 reliability, safety, and performance of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, the safety of
17 their Occupant Restraint Systems, and the ACU Defect, as detailed above.

18 4527. By misrepresenting the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles as safe and reliable
19 and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-functioning and
20 free from defects, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA violated the Wisconsin
21 DTPA by making assertions, representations and statements of fact which are
22 untrue, deceptive or misleading, as prohibited by Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

23 4528. Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi USA’s misrepresentations of
24 material facts were designed to mislead and had a tendency or capacity to mislead
25 and create a false impression in consumers that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles had
26 properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that the Occupant
27 Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform its intended
28 function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision. Indeed, those

1 misrepresentations of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable consumers,
2 including the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members, about the
3 true safety and reliability of Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
4 and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles, and the
5 true value of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles.

6 4529. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's misrepresentations of
7 material facts regarding the ACU Defect and true characteristics of the Occupant
8 Restraint Systems in the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were material to the decisions of
9 the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members to purchase and lease
10 those vehicles, as Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA intended. The Wisconsin
11 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members were exposed to those
12 misrepresentations of material facts, and relied on Mitsubishi Japan's and
13 Mitsubishi USA's misrepresentations that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and their
14 Occupant Restraint Systems were safe and reliable in deciding to purchase and
15 lease Mitsubishi Class Vehicles. Plaintiffs allege the information they relied upon
16 in Section II.B above. To aid review of this information, Exhibit 19 provides
17 paragraph numbers for each Plaintiff.

18 4530. The Wisconsin Plaintiff's and Wisconsin State Class members'
19 reliance was reasonable, as they had no way of discerning that Mitsubishi Japan's
20 and Mitsubishi USA's representations were false and misleading. The Wisconsin
21 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members did not, and could not, unravel
22 Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's deception on their own.

23 4531. Had the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members
24 known the truth about the ACU Defect, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin
25 State Class members would not have purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
26 Vehicles, or would have paid significantly less for them.

27 4532. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members suffered
28 ascertainable losses and actual damages through their overpayment at the time of

1 purchase and lease for Mitsubishi Class Vehicles with an undisclosed safety defect
2 as a direct and proximate result of Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi USA’s
3 misrepresentations of material information.

4 4533. Mitsubishi Japan’s and Mitsubishi USA’s violations present a
5 continuing risk to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members, as
6 well as to the general public, because the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles remain unsafe
7 due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. Additionally, their unlawful acts and
8 practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

9 4534. Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 100.18(11)(b)(2), the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
10 Wisconsin State Class members seek an order enjoining Mitsubishi Japan’s and
11 Mitsubishi USA’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices and awarding damages and
12 any other just and proper relief available under the Wisconsin DTPA.

13 **c. Wisconsin Count 3: Violation of the Wisconsin Deceptive**
14 **Trade Practices Act (Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.) Against ZF**
15 **Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF**
16 **Automotive USA**

16 4535. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
17 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

18 4536. The Wisconsin Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
19 members of the Wisconsin State Class against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
20 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA.

21 4537. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
22 USA are “person[s], firm[s], corporation[s], or association[s]” within the meaning
23 of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

24 4538. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class are members of
25 “the public” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

26 4539. The Class Vehicles and the defective ACUs installed in them are
27 “merchandise” within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

28

1 4540. The Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act (“Wisconsin DTPA”)
2 prohibits any “assertion, representation or statement of fact which is untrue,
3 deceptive or misleading.” Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

4 4541. ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive
5 USA, through their agents, employees, and/or subsidiaries, violated the Wisconsin
6 DTPA when they knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the Class Vehicles as
7 safe and reliable and the defective ACU and ASICs installed in them as properly-
8 functioning and free from defects. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
9 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle Manufacturer
10 Defendants on the design and inclusion of the airbag readiness indicators in the
11 Class Vehicles, which falsely assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the
12 Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly in a
13 crash.

14 4542. By misrepresenting the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class
15 Vehicles as properly-functioning and free from defects, ZF Electronics USA, ZF
16 Passive Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA violated the Wisconsin DTPA by
17 making assertions, representations and statements of fact which are untrue,
18 deceptive or misleading, as prohibited by Wis. Stat. § 100.18(1).

19 4543. ZF Electronics USA’s, ZF Passive Safety USA’s, and ZF Automotive
20 USA’s misrepresentations of material facts were designed to mislead and had a
21 tendency or capacity to mislead and create a false impression in consumers that the
22 Class Vehicles had properly-functioning and reliable airbags and seatbelts, and that
23 the Occupant Restraint System did not contain the ACU Defect and would perform
24 its intended function of activating the seatbelts and airbags during a collision.
25 Indeed, those misrepresentations of material facts did in fact deceive reasonable
26 consumers, including the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members,
27 about the true safety and reliability of Class Vehicles and/or the defective ACUs
28

1 and ASICs installed in them, the quality of the Class Vehicles, and the true value of
2 the Class Vehicles.

3 4544. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members
4 justifiably relied on ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF
5 Automotive USA's misrepresentations as they had no way of discerning that the
6 Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect, as alleged above. The Wisconsin
7 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members did not, and could not, unravel ZF
8 Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's deception
9 on their own.

10 4545. ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive
11 USA's misrepresentations regarding the Occupant Restraint Systems in the Class
12 Vehicles were material to the decisions of the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin
13 State Class members to purchase and lease Class Vehicles, as they intended. Had
14 they known the truth, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members
15 would not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles, or would have paid
16 significantly less for them.

17 4546. The Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members suffered
18 ascertainable losses and actual damages as a direct and proximate result of ZF
19 Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's
20 misrepresentations.

21 4547. ZF Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive
22 USA's violations present a continuing risk to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
23 Wisconsin State Class members, as well as to the general public, because the Class
24 Vehicles remain unsafe due to the defective ACUs and ASICs therein. ZF
25 Electronics USA's, ZF Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's unlawful
26 acts and practices complained of herein affect the public interest.

27 4548. Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 100.18(11)(b)(2), the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
28 Wisconsin State Class members seek an order enjoining ZF Electronics USA's, ZF

1 Passive Safety USA's, and ZF Automotive USA's unfair or deceptive acts or
2 practices and awarding damages and any other just and proper relief available under
3 the Wisconsin DTPA.

4 **d. Wisconsin Count 4: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
5 **Against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA**

6 4549. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
7 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

8 4550. The Wisconsin Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
9 members of the Wisconsin State Class who purchased or leased Mitsubishi Class
10 Vehicles, against Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA.

11 4551. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are liable for both fraudulent
12 concealment and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-
13 51 (1977).

14 4552. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
15 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
16 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
17 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
18 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
19 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
20 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
21 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
22 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle's fuel or power supply.

23 4553. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had a duty to disclose the ACU
24 Defect to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members because:

- 25 a. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had exclusive access to
26 and far superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the
27 ACU Defect;

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members lack the sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect on their own;
- c. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew that the ACU Defect gave rise to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the vehicles, and the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect would have been a material fact to the Wisconsin Plaintiff’s and Wisconsin State Class members’ decisions to buy or lease Mitsubishi Class Vehicles; and
- d. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA made incomplete representations about the safety and reliability of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles and their Occupant Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts about a known safety defect. In uniform advertising and materials provided with each Class Vehicle, Mitsubishi Japan, and Mitsubishi USA intentionally concealed, suppressed, and failed to disclose to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained the ACU Defect. Because they volunteered to provide information about the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles that they marketed and offered for sale and lease to the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA had the duty to disclose the whole truth.

4554. In breach of their duties, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA failed to disclose that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that

1 their Occupant Restraint Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners
2 could fail in the event of a crash due to the ACU Defect.

3 4555. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA intended for the Wisconsin
4 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members to rely on their omissions—which
5 they did by purchasing and leasing the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at the prices they
6 paid believing that the Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would
7 function properly.

8 4556. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
9 not have expected that the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that
10 poses such a serious risk. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA knew that
11 reasonable consumers expect that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt
12 pretensioners and would rely on those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease,
13 or retain a new or used motor vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe
14 and reliable, and whether that manufacturer stands behind its products, are material
15 concerns to a consumer. Especially here when at least nine people have already
16 died due to the ACU Defect, and many more have been injured.

17 4557. Additionally, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA ensured that the
18 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members did not discover this
19 information by actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the
20 Mitsubishi Class Vehicles' Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

21 4558. Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA actively concealed and
22 suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for their
23 Class Vehicles, to protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose
24 them to liability for those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of
25 Defendants and their products. They did so at the expense of the Wisconsin
26 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members.

27 4559. To this day, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA have not fully and
28 adequately disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material

1 information about the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and
2 concealed facts were material because a reasonable person would find them
3 important in purchasing, leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and
4 because they directly impact the value of the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles purchased
5 or leased by the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members.

6 4560. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Mitsubishi Class
7 Vehicles, and Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's callous disregard for
8 safety, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members either would not
9 have paid as much as they did for their Class Vehicles, or they would not have
10 purchased or leased them.

11 4561. As alleged in Section V above, if Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi
12 USA had fully and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and
13 NHTSA, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members would have
14 seen such a disclosure.

15 4562. Accordingly, Mitsubishi Japan and Mitsubishi USA are liable to the
16 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members for their damages in an
17 amount to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment
18 for the Mitsubishi Class Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

19 4563. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's acts were done maliciously,
20 oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the
21 Wisconsin Plaintiff's and Wisconsin State Class members' rights and well-being;
22 and to enrich themselves. Mitsubishi Japan's and Mitsubishi USA's misconduct
23 warrants an assessment of punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount
24 sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined
25 according to proof at trial.

1 e. **Wisconsin Count 5: Fraud by Omission and Concealment**
2 **Against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF**
3 **Automotive USA, ZF TRW Corp., ZF Germany, ST Italy,**
4 **ST USA, and ST Malaysia**

4 4564. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding
5 allegations as though fully set forth herein.

6 4565. The Wisconsin Plaintiff brings this count individually and on behalf of
7 members of the Wisconsin State Class who purchased or leased Class Vehicles,
8 against ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive Safety USA, ZF Automotive USA, ZF
9 TRW Corp., and ZF Germany (collectively, the “ZF Defendants”), and ST Italy, ST
10 Malaysia, and ST USA (collectively, the “ST Defendants”).

11 4566. The ZF and ST Defendants are liable for both fraudulent concealment
12 and non-disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Restatement (Second) of Torts §§ 550-51 (1977).

13 4567. As explained in Section IV.A, the ACU Defect in Class Vehicles poses
14 serious risks to vehicle occupants, including that it can cause: (1) airbags and
15 seatbelts not to activate during a crash because crashes can sometimes release
16 electrical transients, which cause the ACU to fail; (2) airbags to deploy when the
17 vehicle has not crashed, which is dangerous because it is shocking and difficult for
18 the driver to operate a vehicle when the airbag deploys without warning; and (3)
19 failures of other important post-crash operations of the safety system, such as
20 unlocking doors to facilitate escape or extraction of drivers and passengers by
21 emergency personnel, and shutting off a crashed vehicle’s fuel or power supply.

22 4568. The ZF and ST Defendants had a duty to disclose the ACU Defect to
23 the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members because:

- 24 a. The ZF and ST Defendants had exclusive access to and far
25 superior knowledge about technical facts regarding the ACU
26 Defect;
- 27 b. Given the ACU Defect’s hidden and technical nature, the
28 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members lack the

1 sophisticated expertise in vehicle components and electrical
2 phenomena that would be necessary to discover the ACU Defect
3 on their own;

4 c. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that the ACU Defect gave rise
5 to serious safety concerns for the consumers who use the
6 vehicles, and the Class Vehicles containing the ACU Defect
7 would have been a material fact to the Wisconsin Plaintiff's and
8 Wisconsin State Class members' decisions to buy or lease Class
9 Vehicles; and

10 d. The ZF Defendants made incomplete representations about the
11 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles and their Occupant
12 Restraint System, while purposefully withholding material facts
13 about a known safety defect, creating a duty to disclose the
14 whole truth. Specifically, ZF Electronics USA, ZF Passive
15 Safety USA, and ZF Automotive USA worked with the Vehicle
16 Manufacturer Defendants on the design and inclusion of the
17 airbag readiness indicators in the Class Vehicles, which falsely
18 assured Plaintiffs and Class Members that the Occupant
19 Restraint Systems in the Class Vehicles would function properly
20 in a crash.

21 4569. In breach of their duties, the ZF and ST Defendants failed to disclose
22 that the Class Vehicles were not safe and reliable, and that their Occupant Restraint
23 Systems, including their airbags and seatbelt pretensioners could fail in the event of
24 a crash due to the ACU Defect.

25 4570. The ZF and ST Defendants intended for the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
26 Wisconsin State Class members to rely on their omissions—which they did by
27 purchasing and leasing the Class Vehicles at the prices they paid believing that the
28 Occupant Restraint Systems in their Class Vehicles would function properly.

1 4571. That reliance was reasonable, because a reasonable consumer would
2 not have expected that the Class Vehicles contained a safety defect that poses such
3 a serious risk. The ZF and ST Defendants knew that reasonable consumers expect
4 that their vehicle has working airbags and seatbelt pretensioners and would rely on
5 those facts in deciding whether to purchase, lease, or retain a new or used motor
6 vehicle. Whether a manufacturer's products are safe and reliable, and whether that
7 manufacturer stands behind its products, are material concerns to a consumer.
8 Especially here when at least nine people have already died due to the ACU Defect,
9 and many more have been injured.

10 4572. Additionally, the ZF and ST Defendants ensured that the Wisconsin
11 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members did not discover this information by
12 actively concealing and misrepresenting the true nature of the Class Vehicles'
13 Occupant Restraint Systems to consumers and NHTSA.

14 4573. The ZF and ST Defendants actively concealed and suppressed these
15 material facts, in whole or in part, to maintain a market for the DS84 ACU, to
16 protect profits, and to avoid costly recalls that would expose them to liability for
17 those expenses and harm the commercial reputations of Defendants and their
18 products. They did so at the expense of the Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State
19 Class members.

20 4574. To this day, the ZF and ST Defendants have not fully and adequately
21 disclosed the ACU Defect, and they continue to conceal material information about
22 the defect from consumers and NHTSA. The omitted and concealed facts were
23 material because a reasonable person would find them important in purchasing,
24 leasing, or retaining a new or used motor vehicle, and because they directly impact
25 the value of the Class Vehicles purchased or leased by the Wisconsin Plaintiff and
26 Wisconsin State Class members.

27 4575. Had they been aware of the ACU Defect in the Class Vehicles, and the
28 ZF and ST Defendants' callous disregard for safety, the Wisconsin Plaintiff and

1 Wisconsin State Class members either would not have paid as much as they did for
2 their Class Vehicles, or they would not have purchased or leased them.

3 4576. As alleged in Section V above, if the ZF and ST Defendants had fully
4 and adequately disclosed the ACU Defect to consumers and NHTSA, the
5 Wisconsin Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members would have seen such a
6 disclosure.

7 4577. Accordingly, the ZF and ST Defendants are liable to the Wisconsin
8 Plaintiff and Wisconsin State Class members for their damages in an amount to be
9 proven at trial, including, but not limited to, their lost overpayment for the Class
10 Vehicles at the time of purchase or lease.

11 4578. The ZF and ST Defendants' acts were done maliciously, oppressively,
12 deliberately, with intent to defraud; in reckless disregard of the Wisconsin
13 Plaintiff's and Wisconsin State Class members' rights and well-being; and to enrich
14 themselves. The ZF and ST Defendants' misconduct warrants an assessment of
15 punitive damages, as permitted by law, in an amount sufficient to deter such
16 conduct in the future, which amount shall be determined according to proof at trial.

17 **VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

18 4579. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,
19 respectfully request the Court to grant certification of the proposed Classes and
20 enter judgment against the Defendants, as follows:

- 21 a. An order certifying the proposed Classes, designating Plaintiffs
22 as the named representatives of the Class, designating the
23 undersigned as Class Counsel, and making such further orders
24 for the protection of Class members as the Court deems
25 appropriate, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23;
- 26 b. An order enjoining the Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants to
27 desist from further deceptive distribution, sales, and lease
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- practices with respect to the Class Vehicles and such other injunctive relief that the Court deems just and proper;
- c. An award to Plaintiffs and Class Members of compensatory, exemplary, treble, and punitive remedies and damages and statutory penalties, including interest, in an amount to be proven at trial;
 - d. An award to Plaintiffs and Class Members for the return of the purchase prices of the Class Vehicles, with interest from the time it was paid, for the reimbursement of the reasonable expenses occasioned by the sale, for damages, and for reasonable attorney fees;
 - e. A Defendant-funded program, using transparent, consistent, and reasonable protocols, under which out-of-pocket and loss-of-use expenses and damages claims associated with the Defective ACUs in Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Class Vehicles, can be made and paid, such that the Defendants, not the Class Members, absorb the losses and expenses fairly traceable to the recalls of the vehicles and correction of the defective DS84 ACUs;
 - f. A declaration that the Defendants must disgorge, for the benefit of Plaintiffs and Class Members, all or part of the ill-gotten profits they received from the sale or lease of the Class Vehicles or make full restitution to Plaintiffs and Class Members;
 - g. An award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law;
 - h. An award of any and all applicable statutory and civil penalties;
 - i. An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest, as provided by law;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- j. Leave to amend this Complaint to conform to the evidence produced in discovery and at trial; and
- k. Such other relief as may be appropriate, just, and equitable under the circumstances.

IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

4580. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a jury trial as to all issues triable by a jury.

1 Dated: May 26, 2022

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 /s/ Roland Tellis

4 Roland Tellis

5 BARON & BUDD, P.C.
6 Roland Tellis (SBN 186269)
7 rtellis@baronbudd.com
8 David Fernandes (SBN 280944)
9 dfernandes@baronbudd.com
10 Adam Tamburelli (SBN 301902)
11 atamburelli@baronbudd.com
12 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
13 Encino, CA 91436
14 Telephone: 818-839-2333
15 Facsimile: 818-986-9698

16 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
17 BERNSTEIN, LLP
18 David Stellings (*pro hac vice*)
19 dstellings@lchb.com
20 John T. Nicolaou (*pro hac vice*)
21 jnicolaou@lchb.com
22 Katherine McBride
23 kmcbride@lchb.com
24 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor
25 New York, New York 10013-1413
26 Telephone: 212.355.9500

27 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
28 BERNSTEIN, LLP
Elizabeth J. Cabraser (SBN 83151)
ecabraser@lchb.com
Nimish R. Desai (SBN 244953)
ndesai@lchb.com
Phong-Chau G. Nguyen (SBN 286789)
pgnguyen@lchb.com
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
Telephone: 415.956.1000

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC
Tina Wolfson (SBN 174806)
twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com
2600 West Olive Avenue, Suite 500

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Burbank, CA 91505
Telephone: 310.474.9111
Facsimile: 310.474.8585

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW,
METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.
W. Daniel "Dee" Miles, III (ASB-7656-
M75W)
Dee.Miles@Beasleyallen.com
H. Clay Barnett, III (ASB-4878-N68B)
Clay.Barnett@Beasleyallen.com
J. Mitch Williams (ASB-8560-X19D)
Mitch.Williams@Beasleyallen.com
272 Commerce Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
Telephone: 334-269-2343

BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP
Lesley E. Weaver (SBN 191305)
lweaver@bfalaw.com
Anne K. Davis (SBN 267909)
adavis@bfalaw.com
Joshua Samra (SBN 313050)
jsamra@bfalaw.com
555 12th Street, Suite 1600
Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (415) 445-4003
Facsimile: (415) 445-4020

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
Stephen N. Zack (FBN: 145215)
szack@bsflp.com
Tyler E. Ulrich (FBN: 94705)
tulrich@bsflp.com
Ryan B. Witte (FBN: 60628)
rwitte@bsflp.com
100 South East 2nd Street, Suite 2800
Miami, FL 33131
Telephone: 305-539-8400

CASEY GERRY SCHENK
FRANCAVILLA
BLATT & PENFIELD, LLP
Gayle M. Blatt (SBN 122048)
gmb@cglaw.com
Patricia Camille Guerra
camille@cglaw.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

110 Laurel Street
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 238-1811
Facsimile: (619) 544-9232

DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC
Adam J. Levitt (*pro hac vice*)
alevitt@dicellolevitt.com
Ten North Dearborn Street, Eleventh
Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Telephone: 312-214-7900

GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP
Rosemary M Rivas
1111 Broadway Suite 2100
Oakland, CA 94607
510-350-9700
Fax: 510-350-9701
Email: rmr@classlawgroup.com

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P
Gretchen Freeman Cappio (*pro hac vice*)
gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
Telephone: (206) 623-1900
Facsimile: (206) 623-3384

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER AND
CHECK LLP
Joseph H Meltzer (*pro hac vice*)
jmeltzer@ktmc.com
280 King of Prussia Road
Radnor, PA 19807
Telephone: 610-667-7706
Facsimile: 610-667-7056

PODHURST ORSECK, P.A.
Peter Prieto (FBN 501492)
pprieto@podhurst.com
SunTrust International Center
One S.E. Third Ave., Suite 2300
Miami, FL 33131
Telephone: (305) 358-2800
Facsimile: (305) 358-2382

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRITZKER LEVINE LLP
Jonathan K. Levine (SBN 220289)
jkl@pritzkerlevine.com
Elizabeth C. Pritzker
ecp@pritzkerlevine.com
1900 Powell Street, Suite 450
Emeryville, California 94608
Telephone: (415) 692-0772
Facsimile: (415) 366-6110

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
Mark J. Dearman
mdearman@rgrdlaw.com
Jason H. Alperstein
jalperstein@rgrdlaw.com
120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
Boca Raton, FL 33432
Telephone: 561/750-3000
561/750-3364 (fax)

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
Rachel L. Jensen (CBN 211456)
rjensen@rgrdlaw.com
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
Stacey P. Slaughter (MN Bar No.
0296971)
Ssllaughter@robinskaplan.com
J. Austin Hurt (MN Bar No. 0391802)
Ahurt@robinskaplan.com
Michael J. Pacelli (MN Bar No.
0399484)
Mpacelli@robinskaplan.com
800 LaSalle Avenue
Suite 2800
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: 612 349 8500
Facsimile: 612 339 4181

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 26, 2022, a copy of the foregoing **CONSOLIDATED AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** was served electronically through the Court’s electronic filing system upon all Parties appearing on the Court’s ECF service list.

DATED: May 26, 2022

/s/ Roland Tellis

Roland Tellis

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

APPENDIX – GLOSSARY

TECHNICAL TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS GLOSSARY

ABBREVIATION/TERM	DEFINITION
573 Report or Part 573 Report	Formal quarterly report filed publicly with NHTSA regarding an automotive safety defect and notification campaign.
ACU	Airbag Control Unit. Vehicle component part responsible for ensuring the airbags and seatbelts activate in crashes where necessary. Also known as "Occupant Restraint Controller" or "ORC."
ASIC	Application-Specific Integrated Circuit. Component part of an ACU responsible for ensuring the airbags and seatbelts activate in crashes where necessary.
Chassis	Physical frame of a motor vehicle.
Commanded nondeployment	Means a crash where the airbags did not deploy because they were not supposed to deploy given the crash severity, and the ACU properly told them not to deploy. When a crash is not severe enough to trigger the airbags, the nondeployment of the airbags is "commanded" by the ACU's normal operations, and the crash data will show records of the ACU "commanding" nondeployment.
Decapsulation analysis	Proprietary analysis by ST USA that involves using lasers and chemicals to remove or penetrate the black packaging (also called a "capsule") on the ASIC to expose the electronic circuitry for analysis. Also known as a "decap" analysis.
DS84 ACU	Specific ACU included in the Class Vehicles, includes a DS84 ASIC.
DS84 ASIC	Custom ASIC used in the Class Vehicles, jointly designed by ST USA, ST Italy, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA.
ECU	Electronic Control Unit, another term for ACU, which is a type of ECU.
EDR	Event Data Recorder, part of the ACU that stores a crash record, the automotive equivalent of a "black box" in airplanes. A complete EDR crash record will show whether the ACU commanded the safety system to activate during a crash, as well as the information sent to the ACU about the crash.
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory chip, the location of the "black box" EDR on DS84 ACUs.
EOS	Electrical Overstress, a malfunction of the ACU and ASIC due to an electrical burst.

TECHNICAL TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS GLOSSARY

ABBREVIATION/TERM	DEFINITION
Inadvertent deployment	Irregular airbag deployment during normal driving conditions, when the vehicle has not crashed.
MS84	Another ASIC designed by ST USA and ST Italy for and with the ZF Defendants. While similar to the DS84, the MS84 uses a different technology for crash sensor communication than the DS84. ZF Automotive USA, ZF Electronics USA, and ZF Passive Safety USA have suggested that this difference may explain the relative weakness of ACUs with the DS84 ASIC.
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
ORC	Occupant Restraint Controller. Another term for Airbag Control Unit ("ACU").
ORS	Occupant Restraint System. The system of safety features in motor vehicles, including airtbags and seatbelt pretensioners, also know as the passive safety system or the safety restraint system.
Overcurrent	An electrical current that exceeds the normal electrical load in a circuit.
Readiness indicator	Dashboard lamp meant to provide vehicle drivers and occupants with notice of the airbag system's current operating condition. Supposed to "monitor [the occupant protection system's] own readiness" and to illuminate if there is a malfunction or issue with the airbag system. Also known as an "airbag warning lamp."
Schottky Diode	A protective component often added to the DS84 ACU, offers some additional protection from EOS but is external to the ASIC itself.
Squib	The piece of equipment that deploys the airbags in a vehicle.
Transients	Short duration, high magnitude electrical voltage peaks, commonly referred to as surges or bursts, also referred to as "transient electricity," "electrical transients," "transient voltage," and "transient overvoltage."
VSAT	Communication line connected to transmit signals to and from the ACU.
VSDIAG	Communication line connected to transmit signals to and from the ACU.

DEFENDANT NAMES

Defendant Abbreviation	Complete Name
FCA	FCA US LLC
Honda Engineering USA	Honda Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC, the successor of several of Honda Japan’s prior engineering and manufacturing domestic subsidiaries, including American Honda Mfg., Inc. and Honda R&D Americas, LLC.
Honda Japan	Honda Motor Co., Ltd.
Honda USA	American Honda Motor Co., Inc.
Hyundai Korea	Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.
Hyundai Mobis	Hyundai Mobis Co., Ltd.
Hyundai USA	Hyundai Motor America, Inc.
Kia Korea	Kia Corp.
Kia USA	Kia America, Inc.
Mitsubishi Japan	Mitsubishi Motors Corp.
Mitsubishi USA	Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.
ST Italy	STMicroelectronics, S.r.l.
ST Malaysia	STMicroelectronics SDN BHD
ST USA	STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Supplier Defendants	Companies that make and sell the DS84 ACU and/or component parts for the Class Vehicles. The Supplier Defendants are: ZF Electronics USA; ZF Passive Safety USA; ZF Automotive USA; ZF TRW Corp.; ZF Germany, ST USA; ST Italy; ST Malaysia; and Hyundai Mobis.
Toyota Engineering USA	Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.
Toyota Japan	Toyota Motor Corp.
Toyota Sales USA	Toyota Motor Sales US, Inc.
Toyota USA	Toyota Motor North America, Inc.

DEFENDANT NAMES

Defendant Abbreviation	Complete Name
Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants	Companies and their affiliates that make and sell completed vehicles, including the Class Vehicles. completed vehicles and their affiliates. The Vehicle Manufacturer Defendants are Hyundai Motor Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Motor America, Inc.; Kia Corp.; Kia America, Inc.; FCA US LLC; Toyota Motor North America Inc., Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.; Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.; Honda Motor Co., Ltd.; American Honda Motor Co., Inc.; Honda Development and Manufacturing of America, LLC; Mitsubishi Motors Corporation; and Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.
ZF Automotive USA	ZF Automotive US Inc.
ZF Electronics USA	ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC
ZF Germany	ZF Friedrichshafen AG
ZF Passive Safety USA	ZF Passive Safety Systems US Inc.
ZF TRW Corp.	ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.

EXHIBIT 1

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10358293	DODGE	RAM 1500	2010	09/07/10	09/29/10	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2010 DODGE RAM 1500. THE CONTACT WAS RUN OFF THE ROAD WHILE DRIVING 65 MPH INTO A DITCH. THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELT DID NOT LOCK. THE CONTACT HIT AND BROKE THE STEERING WHEEL AND STEERING COLUMN BECAUSE OF THE SEAT BELT FAILURE; HE WAS INJURED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A REPAIR SHOP. THE MECHANIC (AND POLICE OFFICER ON THE SCENE) STATED THAT THE AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED. THE CURRENT AND FAILURE MILEAGES WERE APPROXIMATELY 3,600.
10404435	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	05/06/11	06/04/11	AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. SEATBELTS DID NOT RETRACT/WORK. WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONTAL DRIVER SIDE COLLISION IN WHICH MY 2009 DODGE RAM 1500 WAS TOTALED. I RECEIVED HEAD TRAUMA INJURY PER AIRBAG AND SEATBELT NOT WORKING. CHRYSLER/DODGE DENYING ANY RESPONSIBILITY W/ THEIR DEFECTIVE PRODUCT. *TR
10406392	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	05/06/11	06/08/11	1 PERSON INJURED(DRIVER) IN DODGE RAM. HOWEVER 2 OTHER VEHICLES INVOLVED AND NOT SURE ABOUT THEIR INJURIES. I ACCIDENTLY REAR ENDED VEHICLE AHEAD OF ME WHICH CAUSED THAT VEHICLE TO REAR-END VEHICLE AHEAD OF IT. MY VEHICLE(DODGE RAM) WAS TOTALED. FRONTAL IMPACT OF DODGE WAS VERY SEVERE AND CAUSED ME(DRIVER) HEAD AND CERVICAL TRAUMA, RESULTING IN EMERGENCY ROOM VISIT AND REHAB THERAPIES. ALL AIRBAGS IN DODGE RAM FAILED TO DEPLOY AND DRIVER SEATBELT FAILED TO RETRACT/RESPOND RESULTING IN MY HEAD/NECK/SPINAL INJURY. I HAVE WRITTEN DODGE-CHRYSLER, THEY SAY THEY SENT SOMEONE TO INSPECT TRUCK AND SAY THEY ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE AIRBAG AND SEATBELT NOT WORKING. DODGE/CHRYSLER ALSO WRITE THE AIRBAG/SEATBELT WAS NOT SUPPOSE TO WORK DUE TO THE SPEED LIMIT (40MPH) DRIVEN AND DUE TO MORE OF A SIDE IMPACT THAN FRONTAL. HOWEVER, THE IMPACT WAS BOTH FRONTAL AND FRONT SIDE. (I HAVE PICTURES)
10431129	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	05/06/11	06/01/11	AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY/SEAT BELT DID NOT LOCK. I WAS THE ONLY PERSON IN MY VEHICLE. I ACCIDENTALLY REAR ENDED A CAR WHO HAD ABRUPTLY STOPPED IN TRAFFIC IN FRONT OF ME. SEVERE HEAVY DAMAGE WAS DONE TO THE FRONT DRIVER SIDE AND PASSENGER SIDE OF MY VEHICLE. MY DODGE WAS DECLARED A TOTAL LOSS. I SUFFERED SEVERE PAIN/INJURY TO MY HEAD AND NECK. ALL PERSONS INVOLVED IN MY AND THE OTHER VEHICLE HAD TO SEEK MEDICAL ATTENTION. MY 2009 DODGE RAM WAS PURCHASED NEW OFF THE DODGE LOT IN 2009...UPDATED 10/20/11 *BF
10435172	DODGE	RAM 1500	2011	11/09/11	11/10/11	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 DODGE RAM 1500. THE CONTACT WAS DRIVING 60 MPH AND CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE CHEST AND HEAD. THE PASSENGER SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE RIB CAGE AND SHOULDER. THE CONTACT AND PASSENGER WERE TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL FOR TREATMENT AND THE CONTACT LATER CALLED THE MANUFACTURER AND FILED A COMPLAINT. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INSPECTED FOR THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 13,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10473292	DODGE	RAM 1500	2012	06/23/12	09/02/12	TRAVELING APPROX 45MPH. ANOTHER DRIVER FAILED TO YIELD AND HIT MY VEHICLE ON THE PASSENGER SIDE FRONT HEAD LIGHT. THE OTHER DRIVER WAS TRYING TO CROSS THE HIGHWAY GOING APPROX 15-25MPH. THE IMPACT WAS ALMOST A COMPLETE HEAD-ON COLLISION. THE AIRBAGS IN MY VEHICLE DID NOT DEPLOY. MY PASSENGER AND I WERE BOTH TRANSPORTED BY AMBULANCE AND LATER RELEASED BY THE HOSPITAL. *TR
10485943	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	11/20/12	11/25/12	AIRBAGS FAILED TO GO OFF AFTER COLLISION WITH A FARM IMPLEMENT BEING PULLED BY A FARM TRACTOR. DODGE VEHICLE HAS DAMAGE TO FRAME, PASSENGER SIDE AND FRONT OF VEHICLE. *TR
10508974	FIAT	500	2012	03/22/13	04/21/13	A VEHICLE IN THE RIGHT LANE MADE A U-TURN, CROSSING THE LEFT LANE CAUSING THE FIAT TO T-BONE THE VEHICLE MAKING THE U-TURN. THE SEAT BELT DID NOT RESTRAIN THE FIAT DRIVER AND THE DRIVER CONTACTED THE STEERING WHEEL WITH ENOUGH FORCE TO CAUSE A SIGNIFICANT COMPRESSION INJURY TO THE STERNUM. THE DRIVER WAS TRANSPORTED BY EMS TO A HOSPITAL. THE VEHICLE COULD NOT BE DRIVEN AND SUFFERED NEARLY \$13,000 DAMAGE. EARLIER IN THE DRIVE, THE FIAT 500 DRIVER HAD TO ROCK FORWARD AT AN ON-RAMP TO RELEASE THE SEAT BELT IN ORDER TO VIEW APPROACHING TRAFFIC VIA THE LEFT OUTSIDE MIRROR. APPARENTLY, THE SEAT BELT DOES NOT OR DID NOT REENGAGE AFTER THIS MOVEMENT LEAVING IT FREE AND THE DRIVER UNRESTRAINED. AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. *TR
10511307	FIAT	500	2012	04/24/13	05/09/13	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 FIAT 500C. THE CONTACT WAS DRIVING 40 MPH AND CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THERE WAS DAMAGE TO THE FRONT FENDER AND THE FRONT DRIVER'S SIDE DOOR. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A MILD CONCUSSION. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED OF THE INCIDENT. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INSPECTED TO DETERMINE THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE, BUT DID NOT OFFER ANY ASSISTANCE. THE FAILURE AND CURRENT MILEAGE WAS 20,000.
10512363	JEEP	COMPASS	2013	05/03/13	05/16/13	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 JEEP COMPASS. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE TRAVELING 35 MPH, SHE CRASHED INTO SEVERAL TREES BEFORE ROLLING OVER. UPON IMPACT, THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT WAS UNABLE TO RECALL THE EXACT DETAILS OF THE CRASH. THE FRONT, DRIVER SIDE, PASSENGER SIDE, AND TOP OF THE VEHICLE WERE STRUCK. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A CONCUSSION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 1,000. *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10544054	JEEP	WRANGLER	2013	07/19/13	09/17/13	ON JULY 19TH 2013 I WAS WAITING FOR THE LIGHT BIG GARBAGE CONTAINER TRUCK HIT MY BACK AND THE FORCE I HIT INFRONT OF MY VEHICLE INJURING A PASSENGER . THIS WAS A MULTI VEHICLE ACCIDENT ,I WAS IN THE MIDDLE HIT FROM MY BACK AND MY FRONT HIT INFRONT OF MY VEHICLE. DURING THIS IMPACT MY VEHICLE'S AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY IT. I COMPLAINED TO CHRYSLER ,THEY ISSUE A CASE#[XXX] AND INVESTIGATE THE ACCIDENT. ACCORDING TO CHRYSLER THEY SEND TO TECHNICIAN TO TAKE A SENSOR DATA FROM MY VEHICLE AND SEND TO DETROIT FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS. COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO I RECEIVED LETTER FROM CHRYSLER GROUP LLC. THE LETTER CONTENTS ARE THE PURPOSE OF THE AIRBAG IS TO DEPLOY IN THOSE IMPACTS WHERE THE ACCIDENT SEVERITY IS GREAT ENOUGH AND THE FRONT-END CRUSH CANNOT MANAGE ALL OF THE ENERGY OF THE IMPACT AND LOWER THE LONGITUDINAL (FRONT TO BACK) DECELERATION IN THE OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT TO THE DESIRED LEVELS. MY CASE I HAVE FRONT AND BACK IMPACT,MY VEHICLE ALONE \$17000.00+ DAMAGE. CHRYSLER REFUSED TO RELEASE THE REPORT TO ME UNLESS I HAVE A LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE. HOW CAN I FIND THAT AIRBAG WASN'T MALFUNCTIONING AND WHAT G-FORCE THAT TRUCK HIT ME. IF YOU NEED ANY INFORMATION REGARDING THESE ACCIDENT I WILL ASSIST YOU WITH BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. *TR
10556705	DODGE	NITRO	2010	12/02/13	12/23/13	2010 DODGE NITRO. CONSUMER WRITES IN REGARDS TO NO AIR BAG DEPLOYMENT AFTER SEVERAL VEHICLE ACCIDENTS. *SMD THE CONSUMER STATED THE ACCIDENTS WERE CAUSED BY HIM LOOSING CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE, DURING INCLEMENT WEATHER. THE VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10560907	JEEP	LIBERTY	2012	09/03/13	01/21/14	<p>WHILE I WAS DRIVING ON I-70 E, I NOTICED THAT THE VEHICLE APPROACHING ME FROM BEHIND, WAS TRAVELING AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED SO I SWERVED TO THE RIGHT SHOULDER AND THE OTHER VEHICLE SWERVED TO THE LEFT LANE AND THEN BACK ACROSS THE RIGHT LANE OVER TO THE SHOULDER AND STRUCK MY 2012 JEEP LIBERTY ON THE DRIVERS SIDE FROM THE FRONT TO THE REAR PROBABLY AT A SPEED BETWEEN 60 - 75. WITH THAT IMPACT I RECEIVED WHIPLASH & INJURY TO MY LEFT ARM, LEFT HAND, NECK & SHOULDER. I DID NOT RECEIVED A RECALL NOTICE N38 UNTIL LATE DECEMBER 2013 AND THE ACCIDENT OCCURRED ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2013, SO I CALLED CHRYSLER AND TOLD THEM ABOUT THE ACCIDENT AND ASKED IF THIS RECALL COULD EFFECT THE AIR BAG FROM NOT DEPLOYING, THE LADY DIDN'T KNOW AND SAID SHE WOULD FILE A REPORT. I RECEIVED A LETTER STATING, "WHILE THE PURPOSE OF THE AIR BAG IS TO DEPLOY WHEN THE MAJOR DIRECTION OF THE IMPACT IS FROM THE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE TOWARDS THE BACK OF THE VEHICLE" & "THE VEHICLE DAMAGE MAY LEAD YOU TO BELIEVE THAT THE AIR BAG SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED, HOW EVER, THIS CAN BE DECEIVING." IT ALSO STATED THAT LARGE AMOUNTS OF FENDER OR SHEET METAL DAMAGE INDICATE THAT THE CRUMPLE ZONE IN THE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE DID THEIR JOB AND AS A RESULT THE AIR BAGS WERE NOT NEEDED. SO I PLACED TWO DIFFERENT CALLS TO CHRYSLER DEALERS AND ALSO WENT IN PERSON TO ONE AND ASK THEM "IF I DIDN'T GET THIS RECALL FIXED, (WHICH THE RECALL NOTICE HAD NOT BEEN SENT OUT WHEN THE ACCIDENT HAPPENED) IF IT COULD CAUSE THE AIR BAG NOT TO DEPLOY," AND ALL THREE OF THE MEN TOLD ME YES IT COULD CAUSE THE AIR BAGS NOT TO DEPLOY OR SOMETHING EVEN WORST, WHICH THE ONE MAN STATED "IT COULD EVEN KILL YOU." I BELIEVE AS HARD AS I WAS HIT, AND WITH THE INJURIES I RECEIVED, THAT THE AIR BAGS SHOULD OF DEPLOYED AND MAYBE I WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN INJURED AS MUCH. IT HAS BEEN OVER 4 MONTHS SINCE THE ACCIDENT AND MY INJURIES ARE STILL PRESENT.</p> <p>SINCERELY *TR</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10575416	JEEP	WRANGLER	2013	03/14/14	03/28/14	<p>ON FRIDAY MARCH 14, 2014 I WAS DRIVING WITH MY 1 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER ON HARMONY CHURCH ROAD (ROUTE 704) ON MY WAY TO MY SON'S ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. MY DAUGHTER WAS IN A CAR SEAT IN THE BACK SEAT, DIRECTLY BEHIND THE PASSENGER SEAT. WHILE EN ROUTE, MY 2013 JEEP WRANGLER UNLIMITED HIT DRIFTED TO THE SHOULDER OF THE ROAD AND THE PASSENGER SIDE FRONT TIRE WAS PULLED INTO SOFT MUD. I LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE. MY VEHICLE WAS PULLED TO THE RIGHT SHOULDER OF THE ROAD AND I WENT OFF THE ROAD. THE VEHICLE STRUCK A TREE AND VARIOUS BUSHES. THE LOUDOUN COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND LOUDOUN COUNTY FIRE AND RESCUE RESPONDED TO THE SCENE. MY HUSBAND AND I RECENTLY PUT LARGER TIRES AND RIMS ON THE JEEP AND A 3" LIFT KIT. MY HUSBAND COMMENTED THAT WITH THE LARGER TIRES AND THE RAISED HEIGHT, THE JEEP WAS MORE DIFFICULT TO CONTROL ON THE ROAD AND I HAD NOTICED THE VEHICLE VISIBLY "WANDER" ON THE ROAD WHEN I HAD FOLLOWED MY HUSBAND WHILE HE WAS DRIVING THE JEEP. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CALLED MY HUSBAND AT HOME AND HE RESPONDED TO THE ACCIDENT SCENE AND TOOK OUR DAUGHTER. I SUSTAINED INJURES IN THE COLLISION, BUT MY DAUGHTER WAS UNHARMED. THE JEEP'S AIRBAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY AND I SUSTAINED A FRACTURED NOSE, FACIAL LACERATIONS, FACIAL CONTUSIONS AND A CONTUSION TO MY STERNUM. I WAS TAKEN BY AMBULANCE TO LEESBURG HOSPITAL AT 224 CORNWALL STREET. *TR</p>
10614617	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	07/02/14	07/18/14	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2009 DODGE RAM 1500. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 45 MPH, THE BRAKES FAILED. THE BRAKE PEDAL WAS APPLIED AND TRAVELED TO THE FLOORBOARD CAUSING THE CONTACT TO CRASH INTO THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED OF THE INCIDENT. THE FRONT PASSENGER NEEDED STITCHES TO THE FOREHEAD WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC BUT WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 11,506. UPDATED 9/18/14*CN THE CONSUMER STATED SHE HIT HER HEAD ON THE WINDSHIELD CAUSING IT TO CRACK. THE FAILURE CAUSED A FOUR CAR PILE UP. THE CONSUMER RECEIVED A CONCUSSION AND SPLEEN DAMAGE. THE CONSUMER HAD HOME PHYSICAL THERAPY. UPDATED 2/11/2015 *JS</p>
10633640	FIAT	500	2012	09/27/13	09/16/14	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 FIAT 500. ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT END OF THE CONTACT'S. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT'S PROSTHETIC ARM HAD TO BE REPLACED AND A ROTATOR CUFF WAS TORN, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED, BUT THE DETAILS OF THE REPAIRS WERE UNKNOWN. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE SPEED WAS UNKNOWN. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 5,000.</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10639201	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	09/25/14	09/26/14	WE WERE DRIVING NORTH AND CAME UPON AN INTERSECTION WHERE WE PUT OUR TURN SIGNAL ON, AND SLOWED DOWN AND STOPPED, ABOUT TO TURN WEST. THE LIGHT TURNED RED, AND WE SLOWLY DROVE WEST ONTO THE CROSSING STREET. ANOTHER VEHICLE THAT WAS DRIVING SOUTH PROCEEDED THROUGH THE RED LIGHT, AT ABOUT 45 MPH, AND HIT THE 2014 JEEP PATRIOT BROADSIDE, PASSENGER SIDE. THE JEEP WAS PUSHED AND SPUN 90 DEGREES FROM THE IMPACT OF THE COLLISION, WE WERE TOSSED AROUND VIOLENTLY IN OUR SEATS, WITH THE PASSENGER OBTAINING CONTUSIONS AND ABRASIONS TO HER ARM CLOSEST TO THE IMPACT SITE. SHE HAD ALSO BANGED HER HEAD AGAINST THE WINDOW AND STRAINED HER NECK FROM THE IMPACT, ON THE PASSENGERS SIDE OF THE JEEP. AND FINALLY, HAD STRAINED THE MUSCLES IN HER LOWER BACK FROM GETTING THRUST AT THE DIRECTION OF THE IMPACT SITE. THE DRIVER HAD SUFFERED AN IMPACT ABRASION TO HIS LEFT ARM, LEAVING AN IMPRINT OF THE SEAT BELT MATERIAL INTO HIS SKIN. HE HAD ALSO SUFFERED MUSCLE STRAINS AND LOWER BACK STRAINS FROM THE FORCE OF THE IMPACT. AGAIN, WHILE BEING HIT FROM A VEHICLE GOING 45 MPH, AND LEAVING DAMAGE THAT HAD LITERALLY STARTED TO FOLD THE VEHICLE AT THE MIDPOINT, AND COLLAPSED THE WHEEL AND AXLE, NOT A SINGLE AIRBAG HAD DEPLOYED IN THIS VEHICLE. IF THE VEHICLE THAT HAD COLLIDED WITH THIS JEEP HAD BEEN DRIVING NEAR 65 MPH, DEATH WOULD HAVE BEEN ALMOST CERTAIN...UPDATED 10/06/14 *BF UPDATED 3/25/2015 *JS
10639697	DODGE	CALIBER	2012	09/25/14	09/29/14	I WAS INVOLVED IN A CAR ACCIDENT ON THURSDAY WHERE I HIT A GUARD RAIL PRETTY HARD AND DEAD ON. AT THE TIME I WAS GOING 35 MPH IN THE POURING RAIN. MY AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. THIS IS VERY BOTHERSOME AS I HAVE HAD ISSUES WITH THIS CAR BEFORE NOT STARTING ALL THE TIME WHEN I FIRST PURCHASED THE CAR. I HAD BUMPER DAMAGE, THE RIGHT HEAD LIGHT WAS DAMAGED AND MY NECK WAS SLICED BY THE SEATBELT! *TR
10653811	JEEP	LIBERTY	2011	11/08/14	11/10/14	TRAVELING SOUTH ON THE NEW YORK STATE THRUWAY BETWEEN EXITS 17 AND 16 A DEER OUT OF NOWHERE COLLIDES WITH THE JEEP CAUSING SEVERE FRONT END DAMAGE . THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY . *TR
10659334	JEEP	PATRIOT	2011	10/20/14	11/19/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 55 MPH, THE CONTACT EXPERIENCED A HEART ATTACK AND CRASHED INTO A HOUSE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED FRACTURED RIBS AND AN INJURED SPINE AND NOSE. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE CONTACT DID NOT RECEIVE A RECALL NOTIFICATION. THE VIN PROVIDED WAS INVALID. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN.
10667017	DODGE	AVENGER	2014	11/25/14	12/17/14	I WAS HIT BY ANOTHER CAR THAT RAN A STOP SIGN AND NONE OF MY AIRBAGS DEPLOYED. THE ACCIDENT RESULTED IN A TOTAL LOSS DUE TO THE HARD HIT. AS A RESULT I DO NOT FEEL SAFE AT ALL KNOWING THAT I COULD BE HIT SO HARD THAT MY 2014 DODGE AVENGER WAS TOTALED BUT NO AIR BAGS DEPLOYED TO DO THE JOB THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO DO.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10671988	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	01/12/15	01/12/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2009 DODGE RAM 1500. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 60 MPH, THE VEHICLE WAS INVOLVED IN A CRASH AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED UNKNOWN INJURIES, BUT NO MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 106,000.
10676633	DODGE	AVENGER	2012	01/18/15	01/20/15	I RECENTLY WAS IN CAR ACCIDENT AND MY AIR BAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. I CHECKED INTO THIS WITH CHRYSLER COMPANY. ACCORDING TO THEM THERE WAS A RECALL, BUT I NEVER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE RECALL. MY CAR IS SITTING IN FINGERLAKES COLLISION BODY SHOP UNTOUCHED BECAUSE OF LIABILITY ISSUES. CHRYSLER TOOK MY INFORMATION DOWN BUT HAS RESPONDED WITH MY COMPLAINT. MY COMPLAINT NUMBER WITH CHRYSLER IS [XXX]. THE BODY SHOP NUMBER IS 315-568-6390. [XXX] REPLIED THAT IT TAKES A LOT OF POWER TO HAVE THE BAGS GO OFF. I SAID THE SEAT BELTS HURT US, TOT HE POINT OF BRUISES AROUND NECK, SHOULDERS, AND BACK. I HAVE TALK TO SEVERAL ATTORNEYS AND BECAUSE WE ARE NOT DEAD OR HAVE A LIMB MISSING THEY CANT HELP US. INFORMATION REDACTED PURSUANT TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552(B)(6). *TR
10682522	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	01/09/15	02/10/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2014 JEEP PATRIOT. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING AT APPROXIMATELY 45 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED FRACTURES TO THE L1 AND L2 VERTEBRAE, THE BACK, AND NECK SPRAIN INJURIES WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 25,000.
10692515	DODGE	AVENGER	2014	07/06/14	03/06/15	I WAS DRIVING AND HIT A PHONE POLE HEAD ON. MY DODGE AVENGER WAS CONCAVED AT THE DRIVERS FRONT SIDE. THE VEHICLE WAS CRUSHED THRU THE BUMBER, BUMPER REINFORCEMENT, RADIATOR. THE AIR BAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. THE COST OF THE DAMAGED VEHICLE WAS 5 GRAND. THIS ACCIDENT CAUSED A CONCUSSION AND TORN ROTATOR CUFF.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10705346	DODGE	AVENGER	2013	04/04/15	04/13/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 DODGE AVENGER. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE AIR BAG WARNING LAMP ILLUMINATED, WHICH INDICATED A MALFUNCTION OR FAILURE. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO AN AUTHORIZED DEALER, BUT WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. WHILE PULLING OUT OF THE DRIVEWAY AT 5 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED WHIPLASH ALONG WITH A HEAD INJURY FROM STRIKING THE GLASS. THE GLASS SHATTERED AND IMPACTED THE DRIVER. THE FRONT PASSENGER AND OTHER THREE PASSENGERS SUFFERED WHIPLASH AND ONE OF THE PASSENGERS IN THE BACK SEAT URINATED BLOOD DUE TO THE INJURIES. THE DRIVER AND THE PASSENGERS RECEIVED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 13V282000 (AIR BAGS, ELECTRICAL SYSTEM). THE FAILURE WAS NOT INVESTIGATED BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY AND THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS LESS THAN 30,000.
10706499	JEEP	COMPASS	2010	04/08/15	04/19/15	MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY, AND MY SEATBELT DID NOT PREVENT ME FROM HITTING STEERING WHEEL. MY NECK, LEFT KNEE, AND CHEST WAS INJURED. *TR
10712093	FIAT	500	2013	04/17/15	04/21/15	EXPRESSWAY DRIVING AT 55MPH WHEN TRAFFIC CAME TO AN ABRUPT HALT. HARD BREAKING TRANSPIRED, ATTEMPT AT EVASION, RESULTING IN A FRONT IMPACT INTO THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE, AND THEN A REBOUND IMPACT INTO A RETAINMENT WALL ON THE DRIVERS SIDE. NONE OF THE 7 AIRBAGS DEPLOYED...UPDATED 05/07/15 *BF UPDATED 9/16/2015 *JS *TR
10712196	JEEP	PATRIOT	2015	12/10/14	04/22/15	TL* THE CONTACT RENTED A 2015 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH, A VEHICLE HEADING IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION WITH AN INTOXICATED DRIVER CROSSED INTO THE JEEP PATRIOT'S LANE CAUSING A HEAD ON COLLISION AND THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER AND THE FRONT PASSENGER SUSTAINED FATAL INJURIES AT THE SCENE OF THE CRASH. THE DRIVER OF THE OTHER VEHICLE ALSO SUSTAINED FATAL INJURIES AND A PASSENGER SUSTAINED INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED TO THE RENTAL COMPANY. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNAVAILABLE...UPDATED 04/19/16 *BF
10712623	JEEP	COMPASS	2015	04/18/15	04/24/15	I WAS PROCEEDING THROUGH A GREEN LIGHT. A CAR COMING FROM MY LEFT RAN THEIR RED LIGHT AT FULL SPEED (APPROX. 30 MPH) AND CRASHED INTO MY CAR. MY ENTIRE FRONT END WAS DAMAGED. IT WAS PUSHED IN AT LEAST 10-12" ACCORDING TO THE RESPONDING FIRE DEPARTMENT & EMT'S MY AIRBAG SHOULD HAVE ABSOLUTELY GONE OFF AND IT DID NOT. I WAS TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL FOR NECK PAIN. LUCKILY NOTHING IS BROKEN BUT I HAVE SEVERE NECK, BACK, AND HEAD PAIN ALMOST A FULL WEEK LATER. I AM STARTING PHYSICAL THERAPY NEXT WEEK. *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10713634	CHRYSLER	200	2013	05/06/14	04/29/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE TRAVELING APPROXIMATELY 35 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE DRIVER SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED WHIPLASH, NECK PAINS, NUMBNESS ON THE LEFT SIDE, AND PINCHED NERVES THAT REQUIRED FURTHER MEDICAL TREATMENT. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND WAS NOT INSPECTED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 13V282000 (AIR BAGS, ELECTRICAL SYSTEM). THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 10,000.
10716219	FIAT	500	2012	09/27/13	05/13/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 FIAT 500. AFTER BEING INVOLVED IN A HEAD ON CRASH, THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT ENDURED A SHOULDER INJURY AND THE PASSENGER SUFFERED A NECK INJURY THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. IT WAS UNKNOWN IF A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED OR NOT. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO A COLLISION CENTER AND THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN.
10884474	JEEP	COMPASS	2014	06/10/16	07/13/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2014 JEEP COMPASS. WHEN THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL WAS DEPRESSED, THE VEHICLE ACCELERATED RAPIDLY. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A SIGN. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THERE WERE NO INJURIES AND A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A DEALER WHERE THE BODY REPAIRS WERE PERFORMED; HOWEVER, THE FAILURE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE FAILURE RECURRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 47,000.
10885546	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	07/13/16	07/18/16	AIR BAG FAILURE--ON WEDNESDAY JULY 13 2016 THE VEHICLE (2009 DODGE RAM 1500) WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONT END COLLISION WHILE TRAVELING ON A CITY OWNED ROAD. THE DRIVER WHO WAS THE ONLY PERSON IN THE VEHICLE LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE WHEN TAKING A SHARP RIGHT TURN ON A DIRT ROAD IN THE DARK. AS A RESULT THE VEHICLE CRASHED INTO A DITCH, COMPLETELY SMASHING IN THE FRONT END AND DAMAGED MOST OF THE REST OF THE TRUCK AS WELL. UPON IMPACT THE DRIVER'S AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED INJURIES TO HIS ENTIRE UPPER BODY AS WELL AS SUFFERING FROM A CONCUSSION UPON IMPACT BECAUSE OF THE AIR BAG MALFUNCTION. HE REQUIRED EMERGENCY MEDICAL ATTENTION AND WAS TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL BY AMBULANCE. WE HAVE MORE PICTURES INCLUDING PICTURES OF THE FRONT END OF THE TRUCK HOWEVER THE FILE IS TOO BIG TO UPLOAD ON THIS REPORT.
10896487	JEEP	WRANGLER	2010	06/11/16	08/17/16	THIS VEHICLE HAD TWO FRONT END ACCIDENTS IN 6 MONTHS AND NEITHER TIME THE AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. FIRST ACCIDENT INVOLVED WHILE SITTING AT A RED LIGHT ANOTHER VEHICLE COLLIDED WITH THE LEFT FRONT BUMPER, DAMAGE TO FRONT BUMPER \$1000.00. SECOND ACCIDENT WAS MUCH MORE SERIOUS, THE VEHICLE WAS FORCED OFF THE ROAD AT 40 MPH AND INTO A DIRECT HEAD ON ACCIDENT WITH A TELEPHONE POLE. \$15,000 DAMAGE AND BENT FRAME CARRIAGE MOTOR SUPPORT AND FRONT DRIVE AXLE. AGAIN NO AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10897438	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	07/11/16	08/21/16	OUR 2 WEEK OLD 2016 JEEP WRANGLER WILLYS WAS IN A SOLO COLLISION IN JULY 2016. MY PARTNER HIT WATER, HYDROPLANED, AND THEN HIT A GUARDRAIL WITH THE FRONT END AT 50+ MPH ON A 4 LANE HIGHWAY. SHE WENT AIRBORNE, FLIPPED SEVERAL TIMES AND WAS EJECTED FROM THE VEHICLE. THE SEATS CAME OUT AND ROLL BARS FAILED, BUT THE AIRBAGS ALSO NEVER WENT OFF DESPITE THE INITIAL IMPACT. WE DO NOT KNOW IF SHE WAS WEARING HER SEATBELT. SHE SUFFERED A TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY, COLLAPSED LUNG, SHATTERED PELVIS, BROKEN BACK, BROKEN HIP, BROKEN EYE SOCKET, COMPOUND FRACTURE OF HER ANKLE, BROKEN TAILBONE, MULTIPLE DEEP LACERATIONS AND WAS IN ICU FOR WEEKS. THE AIRBAGS NEVER WENT OFF! ..UPDATED 04/11/17 *BF UPDATED 07/12/2017*JS
10907251	JEEP	LIBERTY	2011	08/25/16	09/16/16	LOW SPEED HEAD ON COLLISION WITH JEEP LIBERTY 2011 NO AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT, ALL FORCES CAME THRU STEERING COLUMN RESULTING IN PARTIAL INTERNAL AMPUTATION OF RIGHT HAND, BREKING OF LEFT HAND...NO RECALL REPAIRS EVER DONE, AM 3RD OWNER OF VEHICLE,,,RECALLS SPECIFIED IN 2011,2013,AND AFTER CRASH IN 2016
10909641	JEEP	LIBERTY	2010	09/15/16	09/26/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2010 JEEP LIBERTY. WHILE DRIVING 30 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT DRIVER SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE LEFT ARM AND MULTIPLE BRUISES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED TO AN AUTO BODY SHOP. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 60,000.
10915978	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	10/10/16	10/13/16	I WAS IN AN ACCIDENT WHERE I SWERVED TO AVOID HITTING A COYOTE AND ENDED UP RUNNING UP ON A CURB, OVER 2 TREES, AND INTO A POLE. I WAS GOING ABOUT 35 MPH. THE ACCIDENT DID \$5400 IN DAMAGE BUT MY SEAT BELT NEVER LOCKED UP AND THE AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED.
10917305	DODGE	AVENGER	2012	10/12/16	10/19/16	THE VEHICLE WAS TRAVELING STRAIGHT FORWARD DOWN A FLAT THREE-LANE ROAD. THE VEHICLE WAS GOING ABOUT 45-50 MILES PER HOUR. THE DRIVER FELL ASLEEP AND IT CROSSED TWO LANES AND ROLLED MULTIPLE TIMES DOWN A 30 FOOT EMBANKMENT. IT CAME TO A REST RIGHT SIDE UP. NONE OF THE AIRBAGS DEPLOYED DESPITE EXTENSIVE DAMAGE RESULTING IN A TOTAL LOSS OF THE VEHICLE. IN THE SIX MONTHS PRIOR TO THIS THERE WAS INTERMITTENT ELECTRICAL PROBLEMS AFFECTING INTERIOR LIGHTING AND POWER WINDOW FUNCTIONING THAT COULDN'T BE IDENTIFIED.
10917675	DODGE	RAM 1500	2009	10/12/16	10/20/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2009 DODGE RAM 1500. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A PILLAR. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED HEAD AND LEG INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOWING LOT. THE TECHNICIAN HAD NOT DETERMINED IF THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 100,000. *TT UPDATED 07/09/18*JB *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10920521	JEEP	PATRIOT	2011	07/09/16	11/01/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 45 MPH IN THE RAIN, THE VEHICLE SKID OFF THE ROAD. THE VEHICLE CRASHED INTO A TREE AND LANDED IN A DITCH. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED ARM, CHEST, LEG, AND FOREHEAD INJURIES THAT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO THE DRIVER'S RESIDENCE AND LATER TOWED TO AN INSURANCE LOT. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (AIR BAGS, SEAT BELTS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 48,000.
10920550	JEEP	WRANGLER	2015	08/17/16	11/01/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2015 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 45 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED CHEST AND HAND INJURIES AND WHIPLASH, BUT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT RESUMED DRIVING AND THE VEHICLE SHOOK VIOLENTLY WITH AN ABNORMAL NOISE COMING FROM THE ENGINE. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC WHERE IT WAS DIAGNOSED THAT THE POWER STEERING DAMPER AND MOTOR MOUNT DETACHED AND NEEDED TO BE REPLACED. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 30,000.
10920590	CHRYSLER	200	2012	12/31/13	11/01/16	I WAS DRIVING STRAIGHT FORWARD, IN THE RIGHT LANE OF A FOUR LANE HIGHWAY (TWO LANES IN EITHER DIRECTION). A CAR TRAVELING IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION, LOST CONTROL AND CROSSED THE HIGHWAY, STRIKING MY CAR'S FRONT END. MY CAR RECEIVED EXTENSIVE FRONT END DAMAGE. THE CAR WAS TOTALED, AS WAS THE OTHER CAR. I WAS DRIVING AND MY WIFE WAS IN THE FRONT PASSENGER SEAT. WE WERE BOTH WEARING OUR SEAT BELTS. AT THE TIME OF THE IMPACT, NEITHER AIRBAG DEPLOYED, NOR DID THE SEAT BELT PRETENSIONERS ACTIVATE (LOCKING THE SEAT BELTS IN PLACE), CAUSING SERIOUS INJURY TO BOTH OF US, REQUIRING HOSPITAL ADMITTANCE IN THE INTENSIVE CARE UNIT, FOR MYSELF. POLICE TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT, PHOTOS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE IF REQUIRED, IN THE FUTURE. ALSO, I JUST RECEIVED A RE-CALL NOTICE, DATED OCTOBER 1, 2016. NHTSA 16V-668, CONCERNING THE CAR I WAS DRIVING AT THE TIME OF THE CRASH...UPDATED 01/04/17 *BF *TR *PO - INJ BUT NOT DEATH AS INDICATED UPDATED 7/25/18*JB *TR *DT *JB
10920626	CHRYSLER	200	2012	10/27/16	11/01/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2012 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THE CONTACT LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE ROLLED A FEW TIMES AND STRUCK SEVERAL TREES. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THERE WERE MINOR INJURIES, WHICH DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 40,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10924286	DODGE	CALIBER	2011	04/20/14	11/04/16	THERE IS CURRENTLY A RECALL REGARDING THE AIRBAG NOT DEPLOYING IN CASE OF A CRASH. I WAS IN A HEAD ON ACCIDENT IN 2014 AND I HAD WONDERED WHY MY AIRBAG DIDN'T DEPLOY. IS THERE A MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR COMPANIES WHOS AIRBAGS DON'T WORK DUE TO A PROVEN TECHNICAL PROBLEM?
10924981	JEEP	PATRIOT	2015	06/23/16	11/08/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2015 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING BETWEEN 25-35 MPH, THE CONTACT WAS INVOLVED IN A CRASH. THE SEAT BELT FAILED TO RESTRAIN THE CONTACT. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED CHEST SORENESS AND STIFFNESS, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A LOCAL DEALER BY AAA. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED. CHRYSLER STATED THAT THE AIR BAG WAS NOT TRIGGERED AND DID NOT ADDRESS THE INQUIRY ABOUT THE SEAT BELT NOT WORKING. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 22,000.
10925347	DODGE	AVENGER	2013	08/31/16	11/10/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 DODGE AVENGER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 25 MPH AND ATTEMPTING TO CROSS AN INTERSECTION, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE ON THE PASSENGER SIDE. THE CONTACT LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND CRASHED INTO A UTILITY POLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND DESTROYED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT RECEIVED INJURIES TO THE CHEST, RIBS, AND NECK AREA. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE INSURANCE EVALUATION CONCLUDED THAT THE REAR AXLE FRACTURED ON IMPACT, WHICH CAUSED THE CONTACT TO LOSE CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (AIR BAGS); HOWEVER, THE REMEDY WAS NOT YET AVAILABLE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 80,000.
10926236	CHRYSLER	200	2012	10/01/16	11/15/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2012 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO TWO COWS. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO CHEST AREA, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (AIR BAGS, SEAT BELTS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 79,000.
10926700	DODGE	AVENGER	2013	10/15/16	11/17/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 DODGE AVENGER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 35 MPH, THE VEHICLE CRASHED INTO A TREE. THE CONTACT NOTICED SMOKE EMITTING FROM THE VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND DESTROYED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT RECEIVED INJURIES TO THE CHEST, NECK, AND HIP, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (AIR BAGS, SEAT BELTS); HOWEVER, THE REMEDY WAS NOT YET AVAILABLE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 80,000.
10926710	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	08/24/16	11/17/16	"AIR BAG RECALL" WAS IN AN ACCIDENT IN MY JEEP PATRIOT AND HAD THE CAR TOTALED OUT. THE SIDE AND FRONT AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED WHEN I WAS HIT.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10928584	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	11/21/16	11/29/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2016 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE ATTEMPTING TO AVOID CRASHING INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE AT 40 MPH, THE CONTACT VEERED TO THE RIGHT AND CRASHED INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED NECK AND BACK INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V734000 (AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 10,000.
10928871	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	11/26/16	11/30/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2016 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING 50 MPH, THE CONTACT SWERVED TO AVOID A DOG AND DROVE INTO A DITCH. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED BROKEN RIBS, A BROKEN ARM FROM WRIST TO ELBOW, A CONCUSSION, AND OTHER BRUISES. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED, INCLUDING POTENTIAL SURGERY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V734000 (AIR BAGS). THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 17,000.
10939731	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	12/21/16	01/04/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2016 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER SUSTAINED MINOR INJURIES THAT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT REPAIRED. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V734000 (AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 15,000.
10957707	JEEP	PATRIOT	2016	11/10/16	02/28/17	AIR BAGS DIDN'T DEPLOY HIT TWO GROWN HORSE'S HIT IN FRONT OF 2016 JEEP PATRIOT TOTALED JEEP PATRIOT 2016. SURE THIS IS A RECALL THAT NEEDS REPORTED AND COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST MAKER OF AIRBAG MANUFACTURER ACCIDENT HAPPENED 11/10/2016 @ 6:15PM ON STERN'S RD OAKDALE,CA95361 I COULD HAVE BEEN KILLED BUT WHIPLASH MEDICAL TREATMENT DONE AND CONTINUE TREATMENT FOR THE REST OF LIFE WITH NO TREATMENT STOP DRIVING DOWN STERN'S RD AT NIGHT TWO HORSE'S RAN OUT IN FRONT OF JEEP KILLING ONE MAJOR INJURY TO OTHER! PLEASE CONTACT ME ASAP AT (209) 312-4778.
10965510	CHRYSLER	200	2013	03/09/16	08/21/17	2013 CHRYSLER 200. CONSUMER WRITES IN REGARDS TO NON DEPLOYMENT OF AIRBAG DURING ACCIDENT, ISSUES WITH AIRBAG AND SEAT BELT PRETENSIONER RECALL NOTICE. *SMD THE CONSUMER STATED AFTER GETTING INTO AN ACCIDENT AND HER BODY, FACE, AND CHEST SLAMMED INTO THE STEERING WHEEL, SHE RECEIVED THE RECALL NOTICE. THE AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. UPDATED 08/21/2017*JS
10969610	CHRYSLER	200	2012	05/26/16	03/30/17	I GOT INTO A CAR ACCIDENT IN MAY OF 2016. MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY, AS THEY SHOULD HAVE GIVEN THE SPEED I WAS GOING. I ALSO WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THIS RECALL UNTIL LATER THAT YEAR. I HAVE CALLED AND CHECKED ONLINE TO SEE WHEN THE PARTS WOULD BE IN TO HAVE THE RECALL ISSUE SOLVED AND I HAVE BEEN TOLD THEY DO NOT HAVE AN ETA AND THE PARTS AREN'T AVAILABLE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10979167	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	01/30/17	04/18/17	<p>ON JANUARY 30, 2017 ANOTHER VEHICLE PULLED OUT IN FRONT OF ME AND STOPPED. I HIT THAT VEHICLE WITH MY 2016 JEEP WRANGLER AT ABOUT 30-35MPH. THE IMPACT TOTALED BOTH VEHICLES. MY JEEP'S FRAME WAS BUCKLED AND TWISTED ALL OF THE WAY TO THE REAR BUMPER. THE REAR BODY MOUNT BOLT WERE EVEN BENT. THE AIRBAGS DIDN'T DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELTS DIDN'T PRETENSION. I HIT THE DASH WITH BOTH KNEES AND GOT ABDOMINAL MUSCLE INJURIES AS WELL AS SEVERE WHIPLASH. I AM STILL UNDER A DOCTOR'S CARE FOR MY INJURIES INCLUDING A PINCHED NERVE BETWEEN C5-C6. FCA OPENED AN INVESTIGATION AND SENT ME A LETTER STATING THAT "THE RATE OF DECELERATION NECESSARY TO ACTIVATE THE AIR BAG AND AIR BAG SYSTEM WAS NOT PRESENT DURING THIS ACCIDENT". THE IMPACT BUCKLED MY FRAME AND TOTALED A 5 MONTH OLD VEHICLE, BUT FCA WANTS ME TO BELIEVE THAT IT WASN'T SEVERE ENOUGH FOR THE AIR BAGS TO DEPLOY. FCA IS EITHER BEING DECEPTIVE OR THEIR VEHICLES ARE SIMPLY UNSAFE. EITHER WAY, DUE TO THEIR DECEPTIVE ACTIONS, IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO KNOW HOW MANY ACCIDENTS ARE TRULY RELATED TO THIS VEHICLE DEFECT. I FOUND OUT ABOUT THE RECALL (S76) THE WEEK AFTER MY ACCIDENT WHEN I FILED A COMPLAINT WITH FCA. MY VEHICLE WAS IN THE DEALERSHIP FOR ITS FIRST OIL CHANGE TWO WEEKS AFTER THIS RECALL WAS ISSUED, BUT IT WASN'T REPAIRED AND I WASN'T INFORMED OF A RECALL. I RECEIVED MY RECALL NOTICE TODAY, 74 DAYS AFTER MY ACCIDENT. I HAVE THE POLICE REPORT, PICTURES OF THE JEEP AND THE FRAME, THE INSURANCE ADJUSTER'S CELL PHONE NUMBER AS WELL AS ALL CORRESPONDENCE WITH FCA, SHOULD YOU WANT IT...UPDATED 05/09/17 *BF UPDATED 9/27/18*JB</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10981445	JEEP	WRANGLER	2012	10/02/15	04/29/17	<p>I WAS INVOLVED IN A SINGLE VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON 10/5/15, TRAVELING APPROXIMATELY 30MPH, WHEN MY JEEP SUDDENLY JERKED TO THE RIGHT WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING IT TO SWERVE OFF THE ROAD AND INTO A DITCH. THE JEEP HIT THE FRONT END OF THE DITCH AT AN ANGLE THAT LAUNCHED IT AIRBORNE, THEN LANDED ON THE CEMENT WALL OF A CULVERT APPROXIMATELY TWO FEET AWAY. THE JEEP BOUNCED TWICE ON THE CEMENT WALL, CRACKING THE FRAME IN TWO PLACES, THEN LANDED IN THE CULVERT, HIT THE FRONT END OF THE CULVERT, THEN HIT A SMALL POLE WHERE IT FINALLY STOPPED. DESPITE SLAMMING ON THE BRAKES THE ENTIRE TIME, NOTHING IN THE VEHICLE SEEMED TO BE WORKING. MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND MY SEATBELTS DID NOT ENGAGE, CAUSING ME TO SLAM MY FOREHEAD ON THE STEERING WHEEL WITH EACH IMPACT (3-4 TIMES, I HONESTLY DON'T RECALL) AND HITTING MY CHEST ONCE ON THE STEERING WHEEL. I CALLED CHRYSLER TO REPORT THE INCIDENT AFTER THE INSURANCE ADJUSTER RAISED CONCERNS ABOUT THE AIRBAGS NOT DEPLOYING, AND WAS GIVEN A CASE NUMBER AND TOLD I WOULD BE CONTACTED. AFTER A NUMBER OF FURTHER ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT THEM, THEY HAVE REFUSED TO RETURN MY CALLS OR ACKNOWLEDGE MY COMPLAINTS. I AM NOW AWARE OF A NUMBER OF RECALLS REGARDING THE ORC MODULE IN MANY CHRYSLER VEHICLES, AND WONDER IF THIS COULD HAVE BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR IN MY ACCIDENT. I SUFFERED A SEVERE CONCUSSION AND WAS OUT OF WORK FOR THREE MONTHS. I HAD TO QUIT MY SECOND JOB, CAUSING SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL STRAIN ON MY FAMILY. I HAVE SINCE HAD CHRONIC NECK PAIN AND MIGRAINES, AN MRI SHOWED TRAUMATIC DISC HERNIATIONS AT C2-6, FOR WHICH I HAVE TO SEE A NEUROSURGEON. I HAVE HAD MULTIPLE INJECTIONS AND OTHER PROCEDURES ON MY NECK SINCE THE ACCIDENT, BUT CONTINUE TO HAVE PAIN DAILY. AT MY REQUEST, MY INSURANCE COMPANY HAS KEPT THE TOTALED JEEP, UNTOUCHED, IN A SECURED LOT, UNTIL I CAN FIND SOMEONE TO HELP ME DETERMINE WHY THE SEATBELTS FAILED TO PROTECT ME.</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10991421	JEEP	WRANGLER	2015	02/04/16	05/24/17	<p>I WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONT END MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION, WITH ANOTHER MOTOR VEHICLE INCIDENT, TO WIT THE FRONT DRIVER SIDE AIRBAG NEVER DEPLOYED APPROPRIATELY. WHERE IN WHICH I RECEIVED INJURY FROM THE COLLISION ACCIDENT. AS A RESULT OF THE COLLISION INCIDENTS, I FURTHER INQUIRED WITH THE DEALERSHIP SERVICE DEPARTMENTS MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL, AND WAS INFORMED, THAT THE DEPLOYMENT CONTACT SWITCH LOCATED ON THE FRONT OF MY 2015 JEEP WRANGLER, WAS LOCATED IN THE FRONT GRILL AREA, AND NOT LOCATED IN THE FRONT BUMPER AREA. RECENTLY BACK IN THE FALL OF 2016, I RECEIVED NOTICE FROM FIAT CHRYSLER JEEP CORPORATION. THAT MY 2016 JEEP WRANGLERS AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT SYSTEM HAD A RECALL ISSUE. WHICH FURTHER STATED, LET AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT SYSTEMS RANGING BACK TO 2012 JEEP WRANGLERS, EXTENDING TO 2017 JEEP WRANGLERS. PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT AS A RESULT OF MY 2015 JEEP WRANGLER COLLISION WHICH ENDED UP IN A TOTAL LOSS. I HAD TO PURSUE ANOTHER VEHICLE, HENCE MY 2016 JEEP WRANGLER, AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT SYSTEM RECALL NOTICE. FURTHER BE ADVISED, THAT WHEN I HAD TO SHOP AGAIN FOR ANOTHER MOTOR VEHICLE, TO WIT MY 2016 JEEP WRANGLER. I HAD ASKED THE DEALERSHIP SALES PERSON, IF THE AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT SYSTEM HAD EVER CHANGED FROM THE 2015 JEEP WRANGLERS, TO THE 2016 JEEP WRANGLERS? WHERE IN WHICH I WAS INFORMED THAT THE SAME AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT SYSTEMS IN THE 2015 JEEP WRANGLER'S WERE THE SAME IN THE 2016 JEEP WRANGLERS. WHERE, GIVEN THE FACT THAT MOTOR VEHICLE AIRBAG RECALLS BY OTHER MANUFACTURING COMPANIES, ARE BEING CALLED INTO QUESTION? IT IS MY CONTENTION, THAT THE 2015 JEEP WRANGLER AIRBAG APPOINTMENT SYSTEM THEN I HAD OWNED AT THE TIME OF THE COLLISION, WAS DEFECTIVE AS WELL. PLEASE FEEL FREE TO CONTACT ME ANYTIME REGARDING THIS ISSUE, OR ANYTHING ELSE RELATED TO THIS ISSUE. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED</p>
10993249	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	09/06/16	06/05/17	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2016 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING 50 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE SWITCHED LANES IN FRONT OF THE CONTACT AND ABRUPTLY DEPRESSED THE BRAKE PEDAL. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF THE OTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED NECK AND BACK INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. A DEALER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 12,800.</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10993562	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	06/02/17	06/06/17	SOMEONE IN A 3/4 TON WORK VAN RAN THROUGH A STOP LIGHT AND PLOWED IN TO THE RIGHT PASSENGER FRONT / SIDE OF MY JEEP WHILE I WAS MAKING A LEFT HAND TURN WE WERE ON THE CITY STREETS.. THE IMPACT WAS SO GREAT THAT WHILE MAKING THE LEFT TURN AND BEING HIT SO HARD MY WIFE AND I WENT THROUGH A PIZZA SHOP HEAD ON. NONE OF THE 8 AIR BAGS IN MY JEEP WENT OFF. THERE IS A RECALL FOR THE JEEPS AIR BAGS FOR WHICH I DID GET A HOLD OF THE DEALERSHIP AND THEY TOLD ME THAT THE RECALL IS STILL OPEN AND NO THEY DO NOT HAVE A FIX AT THIS TIME. NOW READING THE JEEPS FACTORY USER GUIDE THE AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE GONE OFF. THE JEEP DOES HAVE SEAT-MOUNTED SIDE AIR BAGS AND FROM READING THE FACTORY USER GUIDE IT STATES THAT THIS VEHICLE MAY BE EQUIPPED WITH SUPPLEMENTAL SEAT-MOUNTED SIDE AIR BAGS TO PROVIDE ENHANCED PROTECTION TO HELP PROTECT AN OCCUPANT DURING A SIDE IMPACT. IF THE AIR BAGS WOULD HAVE GONE OFF MY WIFE WOULDN'T HAVE GOT SO HURT. SHE NOW HAS A RIGHT CERVICAL STRAIN, LUMBAR CONTUSION, AND A RIGHT CLAVICLE FRACTURE. ALSO WITH THE HEAD ON COLLISION INTO THE BUILDING THE FRONT AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE GONE OFF THEY DID NOT.
10994152	JEEP	PATRIOT	2016	12/14/16	06/09/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2016 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING 65 MPH, THE VEHICLE STALLED WITHOUT WARNING. THE CONTACT LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND IT ROLLED OVER. THE POWER STEERING FUNCTION BECAME INOPERABLE AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A MINOR ANKLE INJURY THAT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC AND WAS NOT REPAIRED. THE CONTACT WAS CONCERNED THAT THE FAILURE MENTIONED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V907000 (ENGINE AND ENGINE COOLING) CONTRIBUTED TO THE CRASH. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE AND WAS INFORMED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS NOT BEING DRIVEN AT A SPEED THAT WOULD FACILITATE THE DEPLOYMENT OF THE AIR BAGS. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 17,000. ...UPDATED 07/31/17 *BF *JS
11006561	CHRYSLER	200	2014	07/14/17	07/20/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2014 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE DRIVING IN THE RAIN, THE SPEED WAS UNKNOWN BECAUSE THE SPEEDOMETER FAILED. THE CONTACT REAR ENDED THE PRECEDING VEHICLE THAT HAD STALLED AND THERE WERE NO WARNING INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A FRACTURED RIGHT HIP, BRUISING, LACK OF MOTION IN THE RIGHT WRIST, A FRACTURED LEFT PELVIS, BRUISING TO THE LEFT KNEE, AND SEVERE BRUISING TO THE CHEST FROM HITTING THE WINDSHIELD. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO SUPERIOR TOWING COMPANY (2385 SW 66TH TERRACE, DAVIE, FL, 33317) WHERE IS WAS DECLARED TOTALED BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY. THE CONTACT STATED THAT SHE NEVER RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (AIR BAGS, SEAT BELTS) AND WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE RECALL NOTIFICATION UNTIL JULY 19, 2017 AFTER SEARCHING ONLINE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 53,000....UPDATED 08/07/17 *BF UPDATED 08/11/17 *BF *CN *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11006731	CHRYSLER	200	2011	12/31/16	07/21/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 CHRYSLER 200. ON DECEMBER 31, 2016, WHILE THE CONTACT'S WIFE WAS DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 25 MPH, THE VEHICLE SLID OFF OF THE ROAD, CROSSED A DITCH, AND CRASHED INTO A TREE STUMP. THE ROAD CONDITIONS PLAYED A FACTOR IN THE CRASH. THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THERE WERE NO WARNING INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES AND A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED FOR THE BODY DAMAGE. PRIOR TO THE CRASH, THE CONTACT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS); HOWEVER, THE PARTS TO DO THE REPAIR WERE UNAVAILABLE. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE MANUFACTURER EXCEEDED A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME FOR THE RECALL REPAIR. A DEALER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE AND PROVIDED A TIME FRAME FOR THE RECALL REPAIR OF AUGUST 2017. THE CONTACT WAS PROVIDED CASE NUMBER: 31236420. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 30,000. VIN TOOL CONFIRMS PARTS NOT AVAILABLE. PARTS DISTRIBUTION DISCONNECT.
11019118	DODGE	AVENGER	2013	08/15/17	08/23/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 DODGE AVENGER. WHILE DRIVING 30 MPH, THE CONTACT'S SON CRASHED INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED CHEST INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. AFTER THE CRASH, THE CONTACT LEARNED OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 86,000....UPDATED 08/29/17 *BF UPDATED 09/06/17 *BF UPDATED 09/18/2017*JS *JS *CN
11019482	CHRYSLER	200	2012	01/07/15	08/25/17	TL* THE CONTACT'S SPOUSE OWNS A 2012 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE THE CONTACT'S SPOUSE WAS DRIVING 45 MPH, HER VEHICLE WAS CUT OFF BY ANOTHER VEHICLE AND CRASHED INTO A WALL. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE C3/C4 CERVICAL VERTEBRAE AND A LACERATION TO THE KNEE, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT FACILITY. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED; HOWEVER, THE CONTACT WAS CONCERNED THAT THE AIR BAGS WERE NEVER REPLACED. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). A DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 64,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11020411	DODGE	AVENGER	2010	07/12/16	08/29/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2010 DODGE AVENGER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 45 MPH, THE DRIVER ATTEMPTED TO AVOID COLLIDING INTO A DEER AND CRASHED INTO A UTILITY POLE INSTEAD. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED BACK, HIP, AND SHOULDER INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A COLLISION LOT. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT CONTACTED AND MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 70,000.
11022674	CHRYSLER	200	2011	12/24/16	09/11/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 CHRYSLER 200. WHILE DRIVING 30 MPH, THE VEHICLE INDEPENDENTLY SWERVED TO THE RIGHT AND STRUCK A FROZEN EMBANKMENT. THE SEAT BELT FAILED TO RESTRAIN THE CONTACT. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AWAY BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE HEAD, LOWER BACK, JAW, AND SEVERAL BROKEN RIBS. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOTALED. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS). THE LOCAL DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 22,000. *TT UPDATED 7/16/18*JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11024190	JEEP	WRANGLER	2012	10/02/15	09/19/17	I WAS INVOLVED IN A SINGLE VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON 10/2/2015 INVOLVING 2012 JEEP WRANGLER, MY VEHICLE JERKED TO THE RIGHT SUDDENLY CAUSING ME TO LOSE CONTROL. THE JEEP WAS JERKED OFF THE ROAD INTO A DITCH ON THE RIGHT, HIT THE FRONT END OF THE DITCH AND WAS LAUNCHED AIRBORNE, THEN CRASHED ON THE CEMENT WALL OF A SECOND DITCH, BOUNCING TWICE BEFORE LANDING IN THE DITCH AND HITTING THE FRONT END OF THAT DITCH. I REPEATEDLY SLAMMED ON MY BRAKES BUT THEY DID NOT ENGAGE. MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY SEAT BELT TENSIONER DID NOT ENGAGE, CAUSING ME TO BE THROWN FORWARD AND BACKWARDS REPEATEDLY. I SUFFERED A CLOSED HEAD INJURY AND HERNIATIONS TO MULTIPLE DISCS IN MY NECK AS WELL AS TRAUMA TO THE FACET JOINTS IN MY NECK, RESULTING IN SEVERE FORAMINAL STENOSIS AT MULTIPLE LEVELS THAT REQUIRES NEUROSURGICAL INTERVENTION. I SLAMMED MY HEAD ON THE STEERING WHEEL 4 TIMES, MY CHEST ONCE. THIS ACCIDENT FOREVER CHANGED MY LIFE. I HAVE REPEATEDLY CALLED FCA TO FILE A FORMAL COMPLAINT, AM ALWAYS TOLD SOMEONE WILL CALL ME BACK. IT'S BEEN ALMOST TWO YEARS AND NO ONE HAS CALLED ME BACK. I WAS GIVEN A CASE NUMBER AND TOLD SOMEONE WOULD COME LOOK AT MY VEHICLE TO INSPECT IT, NEVER HAPPENED. AS I FACE URGENT SURGERY TO MY SPINE WITH PAIN TO MY NECK RADIATING DOWN MY RIGHT ARM, ALL I CAN THINK ABOUT IS CHRYSLER. THEY RECALLED 2016-2017 JEEP WRANGLERS FOR FAULTY WIRING OF THE OCCUPANT RESTRAINT CONTROL MODULE, AS WELL AS JEEP PATRIOTS AND COMPASSES MADE THE SAME YEAR AS MY VEHICLE ALONG WITH MILLIONS OF OTHER CHRYSLER VEHICLES. FCA REFUSES TO RETURN MY PHONE CALLS, HOW MANY MORE PEOPLE ARE THEY IGNORING? THEY NEED SEE WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THEY DON'T RECALL ALL VEHICLES BUILT WITH THE SAME COMPONENTS, KNOWING THERE ARE MORE VEHICLES NOT INCLUDED IN THE RECALL THAT POSE A SAFETY RISK.
11032349	DODGE	CALIBER	2012	04/24/17	10/09/17	AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY IN MY CAR ACCIDENT IN APRIL 2017, CAR WAS TOTALED. RECEIVED CONCUSSION AND WHIPLASH AS A RESULT OF MY AIR BAGS NOT DEPLOYING. MY CAR WAS REG MAINTAINED AT THE DODGE DEALERSHIP ON RIVER DALE RD, OGDEN, UT CALLED IN AND SPOKE WITH A REP (SHAY) 10/09/2017 AND SHE GAVE ME A CASE # 32584117 ...UPDATED 10/13/17 *BF UPDATED 7/26/18*JB
11045006	JEEP	WRANGLER	2015	11/05/17	11/09/17	AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY IN HIGH SPEED FRONT END COLLISION. THERE WERE TWO VEHICLES IN INVOLVED IN THE COLLISION. ONE CROSSED THE CENTER LINE AND STRUCK THE JEEP HEAD ON. DRIVER OF THE JEEP WAS INJURED DUE TO NO AIR BAG DEPLOYMENT. ... UPDATED 11/15/17 *BF AND 11/16/17 *BF ...UPDATED 12/11/17 *BF UPDATED 11/16/2017*JS *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11048288	JEEP	LIBERTY	2012	12/05/15	11/24/17	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT IN MY 2012 JEEP LIBERTY WHEN ANOTHER DRIVER PULLED LEFT I FRONT OF ME TO MAKE THEIR RIGHT TURN INTO A PARKING LOT. MY TRUCK DROVE OVER HI AND ON THE WAY DOWN STRUCK A PARKED CAR AND FLIPPED OVER ON TO ITS HOOD. THE ENTIRE INTERIOR CAVED IN DIRECTLY ON TO MY HEAD AND NOT A SINGLE AIR BAG DEPLOYED. I WAS HOSPITALIZED AND WAS ALREADY HANDICAPPED SO THIS EXACERBATED MY INJURIES PRONOUNCEDLY AND THE INCREASED PAIN, BOTH PHYSICAL AND MENTAL, LED TO MY WIFE LEAVING, LOSS OF EMPLOYMENT, FAMILY, YOU NAME IT AND IT'S BEEN LOST BECAUSE OF THIS ACCIDENT. WHEN YOU SEE PICS, YOU'LL UNDERSTAND WHY PARAMEDICS WERE SHOCKED I WAS ALIVE AT ALL. -[XXX] (1ST 2 PICS ARE EXTERIOR, 3RD PIC IS OF MY DRIVER'S SEAT ITSELF AND HOW I MANAGED AVOIDING BEING SPLIT IN 1/2 IS BEYOND ME.) INFORMATION REDACTED PURSUANT TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552(B)(6). *TR SEE ALSO ODI 11053500. *DSY
11110422	JEEP	PATRIOT	2016	07/09/18	07/10/18	ON MONDAY 07/09/2018, WHILE STOPPED ON A CITY STREET WAS REAR-ENDED BY A TRUCK WHICH DIDN'T STOP GOING APPROXIMATELY 40 -50 MPH. IT SMASHED MY CAR INTO THE VEHICLE IN FRONT WHICH WAS A CAR LENGTH AWAY. THE SEAT BROKE BACKWARDS, THE CENTER CONSOLE BETWEEN THE SEATS FLEW OFF, AND NONE OF THE AIRBAGS IN THE VEHICLE DEPLOYED, KNEES WENT FORWARD INTO THE DASH.
11120992	JEEP	WRANGLER	2017	08/06/18	08/20/18	2017 JEEP WRANGLER SPORT : WHILE TRAVELING AT HIGHWAY SPEED A CAR PULLED OUT IN FRONT OF ME. I CHANGED LANES BUT STILL HIT THE CAR IN THE RIGHT REAR AND MY JEEP IN FRONT LEFT SIDE. JEEP ROLLED SEVERAL TIMES AND HAS BEEN TOTALED. (AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY)
11132330	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	06/17/18	09/30/18	INVOLVED IN FRONT-END IMPACT WITH A SIGN AND TREE, RESULTING IN SEVERE DAMAGE TO BUMPER AND FRAMEWORK. THE AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED SEVERE INJURIES TO HEAD. VEHICLE WAS NOT INSPECTED OR REPAIRED AFTER THE ACCIDENT. *DT *TR *JB *DT*JB *DT *DT *DT *TR
11155925	JEEP	WRANGLER	2015	11/17/18	12/04/18	MY WRANGLER WAS COMPLETELY TOTALED. CRUNCHED FROM EVERY SIDE, AND NOT A SINGLE AIRBAG DEPLOYED. MY PASSENGER WAS SEVERELY INJURED. *DT *JB
11161506	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	12/07/18	12/15/18	TAMARA RECALL. I WAS HIT BY A DRUNK DRIVER AT HIGHWAY SPEED HERE IN TEXAS ON 12/7/2018. AIR BAGS DIDNT DEPLOY IN MY 2016 JEEP JKU. ALL AIR BAGS DEPLOYED IN THE DRUNK DRIVERS VEHICLE. SHE WALKED AWAY AND I WAS TAKEN TO THE HOSPITAL. IF MY AIRBAGS HAD DEPLOYED FROM SUCH A HARD IMPACT I MIGHT HAVE WALKED AWAY LIKE THE DRUNK DRIVER. SO MUCH FOR HAVING AIRBAGS IF THEY DONT WORK. BOTH VEHICLES HAD TO BE TOWED AWAY FROM THE SCENE DUE TO EXTENT OF DAMAGES. *DT*JB
11162152	JEEP	WRANGLER	2015	12/17/18	12/18/18	NO AIR BAGS DEPLOYED DURING COLLISION. JEEP T-BONED VEHICLE THAT PULLED OUT FROM A STOP SIGN. OTHER CARS AIR BAGS COMPLETELY DEPLOYED WITH IMPACT. FRAME DAMAGE OCCURRED SINCE THE FRONT PUSHED IN HARD ENOUGH TO BEND CORNER OF DRIVER DOOR.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11164588	JEEP	PATRIOT	2012	12/28/18	01/02/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS STOPPED AND MAKING A LEFT TURN, AN APPROACHING VEHICLE RAN A STOP SIGN. THE OTHER VEHICLE CRASHED HEAD ON INTO THE DRIVERS SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. NONE OF THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS DEPLOYED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES AND A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE OTHER DRIVERS INSURANCE COMPANY SETTLED AND PAID FOR THE BODY REPAIRS. THE AIR BAGS WERE NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. AFTER THE BODY REPAIRS, THE BRAKE, CHECK ENGINE, AND AIR BAG INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. EVENTUALLY, ALL THE INSTRUMENT PANEL GAUGES ILLUMINATED. THE DEALER (BECK CHEVROLET BUICK GMC, 1601 REID ST, PALATKA, FL 32177, (386) 227-5222) DID NOT ASSIST, BUT OFFERED TO SELL THE CONTACT A NEW VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 29,000. THE VIN WAS UNKNOWN.
11164740	JEEP	WRANGLER	2017	01/02/19	01/03/19	VEHICLE WAS STRUCK ON FRONT PASSENGER SIDE DOOR BY VEHICLE THAT RAN A RED LIGHT. NO AIRBAGS DEPLOYED, HOWEVER MAJOR DAMAGE WAS DONE TO VEHICLE, POSSIBLY TOTALED. DRIVER WAS ALSO INJURED IN CHEST AREA. *DT *TR
11166733	FIAT	500	2012	11/20/18	01/13/19	ON NOVEMBER 20, 2018, I HAD AN ACCIDENT WITH MY FIAT 500 SPORT (IMAGES AND VIDEO ATTACHED). I HAVE CONTACTED FIAT IN BRAZIL, USA, EUROPE AND THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC, SO THAT THEY COULD FIND OUT WHAT HAS HAPPENED, SINCE THE AIR BAG WILL NOT WORK IN MY VEHICLE. I HAVE COMPLETE VIDEO. I HAVE NOT HAD ANY ANSWER, FIAT BRASIL SAYS THAT IT IS NOT THEIRS, EUROPE AND THE USA WILL NOT ANSWER ANYTHING, THAT IS, AT THE TIME OF PURCHASE THEY ARE OPTIMAL, BUT THE ATTENTION TO THE CLIENT AND THE POST SALE, DISAPPOINTING TO SAY NOTHING WORST. *DSY
11170716	JEEP	WRANGLER	2017	01/16/19	01/18/19	I HIT A VEHICLE THAT CROSSED IN FRONT OF ME. MY DRIVER FRONT BUMPER HIT THEIR PASSENGER FRONT BUMPER. MY AIR BAG DID NOT INFLATE. MY SPEED WAS APPX. 45 MPH. *DSY *DT*JB
11171619	JEEP	COMPASS	2016	12/25/18	01/23/19	ACCIDENT ON 12/25/2018 THE TRUCK WAS DEAM TOTAL BUT NO AIR BAG WAS DEPLOYED , ..*BF... *TR
11176266	JEEP	LIBERTY	2011	02/16/18	02/11/19	HAD A SERIOUS CAR ACCIDENT LAST YEAR AND MY JEEP WAS TOTALED AND THE AIR BAGS DIDNT GO OFF
11182545	JEEP	WRANGLER	2017	02/13/19	02/26/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2017 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE THAT MADE A WRONG TURN. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT AND THREE PASSENGERS IN THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE SUSTAINED INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS TOTALED AND TOWED AWAY. THE DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 11,000. *DT *JB *DT

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11183268	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	02/24/19	02/28/19	DURING A ROLLOVER CRASH WHICH INITIATED AT 40 MILES PER HOUR, THE FRONTAL AIRBAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE ROLLED AND AN ADEQUATE AMOUNT OF FORCE TO DEPLOY THE AIR BAGS SHOULD HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED. AS A RESULT THE OCCUPANTS EXPERIENCE EXTENSIVE INJURIES CONSISTENT WITH SUDDEN DECELERATION.
11183650	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	12/01/17	03/02/19	I HAVE BEEN CONTACTING MY DEALERSHIP SINCE THE RECALL WAS ISSUED ON MY VEHICLE SINCE 2017. EVERY TIME I CALL I AM ADVISED THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE THE PARTS TO FIX MY VEHICLE, TO CALL BACK. WELL IT HAS BEEN ALMOST TWO YEARS AND I AM STILL TRYING TO HAVE THIS RECALLED ISSUE FIXED. I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT DECEMBER OF 2017 WHERE MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY.
11192853	JEEP	PATRIOT	2012	01/01/17	04/01/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 JEEP PATRIOT. THE CONTACT STATED THAT AN ONCOMING VEHICLE RAN A STOP SIGN AND CRASHED HEAD ON INTO HIS VEHICLE. NONE OF THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS DEPLOYED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES AND A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE OTHER DRIVER'S INSURANCE COMPANY SETTLED AND PAID FOR THE REPAIRS. THE AIR BAGS WERE NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. AFTER THE CONTACT'S BODY DAMAGE WAS REPAIRED, THE CHECK ENGINE, BRAKE LIGHTS, AND AIR BAG INDICATORS STARTED TO ILLUMINATE. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE OVERALL COMPUTER SYSTEM FOR THE VEHICLE MALFUNCTIONED AND ALL THE INSTRUMENT PANEL INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. BECK CHEVROLET BUICK GMC (1601 REID ST, PALATKA, FL 32177, (386) 227-5222) WAS CONTACTED, BUT ONLY OFFERED TO SELL THE CONTACT A NEW VEHICLE. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 29,000. PARTS DISTRIBUTION DISCONNECT.
11203283	JEEP	PATRIOT	2014	04/15/19	04/23/19	MY DAUGHTER WAS GOING 30 MPH IN HER JEEP OVER A BRIDGE. THE CAR INFRONT OF HER STOPPED SUDDENLY TO AVOID AN ACCIDENT. SHE WAS UNABLE TO STOP DUE TO ICE AND HIT THE CAR INFRONT OF HER. AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THEN THEY WERE HIT FROM BEHIND BY A TRUCK GOING 40 MPH. AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. ROUTE 72 IN GENOA IL, GOING STRAIGHT. NOT UPLOADING PICTURE DUE TO LICENSE PLATES SEEN.
11203683	JEEP	PATRIOT	2016	11/06/18	04/25/19	BACK IN NOVEMBER OF 2018 MY JEEP WAS IN AN ACCIDENT. MY SON WAS THE OPERATOR OF THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME ON HIS WAY TO PICK ME UP FROM WORK IN TIVERTON RHODE ISLAND. ACCORDING TO HIM, HE RECALLS EVERYTHING FINE AND THEN A MOMENT WHEREIN EVERYTHING WENT BLANK. UPON ARRIVAL THE VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED, I ASKED HIM WHAT HAPPENED, HE WAS IN A STATE OF CONFUSION. AT THAT POINT THE POLICE HAD CAUGHT UP, AND BEGAN THE INVESTIGATION, AND MY SON WENT TO THE HOSPITAL BY RESCUE. FAST FORWARD: WE ARE IN QUESTION TO THE VEHICLE'S SAFETY. AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT THE VEHICLE VEERED INTO THE ONCOMING TRAFFIC. BASED ON OUR INVESTIGATION AND RECALL OF THE INCIDENT A: THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND B: THE RIGHT WHEEL AND AXLE WAS COMPLETELY DISENGAGED ALTHOUGH THE VEHICLE HAD SUSTAINED A MAJORITY OF DAMAGES ON THE LEFT/DRIVER'S SIDE! THE LEFT/DRIVER'S SIDE WHEEL AND AXLE WAS STILL COMPLETELY INTACT DESPITE SUSTAINING A VAST AMOUNT OF DAMAGES. *DT*JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11204387	JEEP	PATRIOT	2010	04/27/19	04/29/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2010 JEEP PATRIOT. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 20 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF A SECOND VEHICLE. THE FRONT END OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE SUSTAINED SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE; HOWEVER, THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. ALSO, THE FRONT PASSENGER SEAT BELT FAILED TO SECURE THE OCCUPANT, CAUSING THE PASSENGER TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE DASHBOARD. THE PASSENGER SUSTAINED NECK AND TORSO INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TOWED. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE MANUFACTURER AND LOCAL DEALER WERE NOT NOTIFIED. THE CONTACT INDICATED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS PREVIOUSLY SERVICE PER NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V668000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 110,000.
11209549	JEEP	COMPASS	2017	05/23/19	05/23/19	MAY 23, 2019, MY HUSBAND WAS IN AN ACCIDENT THAT TOTALED OUR COMPASS ACCORDING TO THE POLICE IN THE TOWN. THE SPEED WAS APPROXIMATELY 30MPG. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY HUSBAND WAS TAKEN TO FINLEY HOSPITAL. THERE WERE INJURIES CONSISTING OF ROTATOR CUFF DAMAGE. I WILL BE LOOKING INTO A LAWSUIT. THIS CAR IS VERY UNSAFE! THE CAR WAS IN MOTION, HE SAID HE EXPERIENCED BRAKE FAILURE AND STRUCK A TRAILER HOLDING A RIDING LAWNMOWER. IT WAS 12:16 PM.
11219085	CHRYSLER	200	2011	06/08/19	06/10/19	TL* THE CONTACT LOANED A 2011 CHRYSLER 200. THE CONTACT WAS DRIVING A 60 MPH ON CRUISE CONTROL, TWO DEER JUMPED IN FRONT AND THE VEHICLE SWERVED IN ORDER TO AVOID CRASHING INTO THEM. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT WENT INTO A DITCH AND THE VEHICLE FLIPPED ON THE SIDE AND SKIDDED FOR APPROXIMATELY 30-40 YARDS. THE VEHICLE EVENTUALLY CAME TO A STOP AFTER CRASHING INTO A TELEPHONE POLE. THE CONTACT MENTIONED THAT THE ROOF OF THE VEHICLE DETACHED. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELTS FAILED TO PROPERLY RETRACT. THE CONTACT TEMPORARILY LOST CONSCIOUSNESS DUE TO A HEAD INJURY AND SUFFERED A LACERATION ON THEIR LEFT HAND. THE CONTACT DID RECEIVED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOTALED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A LOCAL WRECKING LOT. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 100,000. *DT *TR
11221179	FIAT	500	2013	06/17/19	06/19/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS 2013 FIAT 500. WHILE DRIVING 20 MPH ON A STRAIGHT AWAY, ANOTHER VEHICLE CAME OUT FROM A SIDE STREET. THE OTHER VEHICLE CRASHED HEAD ON IN THE CONTACT'S FRONT. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELT FAILED TO RETRACT. AS A RESULT, OF THE CRASH THE CONTACT SUSTAINED AN INJURY ON A CARTILAGE ON HER STERNUM, FOREHEAD BRUISE FROM THE SUN VISOR ALONE WITH BRUISES ON THE ARM, CHEST, RIBS, AND BACK. THE CONTACT RECEIVED MEDICAL ATTENTION AT AN EMERGENCY FACILITY. THE PERSON THAT CRASHED INTO THE CONTACT WAS NOT INJURED AND DIDN'T NEED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE OTHER PARTIES INSURANCE DEEMED THE VEHICLE TOTALED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE AND THEY PROVIDED NO ASSISTANCE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 29,000. THE VIN WAS INVALID.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11230028	JEEP	WRANGLER	2017	05/16/19	07/08/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2017 JEEP WRANGLER. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH, THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE SUDDENLY STARTED TO DRIFT AND CAUSED THE DRIVER TO LOSE CONTROL OF THE STEERING. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A METAL FENCE POST. THE STEERING BECAME INOPERABLE AFTER THE CRASH AND THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER AND FACIAL CONTUSIONS. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS NOT RECEIVED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO COTTAGE GROVE CHRYSLER (2800 ROW RIVER RD, COTTAGE GROVE, OR 97424) TO BE DIAGNOSED, BUT THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE COULD NOT BE DETERMINED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 11,324. *BF *TR
11230623	CHRYSLER	200	2015	07/07/19	07/10/19	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT WITH MY CAR. A CAR TURNED IN FRONT OF ME WHILE I HAD THE RIGHT OF WAY. I DID NOT HAVE TIME TO EVEN HIT THE BRAKES. SO THE FRONT OF MY CAR HIT THE VEHICLE. I WAS GOING ABOUT 40 MPH. THE WHOLE FRONT BUMPER CAME OFF AND THE STEEL SUPPORT BAR AND THE FRAME WAS TWISTED. MY AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. I DID SUSTAIN INJURIES! THIS WAS NOT THE FIRST ISSUE I HAD WITH THIS CAR. I BOUGHT IT IN 2017 AND A WEEK LATER THE CAR STARTED DOWNSHIFTING AS I WAS DRIVING ALL THE TIME. IT WOULD STALL AND THE TRANSMISSION LIGHT WOULD COME ON. I BROUGHT IT TO CHRYSLER AND WAS TOLD THAT THE ORIGINAL OWNER HAD STARTED THE RECALL PROCESS ON THE TRANSMISSION!! I WAS INFURIATED THAT I WAS NOT TOLD. AFTER RENTAL CARS BECAUSE I COULDN'T USE IT WHILE THE RECALL STUFF WAS BEING DONE. AND ME BRINGING IT BACK AND FORTH 3 TIMES WITH THE PROBLEM STILL PERSISTING! YET AGAIN THE CAR DOWNSHIFTED IN TRAFFIC AND WAS FORCED TO GO OFF THE ROAD. FINALLY THEY REPLACED THE TRANSMISSION. A YEAR LATER AND MY CAR STILL DOES NOT SWITCH GEARS RIGHT AND THE CHECK ENGINE LIGHT NEVER GOES OFF!!! I AM NOW GONNA SUE THE HELL OUT OF CHRYSLER!!
11240474	FIAT	500	2012	07/10/19	07/29/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 FIAT 500. THE CONTACT STATED WHILE IN STANDSTILL TRAFFIC, ANOTHER VEHICLE DRIVING AT 45 MPH REAR ENDED THE CONTACT, CAUSING HIS VEHICLE TO PUSH FORWARD AND CRASH INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE CONTACT STATED THAT AFTER THE CRASH AN ERROR MESSAGE ON THE DASHBOARD "FAULTY AIR BAGS" DISPLAYED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED NECK AND SHOULDER INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT (NCC# 9650) WAS FILED. THE CONTACT WAS ABLE TO DRIVE THE VEHICLE TO HIS RESIDENCE. THE CONTACT STATED THE VEHICLE FAILED TO START AND WAS NOT DRIVABLE. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED AS OF YET. A DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 74,000. *DT*JB
11292488	JEEP	WRANGLER	2016	12/10/19	01/01/20	I WAS TRAVELING DOWN A CITY STREET AND THE PERSON COMING THE OTHER DIRECTION CROSSED THE DOUBLE YELLOW LINE. WE COLLIDED HEAD ON AND MY AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. I HAD RECENTLY REPLACED THE AIRBAG DUE TO A RECALL FROM THE MANUFACTURER. THIS ACCIDENT RESULTED IN MY VEHICLE BEING TOTALED. FURTHERMORE I RECEIVED SEVERAL INJURIES INCLUDING A CONCUSSION AND WHIPLASH. THE AIRBAG DID DEPLOY ON THE KIA THAT HIT ME.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11300488	JEEP	COMPASS	2017	01/20/20	01/20/20	I TRAVELING AT A SPEED OF APPROXIMATELY 55MPH WHEN A DEER JUMPED ONTO THE ROAD. I HIT THE DEER SMASHING THE FRONT END OF MY 2107 JEEP COMPASS. MOST OF THE DAMAGE IS ON THE DRIVERS SIDE FRONT END & DRIVERS SIDE FRONT QUARTER PANEL. MY VEHICLE SHUT DOWN HOWEVER I WAS SURPRISED AFTER HITTING THE DEER THAT MY AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY.
11301047	JEEP	COMPASS	2012	01/18/20	01/22/20	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 JEEP COMPASS. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH DROVE OVER BLACK ICE AND LOSS CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO AN EMBANKMENT, ROLLED OVER TWICE AND CRASHED INTO ANOTHER EMBANKMENT THAT BROUGHT THE VEHICLE TO A STOP. THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER SIDE AIR BAGS DEPLOYED HOWEVER THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THERE WERE NO WARNING LIGHTS ILLUMINATED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE HEAD AND WAS TAKEN TO THE HOSPITAL FOR MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT LOT. THE INSURANCE DEEMED VEHICLE A TOTAL LOSS AND REFERRED THE CONTACT TO NHTSA. THE LOCAL DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT CONTACTED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 89,000. *DT *JB

EXHIBIT 2

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10561845	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	01/03/14	01/28/14	I TOOK THE EXIT ON 605 NORTH AND STOP AT THE LIGHT TO TURN RIGHT BECAUSE THERE IS A NO TURN ON RED SIGN. AS THE LIGHT TURNED GREEN, I START THE VEHICLE TO TURN RIGHT THEN GOT HIT ON THE DRIVER SIDE UP TO THE FRONT END. THE OTHER VEHICLE RAN THE RED LIGHT AND HIS SPEED WAS ABOUT 45-50 MPH. MY CAR GOT HIT HARD AT THE FRONT AND TURNED 180 DEGREE, NONE OF THE AIRBAGS WAS DEPLOYED. AS A SAFETY CONCERN, I WOULD LIKE TO FILE A COMPLAINT AS I AM GONNA HAVE A BABY SOON THIS YEAR 2014. WHAT IF THAT ANOTHER ACCIDENT OCCUR AND THE BABY OR MY SPOUSE IN THE CAR WITH ME? *TR
10577996	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	04/03/14	04/07/14	I WAS INVOLVED IN A T-BONE COLLISION WITH ANOTHER VEHICLE THAT RAN A REDLIGHT. WHILE I WAS TRAVELING AT APPROXIMATELY 20-25 MPH, THE VEHICLE I COLLIDED WITH WAS TRAVELING AT APPROXIMATELY 35-45 MPH. MY FRONT BUMPER WAS TORN OFF IN THE COLLISION AS WELL AS BOTH HEADLIGHTS AND THE GRILL WAS DAMAGED. I WAS THE ONLY OCCUPANT OF THE VEHICLE. THE DRIVER AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY DESPITE THE APPARENT SEVERITY OF THE CRASH. MILEAGE AT TIME OF INCIDENT IS ESTIMATED AS THE VEHICLE IS CURRENTLY IN FOR REPAIR. *TR
10618696	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2012	09/06/11	08/04/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 HYUNDAI SONATA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THE BRAKING SYSTEM FAILED TO ENGAGE. THE CONTACT APPLIED THE EMERGENCY BRAKE AND THE VEHICLE SKIDDED. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A MEDIAN. THE DRIVER SIDE AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED BRAIN AND BACK INJURIES AND THE REAR PASSENGER SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE HANDS AND SHOULDER, WHO BOTH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 50,000.
10671243	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	12/15/14	01/09/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF THE CONTACTS VEHICLE. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE AND THE SEAT BELT BECAME UNLATCHED. THE AIR BAGS ALSO FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED NECK, BACK AND SHOULDER INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 112,000. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE. UPDATED 3/13/15*CN UPDATED 03/26/15*JB
10690546	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	02/19/15	02/25/15	I WAS IN A CAR WRECK AND THE AIR BAGS DID NOT GO OFF..
10914378	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	08/01/16	10/06/16	ON 8/1/2016, I WAS INVOLVED IN A CAR ACCIDENT AND MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I WAS ON A CITY STREET AT 5:00AM. THE POSTED SPEED WAS 35 MPH, I WAS HEADED WESTBOUND ON 79TH ST IN CHICAGO ILLINOIS AND THE OTHER CAR WAS HEADED EASTBOUND. THE OTHER CAR CAME OVER INTO MY LANE OF TRAFFIC, COMING HEAD ON TO ME, I STARTED BLOWING MY HORN TRYING TO GET HIS ATTENTION TO AVOID THE ACCIDENT. AFTER I SAW THAT HE WAS NOT TRYING TO CHANGE HIS COURSE OF DIRECTION I MOVE SLIGHTLY TOWARD MY RIGHT TO AVOID THE COLLISION, BUT WAS UNSUCCESSFUL. THE OTHER VEHICLE HIT ME STARTING ON THE DRIVER BACK SIDE, MY CAR WAS FORCED OVER THE CURB DUE TO THE IMPACT AND PUSHED ONTO THE SIDE WALK. BEING PUSHED ONTO THE SIDE WALK MY CAR SUSTAINED DAMAGE FROM HITTING A ROD IRON FENCE ON THE RIGHT PASSENGER SIDE AND DAMAGE TO THE DRIVER FRONT AS WELL, MY BACK BUMPER WAS TORN OFF AS WELL FROM THE IMPACT OF THE CRASH. MY BUMPER WAS SEVERAL FEET AWAY FROM WHERE MY CAR WAS AT IT STAND POINT. MY CAR WAS TOTALED DUE TO THE DAMAGE IT HAD SUSTAINED, MY AXLES WERE BROKEN ON MY CAR AND THE OTHER INDIVIDUAL CAR AXLE APPEARED TO BE BROKEN AS WELL. THE INDIVIDUAL THAT HIT ME HIS AIRBAGS HAD DEPLOYED DUE TO THE DAMAGE AND MY AIRBAGS HAD NOT DEPLOYED, MY VEHICLE SUSTAINED MORE VISIBLE DAMAGE MORE SO THEN THE OTHER VEHICLE,

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10966365	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2015	12/07/16	03/16/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2015 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE THE CONTACT'S WIFE WAS DRIVING 51 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE COLLIDED HEAD ON INTO THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE FRONT PASSENGER AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE REAR MIDDLE SEAT PASSENGER SUFFERED SEVERE SPINAL CORD INJURIES (INTERNAL DECAPITATION). THE DRIVER SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE SHOULDER, ANKLE, FOOT WRIST, HAND, AND HIPS. THE CONTACT WAS SITTING IN THE FRONT PASSENGER SEAT AND SUFFERED INJURIES TO THE HEAD, LEG, ANKLE, ABRASIONS, A BLOOD CLOT, LUNG FAILURE, A VERTEBRAL FRACTURE, A BROKEN ARM/FOREARM, AND WRIST. THE SEAT BELT SEVERED THE CONTACT'S ARTERY. THE DRIVER OF THE OTHER VEHICLE ALSO SUSTAINED SEVERE INJURIES. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED FOR ALL OCCUPANTS AND THEY WERE TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL. THE DRIVER OF THE OTHER VEHICLE AND THE REAR MIDDLE SEAT PASSENGER IN THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE LATER SUCCEMDED TO THEIR INJURIES. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOW YARD WHERE THE POLICE AND INSURANCE COMPANY INSPECTED THE VEHICLE AND CONFIRMED THAT IT WAS DESTROYED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 25,000. ...UPDATED 05/03/17 *BF *AS
10991216	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	05/22/17	05/23/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 55 MPH IN WET CONDITIONS, THE VEHICLE HYDROPLANED. THE CONTACT LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND STRUCK A GUARDRAIL AND FENCE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED AND THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A LOCAL SHOP (JOHNNIE'S BODY SHOP, NORWOOD DR., WALLACE, NORTH CAROLINA 910-285-3876). THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. NEITHER THE DEALER NOR THE MANUFACTURER WERE MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN.
11043971	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	11/03/17	11/06/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 65 MPH, THE VEHICLE INDEPENDENTLY JERKED TO THE RIGHT AND CLIPPED A SEMI TRUCK. THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS PULLED UNDER THE SEMI AND DRAGGED FOR 100 YARDS. PRIOR TO THE FAILURE, THE ESC AND TPMS INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. THE CONTACT ALSO NOTICED AN ABNORMAL BURNING ODOR. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A SALVAGE YARD AND DEEMED DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 102,000.
11109647	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	07/03/18	07/05/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 40 MPH, THE CONTACT SWERVED TO AVOID A DEER. AS A RESULT, THE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE STRUCK A TREE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE CONTACT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V137000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE RIGHT ARM AND NECK THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOW YARD AND DEEMED A TOTAL LOSS. THE DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 74,000.
11110375	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	06/01/18	07/09/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 75 MPH, THE CONTACT FELL ASLEEP AND CRASHED INTO A HIGHWAY BARRIER AND A CEMENT POST. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE NECK, COLLAR BONE, AND BACK THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC. THE LOCAL DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 100,000. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11111515	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	07/02/18	07/16/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 55 MPH AND ATTEMPTING TO AVOID A HEAD ON COLLISION, THE CONTACT VEERED TO THE LEFT LANE AND CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A CONCUSSION THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN AUTO BODY SHOP TO REPAIR THE FRONT END DAMAGE TO THE VEHICLE. THE AIR BAG FAILURE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE CONTACT CALLED KEY HYUNDAI OF MANCHESTER AT (860) 643-2700 (LOCATED AT 21 HARTFORD TURNPIKE, VERNON, CT 06066). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE CONTACT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V137000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 97,000.
11111752	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	05/18/18	07/16/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 35 MPH DOWNHILL, A DEER SUDDENLY APPEARED IN THE ROAD. THE CONTACT ATTEMPTED TO AVOID THE ANIMAL BY STEERING TO THE RIGHT. THE VEHICLE DROVE INTO A DITCH AND FLIPPED OVER FOUR TIMES. THE CONTACT STATED THAT NONE OF THE FRONTAL OR SIDE AIR BAGS DEPLOYED. THE POLICE ARRIVED AND FILED A REPORT. THE CONTACT SUFFERED A STRAINED UPPER AND LOWER BACK AND AN ANKLE CONTUSION, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE VEHICLE WAS DEEMED DESTROYED PER THE INSURANCE COMPANY AND WAS TOWED TO A LOT. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 79,000.
11113831	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2014	05/26/18	07/26/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2014 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 60 MPH, TWO DEER SUDDENLY LANDED ON THE HOOD AND TWO OTHER DEER COLLIDED AGAINST THE DRIVER SIDE DOOR. NONE OF THE FRONTAL OR SIDE AIR BAGS DEPLOYED AND THE FRONT DRIVER AND PASSENGER SEAT BELTS DID NOT RESTRAIN THE OCCUPANTS. NO INJURIES WERE SUSTAINED AND A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN TO THE CONTACT 'S RESIDENCE. APPROXIMATELY FOUR DAYS LATER, THE ENGINE SEIZED. THE CONTACT ALSO MENTIONED THAT HE RECEIVED A RECALL NOTIFICATION FOR NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBERS: 17V226000 (ENGINE AND ENGINE COOLING) AND 17V152000 (SEAT BELTS). THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO THE DEALER (AUTONATION HYUNDAI CORPUS CHRISTI TEXAS, 6686 S PADRE ISLAND DR., CORPUS CHRISTI, TX, (361) 444-0156) WHERE THE ENGINE WAS REPLACED PER THE RECALL; HOWEVER, THE CAUSE OF THE AIR BAG FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED AND THE SEAT BELT RECALL WAS NOT PERFORMED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 80,000.
11140564	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	10/09/18	10/16/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 30 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE REAR ENDED ANOTHER VEHICLE, WHICH THEN STRUCK A THIRD VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THERE WERE A TOTAL OF FOUR INJURIES. NONE OF THE INJURIES REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO SUPERIOR HYUNDAI SOUTH (238 W MITCHELL AVE, CINCINNATI, OH 45232, (513) 598-8700) WHERE IT WAS DECLARED TOTALED AND TOWED TO A SALVAGE YARD. THE CONTACT CALLED THE MANUFACTURER REGARDING NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V137000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS), BUT THEY REJECTED THE CONTACT'S CLAIM FOR A RECALL REPAIR. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 84,000.
11153247	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	11/13/18	11/22/18	I WAS INVOLVED IN A MAJOR ACCIDENT ON 11-13-18 INVOLVING SOMEONE REAR ENDING ME FROM BEHIND. I WAS DRIVING DOWN THE STREET WITH TRAFFIC WHEN I WAS HIT , I ENDED UP HITTING THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME AND SO ON. IT ENDED UP BEING A 4 CAR COLLISION AND MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY ! CAUSING MY FACE TO SMASH AGAINST THE STEERING WHEEL . NOW I HAVE PERSONAL INJURIES INVOLVING MY BACK AND NECK. I DO NOT THINK I WASNT INFORMED IN A TIMELY MATTER OF THE RECALL CAMP 174. THIS COULD HAVE BEEN MUCH WORSE. PLEASE ADVISE

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11156730	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2012	11/21/18	12/07/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING AT AN UNKNOWN SPEED, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A DEER. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V137000 (SEAT BELT, AIR BAGS). A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES SUSTAINED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A LOCAL COLLISION CENTER FOR DIAGNOSTIC TESTING. IT WAS NOT YET DETERMINED IF THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER FOR DIAGNOSTIC TESTING. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 165,444.
11160781	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	09/01/18	12/11/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 50 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A MEDIAN. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER SUSTAINED UNKNOWN INJURIES THAT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO ALL STAR TOYOTA OF BATON ROUGE (9150 AIRLINE HWY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70815, (225) 925-2525) WHERE THE VEHICLE WAS DIAGNOSED AND REPAIRED; HOWEVER, THE DETAILS WERE UNKNOWN. THE CONTACT ALSO HEARD AN ABNORMAL GRINDING NOISE. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO ALL STAR HYUNDAI OF BATON ROUGE (10313 AIRLINE HWY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70816, (225) 274-0071) WHERE IT WAS DIAGNOSED THAT THE AXLE NEEDED TO BE REPLACED. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED, BUT THE DEALER STATED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS UNSAFE TO DRIVE. THE MANUFACTURER WAS CONTACTED AND PROVIDED CASE NUMBER: 11577274. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 153,000.
11182813	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	02/26/19	02/27/19	DRIVER DOOR COLLISION. NO AIRBAG DEPLOYED.
11186287	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	12/03/15	03/12/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS AT A STOP, TWO OTHER VEHICLES WERE INVOLVED IN A CRASH. AS A RESULT, ONE OF THOSE VEHICLES CRASHED HEAD-ON INTO THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES THAT LATER REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED AND TOWED TO A BODY SHOP. THE DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED BY THE OTHER DRIVER'S INSURANCE COMPANY. THE CONTACT LATER RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V137000 (SEAT BELTS, AIR BAGS). THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO FOLSOM LAKE HYUNDAI (916-293-4760, LOCATED AT 12530 AUTO MALL CIR, FOLSOM, CA 95630) WHERE THE RECALL REPAIR WAS COMPLETED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 60,000.
11185315	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2014	01/04/19	03/14/19	2014 HYUNDAI SONATA. CONSUMER WRITES IN REGARDS TO AIRBAG NOT ENGAGING DURING AN AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT. *LD *TR
11207275	HYUNDAI	SONATA HYBRID	2018	04/19/19	05/13/19	MY 2018 HYUNDAI SONATA HYBRID LIMITED WAS INVOLVED IN A MVA ON 4:19/19. IT WAS A FRONT RIGHT IMPACT THAT INCLUDED THE PASSENGER SIDE, RIGHT FRONT TIRE AND RIM AND THE PASSENGER DOOR. IT WAS A HARD IMPACT. NONE OF THE AIRBAGS DEPLOYED. I WAS DRIVING STRAIGHT AT ABOUT 45 MILES PER HOUR ON AN 8 LANE DIVIDED CITY HIGHWAY. THE OTHER VEHICLE CAME OUT OF A SHOPPING CENTER TO THE RIGHT, CAME OVER 2 LANES THEN HIT ME AS I WAS DRIVING IN THE 3. THE OTHER DRIVER WAS GIVEN A TICKET FOR AN IMPROPER LANE CHANGE, CAME INTO MY LANE AND HIT MY VEHICLE.
11208091	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	05/12/19	05/16/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE DRIVING 50 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED HEAD ON INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE WAS TOTALED AND TOWED TO AN INSURANCE COMPANY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUFFERED INJURIES AND RECEIVED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE DEALER AND MANUFACTURER WERE NOT NOTIFIED. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 102,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11208630	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	05/18/19	05/20/19	I WAS DRIVING SOUTH BOUND ON I-355 IN DUPAGE COUNTY WHEN I WAS ABOUT TO SWITCH OVER FROM THE 3RD FROM RIGHT LANE ONTO THE 4TH FROM RIGHT LANE TO EXIT WHEN THE LEFT BACK OF MY CAR GOT HIT CAUSING ME TO DO A 180 SPIN INTO THE MIDDLE OF LANE TWO FROM LEFT HITTING ANOTHER VEHICLE BUT NO AIR BAGS DEPLOYED OUT. IDK IF ITS RULED A TOTAL LOST OR NOT. INSURANCE HAVE TO GET BACK TO ME. ME AND MY 2YR DAUGHTER WAS IN THE CAR
11218278	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2014	01/16/18	06/06/19	LOST CONTROL OF CAR ON MINOR ICE PATCH ON THE INTERSTATE. WHEN IT FINALLY CAUGHT TRACTION, IT WAS SLUNG INTO THE SIDE OF A SEMI TRUCK TRAVELING AT 75 MPH, BUT THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE DRIVER WAS INJURED, THE FRONT END WAS DESTROYED, AND THE CAR WAS TOTALED. CAN OBTAIN CRASH REPORT AND BETTER PHOTOS IF NEEDED, JUST DON'T HAVE THEM ON ME AT THE MOMENT.
11232616	HYUNDAI	SONATA HYBRID	2011	05/07/19	07/16/19	I HAD A TERRIBLE ACCIDENT ON HIGHWAY. ANOTHER CAR HIT ME ON MY RIGHT SIDE. I WAS DRIVING WITH 60 MILE/ HOURS. I DEVIATE FROM THE ROAD AND THAT CAR HIT FEW MORE TIMES. MY CAR WAS DISTROYED. OK THIS ACCIDENT THE AIR BAGS DIDNT DEPLOY. THE SEAT BELT PROTECTED. EVEN THAT I DIDNT BROKE ANY BONE I HURT REALLY BED MY LEFT SHOULDER, LEFT HIP AND ALSO I HIT MY HEAD DURING THIS ACCIDENT.
11235075	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2016	07/21/19	07/26/19	HEAD ON COLLISION WITH A DEER, GOING 70MPH ON HIGHWAY 49. NO AIR BAGS RELEASED. AFTER TAKING CAR TO DEALERSHIP, FROM RECEIVING A LETTER OF RECALL IN THE MAIL. MOTOR WOULD SHUT DOWN WHEN REACHING 65 MPH, BEFORE TAKING CAR TO DEALERSHIP FOR RECAL. CAR WAS IN MOTION ON THE HIGHWAY.
11290285	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2019	10/10/19	12/20/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2019 HYUNDAI SONATA. WHILE THE CONTACT WAS PULLING INTO AN INTERSECTION, A SECOND VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT DRIVER SIDE OF HIS VEHICLE. THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED; HOWEVER, THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED BROKEN RIBS, AND INJURIES TO THE LEG, HEAD, AND ARM. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED AND POLICE REPORT NUMBER: [XXX] WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED FROM THE SCENE. BROADWAY AUTOMOTIVE (1010 S. MILITARY AVE, GREEN BAY, WI) AND THE MANUFACTURER WERE NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 3,500. *DT (PARTS OF THIS DOCUMENT HAVE BEEN REDACTED TO PROTECT PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION PURSUANT TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552(B)(6).)*JB
11291530	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	12/26/19	12/27/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 HYUNDAI SONATA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER SIDE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE DRIVER SWERVED TO THE RIGHT TO AVOID A CRASH. AS A RESULT, THE VEHICLE DROVE IN A DITCH. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN OUT OF THE DITCH AND CRASHED INTO A POLE. THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER SIDE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUFFERED INJURIES TO THE FACE, MOUTH, CHIN, NECK, AND A BRUISED FOREHEAD. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED. POLICE REPORT NUMBER: 2019-4834 WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED. THE MANUFACTURER AND DEALER WERE NOT CONTACTED. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 93,000.
11301138	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2011	01/20/20	01/22/20	VEHICLE ROLLOVER CRASH OCCURRED MONDAY, 1/20/2020 WHILE DRIVING APPROX 45 MPH AFTER MISSING A CURVE IN THE ROAD. NO AIRBAGS DEPLOYED (DRIVER, PASSENGER, NOR SIDE) NOR DID THE SEAT BELTS PROPERLY RESTRAIN OCCUPANTS (DRIVER NOR 3 PASSENGERS).
11307272	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2013	05/21/19	02/05/20	I HAD AM ACCIDENT AND WAS HIT HARD AND DAMAGE TO PASSENGER SIDE AN THE AIRBAGS DIDNT GO OFF. I WAS IN MOTION ON US 19 HIGHWAY.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11309986	HYUNDAI	SONATA	2019	12/17/19	02/18/20	WHILE TRAVELING IN ONE DIRECTION, AN ONCOMING VEHICLE ATTEMPTED TO U-TURN IN AN INTERSECTION WITH NO TRAFFIC LIGHTS OR STOP SIGNS. THEY HIT MY CAR IN THE FRONT DRIVER'S SIDE, CAUSING ENOUGH DAMAGE FOR THE VEHICLE TO BE TOWED AWAY AND TOTALED. IN THIS CRASH THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THERE WAS NO PREVIOUS INDICATION THAT THE AIRBAGS WERE FAULTY, NO RECALL, AND THE VEHICLE WAS BRAND NEW AT TIME OF PURCHASE 7 MONTHS PRIOR TO THE INCIDENT. LUCKILY, I WAS NOT INJURED ALTHOUGH MY HAND DID HIT THE STEERING WHEEL OR WINDOW (OR SOMETHING IN THAT VICINITY), IT WAS TOO QUICK TO REALLY REALIZE WHAT SPECIFICALLY I HIT.

EXHIBIT 3

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10515051	KIA	FORTE	2010	06/04/13	06/04/13	<p>WAS PULLING OUT OF A PARKING LOT,WHEN I CRASHED INTO A CAR THAT WAS GOING ABOUT 50. MY FRONT DRIVERS SIDE HEADLIGHT WAS SMASHED IN, RADIATOR WAS PUSHED INTO THE ENGINE BLOCK,THE FRAME WAS BENT,TORE OFF MY BUMMER, FOLDED MY HOOD AND BROKE MY WINDSHIELD..THE AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED.. *TR</p>
10523248	KIA	OPTIMA	2013	06/29/13	07/05/13	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 KIA OPTIMA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE DRIVER CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE DRIVER WAS TAKEN TO A HOSPITAL FOR TREATMENT OF NECK INJURIES. IT WAS UNKNOWN IF A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO AN IMPOUND LOT. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED FOR THE CAUSE OF THE AIR BAG FAILURE. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE AND CURRENT MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE. *TR</p>
10543930	KIA	FORTE	2011	09/10/13	09/16/13	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 KIA FORTE. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE SITTING AT A COMPLETE STOP, ANOTHER VEHICLE TRAVELING 60 MPH CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF THE CONTACTS VEHICLE. THE IMPACT CAUSED THE CONTACTS VEHICLE TO BE PUSHED FORWARD AT APPROXIMATELY TWO HUNDRED FEET AND INTO THE REAR OF ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE DRIVERS SIDE HEAD REST AND METAL BAR BECAME SEPARATED UPON IMPACT. THE CONTACT SUFFERED FROM WHIPLASH, NECK STRAINS, AND LACERATIONS TO THE LOWER BACK AND RIGHT LEG. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. IN ADDITION, THE DRIVER AND PASSENGERS SIDE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE AND CURRENT MILEAGE WAS 35,000.</p>
10578443	KIA	OPTIMA	2013	01/11/14	04/09/14	<p>I WAS EXITING TO TURN RIGHT ONTO THE STREET WHEN I LOOKED DOWN FOR A FEW SECONDS AND HIT THE MEDIAN HEAD ON. THERE WAS A BIG TERRA-COTTA POT WITH A TREE PLANTED IN IT THAT I HIT HARD. IT RIPPED THE FRONT BOTTOM PART OF MY CAR OFF AND BROKE MY TRANSAXLE. IT WOULDN'T DRIVE ANYMORE AND IT TOTALED MY CAR. NONE OF THE AIRBAGS EVER CAME OUT EVEN WHEN I HIT HEAD ON. I HAD TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL FOR A CSCAN. I ENDED UP WITH A CONCUSSION FOR ALMOST THREE MONTHS AND STILL HAVE A KNOT ON MY HEAD. I WAS ALSO WEARING MY SEAT BELT. *TR</p>
10644010	KIA	OPTIMA	2013	09/24/14	10/11/14	<p>I WAS INVOLVED IN A MVA ACCIDENT ON 9/24/2014. I WAS STOPPED AT A LIGHT, FACING EAST, TURNING TO GO NORTH, IN SC IA A MAN RAN A RED LIGHT, COMING WESTBOUND AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED HIT THE TRAFFIC GOING SOUTH BOUND WHICH IN TURN THE 1993 FORD EXPLORER HIT ME HEAD ON. PUSHED MY 2013 KIA OPTIMA 40FT FROM THE LIGHT. THE IMPACT WAS PRETTY INTENSE AND I WAS HIT HEAD ON AND NO AIR BAGS DEPLOYED, AS I LOOKED IN THE BACK SEAT MY BACK SEATS WERE LAYING DOWN, BEFORE THE IMPACT THEY WERE IN A UP POSITION AND FULLY LOCKED..UPDATED 10/17/14 *BF UPDATED 3/30/15 *JS *TR</p>
10658791	KIA	SEDONA	2012	03/07/13	11/18/14	<p>TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2012 KIA SEDONA. WHILE DRIVING 30 MPH IN RAINY WEATHER CONDITIONS, THE VEHICLE VEERED TO THE RIGHT, CRASHED INTO A CURB, AND ROLLED OVER. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE REAR PASSENGER SUSTAINED A CHIN INJURY THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 2,000. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE.</p>
10672244	KIA	FORTE	2010	01/11/15	01/13/15	<p>THIS IS THE 2ND HEAD ON COLLISION I'VE HAD WITH THE KIA FORTE AND ON BOTH INCIDENTS MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THIS CONCERNS ME. ALSO THE CAR JUMPS INTO SHIFT AND THE BRAKE LIGHTS DON'T ALWAYS WORK. *TR</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10681719	KIA	FORTE	2010	02/03/15	02/06/15	2010 KIA FORTE REAR ENDED A 2012 TOYOTA VENZA WHILE TRAVELING AT APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH ON WET ASPHALT PAVEMENT. UPON COLLISION, THE AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY AND SEAT BELT RESTRAINT FAILED TO HOLD BACK DRIVER OF THE KIA. DRIVERS FOREHEAD HIT AND BENT STEERING WHEEL AND CAUSED MAJOR FRONT END DAMAGE TO THE KIA AND CONSIDERABLY LESS DAMAGE TO THE TOYOTA VENZA. KIA WAS NOT DRIVABLE, SO IT WAS TAKEN TO A SALVAGE YARD OF A FLAT BED TRUCK. DRIVER OF KIA WAS TAKEN TO HOSPITAL FOR X-RAYS AND EVALUATION. DRIVER OF KIA SUFFER NECK\BACK PAIN, BRUISED FOREHEAD AND HEAD ACHE AND WAS PRESCRIBED PAIN PILLS & ANTI INFLAMMATORY MEDICATION. MY GREATEST CONCERN IS THAT I OWN TWO KIA'S, ONE FOR EACH OF MY COLLAGE AGE KIDS AND FEAR THAT THE SAME OUTCOME MAY OCCUR AGAIN WITH DIRE CONSEQUENCES. FAILURE OF THE AIR BAG DEPLOYMENT AND SEAT BELT RESTRAINT MUST BE ADDRESSED AND CORRECTED BY KIA BEFORE MORE INJURIES OCCUR. .. UPDATED 02/19/15 *BF UPDATED 3/30/2016 *JS UPDATED 9/20/2017*CN
10690968	KIA	FORTE	2010	01/18/15	02/26/15	I WAS SITTING AT A STOP SIGN WAITING TO MAKE A LEFT HAND TURN WHEN AN SUV HEADING NORTH MADE A LEFT HAND TURN ONTO THE STREET I WAS ON IN FRONT OF ANOTHER SUV, THE SUV MAKING THE LEFT TURN WAS BROADSIDED BY THE OTHER SUV AND THEN THROWN INTO THE FRONT OF MY CAR, MY CAR WAS THEN THROWN INTO THE SUV BEHIND ME. NONE OF THE AIRBAGS IN MY CAR DEPLOYED AT THE TIME OF THE ACCIDENT. I INJURED MY LEFT SHOULDER, MY CAR WAS CONSIDERED A TOTAL LOSS BECAUSE THE FRONT RAILS WERE NOT REPAIRABLE AND I HAVE LEARNED THAT I TORE THE ROTATOR CUFF IN MY LEFT SHOULDER AND REQUIRED SURGERY TO FIX IT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW A HIT THIS HARD THAT WOULD CAUSE THIS TYPE OF DAMAGE TO MY VEHICLE WOULD NOT CAUSE THE AIRBAGS TO DEPLOY....UPDATED 03-11-15 *BF....UPDATED 12/30/15 *BF UPDATED 9/26/2017*CN
10781050	KIA	FORTE	2012	07/28/13	10/09/15	THE CAR WAS INVOLVED IN A SERIOUS FRONTAL IMPACT AND THE FRONT SEAT AIRBAGS DID NOT GO OFF. THE PASSENGER WAS KILLED AND THE DRIVER WAS SERIOUSLY INJURED. KIA WAS INFORMED AND THE AIRBAG CONTROL MODULE WAS TESTED AND FOUND TO BE NOT WORKING.
11018775	KIA	FORTE	2013	08/16/17	08/22/17	AIR BAGS AND SEAT BELTS DID NOT WORK DURING AN ACCIDENT. CAR WAS TRAVELING 40 MHP ON A CITY STREET WHEN IT STRUCK ANOTHER CARE FROM BEHIND. FRONT DRIVER AND PASSENGER SEAT BELTS DID NOT LOCK AND AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY RESULTING IN FACIAL INJURIES AND CONCUSSION FOR DRIVER AND PASSENGER.
11019598	KIA	OPTIMA	2016	08/07/17	08/25/17	TL* THE CONTACT RENTED A 2016 KIA OPTIMA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH, THE VEHICLE HYDROPLANED OVER A POCKET OF WATER. AS A RESULT, THE VEHICLE CRASHED INTO A POLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED FACIAL INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOWING LOT, AND WAS LATER TOWED TO ENTERPRISE CAR RENTAL. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 34,202. *LN *TR
11105328	KIA	FORTE	2013	04/06/18	07/03/18	I HAD AN ACCIDENT IN APRIL WHERE MY ENTIRE FRONT END WAS DAMAGED. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELT DID NOT CATCH ME CAUSING ME TO SMASH MY FACE INTO THE STEERING WHEEL.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11129933	KIA	FORTE	2013	07/28/18	09/17/18	I WAS TRAVELING AT A SPEED OF 40MPH, ON A TWO LANE STATE HIGHWAY, WHEN A VEHICLE VEERED INTO MY LANE GOING THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION AND HIT THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME. THE VEHICLE IN FRONT OF ME WAS PUSHED INTO THE FRONT PASSENGER SIDE WHEEL. THE FRONT CORNER PANEL WAS DAMAGED UPON IMPACT, AND MY CAR TOTALED, BUT MY AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. I TOOK THE FULL BRUNT OF THE BLOW, AND HAD NECK, BACK, AND KNEE PROBLEMS DUE TO THE ACCIDENT. COLLISION REPORT INFORMATION: DATE 7/28/2018 TIME: 0442 NCIC NUMBER: 9655 OFFICER'S ID NUMBER: 19234
11130355	KIA	FORTE	2011	07/11/13	09/20/18	I HAD A FALSE SENSE OF SECURITY& SAFETY BEHIND THE WHEEL OF MY 2011 KIA FORTE WHEN I WAS SUDDENLY FACING A HABITUAL DRUNK DRIVER, CREAMING US HEAD-ON AND MY AIRBAGS FATO DEPLOY. I HAVE UNDERGONE 8 SURGERIES TO TRY TO REPAIR MY BROKEN BODY. I LOST MY FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE ORGANS BECAUSE MY AIRBAGS FAILED ME. IS THERE A MONETARY VALUE TO KIA'S RESPONSIBILITY?
11131971	KIA	FORTE	2011	09/18/18	09/27/18	OTHER AIR BAG RECALL. THE VEHICLE WAS IN MOTION AND WAS STRUCK BY ANOTHER VEHICLE THAT TRAVELED LEFT OF THE CENTERLINE ON A TWO LANE ROADWAY. THE VEHICLES WERE INVOLVED IN AN OFF-SET, HEAD-ON COLLISION. THE DRIVER AIR BAG AND DRIVER SEAT BELT PRETENSIONER FAILED TO DEPLOY / ACTIVATE DURING THE SEVERE FRONTAL IMPACT. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED FATAL INJURY.
11142259	KIA	FORTE	2010	09/05/18	10/23/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2010 KIA FORTE. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 60 MPH, THE DRIVER CRASHED INTO A DEER. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES SUSTAINED. THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC FOR REPAIRS. THE DEALER (DENNIS EAKIN KIA, LOCATED AT 5200 EAST CENTRAL TEXAS EXPY, KILLEEN, TEXAS 76543, (254) 699-2909) WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE AND STATED THAT THERE WAS NO RECALL ON THE VEHICLE. THE CONTACT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 18V363000 (AIR BAGS) ON JUNE 20, 2018. THE FAILURE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 150,000.
11150286	KIA	FORTE	2012	08/01/18	11/09/18	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT WHERE I WAS REAR ENDED AND THEN SMASHED INTO THE VEHICLE IN FRONT OF ME. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT INFLATE AND THE SEAT BELT DID NOT LOCK IN PLACE. I WAS TRAVELING ON A HIGHWAY. MY VEHICLE WAS IN MOTION AT THE TIME OF THE ACCIDENT. THERE IS AN ACTIVE RECALL ON THE KIA AND IT WAS PART OF THE RECALL.
11174482	KIA	FORTE	2011	05/25/18	02/05/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2011 KIA FORTE. WHILE DRIVING 35 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS STRUCK BY AN ONCOMING VEHICLE TRAVELING AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED. THE DRIVERS FRONTAL AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUFFERED A CUT TO THE HEAD, AND INJURIES TO THE LEGS AND HIP. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS REQUIRED. POLICE REPORT NUMBER: 1820224 WAS FILED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS CONTACTED, BUT THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND DEEMED DESTROYED. THE CAUSE OF THE AIR BAG FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 32,000.
11183175	KIA	OPTIMA	2015	09/05/18	02/28/19	THIS CAR HAS BEEN IN 2 FRONT END COLLISIONS BOTH AT DIFFERENT RATES OF SPEED. THE AIR BAGS HAVE NEVER DEPLOYED. IT WAS FIXED BOTH TIMES BY PROFESSIONAL SHOPS AND INSPECTED BY A KIA CARLOTTA WHERE THEY CLEARED CODES ON FAULTY AIR BAGS AND TOLD ME IT WAS NO BIG DEAL. I WANT THIS MATTER LOOKED INTO FURTHER. I HAVE CHILDREN AND NEED MY AIR BAGS TO WORK.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11185463	KIA	OPTIMA HYBRID	2012	07/05/18	03/08/19	I WAS INVOLVED IN A HIGH SPEED, REAR END COLLISION IN WHICH I WAS TRAVELING AT APPROX 55MPH WHEN ANOTHER LARGE VEHICLE CHANGED LANES FROM RIGHT TO LEFT, RIGHT IN FRONT OF ME CAUSING ME TO COLLIDE WITH THEM. THE RESULT WAS SIGNIFICANT FRONT END DAMAGE TO MY CAR AND SIGNIFICANT BODILY INJURY TO MYSELF BECAUSE OF THE FAILURE OF MY BRAKES TO ADEQUATELY STOP MY CAR AND THE FAILURE OF THE AIR BAGS TO DEPLOY RESULTING IN MY BODY AND HEAD RAPIDLY FLYING FORWARD INTO THE STEERING WHEEL.
11210649	KIA	OPTIMA	2015	03/24/19	05/29/19	I WAS TRAVELING EAST ON A 4 LANE ROAD AT 45 MPH. AS I WAS PASSING THRU A GREEN LIGHT, A WESTBOUND VEHICLE MADE AN ILLEGAL LEFT TURN IN FRONT OF ME, CAUSING ME TO "T-BONE" HIS VEHICLE. ALL OF HIS AIRBAGS DEPLOYED.....NONE OF MINE DID. THE CAR WAS REPAIRED, SURPRISINGLY; YET I DO NOT FEEL SAFE DRIVING IT. I SUSTAINED CERVICAL AND LUMBAR SPINE INJURIES, AS WELL AS A SEVERE WHIPLASH AND CONCUSSION. I AM UNABLE TO WORK, DUE TO SURGERY THAT WAS NECESSARY. I JUST NEED TO KNOW IF THIS CAR IS SAFE?? I WAS ALSO IN A SIDE COLLISION THAT WAS NOT MY FAULT; TWO YEARS AGO, WHERE SOMEONE HIT ME, AND NO AIRBAGS DEPLOYED. AT THAT PARTICULAR ACCIDENT, I WAS STATIONARY; AT A STOP LIGHT.
11287036	KIA	OPTIMA	2018	11/18/19	12/05/19	ON NOVEMBER 18, 2019 @ 6:38 PM IN PHOENIX, ARIZONA ON 59TH AVE HEADING WEST I WAS IN A VERY TERRIBLE CAR COLLISION WHERE I WAS AT A STAND STILL AT A RED LIGHT AND WAS REAR ENDED BY A TOYOTA TACOMA GOING 45-60 MPH, UPON IMPACT I WAS FORCED FORWARD AND HIT THE BACK OF A FLAT BED TOW TRUCK AND COMPLETELY DESTROYED THE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY UPON IMPACT.

EXHIBIT 4

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10465299	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	06/15/12	07/11/12	THE DRIVER FELL ASLEEP AT THE WHEEL, AWOKE AND TRIED TO CORRECT HIS LANE POSITION. UPON HIS ATTEMPT, THE CAR COULD NOT BE STABILIZED OR CONTROLLED. THIS WAS A FRONT END CRASH AT A SPEED OF APPROXIMATELY 50 - 55 MPH GOING THROUGH A CHAIN LINK FENCE, HITTING HUNDREDS OF STACKED LOBSTER CRATES (LIKE HITTING A BRICK WALL). THE CAR WAS COMPLETELY TOTALED, THE DRIVER HAD SEAT BELT ON. NOT ONE AIR BAG DEPLOYED. THE DRIVER SIDE MIRROR SMASHED THROUGH THE DRIVER SIDE WINDOW AND A PIECE OF WOODEN LOBSTER CRATE WITH NAILS CAME THROUGH THE FRONT WINDSHIELD AND INTO THE VEHICLE. THIS CAUSED SERIOUS INJURY TO THE DRIVER, SEVER FACIAL AND ELBOW LACERATIONS, AND MAJOR AMOUNTS OF GLASS FRAGMENTS IN HIS BODY. THE DRIVER WAS THE ONLY INDIVIDUAL IN THE VEHICLE. *TR
10483711	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2012	10/31/12	11/08/12	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 TOYOTA TACOMA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING 40 MPH, HE CRASHED INTO A TREE AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED BUT THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A BODY SHOP. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS UNKNOWN. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 20,000.
10493277	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2011	01/08/13	01/17/13	I WAS HIT BY A BIG RIG TRAVELING AT APPROXIMATELY 20MPH WHILE STOPPED ON THE HIGHWAY, THE BIG RIGS IMPACT FORCED ME INTO THE BACK OF AN F150XL, CAUSING SIGNIFCANT DAMAGE TO THE FRONT AND REAR OF THE VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. *TR
10501008	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	02/20/13	03/02/13	I BELIEVE THERE IS A SERIOUS SAFETY ISSUE RELATED TO THE PLACEMENT OF THE AIR BAG SENSOR. MY WIFE AND A CO-WORKERS WIFE WERE INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT THAT SEVERELY DEFORMED THE FRONT OF A 2012 TOYOTA COROLLA WITHOUT TRIGGERING THE AIRBAG SENSOR. UPON INSPECTION, IT APPEARS THAT THE PORTION OF THE CAR THAT THE AIRBAG SENSOR IS ATTACHED TO, MOVED OVER A FOOT AND A HALF WITHOUT TRIGGERING THE AIR BAG SENSOR. AS A FORMER ASE MASTER TECHNICIAN AND TECHNICAL EXPERT FOR THE BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU, THIS MAY BE A SERIOUS DESIGN FLAW THAT COULD ENDANGER THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF OTHER 2012 COROLLA OWNERS. I FILE A COMPLAINT WITH TOYOTA USA AND I AM WAITING FOR THEIR RESPONSE. *TR
10521864	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2012	06/05/13	06/26/13	DRIVER SIDE AIRBAG NOT DEPLOY WHEN TRUCK HIT TELEPHONE POLE TRUCK TOTALED. *TR
10543498	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	09/06/13	09/13/13	I REAR ENDED A A CHEVY TAHOE, AT AROUND 20-35 MPH, THE VEHICLE RECEIVED HEAVY DAMAGE IN THE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE WAS DECLARED TOTAL LOSS. I THE DRIVER RECEIVED A CONCUSSION. MY FACED MANAGE TO HIT THE STEERING WHEEL, THE AIR BAGS FROM THIS VEHICLE DID NOT DEPLOY. *TR
10555956	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	12/03/13	12/13/13	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT WAS DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 25 MPH AND LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE, CRASHING INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE FRONT DRIVER'S SIDE AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THERE WAS A POLICE REPORT FILED OF THE INCIDENT BUT NO INJURIES WERE REPORTED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A COLLISION SHOP AND BUT HAD NOT YET BEEN DIAGNOSE OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE PROBLEM. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 16,400.
10560726	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	01/14/14	01/20/14	I INVOLVED IN A CAR ACCIDENT IN JANUARY 14 /2014 WITH A TOYOTA COROLLA 2013 LE. THE IMPACT WAS DAMAGED ALL FRONT OF THE CAR (IN BOTH SIDE) UNTIL THE ENGINE, THE VEHICLE HAS MULTIPLE AIR BAG ON IT, NONE OF THEM DEPLOYED DURING THAT TOTAL LOSS. *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10568515	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	11/23/12	03/11/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 15 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT DRIVER'S SIDE OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED HEAD INJURIES AND THE PASSENGER SUSTAINED A HEMATOMA FROM INJURIES CAUSED BY THE SAFETY BELT. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED OF THE INCIDENT. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A REPAIR SHOP BUT THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 1000. *TR
10572518	TOYOTA	TUNDRA	2012	12/24/12	03/15/14	ON 12/24/2012 AROUND 04:00HRS I STRUCK AN ADULT MOOSE IN BEAR LAKE, CANADA WITH THE FRONT END OF MY WIFE'S 2012 TOYOTA TUNDRA CREWMAX ON THE BRITISH COLUMBIA HIGHWAY. THE CONDITION OF THE ROAD WAS SNOW AND ICE BUT WE HAD RECENTLY PURCHASED AFTERMARKET RIMS AND TIRES ON 12/18/2012 IN CALIFORNIA; 18IN MB VOTEX RIMS WITH BFG ALL TERRAIN TIRES. THE TRACTION OF THESE TIRES BOOSTED MY CONFIDENCE IN TRAVELING AT SPEEDS OF 30-40MPH AT NIGHT. WE HAD TRAVELED ALL THE WAY FROM GEORGIA WITHOUT SEEING ANY ANIMALS AT NIGHT. THE HID HEAD LAMPS GAVE ME A FALSE SENSE OF SECURITY NAVIGATING THROUGH THE NIGHT IN ORDER TO MAKE THE FINAL LEG TO ANCHORAGE, AK FOR CHRISTMAS DAY. TO MY SURPRISE, A MOOSE TIPTOED FROM THE WOODS ON MY RIGHT ABOUT 20 FEET AHEAD OF ME AS I INTENDED TO PRESS ON PASS IT. BUT ALL OF A SUDDEN IT GOT SPOOKED AND DARTED INTO MY PATH. I STRUCK THE ANIMAL BROAD SIDE WITH THE FRONT END OF THE TRUCK KNOCKING IT INTO THE ENGINE BAY. INITIALLY I THOUGHT THE CONTACT WAS NOT SEVERE BUT INSPECTION PROVED OTHERWISE. THE HOOD WAS CRUMPLED UP UNTO THE WINDSHIELD, AND THE RADIATOR AND MOTOR WAS PUSHED SO FAR BACK THAT IT WAS NO LONGER DRIVABLE. I BELIEVE MY SPEED WAS AROUND 30MPH UPON IMPACT WHICH SURPRISED ME THAT THE ANIMAL WAS DEAD. THE TRUCK WAS TOWED TO A LOCAL BODY SHOP FOR AN INSURANCE ESTIMATE AS A TOTAL LOSS. I WAS CONCERNED AS TO WHY THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY SINCE THE DAMAGES WAS SO GREAT. THE TECH EXPLAINED THAT THE SENSORS FOR THE AIRBAGS ARE LOCATED BEHIND THE BUMPER OF THE TRUCK WHERE IMPACT WAS MINIMAL. BECAUSE THE CONTACT TO THE MOOSE KNOCKED HIM OFF HIS LEGS THE LARGE BODY FELL INTO THE ENGINE COMPARTMENT AND COULD HAVE BEEN FATAL HAD IT A FULL RACK OF ANTLERS. THANK GOD HE DID NOT! THOUGH WE HAVE PURCHASED A 2013 REPLACEMENT OF THE SAME TRUCK, THE AIRBAGS NOT DEPLOYING IS STILL A CONCERN AS A DESIGN FLAW AND NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. *TR
10573606	TOYOTA	AVALON HYBRID	2013	03/16/14	03/19/14	ON SUNDAY, MARCH 16, 2014, AT APPROXIMATELY 12:50PM, NORTHBOUND TRAFFIC ON LAKE SHORE DRIVE WAS BROUGHT TO A HALT BY FOUR POLICE VEHICLES THAT SPREAD ACROSS THE FOUR NORTHBOUND LANES. THE POLICE VEHICLES WERE PARKED, ONE IN EACH LANE. THE OFFICERS HAD CREATED A ROAD BLOCK. MY CAR WAS IN THE FAR EAST LANE IMMEDIATELY BEHIND A PARKED POLICE VEHICLE. I PLACED MY VEHICLE IN PARK, BUT KEPT THE ENGINE RUNNING. MINUTES LATER, A BLACK CHRYSLER SEDAN DRIVING AT A HIGH SPEED (ESTIMATED AT 55-100MPH PER POLICE REPORTS) WAS BEING PURSUED BY THE POLICE IN A HIGH SPEED CHASE. THE BLACK CHRYSLER APPROACHED MY STATIONARY VEHICLE FROM THE REAR WITH NO SIGNS OF SLOWING DOWN. I TOLD MY FAMILY WE WERE ABOUT TO BE HIT AND SOON AFTER WE FELT THE IMPACTS FIRST FROM THE BLACK SEDAN HITTING US FROM BEHIND AND THEN FROM THE FRONT WHEN MY CAR WAS PUSHED INTO THE PARKED POLICE VEHICLE AHEAD OF US. MY HEAD HIT THE STEERING WHEEL CAUSING AN ABRASION AND BLEEDING. NONE OF THE AIRBAGS DEPLOYED DESPITE A HIGH SPEED IMPACT FROM THE REAR AND A SECONDARY IMPACT IN THE FRONT. *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10587263	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2011	04/02/14	05/08/14	I REAR ENDED A TRUCK FULL BUMPER TO FULL BUMPER COLLISION GOING ABOUT 25-30MPH. MY ENTIRE FRONT END WAS CRUSHED, RADIATOR AND TRANSMISSION BUSTED, AND FRONT BUMPER PULLED OFF, AND INSIDE CAR UNDER STEERING WHEEL HAD BEEN SLIGHTLY PUSHED OUT TOWARDS DRIVER SEAT. MY CAR WAS TOTALED. I BUSTED THE WINDSHIELD WITH MY HEAD WHEN I HIT IT GIVING ME A CONCUSSION AND HAD CONTUSIONS TO MY CHEST FROM HITTING STEERING WHEEL, AND CONTUSION AND SPRAIN TO MY RIGHT HAND. NO ONE INCLUDING POLICE, FIREMEN, AMBULANCE, AND WRECKING YARD COULD BELIEVE MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY HUSBAND AND I CONTACTED TOYOTA ABOUT THIS AND THEY ASSURED ME IT SHOULD NOT HAVE DEPLOYED AND SENT ME AN EMAIL LINK TO READ DESCRIBING WHEN AIR BAGS SHOULD DEPLOY. WHEN I CALLED BACK AFTER READING THE EMAIL AND TOLD THE MAN WHAT THE EMAIL SAID AND THAT MY AIR BAG SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED HE CALLED ME A LIAR, AND SAID THAT WAS NOT WHAT THE EMAIL SAID. MY HUSBAND THEN CALLED AND REQUESTED INFORMATION FROM EDR BE DOWNLOADED AND READ. TOYOTA NEVER RETURNED OUR PHONE CALL AND NEVER RETRIEVED INFORMATION FROM EDR, AND NOW INSURANCE HAS TAKEN POSSESSION OF THE VEHICLE AND IT IS GONE. A MONTH LATER WE STILL HAVE NEVER RECEIVED A RETURN PHONE CALL OR EXPLANATION FROM TOYOTA. *TR
10595402	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	05/29/14	06/01/14	MY VEHICLE WAS AT FULL STOP AT THE TRAFFIC LIGHT WAITING FOR GREEN LIGHT. SUDDENLY A VEHICLE HITTING ME FROM BEHIND AND CAUSING ME TO HIT ANOTHER CAR IN FRONT. A TOTAL OF 3 VEHICLES INVOLVED IN THIS ACCIDENT AND MY VEHICLE WAS IN THE MIDDLE. THE IMPACT WAS SO SEVERE RESULTING IN (1) NEAR TOTAL LOSS BOTH FRONT AND REAR OF MY VEHICLE; AND (2) BODILY INJURES. AS A RESULT OF THIS SEVERE IMPACT, THIS VEHICLE IS NOT LONGER ABLE TO DRIVE AND WAS TOLLED BY A TOLL TRUCK. MOREOVER, NONE OF THE AIRBAGS IN THIS VEHICLE (2013 COROLLA) WERE DEPLOYED AS A RESULT FROM THIS SEVERE ACCIDENT. THIS VEHICLE WAS PURCHASED BRAND NEW WITHIN THE PAST 6-MONTH FROM A LOCAL DEALERSHIP. *TR
10619297	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	07/30/14	08/06/14	ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 30, 2014 I WAS DRIVING ON I-5 SOUTH BOUND, JUST BEFORE CANNON ROAD EXIT. TRAFFIC WAS GOING AT 35-40 MPH, HOWEVER, AT TIMES COMING TO COMPLETE STOPS. TRAFFIC WAS VERY SLOW AHEAD SO I BRAKE AND CAME NEAR A COMPLETE STOP. I LOOKED IN THE REARVIEW MIRROR AND NOTICED THE CAR BEHIND ME APPROACHING AT A VERY HIGH SPEED, I WAS CONSTRAINED ON BOTH SIDES AND UNABLE TO MOVE TO AVOID AN ACCIDENT. THE CAR REAR-ENDED ME AT A HIGH SPEED AND WITHIN SECONDS PUSHED ME AT A HIGH SPEED INTO THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME, I DID BRACE FOR THE IMPACT BUT THE SEATBELT NEVER TENSIONED OR LOCKED AND NO AIRBAGS DEPLOYED IN MY VEHICLE EVEN THOUGH MY FRONT END WAS IMPACTED SEVERELY. I PUT MY ARMS UP AND TWISTED MY BODY TO THE LEFT IN ORDER TO PROTECT MYSELF. I USED MY OWN BODY AS A PROTECTION. I AM CURRENTLY BEING TREATED FOR WHIPLASH AND SHOULDER PAIN DUE TO NO ACTIVE OR PASSIVE SYSTEM IN THE CAR BEING DEPLOYED. I CONSIDER THIS A VERY SERIOUS SAFETY CONCERN AND WANT TO ENSURE IT IS ADDRESSED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO PREVENT OTHERS FROM HAVING TO GO THROUGH AN ACCIDENT WITH NO ACTIVE OR PASSIVE RESTRAINTS WORKING. *TR..UPDATED 12/09/15 *BF UPDATED 08/28/2017*CT

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10627178	TOYOTA	AVALON HYBRID	2013	08/07/14	08/21/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2013 TOYOTA AVALON HYBRID. THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS STRUCK BY A DRUNK DRIVER, WHICH CAUSED THE CONTACT TO CRASH THE VEHICLE INTO AN EMBANKMENT. THE VEHICLE ROLLED OVER SEVERAL TIMES. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT AND FRONT PASSENGER WERE INJURED AND RECEIVED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE DRIVER FROM THE OTHER VEHICLE ALSO SUSTAINED INJURIES. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED AND THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE OCCURRED WHILE DRIVING 40 MPH. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 9,500.
10644861	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	10/03/14	10/15/14	TRAVELING WEST, A DRIVER HAD CAME TO A COMPLETE STOP IN THE HWY AND SITTING THERE WITH TURN SIGNAL, I SLOWED DOWN THINKING SHE WAS GOING TO TURN (IT APPEARED AS THOUGH SHE WAS STILL MOVING) LOOKED AT GPS SCREEN AND LOOKED UP AGAIN TO SEE THAT I WAS GOING TO HIT THE REAR OF HER SUV. COLLISION OCCURRED WITH NO AIR BAG DEPLOYMENT. HAD IT NOT BEEN FOR WEARING A SEAT BELT THE RESULTS WOULD HAVE BEEN MUCH WORSE. MY VEHICLE SUSTAINED 16,000 DOLLARS WORTH OF DAMAGE AND WAS A TOTAL LOSS. UPON INSPECTION BOTH THE AIR BAG IMPACT SENSORS WERE BROKEN. THE BODY SHOP WHO LOOKED AT THE VEHICLE COULD NOT BELIEVE THAT THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. *TR
10653877	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	06/17/14	11/10/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT STATED THE WHILE DRIVING AT 45 MPH, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO A DEER THAT CAME INTO ITS PATH PUSHING THE RADIATOR INTO THE ENGINE BLOCK. THE FRONT DRIVER AND PASSENGER AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUFFERED INJURIES TO THE SHOULDER, NECK, SPINE, FEET AND EXTENSIVE NERVE DAMAGE. THE FRONT PASSENGER SUFFERED A PANIC ATTACK. THE CONTACT AND PASSENGER BOTH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 50,000.
10661297	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	10/07/14	11/29/14	MY 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA WAS INVOLVED IN A COLLISION ON 10/07/14, IN WHICH MY SON WAS DRIVING THE VEHICLE. THE OTHER DRIVER MADE A ILLEGAL LEFT TURN IN FRONT OF MY SON. THE VEHICLE SUSTAINED MASSIVE FRONT END DAMAGE. MAJORITY OF THE FRONT END WAS CAVED IN, YET THE AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. MY SON SUSTAINED SOME INJURIES AND SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION. ...UPDATED 12/11/14 *BF UPDATED 06/2/2015 *JS
10668507	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2011	07/30/14	12/27/14	IT WAS A FOUR CAR PILE UP. A CAR THAT WAS TWO CARS IN FRONT OF ME SUDDENLY STOPPED AND CAUSE TRAFFIC TO COME TO A COMPLETE STOP, NOBODY WAS HIT AT FIRST. A BLACK TRUCK DID NOT REALIZE TRAFFIC HAD STOPPED AND HAD A LOT OF SPEED ON HIM AND CRASHED INTO A VAN THAT WAS BEHIND ME WHICH CRASHED INTO MY TOYOTA COROLLA WHICH CAUSED ME TO RUN INTO THE SUBURBAN IN FRONT OF ME. MY CAR AND THE VAN WHO HIT ME WERE TOTALLED OUT, BUT DURING THE WRECK MY AIR BAG NEVER DEPLOYED AND THE GUY WHO WORKED AT THE TOWING PLACE SAID HE DOES NOT SEE WHY MY AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. HE SAID IT SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED. *TR
10672254	TOYOTA	AVALON	2013	11/22/14	01/13/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 TOYOTA AVALON. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 30-35 MPH, THE CONTACT PASSED OUT BEHIND THE WHEEL. THE VEHICLE VEERED OFF INTO A DITCH AND CRASHED INTO A CONCRETE POLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A FRACTURED BACK THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A COLLISION CENTER BUT THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 1,000. UPDATED 03/24/15*LJ UPDATED 9/15/2017*CN

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10678631	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	01/23/15	01/23/15	THIS AM I HIT A DEER ON THE WAY TO WORK HEAD ON AT 65-67 MPH AND NOT ONE AIR BAG DEPLOYED!!! THE ENTIRE FRONT END CRUMPLED TO THE WINDSHIELD AREA AND THE RADIATOR AND PARTS TORN LOSE TO THE TOP OF ENGINE AND NOT ONE AIR BAG DEPLOYED. EVERYONE AT THE WRECK COULD NOT BELIEVE IT AND NOW I AM TERRIFIED OF THE CAR IF DIRECT CONTACT AT THAT SPEED DOES NOT OPEN THE SAFETY EQUIPMENT WHAT WILL? THIS CAR IS NOT SAFE. *TR
10680647	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	12/19/13	02/02/15	THE FIRST ACCIDENT IN THIS CAR, I RAN OFF THE ROAD AND LOST CONTROL HITTING A TREE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I SUSTAINED AN INJURY FROM THIS ACCIDENT. MY LEFT LEG BROKE. THE SECOND ACCIDENT, I CLIPPED A DEER ON THE FRONT RIGHT OF THE CAR. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. NO INJURIES RESULTED FROM THIS ACCIDENT. THE THIRD AND FINAL ACCIDENT, I REAR-ENDED A TRUCK AT 60 MPH. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I SUSTAINED MINIMAL INJURIES IN THIS ACCIDENT, MOSTLY SPRAINS AND BRUISES. *TR
10690715	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	02/18/15	02/25/15	MY DAUGHTER WAS DRIVING THE 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA AND WAS INVOLVED IN A MAJOR COLLISION. SHE HAD MADE A LEFT HAND TURN INTO TRAFFIC AND STRUCK A NISSIAN PICKUP TRUCK. THE COLLISON CAUSED HER VEHICLE TO SPIN AND CRASH INTO A STEEL LIGHT POLE HEAD ON. THE AIR BAG SYSTEM FAILED TO DEPLOY AND THE SEATBELT SYSTEM FAILED TO WORK PROPERLY CAUSING HER HEAD TO HIT THE STEERING WHEEL . THE VEHICLE SUSTAINED OVER \$8000.00 IN DAMAGE INCLUDING FRAME DAMAGE. *JS
10692501	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	03/01/15	03/06/15	THERE WAS A HEAD-ON COLLISION WITH ONE VEHICLE (CAR) THAT SPENT MY CAR AROUND AND I COLLIDED HEAD-ON AGAIN WITH ANOTHER VEHICLE THAT WAS BEHIND ME. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AFTER EITHER COLLISION. I HIT THE STEERING WHEEL AND SUSTAINED INJURIES TO MY FACE (MOUTH, CHEEK, AND NOSE). ... UPDATED 03/19/15*BFUPDATED 04/08/15 *BF UPDATED 6/18/2015*JS 11/4/2015*JS..UPDATED 12/17/15 *BF *TR UPDATED 9/27/2017*CN
10701332	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	02/16/14	03/24/15	OUR 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA VEHICLE SUDDENLY AND WITHOUT WARNING BECAME STUCK IN A SNOW SQUALL. THE VEHICLE'S HEADLIGHTS WERE ON AND DRIVER WAS WEARING A SEATBELT, BUT ALSO PUT ON THE VEHICLE'S FLASHERS TO DECLARE DISTRESS. A TRUCK FROM THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION APPARENTLY CROSSED OVER INTO MY LANE AND HIT MY VEHICLE HEAD ON WITHOUT WARNING. THE 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA'S AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY AND DRIVER OF THE 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA (WHO WAS NOT DRIVING AS THE VEHICLE WAS STUCK IN SNOW, BUT DID STILL HAVE HER SEATBELT ON) REQUIRED TRANSPORT VIA AMBULANCE TO HOSPITAL FOR LACERATION TO LIP/CHIN AREA REQUIRING 25 STITCHES AND WAS LATER DIAGNOSED WITH RIB FRACTURES. *TR
10702777	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2015	03/28/15	03/31/15	MY VEHICLE SLAMMED INTO THE BACK OF A STOPPED VEHICLE AFTER I PRESSED ON THE BRAKES. MY ENTIRE FRONT END WAS EXTREMELY DAMAGED. MY 14 YEAR OLD AVERAGE SIZED DAUGHTER WAS SITTING IN THE PASSENGER SEAT. AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. MY CAR WAS EXTREMELY DAMAGED (THE ENTIRE FRONT END---HOOD AND ALL. IT WAS SMOKING AFTER THE CRASH).
10713343	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	04/22/15	04/28/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2014 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING AT AN UNKNOWN SPEED, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED AND THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC WHERE IT WAS DECLARED TOTALED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 17,000. UPDATED 05/29/15*JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10724322	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2012	06/06/15	06/09/15	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 TOYOTA TACOMA. WHILE DRIVING AT APPROXIMATELY 35 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE SIDE OF ANOTHER VEHICLE CAUSING SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE TO THE FRONT END. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THERE WERE NO INJURIES AND A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 29,000. UPDATED 8/10/15*CN THE CONSUMER STATED THE REPAIR COST APPROXIMATELY \$10,000. UPDATED 11/24/2015*JS
10897373	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	08/11/16	08/20/16	I HAD A VERY BAD ACCIDENT ON 8-11-2016 MY CAR IS TOTAL LOSS. MY AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY.
10908928	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2015	08/24/16	09/23/16	SUBSTANTIAL FRONTAL IMPACT WITHOUT AIR BAG DEPLOYMENT OR SEATBELT PRE-TENSIONERS BEING ACTIVATED. T- BONE ACCIDENT WITH FRONT IMPACT TO MY TACOMA AT 45 MPH HIGHWAY SPEED IMPACTING THE REAR AXLE OF A FULL SIZED PICK-UP.
10911117	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	10/02/16	10/03/16	AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AT TIME OF CRASH WHICH THEY SHOULD HAVE.
10930209	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	12/04/16	12/06/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING 55 MPH, THE DRIVER LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND CRASHED INTO THE GUARDRAIL. THE VEHICLE ROLLED OVER. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. MINOR INJURIES WERE SUSTAINED, BUT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A YARD AND WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE AIR BAG FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 51,000.
10945149	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2017	01/12/17	01/13/17	YESTERDAY MY SON WAS IN AN ACCIDENT WHERE HE REAR ENDED ANOTHER CAR AT AROUND 40 MPH, THE OTHER CAR WAS STOPPED. THE ACCIDENT OCCURRED ON A CITY STREET IN FREMONT, CALIFORNIA. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. WHAT IS CONCERNING IS THAT THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. SEE THE ATTACHED PHOTO.
11003902	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	01/23/17	07/09/17	INVOLVED IN A HIT AND RUN CAR ACCIDENT ON 01/23/2017 WHERE I WAS REAR ENDED BY A VEHICLE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 60-70 MPH. I WAS STATIONARY AT 0MPH ON TH HIGHWAY WAITING ON TRAFFIC TO CLEAR UP .THE HIT FROM THE UNIDENTIFIED DRIVER CAUSED ME TO HIT MY HEAD ON THE STEERING WHEEL (SEATBELT DIDN'T WORK) AND RAMMED ME INTO THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME, CONTACTING THE RIGHT FRONT OF MY CAR WITH THE REAR LEFT SIDE OF THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME. AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THE UNKNOWN PERSON THAT HIT ME TRIED TO LEAVE THE SCENE AND HIT ME AGAIN AS HE DIDN'T MAKE IT ALL THE WAY AROUND ME CAUSING ME TO SWING AND HIT MY HEAD AGAIN (SEATBELT DID NOT WORK AGAIN) PICTURES SHOW EVIDENCE OF 2 LICENSE PLATE INDENTS ON THE BACK BUMPER. I HAVE THUS SUSTAINED INJURIES FROM THE ACCIDENT AND AT THIS TIME AM STILL UNDERGOING TREATMENT FOR A HEAD CONCUSSION, AND BULGING DISC IN MY NECK. THE VEHICLE WAS DETERMINED TO BE A TOTAL LOSS OR ALSO KNOWN AS A TOTALED VEHICLE.
11019081	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2017	08/04/17	08/23/17	I HIT A DEER DOING 50 MPH AND MY AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. I CONTACTED BUTLER TOYOTA OF MACON GEORGIA AND ASKED THEM TO PLEASE RESEARCH THIS ISSUE AND THEY HAVE NOT CONTACTED ME BACK. IT HAS BEEN OVER 2 WEEKS NOW.
11019427	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	08/22/17	08/24/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2016 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 55 MPH, THE VEHICLE HYDROPLANED AND CRASHED INTO THE MEDIAN. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED AN INJURY TO THE BACK THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOW YARD. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 26,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11021237	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2013	07/29/17	09/03/17	DRIVER WAS IN A SERIOUS ACCIDENT AND THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. VEHICLE WAS GOING 25-30 MPH AND HIT A LARGER VEHICLE FROM BEHIND. AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY FOR THE DRIVER. NO PASSENGER IN CAR. DRIVER WAS PROVIDED EMERGENCY MEDIAL ATTENTION AT THE SCENE.
11022176	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	09/01/17	09/07/17	I WAS DRIVING SOUTHBOUND ON THE I-5 GOING 75 MPH WHEN I VEERED OFF THE ROAD INTO A DITCH. THE ENTIRE VEHICLE IS DAMAGED, ESPECIALLY THE FRONT END. THE VEHICLE IS TOTALED AND THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. EMS TOOK ME TO THE HOSPITAL BECAUSE I HAD HORRIBLE CHEST PAIN AND IT WAS HARD TO BREATHE. I HAD TO SPEND THE NIGHT IN THE HOSPITAL SO THAT THEY COULD MONITOR MY CHEST BECAUSE I NOW HAVE A BROKEN MY STERNUM. THE DOCTORS TOLD ME THAT IF MY AIR BAGS DEPLOYED, I WOULD NOT HAVE BROKEN MY STERNUM.
11023892	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	08/17/17	09/17/17	I HAVE BEEN IN TWO ACCIDENTS WHERE BOTH TIMES I WAS RAN OFF THE ROAD INTO A DITCH. IN NEITHER ACCIDENT DID ANY OF THE AIR BAGS DEPLOY BUT I DEFINITELY HIT HARD ENOUGH IN WHICH THEY SHOULD HAD. ONE TIME MY CHILD WAS IN THE BACK SEAT. I HIT A DITCH FILLED WITH HUGE ROCKS THE FIRST ACCIDENT WAS SETTLED AT OVER \$1700 AND THE 2ND ACCIDENT IS STILL IN THE CLAIMS PORTION OF THE ACCIDENT, WHERE I WAS RAN OFF THE ROAD. THE AIR BAGS SHOULD HAD DEPLOYED BUT FROM THE NEGATIVE PUBLICITY IT MAY HAD CAUSED MORE DAMAGE, AND THATBIS VERY SCARY TO THINK ABOUT SEEING THAT MY 5 YEAR OLD WAS INVOLVED IN ONE OF THE ACCIDENTS. THE ACCIDENT WAS ON A ROAD WITH A SPEED LIMIT OF 35-40 MPH WITH NO STOP SIGNS OR STOP LIGHTS UNTIL THE END OF THE ESTIMATED 2 MILE ROAD.
11033111	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2011	03/05/17	10/12/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2011 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING VARIOUS SPEEDS, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED BACK AND KNEE INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC AND THE BODY DAMAGE WAS REPAIRED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 16V340000 (AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 79,000.
11034091	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2015	08/11/17	10/17/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2015 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 36 MPH, THE DRIVER LOST CONTROL OF THE STEERING AND THE VEHICLE ROLLED OVER MULTIPLE TIMES BEFORE CRASHING INTO A WOODED AREA. DURING THE INCIDENT, THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED, BUT THE AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED A CONCUSSION, AND AN INJURED BACK AND RIBS. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED. THE MANUFACTURER AND LOCAL DEALER WERE NOT NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 24,000.
11046609	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2015	06/27/17	11/16/17	MY SON WAS IN AN ACCIDENT AND HIT A TREE. THE CAR WAS TOTALED AND THE AIR BAGS NEVER DEPLOYED AS A RESULT HE HIT THE WINDSHIELD WITH HIS HEAD AND NEEDED STITCHES
11115644	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2014	07/17/18	08/03/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2014 TOYOTA TACOMA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 50 MPH, THE DRIVER ATTEMPTED TO SWITCH TO THE RIGHT LANE AND CRASHED INTO THE REAR OF THE PRECEDING VEHICLE. NONE OF THE AIR BAGS DEPLOYED AND THERE WERE NO WARNING INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS TOTALED AND TOWED TO A TOW YARD. THE DEALER WAS NOT CALLED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE AND STATED THAT IT WOULD BE INVESTIGATED; HOWEVER, THE CONTACT WAS NOT CALLED BACK. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 54,000. *TT UPDATED 10/2/18*JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11120041	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	08/07/18	08/15/18	ON 08/07/2018, I WAS IN A CAR (2016 TOYOTA COROLLA) ACCIDENT WHERE ANOTHER CAR SLAMMED ME IN THE REAR AND I'VE HIT A CHEVY PICKUP IN THE BACK. THE PICK-UP WAS COMING TO A STOP WHICH I WAS SLOWING DOWN AS WELL AND I'M ASSUMING THE CAR THAT WAS BEHIND DID NOT PAID ATTENTION. THEREFORE, HE HIT ME BETWEEN 45-50 MPH IN THE BACK WHICH I'VE HIT THE TRUCK ABRUPTLY AT 30-35MPH. MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY WHICH IS VERY SHOCKING AND MY SHOULDER ALONG WITH MY ARM SLAMMED INTO THE STEERING WHEEL. ALSO, I HAD A HARD TIME WITH MY BRAKES BECAUSE IT FELT LIKE IT WAS STUCK AND WOULDN'T GO DOWN AS I WAS PRESSING ON IT. I'M SUFFERING FROM INTENSE RIGHT LEG PAIN AS IF I'VE TORN THE MUSCLE BUT 10X'S WORST. EVER SINCE THE ACCIDENT, MY LEG HAS BEEN GOING NUMB QUITE FREQUENTLY WHICH GOES INTO MY TOES. I'M WALKING WITH A LIMP AND MY SHOULDER HAS SHARP PAIN AS WELL. I'M STARTING TO THINK THAT MY AIRBAGS WERE DEFECTIVE BECAUSE IT SHOULD HAVE DEPLOY AS SOON AS THE IMPACT OCCURRED BUT IT DIDN'T...
11132872	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	09/29/18	10/02/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2018 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING 20 MPH, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS STRUCK BY ANOTHER VEHICLE ON THE FRONT AND DRIVER SIDES. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT FRACTURED TWO VERTEBRAE IN THE NECK THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO BALISE TOYOTA (1399 RIVERDALE ST, WEST SPRINGFIELD, MA 01089, (413) 306-3037), BUT WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 3,300.
11140641	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	07/25/18	10/16/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2018 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING 35 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT DISLOCATED HER RIGHT SHOULDER, SUSTAINED BACK AND NECK INJURIES, AND BRUISES ON THE RIGHT KNEE, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO PAGE TOYOTA (21262 TELEGRAPH RD, SOUTHFIELD, MI 48033, (248) 352-8580) WHERE THE RADIATOR, FRONT BUMPER, FRONT LAMPS, HOOD, AND PASSENGER DOOR WERE REPLACED WITH REFURBISHED PARTS. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 22,705.
11144852	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	07/30/18	11/02/18	TL* THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE DRIVER OWNED A 2018 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 35 MPH, THE DRIVER LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND CRASHED INTO AN EMBANKMENT. THE DRIVERS AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE DRIVER WAS FATALLY INJURED DUE TO INJURIES TO THE HEAD, CHEST, AND UPPER BODY. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE DRIVER MAY NOT HAVE BEEN WEARING A SEAT BELT. THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER SUFFERED INJURIES TO THE CHEST, RIBS, AND COLLAR BONE, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE COLUMBIA POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS PRESENT AND FILED REPORT NUMBER: 218158996. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO THE DRIVER'S INSURANCE COMPANY AND DEEMED DESTROYED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS NOT AVAILABLE. *DT UPDATED 12/04/18*JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11154026	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	03/06/17	11/26/18	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2014 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 55 MPH, THE CONTACT ATTEMPTED TO AVOID AN ANIMAL IN THE ROAD AND CRASHED INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. POLICE REPORT NUMBER: 107515 WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A HEAD CONCUSSION SEVERE BRUISING OF BOTH KNEES. THE CONTACT WAS TAKEN TO THE HOSPITAL IN AN AMBULANCE. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A POLICE IMPOUND LOT AND LATER RETRIEVED BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO THE DEALER FOR DIAGNOSTIC TESTING. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 130,000.
11161898	TOYOTA	AVALON	2012	11/19/18	12/17/18	MY VEHICLES AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY I WAS HIT BY AN 18 WHEELER AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED. THE VEHICLE HAD AT LEAST 2 REAR END HITS BY THIS 18 WHEELER ESTIMATED AT A SPEED IN EXCESS OF 25 MILES PER HOUR FASTER THAN MINE (I WAS GOING AT 70MPH NORTHBOUND ON US 75, NINE MILES SOUTH OF TULSA OKLAHOMA ON A SUNNY MONDAY AT 3PM). THE STREET WAS DRY. THE TRUCK INITIALLY HIT ME ON MY REAR AND ON MY LEFT REAR QUARTER. I WAS SPUN IN FRONT OF THE TRUCK AND HE HIT ME AGAIN ON THE REAR QUARTER AND THE BUMPER AND SPUN ME IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION AND THEN PUSHED INTO THE GUARDRAIL AT AN ESTIMATED 40+ MPH. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY FOR ANY OF THE THREE COLLISIONS (TWO IN THE REAR AND ONE IN THE FRONT).
11164041	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	11/09/18	12/29/18	MY DAUGHTER WAS INVOLVED IN A REAR END COLLISION WITH ANOTHER CAR THAT ABRUPTLY STOPPED TO TURN. SHE WAS ONLY GOING 30 MPH AND HYDO-PLANNED INTO THE CAR AS A RESULT OF THE RAINY CONDITIONS. THERE WAS MINIMAL DAMAGE DONE TO THE VEHICLE THAT SHE HIT BUT HER CAR SUSTAINED SUBSTANTIAL DAMAGE TO THE FRONT END WHICH CAUSED FRAME DAMAGE. THE FRONT END OF THE CAR WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED BUT NONE OF THE AIR BAGS DEPLOYED DESPITE DIRECT DAMAGE TO COLLIDING INTO THE STOPPED VEHICLE.
11172963	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	01/20/19	01/29/19	HIT A DEER AT APPROXIMATELY 60 MPH, FRONT END OF CAR WAS DESTROYED, WAS A TOTAL LOSS. AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AT ALL
11173072	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	01/21/19	01/30/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2016 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING 40 MPH, THE CONTACT REAR ENDED A PARKED VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THERE WERE NO WARNING INDICATORS ILLUMINATED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE UPPER BODY. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS NOT RECEIVED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO THE CONTACT'S INSURANCE COMPANY. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE MANUFACTURER AND DEALER WERE NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 43,000.
11184772	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	10/28/17	03/07/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2014 TOYOTA COROLLA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 65 MPH, THE DRIVER LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND CRASHED. DURING THE CRASH, THE VEHICLE ROLLED OVER SEVERAL TIMES AND THE FRONT END WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED; HOWEVER, THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED AN INJURED LEFT ARM THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED AND THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DETERMINED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 40,000. *DT *JS POLICE REPORTED STATED DRIVER FELL ASLEEP AND DRIFTED OFF THE ROADWAY. *JB

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11189840	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	03/01/19	03/18/19	IN MARCH 1 2019 AT 840 PM I WAS DRIVING MY TOYOTA COROLLA 2014 HEADING SOUTH ON ARCH STREET IN PITTSFORD VERMONT. I DROVE DOWN THE ROAD WENT OVER A LITTLE HILL AND AS I CAME OVER THE TINY HILL IN MY VISION I SAW A DRIVING CAR COMING TOWARDS ME IN THERE APPROPRIATE LANE, WITH THERE HEADLIGHTS ON, THEN I SAW A PARKED CAR BLOCKING 70 PERCENT OF MY LANE. I HAD NO CHOICE BUT TO HIT THE PARKED CAR. WHEN I HIT THE CAR MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I DONT REMEMBER ANYTHING AFTER MY FACE HIT THE STEERING WHEEL. MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY! I HAVE BROKEN BONES IN MY FACE AND CANT SEE CLEARLY OUT OF MY RIGHT EYE. IVE GONE TO A EYE MD WHO IS A RETINA SPECIALIST AND SHE SAYS IM NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO SEE OUT OF MY RIGHT EYE. I DO HAVE A LAWYER BUT I BELIEVE IF I WOULD HAVE KNOWN ABOUT THIS DEFECT THAN I WOULD HAVE HAD THIS FIXED AND WOULD HAVE HAD THE PROPER DEPLOY OF THE AIR BAG WHICH THEN I WOULD NOT BE LOSING MY SITE. I WAS GOING 35 MPH.*DT*JB
11191169	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	03/22/19	03/24/19	I HIT A DEER AND MY CAR IS POSSIBLE TOTALED, BUT NONE OF THE AIR BAG CAME OUT LIKE THEY SHOULD HAVE. I WAS DOING 55 MILES AN HOUR ON A 2 LANE RD WHEN A DEER JUMP OUT.
11203097	TOYOTA	TACOMA	2017	03/07/19	04/23/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2017 TOYOTA TACOMA. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH, ANOTHER VEHICLE CROSSED OVER THE DOUBLE YELLOW LINES AND STRUCK THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE HEAD ON. NONE OF THE FRONTAL AIR BAGS DEPLOYED. POLICE REPORT NUMBER: [XXX] WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A PRIVATE IMPOUND LOT. THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER IN THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WERE TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL VIA AMBULANCE. THE PASSENGER SUSTAINED A BROKEN KNEE CAP, HEAD TRAUMA, AND WHIPLASH. THE DRIVER SUFFERED TWO HERNIATED DISCS AND NERVE DAMAGE. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE AND SENT A THIRD PARTY COMPANY TO INSPECT THE VEHICLE. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 31,000. INFORMATION REDACTED PURSUANT TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552(B)(6). *TT
11203308	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2015	09/04/18	04/24/19	I WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONT END COLLISION GOING 35MPH AND THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THIS IS FASTER THAN THE SPEED AT WHICH THE MANUAL STATES AIRBAGS SHOULD DEPLOY FOR THIS VEHICLE. I WAS INJURED AS A RESULT OF THIS ACCIDENT.
11203313	TOYOTA	AVALON	2015	04/01/19	04/24/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2015 TOYOTA AVALON. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE FRONT DRIVERS AIR BAG FAILED. WHILE DRIVING 35 MPH, THE CONTACT WAS UNABLE TO STOP THE VEHICLE IN TIME AND REAR ENDED ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE FRONT DRIVERS AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE CHEST AND NOSE, WHICH REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED AND TOWED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS CONTACTED. THE DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 90,000.
11203382	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	04/20/19	04/24/19	4/20/19, THE DRIVER INVOLVED WITH THIS VEHICLE LOST CONTROL AND HIT A CURB AT 25 MILE PER HOUR, WELL WITHIN THE SPEED LIMIT AT 45 MPH. AFTER HITTING THE CURB, THE VEHICLE SWERVED INTO A TREE. THE FRONT END OF VEHICLE HIT THE TREE AND THE ENTIRE FRONT END OF VEHICLE WAS SEVERELY DAMAGED BEYOND REPAIR. THIS IS CONSIDERED A "TOTAL LOST." THE VEHICLE WAS EQUIPPED WITH FRONT AND SIDE AIRBAGS, BUT SURPRISINGLY NONE WAS DEPLOYED.
11204113	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2012	04/24/19	04/27/19	WAS IN A FRONT END COLLISION AND AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I T-BONED ANOTHER VEHICLE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11209262	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2015	04/23/19	05/22/19	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2015 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE THE DRIVER WAS DRIVING 60 MPH, HE SWERVED TO AVOID CRASHING INTO A DEER. THE VEHICLE WAS CRASHED INTO AN EMBANKMENT AND THE VEHICLE STOPPED AFTER CRASHING INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED WHIPLASH BUT DID NOT SEEK MEDICAL ATTENTION. IT WAS UNKNOWN IF A DEALER OR THE MANUFACTURER WERE NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 38,408.
11243419	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	07/25/19	08/12/19	I HAD AN ACCIDENT AND THE AIRBAGS DO NOT DEPLOYED, I ASKED AT KENDALL TOYOTA COLLISION CENTER TO REVIEW WHAT IS THE POSSIBLE CAUSE, THEY DO NOT RESPONSE YET.
11290098	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2014	12/16/19	12/19/19	COLLIDED AT 60+ MPH ON 190 BY DIESEL LARGE TRUCK MAJOR FRONT AND SIDE DAMAGE AND NO AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT OF ANY KIND. HIS TRUCK WAS FINE AND NONE OF MY SIDE NOR FRONTAL AIRBAGS WENT OFF. MY HEAD SLAMMED INTO THE DRIVER'S WINDOW AND MY NECK AND BACK ARE REALLY HURTING. THIS HAPPENED JUST A COUPLE DAYS AGO.
11290892	TOYOTA	AVALON	2015	11/29/19	12/23/19	I WAS HEADING DOWN SNOW GEESSE SOUTH IN DUCK N.C. TO TURN LEFT ONTO WOOD DUCK DRIVE. AS I APPROACHED THE TURN, MY BRAKES FAILED AND I WENT INTO THE PARKING AREA OF 1324 DUCK ROAD. I COULD HIT A PARKED TRUCK OR HOUSE. I HIT THE TRUCK. THE AIRBAGS DIDNT DEPLOY. NONE OF THEM. IT WAS A HEAD ON COLLISION. MY CAR WAS TOTALED. THE POLICE SHOWED UP AND WERE SUPRISED THAT THERE WERE NO AIRBAGS. THIS CONCERNS ME GREATLY. THE BRAKES FAILING WAS SCARY ENOUGH, BUT ABSOLUTELY NO AIRBAGS! *DSY
11299613	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2017	12/27/19	01/15/20	I REAR-ENDED SOMEONE AT APPROXIMATELY 30 MPH AND NONE OF MY AIRBAGS DEPLOYED
11300983	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2017	09/04/19	01/21/20	HELLO, ON SEPTEMBER 4TH 2019 I WAS INVOLVED IN A THREE CAR ACCIDENT, ME BEING IN THE MIDDLE. MY AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THIS EVENING I JUST SAW A NEW ARTICLE ABOUT MANY TOYOTA COROLLAS BEING EFFECTED. LOW AND BEHOLD MY VEHICLE WAS ONE OF THE RECALL CARS. THERE IS NO WAS TO FIX THE CAR NOW I HAD TO GET A NEW ONE WHICH IS AGAIN ON THE LIST. I HAVE CHECKED BOTH VIN'S. I WAS INJURED IN THAT CRASH AND THAT AIR BAG COULD HAVE HELPED MY HEAD NOT GOING THE WAY IT DID. I'M EXTREMELY UPSET WITH THE FACT THIS WAS NOT BROUGHT FORWARD SOONER. I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK WITH SOMEONE.
11301352	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	11/15/19	01/23/20	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2016 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 55 MPH, THE VEHICLE STRUCK A DEER. THE CONTACT STATED THAT NEITHER THE DRIVER NOR PASSENGER FRONTAL AIR BAGS DEPLOYED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES SUSTAINED HOWEVER, THE CONTACT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION A DAY LATER. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN TO THE RESIDENCE AND THEN TOWED TO A REPAIR FACILITY THE FOLLOWING DAY. THE LOCAL DEALER WAS NOT CONTACTED AND THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO BE DIAGNOSED. THE VEHICLE WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 20V024000 (AIR BAGS). THE VEHICLE WAS REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT CONTACTED OR NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 78,000.
11301817	TOYOTA	AVALON	2014	08/17/18	01/25/20	ON AUGUST 17, 2018 I WAS TRAVELING WESTBOUND ON IL ROUTE 83 AND I ENTERED AN INTERSECTION AT IL ROUTE 83 AND TORRANCE AVENUE AT LYNWOOD, IL ON A GREEN TRAFFIC SIGNAL. A DRIVER WITHOUT A DRIVERS LICENSE WAS TRAVELING SOUTH ON TORRANCE AVENUE AND ENTERED THE INTERSECTION ON A RED SIGNAL. I APPLIED THE BRAKES ON MY CAR BUT WAS UNABLE TO STOP AND MY CAR HIT THE OTHER DRIVERS CAR IN THE SIDE. THE AIRBAGS IN MY CAR DID NOT DEPLOY.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11302319	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	01/25/20	01/27/20	TL* THE CONTACT CALLED ON BEHALF OF HER DAUGHTER WHO OWNS A 2016 TOYOTA COROLLA. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE HER DAUGHTER WAS DRIVING AT 45 MPH, THE DRIVER SIDE TIRE BLOW, AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE AND CRASHED INTO SIX FENCES AND LANDED INTO A TREE. THE AIR BAG FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE DRIVER SUSTAINED LEG AND NOSE INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THERE WAS NO POLICE REPORT. THE VEHICLE WAS TOW TO THE CONTACT RESIDENCE AND WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER OR AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC FOR A DIAGNOSTIC TESTING. THE VIN WAS INCLUDED IN NHTSA CAMPAIGN NUMBER: 20V024000 (AIR BAGS). THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 50,000.
11309297	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2018	02/08/20	02/14/20	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT WHERE THE OTHER DRIVER HIT MY CAR ON THE FRONT LEFT SIDE, CLOSE TO MY DOOR AND THE AIRBAGS DID NOT GO OFF. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY OTHER SENSORS IN THE BACK BUT I WAS BIT IN THE BACK AFTER AS WELL AND THE AIRBAGS STILL DID NOT GO OFF. I WAS DRIVING 30MPH ON A CITY STREET.
11311424	TOYOTA	COROLLA	2016	02/20/20	02/25/20	I WAS INVOLVED IN A CAR ACCIDENT ON 2/20/2020 MY VEHICLE WAS IN MOTION. I FELT MY 2016 TOYOTA COROLLA LOSE TRACTION ON THE ROAD AND START TO SLIP. MY TRACTION CONTROL LIGHT NEVER CAME ON AND I NEVER FELT IT ENGAGE. I HYDROPLANED, MY CAR HIT A GUARDRAIL HEAD ON, MY SEAT BELT DID NOT TIGHTEN WHICH CAUSED ME TO HIT MY HEAD AND CHEST ON THE STEERING WHEEL AND DASH AS WELL AS INJURIES TO MY NECK FROM BEING SLUNG FORWARD. MY VEHICLE CONTINUED TO IMPACT THE GUARDRAIL. MY AIR BAGS DIDN'T DEPLOY WHICH ALSO CAUSED ME TO HIT MY HEAD AND SHOULDER HARD ON THE DRIVER WINDOW/DOOR. UPON THE MULTIPLE IMPACTS AND DESPITE SENSORS BEING STRUCK, MY AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY THROUGHOUT MY VEHICLE AND MY SEAT BELT FAILED TO TIGHTEN. CAUSING INJURY TO DIFFERENT AREAS OF MY BODY.

EXHIBIT 5

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10479504	HONDA	CR-V	2012	9/17/12	10/09/12	MY WIFE WHO WAS DRIVING OUR HONDA CRV 2012 HAD AN ACCIDENT ON THE FREEWAY OFF RAMP. WHEN THE CAR IN FRONT OF HER RAN OVER SOME WIRE THAT WAS LEFT ON THE ROAD, THE DRIVER MADE A SUDDEN STOP. MY WIFE WAS UNABLE TO STOP IN TIME AND HIT THE VEHICLE WITH OUR HONDA. THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE DAMAGE ON BOTH CARS. SINCE THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND THE SAFETY BELT IN OUR 2012 HONDA CRV DID NOT RESTRAIN MY WIFE FROM HITTING THE STEERING WHEEL, SHE WAS SERIOUSLY HURT. I HOPE OTHER OWNERS OF THE HONDA CRV 2012 DO NOT HAVE THIS TYPE OF SITUATION HAPPEN TO THEM. *TR
10481537	HONDA	CR-V	2012	10/21/12	10/23/12	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 HONDA CR-V. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE TRAVELING 55 MPH THE VEHICLE COLLIDED WITH A DEER AND THE DRIVERS AIR BAG AND PASSENGER SIDE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. NO INJURIES WERE REPORTED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A REPAIR SHOP. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT REPAIRED. THE FAILURE AND CURRENT MILEAGES WAS 1,500.
10502566	ACURA	TSX	2012	2/4/13	03/12/13	FRONT END DAMAGE BOTH SIDES DAMAGED AIR BAGS DID NOT COME ON WIFE DIED, DEALER SAY BAGS OK BUT DIDNT KNOW WHY THEY DIDNT COME ON. *TR

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10532231	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	6/26/13	07/28/13	<p>AS I WAS DRIVING TO WORK AT 4:30 AM IN HEAVY FOG I HIT A DEER THAT WAS STANDING IN THE ROAD. I NOTICED AS I GOT OUT OF THE VEHICLE THE CAR SAID SOMETHING ABOUT THE AIRBAG SENSOR, WELL I DIDN'T THINK NOTHING OF IT AS I WAS WORRIED ABOUT THE CONDITION MY CAR WAS IN AT THE TIME. WELL THE BODY SHOP THAT FIXED MY CAR STATED THE AIRBAG SHOULD HAVE 100% DEPLOYED DUE TO THE FACT THE SENSOR WAS BUSTED AND THE WIRE WAS COMPLETELY INTO. MY CONCERN IS WHY IN THE WORLD DID THE AIRBAG NOT DEPLOY? I AM GLAD IT DIDN'T BUT WHAT IF IT HAD BEEN A COLLISION WITH A CAR. WOULD THE AIRBAGS NOT DEPLOY AND I TAKE A CHANCE ON DYING BECAUSE OF FAULTY AIRBAGS? I AM REALLY UPSET ABOUT THIS SITUATION. I DO NOT FEEL SAFE DRIVING THIS CAR NOW...AND I LOVED THIS CAR AND ONE REASON I BOUGHT THIS WAS BECAUSE OF SAFETY..BUT NOW I DO NOT KNOW IF I MADE THE RIGHT CHOICE. NOW THE CAR IS "FIXED" THE CHECK AIRBAG AND EMISSION SYSTEM LIGHT IS ON. I AM TAKING IT TO HONDA AS SOON AS I CAN, BUT I AM DRIVING THIS CAR WITHOUT SEAT BELT WORKING AND THE AIRBAGS NOT WORKING...CAN SOMEONE PLEASE HELP ME WITH THIS? THANK YOU... *TR</p>

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10606730	HONDA	CR-V	2014	6/23/14	06/29/14	JUNE 26, 2014 TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN, MY HUSBAND AND I WERE DRIVING EAST DOWN HIGHWAY 55 AT AROUND 8A.M. ON JUNE 23, 2014. A DEER CAME OUT QUICKLY FROM THE DRIVER'S SIDE OF THE CAR AND HIT OUR NEW HONDA CR-V. THE DRIVER'S SIDE WAS QUITE CAVED IN AND MY AIRBAG DEPLOYED. I WAS IN THE PASSENGER'S SIDE. MY HUSBAND'S AIRBAG DID NOT. HE HIT THE STEERING WHEEL WITH HIS SHOULDER. WE WERE QUITE SHOOK UP AND SORE AFTERWARD. THE OFFICER THAT CAME TO FILE THE REPORT MENTIONED THAT HE WAS SURPRISED THAT THE DRIVER'S AIRBAG DID NOT GO OFF AND THAT IN ORDER TO MOVE THE CR-V HE SUGGESTED WE TOW IN CASE THE AIRBAG WOULD STILL GO OFF. THIS SITUATION HAS MADE US QUITE NERVOUS ABOUT DRIVING THIS PARTICULAR VEHICLE BECAUSE THE AIRBAG DID NOT GO OFF. WE WOULD APPRECIATE HONDA INVESTIGATING THIS MATTER. WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A VEHICLE THAT IS EQUIPPED PROPERLY SHOULD WE BE IN A COLLISION. AND WE ARE CONCERNED THAT WITH THIS PARTICULAR VEHICLE THAT IS NOT THE CASE. PLEASE LET US KNOW WHAT STEPS NEED TO BE TAKEN NEXT, SO THAT WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. SINCERELY, *TR
10643969	HONDA	FIT	2015	9/14/14	10/10/14	WHEN FACED WITH HEAVY IMPACT THE VEHICLE DO NOT ACTIVE THE AIR BAGS. HOWEVER, THE DAMAGE OF THE VEHICLE WERE OF SUCH MAGNITUDE THAT IT BROKE THE TRANSMISSION, THE CLUTCH HOUSING, THE FRONT BODY KIT SUCH AS FOG LIGHT , FRONT BUMPERS AND OTHER PARTS. *TR
10658826	HONDA	CR-V	2012	9/1/14	11/18/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2012 HONDA CR-V. WHILE DRIVING 55 MPH, A DEER RAN OUT IN FRONT OF THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE. THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO THE DEER. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED MINOR INJURIES THAT DID NOT REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS NOT FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN TO THE CONTACT'S RESIDENCE. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN TO AN INDEPENDENT BODY SHOP WHERE IT WAS REPAIRED. THE DEALER WAS CONTACTED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 50,000. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10660472	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	11/12/14	11/24/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HONDA ACCORD. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING AT AN UNKNOWN SPEED, THE VEHICLE WAS INVOLVED IN A CRASH AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED A BROKEN NOSE AND A HEAD INJURY THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO THE DEALER. HOWEVER THE FAILURE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE.
10661200	HONDA	CIVIC	2013	10/21/14	11/28/14	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HONDA CIVIC. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE MAKING A LEFT TURN, ANOTHER VEHICLE DROVE THROUGH A RED LIGHT AND CRASHED INTO THE FRONT OF THE CONTACTS VEHICLE. THE AIR BAG WARNING LIGHT ILLUMINATED AND THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE CHEST, THE BACK, ABDOMEN AND SHOULDER PAINS THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT DIAGNOSED OR REPAIRED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 10,000.
10661852	HONDA	CIVIC	2013	11/24/14	12/03/14	I WAS AT A STOP AND WAS REAR-ENDED BY A FORD F350 GOING 50-55 MPH. MY CAR WAS PUSHED INTO THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME. I WAS NUMBER 2 IN A 7 CAR PILE UP. MY AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY AND MY FACE HIT THE STEERING WHEEL AND THE BACK OF MY HEAD HIT THE HEAD REST. *JS
10669091	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	12/29/14	12/30/14	I WAS DRIVING A 2012 HONDA CIVIC WHEN THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME SLAMMED ON THEIR BRAKES AND CAME TO A COMPLETE STOP DUE TO SOMETHING IN THE ROAD. I SLAMMED ON MY BRAKES AND TRIED TO AVOID THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME BY SWERVING TO THE RIGHT. THE DRIVER SIDE OF THE FRONT OF MY CAR HIT THE BACK OF THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME. MY CAR WAS COMPLETELY SMASHED IN THE FRONT. AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. AFTER I CAME TO A COMPLETE STOP, MY CAR LIT ON FIRE. THE CAR WAS BURNED AND COMPLETELY DESTROYED. *JS
10671151	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	11/17/14	01/08/15	MY HUSBAND FELL ASLEEP DRIVING AND HIT A TREE, MAILBOX AND WENT INTO A DITCH HEAD ON. THE VEHICLE WAS DEEMED A TOTAL LOSS. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AT ALL.UPDATED 01/23/15 *BF

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10676896	HONDA	CR-V	2013	1/18/15	01/21/15	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT IN PHILADELPHIA, PA ON JANUARY 18, 2015 DUE TO ICE ON HIGHWAYS. I SLID INTO ONE CAR AND GOT HIT BY ANOTHER CAR. MY CAR IS CURRENTLY IN A REPAIR SHOP. I RECEIVED A CALL THAT THE CAR CAN BE FIXED, HOWEVER I NEED TO KNOW HOW SAFE IS THE CAR SINCE I RECEIVED SO MUCH DAMAGE TO THE CAR AND THE AIR BAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. *TR
10717478	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	4/23/14	05/20/15	MY VEHICLE STRUCK ANOTHER VEHICLE IN FRONT OF ME FROM BEHIND. AIRBAG LIGHTS CAME ON YET DID NOT DEPLOY. IMPACT CAUSED DAMAGE TO MY CHEST BY THE SEATBELT. IT CAUSED A TISSUE EXPANDER IMPLANTED IN MY RIGHT BREAST TO BE DAMAGED AND RIPPED OUT THE PLACES STITCHED TO ME. THE TE WAS THERE AS PART OF A BREAST CANCER RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS. SURGERY WAS REQUIRED TO REMOVE AND REPLACE THE TE. THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE WAS DAMAGED, SENSORS NEEDED REPLACEMENT, AND SEATBELT STRUCTURE ALSO NEEDED REPLACEMENT.
10887938	HONDA	CIVIC	2015	7/21/16	07/23/16	I HAD A CAR ACCIDENT A COUPLE DAYS AGO I GOT INJURED FROM MY NECK AND MY BACK I HIT MY HEAD ON THE STEERING WHEEL DUE TO A MALFUNCTION OF MY AIR BAGS. THEY DIDN'T DEPLOY. BUT MY CAR IS ASKING ME TO CHECK THE AIR BAG SYSTEM AND THEY TURNED OFF ALSO MY SEAT BELT FOR SOME REASON GOT STUCKED IT WON'T PULL MORE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10903675	HONDA	CIVIC	2015	8/30/16	09/05/16	THE VEHICLE (V-2) WAS INVOLVED IN A COLLISION AT THE 1-5 NB CYPRESS OFF RAMP IN REDDING CALIFORNIA IN EVENING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC (AT 1810). V-2 WAS STRUCK BY V-1, WHICH IN TURN PUSHED V-2 INTO V-3. BOTH V-1 AND V-2 WERE STATIONARY AT THE TIME OF COLLISION. V-1, A ISUZA TROOPER SUSTAINED MINOR FRONT END DAMAGE. V-2 RECEIVED MINOR FRONT END DAMAGE, AND MAJOR REAR END DAMAGE. V-3, A KIA SOUL RECEIVED MINOR REAR END DAMAGE. THE ISSUE IS THAT THE V-2 AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY OR THE SEAT-BELT RESTRAIN THE DRIVER IN THE VEHICLE. THE DRIVER STRUCK THE STEERING WHEEL RECEIVING A MAJOR BRAIN CONCUSSION AND BROKEN NOSE UPON BEING PUSHED BY V-1 INTO V-3. SEVERAL ON THE SCENE QUESTIONED THE LACK OF AIRBAG DEPLOYMENT OR THE SEAT-BELT NOT PROVIDING THE RESTRAINT NECESSARY TO PREVENT THE INJURY. MY CONCERN IS THIS IS A FAILURE OF THE SAFETY SYSTEMS NECESSARY TO RESTRAIN THE DRIVER. REPORTING PARTY IS THE FATHER OF THE DRIVER OF V-2, A TEEN DRIVER.
10904988	HONDA	CR-V	2015	8/24/16	09/09/16	THE CRV WAS INVOLVED IN A LARGE ACCIDENT AND THE LEFT FRONT LOWER FRAME RAIL IS CRUSHED FROM A HEAD ON COLLISION. THE LEFT AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY NOR THE SEATBELT LOCK UP CAUSING A MINOR INJURY TO THE DRIVER. HONDA USA WAS CONTACTED BUT REFUSED TO SEND AN ENGINEER TO INSPECT THE CAR.
10904991	HONDA	CR-V	2015	8/24/16	09/09/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2015 HONDA CR-V. WHILE DRIVING AT VARIOUS SPEEDS, THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE COLLIDED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE WHILE MAKING A LEFT TURN. THE DETAILS OF WHERE THE CONTACT'S VEHICLE WAS STRUCK COULD NOT BE PROVIDED. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY AND THE SEAT BELT FAILED TO RETRACT. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED MINOR INJURIES, BUT IT WAS UNKNOWN IF MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS RECEIVED. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS DRIVEN FROM THE SCENE AND THEN TOWED TO AN INDEPENDENT MECHANIC WHERE IT WAS CONFIRMED THAT THE DRIVER SIDE FRAME RAIL WAS CRUSHED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 11,000.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10905832	HONDA	CIVIC	2014	4/16/14	09/12/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2014 HONDA CIVIC. THE CONTACT STATED THAT ANOTHER VEHICLE CRASHED INTO THE FRONT PASSENGER SIDE OF HER VEHICLE. THE CONTACT PULLED OVER AND PLACED THE VEHICLE IN PARK. AFTER THE VEHICLE WAS PLACED BACK INTO THE DRIVE POSITION WITH THE BRAKE PEDAL DEPRESSED, THE VEHICLE INDEPENDENTLY ACCELERATED AND CRASHED INTO A CANAL. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED NECK AND BACK INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND DEEMED DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 200. THE VIN WAS UNAVAILABLE.
10926225	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	10/12/16	11/15/16	TL* THE CONTACT OWNS A 2013 HONDA ACCORD. WHILE DRIVING 45 MPH, THAT CONTACT STRUCK A DEER. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY ON THE DRIVER SIDE. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED AND THERE WERE NO INJURIES. THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN HOME AND PARKED. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS APPROXIMATELY 127,000.
10991745	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	5/13/17	05/26/17	DRIVING ON A RURAL HIGHWAY AND HIT A DEER. IMPACT BENT THE BRACKET THAT HOLDS THE AIRBAG SENSOR COMPLETELY BACKWARDS AND INTO THE SENSOR ITSELF, WHICH CRACKED. AIRBAG NEVER DEPLOYED. NOW, POST REPAIR, AIRBAG WARNING AND LIGHT ARE ON CONSTANTLY.
10993310	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	5/19/17	06/05/17	MY DAUGHTER HIT TELEPHONE PULLING IN A PARKING AT 50 MPH OFF OF A CITY STREET. SHE IS A NEW DRIVER AND WAS SPOOKED BY A CAR TAILGATING HER VERY CLOSE. THE DRIVERS SIDE CURTIN AIR BAG DID DEPLOY. BUT THE DRIVERS STEERING WHEEL AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY. THERE WAS A PASSENGER IN FRONT SEAT AND HIS AIR BAG DID DEPLOY. THE SIDE CURTIN ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE DID NOT DEPLOY. BOTH PASSENGER'S WERE WEARING SEAT BELTS AT THE TIME OF ACCIDENT. QUESTION IS WHY DID THE DRIVERS AIR BAG NOT DEPLOY?
10993353	HONDA	CIVIC	2015	5/29/17	06/06/17	I WAS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT ON 5/29/17. MY CAR WAS HIT ON THE LEFT REAR NEAR THE FENDER WELL. THE CAR SPUN OUT OF CONTROL ACROSS THE INTERSTATE AND HIT THE GUARD RAIL FACING THE TRAFFIC. THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11006304	HONDA	CR-V	2016	4/20/17	07/19/17	VEHICLE WAS STOPPED AT A LIGHT, AND RECEIVED FRONT CRASH FROM INCOMING VEHICLE. AIR BAGS DID NOT WORK, AND SEATBELT BROKE. PASSENGER RECEIVED SIGNIFICANT BODILY INJURY
11006609	HONDA	CR-V	2015	6/12/17	07/20/17	ON JUNE 12TH, 2017, I PASSED OUT WHILE DRIVING AND HIT A PARKED PICKUP. I WAS TRAVELING AT 50-55 MPH WHEN I CRASHED. MY CAR PUSHED THE PICKUP BACKWARDS 33'. THE PICKUP PUSHED THE CAR BEHIND IT 8' THEN THAT CAR WAS PUSHED BACKWARD INTO ANOTHER CAR. MY CAR AND THE PICKUP WERE TOTALED. MY SIDE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. I WAS SEVERELY INJURED. I SUSTAINED 5 BROKEN RIBS, MY LEFT WRIST WAS BROKEN ALONG WITH A BONE IN THE SAME HAND. I HAD A LARGE HEMATOMA ON THE LEFT SIDE OF MY NECK AND A BASEBALL SIZE HEMATOMA ON THE LEFT SIDE OF MY ABDOMEN. MOST OF MY INJURIES WAS FROM THE SEAT BELT. THERE WAS AN ABRASION ACROSS MY NECK. THE MUSCLES IN MY RIGHT CHEST WERE PULLED. ALSO THE MUSCLES AROUND MY RIGHT BREAST AND UNDER MY RIGHT ARM WERE PULLED. ON JULY 3RD, I HAD SURGERY TO REMOVE THE HEMATOMA ON MY LEFT ABDOMEN. IT WAS ATHE SIZE OF TWO FISTS. I HAVE WORN A WOUND VAC SINCE THE SURGERY AND HAVE 2-3 WEEKS TO GO. I RECEIVED A BLOW TO THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I HAD A. RISE ON MY FOREHEAD AND ALONG THE BRIDGE OF MY NOSE. I BELIEVE THE SIDE AIRBAGS SHOULD HAVE DEPLOYED. MY INJURIES WOULD HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANTLY LESS HAD THE AIRBAGS PROTECTED ME. I'M REQUESTING THAT YOU INVESTIGATE WHO MADE THE PARTS FOR THE AIRBAGS AND WHY DID THEY NOT WORK.
11010652	HONDA	CIVIC	2014	11/5/16	07/25/17	I WAS IN A CAR ACCIDENT AND THE AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. I CONTINUE TO HAVE PAIN AND INJURIES THAT HAVE NOT HEALED.
11046438	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	11/5/17	11/16/17	I WAS IN AN ACCIDENT. THY FRONT OF THY CAR WAS CRUSHED. MY CAR IS DEEMED A LOSS AND TOTAL . THE AIRBAGS NEVER WENT OFF .I WAS DRIVING AN STRUCK A BUCK KILLING HIM INSTANTLY. I WAS WEARING MY SEAT BELT AND HAVE SUFFERED A CONCUSSION FROM THE ACCIDENT.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11051165	HONDA	CIVIC	2015	9/29/17	11/29/17	TL* THE CONTACT OWNED A 2015 HONDA CIVIC. WHILE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 40 MPH AND DEPRESSING THE BRAKE PEDAL, THE VEHICLE SKID. AS A RESULT, THE CONTACT CRASHED INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE. THE AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. THE CONTACT SUSTAINED HEAD AND CHEST INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MEDICAL ATTENTION. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOWING LOT. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT TAKEN TO A DEALER. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED. THE MANUFACTURER WAS NOT CONTACTED AND MADE AWARE OF THE FAILURE. THE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS UNKNOWN. THE VIN WAS NOT AVAILABLE.
11105044	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	6/29/18	07/02/18	FRONT END COLLISION. 30 MPH. RAN INTO STOPPED CAR AHEAD. AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY.
11128250	HONDA	CIVIC	2013	9/4/18	09/08/18	HONDA CIVIC 2013 WAS IN A FRONT END COLLISION WHERE THE CAR WAS TOTALED. AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. ACCIDENT WAS ON THE HIGHWAY IN MOTION. DRIVER OR PASSENGER AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. MILEAGE IS ESTIMATED.
11132084	HONDA	CIVIC	2015	9/27/18	09/28/18	MY DAUGHTER WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONTAL COLLISION ON 9/27/2018 IN HER 2015 HONDA CIVIC. THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND SHE HIT HER HEAD, CHEST, AND PELVIS ON THE STEERING WHEEL. WE HAVE HAD THIS CAR SINCE IT WAS NEW. SHE WAS DRIVING ABOUT 35MPH WHEN A CAR STARTED TO TURN IN FRONT OF HER, AND THEY COLLIDED NEARLY HEAD-ON.
11193687	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	4/1/19	04/03/19	ON APRIL 1, 2019 I WAS INVOLVED IN A COLLISION AT AN INTERSECTION ON CITY STREETS AND THE AIRBAG IN STEERING WHEEL DID NOT DEPLOY HOWEVER THE PASSENGER SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG DID DEPLOY. THE IMPACT WAS FRONT AND PASSENGER SIDE OF VEHICLE. I HIT THE STEERING WHEEL WITH FORCE AND NOW THE STEERING WHEEL DOES NOT LOCK IN PLACE AND I AM HA ING A LOT OF PAIN IN STERNUM AND RIBS. SHOULDNT THE DRIVER AIRBAG HAVE DEPLOYED? THE CAR IS PROBABLY TOTALED. I AM WAITING FOR INSURANCE ADJUSTER TO INSPECT IT.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11203383	HONDA	CIVIC	2014	3/25/19	04/24/19	I WAS HIT BY A CAR TURNING LEFT AND MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. THE CARS SCREEN HAD AN ALERT ON IT WITH THE WORDS "AIRBAG FAILED". MY VIN IS NOT IN THE RECALL HOWEVER I AM CONCERNED. THE ARIBAGS FAILED AND I'M LUCKY THAT I WAS OK. THE CAR IS DEEMED A TOTAL LOSS DUE TO THE AIRBAGS FAILING.
11205512	HONDA	FIT	2015	4/18/19	05/03/19	I WAS STOPPED IN TRAFFIC BECAUSE A FEW CARS AHEAD OF ME SOMEONE WAS STOPPED TO TURN LEFT. I WAS REAR ENDED BY SOMEONE IN A NISSAN ROGUE SUV WHICH HIT ME WITH ENOUGH FORCE TO PUSH MY CAR FORWARD AND SMASH ME INTO A FORD EXPLORER. I WAS HIT TWICE AND MY AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY AND MY CAR SUSTAINED SUBSTANTIAL REAR-END AND FRONT DAMAGE. SO MUCH SO THAT THE CAR WAS DECLARED A TOTAL LOSS. MY AIR BAG DID NOT DEPLOY AND FROM THE DAMAGE DONE SHOULD HAVE. IF IT HAD, I WOULD OF SUSTAINED LESS INJURIES FROM MY ACCIDENT.
11209214	HONDA	CR-V	2015	5/4/19	05/22/19	WE WERE TRAVELING ON A ROAD AT 55 MPH. ANOTHER VEHICLE ABRUPTLY PULLED OUT IN FRONT OF US AT 10 MPH. OUR DRIVER ATTEMPTED TO AVOID COLLISION BY SWERVING TO THE RIGHT MEDIAN. THE IMPACT WAS OUR FRONT DRIVER SIDE HITTING THE OTHER DRIVER'S BACK PASSENGER SIDE. ACCORDING TO WITNESS STATEMENTS, OUR CAR WENT AIR BORN AND INTO A MULTIPLE SPIN SITUATION. WE TOOK OUT A GUARD RAIL. DAMAGE WAS SUSTAINED ON ALL SIDES OF THE VEHICLE, EXCEPT THE ROOF. VEHICLE WAS TOTALED. POLICE RULED FAULT WAS THE OTHER DRIVER. WE WERE WEARING SEAT BELTS. LUGGAGE FLEW OUT THE BACK WINDOW, APPROXIMATELY 30 FEET INTO THE ROAD. THE SIDE AIRBAGS DEPLOYED SUCCESSFULLY. NEITHER FRONT AIRBAG DEPLOYED. GLASS IN WINDSHIELD WAS SHATTERED, BUT PART OF THE GUARD RAIL (WE THINK) TOOK OUT THE GLASS IN A CIRCULAR PATTERN ON THE PASSENGER SIDE.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11218739	HONDA	CIVIC	2013	6/5/19	06/09/19	CAR WAS INVOLVED IN A ROLL OVER ACCIDENT WHICH INCLUDED DRIVER FRONT SIDE IMPACT. CAR WENT OF THE ROAD INTO A DITCH, WENT OVER THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DITCH FLIPPING BACK ON ITS 4 WHEELS. IMPACT ON WHEELS WAS ENOUGH TO KNOCK WHEELS PARTIAL OFF THE CAR WITH BLOWN BACK WINDOW AND CRACKED WINDSHIELD. NEITHER THE DRIVER OR PASSENGER AIRBAGS WERE DEPLOYED. SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAGS WERE DEPLOYED. VEHICLE SUSTAIN TOTAL DAMAGE. DRIVER SUSTAINED LIFE THREATENING INJURIES
11230881	HONDA	ACCORD	2015	5/19/19	07/10/19	I WAS INVOLVED AN ACCIDENT AND MY AIRBAG DIDNT WORKS, WAS A VERY STRONG HITTING, I WAS WAITING IN THE RED LIGHT AND A DODGE CARAVAN HIT ME IN THE FRONT VERY STRONG WHEN SHE MISSED THE RED LIGHT
11232553	HONDA	CR-V	2016	5/26/19	07/16/19	TAMARA RECALL... ON MAY 26, 2019 WE WERE TRAVELING DOWN FROM OUR MOUNTAIN HOME IN SEQUOIA MOUNTAINS ABOVE PORTERVILLE. IT WAS STARTING TO SNOW AT 4 PM. GOING BETWEEN 10 AND 15 MPH, ROUNDING A CURVE, IN LOW GEAR THE CAR LOST TRACTION WENT DOWN A 200 FOOT EMBANKMENT. SMASHED HEAD ON MORE ON THE PASSENGER SIDE, INTO A LARGE BOULDER THE CAR FLIPPED ON ITS DRIVER SIDE AND LANDED IN A CREEK. THE FRONT AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOY, SIDE AIRBAGS DID HOWEVER. MY WIFE AND I SUFFERED INJURIES SHE SUFFERED A FRACTURED STERNUM, I SUFFERED A LOWER FRACTURE IN MY VERTEBRAE. FROM THE IMPACT OF THE CAR I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT AT LEAST THE DRIVER SIDE FRONT AIRBAG WOULD HAVE DEPLOYED, IT DID NOT, AND IF THEY HAD IM NOT SURE MY WIFE WOULD HAVE SUFFERED THE INJURIES SHE DID.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11286664	HONDA	ACCORD	2013	11/28/19	12/03/19	ON 11-28-2019@ APPROXIMATELY 1835 HRS. MY HONDA ACCORD LX-AUTOMATIC WAS INVOLVED IN A MULTIPLE CAR ACCIDENT INVOLVING 5 CARS. I AM CONCERNED THAT THE AIRBAGS DID NOT DEPLOYED WHEN THE CAR WAS HIT FROM THE REAR AND PUSHED INTO THE CAR IN FRONT. 2 IMPACTS WERE FELT FROM BEHIND FROM. AT THIS TIME THE CAR IS DISABLED AND I AM AWAITING FOR ESURANCE TO EVALUATE MY LOSS. I AM VERY CONCERN THAT THIS COULD HAVE POTENTIALLY BEEN A DEADLY SITUATION FOR MYSELF AS WELL AS THE OCCUPANTS OF THE CAR. I WAS ON THE LEFT LANE TRAVELING WESTBOUND WHEN THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME SUDDENLY SLAMMED ON THE BREAKS AND THE CAR FROM BEHIND PUSHED MY CAR INTO THE CAR IN FRONT OF ME. THE SPEED LIMIT ON THE I-495 WESTBOUND IS 50 MPH.
11297555	HONDA	CR-V	2016	12/18/19	01/07/20	I WAS INVOLVED IN A FRONTAL CRASH ON THE FREEWAY AND MY AIRBAG DID NOT DEPLOY. MY CAR WAS TOTALED WITH EXTENSIVE FRONT END DAMAGE IN WHICH BOTH DOORS COULD NOT OPEN ALL THE WAY DUE TO STRUCTURAL DAMAGE. I AM STILL AWAITING THE POLICE REPORT. MY NOSE WAS BRUISED AND HAD LACERATIONS.

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
11301646	HONDA	CIVIC	2012	1/20/20	01/24/20	<p>TL* THE CONTACT CALLED ON BEHALF OF HER MOM WHO OWNED A 2012 HONDA CIVIC. THE CONTACT STATED THAT WHILE DRIVING AT 50 MPH, THE VEHICLE WAS INVOLVED IN A CHAIN REACTION ACCIDENT. THE CONTACT STATED THAT THE THE DRIVER AND PASSENGER FRONTAL AIR BAGS FAILED TO DEPLOY. ADDITIONALLY, THE SEAT BELTS FOR THE DRIVER, PASSENGER, AND REAR SEAT PASSENGER FAILED TO OPERATE AS INTENDED. THE DRIVER AND FRONT SEAT PASSENGERS SUSTAINED INJURIES TO THE HEAD, CHEST, BACK, AND SHOULDERS WHILE THE REAR SEAT PASSENGER SUSTAINED ABRASIONS TO THE FACE FROM THE BACK PANEL OF THE FRONT PASSENGER'S SEAT. MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS SOUGHT BY EACH VEHICLE OCCUPANT. A FOURTH PASSENGER IN THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INJURED. THE CONTACT STATED THAT APPROXIMATELY FOUR OTHER PASSENGERS FROM THE OTHER VEHICLES INVOLVED IN THE CRASH ALSO SUSTAINED INJURIES AND MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS ALSO SOUGHT. A POLICE REPORT WAS FILED. THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO A TOW AND RECOVERY FACILITY. THE VEHICLE WAS NOT INSPECTED OR DIAGNOSED. NEITHER THE DEALER NOR THE MANUFACTURER WERE CONTACTED OR NOTIFIED OF THE FAILURE. THE APPROXIMATE FAILURE MILEAGE WAS 136,000. THE VEHICLE WAS DESTROYED.</p>

EXHIBIT 6

ODI No.	Make	Model	Year	Failure Date	Complaint Date	Full Text
10917870	MITSUBISHI	LANCER	2015	09/13/16	10/21/16	I WAS TRAVELING ALONG 20 MILES BELOW THE SPEED LIMIT HAD A DEER JUMPED OUT IN FRONT OF ME I SWEAR TO MISS IT MY FRONT PASSENGER SIDE TIRE WENT OFF THE ASPHALT AND INTO SOFT DIRT AND MY CAR HIGH CENTERED ON THE RAISED LIP OF THE ROAD AND SLID DOWN THE HILLSIDE LANDING INTO TREES BOTH GOING FORWARD AND TOWARDS THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE CAR STOPPING BECAUSE OF TREES IT DESTROYED THE FRONT END THE ENTIRE UNDERCARRIAGE THE ENTIRE PASSENGER SIDE OF THE CAR POPPED OPEN THE SUNROOF TRIED PUSHING THE ROOF OFF THE BACK DRIVER SIDE OF THE CAR AND NO AIRBAGS WENT OFF NO SAFETY FEATURES OTHER THAN THE SEAT BELT WORK.
10993969	MITSUBISHI	LANCER	2015	05/13/17	06/08/17	SON WAS DRIVING VEHICLE REAR ENDED A VEHICLE, AT 35 MPH, ROLLED MITSUBISHI 8 TO 9 TIMES, SLED ON ROOF ABOUT 50 FEET BEFORE COMING TO A STOP UP SIDE DOWN. AIRBAGS NEVER DEPLOYED. NOT EVEN WHEN THE TOW TRUCK FLIPPED CAR RIGHT SIDE UP.
11046087	MITSUBISHI	LANCER	2015	11/12/17	11/14/17	DRIVING ON INTERSTATE AT 1130 AT NIGHT NO RAIN OR ANYTHING. I HIT A DEER AT 72 MPH LOTS OF DAMAGE TO THE FRONT AND DRIVERSIDE.. MY SEST BELT WAS LOCKED BUT NOT ONE OF MY AIR BAGS COME OUT..
11299752	MITSUBISHI	LANCER	2016	01/11/20	01/16/20	ACCIDENT THAT RESULTED IN THE CAR BEING DECLARED TOTAL LOSS. THE CAR WAS HIT IN THE UPPER FRONT AND SIDE AREA OF DRIVERS SIDE. DURING THE ACCIDENT THE AIR BAGS DID NOT DEPLOY. RESULTED IN INJURIES, OF COURSE. THE CAR WAS MAKING LEFT HANDED TURN FROM RESIDENTIAL AREA ONTO A BUSY MAIN STREET. AND THE OTHER VEHICLE WAS NOT PAYING ATTENTION AND HIT THE CAR WHILE IT WAS TRYING TO TURN. THE CAR WAS GOING APPROXIMATELY 15-20 MPH. THE OTHER VEHICLE WAS GOING 40-45 MPH. WHAT WOULD CAUSE THE AIR BAGS TO MALFUNCTION?? BECAUSE I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW WHY INJURIES HAD TO EVEN OCCUR SINCE THEY ONLY HAPPENED DUE TO THE MALFUNCTION OF THE AIR BAGS.

EXHIBIT 7

Year: 2012
 Make: Acura
 Model: TL 4dr Sdn Auto 2WD
 VIN: 19UUA8F29CA019443

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Colors: Bellanova White Pearl / Ebony
 Mileage: 109,496

Stock #: 2018-193

MECHANICAL

- 3.5L SOHC PGM-FI 24-valve VTEC V6 engine
- Drive-by-wire throttle system
- 6-speed sequential SportShift automatic transmission -inc: paddle shifters, grade logic control
- Front wheel drive
- Independent double wishbone front suspension
- Independent multi-link rear suspension
- Front/rear stabilizer bars
- Electric variable pwr-assisted rack & pinion steering
- Pwr ventilated front/solid rear disc brakes
- Integrated dual outlet exhaust

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 8" 7-spoke alloy wheels
- P245/50VR17 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Pwr operated moonroof w/tilt -inc: auto-open/close, auto-reverse, key-off operation
- Xenon high-intensity discharge (HID) headlights w/auto-on/off
- Front fog lights
- LED tail lights
- Acoustic glass windshield (2011)
- Heated pwr mirrors w/memory, reverse-gear tilt down, integrated LED directional signals
- Speed-sensitive variable intermittent windshield wipers (2011)
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- XM satellite radio *Available in 48 contiguous United States, first 90 days are free*
- Radio data system
- Speed-sensitive volume control

INTERIOR

- Active front headrests
- Rear bench seat w/adjustable headrests
- Rear seat center armrest w/locking trunk pass-through
- Front center console -inc: sliding tray
- Black carpeting
- Front/rear carpeted floor mats
- Theft deterrent system w/electronic immobilizer
- LED back-lit instruments w/progressive illumination (2011)
- Multi-info display

- Outside temp gauge
- Trip computer
- Maintenance Minder system
- Alarm system (2011)
- Pwr windows w/front auto up-down, auto-reverse, remote open, key-off operation
- HomeLink universal garage door opener
- Dual zone/mode automatic climate control -inc: air filtration, automatic humidity control, rear vents w/controls
- Rear window defroster w/timer (2011)
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror
- Dual illuminated vanity mirrors -inc: driver card holder (2011)
- Ambient cabin lighting -inc: footwell lighting, console lighting
- Footwell lighting
- Door-mounted courtesy lights (2011)
- Front/rear LED map lights
- Leather-wrapped gearshift knob
- Front/rear beverage holders
- (2) 12-volt pwr outlets
- Simulated wood trim

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock braking system (ABS) -inc: electronic brake force distribution, brake assist
- Vehicle stability assist (VSA) w/traction control
- Advanced compatibility engineering (ACE) body structure
- Side-impact door beams (2011)
- Impact absorbing front/rear crumple zones (2011)
- Daytime running lights
- Dual stage multiple threshold front airbags
- Front side-impact airbags w/passenger occupant position detection system
- Front/rear side-curtain airbags
- 3-point seat belts
- Front seat belt height adjusters, load limiters, pretensioners
- Lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH)
- Rear child safety door locks
- Emergency trunk release
- Tire pressure monitor w/location & pressure indicators

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$35,705.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Bellanova White Pearl	\$0
Ebony, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$36,600.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.redsautoandtruck.com
 303-726-5520

Year: 2011
 Make: Chrysler
 Model: 200 4dr Sdn Limited
 VIN: 1C3BC2FG4BN571968

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION -inc: Autostick, tip start
 Exterior: Black
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC SMPI 16-valve I4 dual VVT engine
- 6-speed automatic transmission -inc: Autostick, tip start
- Remote start system
- Hood insulation
- Front wheel drive
- 525 CCA maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Tip start ignition
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Instrument panel storage bin
- Instrument panel silver bezel
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- 120-mph speedometer
- Tachometer
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/front 1-touch up/down
- Pwr door locks
- Pwr trunklid release
- Universal garage door opener
- Speed control
- Remote keyless entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/auto temp control
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- Analog clock
- 12V pwr outlet in center console
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Front & rear aimable LED lamps
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror w/microphone
- Dual sun visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Rear compartment assist handles
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Trunk mat
- Leather-wrapped shift knob

EXTERIOR

- 18" x 7.0" polished aluminum wheels
- P225/50R18 BSW all-season touring tires
- Compact spare tire
- Body-color fascias
- Black windshield moldings
- Dark argent grille w/bright accents
- Bi-function halogen projector headlamps
- Automatic headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Fog lamps
- LED taillamps
- Pwr heated fold-away mirrors -inc: chrome casings
- Tinted windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Bright door handles
- Decklid liner

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 430 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/DVD/MP3 player, 30GB hard disk drive w/6700 song capacity, 6.5" touch screen display, aux input jack, Bluetooth streaming audio
- speakers
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/ year service subscription *N/A in HI, GU or PR*
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Removable short mast antenna
- UConnect voice command w/Bluetooth -inc: auto-dimming rearview mirror w/microphone, Bluetooth streaming audio, aux audio input
- Aux audio input

INTERIOR

- Low back bucket seats
- Leather-trimmed seats
- Manual driver lumbar adjust
- Pwr 8-way driver seat
- Heated front seats
- Active head restraints
- 60/40 split-folding rear seat
- Rear seat armrest
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Tilt/telescoping steering column

CITY MPG

19



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,945.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
3.6 L VVT Flex Fuel 24 Valve V6 Engine	\$1,795
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 160 amp alternator · dual exhaust w/polished tips · engine oil cooler 	
27 V Limited Customer Preferred Order	\$0
Selection PKG	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 3.6L V6 engine · 6-speed auto trans 	
Black	\$0
Black, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,490.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.fredmartinsuperstore.com
 888-230-0517

Year: 2012
 Make: Chrysler
 Model: 200 4dr Sdn Limited
 VIN: 1C3CCBCGXCN326568

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION -inc: Autostick, tip start
 Exterior: Tungsten Metallic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Remote start system
- Hood insulation
- Front wheel drive
- 525-CCA maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Tip start ignition
- GVW rating - 4600#
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

- Tilt/telescoping steering column
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Instrument panel storage bin
- Instrument panel silver bezel
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- 120-mph speedometer
- Tachometer
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/front 1-touch up/down
- Pwr trunklid release
- Universal garage door opener
- Speed control
- Pwr accessory delay
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/auto temp control
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- Analog clock
- Front & rear aimable LED lamps
- Dual sun visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Rear compartment assist handles
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Trunk mat
- Leather-wrapped shift knob

EXTERIOR

- 18" x 7.0" polished aluminum wheels
- P225/50R18 BSW all-season touring tires
- Compact spare tire
- Body-color fascias
- Black windshield moldings
- Dark argent grille w/bright accents
- Bi-function halogen projector headlamps
- Fog lamps
- LED taillamps
- Pwr heated fold-away mirrors -inc: chrome casings
- Tinted windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Bright door handles
- Decklid liner

SAFETY

- Brake/park interlock
- Supplemental front & rear side curtain air bags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Rear center 3-point seat belt
- Rear door child safety locks
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Child seat anchor system (LATCH) ready
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Internal emergency trunk release
- Dual note horns

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Manual driver lumbar adjust
- Pwr 8-way driver seat
- Heated front seats
- Active head restraints
- 60/40 split-folding rear seat
- Rear seat armrest
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel

CITY MPG

19



HIGHWAY MPG

28

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$24,685.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
3.6 L VVT Flex Fuel 24 Valve V6 Engine	\$1,795
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 160 amp alternator · dual exhaust w/polished tips · engine oil cooler 	
27 V Limited Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 3.6L V6 engine · 6-speed auto trans 	
Tungsten Metallic	\$0
Black, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$850
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,330.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

CHRYSLER

2013

200 LIMITED

For more information visit: www.chrysler.com or call 1-800-CHRYSLER Chrysler Group LLC



EPA Fuel Economy and Environment DOT Gasoline Vehicle

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$24,685

CHRYSLER 200 LIMITED Exterior Color: Billet Silver Metallic, Clear Coat Exterior Paint Interior Color: Black, Light Frost Beige Interior Colors Interior: 2.4-Liter 14 PZEV 16-Valve Dual VTEC WT Engine Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT) FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags Supplemental Side-Curtain Front and Rear Airbags Front Seat Active Head Restraints Center Rear 3-Point Seat Belt Rear Door Child Protection Locks Child Seat Anchor System-LATCH Ready Anti-Lock 4-Wheel Disc Brakes Tire Pressure Monitoring Display Electronic Stability Control Traction Control Variable Intermittent Windshield Wipers Sentry Key® Theft Deterrent System Keyless Entry Security Alarm Speed Control Power Door Locks Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror w/ Microphone Rear Window Defroster Power Front Windows w/ 1-Touch Up and Down Feature Remote Start System Universal Garage Door Opener INTERIOR FEATURES Heated Front Seats Power 8-Way Driver Seat Uconnect® 130 AM/FM/CD/MP3 Six 270W Boston Acoustics® Speakers SiriusXM Satellite Radio w/ 1-Yr Radio Subscription For More Information, Call 888-539-7474 Audio Jack Input for Mobile Devices Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls Air Conditioning with Automatic Temperature Control Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel Leather-Wrapped Shift Knob

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT

- Customer Preferred Package 26V Smoker's Group Cigar Lighter 2.4-Liter 14 PZEV 16-Valve Dual VTEC WT Engine 140-Amp Alternator 225/55R17 BSW All Season Touring Tires 18.5-Gallon Fuel Tank California Emissions Fleet Incentive Waiver Delete Group Funds-Fleet DESTINATION CHARGE \$995

TOTAL PRICE: * \$25,230

WARRANTY COVERAGE 5-year or 100,000-mile Powertrain Limited Warranty, 3-year or 36,000-mile Basic Limited Warranty, Roadside assistance; certain restrictions apply. Ask Dealer for a copy of the limited warranties or see your owner's manual for details.

5 Year / 100,000 Mile Powertrain Warranty

As-assembly Point/Port of Entry, STERLING HTS, MICH., U.S.A. VIN: TC3-CCBCB5DN-568030




1-800-4-A-CHRYSLER

- Tilt / Telescoping Steering Column Luxury Front and Rear Floor Mats EXTERIOR FEATURES Dual Rear Exhaust with Chrome Tips Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps Automatic Headlamps Projector Fog Lamps LED Tail Lamps Chrome Exterior Mirrors Power Heated Exterior Mirrors w/ Manual Fold-Away 17-Inch x 6.5-Inch Aluminum Wheels 225/55R17 BSW All Season Touring Tires \$50 -\$500

Annual fuel cost \$2,300

Fuel Economy 23 MPG combined city/hwy 20 city 31 highway 4.3 gallons per 100 miles

You save \$100 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.



Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and cost \$11,600 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.35 per gallon. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fueleconomy.gov Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Table with columns: Overall Vehicle Score (5 stars), Frontal Crash (5 stars), Side Crash (5 stars), Rollover (5 stars), Driver (5 stars), Passenger (5 stars), Front seat (5 stars), Rear seat (5 stars). Includes text: 'Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.' and 'Based on the risk of injury in a side impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.'

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest. Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. Bumper Performance This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE: U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 73% NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS. FOR THIS VEHICLE: FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT: STERLING HTS, MICH., U.S.A. COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: ENGINE: UNITED STATES TRANSMISSION: UNITED STATES

CHRYSLER

2014

200 LX

For more information visit: www.chrysler.com or call 1-800-CHRYSLER

Chrysler Group LLC



THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$21,795

CHRYSLER 200 LX
Exterior Color: Billet Silver Metallic Clear Coat Exterior Paint
Interior Color: Black Interior Cloth Seats
Interior: Premium Cloth
Engine: 2.4-Liter I4 DOHC 16-Valve Dual VVT Engine
Transmission: 4-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)

FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
- Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Supplemental Side-Curtain Front and Rear Airbags
- Front Seat Active Head Restraints
- Center Rear 3-Point Seat Belt
- Rear Door Child Protection Locks
- Child Seat Anchor System-LATCH Ready
- Anti-Lock 4-Wheel Disc Brakes
- Tire Pressure Monitor with Warning Lamp
- Power Rack-and-Pinion Steering
- Electronic Stability Control
- Traction Control
- Variable Intermittent Windshield Wipers
- Sentry Key® Theft Deterrent System
- Keyless Entry with Panic Alarm
- Security Alarm
- Speed Control
- Power Door Locks
- Power Windows with Driver's One-Touch-Down Feature
- Rearview Day / Night Mirror
- Rear Window Defroster
- Tinted Glass Windows
- Power Accessory Delay
- Laminated Windshield and Front Door Glass

INTERIOR FEATURES

- Air Conditioning
- Driver Seat Height Adjuster
- Manual Driver Lumbar Adjust
- Uconnect® 130 AM/FM/CD/MP3
- 4 Speakers
- Audio Jack Input for Mobile Devices

As-assembly Point/Port of Entry: STERLING HTS, MICH., U.S.A.

VIN: TC3-CCBABSEN-143444

LA-VON 5040

SL 1004



THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED. * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment

24 MPG Midsize cars range from 13 to 58 MPG. The best vehicle rates 121 MPGe.

21 city **30 highway**

4.2 gallons per 100 miles combined city/highway

You save \$500 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Annual fuel Cost \$2,200

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)

6 (Scale 1-10, Best)

Smog Rating (tailpipe only)

6 (Scale 1-10, Best)

This vehicle emits 349 grams CO2 per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also creates emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

fuelconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side, and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash Driver ★★★★★ Passenger ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash Front seat ★★★★★ Rear seat ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★★★★★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. Bumper Performance This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.



Smartphone QR Code

U.S. / CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 73 %
NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS.

FOR THIS VEHICLE:
FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT: STERLING HTS, MICH., U.S.A.
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: UNITED STATES
TRANSMISSION: UNITED STATES



GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side, and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash Driver ★★★★★ Passenger ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash Front seat ★★★★★ Rear seat ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★★★★★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. Bumper Performance This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.



200 LIMITED

For more information visit: www.chrysler.com or call 1-800-CHRYSLER

FCA US LLC

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment DOT

Fuel Economy section showing 28 MPG, \$1,500 savings, and fuel economy ratings.

Annual fuel cost section showing \$1,900 and fuel economy & greenhouse gas rating.

fuel economy.gov section with QR code and personalized estimates.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS section with overall vehicle score and crash test results.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest. Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options.

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$23,950

CHRYSLER 200 LIMITED Exterior Color: Black Clear Coat Exterior Paint Interior: Black Cloth Interior Colors: Interior: Power Windows, Mirrors, Seats Engine: 2.4 Liter Multi Air 14 Multi Air 1400 cc Engine Transmission: 9-Speed 948TE Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT) FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags Supplemental Side-Curtain Front and Rear Airbags Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag Passenger Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System Electronic Stability Control Traction Control Anti-Lock 4-Wheel Disc Brakes Brake Assist Electric Park Brake Electric Power Steering Keyless Enter 'n Go™ Variable Intermittent Windshield Wipers Security Alarm Speed Control Rear Window Defroster

Interior Features: Air Conditioning, Uconnect® 5.0 AM/FM/MT, 6 Speakers, Audio Jack Input for Mobile Devices, Remote USB Port, Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls, Power Front Windows w/ 1-Touch Up and Down Feature, Manual 6-Way Driver Seat, 6-Way Manual Passenger Seat Adjust, Ambient LED Interior Lighting, Electric Vehicle Information Center, Tire Pressure Monitoring Display, Compass Gauge, Outside Temperature Gauge, Rearview Mirror with Microphone, Tilt / Telescope Steering Column

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT (May Replace Standard Equipment): Front Floor Mats, Rear Floor Mats, Low Beam Daytime Running Headlamps, 003GS-30F-CA003 9C.1, RPW-N-JL095 GB2015, BILL HOOPER 603-437-5700, DESTINATION CHARGE \$0

TOTAL PRICE: * \$24,005

WARRANTY COVERAGE: 5-year or 100,000-mile Powertrain Limited Warranty, 3-year or 36,000-mile Basic Limited Warranty, 5-year or 100,000-mile Roadside Assistance; certain restrictions apply. Ask Dealer for a copy of the limited warranties or see your owner's manual for details.

5 Year / 100,000 Mile Powertrain Warranty

12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Console, Rear Seat Armrest with Storage, Cup Holder, Front Center Sliding Armrest, Overhead Console with Sunglass Holder, Sun Visors with Vanity Mirrors, EXTERIOR FEATURES: Automatic Headlamps, Compact Spare Tire, Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps with LEDs, Headlamps with Turn-Off Time Delay, LED Tail Lamps, 17-Inch x 7.5-Inch Tech Silver Aluminum Wheels, Body-Color Power Mirrors, Active Grille Shutters

Assembley Point/Port of Entry: STERLING HTS, MICH., U.S.A. VIN: TC3-CCCAB2FN-742650 LA-VON 7302

Barcode and VIN information: VIN: TC3-CCCAB2FN-742650 LA-VON 7302

THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED. * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

Year: 2010
 Make: Chrysler
 Model: Sebring 2dr Conv Touring
 VIN: 1C3BC5ED9AN127895

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4-SPEED AUTOMATIC VLP TRANSMISSION
 Exterior: Bright Silver Metallic (PS2)
 Interior: Dark slate gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.7L DOHC MPI 24-valve V6 engine (w/NAA or YCH Emissions REQ: XKN Flex-fuel system)
- 4-speed automatic VLP transmission
- Hood insulation
- Front wheel drive
- 525 CCA maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season BSW tires
- Bridgestone tires
- Compact spare tire
- Body-color fascias
- Pwr cloth top
- Pwr convertible top
- Black windshield moldings
- Dark argent grille w/bright accents
- Quad headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Pwr heated mirrors
- Tinted windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles
- Hard tonneau cover

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 230 6-disc in-dash CD/DVD/MP3 radio w/aux input jack
- (6) Speakers
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/(1) year service subscription *N/A in HI, GU or PR*
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Low back bucket seats
- Premium cloth seats
- Manual driver lumbar adjust
- Pwr 6-way driver & front passenger seats
- Stain repel seat fabric
- Active head restraints
- Center console cushioned armrest
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Door sill scuff pads
- Tilt/telescoping steering column
- Sport steering wheel
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system

- Instrument panel
- Instrument panel storage bin
- Instrument panel silver bezel
- Instrument panel satin silver bezel
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- 120-mph speedometer
- Tachometer
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/front 1-touch down
- Pwr door locks
- Pwr trunklid release
- Speed control
- Remote keyless entry
- Pwr convertible top & windows down key fob
- Pwr accessory delay
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- Locking glove box
- Analog clock
- 12V pwr outlet in center console
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Premium door trim panel
- Rearview mirror w/reading lamps
- Dual sun visors w/vanity mirrors
- Illuminated entry
- Rear courtesy console lamp
- Trunk lamp
- Trunk mat
- Trunk dress up
- Urethane shift knob
- Color-keyed park brake lever

SAFETY

- Brake/park interlock
- Advanced multistage front air bags
- Supplemental side air bags
- Supplemental front seat side air bags
- Occupant classification sensor
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Child seat anchor system (LATCH) ready
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Internal emergency trunk release
- Dual note horns

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

26

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$29,210.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
Flex Fuel System	\$0
28 E Touring Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.7L V6 engine · 4-speed VLP auto trans 	
Bright Silver Metallic	\$0
Black Cloth Top	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
6 S7 Order Code	\$0
Body Color Molding	\$75
Highbeam Daytime Running Headlamps	\$40
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$30,075.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



California Motors Direct
- Stanton

ocmotorsdirect.com
 714-947-1900

Year: 2010
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Avenger 4dr Sdn R/T
 VIN: 1B3CC5FV3AN146239

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION
 Exterior: White Gold
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine (REQ: NAA Emissions)
- 4-speed automatic transmission (N/A w/EGF Engine)
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Normal duty suspension
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Trunk lid spoiler
- Body-color fascias
- Bright/black grille
- Quad optics headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Fog lamps
- Pwr heated fold away mirrors
- Tinted glass windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles
- Hood insulation

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 230 -inc: AM/FM stereo, 6-disc CD/DVD/MP3 player
- Sirius satellite radio w/(1) year service
- Audio input jack
- (6) speakers
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Leather-trimmed front bucket seats
- Low back bucket seats
- Heated front seats
- 8-way pwr driver seat
- Manual driver lumbar
- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- 60/40 folding rear bench seat
- Rear seat armrest
- Center console w/instrument panel storage bin
- Center console w/cushioned armrest
- Center console 12V pwr outlet
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpet
- Front & rear floor mats
- Tilt/telescopic steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Steering wheel audio controls


- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- 120-MPH speedometer
- Tachometer
- Outside temp display
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch
- Pwr door locks
- Keyless entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr trunk lid release
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Chill zone storage bin
- Silver instrument panel bezel w/chrome accent
- Premium door trim panel
- Rearview day/night mirror
- Dual visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Front & rear aimable LED lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Driver sunglass holder
- Passenger assist handles
- Leather-wrapped shift knob
- Trunk dress-up
- Decklid liner
- Trunk mat

SAFETY

- Brake/park interlock
- Front advanced multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front seat side airbags
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Supplemental side airbags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Rear center 3-point seat belt
- Active head restraints
- Child seat anchor system, LATCH ready
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Rear door child protection locks
- Tire pressure monitor warning lamp
- Inside trunk emergency release
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$21,730.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
3.5 L Mpi 24 Valve Ho V6 Engine	\$2,050
6 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$200
26 L Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
18" X 7.0" Aluminum Wheels	\$0
P215/55 R18 All Season Performance BSW Tires	included
White Gold	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Leather Trimmed Front Bucket Seats	\$0
Premium Convenience Group	\$965
Media Center 430	\$300
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$25,995.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2011
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Avenger 4dr Sdn Heat
 VIN: 1B3BD1FG9BN509244

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION W/AUTOSTICK -inc: tip start
 Colors: Redline 2-Coat Pearl / Black Interior
 Mileage: 83,766
 Stock #: BH25758

MECHANICAL

- 3.6L VVT flex-fuel 24-valve V6 engine - inc: 160-amp alternator, dual exhaust w/bright tips, engine oil cooler
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/Autostick -inc: tip start
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 18" x 7.0" aluminum wheels
- P225/50R18 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Trunklid spoiler
- Body-color fascias
- Bright/black grille
- Automatic headlamps
- Quad optics headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Premium fog lamps
- LED tail lamps
- Body colored pwr heated fold away mirrors
- Tinted glass windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Laminated windshield & front door glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles
- Hood insulation

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 430 -inc: AM/FM stereo w/CD/DVD/MP3 player, 30GB hard drive w/6700 song capacity, 6.5" touch screen
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/ year service *Not available in Guam, Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, St. Thomas/US Virgin Islands and Barbados*
- Audio input jack
- speakers
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Premium cloth front bucket seats
- Low back bucket seats
- Red seat inserts
- 8-way pwr driver seat
- Manual driver lumbar
- 60/40 folding rear bench seat w/pass-through
- Rear seat armrest
- Center console w/instrument panel storage bin
- Center console 12V pwr outlet
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpet
- Front & rear floor mats
- Tilt/telescopic steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel

- Steering wheel audio controls
- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- Instrument cluster display screen
- Tachometer
- Temperature & compass gauge
- Trip computer
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch
- Pwr door locks
- Keyless entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr trunk lid release
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/auto temp control
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Silver instrument panel bezel
- Rearview day/night mirror
- Dual visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Front & rear aimable LED lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Glove box lamp
- Rear passenger assist handles
- Leather-wrapped shift knob
- Trunk dress-up
- Decklid liner
- Trunk mat

SAFETY

- Electronic stability program
- Traction control
- Front advanced multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front seat side airbags
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Supplemental side airbags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Rear center 3-point seat belt
- Active head restraints
- Child seat anchor system, LATCH ready
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Rear door child protection locks
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Inside trunk emergency release
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

19



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

INSTALLED OPTIONS

50 State Emissions	\$0
27 W Heat Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$705
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.6L V6 engine • 6-speed auto trans • 18" x 7" aluminum wheels • P225/50R18 all-season BSW touring tires • automatic headlamps • 30GB hard drive w/6700 song capacity • 6.5" touch screen display • Media Center 430 CD/DVD/MP3/HDD radio • premium fog lamps • red seat inserts • touch screen display monitor • trunklid spoiler 	
Redline 2 Coat Pearl	\$295
Black Interior, Premium Cloth Low Back Front Bucket Seats	\$0
PWR Express Open/Close Sunroof	\$845
Original Shipping Charge	\$750

Comments

IMPORTANT NOTICE: The vehicle described above is a used vehicle. This "Original Window Sticker" was created by a third party vendor based on information set forth in the original new vehicle Monroney sticker; therefore, the equipment and options described herein represent original factory-installed items, and any Warranty Coverage, Vehicle Prices, Manufacturer Suggested Retail Price (MSRP), Installed Options or Optional Equipment Prices, and/or Destination, Delivery, Freight and Handling, or Original Shipping Charges are from the original new vehicle window sticker and DO NOT represent costs or charges being assessed by the dealer. Dealer makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to the accuracy or reliability of the information in this window sticker, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or missing, damaged, removed or third-party installed equipment/options.



Credit Now
 Maine's #1 Used Vehicle Dealer

www.creditnow.com
 866-864-9899

Year: 2012
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Avenger 4dr Sdn SE
 VIN: 1C3CDZABXCN128568

Engine: 2.4L DOHC DUAL VVT 16-VALVE I4 ENGINE
 Transmission: 4-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION
 Exterior: Tungsten Metallic
 Interior: Black Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine (REQ: NAA Emissions)
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- GVW rating, 4600#
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" wheel covers
- Compact spare tire
- Body-color fascias
- Bright/black grille
- Quad optics headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- LED tail lamps
- Pwr mirrors
- Tinted glass windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Laminated windshield & front door glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles
- Hood insulation

ENTERTAINMENT

- (4) speakers
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver seat height adjust
- Manual driver seat adjust
- Manual driver lumbar
- 60/40 folding rear bench seat w/pass-through
- Rear seat armrest
- Center console w/instrument panel storage bin
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpet
- Tilt/telescopic steering column
- Steering wheel audio controls

- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- Tachometer
- Outside temp display
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch down
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr trunk lid release
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- Silver instrument panel bezel
- Rearview day/night mirror
- Driver side visor w/vanity mirror
- Passenger side visor w/vanity mirror
- Front courtesy/map lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Glove box lamp
- Trunk dress-up
- Decklid liner

SAFETY

- Brake/park interlock
- Front advanced multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Rear center 3-point seat belt
- Active head restraints
- Child seat anchor system, LATCH ready
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Rear door child protection locks
- Tire pressure monitor warning lamp
- Inside trunk emergency release
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
24 Y Se Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.4L DOHC I4 engine · 4-speed auto trans 	
Tungsten Metallic	\$0
Black Interior, Premium Cloth Low Back Front Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,990.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2013
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Avenger 4dr Sdn SE
 VIN: 1C3CDZAB5DN753879

Engine: 2.4L DOHC DUAL VVT 16-VALVE I4 ENGINE
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Black
 Interior: Black Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine (REQ: NAA Emissions)
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- GVW rating, 4600#
- Touring suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" wheel covers
- Compact spare tire
- Body-color fascias
- Bright/black grille
- Quad optics headlamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- LED tail lamps
- Pwr mirrors
- Tinted glass windows
- Front & rear solar control glass
- Laminated windshield & front door glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles
- Hood insulation

ENTERTAINMENT

- (4) speakers
- Removable short mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver seat height adjust
- Manual driver seat adjust
- Manual driver lumbar
- 60/40 folding rear bench seat w/pass-through
- Rear seat armrest
- Center console w/instrument panel storage bin
- Floor console w/sliding armrest
- Floor carpet
- Front & rear floor mats
- Tilt/telescopic steering column
- Steering wheel audio controls

- Instrument cluster w/LED lighting
- Tachometer
- Outside temp display
- Decklid/liftgate ajar warning lamp
- Door ajar warning lamp
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch down
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr trunk lid release
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Rear window defroster
- Silver instrument panel bezel
- Rearview day/night mirror
- Driver side visor w/vanity mirror
- Passenger side visor w/vanity mirror
- Front courtesy/map lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Trunk lamp
- Glove box lamp
- Trunk dress-up
- Decklid liner

SAFETY

- Brake/park interlock
- Front advanced multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Rear center 3-point seat belt
- Active head restraints
- Child seat anchor system, LATCH ready
- Child seat upper tether anchors
- Rear door child protection locks
- Tire pressure monitor warning lamp
- Inside trunk emergency release
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

28

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,795.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
24 Y Se Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.4L DOHC I4 engine · 4-speed auto trans 	
Black	\$0
Black Interior, Premium Cloth Low Back Front Bucket Seats	\$0
Front License Plate Attachment Screws	\$0
Siriusxm Satellite Radio W/(1) Year Service	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$20,985.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



mike murphy



mikemurphyford.com
 309-263-2311

Year: 2014
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Avenger 4dr Sdn SE
 VIN: 1C3CDZAB7EN115926

Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V DUAL VVT
 Transmission: 4-SPEED AUTOMATIC VLP
 Exterior: Bright White Clearcoat
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.91 Axle Ratio
- 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 140 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 16.9 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" x 6.5" Steel
- Tires: P225/55R17 BSW AS Touring
- 17" Wheel Covers
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Black Power Side Mirrors
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Galvanized Steel/Aluminum Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Uconnect 130 AM/FM/CD/MP3
- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- 4 Speakers
- Graphic Equalizer
- Integrated Roof Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Seats w/Premium Cloth Back Material and Manual Driver Lumbar
- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment, Fore/Aft Movement and Manual Lumbar Support
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer

- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Premium Cloth Bucket Seats
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Perimeter Alarm
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$20,595.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Quick Order Package 24 Y Se	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V Dual VVT · Transmission: 4-Speed Automatic VLP 	
Tires: P225/55 R17 BSW As Touring	\$0
Bright White Clearcoat	\$0
Black, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Federal Emissions	\$0
Manufacturer's Statement Of Origin	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$21,590.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Prestige Auto Sales

www.prestige4u.com

352-694-1234

Year: 2010
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Caliber 4dr HB Mainstreet
 VIN: 1B3CB3HA8AD546837

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II
 Exterior: Deep Water Blue Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine
- Continuously variable transaxle II
- Front wheel drive
- 525 CCA maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Anti-lock front disc/rear drum brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Rear spoiler
- Bright grille
- Body-color fascias
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Pwr heated mirrors
- Solar control glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/ fixed glass
- Body-color liftgate applique
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- Audio input jack
- iPod control
- Sirius satellite radio w/1-year service (N/A in Hawaii or Puerto Rico Region)
- (4) speakers
- Fixed mast antenna
- Uconnect voice command w/Bluetooth

INTERIOR

- Air conditioning w/Chill Zone storage
- Premium cloth front bucket seats
- Driver seat height adjuster
- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- Rear 60/40 split recline seat
- Rear fold-flat seat
- Sliding armrest
- Full-length floor console
- Floor carpeting

- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Tip start
- 120-MPH speedometer
- Tachometer
- Outside temp display in odometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch
- Speed-sensitive pwr door locks
- Keyless entry
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air filtering
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Instrument panel cooler
- Illuminated cupholders
- Aux 12V pwr outlet
- Aux 115V pwr outlet
- Removable/rechargeable interior lamp
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror w/microphone
- Passenger assist handles
- Front dome lamp
- Map/dome reading lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Bright interior accents
- Cluster bezel w/bright rings
- Satin silver I/P bezel
- Satin silver shift knob bezel
- Soft tonneau cover
- Cargo compartment carpeting
- Fold-flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- Driver & front passenger multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Active head restraints
- Tire pressure monitor warning lamp
- Child protection rear door locks
- Single low note horn

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,060.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Continuously Variable Transaxle II	\$0
24 F Mainstreet Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.0L I4 engine · continuously variable trans 	
Deep Water Blue Pearl	\$245
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Front Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,055.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Monroe Superstore

www.monroesuperstore.com

734-430-9650

Year: 2011
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Caliber 4dr HB Mainstreet
 VIN: 1B3CB3HA9BD154080

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic (variable gear ratios)
 Exterior: Brilliant Black Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine
- Front wheel drive
- 525 CCA maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Firm feel pwr rack & pinion steering
- Anti-lock front disc/rear drum brakes
- Brake assist

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Rear spoiler
- Bright grille
- Body-color fascias
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Pwr heated mirrors
- Solar control glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/ fixed glass
- Body-color liftgate applique
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year service (N/A in Hawaii or Puerto Rico Region)
- (4) speakers
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- Sliding armrest
- Full-length floor console
- Floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Tip start

- Tachometer
- Outside temp display in odometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning w/Chill Zone storage
- Air filtering
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Instrument panel cooler
- Illuminated cupholders
- Removable/rechargeable interior lamp
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror
- Passenger assist handles
- Front dome lamp
- Map/dome reading lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Bright interior accents
- Cluster bezel w/bright rings
- Satin silver instrument panel bezel
- Satin silver prndl bezel
- Soft tonneau cover
- Cargo compartment carpeting
- Fold-flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- Electronic stability control
- Driver & front passenger multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Front height adjust shoulder belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Active head restraints
- Child protection rear door locks
- Single low note horn

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,620.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
24 F Mainstreet Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
• 2.0L I4 engine	
• continuously variable trans	
Brilliant Black Crystal Pearl	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Front Bucket Seats	\$0
6 Way PWR Driver Seat	\$120
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,490.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford Olympia

Year: 2012
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Caliber 4dr HB SXT
 VIN: 1C3CDWDA5CD533504

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick auto trans, tip start
 Exterior: Bright Silver Metallic
 Interior: Dark Slate/Medium Graystone Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC dual VVT 16-valve I4 engine
- Continuously variable transaxle II -inc: Autostick auto trans, tip start
- Front wheel drive
- 525 CCA maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Firm feel pwr rack & pinion steering
- Anti-lock 4-wheel disc brakes
- Brake assist

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Rear spoiler
- Bright grille
- Body-color fascias
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Pwr heated mirrors
- Solar control glass
- Variable-intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass
- Body-color liftgate applique
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- Audio input jack
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year service (N/A in Hawaii or Puerto Rico Region)
- (4) speakers
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Premium cloth front bucket seats
- Driver seat height adjuster
- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- Rear 60/40 split recline seat
- Rear fold-flat seat
- Sliding armrest
- Full-length floor console
- Floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column

- Tip start
- Tachometer
- Outside temp display in odometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch
- Speed-sensitive pwr door locks
- Keyless entry
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning w/Chill Zone storage
- Air filtering
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Instrument panel cooler
- Illuminated cupholders
- Aux 12V pwr outlet
- Aux 115V pwr outlet
- Removable/rechargeable interior lamp
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror
- Passenger assist handles
- Front dome lamp
- Map/dome reading lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Bright interior accents
- Cluster bezel w/bright rings
- Satin silver instrument panel bezel
- Satin silver shift knob bezel
- Soft tonneau cover
- Cargo compartment carpeting
- Fold-flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- Electronic stability control
- Driver & front passenger multi-stage airbags -inc: front passenger occupant sensor
- Supplemental front & rear side-curtain airbags
- Front height adjust shoulder belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Active head restraints
- Child protection rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitor warning lamp
- Single low note horn

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,765.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
24 F SXT Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.0L I4 engine · continuously variable trans 	
Bright Silver Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate/Medium Graystone Interior, Premium Cloth Front Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,515.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Prestige Auto Sales

www.prestige4u.com

352-694-1234

Year: 2010
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Nitro 4WD 4dr Heat
 VIN: 1D4PU4GK9AW165533

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Inferno Red Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.7L gas V6 engine
- Auxiliary transmission oil cooler
- Part time 4-wheel drive
- 600-amp maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- 3.73 axle ratio
- Dana 30/186mm front axle
- 8.25" rear axle ring gear
- Compact spare tire w/tire carrier winch
- Pwr rack & pinion steering

EXTERIOR

- Deep tint sunscreen glass (all windows rearward of B-pillar)
- Light-tinted solar control glass (all windows forward of B-Pillar)
- Body color front/rear fascias
- Body color bodyside moldings
- Body color fender flares
- Body color w/chrome accent grille
- Roof rack side rails
- Fog lamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Black license plate brow
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 AM/FM/CD/MP3 stereo
- (6) speakers
- Auxiliary audio input

- Sirius satellite radio -inc: 1yr Sirius satellite radio service

INTERIOR

- Stain repel seat fabric
- Front passenger forward fold flat seat
- Rear 60/40 split folding reclining seat
- Luxury front/rear floor mats
- Center floor console
- Tilt steering column
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch
- Power accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Rear window defroster
- Cabin air filtering
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Map/dome reading lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Rear dome lamp
- Reversible/waterproof cargo storage

SAFETY

- Electronic roll mitigation
- 4-wheel anti-lock pwr disc brakes
- Brake assist
- Supplemental side curtain front/rear air bags
- LATCH-ready child seat anchor system
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp
- Dual note horn
- Active head restraints

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,340.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
3.7 L Gas V6 Engine	\$0
4 Speed Automatic VLP Transmission	\$0
24 C Heat Customer Preferred Order Selection	\$0
PKG	
• 3.7L V6 engine	
• 4-speed auto trans	
Inferno Red Crystal Pearl	\$295
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
20" X 7.5" Aluminum Chrome Clad Wheels	\$0
• performance suspension	
P245/50 R20 All Season Performance VSB Tires	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,385.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2011
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Nitro 4WD 4dr Heat
 VIN: 1D4PU4GX9BW563998

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Colors: Redline 2 Coat Pearl / Dark Slate Gray Interior
 Mileage: 78,295
 Stock #: BH25275

MECHANICAL

- Auxiliary transmission oil cooler
- Part time 4-wheel drive
- 600-amp maintenance free battery
- Performance tuned suspension
- 140-amp alternator
- 3.73 axle ratio
- Dana 30/186mm front axle
- 8.25" rear axle ring gear
- Compact spare tire w/tire carrier winch
- Pwr rack & pinion steering

- Luxury front/rear floor mats
- Center floor console
- Tilt steering column
- Instrument cluster w/tachometer
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch
- Power accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Rear window defroster
- Cabin air filtering
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Map/dome reading lamps
- Illuminated entry
- Rear dome lamp
- Reversible/waterproof cargo storage

EXTERIOR

- Deep tint sunscreen glass (all windows rearward of B-pillar)
- Body color front/rear fascias
- Body color bodyside moldings
- Body color fender flares
- Body color w/chrome accent grille
- Roof rack side rails
- Fog lamps
- Headlamp off time delay
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Black license plate brow
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer

SAFETY

- Electronic roll mitigation
- 4-wheel anti-lock pwr disc brakes
- Brake assist
- Supplemental side curtain front/rear air bags
- Enhanced accident response system
- LATCH-ready child seat anchor system
- Rear door child protection locks
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp
- Dual note horn
- Active head restraints

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers

INTERIOR

- Front passenger forward fold flat seat
- Rear 60/40 split folding reclining seat

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

INSTALLED OPTIONS

50 State Emissions	\$0
4.0 L Sohc V6 Engine	\$0
5 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$0
28 D Heat Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$1,800
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 4.0L V6 engine · 5-speed auto trans · (8) amplified speakers · subwoofer · security alarm · speed control · UConnect hands-free communication w/voice command · auto dimming rear view mirror w/microphone 	
Redline 2 Coat Pearl	\$245
20" X 7.5" Aluminum Wheels	\$495
PWR Sunroof	\$895
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · overhead console 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$750



Credit Now
 Maine's #1 Used Vehicle Dealer

www.creditnow.com
 866-864-9899

Year: 2009
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Ram 1500 4WD Quad Cab 140.5" SLT
 VIN: 1D3HV18PX9S804438

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION
 Exterior: Mineral Gray Metallic
 Interior: Dark slate gray

MECHANICAL

- 4.7L V8 engine (REQ: NAS 50-State Emissions)
- Next generation engine controller
- HD transmission oil cooler
- Auxiliary transmission oil cooler
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly part-time transfer case
- Multi-link coil rear suspension
- Corporate 9.25 rear axle
- Four wheel drive
- HD engine cooling
- 625-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- Tip start electronic starting feature
- 7 pin wiring harness
- Trailer tow w/4-pin connector wiring
- 6700# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Anti-lock 4-wheel disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- (4) full-size doors
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Full-size spare tire
- Tire carrier winch
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Body-color upper front fascia
- Front wheel air deflectors
- Rear wheel air deflectors
- Bright grille
- Automatic headlamps
- Halogen headlamps
- Black folding pwr heated outside mirrors
- Deep tinted windows
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers

- Cargo lamp
- Body-color/chrome door handles
- Front license plate bracket
- Removable tailgate w/caliper latches
- Locking tailgate

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Cruise control
- Carpeted floor covering
- Front/rear floor mats
- Floor tunnel insulation
- Tilt steering column
- Temp & compass gauge
- Trip computer
- Vehicle information center
- Pwr accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Color-keyed instrument panel bezel
- Premium vinyl door trim w/map pocket
- Day/night rearview mirror
- Overhead console w/reading lamps
- Dual assist handles
- Dome lamp
- Column-mounted shifter
- Chrome accent shift knob
- Rear under seat storage compartment
- Dual note horn

SAFETY

- Brake assist
- Electronic stability program
- Driver/front passenger multistage airbags
- Front seat side-impact airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- Front-passenger occupant-classification system
- Front seat belt height adjusters
- Child safety door locks
- Tire pressure monitor

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

18

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$32,945.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Flex Fuel System	\$0
24 G SLT Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
• 4.7L V8 engine	
• 5-speed auto trans	
3.55 Axle Ratio	\$0
Mineral Gray Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth 40/20/40 Bench Seat	\$0
Under Rail Box Bed Liner	\$245
Original Shipping Charge	\$900
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$34,090.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.maxxautosplus.com/
 253-840-9445

Year: 2090
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Ram 9100 54 D WreQ Wau 950Gb. " orS
 LTV: 9DI RL9WN3H. 2981P9

XEgrEe: 8 Wl IrEder XEgrEe
 NraEymryroE: 1s - XXD HANUMHNTWNRHV. MT . UV
 XCerro: x rrlraESx lat k - earl
 Error: Dark ylaS grai

MECHANICAL

Gl Cc L8 · emnm71Sdry" lat emeESLLN
 eEgrEe sEt : elet SoEnt alli t oESolled
 \$ roSse) (eahi d7\$ eEgrEe t oolrEg
 GveCSgeEeraSoE eEgrEe t oESoller
 GXlet SoEnt alli t oESolled \$ roSse
 G D SaEymryroE orit ooler
 GXlet SoEnt y(rESes\$ esli " arSme
 SaEyerf t aye
 GM71SdrEk t onr rear y7y" eEyroE
 GWbr" oraS pQ1 rear aQe
 GFo7r Q(eel drine
 G D eEgrEe t oolrEg
 G 00sam" marESaEt esree uaSri
 CP0sam" alSrEaSr
 GNt ySrSelet SoEnt ySrEg feaSre
 G " rE QmEg (arEeyy
 GNrarer SQ QvS" rE t oEEet Sr QmEg
 GP800v 6 L4 R
 G D froESy(ot k auyoruery
 G D rear y(ot k auyoruery
 GFroESySunt er uar
 GRear ySunt er uar
 G Qr rat k # " rEroE ySerrEg
 GHEStot k 5sQ(eel dryt urakey
 CD7al rear eQ a7yS

EXTERIOR

G5&f7llsyt e t oEheESoEal doory
 CØl bySael y" are Q(eel
 GNme t arrrer QrEt (
 Gx rmg(SroESu7m" er
 Gx odi st olor rear u7m" er QwyS" " ad
 Gx odi st olor froESfayt ra
 GFroESQ(eel am deflet Sry
 GRear Q(eel am deflet Sry
 Gx rmg(Sgrnle
 GH7SmaS(eadlam" y
 GB7ad (alogeE (eadlam" y
 GAeder(ood lam"
 GDee" SESd QrEdoQy

GLarraule ESermESQrEyd(reld QrEry
 GWargo lam"
 Gx odi st olor door (aEdley
 GFroESnt eEye " laS urat keS
 Gcot krEg SangaS
 Gx odi t olor f7el filler door

ENTERTAINMENT

GP&y" eakery
 CFrOed loEg maySaESSEa

INTERIOR

GWAr" esd floor t oherEg
 CFroESear floor maS
 GFloor S'Eel rEy7laSoE
 GNtSySerrEg t ol7mE
 GEyS7meES l7ySr Qvdy" lai yt reeE sEt :
 Sm" # t om" ayy ga7ge) Srt om" 7Sr)
 he(rE le rEfo t eESr
 GW7rye t oESol
 G Qr at t eyyori delai
 G eESi Kei \$efSdeSorreESy ySm
 G Wlorskei ed rEyS7meES" aEel ue/ el
 G rmg(lreE door Sm " aEely
 GJher(ead t oEyole QweadrEg lam" y
 CD7al ayyrS(aEdley
 CDome lam" QyQrS (
 G6 lohe uoOlam"
 GHy(Sai lam"
 CFloorsmo7ESd y(rESr
 GAre\$ aEe y(rESkEou
 GRear 7Eder yeaSySrage t om" arSneES
 CD7al EoS (orE

SAFETY

Gx rake ayyrS
 GXlet SoEnt ySuntS " rogram
 CDmnerroES" ayyeEger m71S Sge amuagy
 GFroESyeaSyrdesm" at Samuagy
 GFroESear ynde t 7rSre amuagy
 GFroES" ayyeEger ott 7" aESl layyrnt aSoE
 yi ySm
 GFroESyeaSuelS(emg(Sadj7ySry
 GW rtd yafeS door lot ky
 GNre " reyy7re moESr Qvdy" lai

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

18

Hi S'al mileage Oil hari Qs" o' SoEy) drntEg t oEdrEeEy) drntEg (auS' aEd he(t ley t oEdrEe

New

MSRP	\$34,240.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal XmryroE	' 0
1C c L8 · emnM71Sdry" lat emeESLLN XEgrEe	' 9)\$90
2P c . " orSW7ySmer - referred Urder . elet SoE	' 2)p21
- K6	
\$Q1 HDe RaSo	rEt l7ded
x rrlraESx lat k - earl	' 0
Dark . laS 6rai) Wo\$ coQ x at k x 7t keS. eaS	' 0
RemoS . SarS# . et 7rrS 6 ro7"	' \$\$1
Wayy Th Ret erher · rS (' \$\$1
Nrarer x rake WbESol	' 2\$0
- 4 R · eaSd x lat k Nrarer NoQ Mirroyr	' 900
At oEEet S- (oEe 4 vLort e WbmmaEd	' \$90
UrrgrEal . (rE " rEg Warge	' p00
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	' 50)P8100

Get more information on your smartphone:



BILL MARSH

QQQ& rllMary(Com
 800s1pPs2l l 5

Year: 2012
 Make: Ram
 Model: 1500 4WD Quad Cab 140.5"
 VIN: 1C6RD7FT4CS346364

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION
 Exterior: Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate/Medium Graystone

MECHANICAL

- 3.55 axle ratio
- Next generation engine controller
- HD transmission oil cooler
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly part-time transfer case
- Four wheel drive
- HD engine cooling
- 730-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- Tip start electronic starting feature
- 7-pin wiring harness
- Trailer tow w/4-pin connector wiring
- 6'4" pickup box
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Anti-lock 4-wheel disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- (4) conventional doors
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Tire carrier winch
- Black front bumper
- Front bumper sight shields
- Front wheel spats
- Rear wheel spats
- Auto headlamps
- Halogen headlamps
- Tinted windows
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Cargo lamp

- Black door handles
- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate
- Body color fuel filler door

ENTERTAINMENT

- Uconnect 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- Audio jack input for mobile devices
- (6) speakers
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Folding rear bench seat
- Floor tunnel insulation
- Tilt steering column
- Instrument cluster w/tachometer
- Pwr front windows w/1-touch up/down
- Pwr accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Black instrument panel bezel
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Base door trim
- Day/night rearview mirror
- Assist handles
- Rear dome lamp
- Column-mounted shifter
- Rear under seat storage compartment

SAFETY

- Electronic stability control
- Driver/front passenger multistage airbags
- Front seat side-impact airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- Front seat belt height adjusters
- Child safety door locks
- Dual note horn

CITY MPG

17



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,105.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
5.7 L V8 Hemi Multi Displacement VVT Engine	\$1,310
3.55 Axle Ratio	\$0
17" X 8" Steel Chrome Clad Wheels	included
Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl	\$0
Dark Slate/Medium Graystone, Cloth 40/20/40 Bench Seat	\$0
ST Popular Equipment Group	\$895
Chrome Appearance Group	\$530
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17" x 8" steel chrome clad wheels • bright front bumper • bright rear bumper • bright grille 	
Class Iv Receiver Hitch	\$335
Spray In Bedliner	\$475
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-year radio service 	
Carpeted Floor Covering	\$110
Speed Control	\$250
Remote Keyless Entry	\$190
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$36,390.00

Pilson Auto Centers

pilsonauto.com

217-234-6461

Year: 2010
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Ram 2500 4WD Crew Cab 149" SLT
 VIN: 3D7TT2CT3AG134543

Engine: 5.7L SMPI V8 HEMI ENGINE W/VARIABLE VALVE TIMING
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Exterior: Bright White
 Interior: Dark Slate/Medium Graystone

MECHANICAL

- 5.7L SMPI V8 Hemi engine w/variable valve timing
- 3.73 axle ratio
- Electronically controlled throttle
- HD engine cooling
- Tip start
- Aux transmission oil cooler
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly transfer case
- 10.5" rear axle ring gear diameter
- 5500# front axle
- Four wheel drive
- 730-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- 6"4" pickup box
- Trailer tow wiring-inc: 4-pin connector
- 7-pin wiring harness
- Class IV receiver hitch
- 8800# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- Monotone paint
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Front wheel spats
- Rear wheel spats
- Full size spare tire
- Winch-type tire carrier
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Front bumper sight shields
- Front air dam
- Bright grille
- Automatic headlamps
- Body color headlamp filler panel
- Cargo lamp

- Tinted glass
- Body color fuel filler door
- Body color/chrome door handles
- Variable speed intermittent windshield wipers
- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Carpeted floor covering
- Front/rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Vehicle info center
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- 120-MPH primary speedometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Air conditioning
- Premium vinyl door trim w/map pocket
- Color-keyed instrument panel bezel
- Overhead console
- Storage tray
- Driver/passenger assist handles
- Overhead ambient surround lighting
- Chrome accent shift knob
- 2nd row in-floor storage bins
- Rear underseat compartment storage

SAFETY

- Advanced multistage front air bags
- Supplemental side air bags
- Supplemental side curtain front & rear air bags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Child safety rear door locks
- Dual note horn

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

18

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$38,480.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
26 G SLT Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
Anti Spin Rear Axle	included
3.73 Axle Ratio	\$0
17" X 8.0" Polished Forged Aluminum Wheels W/Center Hubs	\$300
LT265/70 R17 E On/Off Road Owl Tires	\$200
Bright White	\$0
Dark Slate/Medium Graystone, Cloth 40/20/40	\$900
Premium Bench Seat	
Big Horn Regional PKG	\$1,795
Protection Group	\$100
Luxury Group	\$680
Trailer Brake Control	included
Transfer Case Skid Plate Shield	included
Mopar Chrome Tubular Side Steps	\$655
Clearance Lamps	\$80
Black Heated PWR Trailer Tow Mirrors	\$180
Rear Window Defroster	-\$85
Original Shipping Charge	\$950
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$44,235.00



Year: 2011
 Make: Ram
 Model: 2500 4WD Crew Cab 149" Laramie
 VIN: 3D7UT2CLXBG527784

Engine: 6.7L I6 CUMMINS TURBO DIESEL ENGINE -inc: 11.50 rear axle, Cummins turbo diesel badg..
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION W/OD -inc: tip start
 Exterior: Bright White
 Interior: Light Pebble Beige/Dark Brown Interior

MECHANICAL

- 3.73 axle ratio
- Electronically controlled throttle
- HD engine cooling
- Tip start
- Next generation engine controller
- Aux transmission oil cooler
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly transfer case
- Conventional front axle
- Conventional rear axle
- 10.5" rear axle ring gear diameter
- 5500# front axle
- Four-wheel drive
- 700-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- 6'4" pickup box
- Trailer tow wiring w/4-pin connector
- 7-pin wiring harness
- Trailer brake control
- Class IV receiver hitch
- 8800# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 8.0" aluminum wheels
- Center hub
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Full size spare tire
- Winch-type tire carrier
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Front bumper sight shields
- Accent fender flares
- Black-out tape
- Front air dam
- Bright/bright grille
- Quad halogen headlamps
- Automatic headlamps
- Body color headlamp filler panel
- Cargo lamp
- Fog lamps
- Underhood lamp
- Tinted glass
- Body color fuel filler door
- Body color/chrome door handles

- Variable speed intermittent windshield wipers
- Laramie badge
- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate
- Vendor painted cargo box tracking

ENTERTAINMENT

- 6.5" touch screen display
- (9) amplified speakers w/subwoofer
- Bluetooth streaming audio
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Front center seat cushion storage
- Carpeted floor covering
- Front/rear floor mats
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Heated steering wheel
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Tilt steering column
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Vehicle info center
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- 120-MPH primary speedometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/dual zone temp control
- Deluxe door trim panel
- Woodgrain instrument panel bezel
- Overhead console w/universal garage door opener
- Storage tray
- Driver/passenger assist handles
- Dual illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- LED interior lighting
- Glove box lamp
- Rear dome lamp w/on/off switch
- Chrome accent shift knob
- 2nd row in-floor storage bins
- Rear underseat compartment storage

SAFETY

- Advanced multistage front air bags
- Supplemental side air bags
- Supplemental side curtain front & rear air bags
- Child safety door locks
- ParkSense rear park assist system
- Front height-adjustable shoulder belts
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Dual-note horn

New

MSRP	\$43,695.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
6.7 L I6 Cummins Turbo Diesel Engine	\$7,615
6 Speed Automatic Transmission W/Od	\$405
2 FH Laramie Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
3.73 Axle Ratio	\$0
LT265/70 R17 E On/Off Road Owl Tires	\$200
Lower Two Tone Paint	\$0
Bright White	\$0
White Gold	\$0
Light Pebble Beige/Dark Brown Interior, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$500
Cold Weather Group	\$90
Mopar Chrome Side Step & Bed Rail	\$1,015
Engine Block Heater	included
Anti Spin Rear Axle	\$325
Mopar Chrome Tubular Side Steps	included
Clearance Lamps	\$80
PWR Trailer Tow Mirrors	\$0
Media Center 730 N	\$800
Rear Seat Video System	\$1,695
PWR Adjustable Pedals W/Memory	\$150
Remote Start System	\$185
Parkview Rear Back Up Camera	\$200
Original Shipping Charge	\$975
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$57,930.00



Year: 2012
 Make: Ram
 Model: 2500 4WD Mega Cab 160.5" Laramie
 VIN: 3C6UD5NL5CG294069

Engine: 6.7L I6 CUMMINS TURBO DIESEL ENGINE -inc: 11.50 rear axle, Cummins turbo diesel badg..
 Transmission: Automatic 6-spd
 Exterior: Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Light Pebble Beige/Bark Brown Interior

MECHANICAL

- 3.73 axle ratio
- Electronically controlled throttle
- HD engine cooling
- Tip start
- Next generation engine controller
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly transfer case
- Conventional front axle
- Conventional rear axle
- 10.5" rear axle ring gear diameter
- 5500# front axle
- Four-wheel drive
- 730-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- 6'4" pickup box
- Trailer tow wiring w/4-pin connector
- 7-pin wiring harness
- Trailer brake control
- Class IV receiver hitch
- 8800# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorber
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 8.0" aluminum wheels
- Center hub
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Full size spare tire
- Winch-type tire carrier
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Front bumper sight shields
- Accent fender flares
- Black-out tape
- Front air dam
- Bright/bright grille
- Quad halogen headlamps
- Automatic headlamps
- Body color headlamp filler panel
- Cargo lamp
- Fog lamps
- Underhood lamp
- Tinted glass
- Body color fuel filler door
- Body color/chrome door handles

- Variable speed intermittent windshield wipers
- Laramie badge
- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate
- Vendor painted cargo box tracking

ENTERTAINMENT

- 6.5" touch screen display
- (9) amplified speakers w/subwoofer
- Bluetooth streaming audio
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Front center seat cushion storage
- Carpeted floor covering
- Front & rear floor mats
- Leather wrapped steering wheel
- Heated steering wheel
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Tilt steering column
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Vehicle info center
- Temp & compass gauge
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- 120-MPH primary speedometer
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/dual zone temp control
- Deluxe door trim panel
- Woodgrain instrument panel bezel
- Overhead console w/universal garage door opener
- Storage tray
- Driver/passenger assist handles
- Sun visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- LED interior lighting
- Glove box lamp
- Rear dome lamp w/on/off switch
- Chrome accent shift knob
- Behind seat storage bin

SAFETY

- Electronic stability control
- Advanced multistage front air bags
- Supplemental side curtain front & rear air bags
- Child safety door locks
- ParkView rear back-up camera
- Front height-adjustable shoulder belts
- Tire pressure monitoring display
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

17



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$46,540.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
6.7 L I6 Cummins Turbo Diesel Engine	\$7,795
6 Speed Automatic Transmission W/O	\$500
2 FH Laramie Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
3.73 Axle Ratio	\$0
Monotone Paint	\$0
Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl	\$0
Light Pebble Beige/Bark Brown Interior, Leather	\$500
Trimmed Bucket Seats	
Uconnect 730 N	\$800
Cold Weather Group	\$90
Engine Block Heater	included
Anti Spin Rear Axle	\$325
Protection Group	\$100
Transfer Case Skid Plate Shield	included
PWR Sunroof	\$995
Mopar Chrome Tubular Side Steps	\$655
PWR Trailer Tow Mirrors	\$0
Rear Seat Video System	\$1,695
PWR Adjustable Pedals W/Memory	\$150
Remote Start System	\$185
Smokers Group	\$60
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$61,385.00

Modern Motorcars

www.modernmotorcars.com

417-881-3080

Year: 2010
 Make: Dodge
 Model: Ram 3500 4WD Crew Cab 169" Laramie
 VIN: 3D73Y3CL8AG143648

Engine: Straight 6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION W/OD -inc: 3.73 axle ratio, aux trans oil coole...
 Exterior: Inferno Red Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate

MECHANICAL

- 6.7L I6 Cummins turbo-diesel engine
- 6-speed manual transmission w/OD
- Electronically-controlled throttle
- HD engine cooling
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly transfer case
- Anti-spin rear axle differential
- 11.5" dual rear wheel axle ring gear diameter
- Four wheel drive
- 730-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- 8' pickup box
- Trailer tow wiring -inc: 4-pin connector
- 7-pin trailer wiring harness
- Class IV trailer hitch receiver
- Tow hooks
- 12200# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Diesel exhaust brake

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.0" steel wheels -inc: bright wheel skins (N/A w/AR9 Single Rear Wheel Group)
- LT235/80R17E all-season BSW tires (N/A w/AR9 Single Rear Wheel Group)
- Dual rear wheels
- Center wheel hubs
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Full-size spare tire
- Winch-type spare tire carrier
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Front air dam
- Accent color fender flares
- Bright/bright grille
- Body-color headlamp filler panel
- Auto headlamps
- Quad headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Underhood lamp
- Cab clearance lamps
- Box & rear fender lamps
- Cargo lamp
- Chrome pwr trailer tow mirrors w/memory -inc: supplemental signals, courtesy lamps, heated glass
- Tinted glass windows
- Pwr sliding rear window
- Variable-speed intermittent windshield wipers
- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate
- Body-colour/chrome door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media Center 430 -inc: AM/FM stereo w/CD/DVD/MP3 player, 30GB hard disk drive, 6.5" touch screen display, UConnect phone w/voice command
- Audio input jack
- SIRIUS satellite radio -inc: 1-year service *N/A in AK or HI*
- (9) amplified speakers w/subwoofer
- UConnect hands-free communication -inc: auto-dimming rearview mirror, iPod control
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Leather-trimmed 40/20/40 split-bench seat
- 10-way pwr driver/6-way pwr front passenger seats -inc: driver seat memory
- Dual pwr lumbar adjusters
- Heated front seats
- Rear 60/40 split-folding bench seat
- Carpeted floor covering
- Front/rear floor mats
- Front center seat cushion storage
- Mini floor console
- Leather-wrapped heated steering wheel
- Steering wheel audio controls
- Tilt steering column
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Vehicle info center
- 120-MPH primary speedometer
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- Outside temp gauge & compass
- Pwr windows w/front one-touch up/down
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr locks
- Remote keyless entry
- Speed control
- Security alarm
- Auto air conditioning w/dual-zone temp control
- 12V auxiliary pwr outlet
- 115V auxiliary pwr outlet
- Deluxe door trim panel
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror
- Overhead console w/universal garage door opener
- Driver/passenger assist handles
- Dual illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Rear dome lamp w/on/off switch
- Overhead ambient surround lighting
- Glove box lamp
- Woodgrain instrument panel bezel
- Rear underseat compartment storage
- 2nd row in-floor storage bins

SAFETY

- Advanced multistage front airbags
- Supplemental front side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- Parksense rear park assist system
- Front height-adjustable shoulder belts
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

17



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$50,145.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Single Rear Wheels	\$0
6 Speed Automatic Transmission W/OD	\$1,575
2 FH Laramie Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
3.73 Rear Axle Ratio	\$0
17" X 8.0" Aluminum Wheels	\$0
LT265/70 R17 E All Season BSW Tires	included
Monotone Paint	\$0
Inferno Red Crystal Pearl	\$225
Dark Slate, Leather Trimmed Low Back Bucket Seats	\$500
Single Rear Wheel Group	-\$995
Engine Block Heater	\$0
Trailer Brake Controller	\$230
PWR Sunroof	\$850
Media Center 730 N	\$800
PWR Adjustable Pedals W/Memory	\$150
Remote Start System	\$185
Smokers Group	\$30
Parkview Rear Back Up Camera	\$200
Original Shipping Charge	\$950
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$54,845.00

Davesmith.com

Year: 2011
 Make: Ram
 Model: 5400 WD C Mewa b a9 1" 0L4i Varamle
 N#: 5CU5Y5T V0XB" 1V640

8 EwEe: n galw6g" b. lIEder 8 EwEe
 SraEOmIOoE: "-nc 88 C x , Su Mx S3 SRx 7 n M3 n 3 7 D su C -IEt : 5Ll5 a. le ragoPaA. .gaEOoll t oole/
 8. g.rlor: Ceep D a9r XIAe c earl
 E.rlor: Vlw6gc e99le XelwesXark Xroh E E.rlor

MECHANICAL

- " llV 3" b AmmIEOGAr9o-dleCei eEwEe
- 5Ll5 rear a. le rago
- 8 let goElt all. -t oEgrolled groggle
- T C eEwEe t oollEw
- 8 let goElt C6lgoE-ge-y. gaEOer t aCe
- x Eg-CPle rear a. le dlyereEdal
- HoAr h 6eel drif e
- U50-amp malEgeEaEt e-ree 9a9er.
- 1" 0-amp al9erEa9r
- " W plit kAp 9o...
- b laCO3N ret elf er 6lg 6
- Sraller gh h lrlEw-IEt : WplE t oEEet 9r
- U-plIE galler h lrlEw 6arEeCO
- Sraller 9rake t oEgol
- Soh 6ookO
- 10400# BND R
- T C yoEgCOt k a9Or9erO
- T C rear COt k a9Or9erO
- HroEgC9a9llIFer 9ar
- ch r C9erIEw
- ch r Wh 6eel aEg-lot k dIQ 9rakeO
- CleCei e. 6aAQ9rake

EXTERIOR

- b eE9er h 6eel 6A9O
- 1U C9el C9are h 6eel
- HAlI-OFe C9are gre
- D IEt 6-g pe C9are gre t arrler
- Xrlw6g9oEg9Amper
- Xrlw6gear 9Amper
- HroEgalr dam
- x t t eEgt olor yeEder ylareO
- Xrlw6g9rlw6gwrlle
- Xod. -t olor 6eadlamp ylller paEel
- x Ag 6eadlampO
- ' Aad 6eadlampO
- How lampO
- , Eder6ood lamp
- ba9 t learaEt e lampO
- Xo..z rear yeEder lampO
- barwo lamp
- SlEgd waCOh IEдох O
- Naria9le-C9eed IEgermIgeEgh IEдох C6leld h lperO

- HroEglt eEOe plage 9rat keg
- Vot kIEw galwage
- Xod. -t olor8 6rome door 6aEdleO

ENTERTAINMENT

- Q) amplyled C9eakerOh sOA9h ooyer
- Hl.ed loEw maC9aEgeEEa

INTERIOR

- CAal ph r lAm9ar adIAQ9erO
- Rear " 0s/0 QpllgoldIEw 9eEt 6 C9ag
- b arpe9d yoor t of erIEw
- HroEgt eE9er C9agt AC6loE C9rawe
- MIEl yoor t oEOble
- Veag9er-h rapped C9erIEw h 6eel
- Teag9d C9erIEw h 6eel
- n9erIEw h 6eel aAdlo t oEgolO
- SlIgc9erIEwt olAmE
- neEg. &e. C9eygde9erreEgO C9em
- CEgAmeEgt IAQ9er h sdlIQla. Q reeE
- Ne6lt le IEyo t eE9er
- 120-Mc T prlmar. C9eedome9er
- Sraf elersmIEl glp t ompA9er
- u AQde C9emp waAwe z t ompaCO
- ch r at t eCOor. dela.
- npeed t oEgol
- net Arlg alarm
- x Ag alr t oEdlgoEIEw h sIAal-FoEe C9emp t oEgol
- CeIA. e door glm paEel
- u f er6ead t oEOble h sAElf erCai warawe door opeEer
- Crif erspaCOEwer aCOO96aEdleO
- CAal IllAmIEag9d f lCOor f aElg mlrrorO
- Rear dome lamp h sEsoyCh lg 6
- V8 C IE9erlor llw6gEw
- Rear t oA9rCO seadIEw lampO
- Bl of e 9o...lamp
- b 6rome at t eEgC6lygkEo9
- D oodwralE IEQ9AmeEgpaEel 9eFel
- Xe6IEd-ge-C9agC9rawe 9IE

SAFETY

- x d aEt ed mAlgC9awe yoEgalr9awO
- b 6lld C9ayeg door lot kO
- n ApplemeEgal yoEgQde alr9awO
- HroE9gear Ode t ArgAlE alr9awO
- c arkneEOe rear park aCOO9O C9em
- HroEg6elw6gadIAQ99le COoAlder 9elgO
- CAal-Eoge 6orE

CITY MPG

HIGHWAY MPG

x lgal mleawe h ll far. h l9 opp9EO9drif IEw t oEdlgoEO9drif IEw 6a9lgOaEd f e8t leO t oEdlgoE

New

MSRP	\$52,665.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
40 n gage 8mICOOEO	j 0
n IEwle Rear D 6eelO	j 0
" n peed x Agmagdt SraEOmIOOOE D su d	j 1 F 00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5Ll5 a. le rago • aA. .gaEOoll t ooler • gp C9arg 	
2 HT Varamle b AQ9mer c reyerrd u rder nelet goE c & B	j 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • " llV 3" gAr9o-dleCei eEwEe • "-C9eed aAg9 gaEO 	
5Ll5 Rear x .le Rago	j 0
1U \$ G0i x lAmIEAm D 6eelO	IEt lAded
VS2" 4sJ0R1U8 x ll neaCOE XnD SlreO	IEt lAded
Ceep D a9r XIAe c earl	j 224
Vlw6gBra. C9eE c earl	j 0
Vlw6gc e99le XelwesXark Xroh E E.rlorP	j 0
Veag9er Srlmmed V020s/0 n pllgXeEt 6 neag n IEwle Rear D 6eel BroAp	-j 1 F (4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1U ..G0i alAmIEAm h 6eelO • 10 • 100# BND R • VS2" 4sJ0R1U8 all-C9aCOE XnD greO 	
bold D ea9er BroAp	j (0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • eEwEe 9lot k 6ea9er • h IE9er yoEgwrlle t of er 	
T lw6 u AgAgCleCei 8 EwEe c rot eCOEwb ode	j 400
c DR x dIAQ99le c edalOD sMemor.	j 140
Remoge n gargn. C9em	j 1 G4
Rear D IEдох CeyoC9er	-j G4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rear yl.ed h IEдох 	
c arkf leh Rear Xat k , p b amera	j 200
u rlwEal n 6lppIEwb 6arwe	j (U4
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	j 4 W P 1 0 L O O


BILL MARSH
 h h h LXIII MarC6lt om
 G00-4 (" -2UW

Year: 2012
 Make: Ram
 Model: 3500 4WD Crew Cab 169" Laramie
 VIN: 3C63D3JL2CG140151

Engine: Straight 6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION W/OD
 Exterior: Bright White
 Interior: Light Pebble Beige/Bark Brown Interior

MECHANICAL

- 6.7L I6 Cummins turbo-diesel engine
- 3.42 rear axle ratio
- Electronically-controlled throttle
- HD engine cooling
- Electronic shift-on-the-fly transfer case
- Anti-spin rear axle differential
- Four wheel drive
- 730-amp maintenance-free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- 8' pickup box
- Class IV receiver hitch
- Trailer tow wiring -inc: 4-pin connector
- 7-pin trailer wiring harness
- Trailer brake control
- Tow hooks
- 12200# GVWR
- HD front shock absorbers
- HD rear shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Diesel exhaust brake

EXTERIOR

- Center wheel hubs
- 17" steel spare wheel
- Full-size spare tire
- Winch-type spare tire carrier
- Bright front bumper
- Bright rear bumper
- Front air dam
- Accent-color fender flares
- Bright/bright grille
- Body-color headlamp filler panel
- Auto headlamps
- Quad headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Underhood lamp
- Cab clearance lamps
- Box & rear fender lamps
- Cargo lamp
- Tinted glass windows
- Variable-speed intermittent windshield wipers

- Front license plate bracket
- Locking tailgate
- Body-color/chrome door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- (9) amplified speakers w/subwoofer
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Dual pwr lumbar adjusters
- Rear 60/40 split-folding bench seat
- Carpeted floor covering
- Front center seat cushion storage
- Mini floor console
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Heated steering wheel
- Steering wheel audio controls
- Tilt steering column
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/display screen
- Vehicle info center
- 120-MPH primary speedometer
- Traveler/mini trip computer
- Outside temp gauge & compass
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Security alarm
- Auto air conditioning w/dual-zone temp control
- Deluxe door trim panel
- Overhead console w/universal garage door opener
- Driver/passenger assist handles
- Dual illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Rear dome lamp w/on/off switch
- LED interior lighting
- Glove box lamp
- Chrome accent shift knob
- Woodgrain instrument panel bezel
- Rear underseat compartment storage
- 2nd row in-floor storage bins

SAFETY

- Advanced multistage front airbags
- Child safety door locks
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- ParkView rear back-up camera
- Front height-adjustable shoulder belts
- Dual-note horn

CITY MPG

17



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$55,210.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Single Rear Wheels	\$0
6 Speed Automatic Transmission W/OD	\$500
2 FH Laramie Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
3.73 Rear Axle Ratio	\$0
17" X 8.0" Aluminum Wheels	included
LT265/70 R17 E On /Off Road Owl Tires	\$0
Monotone Paint	\$655
Bright White	\$0
Light Pebble Beige/Bark Brown Interior, Leather	\$0
Trimmed 40/20/40 Split Bench Seat	
Uconnect 730 N	\$800
Single Rear Wheel Group	-\$995
HD Snow Plow Prep Group	\$135
Protection Group	\$50
Dual Transmission Oil Cooler	\$450
Transfer Case Skid Plate	\$50
PWR Adjustable Pedals W/Memory	\$150
Remote Start System	\$185
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$58,185.00

Mullinax Ford of Central Florida (Apopka)

Year: 2012
 Make: FIAT
 Model: 500 2dr Conv Lounge
 VIN: 3C3CFFER3CT183959

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AISIN AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION -inc: leather-wrapped shift knob
 Exterior: Rosso (Red)
 Interior: Ivory Interior

MECHANICAL

- 1.4L 16-valve I4 multi-air engine
- Hill start assist
- Front wheel drive
- 500-amp maintenance free battery
- 105-amp alternator
- Normal duty suspension
- Electric pwr steering
- 4-wheel pwr anti-lock disc brakes
- Chrome exhaust tip

EXTERIOR

- Delete spare tire -inc: tire service kit
- 185/55R15 tires
- Body-color fascias w/bright insert
- Bodyside molding w/500 logo
- Bright belt molding
- Bi-function projector beam halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Chrome pwr heated mirrors -inc: exterior spotter mirrors
- Tinted glass windows
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Bright license plate brow
- Chrome door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player
- Aux audio input jack
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year subscription
- Bose premium audio
- Windshield antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver seat height adjuster
- Driver seat memory
- Front seat reactive head restraints
- 50/50 split fold-down rear seat
- Floor carpet
- Front floor mats
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel

- Steering wheel audio controls
- Tilt steering column
- Tachometer
- Vehicle info center
- Body-color instrument panel bezels
- Pwr windows w/front 1-touch down
- Speed control
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning w/automatic temp control & micron filter
- Rear window defroster
- Locking glove box
- (2) front cupholders
- (2) rear floor-mounted cupholders
- Aux 12V pwr outlet
- Door trim w/cloth inserts
- Chrome interior door handles
- Front sunvisors w/vanity mirrors
- Overhead passenger assist handle
- Front reading/map lamps
- Rear cargo area lamp
- Chrome shift knob
- Driver & front passenger seatback pockets
- Rear cargo shelf panel

SAFETY

- Electronic stability control
- Daytime running headlamps
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage frontal airbags
- Supplemental front seat side airbags
- Driver side knee bag
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Rear park assist
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Child seat latch-ready anchor system
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp

CITY MPG

0



HIGHWAY MPG

0

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$22,500.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
6 Speed Aisin Automatic Transmission	\$1,250
• leather-wrapped shift knob	
22 J Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
• 1.4L I4 engine	
• 6-speed auto trans	
Compact Spare Tire	\$450
Rosso (Red)	\$0
Ivory Interior, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Red Seats	\$0
Black Soft Top	\$0
Luxury Leather PKG	\$1,250
• leather trimmed bucket seats	
• heated front seats	
• auto-dimming rearview mirror	
Original Shipping Charge	\$700
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,150.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.AtlantaAutos.com
 678-213-4455



2013 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: **\$15,500**

FIAT 500 POP HATCHBACK
Exterior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient
Interior Color: Nero (Black) Exterior Paint
Interior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient
Engine: 1.4 Liter 141 Multi-Point Injection
Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)

FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES
Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
Supplemental Side-Curtain Front and Rear Airbags
Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
Front Seat Anchor System-LATCH Ready
Child Seat Anti-Lock Disc Power Brakes
4-Wheel Anti-Lock Disc Power Brakes
Electronic Stability Control

Tire Pressure Monitoring Display
Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
Exterior Spotter Mirror
Power Door Locks

Remote Keyless Entry
Cruise Control
Hill Start Assist
Rear Window Defroster
Variable Intermittent Windshield Wipers
Rear Window Wiper / Washer
Engine Immobilizer
12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Console
10.5-Gallon Fuel Tank

INTERIOR FEATURES
Air Conditioning with Micron Filter
Driver Seat Memory
Driver Seat Height Adjuster
Rear 50 / 50 Split Fold-Down Seat
Rear Cargo Shelf Panel
AM/FM/CD/MP3 Radio
BLUE&ME™ Handsfree Communication with USB Port
6 Speakers
Auxiliary Audio Input Jack

WARRANTY COVERAGE
Basic Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
Powertrain Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
Roadside assistance; certain restrictions apply.

TOTAL PRICE: * \$18,400

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT
Customer Preferred Package 22A
Grigio (Gray) Seats
6-Speed Automatic Transmission
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Compact Spare Tire
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Aluminum Wheels
DESTINATION CHARGE

Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel
Tilt Steering Column
Electronic Vehicle Information Center
Tachometer
Sun Visors with Vanity Mirror
Rearview Day / Night Mirror
Front Floor Mats

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit

EXTERIOR FEATURES
15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
185/65 R15 All Season Tires
15-Inch Wheel Covers
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Chrome Door Handles
Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
Tire Service Kit



For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com
or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT


Chrysler Group LLC

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment DOT

30 MPG
combined city/highway
27 city
34 highway
3.3 gallons per 100 miles

You save **\$2,100**
in fuel costs
over 5 years
compared to the
average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost
\$1,900



Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and cost \$11,600 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.55 per gallon. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score	Not Rated
Frontal Crash	Driver: ★★ ★★ ★★ Passenger: ★★ ★★ ★★
Side Crash	Front seat: Not Rated Rear seat: Not Rated
Rollover	★★★★

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.



LA-VOL- 8341
VIN: 3C3-FFARODT-529179
MEXICO
TOLUCA, MEXICO
Assembly Point/Port of Entry: TOLUCA, MEXICO

THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED.
* STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.



2014 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$16,195

FIAT 500 POP HATCHBACK
 Exterior Color: Rosso (Red) Exterior Paint
 Interior: Cloth (Black) Interior Ambient
 Interior: Cloth (Black) Interior Ambient
 Engine: 1.4-Liter MultiAir® Engine
 Transmission: AISIN 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)

FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
- Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Side Curtain Front / Rear Airbags
- Driver-Side Knee Airbag
- Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
- Child Seat Anchor System-LATCH Ready
- 4-Wheel Anti-Lock Disc Power Brakes
- Electronic Stability Control
- Tire Pressure Monitoring Display
- Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
- Exterior Spotter Mirror
- Power Door Locks
- Remote Keyless Entry
- Speed Control
- Hill Start Assist
- Rear Window Defroster
- Intermittent Windshield Wipers
- Rear Window Wiper / Washer
- Engine Immobilizer
- 12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
- 10.5-Gallon Fuel Tank

INTERIOR FEATURES

- Air Conditioning with Micron Filter
- Driver Seat Memory
- Passenger Seat Memory
- Driver Seat Height Adjuster
- Rear 50 / 50 Split Fold-Down Seat
- Rear Cargo Shelf Panel
- AM/FM CD MP3 Radio
- BLUE&ME™ Handsfree Communication
- 6 Speakers

Assembly Point/Port of Entry: TOLUCA, MEXICO

VIN: 3C3-FFAR0ET-2003036

SL

OTK



THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED.
 * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES, IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

- USB / Auxiliary Audio Input Jack
- Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
- Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel
- Tilt Steering Column
- Electronic Vehicle Information Center
- Sun Visors with Vanity Mirror
- Tachometer
- Rearview Day / Night Mirror
- Front Floor Mats

EXTERIOR FEATURES

- 15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Steel Wheels
- 15-Inch Wheel Covers
- Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
- Tinted Glass Windows
- Chrome Exhaust Tip
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
- Tire Service Kit

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT

- Customer Preferred Package 22A
- Grigio (Gray) Seats
- AISIN 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

\$1,250

\$800

TOTAL PRICE: * \$18,245

WARRANTY COVERAGE

- Basic Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
- Powertrain Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
- Roadside assistance; certain restrictions apply.

For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com
 or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

2013 IIHS TOP SAFETY PICK

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment

Fuel Economy

30 MPG
 combined city/hwy
 27 city
 34 highway
 3.3 gallons per 100 miles

Mini-compact cars range from 15 to 116 MPG.
 The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

You save **\$2,000**
 in fuel costs
 over 5 years
 compared to the
 average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost
\$1,900

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only) Smog Rating (tailpipe only)



Best



Best

This vehicle emits 295 grams CO2 per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also creates emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and cost \$11,500 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.50 per gallon. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



Smartphone QR Code



GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★

Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side, and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash ★★★★★

Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash ★★★★★

Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★★★★★

Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
 Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ.

Bumper Performance
 This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
 FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE:
 U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 23 %

MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS CONTENT:

MEXICO: 42 %
 NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS

FOR THIS VEHICLE:
 FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT:
 TOLUCA, MEXICO
 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN:
 ENGINE: UNITED STATES
 TRANSMISSION: JAPAN



2015 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: **\$17,700**

FIAT 500 SPORT HATCHBACK
 Exterior Color: Bianco (White) Exterior Paint Interior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient Interior: Driver-Fit Pressed Bucket Seats Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT) FUNCTIONALITY/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
- Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Supplemental Side-Curtain Front Airbags
- Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
- Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
- LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System
- Security Alarm
- 4-Wheel Anti-Lock Disc Power Brakes
- Electronic Stability Control
- Tire Specific Pressure Monitoring Display
- Hill Start Assist
- Power Door Locks
- Remote Keyless Entry
- Speed Control
- Rear Window Wiper / Washer
- 10.5-Gallon Fuel Tank
- Engine Immobilizer
- Tuned Suspension

INTERIOR FEATURES

- BLUE&ME™ Handsfree Communication
- BLUE&ME™ Streaming Audio
- Premium 7-Inch Color Cluster Display
- FIAT Premium Audio System
- AM/FM CD MP3 Radio
- Media Hub (USB, Aux) in Center Console
- USB Port in Glovebox
- Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
- Electronic Vehicle Information Center
- 12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
- Leather-Wrapped Sport Steering Wheel

- Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
- Tilt Steering Column
- Air Conditioning
- Driver Seat Memory
- Passenger Seat Memory
- Driver Seat Height Adjuster
- Rear 50 / 50 Split Fold-Down Seat

EXTERIOR FEATURES

- 16-Inch x 6.5-Inch Aluminum Wheels
- 195/45R16XL Tires
- Sport Spoiler
- Red Brake Calipers
- Fog Lamps
- Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
- Body-Color Power Heated Mirrors
- Tinted Glass Windows
- Chrome Exhaust Tip
- Tire Service Kit (No Compact Spare)

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT (May Replace Standard Equipment)

- Leather-Trimmed Bucket Seats \$1,200
- Driver and Passenger Front Seatback Pockets
- Customer Preferred Package 22D
- Nero (Black) Seats \$1,350
- 6-Speed Automatic Transmission \$400
- 16-Inch x 6.5-Inch Bright Aluminum Wheels \$980
- DESTINATION CHARGE

TOTAL PRICE: * \$21,630

WARRANTY COVERAGE
 Basic Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
 Powertrain Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
 Roadside assistance; certain restrictions apply.

THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED.
 * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.



Assembly Point/Port of Entry: TOLUCA, MEXICO

VIN: 3C3-0FFBRT1-696118

LA-VOL: 5857

For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com
 or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

FCA US LLC

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment

Fuel Economy

30 MPG
 combined city/hwy
 27 city
 34 highway
 3.3 gallons per 100 miles

You save **\$1,500** in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Mini-compact cars range from .15 to .116 MPG. The best vehicle rates .119 MPG.

Annual fuel cost **\$1,900**

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)



5

This vehicle emits 295 grams CO2 per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also creates emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 24 MPG and cost \$11,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.80 per gallon. MPG-e is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



Smartphone QR Code

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score **★★★★**

Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side, and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash **★★★★**

Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash **★★★★**

Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover **★★★★**

Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest. Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. Bumper Performance

This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION

FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE:
 U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 19 %
 MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS

CONTENT:

MEXICO: 42 %
 NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS

FOR THIS VEHICLE:
 FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT:
 TOLUCA, MEXICO
 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN:
 ENGINE: UNITED STATES
 TRANSMISSION: JAPAN



2016 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: **\$31,800**

FIAT 500E BATTERY ELECTRIC
 Exterior Color: Bianco Perla (Pearl White Tri-Coat) Exterior Paint
 Interior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Color
 Infrared Heated Seats
 Engine: 83 kW Electric Motor (111 hp / 147 lb-ft Torque)
 Transmission: Single Speed Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)

FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Zero Emission Vehicle
- 24 kWhr Liquid Heated & Cooled Lithium Ion Battery
- 83 kW Electric Motor (111 hp / 147 lb-ft Torque)
- 6.6 kW / 1772 Compliant Charge System
- Regenerative Braking & 4-Wheel Disc ABS Brakes
- Push Button Shifter
- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
- Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Supplemental Side-Curtain Front Airbags
- Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
- Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
- LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System
- Electronic Stability Control
- Security Alarm
- Rear Park Assist
- Tire Specific Pressure Monitoring Display
- Power Door Locks
- Remote Keyless Entry
- Speed Control
- Hill Start Assist
- Rear Window Defroster

INTERIOR FEATURES

- Uconnect® 5.0
- 5.0-inch Touchscreen Display
- GPS Navigation
- Integrated Voice Command with Bluetooth®
- SiriusXM® Sat Radio w/ 1-Yr Radio Subscription
- Uconnect® Access with Electric Vehicle App
- USB Port in Glovebox
- 12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
- Media Hub (USB, Aux) in Center Console

Assembly Point/Port of Entry: TOLUCA, MEXICO

VIN: 3C3-0FFG9GT-143045

LA-VON 8973



THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED.
 * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com
 or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

FCA US LLC

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment


Electric Vehicle

Fuel Economy **112** MPGe
 Minicompact cars range from 15 to 116 MPGe. The best vehicle rates 119 MPGe.

You Save \$6,000
 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.



Annual fuel Cost **\$600**



Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 25 MPG and cost \$9,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at 30.13 per kW-hr. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



Smartphone QR Code



GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

This vehicle has not been rated by the government for frontal crash, side crash or rollover risk.

Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

Bumper Performance

This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a front/rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
 FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE:
 U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 19%
 MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS
 CONTENT:
 SOUTH KOREA: 37% MEXICO: 22%
 NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS.

FOR THIS VEHICLE:
 FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT:
 TOLUCA, MEXICO
 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN:
 ENGINE: GERMANY
 TRANSMISSION: GERMANY

TOTAL PRICE: * **\$33,790**

WARRANTY COVERAGE

Basic Limited Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
 Electric Powertrain Limited Warranty: 4 Year / 100,000 Miles.
 HV Lithium-Ion Battery Limited Warranty: 8 Year / 100,000 Miles.
 Ask dealer for a copy of the limited warranties or see your owner's manual for details.

DESTINATION CHARGE

\$995

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT (May Replace Standard Equipment)
 Bianco Perla (Pearl White Tri-Coat) Exterior Paint \$500
 Customer Preferred Package ZEJ \$495
 eSport Package
 15-inch Aluminum Wheels with Orange Accent
 Nero (Black) Trimmed Lights
 Arancio (Orange) Mirror Cap with Body Side Stripe



2017 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: **\$14,995**

- FIAT 500 POP HATCHBACK
- Exterior Color: Nero (Nero) (Straight Black)/Clear Coat Ext Paint
- Interior: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient
- Interior: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient
- Engine: 1.4 Liter (14 Multi-Point) 4-Cylinder Engine
- Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic Transmission

STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT) FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
- Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Supplemental Side-Curtain Front Airbags
- Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
- Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
- LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System
- 4-Wheel Anti-Lock Disc Power Brakes
- Electronic Stability Control
- Tire Specific Pressure Monitoring Display
- Hill Start Assist
- Power Door Locks
- Remote Keyless Entry
- Speed Control
- Rear Window Wiper / Washer
- Engine Immobilizer

INTERIOR FEATURES

- Uconnect® 5.0
- 5.0-Inch Touchscreen Display
- Integrated Voice Command with Bluetooth®
- Rear View Mirror with Microphone
- Media Hub (USB, Aux) in Center Console
- USB Port in Glovebox
- Premium 7-Inch Color Cluster Display
- Electronic Vehicle Information Center
- FIAT Premium Audio System
- Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
- 12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
- Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel
- Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
- Tilt Steering Column
- Urethane Shift Knob
- Premium Cloth Bucket Seats
- Driver Seat Memory
- Passenger Seat Memory
- Driver Seat Height Adjuster
- Front Floor Mats
- Rear 50 / 50 Split Fold-Down Seat
- Air Conditioning

EXTERIOR FEATURES

- 15-Inch x 6.0-Inch Aluminum Wheels
- 185/55R15 Tires

As-assembly Point of Entry: TOLUCA, MEXICO

VIN: 3C3-0FFKR3HT-557918

LA-VON: 6780



THIS LABEL IS ADDED TO THIS VEHICLE TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL LAW. THE LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED.
 * STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES, IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES AND DEALER SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com
 or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

FCA US LLC

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment



29 MPG
 combined city/hwy

27 city
33 highway

3.4 gallons per 100 miles

You spend **\$250** more in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost **\$1,450**

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)



Smog Rating (tailpipe only)



Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 26 MPG and cost \$7,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$2.80 per gallon. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



Smartphone QR Code

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score **★★★★**

Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side, and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash **★★★★**

Driver **★★★★**

Passenger **★★★★**

Side Crash **★★★★**

Front seat **★★★★**

Rear seat **★★★★**

Rollover **★★★★**

Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.

Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION

FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE:
 U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 19%
 MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS

CONTENT:

MEXICO: 52%
 NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS

FOR THIS VEHICLE:

FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT:
 TOLUCA, MEXICO
 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN:
 ENGINE: UNITED STATES
 TRANSMISSION: JAPAN

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ. Bumper Performance

This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a rear barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal bumper standard. The Federal bumper standard allows damage to the bumpers and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.



2018 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$16,245

FIAT 500 POP HATCHBACK
Exterior Color: Metallo Gray Exterior Paint
Interior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient
Interior: Premium Cloth Bucket Seats
Engine: 1.4L MultiAir Turbo Engine
Transmission: 5-Speed Manual Transmission
STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)
FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
Supplemental Side-Curtain Front Airbags
Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System
ParkView® Rear Back Up Camera
Electronic Stability Control
Anti-Lock 4-Wheel Disc Performance Brakes
Sport-Tuned Suspension
Tire Specific Pressure Monitoring Display
Hill Start Assist
Power Door Locks
Remote Keyless Entry
Speed Control
Rear Window Wiper / Washer
Engine Immobilizer
135 Horsepower Rating

INTERIOR FEATURES
Uconnect® 5.0
5.0-Inch Touchscreen Display
Integrated Voice Command with Bluetooth®
Rear View Mirror with Microphone
Media Hub (USB, Aux) in Center Console
USB Port in Glovebox
Premium 7-Inch Color Cluster Display
Electronic Vehicle Information Center
FIAT Premium Audio System
Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel
Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
Tilt Steering Column
Premium Cloth Bucket Seats

Assembly Point/Port of Entry: TOLLUCA, MEXICO
VIN: 3C3CFFKH1JT-503515
L4-AVON: 2551



S.L. 0818

THIS LABEL IS APPLIED TO THIS VEHICLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW. THIS LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED OR ALTERED PRIOR TO DELIVERY TO THE ULTIMATE PURCHASER.
* STATE AND/OR LOCAL TAXES, IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES, AND DELIVERY CHARGES AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

FCA US LLC
For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment
You spend \$250 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost \$1,400
Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating
Smog Rating

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS
Overall Vehicle Score Not Rated
Frontal Crash Driver Passenger
Side Crash Front seat Rear seat
Rollover Not Rated

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE: U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 11%
MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS CONTENT: MEXICO : 54% ITALY : 16%

VEHICLE PROTECTION
A PRODUCT OF FCA US LLC
MOPAR
Ask for Mopar Vehicle Protection for your vehicle. We Built It. We Back It.



2019 MODEL YEAR

THIS VEHICLE IS MANUFACTURED TO MEET SPECIFIC UNITED STATES REQUIREMENTS. THIS VEHICLE IS NOT MANUFACTURED FOR SALE OR REGISTRATION OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE OF THIS MODEL INCLUDING DEALER PREPARATION

Base Price: \$16,495

FIAT 500 POP HATCHBACK
Exterior Color: Bianco White (w/ Exterior Paint Interior Color: Nero (Black) Interior Ambient Lighting: Premium Cloth Bucket Seats Engine: 1.4L MultiAir Turbo Engine Transmission: 5-Speed Manual Transmission STANDARD EQUIPMENT (UNLESS REPLACED BY OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT)
FUNCTIONAL/SAFETY FEATURES

- Advanced Multistage Front Airbags
Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
Supplemental Side-Curtain Front Airbags
Driver Inflatable Knee-Bolster Airbag
Front Seat Reactive Head Restraints
LATCH Ready Child Seat Anchor System
ParkView® Rear Back-Up Camera
Electronic Stability Control
Anti-Lock 4-Wheel Disc Performance Brakes
Sport-Tuned Suspension
Tire Specific Pressure Monitoring Display
Hill Start Assist
Power Door Locks
Remote Keyless Entry
Speed Control
Rear Window Wiper / Washer
Engine Immobilizer
135 Horsepower Rating
INTERIOR FEATURES
Uconnect® 3 with 5-inch Display
5.0-Inch Touchscreen Display
Integrated Voice Command with Bluetooth®
Rear View Mirror with Microphone
Media Hub (USB, Aux) in Center Console
USB Port in Glovebox
Premium 7-Inch Color Cluster Display
Electronic Vehicle Information Center
FIAT Premium Audio System
Power Windows with Front One-Touch-Down Feature
12-Volt Auxiliary Power Outlet in Center Console
Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel
Steering Wheel Mounted Audio Controls
Tilt Steering Column
Premium Cloth Bucket Seats

Destination Charge \$1,495

TOTAL PRICE: * \$18,285

WARRANTY COVERAGE
Basic Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
Powertrain Warranty: 4 Year / 50,000 Miles.
Roadside assistance; certain restrictions apply.

- Driver Seat Memory
Passenger Seat Memory
Driver Seat Height Adjuster
Rear 50 / 50 Split Fold-Down Seat
Air Conditioning
EXTERIOR FEATURES
16-Inch x 6.5-Inch Aluminum Wheels
Bi-Function Halogen Projector Headlamps
Front Fog Lamps
Body-Color Power-Heated Mirrors
Tinted Glass Windows
Chrome Exhaust Tip
Sport Spoiler
Tire Service Kit (No Compact Spare)
OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT (May Replace Standard Equipment)
Customer Preferred Package 2HC
Roof & Mirror Cap Red Package
Rosso (Red) Roof
Rood-Color Exterior Mirrors
Two-Tone Paint Group
Black / Gray (Nero / Grigio) Seats

SOLD TO: SHIP TO: S.L. 0617
VIN: 3C3CFFKH5KT-803334 U-I-VON: 7439



THIS LABEL IS APPLIED TO THIS VEHICLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW. THIS LABEL CANNOT BE REMOVED OR EXTENDED PRIOR TO DELIVERY TO THE ULTIMATE PURCHASER.
* STATE AND LOCAL TAXES, IF ANY, LICENSE AND TITLE FEES, AND DEALER SUPPLIES AND INSTALLED OPTIONS AND ACCESSORIES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS PRICE. DISCOUNT, IF ANY, IS BASED ON PRICE OF OPTIONS IF PURCHASED SEPARATELY.

FCA US LLC

For more information visit: www.fiatusa.com or call 1-888-CIAOFIAT

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment Gasoline Vehicle

You spend \$500 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.
30 MPG combined city/hwy
3.3 gallons per 100 miles
Annual fuel cost \$1,500

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)
Smog Rating (tailpipe only)
This vehicle emits 299 grams CO2 per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also creates emissions; learn more at: fueleconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. Fuel economy and emissions are based on a cycle of city and highway driving. Costs are estimates based on 15,000 miles per year, \$3.00 per gallon, and 24.7 mpg. EPA's Greenhouse Gas Equivalency vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.
fueleconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS
Overall Vehicle Score Not Rated
Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.
Frontal Crash Driver Passenger
Side Crash Front seat Rear seat
Rollover Not Rated Not Rated
Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest. Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
FOR VEHICLES IN THIS CARLINE: U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 12% MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS CONTENT: MEXICO : 42% ITALY : 15%
NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY, DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS.
FOR THIS VEHICLE: FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT: TOLUCA, MEXICO COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: ENGINE: ITALY TRANSMISSION: ITALY

VEHICLE PROTECTION
A PRODUCT OF FCA US LLC
MOPAR
Ask for Mopar Vehicle Protection for your vehicle. We Built It. We Back It.

The safety ratings above are based on Federal Government tests of particular vehicles equipped with certain features and options. The performance of this vehicle may differ.
Bumper Performance
This vehicle is equipped with bumper systems that can withstand a frontal barrier impact speed of 2.5 miles per hour and a side impact speed of 2.3 miles per hour with no more damage than allowed by the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards. The performance of this vehicle may differ. The bumper and attaching hardware and specifies barrier tests to be conducted at 2.5 miles per hour.

Year: 2012
 Make: Honda
 Model: Civic Sdn 4dr Auto LX
 VIN: 19XFB2F55CE371153

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5-Speed A/T
 Exterior:
 Interior:

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L SOHC MPFI 16-valve i-VTEC I4 engine
- Aluminum-alloy engine block
- Drive-by-wire throttle
- Eco Assist system
- Compact 5-speed automatic transmission w/OD
- Front wheel drive
- MacPherson strut front suspension
- Multi-link rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Motion-adaptive electric pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr ventilated front disc/rear drum brakes

- Maintenance Minder system
- Pwr windows w/driver auto-up/down
- Illuminated driver window/driver door lock controls
- Remote fuel filler door release
- Interior remote trunk release w/lock
- Security system
- Immobilizer theft-deterrent system
- Air conditioning w/air filtration system
- Rear seat heater ducts
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Front 12V aux pwr outlet
- Coin tray
- Front cup holders
- Front door pocket storage bins
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Map lights
- Cargo area light

EXTERIOR

- P195/65HR15 all-season tires
- Compact spare tire & wheel
- Body-colored bumpers
- Multi-reflector auto-off halogen headlights
- Body-colored pwr mirrors
- 2-speed intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-colored door handles

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock braking system (ABS) w/electronic brake distribution (EBD)
- Brake assist
- Vehicle Stability Assist (VSA) w/traction control
- Advanced Compatibility Engineering (ACE) body structure
- Side-impact door beams
- Daytime running lights
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point seat belts in all seating positions - inc: front automatic tensioning system, adjustable front seat belt anchors
- Child-proof rear door locks
- 2nd row lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH) -inc: outboard lower anchors, tether anchors for all positions
- Emergency trunk release
- Tire pressure monitoring system

ENTERTAINMENT

- Integrated rear window antenna

INTERIOR

- Cloth reclining front bucket seats -inc: driver manual height adjustment, active adjustable head restraints
- Fold-down rear seatback -inc: adjustable head restraints
- Center console w/armrest, storage compartment
- Driver footrest
- Tilt/telescoping steering column
- 2-tier instrument panel w/blue backlit gauges -inc: tachometer
- Indicator lights -inc: trunk/door-open, low fuel/oil, coolant temp, front passenger front & front side airbag status
- Intelligent multi-information display (i-MID) -inc: welcome screen, customizable settings, digital odometer, (2) digital trip meters, clock, average speed, elapsed time, average fuel economy, miles-to-empty
- Headlights-on reminder

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

8\$

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	9,175.05
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Original Shipping Charge	\$790
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,595.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774



HONDA

2013 FIT SDR BASE

EXY CRYSTAL BLACK P. EXTERIOR COLOR IS LEAD-ASSISTED
W/ GRAY

- STANDARD EQUIPMENT AT NO EXTRA COST**
- **TECHNICAL FEATURES***
 - 177hp 1.8-Liter SOHC 16-Valve
 - VTEC® 4-Cylinder Engine
 - 5-Speed Automatic Transmission with Grade Lock Control
 - Drive-by-Wire Throttle System
 - Electric Power-Assisted Steering
 - Rack-and-Pinion Steering
 - Front MacPherson Strut Suspension
 - Rear Torsion Beam Suspension
 - Independent Rear Suspension System
 - **SAFETY FEATURES***
 - Driver's and Front Passenger's Dual-Stage Airbags (SAB)
 - Driver's and Front Passenger's Side Airbags
 - Side Curtain Airbag
 - Anti-Lock Braking System (ABS)
 - Brake Assist
 - Electronic Brake Distribution (EBD)
 - Vehicle Stability Assist (VSA)
 - 3-Point Seat Belts
 - Front Seat Belts with Automatic Tensioning System
 - Tire Pressure Monitoring System
 - Active Front Head Restraints
 - Side Impact Door Beams
 - Front and Rear Crumple Zones
 - ACIS Body Structure
 - LATCH System for Child Seats

- **INTERIOR FEATURES***
 - 8 Speakers incl. MP3/WMA Playback
 - USB Audio Interface
 - MP3 Auxiliary Input Jack
 - Air Conditioning with Air Filtration System
 - 8-Spoke Flat-Face Multi-Spoke Alloy Wheels and Power Windows, and
 - Driver's Auto Up/Down Window
 - TR & Tinted Glass Side Windows
 - Passenger Side Back Pocket
 - Rear Under-Seat Hidden Storage Box
 - Driver's Side
 - Ambient Console Lighting
 - Driver's and Passenger's 6-Speaker Music System
 - 12-Volt Power Outlet
 - Cargo Area Light
 - Maintenance-Reminder System
- **EXTERIOR FEATURES***
 - 18" x 5.5" Steel Wheels with an ABS Anti-Corrosion Treat
 - 177hp and Windows Tinted
 - Head Restraints with Washer
 - Side Window Trim
 - Body-Colored Door and Moldings
 - Body-Colored Front and Rear Bumper
 - Multiple tie-downs
 - Removal Easy with Security System

Destination and Handling \$700.00

TOTAL VEHICLE PRICE
Includes tax, license, title, state and local fees and dealer options and accessories are not included in the manufacturer's suggested retail price.

\$17,015.00

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment



You Save
\$3,100
in fuel costs
over 5 years
compared to the
average new vehicle

31 MPG
City
28 MPG
Highway
35 MPG
Combined

Annual fuel cost
\$1,700



fuelconomy.gov
Get more information on fuel economy and emissions at fuelconomy.gov

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATING
Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Based on the combined range of frontal, side and rollover
crash tests. NHTSA has combined its star ratings of frontal and side impact
crash tests to create a new set of star ratings for overall crash and safety.

Frontal	★★★★★
Crash	★★★★★
Side	★★★★★
Crash	★★★★★
Rollover	★★★★★

Star Ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4278

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
Major Sources of Foreign Parts Content
JAPAN 90%

FOR THIS VEHICLE
Final Assembly Plant
**SAYAMA, SAITAMA
JAPAN**
Country of Origin Engine
JAPAN
Transmission
JAPAN

FOR THIS VEHICLE
Final Assembly Plant
**SAYAMA, SAITAMA
JAPAN**
Country of Origin Engine
JAPAN
Transmission
JAPAN

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price
\$16,225.00
No Charge

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price
\$17,015.00

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price
\$17,015.00

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price
\$17,015.00

Year: 2015
 Make: Honda
 Model: CR-V
 VIN: 2HKRM3H73FH538797

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT Transmission
 Colors: Crystal Black Pearl / Black
 Mileage: 51,481

MECHANICAL

- 5.048 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: TBD
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 36-Amp/Hr 410CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 15.3 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Tires: P225/65R17 102T All-Season
- 6.5" Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Colored Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and Black Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Colored Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and Black Bumper Insert
- Black Bodyside Cladding and Black Wheel Well Trim
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter and Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper, Heated Wiper Park and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Programmable Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD/SiriusXM Audio System -inc: 328-Watts, 7 speakers including subwoofer, 7" display w/high-resolution WVGA electrostatic touch-screen, customizable feature settings, HondaLink Next Generation, Bluetooth streaming audio, Pandora Internet radio compatibility, USB/display audio interfaces, MP3/Windows Media Audio playback capability, Radio Data System and Speed-Sensitive Volume Control
- Audio Theft Deterrent
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- Bluetooth Handsfreelink Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: driver's seat w/10-way power adjustment and power lumbar support
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel

- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert, Chrome And Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Roll-Up Cargo Cover
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Seats w/Vinyl Back Material
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera
- Honda LaneWatch Right Side Camera

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$29,645.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Crystal Black Pearl	\$0
Black, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Wheels: 17" 10 Spoke Alloy	\$1,516
Original Shipping Charge	\$900
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,061.00

Used

PRICE **\$18,995.00**

Get more information on your smartphone:



LVMotorcars.com
 702-463-9700

HONDA

2015 FIT 5DR EX

EXT. PASSION BERRY P

INT. BLACK

ENGINE NUMBER: L15B1-1047029

STANDARD EQUIPMENT AT NO EXTRA COST

- **TECHNICAL FEATURES ***
 - 130hp 1.8-Liter DOHC 16-Valve i-VTEC 4-Cylinder Direct Injection Engine w/ Earth Dreams Technology
 - 6-Speed Manual Transmission
 - Drive-by-Wire Throttle System
 - Electric Power-Assisted Rack-and-Pinion Steering
 - Front MacPherson Strut Suspension
 - Rear Torsion Beam Suspension
 - Immobilizer Theft-Deterrent System
- **SAFETY FEATURES ***
 - Driver's and Front Passenger's Dual-Stage Airbags (SRS)
 - Driver's and Front Passenger's Side Airbags
 - Side Curtain Airbags with Rollover Sensor
 - Anti-Lock Braking System (ABS)
 - Brakes Assist
 - Electronic Brake Distribution (EBD)
 - Vehicle Stability Assist (VSA)
 - Tire Pressure Monitoring System
 - ACE Body Structure
 - Daytime Running Lights (DRL)
 - LATCH System for Child Seats
- USB Audio Interface
- Steering Wheel Mounted Controls
- Pandora Internet Radio Interface
- SMS Text Messaging Functionality
- Push-Button Start
- LaneWatch
- Air Conditioning with Air Filtration System
- Driver's Seat Height Adjustment
- Center Storage Console w/ Armrest
- 60-40 Fold-Flat Rear Magic Seat
- Power Windows and Power Windows and Locks
- Driver's Auto Up/Down Window
- Tilt & Telescopic Steering Column
- Cargo Area Light
- Visitor Vanity Mirrors
- Cruise Control
- 12-Volt Power Outlets
- Floor Mats

- **EXTERIOR FEATURES ***
 - One-Touch Power Moonroof with Tilt Feature
 - 16" x 6.0" Alloy Wheels
 - P185/55 R16 All-Season Tires
 - Intermittent Windshield Wipers
 - Rear Window Defroster
 - Rear Wiper with Washer
 - Auto-On/Off Headlights
 - Expanded View Driver's Mirror
 - Body-Colored Power Door Mirrors
 - Fog Lights
 - LED Brakeights
 - Rear Spoiler
 - Smart Entry System with Security System

- **INTERIOR FEATURES ***
 - AM/FM/CD/MP3 Audio Touch Screen System with 6 Speakers
 - 7-Inch Display Audio w/ Rearview Camera
 - Next Generation HondaLink with Smartphone Applications
 - Bluetooth Audio
 - Bluetooth HandsFreeLink

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price **\$17,435.00**

Full Tank of Fuel No Charge

Destination and Handling 790.00

TOTAL VEHICLE PRICE
 (Includes Pre-Delivery Service) **\$18,225.00**

License and title fees, title and local taxes and dealer options and accessories are not included in the manufacturer's suggested retail price.

Year: 2015
 Make: Honda
 Model: CR-V I NK 3dr 7F
 V#: 2HERMGH33i H5054gy

7nTne: g Cntnder 7nTne
 t ranBc sBn: CVt t ranBc sBn
 7P/ersor:
 8/ersor:

MECHANICAL

, 3-0gy I Fle Ra/s
 , i VN R: t . K
 , I Aloc a/sx GAll-t s e I I-N Wvel KrsDe
 , h5-I c v8Hr g10CCI Mas/enanxe-Gree . a/erm
 , i aBpreBBAsued z Wxk I SBorSerB
 , Gron/ I nd Rear I n/sRoll . arB
 , 7lex/rsx pob er-I BBB/ z veed-z enBnT z /eersnT
 , 13-h i al' GAel t ank
 , z snTle z /asneBBz /eel 7 Pw/AB/
 , perc anen/ woxksnT HASB
 , z /rA/ Gron/ z ABvenBn b CoD z vrsnTB
 , MAI/swnk Rear z ABvenBn b CoD z vrsnTB
 , g-N Wvel KsX . rakeBb @-N Wvel I . z LGron/
 Ven/ed KsXEL . rake I BBB/ and Hsl Hold Con/rol

EXTERIOR

, t sreBt p22363R14 102t I I-l z eaBn
 , z /eel z vare N Wvel
 , Coc vax/ z vare t sre MoAn/ed 8iBste " nder
 CarTo
 , Clearxoa/ p asn/
 , 7 PvreBB UvenCloBe z IsdnT I nd t d/snT i laBB
 1B/ Rob z AnrooCb & AnBwde
 , . odmColored Gron/ . Ac ver b Colored RAS
 z /rs/CAkxsl xxen/ and . laxk . Ac ver 8iBer/
 , . odmColored Rear . Ac ver b Colored RAS
 z /rs/CAkxsl xxen/ and . laxk . Ac ver 8iBer/
 , . laxk . odnBde CladdsnT and . laxk N Wvel N ell
 t rsc
 , CWoc e z sle N sndob Bt rsc . and . laxk Gron/
 N sndBweld t rsc
 , . odmColored Koor HandleB
 , . odmColored pob er z sle MrrorBb CoConDeP
 z vo/ler and ManAal GoldsnT
 , Gsped Rear N sndob b Gsped 8i/erDal N sverL
 Hea/ed N sver park and Ke@B/er
 , Keev t sn/ed i laBB
 , z veed z enBnsDe VarsSle 8i/erc s/en/ N sverB
 , GAllmi alDansued z /eel panelB
 , . laxk i rgle b CoWoc e z ArroAnd
 , w8O/a e Rear CarTo I xxeBB
 , proTrac c aSle I ero-Coc voBse HaloTen
 Kantis: e RAnnsnT Headlac vBb 8kelamUO
 , Gron/ GoT vac vB

ENTERTAINMENT

, Radso: I M6MCK I Adso z n8Eec b 8 z veakerB
 -srx: 150-N a//EL4f dsB/lamb 8i/WreBnIAsn
 N Vi I elex/roBa/sx /oAxWkreenLxAB/oc sjaSle
 @a/Are Bs/snTBL . IAe/oo/WB/reac snT aAdsoL
 pandora 8i/eme/ radso xoc va/sdsnL" z . 8iB/lam
 aAdso sn/erGxeBLMp h8N sndob BMedsa I Adso
 vianSaxk xavaSdsnLRadso Ka/a z n8Eec and
 z veed-z enBnsDe VolAc e Con/rol
 , Radso b 8 eek-z xanLCloxx and z /eersnT N Wvel
 Con/rolB
 , I Adso t W8OKe/erren/
 , 8i/eTra/ed RooQ n/enna
 , . IAe/oo/WHandB8eelsnk N seleBBP W8ne
 Connex/s8m

INTERIOR

, Hea/ed Gron/ . Axke/ z ea/B-srx: drsDer8 Bea/
 b 8I-0-b amvob er ad'AB/c en/ and vob er IAc Sar
 BAvvor/
 , g-N amp aBBenTer z ea/ -srx: ManAal Rexlsne
 and Gore8 8MoDec en/
 , 50-g0 GoldsnT z vls- . enxWGron/ CaxsnT Gls/
 Gorb ard CAB/8n8 ea/Saxk Rear z ea/
 , ManAal t d/8 eleBkovsnT z /eersnT ColAc n
 , Gron/ CAvW8lder

, Rear CAvW8lder
 , Coc vaBB
 , Vale/ GAnx/sn
 , Rec o/e ReleaBBB -8ix: MexWnsxal GAel
 , CrAsBe Con/rol b 8 /eersnT N Wvel Con/rolB
 , ManAal I s CondssnSnT
 , HV1 C -srx: " nderBea/ KAxBand ConBole KAxB
 , woxksnT i loD8 . oP
 , KrsDer Goo/ ReB/
 , GAll Clo/WHeadlsner
 , Clo/WKoor t rsc 8iBer/
 , " re/W8ne i ear z W8EnoS
 , 8i/ersor t rsc -srx: Me/al-wook 8iB/Ac en/ panel
 8iBer/LMe/al-wook ConBole 8iBer/LCWoc e I nd
 Me/al-wook 8i/ersor I xxen/B
 , Kam9 sTW RearD8b M8ror
 , KrsDer I nd paBBenTer V88or VansmM8rorB
 b 8KrsDer I nd paBBenTer 8iAc sna/sn
 , GAll Gloor ConBole b CoCoDered z /oraTelM8ns
 UDerW8ad ConBole b 8 /oraTelConDerBa/sn M8ror
 and 2 12V KC pob er UA/leB
 , Gron/ Mav w8TWB
 , Gade-t o-UO8/ersor w8TWsnT
 , Carve/ Gloor t rsc
 , Roll-" v CarTo CoDer
 , CarTo z vaxe w8TWB
 , 8iB/Ac en/ panel . snLKrsDer 8paBBenTer I nd Rear
 Koor . snB
 , Kelaned I xxeBB8mp pob er
 , z n8Eec B Mon8or
 , UA/B8de t ec v i aTe
 , I nalOT K8B/lam
 , ManAal I d'ABaSle Gron/ Head ReB/ran/Band
 ManAal I d'ABaSle Rear Head ReB/ran/B
 , z IsdnT Gron/ Cen/er I rc reB/ and Rear Cen/er
 I rc reB/
 , z ea/Bb 8prec sAc Clo/W . axk Ma/ersal
 , 2 z ea/Saxk z /oraTe poxxe/B
 , pers8 e/er I larc
 , 2 12V KC pob er UA/le/B
 , I s C8/ra/sn

SAFETY

, z sle 8 vax/ . eac B
 , KAal z /aTe KrsDer I nd paBBenTer z ea/-MoAn/ed
 z sle I sSaTB
 , wob t sre preBBAre N arnsnT
 , KAal z /aTe KrsDer I nd paBBenTer Gron/ I sSaTB
 , CAr/asn 1B/ I nd 2nd Rob I sSaTB
 , I sSaT UxxAvanxzmz enBor
 , Rear CV8d z a8/mwoxkB
 , UA/Soard Gron/ wav I nd z W8Alder z a8/m. el/B-
 -srx: Rear Cen/er h p8n/LHesTWI d'ABerBand
 pre/enB8nerB
 , . axk-" v Cac era
 , Honda waneN a/xWRsTW z sle Cac era

New

MSRP	\$27,395.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Ur8snal z WvrsnT CVArTe	j \$80
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	j 2ylhh3-00

Get more information on your smartphone:



bbb . sIMarBWxoc
 y00-3\$5-244g

Year: 2014
 Make: Honda
 Model: CR-V 2WD 5dr EX
 VIN: 2HKRM3H51EH543901

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5-Speed A/T
 Colors: Urban Titanium Metallic / Beige

Stock #: 2539A

MECHANICAL

- 4.44 Axle Ratio
- GWR: 4,464 lbs
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 36-Amp/Hr 410CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 850# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 15.3 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" 10-Spoke Alloy
- Tires: P225/65R17 102T All-Season
- 6.5" Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding and Black Wheel Well Trim
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter and Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper, Heated Wiper Park and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Programmable Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD Audio System w/6 Speakers -inc: 160-Watts, Bluetooth streaming audio, Pandora Internet radio compatibility, USB audio interface, MP3/auxiliary input jack, MP3/Windows Media Audio playback capability, Radio Data System and Speed-Sensitive Volume Control
- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- Audio Theft Deterrent
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- Bluetooth Handsfreelink Wireless Phone Connectivity
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: driver's seat w/manual height adjustment
- Driver Seat
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Roll-Up Cargo Cover
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Driver And Front Passenger Armrests and Rear Center Armrest
- Seats w/Premium Cloth Back Material
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

22



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,220.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Urban Titanium Metallic	\$0
Beige, Cloth Seat Trim	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$880
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,100.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



LUXURY
 AUTO COLLECTION

www.luxuryautocollection.com
 480-448-9855

Year: 2011
 Make: Dodge
 Mileage: 41,372
 AS: 65137BtEy7D2TB02B

3gm: B Eol er 3gm
 CragUS CUCg: T14 Feel t X
 3xhCr: 8CeLpegh Over 7le l earb
 8lerCr: Vrao

MECHANICAL

u2rBs PGDE 1TLVW 8VP8egmGe
 uEi ghodi dUVara te VAVe HS Qm
 .EAAO-
 uTLFeel adH Sa h agUS CUCg v XGPF
 4D8vCh G5 E
 uwri ghv ceel rUe
 u110LaS Ra bergaHr
 uMapl cerU g UrdHri gHUBURegUCg
 v XraUUBi pkU
 u8l eRegl egHS dUok rear UdURegUCg
 v XraUUBi pkU
 uwri gXear UA Ser arU
 uBlv ceel Cp rakeU

EXTERIOR

ul 206X6h 1T aUaU g KeU
 uEi S RapHUPare Ke
 u7i l olpi br dS PerU
 u7i l olpi br ceal Rv rS Ori rUv XSer
 u7i l olpi br mUe
 uEcri Se ci il margCb
 uEcri Se v Ql i v HS
 u4i tar pi ghf brtaU
 ul ri /epH rUegUceal UrcHU
 u4Feel UlegUde VArA te QHrS QHgh
 v Ql UeCb v GerU
 u7i l olpi br l i i r cagl teU

ENTERTAINMENT

u10Blv aHt MXMX M Ueire v XEPMI q
 Raer LQp: .T- UPeakerUFC i l X 47 Xdx
 QPdHfQLS i gh Ue UprCUCg
 u4cark fQ Ude aglegga


INTERIOR

uwri gH dpkeHbaHUv XpUe ceal
 reUtaQH
 uhear T0X80 URUfi b UbaHUv XdHi arl
 ceal reU
 uPdabUer pi gU te v XarSeUHURaneF
 .2- pdRci b erU
 uhear pegler arS reUHv X2- pdRci b erU
 u.2- pegler pi gU te Si dgte 12LV bRv r
 i dHeHU
 uwdUo i r parReHm
 uPi i r UeUdUdf RteHU
 u8UrdS egHUCg LQp: UReel i S eteRf
 Hapci S eteRfpi i agHHS RfdebleVetf
 i l i S eteRfH i l i S eteRf Qdabpb pk
 u8l QaHrULQp: l h 5 PPrdCe pi ghf b
 Hrg UOgabXa&arl FcUc eaSF
 34EXOE4F3EG

uj arg@mpcS eULQp: keolQURgUCg
 v Xi i ra/arFUaHeHb
 uOrCpi S RdHr
 ul vrv Ql i v Uv X rUe adH dRX i v gF
 RQpc Ri HpkCg
 uheSi te fdeX i i l Xtdgk reUaUe
 ut pUe 3EG UbUeS
 ut Cpi gl CgQmLQp: pa Q acfUer
 uhear v Ql i v l efrUe
 usi pkQmmb Ve i x
 uE b l i i r H S
 uMeHbraQ QHrCr appegHU
 uMaRr i pkeHU
 uB l i i r i H c i b erU
 uPdabUdVU rUv XMS QaHl VagU
 S Ori rU
 u.q- aUUCHmCU
 usUrcUmlQp: l i S eFfri gHS aRlUrcHUf
 QgCgFmb Ve i xFpami
 u4eaHapK Ri pkeHU
 uhear pi aHbagmer

SAFETY

uBlv ceebagHb pk rakeU.t 74- LQp:
 etepH gQ rakeU rpe l UHCdUCg .37P-F
 rake aUUCH
 uCrapUCg pi ghf bUbUeS .OE4-
 v XepH gQ Ua Cb pi ghf b.34E-
 u4cCHQterb pk UbUeS
 u7i l oLUe reQfi rpeSegHU
 uDi i l - dpkUmpreaUeU(Uafek UH RU
 uPaokS e rdggQmLaS RU
 uwri gXear prdS Re & geU
 uPrUeXri gHraUegmer UeHHS i dgHl
 UCeLS RapHaC amU
 uwri gXear UCelpdrH aC amU
 uqLr i QHbaHeHUfi r albUeakmFi UCCGU
 uwri gHbaH ebPreHegUCgerU(fi rpe
 bS GerU
 uEcU Rr i f rear l i i r b pkU
 u3Serregpo QHrgabHdgk reUaUe
 usi ver agpci rU(dRPer Heter agpci rU
 .st CED-
 u3germola U r QmUerQmpi l dS g

CITY MPG		HIGHWAY MPG
22		35
<small>l pldabS @ame v QHro v Qe i RUCgLi rUCmp i gl CgULr i rUCmca QUagl WcQeUpi gl CUCg</small>		

INSTALLED OPTIONS

I i Rdar 3ZdCS egH KV 2	' \$60
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rv r l rUerUbaH Rv r l rUer l S ar UeRr rH adhS aH Q ceal UrcHpi ghf b pri Se QHrCr l i i r cagl teU teahereH l i i r H S 1Tzab o v ceelU 	
8CeLpegh Over 7le l earb	' 0
VraoFE b te 4eaHU	' 0
8i l Ea te	' q6
Earm 5eH	' 60
7dS Per t RRzde	' 60
Mdl Vdarl	' y6
EarReH w b i r MaHU	' 100
GrUCab4cCFCmEcarne	' \$60



v v v rprel Qj i v rpi S
 yTTLyTBL9y99

Year: 2012
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata 4dr Sdn 2.0T Auto Limited
 VIN: 5NPEC4AB3CH345809

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Midnight Black
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC 16-valve I4 turbocharged GDI engine
- Dual continuously variable valve timing
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, SHIFTRONIC -inc: steering wheel mounted paddle shifters
- Front wheel drive
- 110-amp alternator
- MacPherson strut front suspension w/gas shocks
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/gas shocks
- Front/rear stabilizer bars
- 4-wheel disc brakes
- Chrome tipped dual exhaust

EXTERIOR

- 18" hyper silver alloy wheels
- P225/45R18 performance tires
- Compact T125/80D16 spare tire
- Panoramic tilt/slide glass front sunroof -inc: fixed rear glass roof, sunshade
- Body-color bumpers
- Body-color heated pwr mirrors w/timer -inc: turn signal indicators
- Dark chrome grille
- Chrome hood garnish
- Chrome window trim
- Fog lights
- Solar control glass
- Projector-lens headlights -inc: auto on/off control
- Speed-sensitive variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Chrome accent door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- 360-watt AM/FM/XM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: Dimension premium speakers, iPod/USB/aux inputs, 3-month subscription, HD radio w/multicasting
- Shark fin style antenna

INTERIOR

- Heated front bucket seats -inc: 8-way pwr driver, pwr driver lumbar, active head restraints
- Heated rear seat bottom cushions
- Rear 60/40 split-fold seats w/outboard headrests
- Dual tier console w/armrest, storage, cupholders
- Rear center armrest w/ cupholders
- center console mounted 12-volt pwr outlets
- Full floor carpeting
- Premium metalgrain door sill scuff plates
- Instrumentation -inc: speedometer, tachometer, coolant temp, fuel level, odometer, trip odometer, digital clock

- Indicators -inc: PRND, cruise control, turn signal/hazard, high beam, ESC/TCS, ECO
- Warning chimes -inc: key-in-ignition w/door ajar, seatbelt
- Trip computer
- Pwr windows w/driver auto up/down, pinch protection
- Remote fuel/hood/trunk release
- Active ECO system
- Dual automatic climate control -inc: outside temp gauge, cabin air filter, rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Locking glovebox
- Leatherette door trim
- Piano black or woodgrain interior accents
- Map pockets
- door bottle holders
- Electrochromic rearview mirror -inc: HomeLink universal garage door opener, compass
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- assist grips
- Lighting -inc: dome, front map lights, ignition, glovebox, cargo, rear reading lights
- Leather-wrapped shift knob
- Seatback pockets
- Rear coat hanger

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: electronic brake-force distribution , brake assist
- Traction control system w/electronic stability control
- Shift interlock system
- Body-side reinforcements
- Hood buckling creases & safety stops
- Daytime running lamps
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Driver/front passenger seat-mounted side-impact airbags
- Front/rear side-curtain airbags
- Blue Link telematics system
- 3-point seatbelts for all seating positions
- Front seat belt pretensioners & force limiters
- Childproof rear door locks
- Emergency internal trunk release
- Lower anchors & upper tether anchors
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

22



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$28,195.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Navigation PKG	\$2,200
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 7" touch screen navigation system · rear backup camera · (9) Infinity premium speakers · subwoofer · 400-watt amp · XM NavTraffic · XM NavWeather · XM sports · XM stocks w/3-month complimentary subscription 	
Midnight Black	\$0
Gray, Leather Seats	\$0
Ipod Cable	\$35
Cargo Mat	\$95
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$100
Original Shipping Charge	\$775
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$31,400.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford Palm Beach

Year: 201M
 k aH: y undi ao
 k l i e S t l d a . i r t i d 2 D A L n 4 m v / e i
 I N : P 5 E C B . L 3 M y P P 1 M 9

Cdgale: . B u S i e r C d g e
 AradsV assd: 6-t peei L/A
 Cx4er r: k o d g h 4 3 S c H
 N 4 e r r: 3 S c H

MECHANICAL

G 2 T m 8 . y B 1 6 - D a S e N 4 n r O c h a r g e i v 8 N
 e d g w e
 G 8 n a S c l d 4 n l n s S i D a r a C e D a S e 4 V w g
 G 6 - s p e e i a n 4 V a 4 c 4 a d s V a s s d b / . 8 w
 t y N A F . 5 N B - w c : s 4 e r w g b h e e S V l n d 4 i
 p a i i S s h o F e r s
 G r l d 4 b h e e S r o d e
 G l 1 0 - a V p a S e r d a 4 r
 G k a c E h e r s l d s 4 n 4 R l d 4 s n s p e d s d b / g a s
 s h l c h s
 G n i e p e d i e d 4 V n S e S i H r e a r s n s p e d s d
 b / g a s s h l c h s
 G r l d 4 r e a r s 4 O s e r C a r s
 G - b h e e S c O r a H e s
 G B h r l V e 4 p e e i i n a S e x h a n s 4

EXTERIOR

G l 9 z h u p e r s o d e r a S u b h e e S
 G E 2 2 P . P F 1 9 p e r R r V a d c e 4 r e s
 G B l V p a c 4 A 1 2 P / 9 0 8 1 6 s p a r e 4 r e
 G 3 l i u - c l \$ r O n V p e r s
 G 3 l i u - c l \$ r h e a 4 i p b r V a r l r s b / 4 V e r -
 w c : 4 n d s o d a S e i w a 4 r s
 G 8 a r H c h r l V e g r e s
 G B h r l V e h l l i g a r d e s h
 G B h r l V e b w i l b 4 d /
 G l g S u h 4
 G l S r c l d 4 l S g S s s
 G e r l e c 4 r - S e d s h e a i S u h 4 - w c : a n 4 l d / l R R
 c l d 4 l S
 G p e e i - s e d s d e D a r a C e w e r V e d 4
 b w i s h e S b o p e r s
 G B h r l V e a c c e d 4 i l l r h a d i S

ENTERTAINMENT

G M 6 0 - b a 4 L k / , k s 4 e r e l b / B 8 / k E M P S u e r
 - w c : 8 d / e d s d p r e V a n V s p e a H e r s w
 e l i / j t 3 / a n x w p n f w y 8 r a i d
 b / V n S e a s 4 w g
 G o m s X k s a 4 S e r a i d b / U - i a u
 s n C s c r p 4 d
 G h a r H r l s 4 S a d e d d a

INTERIOR

G y e a 4 e i R l d 4 C n c H e 4 s e a 4 - w c : 9 - b a u p b r
 i r d e r w p b r i r d e r S V C a r w a c 4 e h e a i
 r e s 4 a w 4
 G y e a 4 e i r e a r s e a 4 C 4 V c n s h d d s
 G F e a r 6 0 / . 0 s p S R S s e a 4 b / l n 4 O a r i
 h e a i r e s 4
 G 8 n a S 4 e r c l d s l S b / a r V r e s 4 w 4 r a g e w
 c n p h l S e r s
 G F e a r c e d 4 e r a r V r e s 4 b / c n p h l S e r s
 G e d 4 e r c l d s l S V l n d 4 e i 1 2 - D S p b r
 l n 4 S
 G n S S l r c a r p e 4 w g
 G e r e V a n V V e 4 S r a d i l l r s e s c n r p 4 S e s
 G n s 4 n V e d 4 4 d - w c : s p e e i l V e 4 e r w
 4 c h l V e 4 e r w c l i S d 4 e V p w i e S S D e S v
 l i l V e 4 e r w 4 p l i l V e 4 e r w g u e S c S c H

G n i e a 4 r s - w c : E F 5 8 w c r n e c l d 4 l S 4 h r d
 s o d a S h a f a r i w h g h C e a V w C i B / A B t w C B -
 G W a r d a g c h d / e s - w c : H e u - w - g d e d b / i l l r
 a ' a r w s e a 4 e S
 G A r p c l V p n 4 e r
 G E b r b w i l b s b / i r o d e r a n 4 n p / i l b d w p a c h
 p r l 4 e 4 d
 G F e V l 4 R e S h l i i / 4 n d H r e S e a s e
 G L c 4 e C B - s u s 4 V
 G 8 n a S a n 4 V a 4 c S V a 4 c l d 4 l S - w c : l n 4 s o e
 4 e V p g a n g e w c a O a r R e s e r v 2 d i r l b a o D e d 4
 G F e a r b w i l b i e R l s 4 e r
 G n l c h g g S D e O x
 G r e a 4 e r e 4 e i l l r 4 d /
 G E a d l O a c H l r b l l i g r a d w 4 e r o r a c c e d 4
 G k a p p l c h 4 s
 G l l r O 4 e h l S e r s
 G C S c 4 l c h r l V e r e a r D e b V o r l r - w c :
 y l V e n e l H n d e r s a S g a r a g e i l l r l p e d e r w
 c l V p a s s
 G 8 n a S n d D a l r s b / S V w a 4 e i D a d e l V o r l r s
 C a s s 4 g r o p s
 G n g h 4 w g - w c : i l l V e w R l d 4 V a p S u h 4 w g d e d 4
 g S D e O x w c a r g l w e a r r e a i w g S u h 4
 G r e a 4 e r - b r a p p e i s h o H l O
 G e a C a c H p l c h 4 s
 G F e a r c l a 4 h a d g e r

SAFETY

G - b h e e S a d 4 S c H O r a H e s - w c : e S c 4 l d e
 O r a H e - R r c e i S 4 O n 4 d w O r a H e a s s 4
 G A r a c 4 d c l d 4 l S s u s 4 V b / e S c 4 l d e s 4 O S u
 c l d 4 l S
 G h 4 w 4 e r S c H s u s 4 V
 G 3 l i u - s o e r e a r r c e V e d 4
 G y l l i O n c H g c r e a s e s & s a R 4 s 4 p s
 G 8 a u 4 V e m d d w g S V p s
 G r l d 4 r e a r c r n V p S e f l d e s
 G 8 r d e r / R l d 4 p a s s e d g e r s e a 4 V l n d 4 e i s o e -
 d / p a c 4 a o C a g s
 G r l d 4 r e a r s o e - c n r 4 a u a o C a g s
 G 8 S e n e H 4 e S V a 4 s s u s 4 V
 G M p l w 4 s e a 4 e S R r a S s e a 4 w g p l s o d s
 G r l d 4 s e a 4 e S p r e 4 e d s d e r s & R r c e S v o e r s
 G B h o p r l l F r e a r i l l r S c H s
 G C V e r g e d c u w 4 e r d a S 4 n d H r e S e a s e
 G n l b e r a d c h l r s & n p p e r 4 e r a d c h l r s
 G C d e r g u - a C s l r O a g s 4 e r w g c l S V d

CITY MPG

22



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Lo ha SV a g e b e r u b e h l p o d s w i r d a g c l d i e d s w i r d a g h a O e a d i D e h e S c l d i e d

New

MSRP	\$27,595.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
EreV a n V E K v	\$2w00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7z 4 n c h s c r e e d d a D g a 4 d s u s 4 e V • r e a r C a c H p c a V e r a • (U) n l R o d u p r e V a n V s p e a H e r s • s n C o l l R e r • . 0 0 - b a 4 a V p • p b r 4 S S e p a d l r a V e s n d r l l R • X k 5 a D A r a R e • X k 5 a D W e a 4 e r • X k s p l r 4 • X k s 4 c H b / U - i a u c l V p S v e d 4 e r u s n C s c r p 4 d 	
k o d g h 4 3 S c H	\$0
3 S c H w e a 4 e r t e a 4	\$0
k n i v n a r i	\$UP
B a r g l k a 4	\$UP
B a r p e 4 e i , \$ l r k a 4	\$110
• r o d a S h o p p w g B h a r g e	\$7UP
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$M i v P U O T O

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2014
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata 4dr Sdn 2.4L Auto Limited
 VIN: 5NPEC4AC4EH868316

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Phantom Black Metallic
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.73 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- 4365# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17 x 6.5J Aluminum Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Gray Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter, Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- Wireless Streaming
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable bucket, seatback pockets, 8-way power driver's seat w/lumbar support and ventilated driver seat, Max. temperature can be reached by; leather seats - 25 minutes, seat cushion/seatback low setting 102 degrees Fahrenheit /115 degrees Fahrenheit or high setting 108 degrees Fahrenheit /126 degrees Fahrenheit
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Heated Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder

- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- HomeLink Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Piano Black Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look/Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Piano Black Door Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tracker System
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Blind Spot Sensor
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

35

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$27,000.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Technology Package 05	\$3,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Panoramic Tilt & Slide Front Sunroof • fixed rear glass roof and sunshade • HID Xenon Headlights • Radio: AM/FM/SiriusXM/CD/MP3 w/Navigation • iPod/USB and auxiliary inputs • 9 Infinity premium speakers (2 front • 2 tweeters • 4 rear w/coaxial mounted tweeters • subwoofer • and external Infinity amplifier - 400 watts) • 8-h color touchscreen display • and XM NavTraffic • XM NavWeather • XM sports and stock (90-day complimentary subscription) • LED Taillights 	
Phantom Black Metallic	\$0
Gray, Leather Seating Surfaces	\$0
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
All Weather Floor Mats	\$130
First Aid Kit	\$30
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$31,095.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



RevEuro.com
702-490-5500

Year: 2015
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata 4dr Sdn 2.4L SE
 VIN: 5NPE24AF5FH252087

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Quartz White Pearl
 Interior: Beige

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 640CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16 x 6.5J Aluminum Alloy
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power w/Tilt Down Heated Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter and Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Metal-Look Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/SiriusXM/CD/MP3 Audio System -inc: iPod/USB and auxiliary inputs, and 6 speakers
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Voice Activation
- Window Grid And Roof Mount Antenna
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable bucket, seatback pockets, impact reducing front seats and 6-way manual passenger seat
- Front Seats w/Manual Driver Lumbar
- 2-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Lumbar Support
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, 2 Door Curb/Courtesy, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button

- Remote Releases -inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

37

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$21,150.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Quartz White Pearl	\$0
Beige, Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Wheel Locks	\$55
Rear Bumper Applique	\$70
Mud Guards	\$95
Interior Light Kit	\$250
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
Cargo Net	\$50
Reversible Cargo Tray	\$115
First Aid Kit	\$30
Original Shipping Charge	\$825
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$22,765.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

VIN: 5NPE34AF1GH297543



Affix FULL Label to driver side Left-Rear.



2016 SONATA SPORT PZEV

SOLD TO: NY130
 HYUNDAI OF LONG ISLAND CITY
 34-54 44TH STREET
 LONG ISLAND CITY, NY 11101

SHIPPED TO: NY130
 5NPE34AF1GH297543
 28442F4P
 G4KJFK278064
 MA
 SYMPHONY SILVER
 GRAY/GRAY
 TRUCK

WEIGHT: 17 lbs./8 kgs.
EMISSIONS: This vehicle meets California Emissions regulations and is Certified as a Partial Zero Emission Vehicle (PZEV)

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
 Based on the combined rating of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal	Driver	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Crash	Passenger	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.		
Side	Front seat	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Crash	Rear seat	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.		
Rollover		★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.		

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
 Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

STANDARD FEATURES:

- AMERICA'S BEST WARRANTY
- 5-year/60,000-mile New Vehicle Warranty*
- 10-year/100,000-mile Powertrain Warranty*
- 7-year/Unlimited-mile Anti-perforation Warranty*
- 5-year/Unlimited-mile Roadside Assistance
- 3-year/50,000-mile 24-hour Towing Service
- ADDITIONAL SAFETY FEATURES
- ABS w/ Electronic Brake Force Distribution & Brake Assist
- Vehicle Stability Management (VSM) w/ Traction Control
- *Front, Front Side Impact, Side Curtain & Driver Knee Airbags
- *Energy Absorbing Front Seats & Front Seatbelt Pre-Tensioners
- *Tire Pressure Monitor w/ Individual Tire Pressure Indicator
- *LED Daytime Running Lights
- *Rearview Camera

POWERTRAIN TECHNOLOGY

- *2.4L Gasoline Direct Injection (GDI) 4-Cylinder Engine
- *188 Horsepower @6,000 rpm / 178 lb-ft Torque @4,000 rpm
- *16-Speed Continuously Variable Valve Timing
- *6-Speed Powertrain Shift Mode Select
- *Drive Mode Select
- *Transmission w/ SHIFTRONIC®

COMFORT & CONVENIENCE

- *17-inch Alloy Wheels & P215/55R17 Tires
- *Sport Front Grille & Bumper Fascia
- *Sport Rocker Panels w/ Chrome Molding
- *Rear Spoiler & Chrome-tipped Dual Exhaust
- *Projector Headlights w/ Automatic Light Control
- *Side Mirror Turn Signal Indicators
- *Heated Side Mirrors w/ Driver Blind Spot Mirror
- *Power Locks & Windows w/ Auto Up/Down Driver Window
- *Memory-Clash Seat Trim
- *Interior Trim
- *Power Driver Seat w/ Lumber Support
- *60/40 Split-Folding Rear Seat
- *Tilt & Telescopic Steering Wheel w/ Cruise, Audio & Phone Controls
- *Power Driver Seat w/ Lumber Support
- *Air Conditioning w/ Cabin Air Filter
- *7-inch Color Touchscreen Display Audio
- *Android Auto (TM) integration for Compatible Smartphones
- *AM/FM/HD Radio/CD/MP3 w/ iPhone®/USB & Auxiliary Input Jacks
- *SiriusXM® Radio w/ 90-Day Trial. Not Available in AK & HI
- *Bluetooth® Hands-Free Phone System
- *Hyundai Blue Link® Telematics System
- *Full Tank or Gas

INCLUDED

- Carpeted Floor Mats
- Cargo Net
- Cargo Tray

ADDED FEATURES:

- Carpeted Floor Mats \$125.00
- Cargo Net \$49.00
- Cargo Tray \$115.00

Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price: \$23,400.00

Total Price: \$24,515.00

Inland Freight & Handling: \$825.00

VIN: 5NPE34AF1GH297543



Rearview Camera & Android Auto Compatibility Best-in-Class Total Interior Volume

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment DOT

Fuel Economy

MPG 29 combined city/hwy

25 city

36 highway

3.4 gallons per 100 miles

You save \$1,250 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost \$1,550

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)

Smog Rating (tailpipe only)

fueleconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 25 MPG and costs \$9,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.00 per gallon. MPG is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

QR Code

Smartphone

PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION FOR VEHICLE IN THIS CARLINE:

U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 46 %

MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS CONTENT: KOREA: 53 %

Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.

FOR THIS VEHICLE:
 FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT: MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA U.S.A.
 COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: U.S.A.
 TRANSMISSION: U.S.A.

244 A

VIN: 5NPE34AF1GH297543

Year: 2017
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata 2.4L
 VIN: 5NPE24AF6HH566124

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Lakeside Blue
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.89 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 640CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- 4497# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16 x 6.5J Aluminum Alloy
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power w/Tilt Down Heated Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter and Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Metal-Look Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/SiriusXM/CD/MP3 Audio System -inc: iPod/USB and auxiliary jacks, integrated Bluetooth w/phonebook transfer and 6 speakers
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Voice Activation
- Streaming Audio
- Window Grid And Roof Mount Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable driver's seat, 6-way manual passenger seat, dual seatback pockets and energy absorbing front seats
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Selective Service Internet Access

- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$21,600.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Lakeside Blue	\$0
Gray, Yes Essentials Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$885
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$22,485.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2018
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata SE 2.4L
 VIN: 5NPE24AF9JH661783

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Quartz White Pearl
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.89 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 640CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- 4497# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16 x 6.5J Aluminum Alloy
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power w/Tilt Down Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/MP3 Display Audio -inc: 7" color touchscreen, Apple CarPlay, Android Auto, integrated Bluetooth w/phonebook transfer, 6 speakers and iPod, USB and auxiliary input jacks
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Voice Activation
- Streaming Audio
- Window Grid Antenna
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way manual front seats and passenger side seatback pockets
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder

- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Blind Spot Detection w/Lane Change Assist
- Blind Spot Sensor
- and Rear Cross-Traffic Alert Rear Collision
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$22,050.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Quartz White Pearl	\$0
Black, Yes Essentials Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
Original Shipping Charge	\$885
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$23,060.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Hyundai Central Florida

Year: 2019
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata Sport 2.4L
 VIN: 5NPE34AF5KH815274

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Quartz White Pearl
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.89 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 640CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 140 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17 x 7.0J Aluminum Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Wheels w/Painted Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Chrome Bodyside Insert
- Body-Colored Power w/Tilt Down Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/HD/SiriusXM/MP3 Display Audio -inc: 7" color touchscreen, HD Radio technology w/multicasting, Apple CarPlay, Android Auto, integrated Bluetooth w/phonebook transfer, 6 speakers and iPod/USB, wireless phone charging and auxiliary input jacks
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls, Voice Activation and Radio Data System
- Streaming Audio
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Heated Front Sport Seats -inc: 10-way power driver's seat w/power lumbar support, 6-way manual passenger seat and passenger side seatback pockets
- 8-Way Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Selective Service Internet Access
- Sport Heated Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, 2 Door Curb/Courtesy, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button

- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Remote Releases -Inc: Smart Trunk Proximity Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Carbon Fiber Instrument Panel Insert, Simulated Carbon Fiber Door Panel Insert and Piano Black/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leather Bolster/Cloth Insert Seating Surfaces -inc: sport bolsters
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination, Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Blue Link Tracker System
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver And Passenger 1-Touch Up/Down
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Blind Spot Detection with Lane Change Assist Blind Spot Sensor
- and Rear Cross-Traffic Alert
- Blue Link Emergency Sos
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

33

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,150.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Option Group 01	\$0
Quartz White Pearl	\$0
Black, Leather Bolster/Cloth Insert Seating Surfaces	\$0
• sport bolsters	
Wheel Locks	\$55
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$135
Cargo Net	\$50
Original Shipping Charge	\$930
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,320.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



obrienteamil.com

Year: 2013
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata Hybrid 4dr Sdn Limited
 VIN: KMHEC4A48DA074106

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Black Onyx Pearl
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16-valve I4 Atkinson hybrid engine
- Dual continuously variable valve timing (DCVVT)
- 270V lithium polymer battery
- Hybrid starter generator (HSG)
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, SHIFTRONIC
- Drive pattern detection
- Front wheel drive
- Front vented & rear solid disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" eco-spoke alloy wheels
- P215/55R17 tires
- Heated pwr mirrors w/timer -inc: turn signal indicators
- Tinted chrome front grille
- Special design chrome hood garnish
- Tinted chrome bodyside molding inserts
- Solar control glass
- 2-speed variable intermittent speed sensitive front windshield wipers
- Headlight LED accents
- Fog lights
- LED taillights
- Automatic light control
- Premium door sill plates
- Chrome door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM/XM stereo w/CD/MP3 player & Infinity speakers -inc: HD Radio, (9) speakers, iPod/USB/aux inputs, 400-watt external amp
- Integrated Bluetooth w/phonebook transfer

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats -inc: 8-way pwr driver seat w/pwr lumbar support
- Fixed rear bench seat -inc: ski pass through, center armrest w/dual cupholders
- Heated front seats
- Heated rear seats
- Floor-mounted console w/rear vents -inc: dual cupholders
- Door panel bottle holders
- Seatback pockets

- Full floor carpeting
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Electroluminescent instrument cluster -inc: speedometer, tachometer, coolant temp, fuel level, odometer, trip odometer, digital clock, hybrid battery meter
- 4.2" TFT multi-function trip computer -inc: hybrid technology display, average fuel economy, average speed, range to empty, trip timer, trip distance
- Pwr windows w/driver auto up/down, pinch protection -inc: illuminated switches, lock-out button
- Remote fuel/hood/trunk release
- Dual automatic climate control -inc: rear seat heat vents, outside temp display
- Electronic rear window defroster w/timer
- Navigation system w/7" touch-screen
- (2) 12v pwr outlet
- Chrome interior door handles
- Leatherette door trim
- Metalgrain interior accents
- (1) Rear coat hanger
- Auto-dimming mirror w/HomeLink, compass
- Illuminated driver & front passenger visor-mounted vanity mirrors
- Interior lighting -inc: dome light, front map lights, cargo area light
- Leather-wrapped shift knob

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: electronic brake-force distribution, brake assist, regenerative braking
- Traction control system w/electronic stability control
- LED daytime running lamps
- Virtual engine sound system (VESS)
- Driver/front passenger advanced airbags
- Driver/front passenger seat-mounted side-impact airbags
- Front/rear side-curtain airbags
- Backup camera
- Blue Link telematics system
- Lower anchors & upper tether anchors (LATCH)
- Emergency internal trunk release
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

36



HIGHWAY MPG

40

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$30,550.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Standard Equipment PKG	\$0
• base vehicle	
Black Onyx Pearl	\$0
Gray, Leather Seats	\$0
Ipod Cable	\$35
Cargo Net	\$50
Cargo Mat	\$95
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$110
Original Shipping Charge	\$795
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$31,635.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Fairfax Motors

Year: 2014
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: SONATA HYBRID HS BT CAM 4dr Sdn
 VIN: KMHEC4A43EA112813

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Colors: Pewter Gray Metallic / Gray
 Mileage: 57,334
 Stock #: EA112813

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- 4586# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16 x 6.5J Eco-Spoke Aluminum Alloy -inc: Special design
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Gray Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/SiriusXM/CD/MP3 Audio System -inc: iPod/USB and auxiliary inputs, 6 speakers , 4.3-inch color touchscreen, rearview camera, and HD Radio technology w/multicasting
- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- Streaming Audio
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable bucket, ventilated driver's seat w/adjustable lumbar support, Max, temperature seat cushion/seatback low setting 102 degrees Fahrenheit /115 degrees Fahrenheit or high setting 108 degrees Fahrenheit /126 degrees Fahrenheit

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tracker System
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

36



HIGHWAY MPG

40

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$26,000.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Option Group 01	\$0
Pewter Gray Metallic	\$0
Gray, Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Cargo Mat	\$95
• mat for trunk floor	
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
First Aid Kit	\$30
• First aid kit for glove box	
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,060.00

Used

PRICE **\$13,999.00**

Get more information on your smartphone:



Grand Motorcars

grandmotorcars.com

678-263-0001

Year: 2016
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata Hybrid 4dr Sdn SE
 VIN: KMHE24L16GA026145

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Starlight Silver
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 15.9 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16 x 6.5J Aluminum Alloy -inc: Special design
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Convex Spotter, Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Streaming Audio
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable bucket, seatback pockets, driver's seat adjustable lumbar support and 6-way manual passenger seat
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder

- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Proximity Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tracker System
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Restricted Driving Mode
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG



HIGHWAY MPG

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$26,000.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Starlight Silver	\$0
Gray, Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Cargo Mat	\$95
• Mat for trunk floor	
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
Cargo Net	\$50
• Cargo net for trunk	
Original Shipping Charge	\$835
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,105.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2017
 Make: Hyundai
 Model: Sonata Hybrid SE 2.0L
 VIN: KMHE24L13HA058214

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Nocturne Black
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 15.9 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Proximity Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tracker System
- Smart Device Integration
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" Alloy -inc: Special design
- Tires: 16"
- Wheels w/Silver w/Painted Accents
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power w/Tilt Down Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Speed Sensitive Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Streaming Audio
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: multi-adjustable bucket, seatback pockets and 6-way manual passenger seat
- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- Passenger Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder

CITY MPG

39



HIGHWAY MPG

45

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$26,000.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Nocturne Black	\$0
Gray, Premium Cloth Seating Surfaces	\$0
Rear Bumper Applique	\$70
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$125
Cargo Net	\$50
• Cargo net for trunk	
Original Shipping Charge	\$885
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,130.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2010
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass FWD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1J4NT1FB9AD621222

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Colors: Brilliant Black Crystal Pearlcoat / Dark Slate Gray
 Mileage: 151,923
 Stock #: PA92520A

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC 16V I4 dual-VVT engine
- 5-speed manual transmission
- Hill start assist
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr rack-&-pinion steering

- Sliding armrest
- Full length floor console
- Illuminated cupholders
- Carpeted floor
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel w/audio controls
- Instrument cluster-inc: tachometer, outside temp display
- Bright interior accents
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch feature
- Speed sensitive pwr door locks
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Remote keyless entry
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt aux pwr outlet
- 115-volt aux pwr outlet
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding visors w/vanity mirrors
- Front dome light
- Map/dome reading lights
- Rechargeable/removable lamp
- Illuminated entry
- Folding flat load floor storage

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum sparkle silver wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Body color bodyside molding
- Lower bright bodyside molding
- Halogen headlamps
- Front fog lamps
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass
- Body color liftgate applique
- Body color door handles

SAFETY

- Anti-lock front disc brakes/rear drum brakes
- Electronic stability program w/roll mitigation
- Driver/front passenger advanced multistage airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Tire pressure monitoring warning
- Tire pressure monitoring sensor testing
- Child safety rear door locks

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130
- Audio input jack
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Air conditioning
- Stain repel fabric trimmed heated front bucket seats w/driver height adjustment
- Active front head restraints
- Front passenger fold flat forward seat
- 60/40 split reclining rear bench seat

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$15,365.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
2.4 L Dohc 16 V Dual VVT I4 Engine	\$200
Continuously Variable Transaxle II	\$1,100
26 B Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$5,000
Brilliant Black Crystal Pearl	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Stain & Odor Resistant Anti Static Fabric Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Security & Cargo Convenience Group	\$735
Sun/Sound Group	\$1,295
Sirius Satellite Radio W/1 Year Subscription	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$630
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,520.00

Used

PRICE \$5,000.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Dodge of Winter Haven

dodgeofwinterhaven.net

863-299-1243

Year: 2011
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass 4WD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1J4NF1FB4BD282510

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick auto trans, tip start
 Exterior: Mineral Gray Metallic
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 dual-VVT engine
- Hill start assist
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Firm feel pwr steering

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- Compact spare tire
- Bright side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Lower bodyside accent cladding
- Body color grille w/chrome accent
- Halogen headlamps
- Front fog lamps
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass
- Body color liftgate applique
- Body color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- Audio input jack
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver seat height adjuster
- Active front head restraints
- Front passenger fold flat forward seat

- Sliding armrest
- Full length floor console
- Illuminated cupholders
- Carpeted floor
- Tilt steering column
- Instrument cluster-inc: tachometer, outside temp display
- Bright interior accents
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch feature
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding visors w/vanity mirrors
- Front dome light
- Map/dome reading lights
- Rechargeable/removable lamp
- Illuminated entry
- Folding flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes w/brake assist
- Driver/front passenger advanced multistage airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Front height adjust seat belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Child safety rear door locks

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$20,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
26 B Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$1,065
Mineral Gray Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Security & Cargo Convenience Group	\$650
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • auto dimming rearview mirror • security alarm • soft tonneau cover • front seat side air bags • tire pressure monitor • vehicle information center • universal garage door opener 	
Sun/Sound Group	\$1,295
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pwr sunroof w/express open/close • SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year service • (2) articulating liftgate speakers • (9) Boston Acoustics speakers • subwoofer 	
Sirius Satellite Radio W/1 Year Subscription	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,950.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Mullinax Ford New
 Smyrna Beach**

Year: 2012
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass 4WD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1C4NJDEB7CD589095

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick auto trans, tip start
 Exterior: Black
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 dual-VVT engine
- Continuously variable transaxle II -inc: Autostick auto trans, tip start
- 4 wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Firm feel pwr steering

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Bright side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Lower bodyside accent cladding
- Body color grille w/chrome accent
- Halogen headlamps
- Front fog lamps
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Solar control glass
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass
- Body color liftgate applique
- Body color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- (4) speakers
- Audio input jack
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Premium cloth bucket seats
- Driver seat height adjuster
- Heated front seats
- Active front head restraints
- Front passenger fold-flat forward seat
- 60/40 folding rear seat
- Sliding armrest
- Full length floor console

- Illuminated cupholders
- Carpeted floor
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Instrument cluster -inc: tachometer, outside temp display
- Bright interior accents
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch feature
- Speed sensitive pwr door locks
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Remote keyless entry
- Remote start
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt aux pwr outlet
- 115-volt aux pwr outlet
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding visors w/vanity mirrors
- Front dome light
- Map/dome reading lights
- Rechargeable/removable lamp
- Illuminated entry
- Folding flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic stability program w/roll mitigation
- Next gen driver/front passenger advanced multistage airbags -inc: occupant sensors
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Front height adjust seat belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Tire pressure monitoring warning
- Child safety rear door locks

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

26

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,445.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
26 B Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
17" X 6.5" Aluminum Wheels	\$0
Black	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Sun/Sound Group	\$1,295
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year subscription · (2) articulating liftgate speakers · (9) Boston Acoustic speakers w/subwoofer · pwr express open/close sunroof 	
Uconnect Voice Command W/Bluetooth	\$475
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year subscription 	
Sirius Satellite Radio W/1 Year Subscription	included
Original Shipping Charge	\$925
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,140.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



williamsbrothersdundee.com
 734-823-3600

Year: 2013
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass FWD 4dr Sport
 VIN: 1C4NJCBA9DD168776

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic (variable gear ratios)
 Exterior: Bright Silver Metallic
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC 16V I4 dual-VVT engine
- Hill start assist
- Front wheel drive
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 120-amp alternator
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Firm feel pwr steering

EXTERIOR

- Compact spare tire
- Bright side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Lower bodyside accent cladding
- Body color grille w/chrome accent
- Halogen headlamps
- Premium fog lamps
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Solar control glass
- Fold-away heated pwr mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass
- Body color liftgate applique
- Body color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- (4) speakers
- Fixed mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Active front head restraints
- 60/40 folding rear seat
- Sliding armrest
- Full length floor console
- Illuminated cupholders

- Carpeted floor
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Instrument cluster -inc: tachometer, outside temp display
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Bright interior accents
- Pwr windows w/driver 1-touch feature
- Pwr accessory delay
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt aux pwr outlet
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding visors w/vanity mirrors
- Front dome light
- Map/dome reading lights
- Rechargeable/removable lamp
- Illuminated entry
- Folding flat load floor storage

SAFETY

- Anti-lock front disc brakes/rear drum brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic stability program
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Next generation driver/front passenger advanced multistage airbags -inc: occupant sensors
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Front height adjust seat belts
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp
- Child safety rear door locks

CITY MPG

22



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,495.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Continuously Variable Transaxle II	included
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · AutoStick auto trans · tip start 	
24 A Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2.0L I4 engine · continuously variable transaxle II 	
17" X 6.5" Aluminum Wheels	\$395
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · P215/60R17 all-season touring BSW tires 	
P215/60 R17 All Season Touring BSW Tires	included
Bright Silver Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$20,885.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2014
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass FWD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1C4NJCEB6ED603758

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC -inc: Remote Start System
 Exterior: Black Clearcoat
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.65 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,435 lbs
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 925# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 13.6 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels w/Painted Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Chrome Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- 4 Speakers
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement

- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Fore/Aft Movement and Fold Flat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Manual Reclining Fold Forward Seatback Cloth/Vinyl Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Manual Air Conditioning
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Driver And Passenger Heated-Cushion, Driver And Passenger Heated-Seatback
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$228 5, .00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Engine: 2.4 L I4 Dohc 16 V Dual VVT	included
Quick Order Package 2 GB	\$0
Wheels: 17" X 6.5" Aluminum	included
Black Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth/Vinyl Low Back Bucket Seats	\$0
50 State Emissions	\$0
Radio: Uconnect 430 CD/DVD/MP3/HDD	included
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
Parkview Rear Back Up Camera	\$895
Uconnect Voice Command W/Bluetooth	\$495
Manufacturer's Statement Of Origin	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$25,175.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2015
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass Latitude
 VIN: 1C4NJDEBXFD303258

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-SPEED AUTOMATIC
 Colors: Billet Silver Metallic Clearcoat / Dark Slate Gray
 Mileage: 9,946
 Stock #: 117008

MECHANICAL

- 3.37 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,575 lbs
- 50-State Emissions
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Automatic Full-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 925# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- 13.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Permanent Locking Hubs
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels w/Painted Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Chrome Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps
- Kumho Brand Tires

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- 4 Speakers

· Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Manual Reclining Fold Forward Seatback Cloth/Vinyl Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Manual Air Conditioning
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tip Start
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Driver And Passenger Heated-Cushion, Driver And Passenger Heated-Seatback
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,195.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Quick Order Package 2 GB	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V Dual VVT · Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic · Remote Start System 	
Billet Silver Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth/Vinyl Low Back Bucket Seats	\$0
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 1-Year SiriusXM Radio Service · For More Info · Call 888-539-7474 	
Uconnect Voice Command W/Bluetooth	\$495
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Rear View Auto Dim Mirror w/Microphone · Remote USB Port 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,880.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



easterns.com
Easterns
 AUTOMOTIVE GROUP

www.easterns.com
 888-650-4775

Year: 2016
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass FWD 4dr Sport
 VIN: 1C4NJCBA1GD518776

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: AutoStick Automatic Transmission, T..
 Exterior: Bright White Clearcoat (PW7)
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 4.12 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,435 lbs
- 50-State Emissions
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 1350# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 13.6 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs

EXTERIOR

- Wheels w/Painted Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Metal-Look Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Manual Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- 4 Speakers
- Streaming Audio
- Fixed Antenna
- Uconnect w/Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 4-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement

- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Manual Rear Windows and Fixed 3rd Row Windows
- Voice Recorder
- Illuminated Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Manual 1st Row Windows
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

30

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,695.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: Continuously Variable Transaxle II	\$1,350
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · AutoStick Automatic Transmission · Tip Start 	
Wheels: 17" X 6.5" Aluminum	\$895
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Tires: P215/60R17 BSW AS Touring 	included
Tires: P215/60 R17 BSW As Touring	\$0
Bright White Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Power Value Group	\$2,045
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Keyless Entry · Speed Sensitive Power Locks · Automatic Headlamps · Body Color Liftgate Applique · Body Color Door Handles · Power Heated Fold-Away Mirrors · Illuminated Entry · Power Windows w/Driver One-Touch 	
Manufacturer's Statement Of Origin	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,980.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Hgreg Nissan Kendall

www.autonationnissankendall.com/

954-605-8833

Year: 2017
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Compass Latitude FWD *Ltd Avail*
 VIN: 1C4NJCEB3HD160456

Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V DUAL VVT
 Transmission: Automatic 6-spd
 Exterior: Billet Silver Metallic Clearcoat
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.65 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,435 lbs
- 50-State Emissions
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 925# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 13.6 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Lip Spoiler
- Chrome Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Fully Automatic Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- 4 Speakers
- Streaming Audio
- Fixed Antenna
- Uconnect w/Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement

- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Manual Reclining Fold Forward Seatback Cloth/Vinyl Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Voice Recorder
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel
- Illuminated Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Tip Start
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Driver And Passenger Heated-Cushion, Driver And Passenger Heated-Seatback
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$24,340.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Engine: 2.4 L I4 Dohc 16 V Dual VVT	\$545
Transmission: 6 Speed Automatic	\$0
Quick Order Package 2 GS	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V Dual VVT · Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic · Remote Start System 	
Billet Silver Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth/Vinyl Low Back Bucket Seats	\$0
High Beam Daytime Running Headlamps	\$40
Manufacturer's Statement Of Origin	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$25,920.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2010
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Liberty 4WD 4dr Renegade
 VIN: 1J4PN3GK4AW146774

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Bright Silver Metallic
 Interior: Dark slate gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.7L V6 Engine
- Auxiliary transmission oil cooler
- 3.73 axle ratio
- Selec-Trac II active full-time 4WD system
- 600-amp maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Tip start system
- Dana 30/186MM front axle
- Corporate 8.25 solid rear axle
- Front suspension skid plate
- Fuel tank skid plate
- Transfer case skid plate shield
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Hill start assist
- Hill descent control
- Compact spare tire

EXTERIOR

- Tow hooks
- Accent bodyside molding w/insert
- Rugged side roof rails
- Sill molding
- Body color grille
- Black headlamp bezels
- Accent color fascias
- Dark metallic fender flares
- Black door handles
- Accent color license plate brow
- Tinted windshield glass
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Automatic halogen headlamps w/time-off delay
- Fog lamps
- Heated fold-away pwr mirrors
- Front license plate bracket
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- Removable short-mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Active front seat head restraints
- 60/40 folding split-recline seat
- Front passenger fold-flat-forward seat
- Full length floor console

- Floor console w/leather armrest
- Carpeted floor covering
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering wheel
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Steering wheel audio controls
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Instrument cluster display screen
- Premium instrument panel
- Vehicle information center
- Speed control
- Air filtering
- Light driftwood center stack bezel
- Leather grab handles w/satin accents
- Illuminated entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Security alarm
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt auxiliary pwr outlet
- Illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Premium door trim panel
- Courtesy lamps
- Map/reading lamps
- Rear dome lamp
- Leather-wrapped shift knob
- "Flipper" liftgate glass
- Cargo compartment cover
- Cargo compartment lamp
- Reversible/waterproof cargo storage
- Leather-wrapped parking brake boot

SAFETY

- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Dual-note horn
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage airbags
- Occupant classification system
- Supplemental front & rear side curtain air bags
- LATCH-ready child seat anchor system
- Child safety rear door locks
- Enhanced accident response system

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$27,860.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
3.7 L V6 Engine	included
4 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$0
28 D Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.7L V6 engine • 4-speed auto trans 	
16" X 7.0" Aluminum Wheels	\$0
P235/70 R16 All Terrain Owl Tires	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • full size spare tire w/black steel wheel 	
Bright Silver Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
SKY Slider Full Open Roof	\$1,075
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • black roof molding • overhead console 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$745
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$29,680.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2011
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Liberty RWD 4dr Limited
 VIN: 1J4PP5GK5BW530828

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4-Speed Automatic VLP
 Colors: Light Sandstone Metallic Clearcoat / dark slate gray dark saddle
 Mileage: 130,364 Stock #: P731440A

MECHANICAL

- 3.7L V6 engine
- 4-speed VLP automatic transmission w/OD
- Auxiliary transmission oil cooler
- 3.73 axle ratio
- Rear wheel drive
- 600-amp maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Tip start system
- 8.25 solid rear axle
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Hill start assist
- Compact spare tire

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 7.0" aluminum silver accent wheels
- P235/65R17 all-season performance VSB tires
- Chrome bodyside molding
- Bright roof side rails
- Bright grille
- Body color fascias w/bright insert
- Body color fender flares
- Black door handles
- Chrome license plate brow & pocket
- Tinted windshield glass
- Deep-tint sunscreen glass
- Automatic halogen headlamps w/time-off delay
- Fog lamps
- Heated fold-away pwr mirrors
- Front license plate bracket
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- Audio input jack for mobile devices
- (8) amplified speakers w/subwoofer
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/1-year subscription *SIRIUS satellite radio N/A in HI or Puerto Rico*
- Removable short-mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Rear fascia scuff pad
- Leather-trimmed bucket seats
- Heated front seats
- Pwr 6-way driver seat w/2-way front passenger seat
- Manual driver seat lumbar adjust
- Driver seat/mirrors/radio memory
- Active front seat head restraints
- 60/40 folding split-recline seat
- Front passenger fold-flat-forward seat
- Full length floor console
- Floor console w/leather armrest
- Carpeted floor covering
- Luxury front & rear floor mats

- Tilt steering wheel
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Driftwood steering wheel spokes
- Steering wheel audio controls
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Instrument cluster display screen
- Premium instrument panel
- Universal garage door opener
- Vehicle information center
- Pwr windows w/1-touch up/down for front windows
- Speed sensitive pwr locks
- Speed control
- Remote keyless entry
- UConnect hands-free communication - inc: voice command, Bluetooth
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Illuminated entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Security alarm
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt auxiliary pwr outlet
- Illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Luxury door trim panel
- Auto-dimming rear view mirror w/microphone
- Courtesy lamps
- Rear dome lamp
- Overhead console
- Chrome & leather-wrapped shift knob
- Leather-wrapped grab handle
- "Flipper" liftgate glass
- Cargo compartment cover
- Cargo compartment lamp
- Reversible/waterproof cargo storage
- Leather-wrapped parking brake boot

SAFETY

- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Brake assist
- All speed traction control
- Electronic stability program
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Dual-note horn
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage air bags
- Occupant classification system
- Supplemental front & rear side curtain air bags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- LATCH-ready child seat anchor system
- Child safety rear door locks
- Enhanced accident response system

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

22

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$26,650.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
3.7 L V6 Engine	included
4 Speed VLP Automatic Transmission W/Od	\$0
28 F Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
18" X 7.0" Aluminum Chrome Clad Wheels	\$0
P235/60 R18 All Season BSW Tires	included
Light Sandstone Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray/Dark Saddle Interior, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Comfort/Convenience Group	\$595
Tire & Wheel Group	\$1,125
Full Size Spare Tire	\$150
PWR Sunroof	\$850
Original Shipping Charge	\$795
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$30,165.00

Used

PRICE \$7,300.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Dodge of Winter Haven

dodgeofwinterhaven.net

863-299-1243

Year: 2012
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Liberty 4WD 4dr Sport Latitude
 VIN: 1C4PJMAK6CW192500

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4-SPEED VLP AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION W/O
 Exterior: Bright White
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

PACKAGE

- Latitude group
- Memory pkg -inc: driver seat memory, exterior mirror memory, radio memory

MECHANICAL

- 3.7L V6 engine
- Aux transmission oil cooler
- 3.73 axle ratio
- 600-amp maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- Tip start system
- Dana 30/186MM front axle
- 8.25 solid rear axle
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Hill start assist
- Hill descent control

EXTERIOR

- Protective coating & remover
- Bodyside molded-in-color moldings
- Chrome bodyside molding
- Black side roof rails
- Black roof molding
- Body color grille
- Solar control glass
- Body color fascias
- Body color fender flares
- Black door handles
- Black license plate brow
- Chrome license plate brow & pocket
- Tinted windshield glass
- Automatic halogen headlamps w/time-off delay
- Heated fold-away pwr mirrors
- Front license plate bracket
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer

ENTERTAINMENT

- Audio input jack for mobile devices
- (6) speakers
- Removable short-mast antenna
- Bluetooth streaming audio

INTERIOR

- Active front seat head restraints

- 60/40 folding split-recline seat
- Front passenger fold-flat-forward seat
- Full length floor console
- Carpeted floor covering
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering wheel
- Steering wheel audio controls
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Instrument cluster w/tachometer
- Temp & compass gauge
- Speed control
- Air conditioning
- Air filtering
- Illuminated entry
- Pwr accessory delay
- Rear window defroster
- 12-volt aux pwr outlet
- Illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Courtesy lamps
- Map/reading lamps
- Rear dome lamp
- Overhead console
- Vinyl shift knob
- Flipper liftgate glass
- Cargo compartment lamp
- Reversible/waterproof cargo storage

SAFETY

- Pwr 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Dual-note horn
- Supplemental front & rear side curtain air bags
- Front height adjustable shoulder belts
- LATCH-ready child seat anchor system
- Child safety rear door locks
- Low tire pressure warning
- Enhanced accident response system

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,395.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Federal Emissions	\$0
28 L Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$1,700
17" X 7.0" Aluminum Silver Accent Wheels	\$0
P235/65 R17 All Season Performance VSB Tires	\$0
Bright White	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Leather Trimmed Bucket Seats	\$0
Chrome Accents Group	\$795
Original Shipping Charge	\$925
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$28,815.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.laportechrysler.net
 219-326-6850

Year: 2010
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Patriot FWD 4dr Sport *Ltd Avail*
 VIN: 1J4NT2GB6AD660113

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick automatic transmission, tip..
 Exterior: Natural Green Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 Dual-VVT engine
- 5-speed manual transmission
- Hill start assist
- Front wheel drive
- 120-amp alternator
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr rack & pinion steering
- Front disc/rear drum anti-lock brakes

- 60/40 split-folding rear seat
- Active head restraints
- Sliding armrest
- Full-length floor console
- Full floor carpeting
- Luxury front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Instrumentation w/tachometer
- 120 MPH speedometer
- Outside temp gauge
- Pwr accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Illuminated cupholders
- 12-volt pwr outlet
- Bright interior accents
- Sliding sunvisors w/vanity mirrors
- Front dome light
- Map & dome reading lamps
- Interior removable/rechargeable lamp
- Fold-flat cargo floor

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" styled steel wheels
- P205/70R16 all-season BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Accent color liftgate applique
- Halogen headlamps
- Fold-away manual mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Black door handles
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass

SAFETY

- Brake assist
- Electronic stability program
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Multistage dual front airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Child safety rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitor

ENTERTAINMENT

- Media center 130 -inc: AM/FM stereo, CD/MP3 player
- Audio input jack
- (4) speakers
- Fixed long-mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Air conditioning
- Front cloth low-back bucket seats
- No lumbar adjust

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

28

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,795.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Continuously Variable Transaxle II	included
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Autostick automatic transmission • tip start 	
26 D Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.4L I4 engine • continuously variable transaxle II 	
Natural Green Pearl	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Cloth Low Back Bucket Seats	\$0
PWR Equipment Group	\$950
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • body color door handles • body color liftgate applique • illuminated entry • remote keyless entry • fold-away pwr heated mirrors • pwr windows w/driver one-touch • speed sensitive auto pwr locks 	
Speed Control	\$250
Original Shipping Charge	\$630
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,625.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Prestige Auto Sales

www.prestige4u.com

352-694-1234

Year: 2011
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Patriot 4WD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1J4NF1GB0BD265735

Engine: 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 DUAL-VVT ENGINE
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick automatic transmission, tip..
 Exterior: Bright Silver Metallic
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 Dual-VVT engine
- Four wheel drive
- 120-amp alternator
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 4575# GVWR
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- "Firm feel" pwr steering
- Four-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- Compact spare tire
- Body color fascias
- Lower bodyside accent cladding
- Body color grille
- Body color liftgate applique
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Solar control glass
- Deep tint sunscreen glass
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Body color door handles
- Liftgate door w/fixed glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Audio input jack
- (4) speakers
- Fixed long-mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- Driver seat height adjuster
- Active head restraints
- Sliding armrest

- Full-length floor console
- Full floor carpeting
- Tilt steering column
- Instrumentation w/tachometer
- 120 MPH speedometer
- Outside temp gauge
- Speed control
- Pwr accessory delay
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Illuminated cupholders
- Bright interior accents
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding sunvisors w/vanity mirrors
- Illuminated entry
- Front dome lamp
- Map & dome reading lamps
- Interior removable/rechargeable lamp
- Fold-flat cargo floor

SAFETY

- Brake assist
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Hill start assist
- Multistage dual front airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Height adjustable front shoulder belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Child safety rear door locks

New

MSRP	\$17,695.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
Continuously Variable Transaxle II	\$1,285
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Autostick automatic transmission · tip start 	
26 B Latitude Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$3,750
Bright Silver Metallic	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Security & Cargo Convenience Group	\$750
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · adjustable roof rail crossbars · auto-dimming rearview mirror · security alarm · soft tonneau cover · front seat side-impact airbags · tire pressure monitor · universal garage door opener · vehicle info center 	
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · (1) year service 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,425.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2012
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Patriot 4WD 4dr Latitude
 VIN: 1C4NJRFB0CD538503

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSAXLE II -inc: Autostick automatic transmission, tip..
 Exterior: Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray Interior

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC 16V I4 Dual-VVT engine
- Four wheel drive
- 120-amp alternator
- 525-amp maintenance-free battery
- 4575# GVWR
- Touring suspension
- Rear stabilizer bar
- "Firm feel" pwr steering
- Four-wheel anti-lock disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" aluminum wheels
- P215/60R17 all-season BSW tires
- Compact spare tire
- Black side roof rails
- Body color fascias
- Lower bodyside accent cladding
- Body color grille
- Body color liftgate applique
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Solar control glass
- Deep tint sunscreen glass
- Fold-away pwr heated mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Rear window wiper/washer
- Body color door handles
- Liftgate door w/ fixed glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- (4) speakers
- Fixed long-mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Air conditioning
- Front passenger fold-flat seat
- Heated front seats
- Driver seat height adjuster

- Active head restraints
- Sliding armrest
- Full-length floor console
- Full floor carpeting
- Tilt steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel -inc: steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Instrumentation w/tachometer
- 120 MPH speedometer
- Outside temp gauge
- Speed control
- Pwr accessory delay
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch
- Sentry Key theft-deterrent system
- Air filtration
- Rear seat heat ducts
- Rear window defroster
- Illuminated cupholders
- Bright interior accents
- Passenger assist handles
- Sliding sunvisors w/vanity mirrors
- Illuminated entry
- Front dome lamp
- Map & dome reading lamps
- Interior removable/rechargeable lamp
- Fold-flat cargo floor

SAFETY

- Brake assist
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Hill start assist
- Multistage dual front airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Height adjustable front shoulder belts
- Front passenger seat belt alert
- Child safety rear door locks

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$22,780.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
26 B Latitude Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
Deep Cherry Red Crystal Pearl	\$0
Dark Slate Gray Interior, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Siriusxm Satellite Radio	\$195
• (1) year service	
Original Shipping Charge	\$925
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$23,900.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 201M
 k aJe: peeo
 k d l eP t air4i WD L W ruai4V e
 I NC: 1RVCpFB5VLL1MVB8E

ngy4e: WRT4l er ngy4e
 sragmO4m4g: RUCsCSUSAuYI XFIK5un sFXCAX- un N4g, : XVIDm4J aVidOai4 iragnO4m4g.i4x
 nhier4r: 54, J
 N4ier4r: LarJ A4aie GraT N4ier4r

MECHANICAL

- 2.Wi LUHR 1EI NML VaR I s egy4e
- BdVr 6weeP r4e
- 120caOo aPergaidr
- 828caOo Oa4jegag, edfree baiierT
- V8387 GI D F
- sdVr4y mVnoegm4g
- Fear miab4#er bar
- zB4O feeE o6r meier4y
- BdVr6 weePagi4r, J l 4n braJem

EXTERIOR

- RdOoa, i mare i4e
- 54, J m4 e rddf ra4n
- 5dl T, d4r fam 4am
- ud6er bdl Tm4 e a, , egi , l4l I 4y
- 5dl T, d4r yr4e
- 5dl T, d4r 4iyaie aooP Ve
- Ha4yeg weal 4oom
- Bdy 4oom
- Ad4r , dgirdPy4anm
- L ee o i4gi mVgm reeg y4anm
- BdP ca6 aT o6r weaiel O4rdm
- l ar4b4e 4ierO4iegi 6 4l n4eP 6 4erm
- Fear 6 4l d6 6 4er/6 amwer
- 5dl T, d4r l ddr wagl 4em
- u4iyaie l ddr 6/f4hel y4anm

ENTERTAINMENT

- qV n4eaJerm
- B4hel 4gyOami agiegga

INTERIOR

- X4 , dgl 44g4y
- Brdgi oamnegyer fdP d4ai meai
- Heaiel frdgi meaim
- L r4er meai we4wi al jVmier
- EO/WO moP re, 4y rear meai
- X, i4e weal remira4im

- AR 4y arOremi
- BV4e gyiwif4dr , dgm4e
- BV4P4dr , aroei4y
- s4P meier4y , dVVOg
- ueaiwer6 raooel meier4y 6 weeP4g, : meier4y 6 weePOdVgiel aVl 4l , dgirdPn
- Nm4rVOegiai4g 6/i4a, wdOeier
- 120 k t H meel dOeier
- UVm4 e ieOo yaVye
- Aoee l , dgirdP
- t 6 r a , , em4rTI e4T
- t 6 r 6 4l d6 m6/l r4er dgeddV, w
- AegirT j eT iwefid eierregi mTmieO
- X4 f4r4ai4g
- Fear meai weai l V, im
- Fear 6 4l d6 l efrdmier
- NVO4gaiel , VowdP erm
- 5r4wi 4ier4r a , , egim
- t amnegyer am4ni wagl 4em
- AR 4y mVgv4rdm6/vag4T O4rdm
- NVO4gaiel egiT
- Brdgi l dOe 4oom
- k ao K l dOe real 4y 4oom
- N4ier4r reOdvab4e/re, waryeab4e 4oom
- BdP d4ai , aryd f4dr

SAFETY

- 5raJe am4ni
- n4e, irdg4 rdPO44ai4g
- H4P4riari am4ni
- k V44iaye l VaPfdgi a4baym
- Brdgi K rear m4 e , Vria4g a4baym
- He4wi al jVmiab4e frdgi nwdVP er bePm
- Brdgi oamnegyer meai beP a4eri
- RwfP n4feiT rear l ddr 4, Jm

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

26

X, iVaPO4e4ye 6 4P4rT 6 4w doi4gim. l r44y , dgl 44gim. l r44y wab4magl vew4 4n. dgl 44g

New

MSRP	\$23,095.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
80 Aiaie nO4m4g	' 0
2E5 uai4V e RVmidOer t referrel Url er	' 0
Ae4e, i4g t j G	
• 2.Wi N4egy4e	
• , dgi4VdVn4r var4ab4e iragn4h4e NN	
13z - E.8z X4VO4VO D weePn	' 0
54, J	' 0
LarJ A4aie GraT N4ier4r. t reO4/O R4iw5 V, Jei	' 0
Aeaim	
S, dgge, i VWO	' E98
• Xk /Bk mied 6/RL/LI L/k t Mo4Ter	
• WOG5 warl l r4e 6/2\$G5 free n4a, e	
• E.8zidV, w4n reeg	
A44/n4O AaieP4e Fal 4l	' 198
• qI (Tear n4rv4 e	
Ur44gaPAW4bo4y Rwarye	' 998
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	' 2W9\$0.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



BILL MARSH

666.54R armw., dO
 \$0089Ec233W

podl0 Crmls
Fd: o0 W0D
F aLot0 i d0aueVd0L Lo
NIB0 16sBV0 75C0n4g4yCM

8T- u0o s 6StULol 8T- u0
PlDTAU uAAaT0 MYi 88n cRPX Fc PIB Qir0cl eAar: cl eAUder PlDTAU uAAaTCPIU Yedle
6atalA0 5u0eYur ol F o0ettur 6todlrade. ndl: Ytd0 , IdS
F u0d- o0 sk0k1
Year: 20114MkJ

MECHANICAL

blyhMcvto 3dea
b, N/ 30sGkJ thA
b8tor0aTur PlDTAu0 6dA0
bc1 eAUder 7l tt0PUo 7al l0 9oot nluo
bM00UD#1 JCJ66c F du00TdTr o07lo
5d00Sz .3l T naz Ti la00 eAT
b1CmcUDc00lT000
bgCJHhF dvUJ U i dStadL
b, dA0 l oAAI l u0L Y9ar: c HAal Hbl A
b7laTec TL 3odl cTe0 att 5dlA
bPal l uT- Yl Ad0TAaT
b#SLldl tu i az ol0 AAeY00l uT-
bYU- to YedUtoAA Y00t 8v9dl Ae
b1yHJ , dth7l ot PdT:
bi ol UdToTeYar: uT- #l HA
bY0l e7laTeYl Ad0TAaT z .6at YD uT- A
bF l ta0uT: 3odl Yl Ad0TAaT z .6at YD uT- A
bs0 9oot nUr 5ld: oAZ .s0 9oot c5YG7laTe
NoT00L nuAr dTL 5ld: o c AAe

bF dTI dt P0eY00l uT- 6atl U
b, dl - oA Qir 0YD00LaU000 Gk LaU000 8T- u0
6aat0TePoUDGPr 9aU000 dTL PlDx LaU000
bi az ol 3odl / uLaz AdTL 7woL yL 3az
/ uLaz A
bVod00l .F o00tYaa: Y00l uT- / 9oot
b7laTe6l D0atLo
b3odl 6l D0atLo
b1 1CN n6 i az ol x l d0e
b3oU000 ' oStoAA 8T0Sz .E00- l d00L ' oS
PlDTAU uAAaT0 MYi 88n cRPX Fc PIB Qir0cl eAar: cl eAUder PlDTAU uAAaTCPIU Yedle
b6ll u0 6aT0at z. Y00l uT- / 9oot 6aT0ata
b#Nc6 Qir 0RTLol Aoden l r eAdTL
#odL uTol. utdl n l r eA
bF dTI dt c0v 6aTL u000 uT-
b, ta- o 5av
bnlu ol 7aae3oAe
b7l tt 6ta0 #odL uTol
b69l aUo , odl Y9ur' TaH
bE000al PlW Qir 069l aUo EAdl UoTei dT0t
EAd0edTL 69l aUo E000al crr0TeA
bi loU0U U 6ta0 5l r: oey000A
bnD00u 9e3odl .0z F 0l al
bnlu ol c TL i dAAoT- ol nuAl NdT00S F 0l al A
b7l tt 7taal 6aTAato z .6a- ol 0L Y00d- oG1 1CN
n6 i az ol x l d00edTL 1 c6 i az ol x l d0e
b7laTeF dD Vu 9eA
b7dLo0PaQ wE000al Vu 9aT-
b7l tt 6ld0e7taal 6a- ol uT- Qir 06dl D0e7laTe
c TL 3odl 7taal F d0eA
b6dl D0e7taal PlW
b6dl - a YD0r o Vu 9eA
bEAdl UoTei dT0t 5uT0Gnu ol . i dAAoT- ol c TL
3odl naal 5uT
bi az ol 1Ae3az / uLaz Az .nlu ol 10Pal r 9
naz T
bn0tdSoL crr0AAal Si az ol
bi az ol naal Var: Az .cl eatar: 7oddl o
bx l eAUlo PoUD, dl - o
bc T0ta- nuAD0S
bnlu ol c TL i dAAoT- ol #od00L0 l A9u0TGnu ol
c TL i dAAoT- ol #od00L0Y0d0ttr:
bF dTI dt cTe0 9Ud0A9 c LkA0H0 7laTe# odL
3oAd0u0eAdTL 7woL 3odl #odL 3oAd0u0eA
bYtluT- 7laTe6oT00l c l UloAeZ .Y00d- o
bY0T0S' oS 8T- u0 EJUaH0l ol
b1 1CN n6 i az ol x l d00edTL 1 c6 i az ol x l d0e
bc0 7u0d0eAT

SAFETY

b8tor0aTur YedH00s 6aT0at c TL 3att YedH00s
6aT0at
bc5Y c TL nlu otu0 PlDr eAT 6aT0at
bY00 EJD0re5odUA
bnl dt Y00- o nlu ol c TL i dAAoT- ol Y000
F al T00L Y000 c0H0- A
bVaz P00 i loAA l o / dl uT-
bnl dt Y00- o nlu ol c TL i dAAoT- ol 7laT0c0H0- A
b6ll e0uT 1Aec TL CTL 3az c0H0- A
bc0H0- x r r l D0TrS Y0TAal
b3odl 69uL Yd00S Var: A
bx l 0- hdL 7laTeVd0c TL Y9al tl0l Yd00S 50teA0
uT 03odl 6oT00l y i auT00# ou 9ec LkA0AdTL
i lo0TAaTol A

EXTERIOR

b/ 9ootA01kj v M0J ctl U0U U
bP00A0i C l J. Mh 1k 5Y/ c Y Pal l uT-
b/ 9ootAz. i atuA0L crr0TeA
bY00t YD0l o / 9oot
b6aUD0reYD0l o P00 F al T00L E0A00 RTLol
6dl - a
b6todlr adei d0e
b5aLS00 atal oL 3odl Y00D5l UDol z .5tdr:
3l HY0d07dAr u0 crr0Te
b5aLS00 atal oL 7laTe5l UDol z .5tdr: 3l H
Y0d07dAr u0 crr0Te
b5tdr: 5aLSA0 6tdLU0-
b5tdr: Y00 / uLaz APlW dTL 5tdr: 7laTe
/ uLaz 000l PlW
b5aLS00 atal oL naal #dTLtoA
b5tdr: i az ol #od00L Y00 F 0l al Az .F dTI dt
7atl uT-
b7woL 3odl / uLaz z .7woL E000- dt / d0l
dTL n000A00
bn00DP000L , tdAA
bNdldH0 E000l U000Te/ d0l A
b7l ttS , dt- dT0l oL Y00t i dT0tA
b5aLS00 atal oL , l u00
bV00 d00 3odl 6dl - a crr0AA
bPd0- d00 3odl naal Var: E00tl LoL z. i az ol
naal Var: A
b3aaw0dr: 3duA x TlS
b7laTe7a- V0UDA
bc0l o0 aU DaA00 #dta- oT #odLtl U DA

ENTERTAINMENT

b3dLu0RraT0re01ymcF .7F .6n. Fi y
b3dLu0 z .6tar: dTL Y00l uT- / 9oot 6aT0ata
bs YD0d: ol A
b7woL c T00Ttd
bc l Lu Wlr: E00 eval F aH00 n0- uroA

INTERIOR

bM0 dS nlu ol Y000Qir 0F dTI dt 3or tu0G
#ou 9ec LkA0U0TedTL 7alo. cveF a- oU0Te
bs0 dS i dAAoT- ol Y000Qir 0F dTI dt 3or tu0G
7alo. cveF a- oU0TedTL 7atl 7lde
bM0m7atl uT- 5oTr 9 7laTe7dr uT- F dTI dt
3or tu0T- 7atl 7al z dl Y000ttr: 6ta0 3odl
Yode

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

27

crd tl Ud0d- o z 0- d l S z 00 aDaaTACLlu0T- raTLaaTACLlu0T- 9dHAdTL- o900a0raTLaaT

New

MSRP	\$23,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
\$l ur: x l Lol i dr: d- o C, 5	" m
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8T- u0o0C0sV l S n x #6 1MN n l dt NNP PlDTAU uAAaT0MYD00L c l eAUder 3oU000 Y00leYSA0U 	
5u00eYur ol F o0ettur 6todlrade	" m
ndl: Ytd0 , IdSG loU0U U 6ta0 5l r: oeY000A	" m
JmY000 8U uAAaTA	" m
F dTI wr0 l o0 Y000U0Tex wx lu uT	" m
Y00l AYd0000 3dLu	uT r tl LoL
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10l Y00l AXF 3dLu Y0l- uro 7al F alo E00 6dt 444Qyg0sks 	
RraT0reNauro 6aU0u0TL / .5tl 0000	" sgJ
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Y00 ERY Yd0000 3dLu 10l Y00l AXF 3dLu Y0l- uro 7al F alo E00 6dt 444Qyg0sks 3oU000 RY5 i ale 3odl N00z c l eanW F 0l al z .F urlAD0aTo 	
x lu uT Y90DD0- 69dl- o	" ggJ
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	" C0G4Jmm

Get more information on your smartphone:



easterns.com
Easterns
AUTOMOTIVE GROUP

z z z hodA00l TAhr aU
4440Wn0kkJ

Year: 2015
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Patriot 4WD 4dr Sport
 VIN: 1C4NJRB0FD292577

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 6-spd
 Exterior: Granite Crystal Metallic Clearcoat
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 4.12 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,575 lbs
- 50-State Emissions
- Electronic Transfer Case
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 1300# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- 13.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Permanent Locking Hubs
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Manual Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Body-Colored Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Front Fog Lamps
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock
- 4 Speakers
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 4-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Cloth Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Manual Rear Windows and Fixed 3rd Row Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Chrome Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Manual 1st Row Windows
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

28

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,775.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: 6 Speed Automatic	included
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · AutoStick Automatic Transmission · Tip Start 	
Quick Order Package 2 Ga	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V Dual VVT · Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic 	
Granite Crystal Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Power Value Group	\$1,845
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Body Color Door Handles · Illuminated Entry · Speed Sensitive Power Locks · Keyless Entry · Power Heated Fold-Away Mirrors · Power Driver 1-Touch Windows · Body Color Liftgate Applique 	
Air Conditioning	\$1,255
Uconnect Voice Command W/Bluetooth	\$495
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Rear View Auto Dim Mirror w/Microphone · Remote USB Port · SIRIUS Satellite Radio · 1-Yr SIRIUSXM Radio Service · For More Info · Call 888-539-7474 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$23,585.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2016
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Patriot FWD 4dr Sport
 VIN: 1C4NJPBB9GD584010

Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V DUAL VVT
 Transmission: Automatic 6-spd
 Exterior: Maximum Steel Metallic Clearcoat
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 4.12 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 4,435 lbs
- 50-State Emissions
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 60-Amp/Hr 525CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 120 Amp Alternator
- 1320# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Touring Suspension
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 13.6 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Bodyside Cladding
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Manual Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Body-Colored Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Front Fog Lamps
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock and Voice Activation
- 4 Speakers
- Streaming Audio
- Fixed Antenna
- Uconnect w/Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 4-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Cloth Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Manual Rear Windows and Fixed 3rd Row Windows
- Voice Recorder
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Chrome Instrument Panel Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Chrome Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Manual 1st Row Windows
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Sliding Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,695.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Engine: 2.4 L I4 Dohc 16 V Dual VVT	\$545
Transmission: 6 Speed Automatic	\$1,450
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · AutoStick Automatic Transmission · Tip Start · 3.37 Axle Ratio 	
Quick Order Package 2 Ga	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Engine: 2.4L I4 DOHC 16V Dual VVT · Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic 	
Maximum Steel Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Slate Gray, Premium Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Air Conditioning	\$1,375
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$22,060.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Hudiburg
 hudiburg.com

Year: 2018
 Make: Hey
 Muned i aoluoSadd ne E. E
 4LV: 11 EVHN5P8AF18E892

J6716e: E1 3d6ner J6716e
 gra6CTICQu6: st aU adm- pDn
 J. ærlur: Feey l / err3 Nen l r3Qadi eardnuao
 l6ærlur: Fark x æ Qra3

MECHANICAL

zVW8 s. æ Nadu
 zQ4B N: Ec 8- dC
 z· 0px æ J T ICQu6C
 zJ ænu6lmgra6Oer l aCæ
 z- 0ps Ty r· 2· l l s Malæ6a6mæpree
 Paæer3 w rnt 6 Fuw6 i ruændu6
 z120 s Ty s ær6aaur
 z1V00# Ma. lT t T i a3dian
 zQaQi reCQ r lGen x / unlk s DQrDerC
 z5ru6os 6n Near s 6dP NuðParC
 zgut r167 xt Qye6Qu6
 zA3nrat ðmi uwerps CQox æerl67
 zx l67æ x æl6æCCx æedJ. / at Co
 z1Vh Qadh5t edga6k
 zi erT a6e6oSunkl67 At DC
 zx ot o5ru6ox t Qye6Qu6 wR uldx yrl67C
 zMt æpSl6k Near xt Qye6Qu6 wR uld
 x yrl67C
 zEpB / eedFIQmPrakeCw rEpB / eeds Px c
 5ru6o4e6æen FIQrCa6n Prake s CQCo

EXTERIOR

zB / eedC 18b. - h bs d T l6t T
 zx æedx yare B / eed
 zl uT yamox yare glre Mut 6æen l6Qne
 , 6ner l ar7u
 zl æarmuaoi al6o
 zPun3p uðren 5ru6oPt T yer w rP ænk
 Nt Dx st y ræ aQria s mæ6o
 zPun3p uðren Near x æy Pt T yer
 w rP ænk Nt Dx st y ræ aQria s mæ6o
 zPænk Pun3Qne l ænnl67
 zPænk x lne B l6nuwCgrIT æ6n Pænk
 5ru6oB l6nC leð grIT
 zPun3p uðren Fuur Aa6næC
 zPænk i uwer Aeaæen x lne MirrurC
 w rMa6t ad5uðl67
 z5l. en Near B l6nuw w r l. en l6ærluad
 B lyer a6n FævuCæR
 zFeey gl6æen QæCC
 z4arlaDæ l6æRT læ6oB lyerC
 z5t æ Qadla6lCen x æedi a6edC
 zPun3p uðren Qrlæ
 zSlv7ææ Near l ar7u s mæCC
 zNuuvNank NalæCf 6æ
 z5t æ st aU adm s erup uT yuQæ
 Aadi7e6 AeaæT yC
 z5ru6o5u7 SaT yC

ENTERTAINMENT

zEx yæakerC
 zx æeaT l67 st nlu
 z5l. en s 6æ66a
 z, mu66enow rP æd eauuð B lreæCCi / u6e
 l u66em lUæ

INTERIOR

z- pB a3 Fr lUer x eap6m Ma6t adNent6ec
 Ael7/ os njt Qæ e6oa6n 5ure l6 wMuUeT e6o
 zEpB a3 i aCæ67er x eap6m Ma6t ad
 Nent6e a6n 5ure l6 wMuUeT e6o
 z- 0pE0 5uðl67 Pæ6m 5ru6o5antl67
 Ma6t adNent6l67 5uð 5urwarn x eadank
 l dæ Near x eao
 zMa6t adglæ æerl67 l uð T 6
 zQat 7eCp6m x yeenuT eærcf nuT eærc
 J6716e l uuæ6ogeT ycgam uT eær a6n
 grly f nuT eær
 z4ulne Nemurner
 zSeað er rMeaðSuuk x æerl67 B / eed
 z l l T l6æen 5ru6ol t y / uðer
 zNear l t y / uðer
 z1 124 Fl i uwerf t æo
 zl rt lCæ l u6æudw r æerl67 B / eed u6æud
 zA4s l p6m , 6nerCæoF t mCa6n
 Aeaæer r l ær Ft mC
 zQadiæ Pu.
 zFr lUer 5uuNeCo
 zl6ærlur grIT p6m l / ruT e l6CæT T e6o
 i a6edl6Cæoa6n l / ruT e l6ærlur s mæ6C
 z5t æ l dæ Aeaæ6er
 zl / ruT e Qear x / lwo6uD
 zFr lUer s 6n i aCæ67er 4lQr 4 a6læ
 MirrurC
 z5t æ5æur l u6Quæ w r uUeren x æra7ec1
 124 Fl i uwerf t æoa6n 1 sl i uwer
 f t æo
 z5ru6oMay Sl7/ æ
 z5aneæy uð w l6ærlur Sl7/ d67
 zl aryeo5æur grIT
 zl ar7u x yare Sl7/ æ
 zl6CæT T e6oi a6edPl6cFr lUer Fl aCæ67er
 s 6n Near Fuur Pl6C
 zFææ3en s mæCQr3 i uwer
 zf t æne geT y Qat 7e
 zs 6adi7 FlQæ3
 zFr lUer s 6n i aCæ67er Aeaænp t C lu6c
 Fr lUer s 6n i aCæ67er Aeaænp æadænk
 zMa6t ads 6æpB / l y æC' s njt CæDæ 5ru6o
 Aean NeCæal6æa6n 5l. en Near Aean
 NeCæal6æC
 zx ðnl67 5ru6ol e6ær s rT reCow r æra7e
 zx e6æ3 æe3 J6716e l T T uDæCæR
 z1 124 Fl i uwerf t æoa6n 1 sl i uwer
 f t æo
 zslr 5læradu6

SAFETY

zx lne lT yamPeaT C
 zFt adx æ7e Fr lUer s 6n i aCæ67er x eap
 Mut 6æen x lne slrDa7C
 zFt adx æ7e Fr lUer s 6n i aCæ67er 5ru6o
 slrDa7C
 zl t ræl6 1Cos 6n 26n Nuw slrDa7C
 zs l rDa7 f mnt ya6næ x e6Qr
 zNear l / l æ x æææ SunkC
 zf t æuam 5ru6oSay s 6n x / ut æher x æææ
 PææCp6m Near l e6æer Wi ulææAel7/ o
 s njt ææCa6n i reæ6Qu6æR

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

25

s ntt adT l æa7e w d l ær3 w l æ u y du6Cænr l U67 mu6n l du6Cænr l U67 / aDæCa6n Uæ / l mæCmu6n l du6

New

MSRP	\$25,840.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Kt lnt k f mer i anka7e 2 QP	' 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> J6716e: 2HES IE Ff Al 1-4 Ft ad44g gra6CTICQu6: - pæyeen st aU adm NeT uæ x ærox 3CæT 	
Feey l / err3 Nen l r3Qadi eardnuao	' 0
Fark x ææ Qra3ci reT l t T l dæ Pt nkeox eac	' 0
Ma6t vand rer'Cx ææT e6of vf r l7l6	' 0
f r l7l6adx / llyl67 l / ar7e	' \$\$·
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	' 2- æW l00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Luxury & Imports
 d . t r3a6nlT yuræmuT

Year: 2010
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr Sahara
 VIN: 1J4HA5H14AL175016

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4-SPEED AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION -inc: 3.73 axle ratio
 Exterior: Deep Water Blue Pearl
 Interior: Dark Slate Gray/Medium Slate Gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.8L OHV 12-valve SMPI V6 engine
- 3.21 axle ratio
- Hill start assist
- Next generation Dana 30 solid front axle
- Next generation Dana 44 HD rear axle
- Command-Trac shift-on-the-fly 4WD system
- 600-CCA maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- (2) front/(1) rear tow hooks
- Fuel tank skid plate
- Transfer case skid plate
- HD suspension w/gas shocks
- Front stabilizer bar
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- 4-wheel disc brakes
- Hydraulic assist brake boost

- Reclining front seats
- Driver height adjustment
- 60/40 folding rear seat
- Rear seat outboard head restraints
- Full length floor console
- Front seat area carpet
- Rear/cargo area carpet
- Front & rear floor mats
- Tilt steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel w/bright spokes
- Premium instrumentation w/tachometer
- Outside temp & compass gauge
- Pwr windows w/driver & front-passenger one-touch down
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Air conditioning
- Aux 12V pwr outlet
- Bright interior accents
- Sport bar w/full padding
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Rear passenger assist handle kit
- Front seatback map pockets
- Front dome light w/on/off switch
- Rear dome light w/on/off switch
- Cargo compartment covered storage
- Cargo tie down loops

EXTERIOR

- 18" x 7.5" satin silver painted aluminum wheels
- Outside tire carrier
- Black/silver front bumper
- Black/silver rear bumper
- Body color fender flares
- Body color grille
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Tinted windshield glass
- Deep-tint sunscreen windows
- Swing-away mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Hood insulation

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage frontal airbags
- Child safety rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp

ENTERTAINMENT

- Audio input jack

INTERIOR

- Low back bucket seats

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

29

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$8,910.
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
4 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$825
24 G Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
Anti Spin Rear Differential	\$295
3.73 Axle Ratio	included
Deep Water Blue Pearl	\$225
Dark Slate Gray/Medium Slate Gray, Cloth Seats	\$0
Black Freedom Top 3 Piece Hardtop	\$735
6 C7 Order Code	\$0
Trailer Tow Group	\$320
Media Center 230	\$350
Remote Start	\$185
Supplemental Front Seat Side Air Bags	\$490
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$33,080.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2011
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr Mojave
 VIN: 1J4BA3H1XBL625697

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Black Clear Coat
 Interior: Black/Dark Saddle Interior

MECHANICAL

- 3.8L OHV 12-valve SMPI V6 engine
- 3.21 axle ratio
- Next generation Dana 30 solid front axle
- Next generation Dana 44 HD rear axle
- Command-Trac shift-on-the-fly 4WD system
- 600-CCA maintenance free battery
- 140-amp alternator
- (2) front/(1) rear tow hooks
- Fuel tank skid plate
- Transfer case skid plate
- Front stabilizer bar
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- 4-wheel disc brakes
- Hydraulic assist brake boost

- 60/40 folding rear seat
- Rear seat outboard head restraints
- Full length floor console
- Storage tray
- Front seat area carpet
- Rear/cargo area carpet
- Cargo compartment floor mat
- Tilt steering column
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Premium instrumentation w/tachometer
- Outside temp & compass gauge
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Air conditioning
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Satin chrome/leather-wrapped shift knob
- Sport bar w/full padding
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Front seatback map pockets
- Front dome light w/on/off switch
- Rear dome light w/on/off switch
- Cargo compartment covered storage
- Cargo tie down loops

EXTERIOR

- Outside tire carrier
- Body color fender flares
- Body color grille
- Halogen headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Tinted windshield glass
- Deep-tint sunscreen windows
- Front door tinted glass
- Manual fold-away exterior mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Hill start assist
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage frontal airbags
- Child safety rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitoring warning lamp

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Reclining front seats
- Driver height adjustment

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

19

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,545.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
4 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$875
24 D Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$7,150
3.73 Axle Ratio	included
Black Clear Coat	\$0
Black/Dark Saddle Interior, Vinyl Seats	\$0
Black Clear Coat Freedom Top 3 Piece Hard Top	\$0
6 X8 Order Code	\$0
Trailer Tow Group	\$320
Connectivity Group	\$385
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$800
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$35,270.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2012
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr ShVil on
 NIA: 15 4LJW76 E5 C2yETEs

- ngine: NE 5 Plinder - ngine
 CranRmiFFlon: chtomatil y3Pd
 - .terior: Llal k 7oreR 6 reen x earl
 Interior: Llal k Interior

MECHANICAL

BTLECGMx H24N NNO NE engine
 B4u0 a. le ratio
 B0rh3Cok /ront · rear a. leR
 BAe. t generation Dana 44 8 D /ront a. le
 BAe. t generation Dana 44 8 D rear a. le
 B4:1 Sol k3Qral 8 D part3ime 4WD
 FPRem
 BE0035 5c maintenani e /ree VatterP
 B1E03amp alternator
 B2f /rontb1f rear to() ookR
 BSol k railR
 B7hel tank Rkid plate
 BOranRer l aFe Rkid plate
 Bxer/ormanl e RhPenRon
 BSear RaViliver Var
 B- lel tronil /ront R aPVar diR onnel t
 Bx (r RReering
 B43) eel diR VrakeR
 B8Pdrahliil aRRR Vrake VooR

BCo(Val k Vnl ket FeatR
 BSeI lining /ront FeatR
 BDri" er) eig) t adjhRment
 BE0b40 /olding rear Feat
 BSear Feat ohtVoard) ead reRRaintR
 B7hll lengt) /loor l onFole
 BGstorage trap
 B7ront Feat area l arpet
 BSearb argo area l arpet
 B5 argo l ompartment /loor mat
 BCilt RReering l olhmn
 BCeat) er3 rapped RReering () eel
 BGteering () eel mohnted ahdio l ontrolR
 Bx remihm inRrhmentation (lial) ometer
 Bz htRde temp · l ompaRRgahge
 Bx (r (indo(R(l dri" er · /ront3aPPenger
 one3ohl) do(n
 BGspeed l ontrol
 BGentrP &ePt) e/t deterrent FPRem
 BGel hritPalarm
 B5) romebea) er3 rapped Rj i/t knoV
 BLrig) t interior al l entR
 BGport Var (bthll padding
 BGliding Rhn" iPrR(bmirrorR
 BSear paPPenger aRRR) andle kit
 B7ront FeatVal k map pol ketR
 B7ront dome lig) t (tontb// R(itl)
 BSear dome lig) t (tontb// R(itl)
 B5 argo l ompartment l o" ered Rstorage
 B5 argo tie do(n loopR

EXTERIOR

B1sF. syFFRatin l arVon painted
 alhminhm () eelR
 Bz htRde tire l arrier
 BLlal k /ront VhmpR
 BLlal k rear VhmpR
 Bc l l ent l olor /ender /lareR
 BLodP l olor grille
 B8 alogen) eadlampR
 Bc hto onb//) eadlampR
 B7og lampR
 BCinted (indR) ield glaRR
 BDeep3int RhnRl reen (indo(R
 B7ront door tinted glaRR
 Bx (r) eated e. terior mirrorR
 BNariaVe intermittent (indR) ield (iperR
 B8ood inRflation

SAFETY

B43) eel anti3ol k VrakeR
 B- lel tronil roll mitigation
 B8 ill Rart aRRR
 BDri" er · /ront paPPenger ad" anl ed mhti3
 Rlage /rontal air VagR
 B5) ild Pa/eitP rear door lol kR
 BCire preRRre monitoring (arning lamp

ENTERTAINMENT

BMEf H/initP RpeakerR(bRhV(oo/er
 B7i. ed long maR antenna

INTERIOR

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

27

City mileage (@ "arP(it) optionRkdring l onditionRkdring) aViRand "e) il leRl ondition

New

MSRP	
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
y0 Gate - miFFlonR	' 0
y Gpeed chtomatil CranRmiFFlon	' 1K2y
24 S 5 hRomer x re/erred z rder Gelel tion x &6	' 0
TuT c. le Satio	' 0
Llal k 7oreR 6 reen x earl	' 0
Llal k InteriorK5 lot) Geat Crim	' 0
Dhal Cop 6 rohp	' 1K2y
5 onnel ti" itP 6 rohp	' T\$y
Ma. Co(x &6	' T20
UI onnel t 4T0 A	' 1KTy
8 eated 7ront GeatR	' 2y0
Semote Gtart	' 49y
z riginal G) ipping 5) arge	' 92y
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	' T9K0T000

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2013
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr Sahara
 VIN: 1C4HJWEG4DL635574

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Colors: True Blue Pearl / Black/Dark Saddle Interior
 Mileage: 31,016

Stock #: C635574

MECHANICAL

- 3.6L SMPI 24V VVT V6 engine
- 3.21 axle ratio
- Next generation Dana 30 solid front axle
- Next generation Dana 44 HD rear axle
- Command-Trac shift-on-the-fly 4WD system
- 600-CCA maintenance free battery
- 160-amp alternator
- Trailer sway damping
- (2) front/(1) rear tow hooks
- Fuel tank skid plate
- Transfer case skid plate
- HD suspension w/gas shocks
- Front stabilizer bar
- Rear stabilizer bar
- Pwr steering
- 4-wheel disc brakes
- Hydraulic assist brake boost

- Driver height adjustment
- 60/40 folding rear seat
- Rear seat outboard head restraints
- Full length floor console
- Storage tray
- Front seat area carpet
- Rear/cargo area carpet
- Cargo compartment floor mat
- Tilt steering column
- Leather-wrapped steering wheel
- Steering wheel mounted audio controls
- Premium instrumentation w/tachometer
- Outside temp & compass gauge
- Pwr windows w/driver & front-passenger one-touch down
- Speed control
- Sentry Key theft deterrent system
- Security alarm
- Locking glove box
- Chrome/leather-wrapped shift knob
- Coat hooks
- Bright interior accents
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror w/reading lamp
- Sport bar w/full padding
- Sliding sunvisors w/mirrors
- Rear passenger assist handle kit
- Front seatback map pockets
- Front dome light w/on/off switch
- Rear dome light w/on/off switch
- Cargo compartment covered storage
- Cargo tie down loops

EXTERIOR

- Outside tire carrier
- Black/silver front bumper
- Black/silver rear bumper
- Body color fender flares
- Body color grille
- Halogen headlamps
- Auto on/off headlamps
- Fog lamps
- Tinted windshield glass
- Deep-tint sunscreen windows
- Front door tinted glass
- Pwr heated exterior mirrors
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Hood insulation

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Electronic roll mitigation
- Hill start assist
- Driver & front passenger advanced multi-stage frontal air bags
- Child safety rear door locks

ENTERTAINMENT

- Alpine premium audio system
- Fixed long mast antenna

INTERIOR

- Low back bucket seats
- Reclining front seats

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

20

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,495.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
50 State Emissions	\$0
5 Speed Automatic Transmission	\$1,200
24 G Customer Preferred Order Selection PKG	\$0
3.73 Axle Ratio	\$195
True Blue Pearl	\$0
Black/Dark Saddle Interior, Leather Seat Trim	\$1,100
Black Premium Soft Top W/Sunrider Feature	\$400
Body Color 3 Piece Hard Top	\$1,795
Dual Top Group	\$2,185
Max Tow PKG	\$390
Connectivity Group	\$495
Engine Block Heater	\$95
Uconnect 430 N	\$1,035
Heated Front Seats	included
Remote Start	\$495
Air Cond W/Auto Temp Control	\$155
Supplemental Front Seat Side Air Bags	\$495
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$42,525.00



www.inetworkautogroup.com
 704-325-4200

Year: 2014
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr Sahara
 VIN: 1C4BJWEG7EL212365

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5-SPEED AUTOMATIC (W5A580) -inc: Hill Descent Control, Tip Start
 Exterior: Billet Metallic Clearcoat
 Interior: Dark Saddle/Black

MECHANICAL

- 3.21 Rear Axle Ratio
- Heavy Duty Suspension w/Gas Shocks
- GVWR: 5,500 lbs
- Manual Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 600CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 160 Amp Alternator
- Towing Equipment -inc: Trailer Sway Control
- 2 Skid Plates
- 880# Maximum Payload
- HD Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 22.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Leading Link Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Trailing Arm Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Tires: P255/70R18 OWL On/Off Road
- Aluminum Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Mounted Outside Rear
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Body-Colored Fender Flares
- Black Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Removable Rear Window
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Convertible w/Fixed Roll-Over Protection
- Body-Colored Grille
- Conventional Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Premium Amplifier
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Compass
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Locking Glove Box
- Interior Trim -inc: Deluxe Sound Insulation, Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leather/Chrome Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Locking Storage, 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim, Carpet And Rubber Mat
- Instrument Panel Bin, Dashboard Storage, Driver And Passenger Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

6\$

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	3, 6095.\$\$
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: 5 Speed Automatic (W5 A580)	\$1,300
Quick Order Package 24 G	\$0
3.73 Rear Axle Ratio	included
Billet Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Dark Saddle/Black, Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Connectivity Group	\$495
Max Tow Package	\$745
50 State Emissions	\$0
Body Color 3 Piece Hard Top	\$1,895
Radio: Uconnect 430 N Cd/Dvd/Mp3/Hdd/Nav	\$1,095
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$38,620.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.laportechrysler.net
 219-326-6850

Year: 2015
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr Sport
 VIN: 1C4BJWDG6FL509789

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Exterior: Firecracker Red Clear Coat
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 3.21 Rear Axle Ratio
- Normal Duty Suspension
- GVWR: 5,400 lbs
- 50 State Emissions
- Manual Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 600CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 160 Amp Alternator
- Towing w/Trailer Sway Control
- 2 Skid Plates
- 1000# Maximum Payload
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 22.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Leading Link Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Trailing Arm Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Mounted Outside Rear
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Fender Flares
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Manual Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Removable Rear Window
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Light Tinted Glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Convertible w/Fixed Roll-Over Protection
- Body-Colored Grille
- Conventional Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Front Fog Lamps

· Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- 8 Speakers
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Manual Rear Windows and Removable 3rd Row Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Compass
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- Locking Glove Box
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Locking Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim, Carpet And Rubber Mat
- Locking Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Instrument Panel Bin, Dashboard Storage, Driver And Passenger Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Manual 1st Row Windows
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

6\$

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	361,095.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: 5 Speed Automatic (W5 A580)	included
Quick Order Package 24 S	\$3,200
3.73 Rear Axle Ratio	\$595
Wheels: 17" X 7.5" Aluminum	included
Tires: P255/75 R17 Owl On/Off Road	included
Firecracker Red Clear Coat	\$0
Black, Cloth Bucket Seats	\$0
Trailer Tow Group	\$395
Black 3 Piece Hard Top	\$995
Radio: Uconnect 430 CD/DVD/MP3/HDD	\$695
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$33,865.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2015
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited 4WD 4dr SaVara
 I NC: 1B4GJW6FLF9221717

Engine: I 5 B8linder 6 engine
 Eranymyyion: TstomatiAuoypd
 6-terior: MoxAe Sand BlearAoa
 Interior: Dark Saddle-GlaAk

MECHANICAL

3. ,21 bear T- le batio
 3f eaB Dst8 Ssyenyion P-F ay SVoAky
 3F1 Wb : uhu00 lvy
 3u0 State 6miyyiony
 3Mansal Eranyver Baye
 3/ artEime #osrdWVeel DriPe
 3500BBT Maintenanaed#ree Gatter8
 3150 Tmp Tlternator
 3EoPing P-Erailer SPa8 Bontrol
 32 Skid / latey
 3zzOH Ma- imsm / a8load
 3f D SVoAk Tvyorvery
 3#ront Tnd bear Tntid oll Gary
 3f 8drasliA / oPerTyyiyt Steering
 322,u Fal, #sel Eank
 3Single Stainley Steel 6- Vasyt
 3Tsto 9oAking f svy
 39eading 9ink #ront Ssyenyion P-Boil
 Springy
 3Erailing Trm bear Ssyenyion P-Boil
 Springy
 34dWVeel DiyAGrakey P-4dWVeel TGSh
 #ront Tnd bear l ented DiyAyhGrake
 Tyyiyt and f ill f old Bontrol
 3Grake TAtsated 9imited Slip Diwifferential

EXTERIOR

3Eirey: / 2uu-70b 1z OW9 On-Owb oad
 3Tlsmism Spare WVeel
 3#sllkSij e Spare Eire Mosnted Ostyide
 bear
 3BlearAoa / aint
 3GlaAk Side WindoPy Erim
 3GlaAk Door f andley
 3God8dBolored #ender #larey
 3GlaAk / oPer f eated Side Mirroy
 P-Mansal #olding
 3bemoPavle bear WindoP
 3Deep Einted Flay
 3I ariavle Ntermittent Wipery
 3#sll8 FalPanij ed Steel / anely
 3BonFertivle P-#i- ed bollOPer
 / roteAion
 3BonFentional bear Bargo TAAeyy
 3#sll8 TstomatiA TerodBompoyite
 f alogen f eadlampy
 3#ront #og 9ampy

ENTERTAINMENT

3z Speakery
 3#i- ed Tntenna
 31 9BD Monitor N Eve #ront

INTERIOR

35dWa8 DriPer Seat dnA: Mansal beAlineh
 f eigVt Tdsytment and #ore-TwMoFement
 34dWa8 / ayyenger Seat dnA: Mansal
 beAline and #ore-TwMoFement
 350o40 #olding SplitGenAV #ront #aAing
 #old #orPard SeatvaAk bear Seat
 3Mansal Eilt Steering Bolsmn
 39eatVer Wrapped Steering WVeel
 3#ront BspVolder
 3b ear BspVolder
 32 12l DB / oPer Ostlety
 3Bompayy
 3Brsiye Bontrol P-Steering WVeel Bontroly
 39oAking FloPe Go-
 3Interior Erim dnA: Dels- e Sosnd
 NyslationhMetalook Nyrtsment / anel
 NyerthMetalook Door / anel Nyer and
 Metalook Interior TAAenty
 39eatVer-BVrome F ear SVwKnov
 3Da8dCigVt TstodDimming bearReP Mirror
 3DriPer Tnd / ayyenger l iyor l anit8
 Mirroy
 3#sll #loor Bonyole P-9oAking Storageh2
 12l DB / oPer Ostlety and 1 TB / oPer
 Ostlet
 3#ront Map 9igVty
 3#adedEodWInterior 9igVting
 3Barpet #loor ErimhBarpet Tnd bsvver
 Mat
 39oAking Bargo Trea BonAealed Storage
 3Nyrtsment / anel GinhDayVvoard
 StoragehDriPer Tnd / ayyenger Door Giny
 3Dela8ed TAAeyyor8 / oPer
 3Ostyide Eemp F asge
 3Tnalog Diypla8
 3Mansal Tdsytavle #ront f ead beytrainty
 and #i- ed bear f ead beytrainty
 3#ront Benter Trmreyt P-Storage
 32 SeatvaAk Storage / oAkety
 3/ erimeter Tlarm
 3Sentr8 Ke8 6 engine Nmvoilij er
 32 12l DB / oPer Ostlety and 1 TB / oPer
 Ostlet

SAFETY

3Side NmpaAt Geamy
 3Dsal Stage DriPer Tnd / ayyenger #ront
 Tirvagy
 3Tirvag OAAspanA8 Senyor
 3b ear BVild Sawe8 9oAky
 3Ostvoard #ront 9ap Tnd SVoslder Sawe8
 Gelty dnA: bear Benter . / ointhf eigVt
 Tdsytary and / retenyiony

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

20

TAsal mileage Pll Per8 PIVoptiohydring Aonditiohydring Vavity and ReVVeVy Aonditio

New

MSRP	\$33,795.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Eranymyyion: u Speed TstomatiA (Wu Tuz0)	inAlsded
QsiAk Order / aAkage 24 F	\$0
WVeely: 1z" X 7,u" 7 Spoke / oliyVed Tlsmism	\$74u
MoxAe Sand BlearAoa	\$0
Dark Saddle-GlaAk9eatVer Erimmed GsAket	\$1h u0
Seaty	
BonneAtiRit8 Frosp	\$5Lu
Erailer EoP Frosp	\$4Lu
GlaAk . / ieAe f ard Eop	\$LLu
f eated #ront Seaty	inAlsded
Original SVipping BVarge	\$LLu
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$. L1070,00

Get more information on your smartphone:



PPP, GillMaryV, Aom
 z00cuL5c2774

Year: 2017
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited Sport 4x4
 VIN: 1C4BJWDG7HL607135

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Exterior: Granite Crystal Metallic Clearcoat
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 3.21 Rear Axle Ratio
- Normal Duty Suspension
- GVWR: 5,400 lbs
- 50 State Emissions
- Manual Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 600CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 160 Amp Alternator
- Towing Equipment -inc: Trailer Sway Control
- 2 Skid Plates
- 1000# Maximum Payload
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Steering
- 22.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Leading Link Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Trailing Arm Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Mounted Outside Rear
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Fender Flares
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Manual Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Removable Rear Window
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Light Tinted Glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Body-Colored Grille
- Conventional Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Aero-Composite Halogen Headlamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- 8 Speakers

- Fixed Antenna
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR


- 6-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Manual Rear Windows and Removable 3rd Row Windows
- Illuminated Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Compass
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- Locking Glove Box
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Locking Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim, Carpet And Rubber Mat
- Locking Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Instrument Panel Bin, Dashboard Storage, Driver And Passenger Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Manual 1st Row Windows
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

20

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$27,895.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: 5 Speed Automatic (W5 A580)	included
Quick Order Package 24 S	\$3,200
Wheels: 17" X 7.5" Aluminum	included
Tires: P255/75 R17 Owl On/Off Road	included
Granite Crystal Metallic Clearcoat	\$0
Black, Cloth Seats W/Adjustable Head Restraints	\$0
Connectivity Group	\$770
Dual Top Group	\$2,185
Premium Black Sunrider Soft Top	included
Black 3 Piece Hard Top	included
Sirius Satellite Radio	\$220
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,095
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$35,365.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



alphacars.com
 978-263-9000

Year: 2018
 Make: Jeep
 Model: Wrangler Unlimited Sahara 4x4
 VIN: 1C4HJXEG8JW113742

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 8-spd
 Exterior: Bright White Clearcoat
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 3.45 Rear Axle Ratio
- Heavy Duty Suspension w/Gas Shocks
- GVWR: 5,500 lbs
- 50 State Emissions
- Manual Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 650CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 220 Amp Alternator
- Towing w/Trailer Sway Control
- 3 Skid Plates
- 880# Maximum Payload
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electro-Hydraulic Power Assist Steering
- 21.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Leading Link Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Trailing Arm Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Aluminum Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Mounted Outside Rear
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Wheel Well Trim and Body-Colored Fender Flares
- Black Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Removable Rear Window
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Galvanized Steel/Aluminum/Magnesium Panels
- Body-Colored Grille w/Chrome Accents
- Conventional Rear Cargo Access
- Non-Lock Fuel Cap w/o Discriminator

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Aux Audio Input Jack, Steering Wheel Controls, Radio Data System and Uconnect External Memory Control
- 8 Speakers
- Streaming Audio
- Fixed Antenna
- GPS Antenna Input
- Integrated Center Stack Radio
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Cloth Rear Seat

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Illuminated Front Cupholder
- Illuminated Rear Cupholder
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Compass
- Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Voice Activated Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Console Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Locking Storage, 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim, Carpet And Rubber Mat
- Locking Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- USB Host Flip
- Instrument Panel Bin, Dashboard Storage, Driver And Passenger Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Foldable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Sentry Key Engine Immobilizer
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- ParkView Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$38,295.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Transmission: 8 Speed Automatic (850 Re)	\$2,000
Quick Order Package 24 G	\$0
Wheels: 18" X 7.5" Tech Gray Polished Face	\$895
Bright White Clearcoat	\$0
Black, Leather Trimmed Seats W/Sahara Logo	\$1,495
Cold Weather Group	\$895
Electronic Infotainment System Group	\$1,495
Led Lighting Group	\$895
Trailer Tow & HD Electrical Group	\$795
Engine Block Heater	\$95
Body Color 3 Piece Hard Top	\$2,095
Front License Plate Bracket	\$0
Radio: Uconnect 4 C Nav W/8.4" Display	included
Alpine Premium Audio System	included
Apple Carplay	\$0
Siriusxm Satellite Radio	\$0
Remote Start System	\$495
Manufacturer's Statement Of Origin	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,495
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$50,945.00



Year: 2011
 Make: Kia
 Model: Forte 5-Door 5dr HB Auto EX
 VIN: KNAFU5A21B5369092

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Ebony Black
 Interior: Stone

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L DOHC MPI CVVT I4 engine
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension -inc: coil springs, monotube shock absorbers, stabilizer bar
- Torsion beam rear suspension -inc: coil springs, monotube shock absorbers
- Speed-sensitive pwr rack & pinion steering
- Ventilated front/solid rear disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 16" alloy wheels
- 205/55R16 tires
- Clear-lens headlamps w/auto-off
- Body-color pwr mirrors w/integrated turn signals
- Solar tinted glass w/shade band
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: aux input jack, USB port, (6) speakers
- SIRIUS satellite radio -inc: 3-month trial subscription
- Bluetooth hands-free link -inc: steering wheel controls

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats w/active headrests -inc: 6-way manual driver seat
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat w/adjustable headrests
- Cloth seat trim
- Center console w/armrest & storage
- Front/rear carpeted floor mats
- Tilt steering wheel w/audio & cruise control
- EcoMinder fuel efficiency monitor
- Tachometer
- Trip computer
- Pwr windows
- Pwr door locks
- Remote keyless entry

- Cruise control
- Fuel door, hood & trunk remote release
- Air conditioning
- Rear window defroster
- Front/rear cup holders
- Dual 12V pwr outlets
- Front door map pockets w/bottle holders
- Dual illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Sunglass holder
- Map lights
- Cargo area light
- Fold-flat cargo floor w/storage

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic brake force distribution
- Electronic stability control w/traction control
- Front/rear crumple zones
- 5-MPH bumpers
- Side impact door beams
- Dual front advanced airbags -inc: passenger switch
- Front seat side mounted airbags
- Full-length side curtain airbags
- 3-point height-adjustable front seat belts w/pretensioners & force limiters
- 3-point rear seat belts
- Lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH)
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Internal emergency trunk release
- Rear child safety door locks

CITY MPG

26



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,895.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Ebony Black	\$0
Stone, Seat Trim	\$0
Electrochromic Mirror W/Compass & Homelink	\$250
Original Shipping Charge	\$695
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,840.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2012
 Make: Kia
 Model: Forte 4dr Sdn Auto EX
 VIN: KNAFU4A29C5494885

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Snow White Pearl
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 2.0L CVVT DOHC MPFI 16-valve I4 engine
- 6-speed electronically-controlled automatic transmission w/OD
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension -inc: coil springs, monotube shock absorbers, stabilizer bar
- Torsion beam rear suspension -inc: coil springs, monotube shock absorbers
- Ventilated front/solid rear pwr disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 15" x 5.5" steel wheels w/full covers
- 195/65R15 tires
- Body-color bumpers -inc: rear garnish
- Body-color pwr mirrors w/integrated turn signals
- Tinted windshield glass w/shade band
- Solar control door glass
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: aux input jack, USB port, (6) speakers w/door-mounted tweeters
- Bluetooth hands-free link -inc: steering wheel controls
- Roof-mounted antenna

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats w/active headrests -inc: 6-way manual driver seat
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat w/adjustable headrests -inc: center armrest
- Cloth seat trim
- Center console w/armrest & storage
- Carpeted floor mats
- EcoMinder fuel efficiency monitor
- Tachometer
- Digital clock
- Outside temp display
- Trip computer

- Pwr windows
- Fuel door, hood & trunk remote release
- Rear window defroster
- (2) front/(2) rear cup holders -inc: front chrome ring trim
- Dual 12V pwr outlets
- Front door map pockets w/bottle holders
- Dual illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Sunglass holder
- Dual map lights
- Cargo area light

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock braking system (ABS)
- Brake assist system (BAS)
- Electronic brake force distribution (EBD)
- Electronic stability control (ESC) w/traction control system (TCS)
- Front/rear crumple zones
- 5-MPH bumpers
- Side impact door beams
- Dual front advanced airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Front seat side mounted airbags
- Full-length side curtain airbags
- 3-point height-adjustable front seat belts w/pre-tensioners & force limiters
- 3-point rear seat belts for all positions
- Lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH)
- Tire pressure monitoring system (TPMS)
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Internal emergency trunk release
- Rear child safety door locks

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

26

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	7\$, 300.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Snow White Pearl	\$0
Black, Seat Trim	\$0
Cargo Net	\$50
Cargo Tray	\$75
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,475.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2010
 Make: Kia
 Model: Forte Koup 2dr Cpe Auto SX
 VIN: KNAFW6A39A5206441

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Copperhead Metallic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC MPI CVVT I4 engine
- 5-speed automatic transmission w/Sportmatic & OD
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front sport suspension w/coil springs
- Torsion beam rear sport suspension w/coil springs
- Front stabilizer bar
- Speed-sensitive pwr rack & pinion steering
- Ventilated front/solid rear disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 7" 10-spoke alloy wheels
- P215/45R17 tires
- Gloss black front fascia accents
- Clear-lens headlamps w/auto off feature
- Front fog lamps
- Body-color pwr heated mirrors w/turn signal indicator
- Tinted glass w/windshield sunshade band
- Variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: USB port, auxiliary input jack, (6) illuminated speakers w/door mounted tweeters
- SIRIUS satellite radio w/(3) month free subscription *N/A in AK or HI*
- Bluetooth hands-free link w/steering wheel mounted controls
- Roof-mounted antenna

INTERIOR

- 60/40 split-folding rear seat -inc: adjustable headrests, center armrest
- Center console w/armrest & storage
- Carpeted floor mats
- Metal pedals
- Leather-wrapped tilt/telescopic steering wheel w/cruise control
- Supervision gauge cluster w/trip computer
- EcoMinder fuel efficiency monitor

- Tachometer
- Pwr windows
- Internal fuel door, hood, trunk release
- Air conditioning
- Rear window defroster
- Dual front/rear cupholders
- Dual 12-volt pwr outlets
- Metal-finish interior accents
- Front door map pockets w/bottle holder
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Lighting -inc: dual map, cargo
- Leather-wrapped shift knob

SAFETY

- 4-wheels anti-lock brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic brake force distribution
- Electronic stability control w/traction control
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Side-impact door beams
- Dual front advanced airbags -inc: passenger switch
- Front seat side mounted airbags
- Full-length side curtain airbags
- 3-point height-adjustable front seat belts w/pretensioners & force limiters
- 3-point rear seat belts
- Lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH)
- Rear child safety door locks
- Internal emergency trunk release
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Impact absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

31

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,695.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Copperhead Metallic	\$0
Black, Seat Trim	\$0
PWR Tilt Sunroof	\$600
Original Shipping Charge	\$695
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,990.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**South Shore Auto
 Brokers & Sales**
 www.nicecarsoflongisland.com
 516-808-6300

Year: 2011
 Make: Kia
 Model: Forte Ko4S2dr n Se MaAuE
 XV : KI NFU9N2C58gyg829

uATIe: g n sliAder uATIe
 mraA6- i66ioA: 9p/ Seed Mxm
 uVterior: uV6As 5lahk
 Aterior: 5 lahk

MECHANICAL

P2Bc . . Ln MDVh XXm y eATIe
 P9pSeed - aA4al traA6- i66ioA
 PFroAt OHeel drive
 PAdeSeAdeAt MahDHer6oA 6tr4t froAt
 646SeA6ioA pAh: hoil 6SriAT6, - oAot4V6
 6Hbhk aV6orV6r6
 Pmor6ioA V6a- rear 646SeA6ioA pAh: hoil
 6SriAT6, - oAot4V6 6Hbhk aV6orV6r6
 PFroAt 6taWiber V6r
 P/ Seedp6eA6itive SOR rahk z SiAioA
 6teeriAT
 PXeAtilated froAt6olid rear di6h Wake6

EXTERIOR

P19" w9" 8p6Soke allos OHeel6
 PD208x8R19 tire6
 Pn learp6A6 Headla- S6 Oxa4topff
 P5odspholor SOR - irror6 OX4rA 6iTAal
 iAdiator
 P/ olar tiAted Tla66 OX6Hade V6Ad
 PXariaV6 iAter- itteAt OiAd6Held OiSer6
 P5odspholor door HaAdle6

ENTERTAINMENT

PNMxFM 6tereo Oxn . xMDy Slaser pAh:
 U/ 5 Sort, a4wiliars iAS4t ahk, j9(
 6Seaker6 Oxdoor - o4Ated iOeeter6
 P/ VRVJ/ 6atellite radio
 P5I4etoothH HaAd6pfree liAk OX6teeriAT
 OHeel - o4Ated hoAtrol6
 PRoofp o4Ated aAteAAa

INTERIOR

P/ Sort froAt Wkhket 6eat6 pAh: 9pOas
 ad36taV6 driver 6eat, ahtive Headre6t6
 P90xg0 6SlitfoldiAT rear 6eat pAh:
 ad36taV6 Headre6t6
 Pn lotH 6eat tri-
 Pn eAter hoA6ole Oxar- re6t z 6toraTe
 Pn arSeted floor - at6
 Prahb- eter
 PDOr OiAdoO6


PRre- ote relea6e pAh: f4el door, Hood,
 tr4Ak
 PNir hoAditioAiAT
 PRear OiAdoO defro6ter
 P. 4al froAtrear h4SHolder6
 P. 4al 12polt SOR o4tlet6
 PFroAt door - aS Sohket6 OXWttle Holder
 P. verHead 64ATla66 Hlder
 P. 4al vi6or vaAits - irror6
 PciTHiAT pAh: d4al - aS la- S6, harTo

SAFETY

PgpOHeel aAtipohk Wake6 jN5/ (
 P5rake a66i6t
 Pu lehtroAih Wake forhe di6triWtioA ju 5 . (
 Pu lehtroAih 6taWits hoAtrol ju / n (
 OXtrahtioA hoAtrol
 P8pMDL V6- Ser6
 P/ idep- Saht door V6a- 6
 PFroAtrear hr4- Sle boAe6
 P. 4al froAt advaAhed airV6T6 pAh:
 Sa66eATer 6OithH
 PFroAt 6eat Gide - o4Ated airV6T6
 PFroAtrear 6ide h4rtaiA airV6T6
 PypSoiAt HeiTHpad36taV6 froAt 6eat V6lt6
 OXsreteA6ioAer6 z forhe li- iter6
 PypSoiAt rear 6eat V6lt6
 PcoOer aAhHbr6 z tetHer6 for hHldreA
 jcNm L (
 PRear hHld 6afets door lohk6
 PWaterAal e- erTeAhs tr4Ak relea6e
 Pmire Sre664re - oAitorAT 6s6te-
 PV Saht aV6orWAT 6teeriAT hol4- A

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

33

Ntt4al - ileaTe Oil vars OitH oSioA6, drivAT hoAditioA6, drivAT HaV6 aAd veHtie6 hoAditioA

New

MSRP	\$16,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
uV6As 5lahk	&0
5 lahk, / eat mi-	&0
' Heel cohk6	&g8
u lehtrohHro- ih Mirror ' xn o- Sa66 z L o- eliAk	&280
. riTiAal / HSSiAT n HarTe	&9\$8
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	&17,\$C8B0

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Mullinax Ford of Central
 Florida (Apopka)**

Year: 2011
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn 2.4L Auto LX
 VIN: KNAGM4A72B5093192

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Bright Silver
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC GDI 16-valve I4 engine - inc: variable intake system, aluminum block & head
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, Sportmatic shifter, H-Matic -inc: Auto Shift lock system, ECO switch
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver w/interior lamp auto-cut
- Towing/lashing hook
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs
- High performance shock absorbers
- Front/rear stabilizer bars
- Electric pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr vented front & solid rear disc brakes
- Dual exhaust w/chrome tips -inc: semi-active muffler

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" silver painted alloy wheels
- P205/60R16 tires
- Temporary spare tire w/steel wheel
- Insulated hood w/gas lifters
- Body-colored bumpers
- Body-colored side moldings
- Bright chrome door molding
- Black-gloss front side fender garnish w/chrome accents
- Chrome grille
- Clear-lens halogen headlights w/black bezel -inc: escort lighting, projection high-beams
- Rear LED high-mounted stop lamp
- Dual body-color folding pwr mirrors w/integrated LED turn signals
- Solar glass windshield w/sunband
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/jet washers
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats -inc: driver pwr lumbar, driver height adjustment, dual adjustable active headrests
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat w/adjustable outboard headrests
- Double rachel cloth seating surfaces - inc: cloth door trim insert
- Front center console -inc: armrest, storage, cupholder
- Rear center armrest w/cupholder
- Plastic door sill scuff plates

- Trip computer -inc: distance to empty, average speed, drive time, ambient temp, average fuel economy, instant fuel economy
- Warning features -inc: parking brake on, key-operated chime, seatbelt reminder, low washer fluid
- Pwr windows -inc: driver one-touch auto down
- Electronic fuel lid release
- Steering wheel-mounted auto cruise control
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Cooling glove box
- (2) aux pwr outlets
- Door map pockets -inc: integrated front/rear in-door bottle holders
- Black door handles
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated covered vanity mirrors, extensions
- Dual front assist handles
- Time-delay interior dome lamp
- Front reading lamps -inc: front pin lamp
- Front seatback storage pockets
- Rear coat hook
- Illuminated trunk

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Hill start assist control (HAC)
- 5-mph bumpers
- Side-impact door beams
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Dual advanced front airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point front seat belts -inc: pretensioners, force limiters, height-adjustable anchors, emergency locking retractors
- 3-point rear seat belts w/emergency locking retractors
- Rear child safety door locks
- ISOFIX child seat anchors
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Dual-note horn
- Emergency trunk release handle
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Impact-triggered auto door unlocking

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$20,700.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Bright Silver	\$0
Gray, Seat Trim	\$0
Rear Bumper Applique	\$70
Auto Dimming Rearview Mirror	\$350
• HomeLink garage door opener & compass	
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$95
Wheel Locks	\$50
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$22,015.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford of Central Florida (Apopka)

Year: 2012
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn 2.4L Auto EX
 VIN: 5XXGN4A75CG068221

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Titanium Metallic
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC GDI 16-valve I4 engine -inc: variable intake system, aluminum block & head
- Push button start
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, Sportmatic shifter, H-Matic -inc: Auto Shift lock system, ECO switch
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver w/interior lamp auto-cut
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs
- Dual-flow shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Electric motor-driven pwr steering
- Pwr vented front & solid rear disc brakes
- Dual exhaust w/chrome tips -inc: semi-active muffler
- Tire mobility kit

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" silver painted alloy wheels
- P215/55R17 tires
- Insulated hood w/gas lifters
- Body-colored bumpers
- Body-colored side moldings
- Bright chrome door molding
- Black-gloss front side fender garnish w/chrome accents
- Chrome grille
- Clear-lens halogen automatic headlights w/black bezel -inc: escort lighting, projection high-beams
- Rear LED high-mounted stop lamp
- Front fog lights
- Body-color folding heated pwr mirrors w/integrated LED turn signals
- Solar glass windshield w/sunband
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/jet washers
- Body-color door handles w/chrome trim

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player & satellite radio
- Aux input jack w/USB port
- Bluetooth hands-free calling
- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats -inc: 8-way pwr driver seat, driver pwr lumbar, driver height adjustment
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat w/adjustable outboard headrests
- Leather seating surfaces -inc: artificial leather door trim insert & armrest, artificial leather center console trim
- Front center console -inc: armrest, storage, cupholder
- Rear center armrest w/cupholder
- Plastic door sill scuff plates
- Tilt/telescopic 3-spoke leather-wrapped steering wheel w/audio controls -inc: wheel-mounted Bluetooth controls w/voice activation button, ECO switch, illuminated ignition
- Trip computer -inc: distance to empty, average speed, drive time, ambient temp, average fuel economy, instant fuel economy
- Warning features -inc: parking brake on, key-operated chime, seatbelt reminder, low washer fluid

- Pwr windows -inc: driver/front passenger one-touch auto up/down
- Pwr door locks -inc: front central locking, auto unlock, driver two-turn unlock, drill-protected lock
- SmartKey keyless entry w/panic & alarm function, security indicator -inc: immobilizer, escort light function
- Electronic fuel lid release
- Carpeted floor mats
- Steering wheel-mounted auto cruise control
- Dual-zone auto climate control w/rear vents, filter
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Cooling glove box -inc: lighting
- aux pwr outlets
- Door map pockets -inc: integrated front/rear in-door bottle holders, dual front mood lamps
- Chrome accent door handles
- Wood trim door & center console accents -inc: metallic gear shift indicator bezel
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror -inc: HomeLink garage door opener & compass
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated covered vanity mirrors, extensions
- Dual front assist handles
- Time-delay interior dome lamp -inc: auto interior light control
- Front/rear reading lamps -inc: front pin lamp
- Leather-wrapped shift knob w/wood accent
- Front seatback storage pockets
- Rear coat hook
- Illuminated trunk w/hinge cover

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Electronic stability control w/traction control system
- Hill start assist control
- Vehicle stability management
- 5-mph bumpers
- Side-impact door beams
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Dual advanced front airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point front seat belts -inc: pretensioners, force limiters, height-adjustable anchors, emergency locking retractors
- 3-point rear seat belts w/emergency locking retractors
- Rear child safety door locks
- Lower anchors & tethers for children
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Dual-note horn
- Emergency trunk release handle
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Impact-triggered auto door unlocking

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

35

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,200.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Titanium Metallic	\$0
Gray, Seat Trim	\$0
Premium PKG	\$2,950
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · panoramic sunroof w/gloss-black B-pillar & door frame · 4-way pwr front passenger seat · driver seat memory · heated/cooled front seats · heated rear seats · heated steering wheel · Infinity audio system w/(7) speakers · subwoofer · UVO infotainment system w/HD Radio & rearview camera · pwr folding mirrors 	
Rear Bumper Applique	\$70
Door Sill Applique	\$75
Cargo Net	\$50
Cargo Tray	\$75
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,170.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



obrienteamil.com

Year: 2013
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: 5XXGN4A71DG188356

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Ebony Black
 Interior: Beige

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC GDI 16-valve I4 engine -inc: variable intake system, aluminum block & head
- Push button start
- Active ECO system
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, Sportmatic shifter, H-Matic -inc: auto shift lock system, key interlock system
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver w/interior lamp auto-cut
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs -inc: aluminum carrier, aluminum lower arms
- Dual-flow shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Electric motor-driven pwr steering
- Pwr vented front & solid rear disc brakes
- Dual exhaust w/chrome tips -inc: semi-active muffler
- Tire mobility kit

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 6.5" silver painted alloy wheels
- P215/55R17 tires
- Insulated hood w/gas lifters
- Body-colored bumpers
- Body-colored side moldings
- Bright chrome door molding
- Black-gloss front side fender garnish w/chrome accents
- Chrome grille
- Clear-lens halogen automatic headlights w/black bezel -inc: escort lighting, projection high-beams
- Rear LED high-mounted stop lamp
- Front fog lights
- Solar glass windshield w/sunband
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/jet washers
- Body-color door handles w/chrome trim

ENTERTAINMENT

- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats -inc: 8-way pwr driver seat, driver pwr lumbar, driver height adjustment, active adjustable sliding headrests
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat w/adjustable outboard headrests
- Leather seating surfaces -inc: artificial leather door trim insert & armrest, artificial leather center console trim, accent stitching
- Front center console -inc: armrest, storage, cupholder
- Rear center armrest w/cupholder
- Plastic door sill scuff plates
- Trip computer -inc: distance to empty, average speed, drive time, ambient temp, average fuel economy, instant fuel economy
- Warning features -inc: parking brake on, key-operated chime, driver seatbelt reminder, low washer fluid

- Pwr windows -inc: driver/front passenger one-touch auto up/down
- Electronic fuel lid release
- Steering wheel-mounted auto cruise control
- Dual-zone auto climate control w/rear vents, filter
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Cooling glove box -inc: lighting
- aux pwr outlets
- Door map pockets -inc: integrated front/rear in-door bottle holders, dual front mood lamps
- Chrome accent door handles
- Artificial leather door upper trim
- Wood trim door & center console accents -inc: stainless steel gear shift indicator bezel
- Auto-dimming rearview mirror -inc: HomeLink garage door opener & compass
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated covered vanity mirrors, extensions
- Dual front assist handles
- Time-delay interior dome lamp -inc: auto interior light control
- Front/rear reading lamps -inc: front pin lamp
- Leather-wrapped shift knob w/wood accent
- Front seatback storage pockets
- Rear coat hook
- Illuminated trunk w/hinge cover

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Hill start assist control
- 5-mph bumpers
- Side-impact door beams
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Dual advanced front airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point front seat belts -inc: pretensioners, force limiters, height-adjustable anchors, emergency locking retractors
- 3-point rear seat belts w/emergency locking retractors
- Rear child safety door locks
- Lower anchors & tethers for children
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Dual-note horn
- Emergency trunk release handle
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Impact-triggered auto door unlocking

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

35

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,650.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Ebony Black	\$0
Beige, Seat Trim	\$0
Wheel Locks	\$50
Door Sill Applique	\$80
Ipod Cable	\$29
Cargo Mat	\$95
Cargo Net	\$50
Cargo Tray	\$80
Original Shipping Charge	\$800
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,834.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2014
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: 5XXGN4A7XEG316935

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Titanium Silver
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 70-Amp/Hr 760CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock and Speed Compensated Volume Control
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 10-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar
- Driver Seat
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather/Piano Black Steering Wheel

- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- HomeLink Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Leatherette Instrument Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Door Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Simulated Wood Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Refrigerated/Cooled Box Located In The Glovebox, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

23



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$23,950.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Titanium Silver	\$0
Gray, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Ex Premium Package	\$3,300
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heated & Ventilated Front Seats • HD Radio • Infinity Premium Sound System w/8 Speakers subwoofer and external amplifier • Heated Steering Wheel • Driver Seat Memory • Radio: UVO eService • AM/FM/CD/MP3 player • Infinity sound system w/8 speakers • Rear Camera Display • Power Folding Outside Mirrors • Heated Rear Outboard Seats • 4-Way Power Front Passenger Seat • Panoramic Sunroof • Gloss Black B-Pillar 	
Wheel Locks	\$55
Paint Protection Film Package	\$255
Cargo Net	\$50
Original Shipping Charge	\$800
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$28,410.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2015
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: 5XXGN4A72FG361899

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Ebony Black
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 70-Amp/Hr 760CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock and Speed Compensated Volume Control
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 10-way power-adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar
- Driver Seat
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column

- Leather/Piano Black Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Interior Trim -inc: Leatherette Instrument Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Door Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Simulated Wood Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Refrigerated/Cooled Box Located In The Glovebox, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

20



HIGHWAY MPG

30

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$24,340.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Ebony Black	\$0
Gray, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Wheel Locks	\$55
Cargo Mat	\$95
Cargo Net	\$50
Cargo Tray	\$80
Original Shipping Charge	\$825
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$25,445.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2016
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: 5XXGU4L33GG118858

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Horizon Blue
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 800CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Metal-Look Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Window Grid Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- 6-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Heated Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder

- Remote Releases -inc: Proximity Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood Door Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Console Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim, Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim and Carpet Mat
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

35



HIGHWAY MPG

38

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,140.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Horizon Blue	\$0
Black, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Cargo Mat	\$95
Original Shipping Charge	\$850
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,085.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2017
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima EX Auto
 VIN: 5XXGU4L33HG159847

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Sparkling Silver
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 800CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Metal-Look Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD/MP3/SiriusXM Audio System -inc: 6 speakers, 7" touch-screen, rear camera display, 2 USB chargers, UVO eServices, Android Auto, Apple CarPlay and Bluetooth wireless technology
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Aux Audio Input Jack, Steering Wheel Controls, Voice Activation, Radio Data System and UVO External Memory Control
- Streaming Audio
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: 12-Way Power Adjustable Driver's Seat, 4-way power adjustable lumbar, Integrated Memory System, 2-position memory for driver seat and outside mirrors
- Front Seats w/Power 4-Way Driver Lumbar
- 12-Way Power Driver Seat -inc: Power Recline, Height Adjustment, Fore/Aft Movement, Cushion Tilt and Power 4-Way Lumbar Support
- 6-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Height Adjustment and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Leather Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Heated Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button

- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Remote Releases -Inc: Smart Trunk Proximity Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood Door Panel Insert, Simulated Wood Console Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leather Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination, Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Memory Settings -inc: Driver Seat and Door Mirrors
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver And Passenger 1-Touch Up/Down
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,440.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Sparkling Silver	\$0
Black, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Premium Package	\$3,600
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heated & Ventilated Front Seats • Blind Spot Detection • LED Overhead Front & Rear Reading Lamps • Radio: AM/FM/MP3/SiriusXM • 6 speakers • 8" touch-screen • rear camera display • 2 USB chargers • UVO eServices • Premium Navigation • Android Auto • Apple CarPlay and Bluetooth wireless technology • Rear Parking Assist System • Auto Dimming Rear View Mirror • Rear Cross Traffic Alert • 10-Way Power Front Passenger Seat • 2-way power adjustable lumbar • Panoramic Sunroof 	
Cargo Net	\$50
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$29,985.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2018
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima LX Auto
 VIN: 5XXGT4L35JG198335

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Sparkling Silver
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 800CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Bumper Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Streaming Audio
- Window Grid Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning

- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Emergency Sos
- Rear Parking Sensors
- Blind Spot Sensor
- and Rear Cross Traffic Alert
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

38



HIGHWAY MPG

43

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$22,600.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Sparkling Silver	\$0
Black, Cloth Seat Trim	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · CleanTex anti-soiling 	
Carpeted Floor Mats	\$135
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$23,630.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



mike murphy



mikemurphyford.com
 309-263-2311

Year: 2019
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima LX Auto
 VIN: 5XXGT4L35KG281233

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Horizon Blue
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 2.88 Axle Ratio
- 80-Amp/Hr 800CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 150 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 18.5 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Bumper Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Gray Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Auto High-Beam Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Streaming Audio
- Fixed Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front
- Turn-By-Turn Navigation Directions

INTERIOR

- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts

- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Smart Device Integration
- Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Redundant Digital Speedometer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Parking Distance Warning Rear Parking Sensors
- Blind-Spot Collision Warning Blind Spot Sensor
- Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist and Rear Cross-Traffic Collision Warning
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Rear View Monitor w/Parking Guidance Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

24



HIGHWAY MPG

33

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$22,990.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Horizon Blue	\$0
Black, Cloth Seat Trim	\$0
Carpet Floor Mats	\$130
Original Shipping Charge	\$925
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$24,045.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.garyromekia.com/
 860-253-4753

2020 OPTIMA SX TURBO
 MODEL/OPT.CODE: 55282 / 010
 EXTERIOR COLOR: PASSION RED
 INTERIOR COLOR: BLACK
 VEHICLE ID NUMBER: 5XXG4W4L2XLG402712
 PORT OF ENTRY: WEST POINT

Sold To: TX114
 Gay Family Kia
 3001 GULF FREEWAY
 DICKINSON TX 77539

Ship To: TX114

STANDARD FEATURES

MECHANICAL
 Drive Mode Select (DMS)
KIA DRIVEWISE DRIVER-ASSIST TECHNOLOGY
 Blind-Spot Collision Warning (BCW)
 Rear Cross-Traffic Collision Warning (RCCW)
 Parking Distance Warning-Reverse (PDW-R)
 Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist-Ped (FCA-Ped)
 Smart Cruise Control w/ Stop & Go (SCC w/ S&G)
 Lane Departure Warning (LDW)
 Lane Keeping Assist-Line (LKA-L)
 Driver Attention Warning (DAW)
 High Beam Assist (HBA)

SAFETY
 Dual Front Advanced Airbags & Driver's Knee Airbag
 Dual Front Seat-Mounted Side & Full-Length Curtain Airbags
 Traction Control System & Anti-Lock Brakes (ABS)

INTERIOR, COMFORT & CONVENIENCE
 8" Touchscreen w/ Android Auto & Apple CarPlay
 Rear Camera with Dynamic Guidelines
 Power Adj. Driver's Seat w/ Power Lumbar Support
 Leather Seat Trim w/ Heated Front Seats
 Leather-Wrapped Steering Wheel and Shift Knob
 Push Button Start with Smart Key
 Dual Zone - Full Automatic Temperature Control
 Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
 Acoustic Front Door Windows w/ Auto Up/Down
 Bluetooth® Wireless Technology
 Wireless Phone Charger / 2 Front USB / 2 Rear USB
 UVO link (1 yr trial; see owners.kia.com for details)
 SIRIUSXM® w/free 3-mo. subscription*
 Overhead Maplights & Sunglass Holder
 Electronic Parking Brake with Auto Hold

EXTERIOR
 Power, Heated Outside Mirrors w/ LED Turn Signal Indicators
 Single Projection Headlights w/ LED DRL
 Projector Front Fog Lamps / LED Tail Lights
 17" Alloy Wheels

WARRANTY
 10 Year/100,000 Mile Limited Powertrain Warranty
 5 Year/60,000 Mile Limited Basic Warranty
 5 Year/60,000 Mile Roadside Assistance
 *Ask dealer for details

TOTAL ADDITIONAL WEIGHT: 17.7

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE

COMPARE SX FEATURES
 Added to/in place of standard EX features
 - 2.0L Turbocharged GDI Engine
 - 6-Speed Automatic Transmission
 - Navigation System w/ 8" Touchscreen
 - Panoramic Sunroof w/ Power Sunshade
 - Harmon/Kardon® Premium Audio w/ Clarif-Fi#
 - Heated Steering Wheel w/ Paddle Shifters
 - Ventilated Front Seats
 - Power Front Passenger's Seat w/ Lumbar Support
 - Memory Driver Seat, Stg Whit& Outer Mirrors
 - Ambient Lighting
 - LED Overhead Interior Lighting
 - Dual-Projection LED Headlights
 - Low Beam Assist-Dynamic (LBA-D)
 - LED Fog Lights
 - Gloss Black Rear Spoiler/Outside Mirrors
 - Sport Side Sills with Gloss Black Accent Trim
 - Compact Spare Tire
 - 18" Alloy Wheels

INCLUDED

ADDITIONAL INSTALLED EQUIPMENT:
 (In addition to or in place of standard features)
 Passion Red Paint
 Cargo Mat
 Carpeted Floor Mats
 Cargo Net
 Puddle Lights

MSRP INCLUDING OPTIONS

INLAND FREIGHT AND HANDLING

\$495.00
 \$135.00
 \$50.00
 \$275.00

TOTAL MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE

\$ 33,965.00



EPA Fuel Economy and Environment

Fuel Economy
24 MPG
 MIDSIZE CARS range from 12 to 136 MPG. The best vehicle rates 136 MPG.
21 city
30 highway
 4.2 gallons per 100 miles

Annual fuel cost
\$1,700

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)
5

Smog Rating (tailpipe only)
5

This vehicle emits 371 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fueleconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 27 MPG and costs \$7,500 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$2.70 per gallon. MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fueleconomy.gov
 Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
 Based on the combined rating of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal	Driver	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Crash	Passenger	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Side	Front seat	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Crash	Rear seat	★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Rollover		★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Star ratings based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.


Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
 Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

Manufacturer's suggested retail price includes Manufacturer's recommended pre-delivery service. License and title fees, state and local taxes and other dealer installed options and accessories are not included in the manufacturer's suggested retail price.



You Spend
\$1,000
 more in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.



PARTS CONTENT INFORMATION
 FOR VEHICLES IN THIS COUNTRY:
 LINE U.S./CANADIAN PARTS CONTENT: 50 %

MAJOR SOURCES OF FOREIGN PARTS:
 KOREA: 50%

NOTE: PARTS CONTENT DOES NOT INCLUDE FINAL ASSEMBLY DISTRIBUTION, OR OTHER NON-PARTS COSTS.

FOR THIS VEHICLE FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT:
 WEST POINT, GA, USA
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN ENGINE:
 USA
TRANSMISSION:
 USA

Year: 2012
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima Hybrid EX
 VIN: KNAGM4AD6C5036405

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Colors: Black / Black w/Cloth Seat Trim or Leather Seat Trim or Sp
 Mileage: 54,324 Stock #: 20344

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC MPI 16-valve I4 hybrid PZEV engine -inc: continuously variable valve timing , permanent-magnet synchronous electric motor, lithium polymer hybrid battery, virtual engine sound system, aluminum block & head
- Push button start
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, H-Matic -inc: Auto Shift lock system, ECO switch
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver w/interior lamp auto-cut
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs
- High performance shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Electric motor-driven pwr steering
- Pwr vented front & solid rear disc brakes
- Chrome exhaust tip -inc: semi-active muffler
- Tire mobility kit

- Warning features -inc: parking brake on, key-operated chime, seatbelt reminder, low washer fluid
- Pwr windows -inc: driver/front passenger one-touch auto up/down
- Electronic fuel lid release
- Steering wheel-mounted auto cruise control
- Dual-zone auto climate control w/rear vents, filter
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Cooling glove box -inc: lighting
- aux pwr outlets
- Door map pockets -inc: integrated front/rear in-door bottle holders
- Chrome accent door handles
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated covered vanity mirrors, extensions
- Dual front assist handles
- Time-delay interior dome lamp
- Front/rear reading lamps
- Leather-wrapped shift knob w/metallic paint accent
- Front seatback storage pockets
- Rear coat hook
- Illuminated trunk w/hinge cover

EXTERIOR

- Insulated hood w/gas lifters
- Body-colored bumpers
- Rear lip spoiler
- Body-colored side moldings -inc: unique design w/accent
- Bright chrome door molding
- Black-gloss front side fender garnish w/chrome accents
- Unique grille design
- Clear-lens halogen automatic headlights -inc: unique design, projection high-beams, escort lighting
- Rear LED high-mounted stop lamp
- LED rear combination lamp
- Front fog lights
- Solar glass windshield w/sunband
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/jet washers -inc: aero covers
- Body-color door handles w/chrome trim

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: active hydraulic boost braking
- Hill start assist control
- 5-mph bumpers
- Side-impact door beams
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Dual advanced front airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point front seat belts -inc: pretensioners, force limiters, height-adjustable anchors, emergency locking retractors
- 3-point rear seat belts w/emergency locking retractors
- Rear child safety door locks
- Lower anchors & tethers for children
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Dual-note horn
- Emergency trunk release handle
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Impact-triggered auto door unlocking

ENTERTAINMENT

- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front bucket seats -inc: driver pwr lumbar, driver height adjustment, active headrests
- Fixed rear bench seat w/adjustable outboard headrests, pass-through
- Front center console -inc: armrest, storage, cupholder
- Rear center armrest w/cupholder
- Plastic door sill scuff plates
- Supervision instrument cluster -inc: gauges, clock, rheostat
- Trip computer -inc: color LCD display, distance to empty, average speed, drive time, ambient temp, average fuel economy, instant fuel economy

CITY MPG

34



HIGHWAY MPG

39

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,800.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Black, Seat Trim	\$0
Hybrid Convenience PKG	\$700
Hybrid Premium Technology PKG	\$5,350
Cloth/Leather Seating Surfaces	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$750
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,600.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



vinscars.com

Year: 2013
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima Hybrid 4dr Sdn 2.4L Auto LX
 VIN: KNAGM4AD4D5046321

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Satin Metal Metallic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 2.4L DOHC MPI 16-valve I4 hybrid PZEV engine -inc: continuously variable valve timing , permanent-magnet synchronous electric motor, lithium polymer hybrid battery, virtual engine sound system, aluminum block & head
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/OD, H-Matic -inc: Auto Shift lock system, ECO switch
- Front wheel drive
- Push button start
- Active ECO system
- Battery saver w/interior lamp auto-cut
- Towing & lashing hook *Only present on vehicles produced in Hwasung, South Korea*
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Independent multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs -inc: aluminum carrier, aluminum lower arms
- Dual-flow shock absorbers
- Front stabilizer bar
- Electric motor-driven pwr steering
- Pwr vented front & solid rear disc brakes
- Tire mobility kit

EXTERIOR

- 16" alloy wheels
- P205/65R16 tires
- Insulated hood w/gas lifters
- Body-colored bumpers -inc: lower sport styling
- Rear lip spoiler
- Body-colored side moldings
- Bright chrome door molding
- Black-gloss front side fender garnish w/chrome accents
- Gloss black/chrome grille
- Clear-lens halogen automatic headlights w/black bezel -inc: escort lighting, projection high-beams
- Rear LED high-mounted stop lamp
- LED rear combination lamp
- Front fog lights
- Body-color folding heated pwr mirrors w/integrated LED turn signals
- Solar glass windshield w/sunband
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/jet washers -inc: aero covers

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: satellite radio, speakers w/tweeters
- Aux input jack w/USB port
- Bluetooth hands-free calling
- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Chrome door handles
- Front bucket seats -inc: 6-way manual driver seat w/height adjustment, driver pwr lumbar, active adjustable sliding headrests
- Rear bench seat w/adjustable outboard headrests, ski pass-thru
- Double rachel cloth seating surfaces -inc: cloth door trim insert
- Clean Tex anti-stain fabric treatment
- Front center console -inc: armrest, storage, cupholder
- Rear center armrest w/cupholder
- Plastic door sill scuff plates

- Tilt/telescopic 3-spoke leather-wrapped steering wheel w/audio controls -inc: wheel-mounted Bluetooth controls w/voice activation button, ECO switch, illuminated ignition
- Trip computer -inc: distance to empty, average speed, drive time, ambient temp, average fuel economy, instant fuel economy
- Warning features -inc: parking brake on, key-operated chime, driver seatbelt reminder, low washer fluid
- Pwr windows -inc: driver/front passenger one-touch auto up/down
- Pwr door locks -inc: front central locking, auto unlock, driver two-turn unlock, drill-protected lock
- Remote keyless entry w/panic & alarm function, security indicator
- Electronic fuel lid release
- Carpeted floor mats
- Steering wheel-mounted auto cruise control
- Dual-zone auto climate control w/rear vents
- Rear window defroster w/timer
- Cooling glove box -inc: lighting
- aux pwr outlets
- Door map pockets -inc: integrated front/rear in-door bottle holders
- Artificial leather door upper trim
- Metallic paint door & center console accents
- Overhead sunglass holder
- Dual sunvisors w/illuminated covered vanity mirrors, extensions
- Dual front assist handles
- Time-delay interior dome lamp -inc: auto interior light control
- Front/rear reading lamps
- Front seatback storage pockets
- Rear coat hook
- Illuminated trunk w/hinge cover

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Electronic stability control w/traction control system
- Hill start assist control
- Vehicle stability management
- 5-mph bumpers
- Side-impact door beams
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Dual advanced front airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point front seat belts -inc: pretensioners, force limiters, height-adjustable anchors, emergency locking retractors
- 3-point rear seat belts w/emergency locking retractors
- Rear child safety door locks
- Lower anchors & tethers for children
- Tire pressure monitoring system
- Dual-note horn
- Emergency trunk release handle
- Impact-absorbing steering column
- Impact-triggered auto door unlocking

CITY MPG

36



HIGHWAY MPG

40

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,900.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Satin Metal Metallic	\$0
Black, Seat Trim	\$0
Hybrid Convenience PKG	\$700
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8-way pwr driver seat • UVO infotainment system • rearview camera 	
Rear Bumper Applique	\$75
Wheel Locks	\$55
Original Shipping Charge	\$800
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$27,530.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Edwards Nissan/Kia

Year: 2014
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima Hybrid 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: KNAGN4AD6E5072708

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed Automatic with Sportmatic
 Colors: Snow White Pearl / Beige
 Mileage: 51,838

Stock #: 37657

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Hold Control and Electric Parking Brake
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Metal-Look Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Metal-Look Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam High Intensity Low Beam Auto-Leveling Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Infinity AM/FM/CD/MP3 Audio System w/NAV -inc: SiriusXM, USB/auxiliary input jacks and Bluetooth wireless technology, Voice-Command Navigation w/SiriusXM Traffic, back-up camera, HD Radio, Infinity Premium Sound System w/8 Speakers, subwoofer, external amplifier and UVO eServices
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls, Voice Activation and Internal Memory
- 6 Speakers
- Window Grid Diversity Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front
- Siriusxm Traffic Real-Time Traffic Display

INTERIOR

- Heated & Ventilated Front Seats -inc: 8-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar support, driver seat memory and 4-way power front passenger seat
- Bucket Front Seats
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- Bench Front Facing Heated Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Traction Battery Level, Power/Regen, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Heated Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder

- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- HomeLink Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leather Gear Shift Knob
- Leather Seat Trim
- Interior Trim -inc: Leatherette Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Integrated Navigation System w/Voice Activation
- Smart Device Integration
- Refrigerated/Cooled Box Located In The Glovebox, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver And Passenger 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

35



HIGHWAY MPG

39

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Snow White Pearl	\$0
Beige, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$800
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,795.00

Used

PRICE **\$12,750.00**

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2015
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima Hybrid 4dr Sdn EX
 VIN: KNAGN4AD9F5082117

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Aurora Black Pearl
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Hold Control and Electric Parking Brake
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Metal-Look Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Metal-Look Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam High Intensity Low Beam Auto-Leveling Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- 6 Speakers
- Window Grid Diversity Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front
- Siriusxm Traffic Real-Time Traffic Display

INTERIOR

- Heated & Ventilated Front Seats -inc: 10-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar support, driver seat memory and 4-way power front passenger seat
- Bucket Front Seats

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Leatherette Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- Smart Device Integration
- Refrigerated/Cooled Box Located In The Glovebox, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

27

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,91. 0 .
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Aurora Black Pearl	\$295
Black, Leather Seat Trim	\$0
Cargo Net	\$50
Original Shipping Charge	\$825
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$33,320.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2016
 Make: Kia
 Model: Optima Hybrid 4dr Sdn
 VIN: KNAGM4AD9G5096054

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Aluminum Silver
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.32 Axle Ratio
- 54-Amp/Hr Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Lithium Polymer Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" Alloy
- Tires: P205/65R16
- Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Metal-Look Bumper Insert
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Metal-Look Bodyside Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim and Chrome Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps
- Perimeter/Approach Lights
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD/MP3 Audio System -inc: 6 speakers, Sirius satellite radio, USB/auxiliary input jacks and Bluetooth connectivity
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control and Steering Wheel Controls
- Window Grid Antenna
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: power driver lumbar support
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- Bench Front Facing Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Traction Battery Level, Power/Regen, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder

- Rear Cupholder
- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access and Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Leather Gear Shift Knob
- Cloth Seat Trim -inc: Clean Tex technology
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Features -inc: Spare Tire Mobility Kit
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Bin, Refrigerated/Cooled Box Located In The Glovebox, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver And Passenger 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Digital/Analog Display
- Manual w/Tilt Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Perimeter Alarm
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

36



HIGHWAY MPG

40

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,995.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Aluminum Silver	\$0
Black, Cloth Seat Trim	\$0
• Clean Tex technology	
Original Shipping Charge	\$850
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,845.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



IE Auto X - Colton

ieautox.com
 909-839-6779

Year: 2012
 Make: Kia
 Model: Sedona 4dr Wgn LX
 VIN: KNDMG4C78C6430695

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6-Speed A/T
 Exterior: Bright Silver
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 3.5L V6 engine
- 6-speed automatic Tiptronic transmission w/Sportmatic
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver
- MacPherson strut front suspension w/coil springs
- Multi-link rear suspension w/coil springs
- Pwr steering
- Front/rear disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- P225/70R16 tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Roof rails
- Body-color bumpers -inc: rear step plate
- Body-color side molding & sills
- Body-color rear garnish
- Body-color door glass outline w/black taping
- Projection-type headlights
- High-mounted LED stop lamp
- Body-color pwr mirrors -inc: integrated turn signals
- Heated tinted front windshield w/sunband -inc: tinted front door windows
- Rear privacy glass
- Variable intermittent front windshield wipers w/time adjuster
- Rear window intermittent wiper/washer
- Body-color door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- (6) speakers
- SIRIUS satellite radio
- Bluetooth w/voice recognition
- Roof-mounted antenna

INTERIOR

- 3rd row double-reclining 60/40 split-folding bench seat -inc: adjustable headrests, fold-in-the-floor capability
- Double raschel cloth seat trim
- Carpeted floor mats

- 4-spoke tilt steering wheel w/audio controls
- EcoMinder indicator
- Auto cruise control
- Front/rear manual air conditioning -inc: rear seat ducts, air filter
- Electric rear window defroster w/timer
- Lockable illuminated glove box
- Illuminated cigar lighter
- Color-keyed door handles
- Chrome door handles
- Overhead console -inc: sunglass case, conversation mirror
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Assist handles -inc: (1) front, (4) rear
- Cloth roof lining
- Lighting -inc: front courtesy, room lamps in all rows, cargo lamp
- 2nd row reading lamp
- Front seatback pocket
- Side-folding front tray
- (2) sets 3rd row coat hooks
- 3rd row rear shopping hook

SAFETY

- Anti-lock brakes (ABS) -inc: brake assist (BA), electronic brake force distribution (EBD)
- Electronic stability control (ESC) w/traction control (TC)
- 2.5-MPH impact bumpers
- Dual front advanced airbags -inc: passenger occupancy sensor
- Front seat side mounted airbags
- Front/rear side curtain airbags
- Back-up warning system
- 1st & 2nd row 3-point seat belts for all seating positions -inc: height adjustment for outboard positions
- Rear child door locks
- ISOFIX child seat anchor system
- Tire pressure monitoring system (TPMS)
- Impact-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$24,900.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Bright Silver	\$0
Gray, Seat Trim	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$850
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$25,750.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Mullinax Ford New
 Smyrna Beach**

Year: 2014
 Make: Kia
 Model: Sedona 4dr Wgn LX
 VIN: KNDMG4C71E6556657

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 6-spd
 Exterior: Silverstone Beige
 Interior: Beige

MECHANICAL

- 3.041 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 5,853 lbs
- Front-Wheel Drive
- 80-Amp/Hr 660CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 130 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 19.8 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Multi-Link Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper
- Body-Colored Bodyside Moldings
- Black Side Windows Trim and Black Front Windshield Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Front Windshield -inc: Electrically Heated Glass and Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack Rails Only
- Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD/MP3/SiriusXM Audio System -inc: 6 speakers and USB/auxiliary input jacks
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock and Steering Wheel Controls
- Wireless Streaming
- Fixed Antenna
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 7-Passenger Seating -inc: 6-way drivers seat w/lumbar support, 6-way adjustable 2nd-row captains chairs and 4-way adjustable front passengers seat
- Removable Front Facing Rear Seat


- Driver And Front Passenger Armrests and Rear Seat Mounted Armrest
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Fixed 60-40 Split-Bench 3rd Row Seat Front, Manual Recline, Manual Fold Into Floor, 3 Manual and Adjustable Head Restraints
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Front Cigar Lighter
- Ashtray
- Remote Releases -inc: Power Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror, Attached To Seat Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver And Passenger Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Rear Parking Sensors
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st, 2nd And 3rd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

17



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$25,900.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Silverstone Beige	\$0
Beige, Moquette Cloth Seat Trim	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$26,795.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Kissimmee

2014LN41BCVT5-A02--D1416X



2014 LANCER ES
4-DOOR SEDAN
COSMIC BLUE / BLACK

2.0L DOHC I4 MIVEC
CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSMISSION
50-STATE EMISSIONS STANDARD

Optional Equipment
FULL TANK OF GAS
FUSE HANDSFREE LINK SYSTEM®
■ W/ USB PORT

INCLUDED
\$405.00

- MECHANICAL FEATURES**
 - FRONT MACPHERSON STRUTS
 - REAR MULTI-LINK SUSPENSION
 - ANTI-LOCK BRAKES W/ EBD
 - REAR DRUM BRAKES
 - FRONT STABILIZER BAR
 - ECO DRIVER INDICATOR LIGHT
 - ELECTRIC POWER STEERING
- EXTERIOR FEATURES**
 - AUTO-OFF HALOGEN HEADLIGHTS
 - CHROME ACCENT FRONT GRILLE
 - SIDE SILL EXTENSIONS
 - COLOR KEYED SIDE-VIEW MIRRORS & DOOR HANDLES
 - 205/60 R16 ALL SEASON TIRES
 - 16" STEEL WHEELS W/ WHEEL COVERS
- INTERIOR FEATURES**
 - AIR CONDITIONING W/ MICRON FILTER
 - MULTI-INFORMATION DISPLAY
 - HEIGHT ADJUSTABLE STEERING COLUMN
 - FRONT MAP LIGHTS
 - 6-WAY ADJUSTABLE DRIVER SEAT
 - CENTER CONSOLE W/ ARMREST
 - DRIVER'S SEATBACK POCKET
 - 60/40 SPLIT FOLD DOWN REAR SEATBACK
 - REAR HEATER FLOOR DUCTS
 - FLOOR MATS
- CONVENIENCE FEATURES**
 - STEERING WHEEL MOUNTED CRUISE CONTROL & AUDIO SWITCHES
 - CD/MP3 AUDIO HEAD UNIT W/ 4 SPEAKERS
 - RETRACTABLE ASSIST GRIPS
 - DUAL FRONT CUP HOLDERS
 - REAR CENTER ARMREST W/ CUP HOLDERS & SIDE-VIEW MIRRORS
 - 12 VOLT ACCESSORY OUTLET
 - REMOTE KEYLESS ENTRY SYSTEM
 - PREWIRED FOR BLUETOOTH® (ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIRED)
- SAFETY & SECURITY FEATURES**
 - ADVANCED DUAL FRONT AIRBAGS
 - FRONT SEAT MOUNTED SIDE AIRBAGS
 - SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAGS
 - DRIVER'S SIDE KNEE AIRBAG
 - FRONT CRUMPLE ZONES
 - ADJUSTABLE REAR HEADRESTS
 - LATCH SYSTEM FOR CHILD SEATS
 - TIRE PRESSURE MONITORING SYSTEM
 - ENGINE IMMOBILIZER
 - ANTI-THEFT ALARM SYSTEM
 - ACTIVE STABILITY CONTROL
 - TRACTION CONTROL

Visit us at www.mitsubishicars.com

Total MSRP*: \$18,095.00
Total MSRP*: \$19,310.00
Subtotal: \$18,500.00
Destination/Handling: \$810.00
Total MSRP*: \$19,310.00
*MSRP (Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price)

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment Gasoline Vehicle

Fuel Economy
MPG **29** combined city/hwy
MPG **26** city
MPG **34** highway
3.4 gallons per 100 miles

Compact Cars range from 14 to 105 MPG.
The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

You save \$2,500 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Annual fuel cost
\$1,800

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only) **7**
Smog Rating (tailpipe only) **5**

Best 10 Worst 10

This vehicle emits 307 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams CO₂ per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and costs \$1,500 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.50 per gallon. *MPG is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

10-year LIMITED POWERTRAIN WARRANTY
10^{years}/100,000^{miles} 7^{years}/100,000^{miles} ANTI-CORROSION/PERFORATION POWERTRAIN
5^{years}/60,000^{miles} 5^{years}/UNLIMITED^{miles} ROADSIDE ASSISTANCE BUMPER-TO-BUMPER

*See participating Retailer for Limited Warranty and Excludes Accessories, Tires and Modifications.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Parts Content Information
For vehicles in this carline:
U.S./Canadian Major Sources of Parts Content: JAPAN 97%
Foreign Parts Content: JAPAN 97%
For this vehicle:
Final Assembly Point: KURASHIKI, JAPAN
Country of Origin: JAPAN
Engine: JAPAN
Transmission: JAPAN
Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.


Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.9 lbs

Method of Transport: RAIL
Plant/Port of Entry: TACOMA, WA
Route Code : RKC
VIN : JA32U2FU3EU022723

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★ ★ ★ ★ ★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.9 lbs

2015LN41BCVTC-A02--A3147X



2015 LANCER ES
4-DOOR SEDAN
APEX SILVER / BLACK

2.0L DOHC I4 MIVEC
CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSMISSION
CALIFORNIA EMISSIONS STANDARD

Optional Equipment

- Mechanical Features**
 - 2.0L MIVEC DOHC 16-valve 4-cylinder
 - Electric Power Steering
 - MacPherson strut front suspension with stabilizer bar
 - Multi-link rear suspension
 - Front Wheel Drive (FWD)
- Exterior Features**
 - Auto-off halogen headlights
 - High mount rear stop light
 - Rear combination taillights
 - Side air dams
 - Color-keyed power side-view mirrors with turn indicators
 - Heated side-view mirrors
 - Color-keyed outer door handles
 - Front upper grill with chrome accents
 - Short pole antenna
 - Front windshield variable intermittent wipers and washers
 - Rear window defroster with timer
 - 16" steel wheels with full wheel covers
 - P205/60R16 tires
 - Space saver temporary spare tire
- Interior Features**
 - Monochromatic multi-information display
 - ECO Status indicator light (CVT/SS/T only)
 - Front map lights
 - Trunk light
 - Deluxe fabric seating surfaces
 - 4-way adjustable driver's seat
 - 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
 - Driver's seatback pocket
 - 60/40 split folding rear seat
 - Rear seat adjustable head restraints
 - Manual air-conditioning
 - Micron air filtration
 - Rear heater floor ducts
 - Urethane-wrapped steering wheel with silver accent
 - Adjustable tilt steering wheel
 - Silver painted meter bezel
 - Urethane shift knob
 - Dark silver painted I/P and front door accents
 - Material-color inner door handle
 - Vinyl door trim inserts
 - Carpeted floor mats
 - Remove trunk and fuel lid release lever
- Convenience Features**
 - 140-watt AM/FM/CD/MP3 audio system with 4 speakers
 - Steering wheel mounted audio and cruise controls.

MSRP*: \$18,295.00
Total Optional Equipment: \$0.00
Subtotal: \$18,295.00
Destination/Handling: \$810.00
Total MSRP*: \$19,105.00
*MSRP (Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price)

Visit us at www.mitsubishicars.com

This vehicle is equipped with bumpers that can withstand an impact of 2.5 miles per hour with no damage to the vehicle's body and safety systems, although the bumper and related components may sustain damage. The bumper system on this vehicle conforms to the current federal bumper standard of 2.5 miles per hour.

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment Gasoline Vehicle

Fuel Economy
MPG
29 combined city/hwy
26 city
34 highway
3.4 gallons per 100 miles

You Save \$2,000 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Compact Cars range from 14 to 116 MPG. The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

Annual fuel cost \$1,800

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only) **7**

Smog Rating (tailpipe only) **5**

Best 10 Worst 10

This vehicle emits 306 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams or mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 24 MPG and costs \$1,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.50 per gallon. *MPG is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

Smartphone QR Code

10-year LIMITED POWERTRAIN WARRANTY
10^{years}/100,000^{miles} 7^{years}/100,000^{miles} ANTI-CORROSION/PERFORATION POWERTRAIN
5^{years}/60,000^{miles} 5^{years}/UNLIMITED^{miles} ROADSIDE ASSISTANCE BUMPER-TO-BUMPER

Parts Content Information
For vehicles in this carline:
U.S./Canadian Major Sources of Parts Content: JAPAN 97%
Foreign Parts Content: JAPAN 97%
For this vehicle:
Final Assembly Point: KURASHIKI, JAPAN
Country of Origin: JAPAN
Engine: JAPAN
Transmission: JAPAN
Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS
Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.
Frontal Crash ★★★★★ Driver Passenger ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.
Side Crash ★★★★★ Front seat Rear seat ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.
Rollover ★★★★★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★ ★ ★ ★ ★) with 5 being the highest. Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236


Method of Transport: TRUCK HUENEME, CA
Plant/Port of Entry: HUENEME, CA
Route Code: TWP
VIN: JA32U2FU4U009481
Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.5 lbs

Gasoline, license and title fees, applicable federal, state and local taxes and dealer and distributor installed options and accessories are not included in this manufacturer's suggested retail price. This label has been applied to this vehicle pursuant to federal law and cannot be moved or altered prior to delivery to the ultimate purchaser.

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.5 lbs

2016LN41ACVTF-A02--P2644L

Location : L2L33



2016 LANCER 2.0 ES
4-DOOR SEDAN
RALLY RED / BLACK

2.0L DOHC I4 MIVEC
CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSMISSION
FEDERAL EMISSIONS STANDARD

Mechanical Features

- 2.0L MIVEC DOHC 16-valve 4-cylinder
- Electric Power Steering
- MacPherson strut front suspension with stabilizer bar
- Multi-link rear suspension
- Front Wheel Drive (FWD)

Exterior Features

- Auto-off halogen headlights
- LED fog lights
- LED running lights
- High mount rear stop light
- Rear combination taillights
- Side air dams
- Color-keyed power side-view mirrors with turn indicators
- Heated side-view mirrors
- Color-keyed outer door handles
- Short pole antenna
- Front windshield variable intermittent wipers and washers
- Rear window defroster with timer
- 16-inch alloy wheels
- P205/60R16 tires
- Space saver temporary spare tire

Interior Features

- Color LCD multi-information display
- High-contrast speedometer/tachometer gauge cluster
- Front map lights
- Trunk light
- Fabric seating surfaces
- 6-way adjustable driver's seat
- 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
- Driver's seatback pocket
- 60/40 split folding rear seat
- Rear seat adjustable head restraints
- Automatic climate control
- Micron air filtration
- Rear heater floor ducts
- Adjustable tilt steering wheel
- Silver painted meter bezel
- Gloss black IP and front door accents
- Soft-touch front upper door trim
- Chrome-plated inside door handle
- Carpeted floor mats

Convenience Features

- 140-watt AM/FM/CD/MP3 audio system with 4 speakers
- FUSE Hands-free Link System® with Bluetooth® technology, and USB port
- Steering wheel mounted audio, cruise control, and Bluetooth® hands-free system controls
- Keyless entry with panic alarm feature
- Power windows with driver's side auto up/down
- Power door locks
- 12-volt accessory outlets
- Floor center console box with armrest lid
- Front lower console accessory box

Optional Equipment

FULL TANK OF GAS	INCLUDED
ACCY REAR LIP SPOILER	\$290.00
ACCY WHEEL LOCKS	\$55.00
ACCY LED FOG LIGHTS	\$320.00

Convenience Features (cont'd)

- Front cup holders
- Door trim pocket with bottle holder
- Rear center armrest with cup holders

Safety & Security

- Anti-lock Braking System with Electronic Brakeforce Distribution and brake assist
- Active Stability Control
- Traction Control Logic
- Tire Pressure Monitoring System
- Side curtain airbags
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Driver's side knee airbag
- Advanced dual-stage front airbags with occupant seat position sensors
- Three-point seatbelts and head restraints for all seating positions
- Height-adjustable front shoulder belts with pretensioner
- LATCH child-restraint system
- Child safety rear door locks
- Anti-theft security alarm system
- Anti-theft engine immobilizer
- RISE body construction

MPG

30 combined city/hwy

27 city

35 highway

3.3 gallons per 100 miles

Fuel Economy and Environment

Compact Cars range from 14 to 116 MPG.
The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

You save \$1,500
in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Gasoline Vehicle

Annual fuel cost

\$1,500

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 25 MPG and costs \$9,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.00 per gallon. *MPGe is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)

7

Best 10 Worst 10

This vehicle emits 283 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams CO₂ per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fueleconomy.gov.


Smog Rating (tailpipe only)

5

Best 10 Worst 10

fueleconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



Smartphone QR Code™

10-year
LIMITED POWERTRAIN WARRANTY

10^{mi}/100,000^{mi} 7^{yr}/100,000^{mi} ANTI-CORROSION/PERFORATION POWERTRAIN

5^{yr}/60,000^{mi} 5^{yr}/UNLIMITED^{mi} ROADSIDE ASSISTANCE BUMPER-TO-BUMPER

*See participating Retailer for Limited Warranty and Excluded Components, Terms and Conditions.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★ ★ ★ ★

Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash Driver ★ ★ ★ ★ Passenger ★ ★ ★ ★

Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash Front seat ★ ★ ★ ★ Rear seat ★ ★ ★ ★

Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★ ★ ★ ★

Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Parts Content Information

For vehicles in this carline:
U.S./Canadian Major Sources of Parts Content: JAPAN 97%
Foreign Parts Content: JAPAN 97%

For this vehicle:
Final Assembly Point: KURASHIKI, JAPAN
Country of Origin: JAPAN
Engine: JAPAN
Transmission: JAPAN

Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★ ★ ★ ★ ★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 12.4 lbs

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 12.4 lbs

Method of Transport: TRUCK JACKSONVILLE, FL
Plant/Port of Entry: JACKSONVILLE, FL
Route Code: TH

VIN : JA32U2FU5GU009863

Gasoline, license and title fees, applicable federal, state and local taxes and dealer and distributor installed options and accessories are not included in this manufacturer's suggested retail price. This label has been applied to this vehicle pursuant to federal law and cannot be moved or altered prior to delivery to the ultimate purchaser.

Visit us at www.mitsubishicars.com

2017LN41ACVT5-A01-U1772X



2017 LANCER 2.0 ES
4-DOOR SEDAN
MERCURY GRAY / BLACK

2.0L DOHC I4 MIVEC
CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE TRANSMISSION
50-STATE EMISSIONS STANDARD

Optional Equipment

- Mechanical Features**
- 2.0L MIVEC DOHC 16-valve 4-cylinder
 - Electric Power Steering
 - MacPherson strut front suspension with stabilizer bar
 - Multi-link rear suspension
 - Front Wheel Drive (FWD)
- Exterior Features**
- Auto-off halogen headlights
 - fog lights
 - LED running lights
 - High mount rear stop light
 - Rear combination taillights
 - Side air dams
 - Color-keyed power side-view mirrors with turn indicators
 - Rear side-view mirrors
 - Color-keyed outer door handles
 - Front windshield variable intermittent wipers and washers
 - Rear window defroster with timer
 - 16-inch two-tone alloy wheels
 - P225/60R16 tires
 - Space saver temporary spare tire
- Interior Features**
- High-contrast speedometer/tachometer gauge cluster
 - Color LCD multi-information display
 - Key cylinder illumination
 - Front map lights
 - Trunk light
 - Fabric seating surfaces
 - 6-way adjustable driver's seat
 - 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
 - Driver's seatback pocket
 - 60/40 split folding rear seat
 - Rear seat adjustable head restraints
 - Automatic climate control
 - Micron air filtration
 - Rear heater floor ducts
 - Adjustable tilt steering wheel
 - Silver painted meter bezel
 - Gloss black IP and front door accents
 - Soft-touch front upper door trim
 - Chrome-plated inside door handle
 - Carpeted floor mats

- Convenience Features**
- 6.1" Touch panel display audio system
 - 4 speaker audio system
 - FUSE Hands-free Link System® with Bluetooth® technology, and USB port
 - Rear-view camera system
 - Steering wheel mounted audio, cruise control, and Bluetooth® hands-free system controls
 - Keyless entry with panic alarm feature
 - Power windows with driver's auto up/down
 - Power door locks
 - 12-volt accessory outlets

MSRP*: \$18,795.00
Total Optional Equipment: \$ 0.00
Subtotal: \$18,795.00
Destination/Handling: \$835.00
Total MSRP*: \$19,630.00
*MSRP (Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price)

Visit us at www.mitsubishi.com

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment Gasoline Vehicle

Fuel Economy
30 MPG
combined city/hwy
27 city
34 highway

Compact Cars range from 14 to 107 MPG.
The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

You save \$1,000
in fuel costs
over 5 years
compared to the
average new vehicle.

Annual fuel COST
\$1,200

3.3 gallons per 100 miles
These estimates reflect new EPA methods beginning with 2017 models.

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only) **7**

Smog Rating (tailpipe only) **5**

Best 10 Worst 10

This vehicle emits 285 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams CO₂ per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 26 MPG and costs \$7,000 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$2.45 per gallon. MPG is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

fuelconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

Smartphone QR Code

10-year LIMITED POWERTRAIN WARRANTY
10^{years}/100,000^{miles} 7^{years}/100,000^{miles} ANTI-CORROSION/PERFORATION POWERTRAIN
5^{years}/60,000^{miles} BUMPER-TO-BUMPER 5^{years}/UNLIMITED^{miles} ROADSIDE ASSISTANCE

Parts Content Information
For vehicles in this carline:
U.S./Canadian Parts Content: 1%
Major Sources of Foreign Parts Content: JAPAN 95%
Final Assembly: KURASHIKI, JAPAN
Country of Origin: JAPAN
Engine: JAPAN
Transmission: JAPAN
Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS
Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.
Frontal Crash ★★★★★
Driver Passenger ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact.
Side Crash ★★★★★
Front seat Rear seat ★★★★★
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.
Rollover ★★★★★
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★ ★ ★ ★ ★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.5 lbs


Method of Transport: TRUCK JACKSONVILLE, FL
Plant/Port of Entry: JACKSONVILLE, FL
Route Code: JT
VIN: JA32U2FU4HU005420

Gasoline, license and title fees, applicable federal, state and local taxes and dealer and distributor installed options and accessories are not included in this manufacturer's suggested retail price. This label has been applied to this vehicle pursuant to federal law and cannot be moved or altered prior to delivery to the ultimate purchaser.

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 5.5 lbs

2014LE41B5MT5-A10--U0284L

Location : EP57



2014 LANCER EVOLUTION GSR
4-DOOR SEDAN
PHANTOM BLACK / RECARO BLACK FABRIC

2.0L DOHC I4 MIVEC TURBO
5-SPEED 6JD MANUAL TRANSMISSION
50-STATE EMISSIONS STANDARD

MECHANICAL FEATURES

- SUPER ALL WHEEL CONTROL (S-AWC)
- TWIN SCROLL TURBOCHARGER
- FRONT MOUNTED INTERCOOLER
- BREMBO® VENTILATED DISC BRAKES W/ SPORT ABS + EBD
- REAR AIR DIFFUSER
- REAR MOUNTED BATTERY
- INVERTED FRONT SHOCK ABSORBERS
- FRONT STRUT TOWER BAR
- FRONT & REAR STABILIZER BARS
- FRONT HELICAL LIMITED SLIP DIFFERENTIAL
- ACTIVE YAW CONTROL REAR DIFFERENTIAL
- EXTERIOR FEATURES
- AUTO-OFF HALOGEN HEADLIGHTS
- FOG LIGHTS
- FLARED FENDERS
- LARGE REAR WING SPOILER
- ALUMINUM ROOF PANEL
- ALUMINUM FRONT FENDERS W/ VENTS
- ALUMINUM HOOD W/ HEAT EXTRACTOR VENTS
- 245/40 R18 YOKOHAMA ADVAN® TIRES
- 18" ALLOY WHEELS

INTERIOR FEATURES

- AUTO A/C W/ MICRON FILTER
- LEATHER WRAPPED STEERING WHEEL AND SHIFT KNOB
- HIGH CONTRAST SPORT METER GAUGES
- COLOR MULT-INFORMATION DISPLAY
- FRONT MAP LIGHTS
- RECARO® FRONT SPORT SEATS
- CENTER CONSOLE W/ ARMREST STORAGE
- FLOOR MATS

CONVENIENCE FEATURES

- STEERING WHEEL MOUNTED CRUISE CONTROL & AUDIO SWITCHES
- 6.1" TOUCH SCREEN DISPLAY AUDIO
- CD/MP3 AUDIO HEAD UNIT W/ 6 SPEAKERS
- USB HANDSFREE LINK SYSTEM® W/ USB PORT
- SIRIUSXM® SATELLITE RADIO W/ 3 MONTH SUBSCRIPTION
- DIGITAL HD RADIO®
- DUAL FRONT CLIP HOLDERS
- DUAL VISOR VANITY MIRRORS
- POWER DOOR LOCKS, WINDOWS, & SIDE-VIEW MIRRORS
- 12 VOLT ACCESSORY OUTLET
- REMOTE KEYLESS ENTRY SYSTEM

SAFETY & SECURITY FEATURES

- ADVANCED DUAL FRONT AIRBAGS
- FRONT SEAT MOUNTED SIDE AIRBAGS
- SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAGS

Optional Equipment	INCLUDED
FULL TANK OF GAS	\$250.00
PREMIUM PAINT CHARGE	\$2,000.00
SIGHT & SOUND PACKAGE	
■ HID HEADLIGHTS	
■ 710-WATT ROCKFORD FOSGATE® PREMIUM SOUND SYSTEM W/ 9 SPEAKERS INC 10IN SUBWOOFER W/ PUNCH CONTROL®, DTS® NEURAL SURROUND PREMIDIA™, WIDE SURROUND, AND DOLBY® VOLUME	
■ FAST KEY ENTRY SYSTEM	\$1,500.00
EXTERIOR PACKAGE	
■ FRONT, SIDE, AND REAR AIRDAMS	
■ BRAKE AIR GUIDES	
■ REAR SPOILER EXTENSION	
■ W/ FLUTED LUGNUTS	\$145.00
ACCY RALLIART WHEEL LOCKS	
■ W/ FLUTED LUGNUTS	\$425.00
INTERIOR PACKAGE (GSR)	
■ ALUMINUM 5MT SHIFT KNOB	
■ ALUMINUM / LEATHER BRAKE GRIP	

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment

Fuel Economy
Compact Cars range from 14 to 105 MPG. The best vehicle rates 119 MPG.

MPG
19 combined city/hwy
17 city
23 highway

Annual fuel cost
\$2,950

5.3 gallons per 100 miles

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)

4

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (tailpipe only)

10 Best
1 Worst

This vehicle emits 464 grams CO₂ per mile. The best emits 0 grams CO₂ per mile (tailpipe only). Producing and distributing fuel also create emissions; learn more at fuelconomy.gov.

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and costs \$11,500 to fuel over 5 years. Cost estimates are based on 15,000 miles per year at \$3.75 per gallon. MPG is miles per gasoline gallon equivalent. Vehicle emissions are a significant cause of climate change and smog.

Smartphone QR Code™

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

This vehicle has not been rated by the government for overall vehicle score, frontal crash, side crash, or rollover risk.

Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

fueleconomy.gov

Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles

5-year 60,000-mile LIMITED POWERTRAIN WARRANTY

5^{year} / 60,000^{miles} POWERTRAIN
7^{year} / 100,000^{miles} ANTI-CORROSION/PERFORATION
3^{year} / 36,000^{miles} BUMPER-TO-BUMPER ROADSIDE ASSISTANCE

5^{year} / UNLIMITED^{miles}

*See participating Retailer for Limited Warranty and Excluded Equipment Terms and Conditions.

Parts Content Information

For vehicles in this carline:
U.S./Canadian Major Sources of Parts Content:
0% Foreign Parts Content:
JAPAN 96%

For this vehicle:
Final Assembly Point: KURASHIKI, JAPAN
Country of Origin: JAPAN
Transmission: JAPAN

Note: Parts content does not include final assembly, distribution, or other non-parts costs.

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 40.0 lbs

Method of Transport: TRUCK
Plant/Port of Entry: JACKSONVILLE, FL
Route Code: TH

VIN : JA32W8FV9EU025065

MITSUBISHI MOTORS

Cumulative Accessory Weight is 40.0 lbs

Visit us at www.mitsubishi cars.com

Total Optional Equipment: \$34,995.00

Subtotal: \$4,320.00

Destination/Handling: \$39,315.00

Total MSRP*: \$40,125.00

*MSRP (Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price)

TOYOTA
moving forward

DEBC: AVALON 4-DR. LIMITED
VIN: 4T1BK1EB7DU050701
YR/MDL: 2013/3554A
CLF: CHAMPAGNE MICA (LMD3) (0562/03)
PORT/PLANT: Georgetown, KY/TMMK RAILHEAD: MI

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★
Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side and rollover. ★ should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. ★ should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.	Driver ★★★★★
	Passenger ★★★★★
Side Crash Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.	Front seat ★★★★★
	Rear seat ★★★★★
Rollover Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.	★★★★★

★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★ ★ ★ ★ ★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

EPA DOT Fuel Economy and Environment

Fuel Economy **24** MPG
combined city/hwy

city 21 | highway 31

4.2 gallons per 100 miles

Annual fuel **COST**
\$ 2,200

You save \$ 600 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Fuel Economy & Greenhouse Gas Rating (Impale only):

1	2	3	4	5	6
				6	10

 Smog Rating (Impale only): (Impale only) 10 Best

Actual results will vary for many reasons, including driving conditions and how you drive and maintain your vehicle. The average new vehicle gets 23 MPG and costs \$ 2,235 a year in fuel. Cost estimates are based on 14,000 miles per year at \$ 3.56 per gallon. Emissions are a significant cause of climate change, and smog.

fuel economy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles.

800.4.A.M.P.

Smog Rating (Impale only) 10 Best

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

- Mechanical & Performance (M/P) - 3.5L 268hp V6 DOHC 24v/Variable Intake - 18" alloy wheels w/225/45R18 tires - Electric Power Steering (EPS) - Pwr-Assisted Front Disc Brakes - SAFETY AND SYSTEMS includes VSC, TRAC, Anti-lock Brake System, EBD, Brake Assist & Smart Stop Tech (SST) - 10 Airbags: Dr & Fr Pass, Adv Airbag, Dr & Fr Rear Side Curtain, Mounted Side and Rear Seat-Mounted Side Airbags - LATCH (Lwr Anchor & Tethers for Children) for Outboard Rear Spining Position Only - Child-Proof Door Locks w/Door Edge Protector - Side-View Mirror w/Rear Cross Traffic Alert - Safety Connect
- EXTERIOR - HID Quad-beam headlights w/High Output Fog Lights - Fog Lamps - Running Hood Outside Mirrors w/Turn Signals, Puddle Lights & Folding Feature - Power Tiltslide Moonroof - Rain Sensing Windshield Wipers - Intelligent Climate Control w/Air Filtration and Rear Seat Vents - Premium HOD Navi w/Entune & JBL 7T Touch Screen, AM/FM/CD/Digital Radio, Ti Spins - HD Radio/Aux/USB & Bluetooth - Backup Camera - 3.5-in TFT Multi-Information Display - Premium Driver & Away Pwr Pass Seats - Pwr Driver's Seat Cushion Extension - Heated/Ventilated Fr Seats, Htd Rr Seats - Dr-Seat & Outside Mirrors Memory System - Smart Key System w/Push Button Start - Power Rear Sunshade w/Auto Recln
- ***Full Tank of Gas***

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE **\$39,850.00**

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT

FE 50 State Emissions Technology Package: Includes Dynamic Radar Cruise Control (DRCC), Systematic High Beam, and Pre-Collision System 1,750.00

WX Wireless Charging Capability for eBlink™ Storage Tray 200.00

EF Rear Bumper Applique 69.00

WL Wheel Locks (Alloy) 81.00

CF Carpet Floor Mats/Trunk Mat 225.00

D7 Courtesy Delivery Veh/TMS/NFS

DELIVERY, PROCESSING AND HANDLING FEE 760.00

TOTAL \$42,735.00

Dealer Name / Address: 04233 TOYOTA MTR SALES USA, INC ATTN: VEHICLE SERVICES TORRANCE, CA 90501

SHIP TO:

This New Vehicle Warranty provides 3-year/50,000 mile basic coverage, 5-years/100,000 mile powertrain coverage, and 12-month/100,000 mile corrosion protection for the vehicle. All details for this warranty can be found in the dealer's sales literature. See dealer for complete details. This warranty does not cover wear and tear items and does not cover consequential damage or expenses not specified in this manufacturer's warranty. Air Mileage: up to 25,000 miles. See participating dealer for details on coverage limits.



TOYOTA
moving forward.
COROLLA MATRIX 5-DOOR 5-SP AND
211LE4EE1CC021363
VIN: 201201304
CLR: MICHIGIC GRAY MET FALS
PORTFOLIO: Detroit, MI, TRUCK

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score	Not Rated	
Based on the combined ratings for frontal, side and rollover. Scores ONLY for comparison to other vehicles of similar size and weight. Scores ONLY for comparison to other vehicles of similar size and weight.		
Frontal Crash	Driver	Not Rated
Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact.	Passenger	Not Rated
Scores ONLY for comparison to other vehicles of similar size and weight.		
Side Crash	Frontal SEAT	Not Rated
Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.	Rear SEAT	Not Rated
Scores ONLY for comparison to other vehicles of similar size and weight.		
Rollover†	★★★★	
Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.		

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★★★★) with 5 being the highest.
Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4226

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment


Fuel Economy MPG
22 city
20 highway
26 combined city/hwy
4.5 gallons per 100 miles
Annual fuel cost
\$ 2,500

Standard
EPA
EPA
EPA

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

- Mechanical & Performance**
- 16" Steel Disc Brakes
 - 16" Steel Rims with Tires
 - 2.0L I4 Engine
 - Automatic Transmission
 - Power Windows
 - Power Door Locks
 - Power Mirrors
 - Power Seats
 - Power Steering
 - Power Windows
 - Power Door Locks
 - Power Mirrors
 - Power Seats
 - Power Windows
 - Power Door Locks
 - Power Mirrors
 - Power Seats
- EXTERIOR**
- 16" Steel Rims with Tires
 - 16" Steel Disc Brakes
 - 16" Steel Rims with Tires
 - 2.0L I4 Engine
 - Automatic Transmission
 - Power Windows
 - Power Door Locks
 - Power Mirrors
 - Power Seats
 - Power Windows
 - Power Door Locks
 - Power Mirrors
 - Power Seats

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE \$22,715.00
OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT \$175.00
16" Steel Disc Brakes
Carpet Floor Mats & Cargo Mat



Get more info on this Toyota. Visit us online at www.toyota.com.
DELIVERY PROCESSING AND HANDLING FEE \$765.00

Subtotal
Total
\$22,715.00
\$175.00
\$22,890.00

You Save \$100 in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.

Smartphone QR Code field with a QR code image.

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon LIMITED
 VIN: 4T1BK1EB6DU020105

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Moulin Rouge Mica
 Interior: Almond

MECHANICAL

- 3.5L DOHC EFI 24-valve V6 engine
- Dual variable valve timing w/intelligence
- Push button start
- 6-speed automatic transmission w/sequential shift -inc: flex-start lock-up clutch, transmission fluid warmer
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver
- MacPherson strut front suspension - inc: offset coil springs, stabilizer bar
- Dual-link independent MacPherson strut rear suspension -inc: offset coil springs, stabilizer bar
- Variable-assist pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr ventilated front/solid rear disc brakes
- Dual chrome-tipped integrated exhaust

- Rear defogger w/timer
- Illuminated glove box
- Wood-grain style trim -inc: smoked chrome interior accents & door handles
- Overhead console w/front map lights & sunglasses storage
- Dual sun visors w/illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Rear passenger reading lamps

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brake system (ABS)
- Smart stop technology
- Electronic brakeforce distribution (EBD) w/brake assist (BA)
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Side-impact door beams
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags -inc: occupant sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side-impact airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Driver knee airbag
- 3-point seatbelts for all passenger seating positions -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, emergency locking retractor (ELR), passenger automatic locking retractors (ALR)
- Outboard front seatbelt pretensioners w/force limiters
- Child restraint system -inc: rear seat lower anchors, tether anchor brackets
- Child protector rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitor system
- Internal trunk-release handle
- Whiplash-lessening front seats

EXTERIOR

- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed bumpers
- LED taillights
- Acoustic noise-reducing windshield

INTERIOR

- Rear seat -inc: center armrest w/(2) cup holders, trunk pass through
- Center console w/sliding cover/armrest -inc: storage compartment, dual cup holders, 12V aux pwr outlet
- eBin sliding electronic device holder - inc: wire management feature, illuminated interior storage, aux audio jack, USB port, (2) 12V aux pwr outlets
- Multi-information display -inc: twin tripmeters, outside temp display, fuel economy history, avg fuel economy, distance-to-empty
- Pwr windows -inc: auto up/down, jam protection, retained pwr
- Pwr door locks w/anti-lockout feature
- Pwr trunk & fuel-filler door releases

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

31

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$30,990.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FEM] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[CF] Carpeted Floor & Cargo Mat Set	\$225
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,025.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon XLE
 VIN: 4T1BK1EB1EU115320

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Blizzard Pearl
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.24 Axle Ratio
- 582CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 4590# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Strut Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" x 7.0" 10 Spoke Silver-Painted Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17 AS
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- LED Brakelights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Laminated Glass
- De-Content Grade Package

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Display Audio -inc: 6.1" touch-screen, AM/FM CD player w/MP3/WMA playback capability, 8 speakers, auxiliary audio jack, USB port w/iPod connectivity and control, vehicle information w/customizable settings, and hands-free phone capability, phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Multi-Stage Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: 8-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar and 4-way power adjustable front passenger seat
- Bench Front Facing Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Valet Function
- Power Fuel Flap Locking Type
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, 2 Door Curb/Courtesy, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button

- Remote Releases -inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood/Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Chrome Interior Accents and Leatherette Upholstered Dashboard
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Simulated Wood Gear Shift Knob
- Leather Seat Trim
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Inner Mirror EC Delete
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Garage Opener Delete
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Front And Rear 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag and Rear Side-Impact Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

31

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,590.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[XL] Xle Package	\$0
• 2 door smart key	
[PC0] Special Color Blizzard Pearl	\$395
Original Shipping Charge	\$825
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,810.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com

Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon LIMITED
 VIN: 4T1BK1EB4FU174850

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Cosmic Gray Mica
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.24 Axle Ratio
- 582CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 4590# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Strut Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls, Voice Activation and Radio Data System
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Power Fuel Flap Locking Type

- Remote Releases -inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood/Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Chrome Interior Accents and Leatherette Upholstered Dashboard
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Simulated Wood Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

31

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$39,980.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[CF0] Carpet Floor Mats & Carpet Trunk Mat	\$225
Original Shipping Charge	\$835
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$41,040.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon LIMITED
 VIN: 4T1BK1EBXGU197003

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Blizzard Pearl
 Interior: Light Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.24 Axle Ratio
- 575CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 100 Amp Alternator
- 4590# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Strut Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and Chrome Bumper Insert
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Valet Function
- Power Fuel Flap Locking Type


- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood/Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents and Leatherette Upholstered Dashboard
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Chrome Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	3, \$4 0\$. \$\$
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[TY] Toyota Safety Sense Package	\$500
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Automatic High Beam · Dynamic Radar Cruise Control · Pre-Collision System w/Pedestrian Detection · Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist 	
[PC] Special Color	\$395
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$42,240.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 floridafinecars.com



TOYOTA
Let's Go Places

DESC: **TUNDRA 4X4 SR5 DOUBLE CAB FFV**
VIN: **5TFUW5F10HX667604**
YR/MDL: 2017/8331C
CLR: SILVER SKY METALLIC/FB20 (01D8/20)
FINAL ASSEMBLY POINT: SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, U.S.A.

GOVERNMENT 5-STAR SAFETY RATINGS

Overall Vehicle Score ★★★★★

Based on the combined ratings of frontal, side and rollover. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Frontal Crash Driver ★★★★★ Passenger ★★★★★

Based on the risk of injury in a frontal impact. Should ONLY be compared to other vehicles of similar size and weight.

Side Crash Front seat ★★★★★ Rear seat ★★★★★

Based on the risk of injury in a side impact.

Rollover ★★★★★


Based on the risk of rollover in a single-vehicle crash.

Star ratings range from 1 to 5 stars (★☆☆☆) with 5 being the highest.

Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

www.safercar.gov or 1-888-327-4236

EPA Fuel Economy and Environment



fueleconomy.gov
Calculate personalized estimates and compare vehicles



E85 Flexible-Fuel Vehicle Gasoline-Ethanol (E85)

You spend \$ 5,250
more in fuel costs over 5 years compared to the average new vehicle.



The vehicle emits 604 grams CO2 per mile. The best emits 0 grams per mile (average only). Fuel economy and emissions fuel also consider emissions. Learn more at fueleconomy.gov

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

- MECHANICAL & PERFORMANCE**
- 5.7L i-FORCE V8 E85 Flex Fuel DOHC 33V with Dual Int VVT-i 381 hp 7,401 lb-ft
- 6-Speed Automatic Trans w/Sequential Shift
- 4WD Automatic Part-Time 4WD w/Elec Controlled Transfer Case, A-TRAC & Auto LSD
- TripleTech Frame
- Tow Pac: Tow Rcvr Hitch, 4.30 Axle Ratio, Eng/Trans Fluid Coolers, TOW/HAUL Mode, HD Batt & Alt, & Integ 47-Pin Connector
- 8-Speakers, 6 Disc Audio, 6 Disc CD/MP3, 6 Disc DVD
- 18" Stylid 50 Wheels w/ P255/70R18 Tires

SAFETY & CONVENIENCE

- Rear Backup Camera
- Manual Headlamp Level Control
- Trailer Brake Contr. & Trailer-Sway Cont
- Star Safety System: Incd ABS, VSC & More
- 3-Point Seatbelts for All Positions
- 8 Total Airbags (Incl Fr & Rr ESCA)
- Tire Pressure Monitor System (TPMS)

EXTERIOR

- 6.5' Double-Walled Bed w/ Rail Caps
- Easy Lower and Lift Tailgate

INTERIOR

- 40/20/40 Split Fold-Down 4-Way Driver & 4-Way Passenger Adj Front Bench Seat
- Entune Audio Plus w/ Command My Seat
- GPS Link App, 7" Hi-Res Touch Screen, AM/FM/HD Radio, XM w/ 3-yr Trial, CD, USB/AUX, Bluetooth, and Siri Eyes Free
- Single Zone Air Conditioning
- Power Windows/Door Locks/Heated Mirrors
- Remote Keyless Entry System
- Tilt Steering Wheel with Column Shifter
- ***Full Tank of Gas***

MANUFACTURER'S SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE \$36,250.00

RE OF	OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT	PRICE
RE OF	Federal Emissions TRD Off-Road Package: Upgrades Standard Wheels To 18" Split 5-Spoke TRD Off-Road Package Alloy Wheels with Black Accents and All-Terrain P275/65R18 Tires. Includes Trail-Tuned Bilstein Shock Absorbers, Engine Skid Plate, Fuel Tank Skid Plate, Front Tow Hooks (On 4x2 Models; Standard on All 4x4 Models), and Bed Side TRD Off-Road Decal Deck Rail System	1,975.00
DS SP	SR5 Upgrade Package: Adds Larger 38.0 Gallon Fuel Tank (5.7L V8 Only), Replaces Front Bench Seating with Front Bucket Seats with Power Driver Seat with Power Lumbar Support, Adds Front Center Console with Floor Mounted Urethane Shift Lever and Knob, Tilt and Telescoping Urethane Steering Wheel, 3 Front Cup Holders, Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror with Compass and Homelink Universal Transceiver, and an Anti-Theft System with Alarm and Engine Immobilizer	1,220.00
SZ	Safety & Convenience Package: Includes Front and Rear Parking Assist Sonar, Blind Spot Monitor, and Rear Cross Traffic Alert (Upgrades To Color-Keyed Rear Bumper; Requires SR5 Upgrade Package on SR5 Models)	970.00
ZT, DK, E5, LB	All Weather Liners/Door Sill Preferred Owner's Portfolio Exhaust Tip Spray-on Bedliner	219.00 99.00 579.00

DELIVERY PROCESSING AND HANDLING FEE 1,195.00

TOTAL \$42,632.00

The New Vehicle Limited Warranty provides 3-year/50,000-mile coverage, plus 9-year/unlimited-mile coverage. Excludes 200,000-mile powertrain coverage. For additional service contract see available for VW vehicles. Manufacturer's suggested retail price includes manufacturer's recommended pre-delivery service, Gasoline, license and title fees, applicable dealer, state and local taxes and dealer and distributor installed options and accessories are not included in the manufacturer's suggested retail price. ToyotaCare, which covers normal factory scheduled maintenance for two years or 25,000 miles, whichever occurs first, is an extra cost. See participating dealer for eligibility and coverage details.

Delivered by Truck to
COLAN TOYOTA SCION
2100 KIETZKE LANE
BOX 10677 (ZIP 88510)
RENO NV88502

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon XLE
 VIN: BT1KU1EK53 g 2Bi BB5

En60e: Vs myl0der En60e
 Tranxz Qx0n: sAT
 EPter0r: Ma6net0 · ray MetF
 Inter0r: Klack

MECHANICAL

- WontD . eel Rr0e
- 5F2B AFe 8at0
- i 4i mmA Ma0tenanceHWee Kattery w/8un Rown 9rofect0n
- 100 Az # Alternator
- Bi QOS · vwr
- axH9rexxur0ed p. ock A7xor7erx
- Wont And 8 ear Ant00 oil Karx
- Electr0 9owerHAXxt0 p#eedHpenx06 pteer06
- 14F2 · aIFWiel Tank
- Quax0Rual pta0lexx pteel EP. auxT w/m. roz e Ta0#0e W00. er
- ptrut Wont pux#enx0n w/m0Cp#r06x
- ptrut 8 ear pux#enx0n w/m0Cp#r06x
- BFD . eel R0c Krakex w/BFD . eel AKp, Wont Vented R0cx and Krake Axx0t

EXTERIOR

- D. eelx: 14" P4R0 Rark · rayf9a0ted Alloy h0c: z ac. 0ed h00.
- T0ex: 921i /i i 814 Ap
- pteel p#are D . eel
- moz #act p#are T0e Mounted Inx0e gnder mar6o
- mlearcoat 9a0t
- Kodym0lored Wont Kuz #er
- Kodym0lored 8 ear Kuz #er w/Klack 8 u7 ptr0/W0xc0 Accent and m. roz e Kuz #er Inxert
- m. roz e p0e D 0dowx Tr0
- m. roz e Roor 3 andlex
- Kodym0lored 9ower 3eated p0e M0r0x w/Manual W0d06 and Turn p0nal Ind0ator
- W0ed 8 ear D 0dow w/ReH0xter
- L0. t T0ted · laxx
- Wont D 0dx. 0ld h0c: pun V0or ptr0
- Var07le Interz 0ent D 0erx
- W0ly · alvan0ed pteel 9anelx
- m0lored · r0e w/m. roz e purround
- Trunk 8 ear mar6o Accexx
- LER Krakel0. tx
- W0ly Autoz at0 9rofactor Keaz 3 alo6en Auto 3 0. h Keaz 3 eadlaz #x w/RelayH H
- Laz 0ated · laxx

ENTERTAINMENT

- 8 ad0: Entune Aud0 9lux w/mconnected Nav A## h0c: Entune z uit0 ed0 7undle, 4" . 0. rexolut0n touc. h xscreen d0#lay, AM/VM mR #layer, M95/D MA #lay7ack ca#a700y, OX#eakerx, auP0ary aud0 fack, gpK 2R0 #ort w/0od connect00y and control, advanced vo0e reco6n00n, . andxH0ee #. one ca#a700y, #. one 7ook accexx and z ux0 xtreaz 06 v0 Kluetoot. w0elexx tec. nolo6y, pcout · 9p l0k a##, p0CEyex Wee, p00xXM patell0e 8 ad0 w/51z ont. coz #l0 entary p00xXM All Accexx tr0l, 3 R 8 ad0, 3 R 8 ad0 traH0 and weat. er 0 z af0r z etro areax, and 0te6rated 7acku# caz era d0#lay w/#rofect0 #at.
- 8 ad0 w/peekipcan, m0ck, p#eed moz #enxated Voluz e montrl, pteer06 D . eel montrl0x and 8 ad0 Rata pyxtez
- D 0dow · r0 Antenna

INTERIOR

- Mult0pta6e 3eated Wont KuckeH peatx h0c: Qway #ower adfuxta7le dr0er'x xeat w/#ower luz 7ar and Bh way #ower adfuxta7le Hont #axxen6er xeat
- Kenc. Wont W0c06 8 ear peat
- Manual T0/T0el0xco#06 pteer06 moluz n
- au6ex h0c: p#eedoz eter, j doz eter, En60e molant Tez #, Tac. oz eter, Tr0 j doz eter and Tr0 moz #uter
- 9ower 8 ear D 0dowx
- Leat. er pteer06 D . eel
- Wont mu#. older
- 8 ear mu#. older
- 9roP0 0y Uey W0r Roorx And 9ux. Kutton ptart
- Valet W0nct0n
- 9ower W0el Wa# Lock06 Ty#e
- 8 ez ote Ueylexx Entry w/Inte6rated Uey Tranxz 0er, 2 Roor mur7/mourtexy, Illuz 0ated Entry, Illuz 0ated l6n00n pw0c. and 9an0 Kutton
- 8 ez ote 8elexex Hnc: 9ower mar6o Accexx

- ara6e Roor Tranxz 0er
- mru0e montrl w/pteer06 D . eel montrl0x
- R0tance 9ac06
- Rual Zone Wont Autoz at0 ACmond00n06
- 3 VAmH0c: gnderxeat Ructx and monxole Ructx
- Illuz 0ated Lock06 · love KoP
- Rr0er W0t 8 ext
- Inter0r Tr0 h0c: p0 ulated D ood/MetalH0ck
- Inxtruz ent 9anel Inxert, m. roz e/MetalH0ck Inter0r Accentx and Leat. erette g#. olxtered Rax. 7oard
- W0ll m0t. 3 ead0er
- Leat. erette Roor Tr0 Inxert
- Leat. er/m. roz e · ear p. 0 Uno7
- Leat. er peat Tr0
- Rr0er And 9axxen6er V0or Van0y M0rorx w/Rr0er And 9axxen6er Illuz 0at0n
- RayfN0. t 8 earV0w M0ror
- W0ll Woor monxole w/movered ptoara6e, M0CQ ver. ead monxole w/ptora6e and 5 12V Rm9ower j utelx
- Wont And 8 ear Ma# L0. tx
- V0deH0T0j H#Inter0r L0. t06
- W0ll mar#et Woor mover06
- mar#et Woor Tr0 and mar#et Trunk L0/8 ear mar6o Roor Tr0
- mar6o Area moncealed ptoara6e
- mar6o p#ace L0. tx
- WJ K montrl0x h0c: Trunk/3 atc. /Ta00ate
- Inxtruz ent 9anel movered K0, Rr0er / 9axxen6er And 8 ear Roor K0x
- 9ower 1xt 8 ow D 0dowx w/Wont And 8 ear 1H0uc. g#/Rown
- Relayed Accexxory 9ower
- 9ower Roor Lockx w/Autolock W0ature
- Tr0 moz #uter
- j utx0e Tez # · au6e
- Analo6 R00#lay
- Manual Adfuxta7le Wont 3 ead 8 extra0tx and Manual Adfuxta7le 8 ear 3 ead 8 extra0tx
- Wont menter Arz rext and 8 ear menter Arz rext w/9axxH T. ru
- 2 peat7ack ptoara6e 9ocketx
- 9er0 eter Alarz
- AC W0trat0n
- 5 12V Rm9ower j utelx

SAFETY

- Electron0 pta700y montrl
- AKp And Rr0el0e Tract0n montrl
- p0e lz #act Keaz x
- Rual pta6e Rr0er And 9axxen6er peatHMounted p0e AC7a6x
- Lane Re#arture Alert w/pteer06 Axx0t Lane Uee#06 Axx0t
- Lane Re#arture Alert w/pteer06 Axx0t Lane Re#arture D arn06
- 9rehm0l00n pyxtez W0rward m0ll00n
- T0e p#ec00 Low T0e 9rexxure D arn06
- Rual pta6e Rr0er And 9axxen6er Wont AC7a6x
- murta0 1xt And 2nd 8 ow AC7a6x
- AC7a6 j ccu#ancy penxor
- Rr0er And 9axxen6er Unee AC7a6 and 8 ear p0eh lz #act AC7a6
- 8 ear m. 0 pal0ty Lockx
- j ut7oard Wont La# And p. oulder pal0ty Keltx h0c: 8 ear menter 5 9o0t, 3e0. t Adfuxterx and 9retenx0nerx

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

30

Actual z 0a6e w0 vary w0. 0#10nx, dr006 cond00nx, dr006 . a70x and ve. 0le'x cond00n

New

MSRP	\$33,300.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[WE] i 0 ptate Ez Qx0nx	\$0
[XL] Xle 9acka6e	\$0
j r00al p. 0#06 m. ar6e	\$00
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$5B,1G 00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 oH#eaxeonlyF0oz

Year: 2018
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon XLE
 VIN: 4T1BK1EB1JU288328

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Celestial Silver Metallic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.24 Axle Ratio
- 575CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 100 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Quasi-Dual Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Strut Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" x 7.0" Dark Gray-Painted Alloy -inc: machined finish
- Tires: P215/55R17 AS
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and Chrome Bumper Inset
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- LED Brakelights
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Auto High-Beam Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio Plus w/Connected Nav App -inc: Entune multimedia bundle, 7" high resolution touch-screen display, AM/FM CD player, MP3/WMA playback capability, 8 speakers, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control, advanced voice recognition, handsfree phone capability, phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology, Scout GPS link app, Siri Eyes Free, SiriusXM Satellite Radio w/3-month complimentary SiriusXM All Access trial, HD Radio, HD Radio traffic and weather in major metro areas, and integrated backup camera display w/projected path
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Window Grid Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Multi-Stage Heated Front Bucket Seats -inc: 8-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar and 4-way power adjustable front passenger seat
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- Bench Front Facing Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Proximity Key For Doors And Push Button Start
- Valet Function
- Power Fuel Flap Locking Type

- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, 2 Door Curb/Courtesy, Illuminated Entry, Illuminated Ignition Switch and Panic Button
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Distance Pacing
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood/Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents and Leatherette Upholstered Dashboard
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Chrome Gear Shift Knob
- Leather Seat Trim
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Front And Rear 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Keeping Assist
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Departure Warning
- Toyota Safety Sense P
- Tire Specific Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag and Rear Side-Impact Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

30

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$33,500.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[XL] Xle Package	\$0
[RETTMS] Fleet Credit	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$930
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$34,430.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Reed Nissan Clermont
 (iRide)**

www.reednissanclermont.com

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon Hybrid TOURING
 VIN: 4T1BD1EB1DU017607

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Sizzling Crimson Mic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 2.5L DOHC 16-valve VVT-i Atkinson-cycle I4 hybrid engine
- Continuously variable transmission (ECVT)
- Front wheel drive
- Battery saver
- MacPherson strut front suspension -inc: offset coil springs, stabilizer bar
- Dual-link independent MacPherson strut rear suspension -inc: offset coil springs, stabilizer bar
- Variable-assist pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr ventilated front/solid rear disc brakes
- Hidden exhaust w/chrome-accented cover

EXTERIOR

- 17" x 7.0" alloy wheels
- P215/55R17 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Pwr tilt/slide moonroof -inc: sliding sunshade
- Color-keyed bumpers
- LED taillights
- Acoustic noise-reducing windshield

INTERIOR

- Fold-down rear seats -inc: center armrest w/(2) cup holders, trunk pass through
- Center console w/sliding cover/armrest -inc: storage compartment, dual cup holders, 12V aux pwr outlet
- eBin sliding electronic device holder -inc: wire management feature, illuminated interior storage, aux audio jack, USB port, (2) 12V aux pwr outlets
- Multi-information display -inc: twin tripmeters, outside temp display, fuel economy history, avg fuel economy, distance-to-empty
- Pwr windows -inc: auto up/down, jam protection, retained pwr
- Rear defogger w/timer

- Illuminated glove box
- Wood-grain style trim -inc: smoked chrome interior accents & door handles
- Overhead console w/front map lights & sunglasses storage
- Dual sun visors w/illuminated visor vanity mirrors
- Rear passenger reading lamps

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brake system (ABS)
- Smart stop technology
- Electronic brakeforce distribution (EBD) w/brake assist (BA)
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Side-impact door beams
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags -inc: occupant sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side-impact airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- Driver knee airbag
- Collision sensors -inc: high-voltage battery deactivation
- 3-point seatbelts for all passenger seating positions -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, emergency locking retractor (ELR), passenger automatic locking retractors (ALR)
- Outboard front seatbelt pretensioners w/force limiters
- Child restraint system w/rear seat lower anchors, tether anchor brackets
- Child protector rear door locks
- Direct tire pressure monitor system
- Internal trunk-release handle
- Whiplash-lessening front seats

CITY MPG

40



HIGHWAY MPG

39

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$35,555.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[TR] Touring PKG	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · wide angle front fog lamp · (9) speaker audio system w/deluxe display · navigation · front seat & exterior mirror memory · blind spot monitor w/rear cross traffic alert 	
[FEM] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[CF] Carpeted Floor & Cargo Mat Set	\$225
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$36,590.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



LUX CARS CHICAGO

luxcarschicago.com

847-947-2900

Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Avalon Hybrid TOURING
 VIN: 4T1BD1EB6FU036981

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic (AV-S6)
 Exterior: Blizzard Pearl
 Interior: Almond

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 3.54 Axle Ratio
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Hybrid Electric Motor
- 4700# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 17 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Strut Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Regenerative 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control
- Nickel Metal Hydride Traction Battery

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" x 7" Silver-Painted Alloy
- Tires: P215/55R17 AS
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Chrome Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- LED Brakelights
- Laminated Glass

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls, Voice Activation and Radio Data System
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass

- Valet Function
- Power Fuel Flap Locking Type
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts and Console Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Simulated Wood/Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Chrome Interior Accents and Leatherette Upholstered Dashboard
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Simulated Wood Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest w/Pass-Thru
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

40



HIGHWAY MPG

39

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$37,800.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[TR0] Touring Package	\$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Blind Spot Monitor & Cross Traffic Warning · 8-Way Driver & 4-Way Passenger Memory Package 	
[PC0] Special Color	\$395
Original Shipping Charge	\$835
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$39,030.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.motorcarsofnashville.com

Year: 2011
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BU4EE4BC593105

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4-Speed Automatic
 Colors: Black Sand Pearl / Ash
 Mileage: 128,490

Stock #: 89882A

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- 4-speed automatic transmission w/OD -inc: intelligence (ECT-i)
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front disc/rear drum brakes

EXTERIOR

- 15" x 6" steel wheels -inc: full wheel covers
- P195/65R15 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed front grille
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps
- Color-keyed heated pwr fold away mirrors
- Variable intermittent wipers -inc: mist cycle
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD player -inc: MP3/WMA capability, satellite radio capability, aux audio input, auto sound leveling, CD-text display, (6) speakers

INTERIOR

- Front cloth bucket seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver seat, 4-way adjustable passenger seat, passenger seatback pocket
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console -inc: side pockets, storage
- 3-spoke tilt/telescoping steering wheel -inc: audio controls
- Multi-information display -inc: average fuel economy, driving range, average speed, travel distance
- Instrumentation -inc: amber-illuminated gauges, tachometer, twin tripmeters, outside temp indicator
- Digital clock
- Remote releases -inc: hood, trunk w/cancel, fuel door
- Auto-locking pwr door locks
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch down

- Cruise control
- Remote keyless entry -inc: panic feature, remote illumination
- Anti-theft system w/engine immobilizer
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- HD rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders
- Metallic interior trim & door handle accents
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights
- Trunk lamp

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: brake assist, electronic brake force distribution
- Smart Stop braking technology
- Vehicle stability control w/traction control -inc: off switch
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Daytime running lamps
- Side-impact door beams
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Direct tire pressure monitor
- Internal trunk release
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

26



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,600.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$760
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,360.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Scott Clark Toyota

www.scottclarkstoyota.com

855-314-6444

Year: 2012
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BU4EE8CC799707

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4AT
 Exterior: Barcelona Red Metallic
 Interior: Bisque

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- 4-speed automatic transmission w/OD -inc: intelligence (ECT-i)
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front ventilated disc & rear drum brakes

- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch down
- Cruise control
- Remote keyless entry -inc: panic feature, remote illumination
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- HD rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights
- Trunk lamp

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: brake assist, electronic brake force distribution
- Smart Stop braking technology
- Vehicle stability control w/traction control -inc: off switch
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Daytime running lamps
- Side-impact door beams
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Direct tire pressure monitor
- Internal trunk release
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" steel wheels -inc: full wheel covers
- P205/55R16 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed front grille
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps
- Color-keyed heated pwr fold away mirrors
- Variable intermittent wipers -inc: mist cycle
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD player -inc: MP3/WMA capability, aux audio input, auto sound leveling, USB port w/iPod connectivity, hands-free phone capability, phone book access, iPod interface, (6) speakers

INTERIOR

- Front cloth bucket seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver seat, 4-way adjustable passenger seat, passenger seatback pocket
- Driver seat height adjustment
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console -inc: side pockets, storage
- 3-spoke tilt/telescoping steering wheel -inc: audio controls
- Multi-information display -inc: average fuel economy, driving range, average speed, travel distance
- Black instrumentation -inc: tachometer, twin tripmeters, outside temp indicator
- Digital clock
- Remote releases -inc: hood, trunk w/cancel, fuel door
- Auto-locking pwr door locks

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,910.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$795
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,705.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.platinumautos.com
 206-650-0505

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 5YFBU4EEXDP123213

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Bisque

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- 4-speed automatic transmission w/OD - inc: intelligence (ECT-i)
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front ventilated disc & rear drum brakes

- Digital clock
- Auto-locking pwr door locks
- Remote keyless entry -inc: panic feature, remote illumination, trunk-release
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch down
- Cruise control
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- Rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" steel wheels -inc: full wheel covers
- P205/55R16 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Chrome beltline moldings
- Color-keyed front grille -inc: chrome accents
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps
- Color-keyed heated fold away pwr mirrors
- Variable intermittent wipers
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

SAFETY

- Star safety system -inc: enhanced vehicle stability control (VSC), traction control (TRAC), anti-lock brake system (ABS), electronic brake-force distribution (EBD), brake assist (BA), Smart Stop Technology (SST)
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Side-impact door beams
- Daytime running lamps
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts - inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitor system
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD player -inc: MP3/WMA capability, 6.1" touch-screen w/vehicle info, USB port w/iPod connectivity, aux audio input, Bluetooth hands-free phone & music streaming, Bluetooth steering wheel controls, phone book access, (6) speakers
- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front cloth bucket seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver seat, 4-way adjustable passenger seat, passenger seatback pocket
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console w/storage compartment
- 3-spoke tilt/telescoping steering wheel - inc: metallic accents, audio steering wheel controls
- Multi-info display -inc: outside temp, current/average fuel economy, average speed, travel distance, trip computer
- Black instrumentation -inc: tachometer, twin tripmeters

CITY MPG

26



HIGHWAY MPG

33

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,180.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,990.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.atlantacargurus.com/
 770-973-8077

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BURHEXEC130852

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Colors: White / Ash
 Mileage: 54,792

Stock #: 116965

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 4.76 Axle Ratio
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Steel -inc: wheel covers
- Tires: P205/55R16 All-Season
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Chrome Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Projector Beam Led Low Beam Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD Player w/6.1" Touch Screen -inc: Entune multimedia bundle, MP3/WMA playback capability, 6 speakers, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control, hands-free phone capability, phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology, and advanced voice recognition, See Toyota.com/Entune for details
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver's seat and 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
- 6-Way Driver Seat
- 4-Way Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel

- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

29



HIGHWAY MPG

38

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,300.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$825
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,125.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



easterns.com
Easterns
 AUTOMOTIVE GROUP

www.easterns.com
 888-650-4775

Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BURHEXFC411535

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Black Mc.
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 4.76 Axle Ratio
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- 3820# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs and Brake Assist

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Steel -inc: wheel covers
- Tires: P205/55R16 All-Season
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Argent Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Projector Beam Led Low Beam Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD Player w/6.1" Touch Screen -inc: Entune multimedia bundle, MP3/WMA playback capability, 6 speakers, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control, hands-free phone capability, phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology, and advanced voice recognition. See Toyota.com/Entune for details
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver's seat, 4-way adjustable front passenger seat, and seatback pocket
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button

- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,565.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[EF] Rear Bumper Protector	\$79
[CF0] Carpeted Floor Mats & Trunk Mat	\$225
Original Shipping Charge	\$835
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,704.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BURHE1GC608157

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Classic Silver Met
 Interior: Ash

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 4.76 Axle Ratio
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Steel -inc: wheel covers
- Tires: P205/55R16 All-Season
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Argent Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Projector Beam Led Low Beam Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: AM/FM/CD Player w/6.1" Touch Screen -inc: Entune multimedia bundle, MP3/WMA playback capability, 6 speakers, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control, hands-free phone capability, phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology, and advanced voice recognition. See Toyota.com/Entune for details
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver's seat and 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
- 6-Way Driver Seat
- 4-Way Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button

- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$178 35.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,630.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: BYURHG8 E28 5627ng0

Ei 4s e: mCyls der Ei 4s e
 Trai xc sxxoi : CVT
 EStersor: v late MetallA
 li tersor: RlaAk

MECHANICAL

hUroi t-F - eel WrsDe
 hm67 3Se Gats0
 h9n0CC3 Mas tei ai Ae-Uree Rattery w/Gui
 Wbwi 5roteAtsoi
 hg0 3c P3Iteri ator
 hp ax-5rexxursed v - oAk 3bxorberx
 hUroi t 3i d Gear 3i tsGoll Rarx
 hEleAtrA5 ower-3xxst v Peed-v ei xs 4 v teers 4
 h19.2 pal. Uuel Tai k
 hv s 4le v tas lexv v teel ES- auxt
 hv trut Uroi t v uxPei xsoi w/CoS v Prs 4x
 hTOrxsoi Reac Gear v uxPei xsoi w/CoS v Prs 4x
 hUroi t WsA/Gear Wfuc Rrakex w/mF - eel 3Rv ,
 Uroi t Vei ted WsAx, Rrake 3xxst ai d 8 sl 8 old
 Coi trol

EXTERIOR

hF - eelx: 17" S7.B" F sde Vei t v teel
 hTrex: 5 20B/BBG17 31l-v eaoxi
 hv teel v Pare F - eel
 hCoc PaAt v Pare Tse Moui ted li xsde Hi der
 Car4o
 hClearAoaT 5 as t
 hRody-Colored Uroi t Ruc Per
 hRody-Colored Gear Ruc Per
 hRlaAk v sde F s dowx Trs0
 hRody-Colored Wbor 8 ai dllex
 hRody-Colored 5 ower 8 eated v sde Msrrox
 w/Mai ual Uolds 4
 hUsed Gear F s dow w/Wefroxter
 hLst- t Ts ted p lxxx
 hVarsable li terc stei t F sPerx
 hUully p alDai sed v teel 5 ai elx
 hRlaAk prsle
 hTrui k Gear Car4o 3AAexx
 hUully 3 utoc atsA5 roAator Reac Led Low/8 s-
 Reac 3 ut0 8 s- Reac 8 eadlac Px w/Welay-j ff
 hLEWWayts0 e Gui i s 4 Lst- tx -s A li te4rated
 s to buc Per

ENTERTAINMENT

hGads0: Ei tui e 3uds0 w/7.1" v Areei -s A Ei tui e
 Mults0 eda Rui dle ai d v srsEyex Uree
 hGads0 w/v eek-v Aai , M59 5layer, CloAk, v Peed
 Coc Pei xated Voluc e Coi trol, v teers 4 F - eel
 Coi trolx ai d Gads0 Wata v yxtec
 h3 utoc atsAEqualzser
 hv treac s 4 3uds0
 h7 v Peakerx
 hF s dow prsd 3i tei i a

INTERIOR

hUroi t RuAket v eatx -s A 7-way adQxtable
 drsDer'x xeat ai d mway adQxtable froi t
 Paxxei 4er xeat
 h7-F ay WrsDer v eat
 hmF ay 5axxei 4er v eat
 h70-m0 Uolds 4 Rei A- Uroi t UaAs 4 Uold
 Uorward v eatbaAk Gear v eat
 hMai ual Tst/TelexAoPs 4 v teers 4 Coluc i
 hp au4ex -s A v Peedoc eter, j doc eter, Ei 4s e
 Coolai t Tec P, TaA oc eter, TrsPj doc eter ai d
 TrsP Coc Puter
 h5 ower Gear F s dowx
 hUroi t CuP- older
 hGear CuP- older
 hGec ote Geleaxex -li A MeA ai sAl Car4o
 3AAexx ai d MeA ai sAl Uuel
 hGec ote Keylexx Ei try w/li te4rated Key
 Trai xc ster, Illuc s ated Ei try ai d 5 ai sARuttoi

hCruxe Coi trol w/v teers 4 F - eel Coi trolx
 hWxtai Ae 5 aAs 4
 h3 utoc atsA3r Coi dsoi s 4
 h8 V3C -s A Hi derxeat WuAtx
 hLoAks 4 p loDs RoS
 hWrsDer Uoot Gext
 hUull Clot- 8 eadls er
 hClot- Wbor Trs0 li xert
 hHret- ai e pear v - st Ki ob
 hli tersor Trs0 -s A 5 sai o RlaAk li xtruc ei t 5 ai el
 li xert, Metal-Look Coi xole li xert ai d C- roc e
 li tersor 3AAei tx
 hUabrAv eat Trs0
 hWay-Nst- t GearDaw Msrrox
 hWrsDer 3i d 5 axxei 4er Vxor Vai sy Msrrox
 hUull Uloor Coi xole w/CoDered v tora4e ai d 1 12V
 WC 5 ower j outlet
 hUroi t MaP Lst- tx
 hUade-To-j ff li tersor Lst- ts 4
 hUull CarPet Uloor CoDers 4
 hCarPet Uloor Trs0 ai d CarPet Trui k Lsd/Gear
 Car4o Wbor Trs0
 hCar4o v PaAe Lst- tx
 hUj R Coi trolx -s A Trui k/8 atA- /Tas4ate
 hli xtruc ei t 5 ai el CoDered Rs , WrsDer / 5 axxei 4er
 3i d Gear Wbor Rs x
 h5 ower 1xt Gow F s dowx w/WrsDer 1-TouA-
 HP/Wbwi
 hWelayed 3AAexxory 5 ower
 h5 ower Wbor LoAkx w/3utoloAk Ueature
 hTrsP Coc Puter
 hj utxsde Tec P p au4e
 h3i alo4 WsPlay
 hv eatx w/Clot- RaAk Matersal
 hMai ual 3dQxtable Uroi t 8 ead Gextras tx ai d
 Mai ual 3dQxtable Gear 8 ead Gextras tx
 hUroi t Cei ter 3rc rext w/v tora4e ai d Gear Cei ter
 3rc rext
 h1 v eatbaAk v tora4e 5 oAket
 h1 12V WC 5 ower j outlet
 h3s Ustrats0i

SAFETY

hEleAtoi sAv tabdsy Coi trol
 h3 Rv 3i d WrsDels e TraAtsoi Coi trol
 hv sde lc PaAt Reac x
 hWual v ta4e WrsDer 3i d 5 axxei 4er v eat-Moui ted
 v sde 3sba4x
 h5re-Collssoi v yxtec
 hLai e WbParture 3lert w/v teers 4 3xxst Lai e
 KeePs 4 3xxst
 hLai e WbParture 3lert w/v teers 4 3xxst Lai e
 WbParture F ari s 4
 hLow Tse 5rexxure F ari s 4
 hWual v ta4e WrsDer 3i d 5 axxei 4er Uroi t 3sba4x
 hCurtas 1xt 3i d 2i d Gow 3sba4x
 h3sba4 j AAUPai Ay vei xor
 hWrsDer Ki ee 3sba4 ai d 5 axxei 4er Cux- soi Uroi t
 3sba4
 hGear C- sd v afety LoAkx
 hj utboard Uroi t LaP 3i d v - oulder v afety Reltx -
 s A Gear Cei ter 9 5 os t, 8 est- t 3dQxterx ai d
 5 retei xsoi erx
 hRaAk-HP Cac era

CITY MPG

28



HIGHWAY MPG

36

3 Actual city 4e wsl Dary wst- oPtoil x, drsB 4 Aoi dsoi x, drsB 4 - abdx ai d De- sile'x Aoi dsoi

New

MSRP	\$18,935.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[UE0] B0 v tate Ec sxxsoi x	\$0
j rsts al v - sPPs 4 C- ar4e	\$gnB
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$1n,g90.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Kissimmee

Year: 2018
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 5YFBURHEXJP750188

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Black Sand Pearl
 Interior: Ash

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 4.76 Axle Ratio
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- 3820# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Wide Vent Steel
- Tires: P205/55R16 All-Season
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Led Low/High Beam Auto High-Beam Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- LED Daytime Running Lights -inc: Integrated into bumper

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio w/6.1" Screen -inc: Entune Multimedia Bundle and Siri Eyes Free
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver's seat and 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls

- Distance Pacing
- Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome Interior Accents
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Pre-Collision System Forward Collision
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Keeping Assist
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Departure Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

35

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,035.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,930.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2019
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla LE
 VIN: 2T1BURHE3KC182335

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Barcelona Red Met.
 Interior: Flaxen

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 4.76 Axle Ratio
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Wide Vent Steel
- Tires: P205/55R16 All-Season
- Wheels w/Full Wheel Covers
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Led Low/High Beam Auto High-Beam Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- LED Daytime Running Lights -inc: Integrated into bumper

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio w/6.1" Screen -inc: Entune multimedia bundle and Siri Eyes Free
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna
- 1 LCD Monitor In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver's seat and 4-way adjustable front passenger seat
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Integrated Key Transmitter, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button

- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Distance Pacing
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Automatic Air Conditioning
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering -inc: Carpet Front And Rear Floor Mats
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Up/Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Toyota Safety Sense P
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Keeping Assist
- Lane Departure Alert w/Steering Assist Lane Departure Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

28



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,135.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[LLO] Le Premium Package	\$1,215
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Radio: Entune Audio Plus w/Connected Navigation · Entune multimedia bundle (7" high resolution touch-screen display) · AM/FM CD player · 6 speakers · auxiliary audio jack · USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control · advanced voice recognition · hands-free phone capability · phone book access and music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology) · connected navigation Scout GPS link app · Siri Eyes Free · SiriusXM All Access radio w/3-month complimentary trial and Gracenote album cover art · HD radio · HD radio traffic and weather in major metro areas · Wheels: 16" x 6.5" Alloy · center ornament wheel caps 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$930
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$21,280.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2011
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla S
 VIN: 2T1BU4EE8BC560060

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 4AT
 Exterior: Classic Silver Metallic
 Interior: Dark Charcoal

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front disc/rear drum brakes

EXTERIOR

- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed front grille
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

INTERIOR

- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console -inc: side pockets, storage
- Multi-information display -inc: average fuel economy, driving range, average speed, travel distance
- Remote releases -inc: hood, trunk w/cancel, fuel door
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- HD rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders

- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights
- Trunk lamp

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: brake assist, electronic brake force distribution
- Smart Stop braking technology
- Vehicle stability control w/traction control -inc: off switch
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Daytime running lamps
- Side-impact door beams
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Direct tire pressure monitor
- Internal trunk release
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

28



HIGHWAY MPG

35

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,770.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[SR] PWR Tilt/Slide Moonroof	\$890
• sunshade	
[EX] Am/Fm Stereo W/CD/MP3 Player	\$570
• aux audio jack	
• USB port w/iPod connectivity	
• Bluetooth hands-free phone & music streaming	
• XM satellite radio w/(3) months subscription	
• (6) speakers	
• CD text display	
• auto sound leveling	
Original Shipping Charge	\$760
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,990.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Kissimmee

Year: 2012
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla S
 VIN: 5YFBU4EE5CP051951

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Magnetic Gray Met.
 Interior: Dark Charcoal

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- 5-speed manual transmission w/OD
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front ventilated disc & rear drum brakes
- Chrome exhaust tips

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" 5-spoke alloy wheels
- P205/55R16 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed front & rear underbody spoiler
- Color-keyed rear spoiler
- Rocker moldings
- Color-keyed front grille
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps w/smoked lenses
- Fog/driving lamps
- Color-keyed heated pwr fold away mirrors
- Intermittent wipers
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD player -inc: MP3/WMA capability, aux audio input, auto sound leveling, USB port w/iPod connectivity, hands-free phone capability, phone book access, iPod interface, (6) speakers

INTERIOR

- Front sport double-stitch fabric bucket seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver seat, 4-way adjustable passenger seat, dual seatback pockets
- Driver seat height adjustment
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console -inc: side pockets, storage
- 3-spoke sport tilt/telescoping steering wheel -inc: audio controls
- Multi-information display -inc: average fuel economy, driving range, average speed, travel distance
- Sport instrumentation -inc: tachometer, twin tripmeters, outside temp indicator

- Remote releases -inc: hood, trunk w/cancel, fuel door
- Pwr door locks
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch down
- Cruise control
- Remote keyless entry -inc: panic feature, remote illumination
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- HD rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders
- Metallic interior trim & door handle accents
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights
- Trunk lamp

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes -inc: brake assist, electronic brake force distribution
- Smart Stop braking technology
- Vehicle stability control w/traction control -inc: off switch
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Daytime running lamps
- Side-impact door beams
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts -inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Direct tire pressure monitor
- Internal trunk release
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

26



HIGHWAY MPG

34

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,990.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$795
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$18,785.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford Lincoln
Mobile
 251-344-4000

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla S
 VIN: 5YFBU4EE0DP170119

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 4-spd
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Dark Charcoal

MECHANICAL

- 1.8L DOHC SFI 16-valve VVT-i I4 engine
- 5-speed manual transmission w/OD
- Front wheel drive
- Independent MacPherson strut front suspension
- Torsion beam rear suspension
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Electric-assist pwr steering
- Front ventilated disc & rear drum brakes
- Chrome exhaust tips

EXTERIOR

- 16" x 6.5" 5-spoke alloy wheels
- P205/55R16 all-season tires
- Temporary spare tire
- Color-keyed front & rear underbody spoiler
- Color-keyed rear spoiler
- Rocker moldings
- Color-keyed front grille
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps w/black sport trim
- Fog lights
- Color-keyed heated fold away pwr mirrors
- Intermittent wipers
- Chrome rear license plate garnish
- Color-keyed door handles

ENTERTAINMENT

- AM/FM stereo w/CD player -inc: MP3/WMA capability, 6.1" touch-screen w/vehicle info, USB port w/iPod connectivity, aux audio input, Bluetooth hands-free phone & music streaming, Bluetooth steering wheel controls, phone book access, (6) speakers
- In-glass antenna

INTERIOR

- Front sport double-stitch fabric bucket seats -inc: 6-way adjustable driver seat, 4-way adjustable passenger seat, dual seatback pockets
- 60/40 split-folding rear bench seat
- Center console w/storage compartment
- 3-spoke sport tilt/telescoping steering wheel -inc: metallic accents, audio steering wheel controls

- Multi-info display -inc: outside temp, current/average fuel economy, average speed, travel distance, trip computer
- Sport instrumentation -inc: tachometer, twin tripmeters
- Digital clock
- Remote keyless entry -inc: panic feature, remote illumination, trunk-release
- Pwr door locks
- Pwr windows w/driver one-touch down
- Cruise control
- Air conditioning w/air filtration
- Rear window defogger w/timer
- Front & rear door pockets w/bottle holder
- Dual glove compartments
- (4) cup holders
- Metallic interior trim & door handle accents
- 12V aux pwr outlet
- Dual visor vanity mirrors
- Dual front map lights

SAFETY

- Star safety system -inc: enhanced vehicle stability control (VSC), traction control (TRAC), anti-lock brake system (ABS), electronic brake-force distribution (EBD), brake assist (BA), Smart Stop Technology (SST)
- Front/rear crumple zones
- Side-impact door beams
- Daytime running lamps
- Dual front airbags w/occupant sensors
- Front seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- ALR/ELR 3-point front & rear seatbelts - inc: front adjustable shoulder belt anchors, pretensioners, force limiters
- Child restraint system w/LATCH anchors
- Child protector rear door locks
- Tire pressure monitor system
- Front seat active headrests
- Energy-absorbing steering column

CITY MPG

26



HIGHWAY MPG

33

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,230.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$810
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,040.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford of Central Florida (Apopka)

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla L
 EV : 2T1NBURH2HC20G85G

H7nġe: 6 Cylġder H7nġe
 Tra7i 4 ġi 7: CET
 Hsterġr: Nlank La7d x earl
 Vterġr: Lteel Nlce

MECHANICAL

Svoro7iAn · eel Frge
 S6W0D. sle Uatg
 S830CC. Maġte7a7neAree Nattery 9 Wlc7
 Fo97 x rotemġp7
 S 0 . 4 u . lter7ator
 SPai Ak rei i crġed L · onk . zi orzeri
 Svoro7i. 7d Uear . 7iġUoll Nari
 Sflentġm x o9erA i i ġt LueedA.e7i ġn Lteerġn
 S18W PalW cel Ta7k
 SLġnle Ltaġlei i Lteel Hs: aci t9 W · ro4 e
 Taguġe v ġġ · er
 SLtrct vro7i Lci ue7i 7 9 W coġ Lurġni
 STori 7 Nea4 Uear Lci ue7i 7 9 W coġ Lurġni
 S6A · eel Fġ mNrakei 9 W A · eel . NLbvoro7i
 Ee7ted Fġ mi a7d Nrake . i i ġt

EXTERIOR

Sh · eeli : 1D, s D6, Lteel Aġm 9 · eel m · eri
 STgei : x 20565U1D. lLA.eai o7
 SLteel Luare h · eel
 SCo4 uant Luare Tge Moc7ted Vi ġle B7der
 Carno
 SClearmat x aġt
 SNodyColored vro7i Nc4 uer
 SNodyColored Uear Nc4 uer
 SNodyColored Foor Ra7dlei
 SJonker x a7el Hste7i 7 7i
 SNlank Lġle h ġdo9 i Trġ
 SNodyColored x o9er Reated Lġle Mgrori
 9 W Ma7cal voldġn a7d Tcr7 Lġn7al Vdġrator
 Svġed Uear h ġdo9 9 W e'roi ter
 Sf ġn · t Tġted Plai i
 Svġed Vter-al h ġeri
 Svclly Pal-a7ġed Lteel x a7eli
 Sh ġn Luodġr
 SNlank Prġle 9 W · ro4 e Lcrroc7d
 STrc7k Uear Carno . mmei i
 S cto O" x rojentor Nea4 f ed f o9 Nea4
 Faytġ e Uc77ġn Readla4 ui 9 W elayAO"
 Svoro7i von f a4 ui

ENTERTAINMENT

SJadġp . MwMwCF x layer 9 W W, Tocm Lmree7 A
 ġm H7ic7e 4 ciltġ edġa zc7dlebMx 8 W M.
 ulayzank nauazġdybDi ueakeri bacsgġary acdġp
 jankbBLN 2W uort 9 W x od n77entġ ġy a7d
 n77rolb: a7di Aree u: o7e nauazġdybu: o7e zook
 ammei i a7d 4 ci ġni trea4 ġn - ġa Nicetoot-
 9 ġelei i tem 7olonyba7d ad-a7ned - ogre
 renon7ġp7bL ee ToyotaWb4 W H7ic7e "or detajġ
 SJadġp 9 W eekA. ma7bClonkblLueed
 Co4 ue7i ated Eolc4 e Co7trolbLteerġn h · eel
 Co7trolli a7d Uadġp Fata Lyi te4
 S cto4 atġnHqalġper
 Sh ġdo9 Prġl . 7te77a

INTERIOR

Svoro7i Luort Ncnket Leati Aġm DA9 ay adjci tazle
 drġer i eatb6A9 ay adjci tazle "oro7t uai i e7ner
 i eatba7d i eatzank uonket
 SDA ay Frger Leat
 S6A ay x ai i e7ner Leat
 SD0A60 voldġn Ne7m vro7i v anġn vold
 vor9 ard Leatzank Uear Leat
 SMA7cal TġvTelei nouġn Lteerġn Colc4 7
 SPacnei Aġm Lueedo4 eterbOdo4 eterbH7nġe
 Coola7i Te4 ubTam o4 eterbTrġu Odo4 eter a7d
 Trġu Co4 ucter
 Sx o9er Uear h ġdo9 i
 S eat · er Lteerġn h · eel
 Svoro7i Ccu · older

SJear Ccu · older
 SUE4 ote Keylei i H7try 9 W tenrated Key
 Tra7i 4 ġterbWlc4 ġated H7try a7d x a7ġnNcto7
 SUE4 ote Ueleai ei Aġm Mem a7ġnal Carno . mmei i
 a7d Mem a7ġnal v cel
 SCrcġ e Co7trol 9 W teerġn h · eel Co7trolli
 S cto4 atġn . ġ Co7dġp7ġn
 SRE . C Aġm B7deri eat Fcmti
 Sf onkġn Plo-e Nos
 SFrg er voot Uei t
 Svcll Clot · Readġġer
 SClot · Foor Trġ · Vi ert
 SBret · a7e Pear L · ġt K7oz
 SVterġr Trġ · Aġm MetalA ook Vi trc4 e7i x a7el
 Vi erbMetalA ook Foor x a7el Vi erbMetalA ook
 Co7i ole Vi ert a7d MetalA ook Vterġr . mme7ti
 SLuort vazrġnLeat Trġ · Aġm i uort zoli teri a7d
 i uort ġi erti
 SFayA ġn · t Uear-ġe9 Mgror
 SFrg er . 7d x ai i e7ner Eġ or Ea7ġy Mgrori
 Svcll vloor Co7i ole 9 W Co-ered L torane a7d 1 12E
 FC x o9er Octlet
 Svoro7i Mau f ġn · ti
 SvadeA"oAO" Vterġr f ġn · ġġn
 Svcll Caruet vloor Co-erġn
 SCaruet vloor Trġ · a7d Caruet Trc7k f ġWear
 Carno Foor Trġ
 SCarno Luame f ġn · ti
 SvON Co7trolli Aġm Trc7kV Ratm W Adġnate
 SVi trc4 e7i x a7el Co-ered NġbFrg er wx ai i e7ner
 . 7d Uear Foor Nġi
 Sx o9er 1i t Uo9 h ġdo9 i 9 W Frger er 1A"ocm
 BuWf o9 7
 SFelayed . mmei i ory x o9er
 Sx o9er Foor f onki 9 W ctolonk v eatcre
 STġu Co4 ucter
 SOcti ġle Te4 u Pacne
 S 7alon Fġ ulay
 SLeati 9 W Clot · Nank Materġal
 SMA7cal . djci tazle vro7i Read Uei traġti a7d
 Ma7cal . djci tazle Uear Read Uei traġti
 Svoro7i Ce7ter . r4 rei t 9 W torane
 S1 12E FC x o9er Octlet
 S . ġ vġtratp7

SAFETY

Sflentro7nL tazġġy Co7trol
 S . NL . 7d Frġ elġe Trantġp7 Co7trol
 SLġle W uant Nea4 i
 SFcal Ltane Frġ er . 7d x ai i e7ner LeatA Moc7ted
 Lġle . ġzani
 Sf o9 Tge x rei i cre h ar7ġn
 SFcal Ltane Frġ er . 7d x ai i e7ner vro7t . ġzani
 SCcrtag7 1i t . 7d 27d Uo9 . ġzani
 S . ġzan Onmua7ny Le7i or
 SFrg er K7ee . ġzan a7d x ai i e7ner Cci · 7 7 vro7t
 . ġzan
 SJear C · ġd La"ety f onki
 SOctoard vro7i f au . 7d L · oclder La"ety Nelti A
 ġm Uear Ce7ter 8 x oġtbRegn · t . djci teri a7d
 x rete7i 7 7eri
 SNankAB u Ca4 era

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

35

... mcal 4 ġane 9 ġ · ary 9 ġ · outp7i bdrġn m7dġp7i bdrġn · azġi a7d · e · ġle1 m7dġp7

New

MSRP	\$19,000.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[vH0] 50 Ltate H4 ġi 7 7i	\$0
Orgġal L · ġuġn C · arne	\$/ 25
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$13b 25W00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Florida Fine Cars
 "Iorgla"ġenari Wb4

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla L
 EV : 6YNBURHG8N58167ng

Gi 4s e: 7 Cyls der Gi 4s e
 Trai nx smoi : GET
 Gctsr: ClamSLsver MetallS
 Vtcrsr: BlaSk

MECHANICAL

ANroi th Feel - rsvr
 A7Wg . cle Ratsp
 A830CC. Mas tei ai SehNree Battery 9wR / i
 - o9i 5roteSstai
 An0 . x u . lteri ator
 APant5 remm rped L FoSk . znorzerm
 ANroi t . i d Rear . i tR Roll Barr
 AGleStrS5 o9 erh nmsm LueedLLei ms 4 Lteers 4
 A18W PalWw el Tai k
 ALs 4le LtaS lemmlteel GcFa/ m19 wCFrox e
 Tadusue Ns stFer
 ALtr t Nroi t L / nvei nsoi 9 wCod Lurs 4m
 ATormoi Beax Rear L / nvei nsoi 9 wCod Lurs 4m
 A7h Feel - srs Brakem9 wH Feel . BLbNroi t
 Eei ted - srsmai d Brake . nmsm

EXTERIOR

A Feelm 1g , c gW / Lteel hS 9 Feel Sovern
 ATrem 5206w6R1g . llL eanoi
 ALteel Luare . Feel
 Acox uaS Luare Tse Mo/ i ted V nside Ui der
 Car4o
 AClearSoat 5 as t
 ABodyfColored Nroi t B/ x uer
 ABodyfColored Rear B/ x uer
 ABodyfColored - oor Hai dilem
 ABlaSk Lste . s do9 mTrsx
 ARoSker 5ai el Gctei nsoi m
 ABodyfColored 5o9 er Heated Lste Msrrom
 9 wMai / al Nolds 4 ai d T/ ri Lst ai V dsSator
 ANsced Rear . s do9 9 w e'rnter
 Af stFt T3 ted Planm
 ANsced V terval . suerm
 AN lly Palvai sped Lteel 5ai elm
 Af su Luoder
 ABlaSk Prsle 9 wCFrox e L / rro/ i d
 ATr/ i k Rear Car4o . Ssemn
 A / to O" 5 rojeStor Beax fed f o9 Beax
 - aytse R/ i i s 4 Headlax um9 w elayfO"
 ANroi t No4 fax um

ENTERTAINMENT

ARads: . MmMwC- 5layer 9 wW, To/ SF L Sreei h
 s S Gi t/ i e x / ltx edsa z/ i dlebM58w M.
 ulayzaSk Sauazsdybg nueakernba/ cslary a/ dso
 jaSkbULB 2W uort 9 w6 od Soi i eStvsty ai d
 Soi trolbFai dntlree uFoi e SauazsdybuFoi e zook
 aSsemmai d x / nms ntreax s 4 vsa Bl/ etootf
 9 srelenteSfi olo4ybai d advai Sed vosSe
 reSo4i ssoi bLee ToyotaVbx vGi t/ i e "or detaSm
 ARads 9 w eekH Sai bCloSkbLueed
 Cox uel mated Eol/ x e Coi trolbLteers 4 . Feel
 Coi trolmai d Radso - ata Lyntex
 A / tox atsSGq/ alspcr
 A s do9 Prsd . i tei i a

INTERIOR

ANroi t Luort B/ Sket Leatmris S. gl9 ay adj/ ntazle
 drsvrmmreatb7f9 ay adj/ ntazle "roi t uanmei 4er
 neatbai d neatzaSk uoSket
 Agh ay - rsvr Leat
 A7h ay 5annei 4er Leat
 Ag0l70 Nolds 4 Bei SF Nroi t NaSi 4 Nold
 Nor9 ard LeatzaSk Rear Leat
 AMai / al Tstvtelenbus 4 Lteers 4 Col/ x i
 APa/ 4emris S Lueedox eterbOdox eterbGi 4s e
 Coolai t Tex ubTaSFox eterbTrsu Odox eter ai d
 Trsu Cox u/ ter
 A5o9 er Rear . s do9 m
 Af eatFer Lteers 4 . Feel
 ANroi t C/ uFolder


ARear C/ uFolder
 ARex ote ReleantmW S MeSFai sSal Car4o . Ssemn
 ai d MeSFai sSal N/ el
 ARex ote KeylenmGi try 9W te4rated Key
 Trai nx sterbW/ x s ated Gi try ai d 5ai sSB/ ttoi
 ACr/ snt Coi trol 9 wLteers 4 . Feel Coi trolm
 A / tox atsS. s Coi dtsai s 4
 AHE. C hS U: Ui dermeat - / Strm
 Af oSkS 4 Plove Boc
 A - rsvr Noot Rent
 AN/ Il ClotF Headls er
 AClotF - oor Trsx V nert
 AUretFai e Pear L Fst Ki oz
 AVtcrsr Trsx hS S Metallf ook V ntr/ x ei t 5ai el
 V nrtbMetallf ook - oor 5ai el V nrtbMetallf ook
 Coi mole V nrt ai d Metallf ook V tcrsr . SSei tm
 ALuort NazrsL eat Trsx hS S nuort zolntermai d
 nuort s nertm
 A - aylt stFt Rearvse9 Msrrom
 A - rsvr . i d 5annei 4er Estor Eai sy Msrrom
 AN/ Il Noor Coi mole 9 wCovered L tora4e ai d 1 12E
 - C 5o9 er O/ tlet
 ANroi t Mau f stFtm
 ANadehtO" V tcrsr f stFts 4
 AN/ Il Caruet Nloor Covers 4
 ACaruet Nloor Trsx ai d Caruet Tr/ i k f sdvRear
 Car4o - oor Trsx
 ACar4o LuaSe f stFtm
 ANOB Coi trolmris S Tr/ i k wMatSFw ad4ate
 AV ntr/ x ei t 5ai el Covered Bs b- rsvr w5 ammei 4er
 . i d Rear - oor Bs m
 A5o9 er 1nt Ro9 . s do9 m9 w rsvr 1htO/ SF
 Uuw o9 i
 A - elayed . Ssemory 5o9 er
 A5o9 er - oor f oSkm9 w/ toloSk Neat/ re
 ATrsu Cox u/ ter
 AO/ nside Tex u Pa/ 4e
 A i alo4 - smulay
 AL eatm9 wClotF BaSk Matersal
 AMai / al . dj/ ntazle Nroi t Head Rentras tmai d
 Mai / al . dj/ ntazle Rear Head Rentras tm
 ANroi t Cei ter . rx rent 9 wL tora4e ai d Rear Cei ter
 . rx rent
 A1 12E - C 5o9 er O/ tlet
 A s Nttratsoi

SAFETY

AGleStroi sSL tazsdy Coi trol
 A BL . i d - rsvr s e TraSsoi Coi trol
 ALste V uaSt Beax m
 A / al Lta4e - rsvr . i d 5annei 4er LeathMo/ i ted
 Lste . sza4m
 Af o9 Tse 5remm re . ari s 4
 A / al Lta4e - rsvr . i d 5annei 4er Nroi t . sza4m
 AC/ rtaS 1nt . i d 2i d Ro9 . sza4m
 A sza4 OSS/ uai Sy Lei mor
 A - rsvr Ki ee . sza4 ai d 5annei 4er C/ nFsoi Nroi t
 . sza4
 ARear CFsd Laety f oSkm
 AO/ tzoard Nroi t f au . i d LFo/ lder Laety Beltmh
 s S Rear Cei ter 8 5 os tbHestFt . dj/ ntermai d
 5retei nsoi erm
 ABaSkUu Cax era

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

35

MPG varies by load and conditions. See dealer for details.

New

MSRP	\$19,195.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[NG0] 60 Ltate Gx smoi m	\$0
Orst al L Fsuus 4 CFar4e	\$n86
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$20680W00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arls 4toi toyotaVox
 307h802hBg11

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla S
 VIN: 5YFBURHE3GP452316

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Blue Crush Metallic
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- 3820# Gvwr
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access
- Auto Off Projector Beam Led Low Beam Daytime Running Headlamps w/Delay-Off

ENTERTAINMENT

- Automatic Equalizer

INTERIOR

- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts

- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

27



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$17,900.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[Q2] Body Protection Package #1	\$485
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Door Sill Protectors · Rear Bumper Protector · Body Side Moldings 	
[CFO] Carpeted Floor Mats & Trunk Mat	\$225
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,505.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2019
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla SE
 VIN: 5YFBURHE0KP874469

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Exterior: Classic Silver Met
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- 390CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 80 Amp Alternator
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 13.2 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Torsion Beam Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs

EXTERIOR

- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Fixed Rear Window w/Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille
- Trunk Rear Cargo Access

ENTERTAINMENT

- Automatic Equalizer
- Window Grid Antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Cargo Access and Mechanical Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Distance Pacing

- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim and Carpet Trunk Lid/Rear Cargo Door Trim
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Bin, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Toyota Safety Sense P
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

28



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$18,700.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$920
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$19,620.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2017
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Corolla iM
 VIN: JTNKARJE4HJ530039

Engine: 4 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: CVT
 Colors: Black Sand Pearl / Black
 Mileage: 11,443

Stock #: 39992

MECHANICAL

- Front-Wheel Drive
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Electric Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 14 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Strut Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Double Wishbone Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 17" x 7" Alloy
- Tires: 225/45R17 All-Season
- Wheels w/Machined Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Compact Spare Tire Mounted Inside Under Cargo
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper
- Body-Colored Rear Bumper
- Rocker Panel Extensions
- Black Side Windows Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Fixed Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper, Heated Wiper Park and Defroster
- Light Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille
- Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Fully Automatic Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Auto High-Beam Headlamps w/Delay-Off
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: 7" Toyota iM Display Audio -inc: AM/FM/HD featuring Aha, 7" touch-screen display, 6-speaker Pioneer sound system, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port w/iPod connectivity and control, advanced voice recognition, hands-free phone capability, phone book access, music streaming via Bluetooth wireless technology and Gracenote album cover art
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Radio Data System
- Automatic Equalizer
- Digital Signal Processor
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: height adjustable driver seat, 6-way driver seat and 4-way front-passenger seat w/active headrests
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Bench Front Facing Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Leather Steering Wheel

- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Releases -Inc: Mechanical Fuel
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Piano Black/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Leather Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Rigid Cargo Cover
- Cargo Space Lights
- Instrument Panel Colored Front, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- 1 12V DC Power Outlet
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Forward Collision Mitigation
- Lane Departure Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver Knee Airbag and Passenger Cushion Front Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

28



HIGHWAY MPG

36

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,490.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[CF] Carpet Mat Package	\$185
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Carpet Cargo Mat · Carpet Floor Mats 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$895
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$20,570.00

Used

PRICE **\$14,937.00**

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2012
 3 aMe: kToTya
 3 Tt ed 3 ayI AvnHb
 i Q: 2k1RI NbbNGGV4VN42

bBDiBe: NGodBt er bBDiBe
 kraBE7 IEETB: Nhk
 bAyerlTr: GdEE6 Hlger 3 ey
 OyerlTr: Crasntye

MECHANICAL

x1S/z c . . G 15lgaqje NL6odeBDiBe
 - li i kll
 xurTBy- meed rlgc
 xGt eseBt eBy3 a6(merETB Eyr) yfTBy
 E) ESeBBTB
 xkTrBTB wea7 rear E) ESeBBTB
 xurTByP rear Ejawd er warE
 xb06yI6 s- rlaEEEYra6MP sIBTB
 EyeerlBD
 xi eByet fTByETd rear t IE6 wraM6E
 - ts- r aEEEy

EXTERIOR

x15pEjed- meedE- hf d- meed6TgerE
 xke7 sTraro Esare yle
 xGTdrlM6oet EIt e rT6M6r saBeE
 xGTdrlM6oet fTByw) 7 ser
 x3) glreF06yTr maD DeB meat d7 sE
 xGTdrlM6oet meayet s- r7 lrrTrE
 xurTBylByer7 lyeBy- lserE- hf IEy6o6d
 x, ear lByer7 lyeBy- lser
 xzl6eBEe s0ye wra6Mey
 xGTdrlM6oet t TTr naBt dE
 x& ras D) art wTt o srTye6yTB ff7

ENTERTAINMENT

xn3 hu3 EyereT- HGe s0oer lIB6:
 3 (/ f& 3 n s00awa6M6asawd0) a) yT
 ET) Bt dgedBD' jNMVEsaMerE
 xn) Aldaro a) t IT lBs) y
 x, TTF7 T) Byet n3 hu3 aByeBBa

INTERIOR

xurTByEsTryw) 6MeyEeayE lIB6: a6ylge
 meat reEyalByE
 x, ear 50fN0LEsdyfTd lBD weB6mEeay
 xurTBy6TBETd - IEyTraDe wTA
 xGarseyet fTTr
 x/ lEs TMe yghedE6Ts lBD EsTryEyeerlBD
 - meedlIB6: 7 eyad6 a66eByE' a) t IT
 6TByTd
 x· slyrTB lBEyr) 7 eByayTB lIB6:
 EseeT T7 eyer"ja6mT7 eyer" 6TTdBy
 ye7 seray) re Da) De" fJ edDa) De" zGc
 Tt T7 eyer t lEs0o" zGc yls7 eyerE
 t lEs0o" zGc T) yEt e ye7 seray) re
 t lEs0o" - arBIBD7 eEEaDeE
 x, e7 Tye Meo0EE eByro - lsaBl6 feay) re


x(- r - lBt T- E- It riger 1lyT) 6mt T- B
 xGr) lEe 6TByTd
 xnBylmeFyeBDiBe l7 7 Twdf er
 xnlr 6Tbt lYTBIBD- halr fgrayTB
 x, ear - lBt T- t eFTDDer - hyl7 er
 xc) adDd'ge 6T7 sary7 eBy
 xjNM6) s mTd erE
 x12i s- r T) ydy
 xc rigerfTBysaEEeBDer E) B glETrE
 - hf lrrTrE
 xurTBy7 as dDmE
 x07 lBayet eByro
 xnBylE6s 6arDT area yI7
 xkTBBea) 6Tger

SAFETY

xNL- meedaByld6MwraM6E
 xb06yTBi6 wraM6lFr6e t lEyrw) yTB
 xv raM6 aEEEy
 xH7 aryEyt's ye6mBTdDo
 xc aoy7 e r) BBIBD dDmE
 x. lDmYeBBd EyreBDymEeedwIt o saBeE
 xHlt eL7 sa6yt TTr wea7 E
 xurTByP rear eBerDolawETrwBD 6r) 7 s0d
 f TBee
 xurTByat gaB6et alrwaDE- hf66) saBy
 6dEEff6ayTB EeBETr
 xurTByEeayEIt eL7 sa6yalrwaDE
 xurTByP rear EIt e 6) ryalB alrwaDE
 x/ lS TlBBynz, lhz, EeaywedE Ffr ad
 sTBlyTBEE lIB6: fTBySreYeBBITBerE' fTBy
 Ffr6e d7 lyerE' fTByat ') Ejawd EmT) d er wedy
 aB6mTrE
 xznkG. d- er aB6mTrE P yTs yeyrer
 aB6mTrE
 xGmld lsrTye6yTr rear t TTr d6ME
 xbBerDolawETrwBD EyeerlBD 6Tq 7 B
 xklre sreEE) re 7 TBlyTrlBD EoEYe7

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

32

n 9) ad7 lkaDe - lkgaro - lymTsyTBEE t rlgBD 6Tbt lYTBEE t rlgBD meW) EaBl gem6d lE6Tbt lYTB

New

MSRP	\$18,845.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Jub3 K90 Hyaye b7 IEETBE	\$0
JGuUK9 (l66e Garseyet udTr 3 ayE	\$229
· rIDBadHrnts lBD GmarDe	\$849
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$14"V59\$0

Get more information on your smartphone:



Pilson Auto Centers

sldETBa) yT\$T7
 218L2/ NL5N51

Year: 2012
 3 aMe: kToTya
 3 Tt ed 3 aylAvnHb
 i W: 2k1RI NbbNGGV4VN42

bBDiBe: NGodBt er bBDiBe
 kraBE7 IEETB: Nhk
 bAyerlTr: GdEE6 Hlger 3 ey
 GyerlTr: Crasrte

MECHANICAL

x1S/z c . G 15lgaqje NL6odeBDiBe
 - li i kll
 xurTBy- meed rige
 xGt eseBt eBy3 a6(merETB Eyr) yFTBy
 E) ESeBBTB
 xkTrBTB wea7 rear E) ESeBBTB
 xurTByP rear E)awd er warE
 xb06y16 s- rlaEEEyra6MP sIBTB
 EyeerlBD
 xi eByet FTByETd rear t IE6 wraM6E
 - ts- r aEEEy

x(- r - IBt T- E- It riger 1lyT) 6mt T- B
 xGr) lEe 6TByTd
 xnBylmeFyeBDiBe l7 7 Twdf er
 xnlr 6Tbt lyTBIBD- halr frgrayTB
 x, ear- IBt T- t eFTDDer - ly7 er
 xc) adDdige 6T7 sary7 eBy
 xjNM6) s mTd erE
 x12i s- r T) ydy
 xc rigerFTBysaEEeBDer E) B glETrE
 - l7 lrrTrE
 xurTBy7 as dDMyE
 xG7 IBayet eByro
 xnBylE6s 6arDT area y17
 xkTBBea) 6Tger

EXTERIOR

x15pEjed- meedE- hf d- meed6TgerE
 xke7 sTraro Esare yle
 xGTdrLMoet Et e rT6Mer saBeE
 xGTdrLMoet FTByw) 7 ser
 x3) glreF06yTr madDeB meat d7 sE
 xGTdrLMoet meayet s- r7 lrrTrE
 xurTBylByer7 lyeBy- lserE- l7 IEy6o6d
 x, ear lByer7 lyeBy- lser
 xzl6eBEe sdye wra6Mey
 xGTdrLMoet t TTr naBt dE
 x& ras D) art wTt o srTye6yTB fr7

SAFETY

xNL- meedaByld6MwraM6E
 xb06yTB16 wraM6lFr6e t IEyrlw) yTB
 xv raM6 aEEEy
 xH7 aryEYTs ye6mBTdDo
 xc aoy7 e r) BBIBD dDMyE
 x. lDMyeBBd EyeBDymEeedwTt o saBeE
 xHlt eL7 sa6yt TTr wea7 E
 xurTByP rear eBerDolawETrwBD 6r) 7 sd
 f TBee
 xurTByat gaB6et alrwaDE- hf66) saBy
 6dEEfr6ayTB EeBETr
 xurTByeayEt eL7 sa6yalrwaDE
 xurTByP rear Et e 6) ryalB alrwaDE
 x/ lS TIBynz, lbz, EeaywedE Fr ad
 sTBlyTBE lB6: FTBysreyeBBTBeE' FTBy
 Fr6e d7 lyerE' FTByat ') E)awd EmT) d er wey
 aB6mTrE
 xznkG. d- er aB6mTrE P yTs yeyrer
 aB6mTrE
 xGmld lsrTye6yTr rear t TTr d6ME
 xbBerDolawETrwBD EyeerlBD 6Tq 7 B
 xklre sreEE) re 7 TBlyTrlBD EoEje7

ENTERTAINMENT

xn3 hu3 EyereT- HGc sdoer lB6:
 3 (/ l& 3 n s0awa6M6asawd) a) yT
 ET) Bt dgedBD' jNMVEsaMerE
 xn) Aldaro a) t IT lBs) y
 x, TTF7 T) Byet n3 hu3 aByeBBa

INTERIOR

xurTByEsTryw) 6MeyEeayE lB6: a6ylge
 meat reEyalByE
 x, ear 50fNDLESdyFTd lBD weB6mEeay
 xurTBy6TBETd - lEYtraDe wTA
 xGarseyet rTTr
 x/ lEs TMe ydyeE6Ts lBD EsTryEyeerlBD
 - meedlB6: 7 eyad6 a66eByE' a) t IT
 6TByTd
 x· slyrTB lBEy) 7 eByayTB lB6:
 EseeT T7 eyer"ja6mT7 eyer" 6TTdBy
 ye7 seray) re Da) De" fJ edDa) De" zGc
 Tt T7 eyer t lEsdo" zGc yls7 eyerE
 t lEsdo" zGc T) yEt e ye7 seray) re
 t lEsdo"- arBIBD7 eEEaDeE
 x, e7 Tye MeodEE eByro - lsaBl6 Fey) re

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

32

n 6y) ad7 lkaDe - lkgaro - lymTsyTBE t rglBD 6Tbt lYTBE t rglBD meylEaBl gem6d lE6Tbt lyTB

New

MSRP	\$18,845.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Jub3 K90 Hyaye b7 IEETBE	\$0
JGuUK9 (le6e Garseyet udTr 3 ayE	\$229
· rIDlBadHrnts lBD GmarDe	\$849
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$14"V59\$0

Get more information on your smartphone:



Pilson Auto Centers

sldETBa) yT\$T7
 218L2/ NL5N51

Vehicle Photo Archives (/vehicle-archives) | Color Galleries (/colors/) | Paint Codes (/paint-codes/) | News (/news.php) | Data, Info and Specs (/data/) | VIN Decoder (/VIN/)

Home (/) > Data, Info and Specs (/data/) > Toyota (/data/Toyota/) > Matrix (/data/Toyota/Matrix/) > 2013 (/data/Toyota/Matrix/2013/) > S (/data/Toyota/Matrix/2013/73982906.html) > Window Sticker Photos (./Window Sticker.html) > Photo #77252772

2013 Toyota Matrix S Window Sticker Photo #77252772



(./Window Sticker-77252772.html)

OPEN

Maestri Automotive Group
Set private appointment for safe shopping.



(./Window Sticker-77252772.html)

Custom Search Search

All New 2020 Family SUV

2020 Family SUVs Have Arrived

Our goal is to provide the highest quality automotive photo archives available online.
GTCarlot.com Home (/) Privacy Policy (/privacy_policy.php) Terms of Use (/terms_of_use.php) Search (/search/) Car Shows, News and Events (/news.php)

2020. Here Are The Top Searches:
Auto Enthusiasts [Open](#)

Searches For Family SUV's
Auto Enthusiasts [Open](#)

© 2020 World Motor Media (<http://worldmotormedia.com>) 

All data is provided for entertainment purposes only, is subject to change without notice and is provided without warranty of any kind.
Thank you for visiting GTCarlot.com

Year: 2012
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Sequoia LIMITED
 VIN: 5TDKY5G18CS041524

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Sand Beige

MECHANICAL

- 5.7L DOHC EFI 32-valve i-Force V8 engine w/dual VVT-i
- 6-speed automatic transmission -inc: sequential shift mode, uphill/downhill shift logic, tow/haul mode
- Rear wheel drive
- Towing hitch receiver -inc: 4/7-pin wire connector
- Trailer sway control
- Skid plates -inc: engine
- Independent suspension -inc: high-mounted double-wishbone front suspension, double-wishbone rear suspension, coil-springs, gas-filled shock absorbers
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Speed-sensing variable flow control pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr front & rear disc brakes

- Leather 60/40 split recline & pwr fold-flat 3rd row bench
- Optitron instrumentation cluster -inc: speedometer, tachometer, LCD odometer & tripmeters
- Multi-info display -inc: outside temp, compass, current/average fuel consumption, fuel range
- Pwr windows -inc: front 1-touch up/down, jam control
- Cruise control
- Automatic tri-zone front & rear automatic climate control -inc: air filter
- (16) cup & bottle holders
- Overhead console -inc: dual storage areas, driver/front passenger map lights
- Dual sliding visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Rear sunshades
- Illuminated entry system
- Digital quartz clock
- Grocery bag hooks

EXTERIOR

- P275/55R20 mud & snow tires
- Full size spare tire
- Pwr tilt & slide sunroof
- Rear spoiler
- Roof rack
- Running boards
- Front & rear mudguards
- Chrome grille surround
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps -inc: auto-off, headlamp cleaner
- Front fog lamps
- Rear privacy glass
- Chrome auto-dimming pwr folding pwr heated mirrors -inc: integrated turn signals
- Washer-linked variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Windshield wiper de-icer grid
- Rear intermittent wiper
- Chrome door handles
- Pwr rear door w/jam protection
- Pwr rear window -inc: auto-up/down, jam protection, defogger

SAFETY

- Star Safety System -inc: vehicle stability control (VSC), traction control (TRAC), anti-lock brake system (ABS), electronic brake-force distribution (EBD), brake assist (BA), Smart Stop Technology (SST)
- Daytime running lights
- Front energy absorbing crumple zone
- Side impact door beams
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/occupant classification sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- All rows side curtain airbags
- Driver & front passenger knee airbags
- 3-point ALR/ELR seatbelts in all passenger seats, ELR seatbelt in driver seat
- Driver & front passenger seatbelt pretensioners & force limiters
- 2nd & 3rd row lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH) system
- Driver & front passenger seatbelt warning sensor
- Child protector rear hatch & door locks
- Front & rear clearance sonar
- Energy-dissipating interior trim
- Emergency trunk release

INTERIOR

- Leather 40/20/40 split fold-flat recline/slide 2nd row bench seat -inc: 3rd row 1-touch access

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

18

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$51,040.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$52,035.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Mark Mitsubishi Kia
 Scottsdale**

markmitsubishiscottsdale.com
 480-748-4400

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Sequoia LIMITED
 VIN: 5TDJY5G11DS077150

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Silver Sky Metallic
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 5.7L DOHC EFI 32-valve i-Force V8 engine w/dual VVT-i
- 6-speed automatic transmission -inc: sequential shift mode, uphill/downhill shift logic, tow/haul mode
- Multi-Mode 4-wheel drive -inc: Torsen limited-slip locking center differential
- Towing hitch receiver -inc: 4/7-pin wire connector
- Trailer sway control
- Skid plates -inc: engine, transfer case
- Independent suspension -inc: high-mounted double-wishbone front suspension, double-wishbone rear suspension, coil-springs, gas-filled shock absorbers
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Speed-sensing variable flow control pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr front & rear disc brakes

EXTERIOR

- P275/55R20 mud & snow tires
- Full size spare tire
- Pwr tilt & slide moonroof -inc: sliding sunshade
- Color-keyed rear spoiler
- Roof rack
- Running boards
- Front & rear mudguards
- Chrome grille surround
- Multi-reflector halogen headlights -inc: auto-off, headlight cleaner
- Integrated fog lights
- Rear privacy glass
- Chrome auto-dimming pwr folding pwr heated mirrors -inc: integrated turn signals
- Washer-linked variable intermittent windshield wipers
- Windshield wiper de-icer grid
- Rear intermittent wiper
- Chrome door handles
- Pwr liftgate w/jam protection
- Pwr rear window -inc: auto-up/down, jam protection, defogger

INTERIOR

- Leather 40/20/40 split fold-flat recline/slide 2nd row bench seat -inc: 3rd row 1-touch access
- Leather 60/40 split recline & pwr fold-flat 3rd row bench
- Optitron instrumentation cluster -inc: speedometer, tachometer, LCD odometer & tripmeters
- Multi-info display -inc: outside temp, compass, current/average fuel consumption, fuel range
- Pwr windows -inc: front 1-touch up/down, jam control
- Cruise control
- Automatic tri-zone front & rear automatic climate control -inc: air filter
- (16) cup & bottle holders
- Overhead console -inc: dual storage areas, driver/front passenger map lights
- Dual sliding visors w/illuminated vanity mirrors
- Rear sunshades
- Digital quartz clock
- Grocery bag hooks

SAFETY

- Star Safety System -inc: vehicle stability control (VSC), traction control (TRAC), anti-lock brake system (ABS), electronic brake-force distribution (EBD), brake assist (BA), Smart Stop Technology (SST)
- Daytime running lights w/on/off feature
- Front energy absorbing crumple zone
- Side impact door beams
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/occupant classification sensor
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- All rows roll-sensing side curtain airbags w/cutoff switch
- Driver & front passenger knee airbags
- 3-point ALR/ELR seatbelts in all passenger seats, ELR seatbelt in driver seat
- Driver & front passenger seatbelt pretensioners & force limiters
- 2nd & 3rd row lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH) system
- Driver & front passenger seatbelt warning sensor
- Child protector rear hatch & door locks
- Front & rear parking assist sonar
- Energy-dissipating interior trim

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$55,165.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[NV] Voice Activated Navigation System	\$1,575
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · touch screen DVD navigation system · JBL Synthesis AM/FM stereo w/4-disc CD changer · MP3/WMA capability · (14) speakers w/subwoofer · aux audio input · USB input w/iPod connectivity · SiriusXM satellite radio & SiriusXM NavTraffic w/90-day free subscription · backup camera · Bluetooth technology 	
[EY] Rear Seat Entertainment System	\$1,920
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · rear Blu-ray Disc player w/9" display · (2) headsets · wireless remote · 115V AC pwr outlet · RCA jack 	
[CF] Carpet Floor Mats W/Door Sill Protector	\$335
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$59,990.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.AtlantaAutos.com
 678-213-4455

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Sequoia LIMITED
 VIN: 5TDJW5G18ES097080

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Black
 Interior: Sand Beige

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,300 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part And Full-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- HD 180 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing w/Harness, Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- 2 Skid Plates
- 1250# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Double Wishbone Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 20" x 8" Alloy
- Tires: P275/55R20 -inc: Mud and Snow rated radials
- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/2 Tow Hooks
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Chrome Power Heated Auto Dimming Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Power Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Lip Spoiler
- Running Boards
- Colored Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Front License Plate Bracket
- Power Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack
- Fully Automatic Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Washer and Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Wireless Streaming
- Window Grid Diversity Antenna
- 440w Regular Amplifier
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 40-20-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Manual Reclining Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat w/Manual Fore/Aft
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column

- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Fixed 60-40 Split-Bench 3rd Row Seat Front, Power Recline, Power Fold Into Floor, 3 Manual and Adjustable Head Restraints
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Ashtray
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- HomeLink Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts, Auxiliary Rear Heater and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look/Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert, Chrome And Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination, Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror and 5 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Trunk/Hatch Auto-Latch
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate and Rear Window Only
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Perimeter Alarm
- 5 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Front And Rear Parking Sensors
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st, 2nd And 3rd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$56,280.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[RE] Federal Emissions	\$0
[EN0] Radio: Premium Display Audio W/Nav/Entune/Jbl	\$745
[EY] Rear Seat Blu Ray Entertainment System	\$1,920
[CF0] Carpet Floor Mats & Door Sill Protector	\$335
[GN] Cargo Net	\$49
Original Shipping Charge	\$925
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$60,254.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Sequoia LIMITED
 VIN: 5TDJW5G12FS121911

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Silver Sky Metallic
 Interior: Gray

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,300 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part And Full-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- HD 180 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing Equipment -inc: Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- Trailer Wiring Harness
- 2 Skid Plates
- 1250lbs. Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Double Wishbone Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 20" x 8" Alloy
- Tires: P275/55R20 -inc: Mud and Snow rated radials
- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/2 Tow Hooks
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Chrome Power Heated Auto Dimming Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Power Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Lip Spoiler
- Running Boards
- Colored Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Front License Plate Bracket
- Power Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack
- Fully Automatic Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Washer and Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Streaming Audio
- Window Grid Diversity Antenna
- 8 Speakers
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Fixed 60-40 Split-Bench 3rd Row Seat Front, Power Recline, Power Fold Into Floor, 3 Manual and Adjustable Head Restraints
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Ashtray
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts, Auxiliary Rear Heater and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look/Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination, Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror and 5 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Trunk/Hatch Auto-Latch
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate and Rear Window Only
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 5 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Front And Rear Parking Sensors
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st, 2nd And 3rd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts - inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$56,580.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[RE] Federal Emissions	\$0
[EN0] Radio: Premium Display Audio W/Nav/Entune/Jbl	\$745
[BUJ] 2 ND Row Captains Seats	\$0
[Z1] Preferred Accessory Package	\$413
[S0] Skid Plate	\$425
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$59,158.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



**Mark Mitsubishi Kia
 Scottsdale**

markmitsubishiscottsdale.com

480-748-4400

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Sequoia LIMITED
 VIN: 5TDJW5G14GS129283

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Sizzling Crimson Mica
 Interior: Stratus Gray W/linear Woo

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,300 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part And Full-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- HD 180 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing w/Harness, Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- 2 Skid Plates
- 1315# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front And Rear Anti-Roll Bars
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Double Wishbone Rear Suspension w/Coil Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 20" x 8" Alloy
- Tires: P275/55R20 -inc: Mud and Snow rated radials
- Wheels w/Silver Accents
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/2 Tow Hooks
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Chrome Door Handles
- Chrome Power Heated Auto Dimming Side Mirrors w/Power Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Power Rear Window w/Fixed Interval Wiper and Defroster
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Lip Spoiler
- Running Boards
- Colored Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Front License Plate Bracket
- Power Liftgate Rear Cargo Access
- Roof Rack
- Fully Automatic Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps w/Washer and Delay-Off
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- 440w Regular Amplifier
- Wireless Streaming
- Window Grid Diversity Antenna
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 40-20-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Manual Reclining Fold Forward Seatback Rear Seat w/Manual Fore/Aft


- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Fixed 60-40 Split-Bench 3rd Row Seat Front, Power Recline, Power Fold Into Floor, 3 Manual and Adjustable Head Restraints
- Leather Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Ashtray
- Compass
- Valet Function
- Remote Releases -Inc: Power Cargo Access
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts, Auxiliary Rear Heater and Headliner/Pillar Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look/Piano Black Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert, Chrome And Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors w/Driver And Passenger Illumination, Driver And Passenger Auxiliary Mirror
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Conversation Mirror and 5 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Carpet Floor Trim
- Trunk/Hatch Auto-Latch
- Cargo Area Concealed Storage
- Cargo Space Lights
- FOB Controls -inc: Trunk/Hatch/Tailgate and Rear Window Only
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 Seatback Storage Pockets
- Front Center Armrest and Rear Center Armrest
- Perimeter Alarm
- Air Filtration
- 5 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Front And Rear Parking Sensors
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Curtain 1st, 2nd And 3rd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$57,340.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[RE] Federal Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,295
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$58,635.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OffleaseOnly
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2012
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma BASE
 VIN: 5TFTX4CN6CX014455

Engine: 4-CYL
 Transmission: 5MT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Dark Charcoal

MECHANICAL

- 2.7L DOHC EFI 16-valve I4 VVT-i engine
- Automatic rear limited slip differential
- Rear wheel drive
- 1-piece frame rails w/(8) cross members & fully boxed front sub-frame
- Coil-spring double-wishbone front suspension
- Rear leaf-spring suspension w/staggered outboard-mounted gas shock absorbers
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Variable-assist pwr rack & pinion steering
- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Pwr ventilated front disc & rear drum w/rear tandem booster brakes

EXTERIOR

- 15" styled steel wheels
- P215/70R15 all-season tires
- Full-size spare tire
- Rear mudguards
- Black grille insert & argent surround
- Black bumpers, mirrors & door handles
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps
- 2-speed windshield wipers
- Fiber-reinforced sheet-molded composite bed -inc: steel outer panels, storage compartments, rail caps, removable tailgate
- Deck rail system w/(4) adjustable tie-down cleats

- (4) fixed cargo bed tie-down points
- Dual rear-hinged access doors

INTERIOR

- Fold-up rear seats w/underseat storage
- Center console -inc: covered armrest, storage compartment
- (5) cup holders & bottle holders
- Full carpeting
- Tilt & telescopic steering wheel
- Gauges -inc: LCD display, tachometer, coolant temp, fuel level, tripmeter, digital clock
- Pwr windows
- Air conditioning
- Dual 12V aux pwr outlets
- Overhead console -inc: maplights, sunglasses storage

SAFETY

- Brake assist
- Electronic brake-force distribution
- Side-door impact door beams
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/passenger airbag cut-off switch
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point seat belts w/emergency locking retractor at all seating positions -inc: front seat belt pretensioners, force limiters & adjustable shoulder anchors, automatic/emergency locking retractor for front passenger & rear seat belts
- LATCH lower & top tether anchors for front & rear passenger seats
- Tire pressure monitor system

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

25

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$19,915.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FEE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[SL] SR5 PKG	\$1,755
[RL] Daytime Running Lights	\$40
Original Shipping Charge	\$845
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$22,555.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2013
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma BASE
 VIN: 3TMMU4FN2DM053429

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: Automatic 5-spd
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Graphite

MECHANICAL

- 4.0L DOHC EFI 24-valve V6 VVT-i engine
- 5-speed electronically controlled automatic transmission w/(ECT-i)
- 2-speed electronically controlled transfer case
- Automatic limited slip differential
- 4WDemand part-time 4-wheel drive
- 1-piece frame rails w/(8) cross members & fully boxed front sub-frame
- Coil-spring double-wishbone front suspension
- Rear leaf-spring suspension w/staggered outboard-mounted gas shock absorbers
- Front & rear stabilizer bars
- Variable-assist pwr rack & pinion steering
- Pwr ventilated front disc & rear drum w/rear tandem booster brakes

- 60/40 split rear bench seat w/adjustable headrests, underseat storage
- Center console -inc: covered armrest, storage compartment
- (5) cup holders & bottle holders
- Full carpeting
- Tilt & telescopic steering wheel
- Gauges -inc: tachometer, coolant temp, fuel level, LCD display, tripmeter, digital clock
- Pwr windows
- Air conditioning
- Dual 12V aux pwr outlets
- Overhead console -inc: maplights, sunglasses storage
- Rear bulkhead storage

SAFETY

- 4-wheel anti-lock brakes
- Brake assist
- Electronic brake-force distribution
- Smart stop technology
- Side-door impact door beams
- Daytime running lights
- Driver & front passenger advanced airbags w/passenger airbag cut-off switch
- Driver & front passenger seat-mounted side airbags
- Front & rear side curtain airbags
- 3-point seat belts w/emergency locking retractor at all seating positions -inc: front seat belt pretensioners, force limiters & adjustable shoulder anchors, automatic/emergency locking retractor for front passenger & rear seat belts
- LATCH lower & top tether anchors for front passenger seat & all rear seats
- Rear door child safety locks
- Tire pressure monitor system

EXTERIOR

- P245/75R16 mud & snow tires
- Full-size spare tire
- Front & rear mudguards
- Black grille insert & argent surround
- Black bumpers, mirrors, door handles & overfenders
- Multi-reflector halogen headlamps
- Pwr mirrors
- 2-speed windshield wipers
- Fiber-reinforced sheet-molded composite bed -inc: steel outer panels, storage compartments, rail caps, removable tailgate
- Deck rail system w/(4) adjustable tie-down cleats
- (4) fixed cargo bed tie-down points

ENTERTAINMENT

- Bluetooth wireless technology

INTERIOR

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$28,185.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FEE] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[PY] TRD Sport PKG	\$3,200
[TO] Towing PKG	\$650
[CF] Carpet Floor Mats & Door Sill Protectors	\$195
[WI] Spare Tire Lock	\$73
[WL] Alloy Wheel Locks	\$81
Original Shipping Charge	\$860
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$33,244.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma BASE
 VIN: 5TFLU4EN5EX090399

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 5AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Graphite

MECHANICAL

- 3.727 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 5,500 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 65-Amp/Hr 582CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 1 Skid Plate
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Tires: P245/75R16 Mud & Snow
- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Rear Step Bumper
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Fender Flares
- Fixed Rear Window
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Argent Grille
- Splash Guards
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Integrated Storage
- Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock and Speed Compensated Volume Control
- Fixed Antenna

• 6 Speakers

INTERIOR

- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Front Cigar Lighter(s)
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Regular Dome Lighting
- Front Map Lights
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest
- Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

16



HIGHWAY MPG

21

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$27,005.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[SL] SR5 Package	\$1,580
[AL] Wheels: 16" Alloy	\$400
[ED] Radio: Entune Audio Plus	\$680
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AM/FM stereo w/CD player • MP3/WMA playback capability • high-resolution 6.1" touch-screen display • auxiliary audio jack • USB 2.0 port • iPod connectivity and control • iTunes Tagging • Bluetooth hands-free phone capability • phone book access • advanced voice recognition and music streaming • SiriusXM Satellite Radio • 90-day free trial • HD Radio • HD traffic and weather in major metro areas 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$885
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$30,550.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Mullinax Ford Lincoln
Mobile
 251-344-4000

Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma BASE
 VIN: 5TFLU4EN7FX118141

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6MT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Graphite

MECHANICAL

- 3.727 Axle Ratio
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 65-Amp/Hr 582CCA Maintenance-Free Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 1 Skid Plate
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Fixed Rear Window
- Light Tinted Glass
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Integrated Storage
- Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Front Cigar Lighter(s)
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Front Map Lights
- Regular Dome Lighting
- Instrument Panel Covered Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

21



HIGHWAY MPG

28

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$9,751.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[TO] Towing Package	\$650
[SL] SR5 Package	\$1,530
[ED] Radio: Entune Audio Plus	\$680
[E5] Exhaust Tip (E5)	\$85
[CT0] All Weather Floor Mats W/Door Sill Protectors	\$165
Original Shipping Charge	\$900
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,245.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma SR5
 VIN: 5TFSZ5AN1GX009362

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Black For Limited/trail B

MECHANICAL

- Axle Ratio: 3.91
- GVWR: 5,600 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 16" x 7J+30 Style Steel Disc
- Tires: P245/75R16 AS
- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/1 Tow Hook
- Chrome Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Body-Colored Door Handles
- Body-Colored Manual Remote Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Sliding Rear Window
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Reverse Opening Rear Doors
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Tailgate/Rear Door Lock Included w/Power Door Locks
- Integrated Storage
- Auto Off Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Front Fog Lamps
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Plus AM/FM/CD w/Connected Navigation -inc: 6-speakers, 6.1" touch-screen display w/split screen, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port, iPod connectivity and control, integrated backup camera display, Bluetooth hands-free phone capability, phone book access, voice recognition and music streaming, Connected Navigation Scout GPS Link App, Siri eyes free, SiriusXM satellite radio , HD Radio, HD traffic and weather
- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control, Steering Wheel Controls and Voice Activation
- Streaming Audio
- Integrated Roof Antenna
- Real-Time Traffic Display

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 4-way adjustable front seats w/drivers lumbar support
- Front Seats w/Cloth Back Material and Manual Driver Lumbar


- 4-Way Driver Seat -inc: Manual Recline, Fore/Aft Movement and Manual Lumbar Support
- 4-Way Passenger Seat -inc: Manual Recline and Fore/Aft Movement
- Jump Front Facing Fold-Up Cushion Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer, Trip Odometer and Trip Computer
- Fixed Rear Windows
- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/Illuminated Entry and Panic Button
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Interior Trim -inc: Cloth Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Cloth Door Trim Insert
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, Rear Console w/Storage and 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Trip Computer
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Fixed Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags w/Passenger Off Switch
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$30,530.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[DN] SR5 Appearance Package (DN)	\$1,625
[TO] Towing Package	\$650
[CT] All Weather Mats	\$179
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$33,979.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.BillMarsh.com
 800-596-2774

Year: 2017
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma LIMITED
 VIN: 5TFGZ5AN3HX068663

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Magnetic Gray Met.
 Interior: Sand Beige

MECHANICAL

- Axle Ratio: 3.91
- GVWR: 5,600 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 1175# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust w/Chrome Tailpipe Finisher
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 18" x 7.5" Polished Alloy
- Tires: P265/60R18 AS
- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Express Open/Close Sliding And Tilting Glass 1st Row Sunroof w/Sunshade
- Body-Colored Front Bumper w/1 Tow Hook
- Body-Colored Rear Step Bumper w/Black Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Body-Colored Fender Flares
- Chrome Door Handles
- Chrome Power Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding and Turn Signal Indicator
- Power Rear Window
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Integrated Storage
- LED Brakelights
- Auto Off Projector Beam Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Integrated Roof Antenna
- 2 LCD Monitors In The Front

INTERIOR

- Front Bucket Seats -inc: 4-way adjustable front seats w/driver lumbar support
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat

- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Entune Selective Service Internet Access
- Leather/Metal-Look Steering Wheel
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Compass
- HomeLink Garage Door Transmitter
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Dual Zone Front Automatic Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Leather/Metal-Look Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Leatherette Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert, Metal-Look Console Insert and Chrome/Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Leatherette Door Trim Insert
- Day-Night Auto-Dimming Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Floor Console w/Covered Storage, Mini Overhead Console w/Storage, 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest
- Seats w/Leatherette Back Material
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets and 1 AC Power Outlet

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Rear Parking Sensors
- Blind Spot Sensor
- Rear Collision Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG



HIGHWAY MPG

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$39,250.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[TO0] Tow Package	\$650
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 4- and 7-pin connector w/converter · Class IV Hitch Receiver · ATF Cooler · Trailer Sway Control · 130 Amp Alternator · Power Steering Cooler · Engine Oil Cooler 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$995
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$40,895.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.automotiveavenuesnj.com
 844-455-4372

Year: 2018
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma LIMITED
 VIN: 3TMGZ5AN8JM160590

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Black/black

MECHANICAL

- GVWR: 5,600 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- Class IV Towing Equipment -inc: Harness, Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Integrated Storage
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Seek-Scan, Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control and Steering Wheel Controls
- Integrated Roof Antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls

- Distance Pacing
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control (ESC)
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Lane Departure Alert (LDA) Lane Departure Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

22

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,665.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FEA] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,045
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,710.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2019
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tacoma LIMITED
 VIN: 3TMGZ5AN5KM196383

Engine: V6 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Cement Gray Me.
 Interior: Black/black

MECHANICAL

- GVWR: 5,600 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- Battery w/Run Down Protection
- 130 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing Equipment -inc: Harness, Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 21.1 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Front Disc/Rear Drum Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front Vented Discs, Brake Assist, Hill Descent Control and Hill Hold Control

EXTERIOR

- Regular Composite Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Integrated Storage
- LED Brakelights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Integrated Roof Antenna

INTERIOR

- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Flip Forward Cushion/Seatback Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt/Telescoping Steering Column
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls

- Distance Pacing
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Front Map Lights
- Fade-To-Off Interior Lighting
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Instrument Panel Bin, Interior Concealed Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 2nd Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Front Center Armrest
- Manual Anti-Whiplash Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 2 12V DC Power Outlets
- Air Filtration

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control (ESC)
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Lane Departure Alert (LDA) Lane Departure Warning
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners

CITY MPG

18



HIGHWAY MPG

22

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,815.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FEA] 50 State Emissions	\$0
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,045
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$32,860.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Arlington Toyota

arlingtontoyota.com

904-302-9611

Year: 2012
 4 aMe: kToTya
 4 Tt ed kl ct ra nB BAS
 EBV: I kN5 F I N1LUX22902L

Ac3ree: g Uodt er Ac3ree
 kraci 6 ni rTc: 9Ck
 AsyerrTr: x dUm
 BerrTr: x dUmP Weri eayGraW rye

MECHANICAL

. I 7mNNE S, 5 U b2fPadPe riNTrue Eg
 ec3ree fricu: t l adct eVect ecyParra- de
 PadPe y6 r3 DhcyedSecuevaUti i yuaab
 uTcyTdebt ret l uyTc
 . Cl yT6 ayu de net fi dMt n/erecyad
 . HF Se6 act HF D eedtrPe i oi ye6
 DhcyeyTcuad uTcyTdebt 2fi V6et
 yaci /er uai e
 . 5 S - ayero
 . 5 S i yarer
 . NrTcyYT D - TTM
 . kraMer i Dao uTcyTd
 . Bt eVect ecyuTndi Wrc3 - r3 f6 Tl cyet
 t Tl - de Dh - Tce /rTcyi l i V6ci rTc
 DhDfWei i l re cryT3ec 3ai i - TuM v
 i ya- r3er - ar
 . kraV68Tn ad6 l gria/ dPe asde rear
 i l i V6ci rTc Dh ya33eret dDfWei i l re
 cryT3ec 3ai i - TuM
 . 5 ot ral du WDr rauMw Wc rTc i yeerr3
 . z Dr Pecyet t ni u - raMei

EXTERIOR

. z 2Rl l9l & 1g ynei
 . 1gCi V6re D eedw /l dli r3e yne
 . UTdfrMeoet /rTcy- l 6 V6r
 . U- rT6 e rear - l 6 V6r
 . NrTcyw rear 6 l t 3l art i
 . U- rT6 e 3rde i l nrTl ct Dh ayefi rPer
 - l dbyfi yode rccer 3rde
 . 4 l gria/duyTr - ad3ec - eat d6 W
 Dh6 acl ad eat d6 Wat (l i y
 . NT3 d6 W
 . z Dr i d r3 rear W rPauo 3dai i
 . 5 r3 i Tdar ecer3ofa- i Tr- r3 3dai i
 . Earra- de rcyer6 nyecyDret i - red D rV6ri
 . F rct i - red D rV6r t efruer
 . kar3aye ai i ni y
 . SeuMrandi oi ye6 DhHKat (l i ya- de yref
 t TDc uday
 . SeuMrandw yar3aye uaW

INTERIOR

. 10fDao t rPer w HF Dao /rTcyV6i i ec3er
 WDr at (l i ya- de i eay fricu: t rPer w /rTcy
 V6i i ec3er WDr d 6 - ar Dh rPeri WDr y r3-
 i l W rTry
 . j d r3 w reud r3 /Td f/dyrear i eay
 . Uecyer uTci Tde fricu: uTPeret i yTra3ev
 re6 TPa- de l yho yaov12E WDr Tl ydyw/r3
 /Td er i yTra3ev2ct rTD Pecy
 . UarW6y/dT r3
 . , WyrTc rei yl 6 ecyayTc fricu: uTdr
 rd 6 r3ayTcyvau- T6 eyervPTd6 eyervuTdcy
 ye6 W3al 3eval yT yaci 6 ni rTc /d ni v/l edw
 ec3ree Tm ye6 W3al 3ei vt r3jaduM
 . rUS 6 l gria/Tr6 ayTc t ni V6a fricu:
 ul i yT6 r3a- de i eyr3i vtT T6 eyervyrV6 eyer
 rei yacyw aPera3e /l edeuTc T6 ovt ni yacue
 yT e6 W yovaPera3e i V6et vyrW r6 er
 . Url ni e uTcyTd
 . Sl ad8Tce am uTct rT rTc r3 Dh al yT6 ayu
 ude aye uTcyTd
 . & ear 3dai i t e/rTi yer
 .)bK/rTcyw)2Krear ul W- Td eri
 . , Per eat uTci Tde Dh l c3dai i i yTra3e
 . NrTcyw rear 6 aW d6 W

SAFETY

. HF D eedacynduM- raMei Dh raMe ai i ni y
 . j 6 aryi yTW yeu- cT d3o
 . AchuyTcu - raMei/True t ni yn l yTc
 . Saoy6 e rl cccr3 d3- yj
 . SrrPer w /rTcyV6i i ec3er at Pacuet
 am- a3i DhV6i i ec3er ul yT// i Dryu-
 . SrrPer w /rTcyV6i i ec3er i eay6 Tl cyet
 i r3 e am- a3i
 . NrTcyw rear rT dli eci r3 i r3 e ul ryar
 am- a3i
 . SrrPer w /rTcyV6i i ec3er Mbee am- a3i
 . NrTcyw rear W rM r3 ai i ni y Tcar
 . bfW rcyi eay edj r3 adli eayr3 W rT rTci f
 r3u: al yT w e6 er3ecuo d rU m r3 reyauryTr i v
 t rPer w /rTcyV6i i ec3er Weyeci rTceri
 Dh True de ryeri vt rPer e6 er3ecuo d rU m r3
 reyauryTr
 . U- rd fW rTcyuTr rear t TTr d rU M
 . U- rd rei yar3yi oi ye6 yTW yey er acu- Tri
 /Tr rear uecyer i eay
 . & ear Tl y Tart i eayn Ck U5 acu- Tri
 . kme Wei i l re 6 TcyTr i oi ye6

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

18

Cuj ad6 r3a3e DhP rO Dry TW rTci vt rPe3 uTct rTci vt rPe3 - a- rj act Pe- m3l uTct rTc

New

MSRP	\$43,595.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[&A] l 0 j yaye A6 ni i rTci	\$0
[4,] 4 e6 Tro z " G	\$H9l
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2fW rTci t rPer i eay6 e6 Tro • 2fW rTci esyerrTr 6 rTr 6 e6 Tro • 2fW rTci i yeerr3 D- eed6 e6 Tro 	
[Cr] 200l j W rM e Cd rO F - eedl	\$L20
• z 2Rl H l & 20 ynei	
[j &] z F & k r3w j d e 4 TTcrTT/ F h3 d r3c3	\$g10
j l ci - at e	
, r3r3ad j - rW r3 U- ar3e	\$LLl
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$H9vRgl 700

Get more information on your smartphone:



Gravity Autos Sandy Springs

3raP ryoal yTi i act oi W r3c3i 7uT6
 H0H999f1000

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tundra BAS
 EV : NT5FL N514SU01N2X9

3 mngre: i Fylgrdr 3 mngre
 Tran6C66m sxT
 3 utergr: Manretgr Bray Metallgr
 Vtergr: BraVhge

MECHANICAL

GNX. 55E S7 RF 42, balbe g5orpe Ei emgre , grp: dcal grdeVndent bargaf le balbe tgc gm P-grtellempeDapoc6tpally pntrolled grdcptgm
 Gx ctoC atp lgc ged, 6lVdgeremtal
 C9L SeCamd 9, Pheel drpe 6y6teC
 P-eleptomally pntrolled 2, 6Ved tram6er pa6e
 GRS f attery
 GRS 6tarter
 Gront toP hook6
 GTrager 6Pay pntrol
 GvdeVndent pod, 6Wgm hgnh, Cocrted docf le Pghf orre vont 6c6V6n6gm
 P-loP, We66cre ntronemna6 6hopk6D 6taf dger f ar
 GTraV6/ odial Ccltgleavldpe aule rear 6c6V6n6gmP-6tannered loP, We66cre ntronemna6 6hopk6
 GHydraclp WP r rapk H Wgm6teergm
 GPr bented dgp f rake6

EXTERIOR

Gli w6teel Pheel6
 G2NN-X0A1i all, 6ea6omtge6
 Gcoll, 6ge 6V6re Pheel H tge
 Golor, keyed cW6er H phroCe loPer vont f cV6r
 GhroCe rear f cC V6r
 Gront H rear Ccdncard6
 GhroCe nrde 6crocm
 GMcltgreveptor halonemheadlaC W6
 P-Cannal headlaC Wadz6t
 GRnh 6olar emery, af 6orf gm nla66
 GEargaf le grterC gntent Pgrd6hgld P6V6r6
 GL grd6hgld P6V6r de, gper
 GAdgnate a666t

GSepk ragH tagnate paV6

INTERIOR


G , Pay adz6taf le drgrer H 9, Pay vont V666emer 6eat6
 G6W6 old, cWrear 6eat
 CF arV6t voorgm
 GOrthame tdt 9, 6W6ke 6teergm Pheel
 P-polcC m6hgter
 Gx malon gr6trcCematgm, grp: polr dlcC gratgmDaphoCeterDoltCeterDpoolant teC WhacneDacto tran6C66gmvcgdDcel H emgre odteC Whacne6Ddgdal plopk
 G FS d6W6y, grp: odoCeterDr6V6Ceter
 GPr Pgrd6P6 P-drgrer6 1, tocph acto doPm
 GScal / omr ag pomdgm
 G(9) vont H (2) rear pcWholder6
 G(2) vont WP r V6grt6 H (1) rear WP r V6grt

SAFETY

G9, Pheel antglopk f rake6 P-f rake a666t
 GCart 6toWtphnolony
 Gleptrygm f rake, orpe d6tr6 ctgm
 GSaytCe rcmmgm lght6
 GSrgrer H vont V666emer adbamped agf an6 P-V666emer pctow6Pgp
 GSrgrer H vont V666emer 6eat C ocrted 6gle agf an6
 Gront H rear roll, 6em6gm 6gle pcrtagm agf an6
 GSrgrer H vont V666emer krree agf an6
 G4, V6grt 6eatf elt6 gnall 6eatgm V666gm6 , grp: acto H eCernemny lopkgm retraptor6D drgrer H vont V666emer Weten6gmner6
 P- orpe lgc ger6Ddrgrer eCernemny lopkgm retraptor
 Ghgd, Woteptor rear door lopk6
 Ghgd re6tragrnt 6y6teC toWtether amphor6 vor rear penter 6eat
 GAear octf oard 6eat . x TFR amphor6
 Gtge We66cre Comgr 6y6teC

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual City and Highway mileage may vary. See owner's manual for more information.

New

MSRP	\$33,095.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
jA3' ND & tate 3C66gm6	[0
jF8' Fombempe 8] B	[4i N
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6l6gm rear Pgrd6P P-W6p6y nla66 cmder 6eat 6torane tray rear W6p6y nla66 penter pom6ole nated 6hg6t leber 	
jTM' 8L A Reated ToP Mgror6	[s0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cannally euten6af le tcrm6gnal6 	
jS&x' Sepk Aad &y6teC	[14N
j3E' x C-5C &tereo L -Fd-L Ca-MW 8layer	[N10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (s) 6V6aker6 gnenrated &gg6UM 6atell6ge radgr P-(4) Cornh 6cf 6pr6gm \$lcetooth paV6f ddy acu H O&\$ acdgr grW6t6 P-6 od pomreptdgy 	
7 rgnral &hgWgm Fharne	[" " N
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	[4ND i 0-00

Get more information on your smartphone:



PPP-red6actoaandtrcpk-poC
 404, X2s, NN20

Year: 2014
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tundra SR5
 VIN: 5TFUY5F1XEX357675

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Blue Ribbon Met
 Interior: Graphite

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,100 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 170 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing Equipment -inc: Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- Trailer Wiring Harness
- 1 Skid Plate
- 1500lbs. Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 18" x 8J Styled Steel
- Tires: P255/70R18 AS BSW
- Regular Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and 2 Tow Hooks
- Black Rear Step Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Sliding Rear Window
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Sliding Rear Window w/Privacy Glass -inc: rear side privacy glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Manual-Leveling Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Cargo Lamp w/High Mount Stop Light
- Clear Front Fog & Driving Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio Display AM/FM/HD w/CD Player -inc: MP3/WMA playback capability, high-resolution 7" touch-screen display, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port, iPod connectivity and control, iTunes Tagging, traffic and weather, Bluetooth hands-free phone capability, phone book access, voice recognition and music streaming, SIRIUSXM Satellite Radio, 90-day free trial
- Radio w/Clock, Speed Compensated Volume Control and External Memory Control
- Fixed Antenna
- 6 Speakers
- Streaming Audio
- Bluetooth Wireless Phone Connectivity

INTERIOR

- 3-Passenger Front Bench Seat -inc: 40/20/40 split fold-down, 4-way manual adjustable front seats
- Driver Seat
- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold-Up Cushion Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Voltmeter, Oil Pressure, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/4 Door Curb/Courtesy and Panic Button
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Delay Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Illuminated Entry System -inc: illumination for room and feet
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Pickup Cargo Box Lights
- Dashboard Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 1st Row Underseat Storage
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Down
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$34,295.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,100
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$35,395.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



www.platinumautos.com
 206-650-0505

Year: 2015
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tundra SR5
 VIN: 5TFDY5F14FX452105

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Black
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,200 lbs
- Electronic Transfer Case
- Part-Time Four-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 170 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing Equipment -inc: Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- Trailer Wiring Harness
- 1 Skid Plate
- 1575lbs. Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Auto Locking Hubs
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 18" x 8J Styled Steel
- Tires: P255/70R18 AS Michelin
- Regular Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent and 2 Tow Hooks
- Black Rear Step Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Power Rear Window w/Defroster
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Deep Tinted Glass
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Black Grille w/Chrome Surround
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Manual-Leveling Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Cargo Lamp w/High Mount Stop Light
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio Display AM/FM/HD w/CD Player -inc: MP3/WMA playback capability, high-resolution 7" touch-screen display, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port, iPod connectivity and control, iTunes Tagging, traffic and weather, Bluetooth hands-free phone capability, phone book access, voice recognition and music streaming, SIRIUSXM Satellite Radio, 90-day free trial
- Radio w/Clock
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 3-Passenger Front Bench Seat -inc: 40/20/40 split fold-down, 4-way manual adjustable front seats
- Driver Seat


- Passenger Seat
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold-Up Cushion Rear Seat w/Manual Fore/Aft
- Manual Tilt Steering Column
- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Voltmeter, Oil Pressure, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/4 Door Curb/Courtesy, Illuminated Entry and Panic Button
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Delay Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Pickup Cargo Box Lights
- Dashboard Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 1st Row Underseat Storage
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Down
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Rear Center Armrest
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

13



HIGHWAY MPG

17

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$37,030.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[OF] TRD Off Road Package	\$2,030
[SP] SR5 Upgrade Package	\$1,015
[DS0] Deck Rail System	\$125
[WL0] Alloy Wheel Locks	\$80
[WI0] Spare Tire Lock	\$75
Exhaust Tip	\$99
[LB0] Spray In Bedliner	\$529
[CF0] Carpet Floor Mats & Door Sill Protector	\$195
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,195
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$42,373.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



OCX - Fullerton

ocautox.com
 714-515-6200

Year: 2016
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tundra SR5
 VIN: 5TFEW5F18GX208467

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Super White
 Interior: Graphite

MECHANICAL

- 4.30 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 7,000 lbs (3,175 kgs)
- Rear-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 170 Amp Alternator
- Class IV Towing w/Harness, Hitch, Brake Controller and Trailer Sway Control
- 1650# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vented Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Regular Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Rear Step Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Power Rear Window w/Defroster
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Chrome Grille
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual-Leveling Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Cargo Lamp w/High Mount Stop Light
- Front Fog Lamps

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio w/Clock
- Fixed Antenna

INTERIOR

- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold-Up Cushion Rear Seat w/Manual Fore/Aft

- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Full Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Delay Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Pickup Cargo Box Lights
- Dashboard Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 1st Row Underseat Storage
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Front Center Armrest w/Storage and Rear Center Armrest
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

25



HIGHWAY MPG

23

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$518 4. 0 .
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[RE] Federal Emissions	\$0
[SP] SR5 Upgrade Package	\$1,220
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • front console box w/3 cupholders and replaces standard equipment column shifter w/center console gated urethane shift lever and knob • HomeLink Universal Transceiver • Urethane Tilt/Telescopic 4-Spoke Steering Wheel • Compass • Electrochromic Rearview Mirror • Anti-Theft Immobilizer w/Alarm • Front Bucket Seats • 8-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar and 4-way manual adjustable front passenger seat • 38 Gallon Fuel Tank Capacity 	
[DS0] Deck Rail System	\$125
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 adjustable tie-down cleats 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,295
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$37,610.00

Gser rns aumfr oeamu mu t myf nr ofepmus:



OilsonsOut
 offleaseonly.com

Year: 2017
 Make: Toyota
 Model: Tundra SR5
 VIN: 5TFRM5F17HX115075

Engine: 8 Cylinder Engine
 Transmission: 6AT
 Exterior: Inferno
 Interior: Black

MECHANICAL

- 3.91 Axle Ratio
- GVWR: 6,700 lbs
- Rear-Wheel Drive
- 710CCA Maintenance-Free Battery
- 100 Amp Alternator
- Class III Towing w/Harness, Hitch and Trailer Sway Control
- 1 Skid Plate
- 1600# Maximum Payload
- Gas-Pressurized Shock Absorbers
- Front Anti-Roll Bar
- Hydraulic Power-Assist Speed-Sensing Steering
- 26.4 Gal. Fuel Tank
- Single Stainless Steel Exhaust
- Double Wishbone Front Suspension w/Coil Springs
- Leaf Rear Suspension w/Leaf Springs
- 4-Wheel Disc Brakes w/4-Wheel ABS, Front And Rear Vent Discs and Brake Assist
- Brake Actuated Limited Slip Differential

EXTERIOR

- Wheels: 18" x 8J Styled Steel
- Tires: P255/70R18 AS BSW
- Regular Box Style
- Steel Spare Wheel
- Full-Size Spare Tire Stored Underbody w/Crankdown
- Clearcoat Paint
- Black Front Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Rear Step Bumper w/Chrome Rub Strip/Fascia Accent
- Black Side Windows Trim, Black Front Windshield Trim and Black Rear Window Trim
- Black Door Handles
- Black Power Heated Side Mirrors w/Manual Folding
- Sliding Rear Window
- Front Windshield -inc: Sun Visor Strip
- Variable Intermittent Wipers w/Heated Wiper Park
- Sliding Rear Window w/Privacy Glass -inc: rear side privacy glass
- Fully Galvanized Steel Panels
- Splash Guards
- Chrome Grille
- Tailgate Rear Cargo Access
- Manual Tailgate/Rear Door Lock
- Manual-Leveling Auto Off Aero-Composite Halogen Daytime Running Headlamps
- Cargo Lamp w/High Mount Stop Light
- Clear Front Fog & Driving Lights

ENTERTAINMENT

- Radio: Entune Audio Display AM/FM/HD w/CD Player -inc: connected navigation Scout GPS Link app, MP3/WMA playback capability, 6 speakers, high-resolution 7" touch-screen display, auxiliary audio jack, USB 2.0 port, iPod connectivity and control, HD traffic and weather in major metro areas, Bluetooth hands-free phone capability, phone book access, advanced voice recognition and music streaming, Siri Eyes Free, integrated backup camera display and subscription free access to Entune App Suite
- Radio w/Clock
- Fixed Antenna
- SIRIUSXM Satellite Radio -inc: Gracenote album cover art and 3-month complimentary SiriusXM all access radio trial, NOTE: Access to Entune App Suite is subscription free, See toyota.com/entune for details

INTERIOR

- 3-Passenger Front Bench Seat -inc: 40/20/40 split fold-down, 4-way manual adjustable front seats
- 60-40 Folding Split-Bench Front Facing Fold-Up Cushion Cloth Rear Seat
- Manual Tilt Steering Column

- Gauges -inc: Speedometer, Odometer, Voltmeter, Oil Pressure, Engine Coolant Temp, Tachometer and Trip Odometer
- Power Rear Windows
- Front Cupholder
- Rear Cupholder
- Remote Keyless Entry w/4 Door Curb/Courtesy and Panic Button
- Cruise Control w/Steering Wheel Controls
- Manual Air Conditioning
- HVAC -inc: Underseat Ducts
- Illuminated Locking Glove Box
- Driver Foot Rest
- Full Cloth Headliner
- Urethane Gear Shift Knob
- Interior Trim -inc: Metal-Look Instrument Panel Insert, Metal-Look Door Panel Insert and Metal-Look Interior Accents
- Fabric Seat Trim
- Day-Night Rearview Mirror
- Driver And Passenger Visor Vanity Mirrors
- Mini Overhead Console w/Storage and 3 12V DC Power Outlets
- Delay Off Interior Lighting
- Front And Rear Map Lights
- Illuminated Entry System -inc: illumination for room and feet
- Full Carpet Floor Covering
- Pickup Cargo Box Lights
- Dashboard Storage, Driver / Passenger And Rear Door Bins and 1st Row Underseat Storage
- Power 1st Row Windows w/Driver 1-Touch Down
- Power Door Locks w/Autolock Feature
- Delayed Accessory Power
- Systems Monitor
- Outside Temp Gauge
- Analog Display
- 1 Seatback Storage Pocket
- Seats w/Cloth Back Material
- Manual Adjustable Front Head Restraints and Manual Adjustable Rear Head Restraints
- Rear Under Seat Storage Tray
- Air Filtration
- 3 12V DC Power Outlets

SAFETY

- Electronic Stability Control
- ABS And Driveline Traction Control
- Side Impact Beams
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Seat-Mounted Side Airbags
- Low Tire Pressure Warning
- Dual Stage Driver And Passenger Front Airbags
- Airbag Occupancy Sensor
- Driver And Passenger Knee Airbag
- Curtain 1st And 2nd Row Airbags
- Rear Child Safety Locks
- Outboard Front Lap And Shoulder Safety Belts -inc: Rear Center 3 Point, Height Adjusters and Pretensioners
- Back-Up Camera

CITY MPG

15



HIGHWAY MPG

19

Actual mileage will vary with options, driving conditions, driving habits and vehicle's condition

New

MSRP	\$31,930.00
INSTALLED OPTIONS	
[FE0] 50 State Emissions	\$0
[SL] SR5 Package	\$0
[SP0] SR5 Upgrade Package	\$1,015
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · front console box w/3 cupholders and replaces standard equipment column shifter w/center console gated urethane shift lever and knob · HomeLink Universal Transceiver · Urethane Tilt/Telescopic 4-Spoke Steering Wheel · Compass · Electrochromic Rearview Mirror · Anti-Theft Immobilizer w/Alarm · Front Bucket Seats · 10-way power adjustable driver's seat w/power lumbar and 4-way manual adjustable front passenger seat 	
[DS0] Deck Rail System	\$125
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 4 adjustable tie-down cleats 	
Original Shipping Charge	\$1,295
RETAIL PRICE (ORIGINALLY NEW)	\$34,365.00

Get more information on your smartphone:



Springhill Toyota
 www.springhilltoyota.com/
 251-378-2935

EXHIBIT 8

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon	2012	Seven Airbags... Avalon's advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) is a marvel of safety technology. Employing sophisticated sensors, the system includes seven airbags: driver and front passenger airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, and a driver knee airbag. Also at the ready are 3-point front seatbelts with adjustable shoulder anchors and an energy-absorbing steering column. In addition, front and rear crumple zones and side-impact door beams surround the passenger cabin.	Toyota Avalon 2012 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2013	Ten airbags — includes driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags, and front and rear side curtain airbags	Toyota Avalon 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2014	Add a class-leading ten standard airbags and you have a system working together with one purpose in mind: a more confident drive Ten airbags: Avalon comes standard with ten airbags, including driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Avalon 2014 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon	2014	Equipped with 10 standard airbags, the Toyota Avalon has earned the Top Safety Pick rating from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS).	December 02, 2013 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2014-toyota-avalon-avalon-hybrid-2nd-year-bold-redesign/ Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2015	Add ten standard airbags and you have a system working together with one purpose in mind: a more confident drive. Ten airbags: Avalon comes standard with ten airbags, including driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Avalon 2015 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2016	Safety features that are designed around you...The road can be unpredictable, that's why Avalon is equipped with ten standard airbags	Toyota Avalon 2016 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon	2016	Safety In All Directions. The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	October 16, 2015 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2016-toyota-avalon-hybrid-sporty-midsized-with-power/ Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2017	10 Airbags include dual-stage driver and front passenger airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags, and front and rear head/side curtain airbags.	Toyota Avalon 2017 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2017	Safety In All Directions. The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	September 29, 2016 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2017-toyota-avalon-premium-value-advancing-cause-standard-toyota-safety-sensep/ Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon	2018	Every 2018 Avalon model is equipped with ten standard airbags and integrated features like Star Safety System™ and Toyota Safety Sense™ P (TSS-P)30 to help you drive with confidence.	Toyota Avalon 2018 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon	2018	Safety Technology Aplenty – The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	October 05, 2017 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2018-toyota-avalon-premium-sedan-without-premium-price/ Toyota Sales USA
Avalon Hybrid	2013	Ten airbags — includes driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags, and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Avalon 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon Hybrid	2014	<p>Add a class-leading ten standard airbags and you have a system working together with one purpose in mind: a more confident drive.</p> <p>Ten airbags: Avalon comes standard with ten airbags, including driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Toyota Avalon 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Avalon Hybrid	2014	<p>Equipped with 10 standard airbags, the Toyota Avalon has earned the Top Safety Pick rating from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS).</p>	<p>December 02, 2013 Press Release</p> <p>https://pressroom.toyota.com/2014-toyota-avalon-avalon-hybrid-2nd-year-bold-redesign</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Avalon Hybrid	2015	<p>Add ten standard airbags and you have a system working together with one purpose in mind: a more confident drive.</p> <p>Ten airbags: Avalon comes standard with ten airbags, including driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, rear seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Toyota Avalon 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon Hybrid	2016	Safety features that are designed around you...The road can be unpredictable, that's why Avalon is equipped with ten standard airbags.	Toyota Avalon 2016 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon Hybrid	2016	Safety In All Directions. The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	October 16, 2015 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2016-toyota-avalon-hybrid-sporty-midsized-with-power/ Toyota Sales USA
Avalon Hybrid	2017	Safety In All Directions. The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	September 29, 2016 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2017-toyota-avalon-premium-value-advancing-cause-standard-toyota-safety-sensep/ Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Avalon Hybrid	2018	Every 2018 Avalon model is equipped with ten standard airbags and integrated features like Star Safety System™ and Toyota Safety Sense™ P (TSS-P)30 to help you drive with confidence.	Toyota Avalon 2018 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Avalon Hybrid	2018	Safety Technology Aplenty – The Avalon comes equipped with 10 standard airbags.	October 05, 2017 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2018-toyota-avalon-premium-sedan-without-premium-price/ Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2009	Driver front airbag and front passenger airbag with Advanced Airbag System Driver and front passenger front seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Corolla 2009 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2010	Standard safety features on Corolla include front, side and side curtain airbags.	Toyota Corolla 2010 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Corolla	2011	Six Airbags – Driver and front passenger advanced airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, and front and rear side curtain airbags are all standard.	Toyota Corolla 2011 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2012	Six airbags. A driver and front passenger advanced airbag system, front seat mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, and front and rear side curtain airbags are all standard.	Toyota Corolla 2012 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2013	Six Airbags – A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, front seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, and front and rear side curtain airbags are all standard.	Toyota Corolla 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2014	Safety never goes out of style. So we made it standard...8 airbags. A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, and new driver knee and passenger seat-cushion airbags come standard on Corolla. It's all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Corolla 2014 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Corolla	2014	Corolla is expected to perform very well in collision safety ratings test. Four Distinct Grades; High-level of Standard Equipment – [A]ll Corollas feature . . . eight airbags.	June 06, 2013 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/toyota-reveals-next-generation-corolla-june6/ Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2015	8 airbags – A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, and driver knee and front passenger seat-cushion airbags come standard on Corolla. It’s all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Corolla 2015 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2015	Safety is always a top priority with all Toyota models and Corolla is no exception, as witnessed by it earning a NHTSA 5-star safety rating in 2014.	September 26, 2014 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2015-toyota-corolla-world-leader/ Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2016	8 airbags – A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, driver knee and front passenger seat-cushion airbags come standard on Corolla. It’s all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Corolla 2016 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Corolla	2017	Eight standard airbags – A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, front seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, front and rear side curtain airbags, plus driver knee and front passenger seat-cushion airbags. They’re all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Webpage available on September 16, 2016 Available at: http://www.toyota.com/corolla/ (last visited May 18, 2020 Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2018	A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, and driver knee and front passenger seat-cushion airbags come standard on Corolla. It’s all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Corolla 2018 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Corolla	2019	Eight Airbags – A driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags, and driver knee and front passenger seat-cushion airbags come standard on Corolla. It’s all part of a system designed to help keep you safe.	Toyota Corolla 2019 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Corolla Matrix	2011	<p>Does Matrix even do safety well? Absolutely. Matrix offers some of the most advanced safety equipment in the industry. It starts with the Star Safety System,TM standard on all Matrix models, and its many “active” safety features, including Enhanced Vehicle Stability Control (VSC) and Traction Control (TRAC). Add to that a host of standard “passive” safety features, such as six airbags, active front headrests, and front and rear crumple zones . . .</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System –Standard on every Matrix, the system senses impact severity in certain types of frontal collisions and adjusts airbag deployment accordingly.</p>	<p>Toyota Corolla Matrix 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Corolla Matrix	2012	<p>Advanced airbag system – The 2012 matrix comes standard with an advanced front airbag system that provides dual stage functionality. The system senses impact severity and adjusts airbag deployment accordingly.</p>	<p>Toyota Corolla Matrix 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Corolla Matrix	2013	<p>Advanced airbag system – Matrix comes standard with an advanced front airbag system that provides dual stage functionality. The system senses impact severity and adjusts airbag deployment accordingly.</p>	<p>Corolla Matrix 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Sequoia	2012	Sequoia’s comprehensive Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) provides a total of eight airbags, including standard driver and front passenger knee airbags.	Toyota Sequoia 2012 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Sequoia	2013	<p>Comprehensive airbag system...the standard driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System senses impact severity, adjusting airbag deployment accordingly.</p> <p>Knee airbags – Sequoia’s comprehensive Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) provides a total of eight airbags, including standard driver and front passenger knee airbags.</p> <p>All-row side curtain airbags – Helping to protect all three of the Sequoia’s rows from front to back are standard all-row side curtain airbags.</p>	Toyota Sequoia 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Sequoia	2014	<p>Comprehensive Airbag System...the standard driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System senses impact severity, adjusting airbag deployment accordingly.</p> <p>Knee airbags – Sequoia’s comprehensive Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) provides a total of eight airbags, including standard driver and front passenger knee airbags.</p> <p>All-row side curtain airbags – Helping to protect all three of the Sequoia’s rows from front to back are standard all-row side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Toyota Sequoia 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Sequoia	2015	<p>The 2015 Sequoia is equipped with a dual stage advanced front air bag system, seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, roll-sensing side curtain airbags for all three seating rows, plus driver and front passenger knee airbags.</p>	<p>September 26, 2014 Press Release</p> <p>https://pressroom.toyota.com/2015-toyota-sequoia-suv-family-adventures/</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Sequoia	2015	<p>Comprehensive Airbag System... the standard driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System senses impact severity, adjusting airbag deployment accordingly.</p> <p>Knee Airbags – Sequoia’s comprehensive Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) provides a total of eight airbags, including standard driver and front passenger knee airbags.</p> <p>All-row side curtain airbags – Helping to protect all three of the Sequoia’s rows from front to back are standard all-row side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Toyota Sequoia 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>
Sequoia	2016	<p>Comprehensive Airbag System... the standard driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System senses impact severity, adjusting airbag deployment accordingly.</p> <p>Knee Airbags – Sequoia’s comprehensive Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) provides a total of eight airbags, including standard driver and front passenger knee airbags.</p> <p>All-row side curtain airbags – Helping to protect all three of the Sequoia’s rows from front to back are standard all-row side curtain airbags</p>	<p>Toyota Sequoia 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Toyota Sales USA</p>

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Sequoia	2016	The Sequoia is equipped with a dual stage advanced front air bag system, seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, roll-sensing side curtain airbags for all three seating rows, plus driver and front passenger knee airbags.	August 31, 2015 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2016-toyota-sequoia-debut/ Toyota Sales USA
Sequoia	2017	8 Airbags. Comprehensive Airbag System...the standard driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System senses impact severity, adjusting airbag deployment accordingly.	Toyota Sequoia 2017 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Sequoia	2017	The Sequoia is equipped with a dual stage advanced front air bag system, seat-mounted side airbags for the driver and front passenger, roll-sensing side curtain airbags for all three seating rows, plus driver and front passenger knee airbags.	October 10, 2016 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2017-toyota-sequoia-big-power-roominess-capability/ Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2012	Comprehensive Airbag System – Should trouble prove unavoidable, Tacoma provides a comprehensive airbag system that includes driver and front passenger airbags with the Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Tacoma 2012 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tacoma	2013	Comprehensive Airbag System – Should trouble prove unavoidable, Tacoma provides a comprehensive airbag system that includes driver and front passenger airbags with the Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Tacoma 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2014	6 Airbags - Should trouble prove unavoidable, Tacoma provides a comprehensive airbag system that includes driver and front passenger airbags with the advanced Airbag System, front passenger airbag cutoff switch, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags and front and rear side curtain bags.	Toyota Webpage available on January 22, 2014 Available at: http://www.toyota.com/tacoma/ (last visited May 18, 2020) Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2015	Six Airbags – Even the most daring adventurers take safety seriously. Should trouble prove unavoidable, Tacoma provides a comprehensive airbag system that includes driver and front passenger airbags with the Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, and front and rear side curtain airbags.	Toyota Tacoma 2015 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tacoma	2015	Every Tacoma has standard three-point seatbelts for all seating positions, front seat-mounted side airbags, roll-sensing side curtain airbags (including a cutoff switch), and a front advanced dual-stage airbag system.	September 10, 2014 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2015-toyota-tacoma-product-news-release/ Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2016	Peace of mind for the journey ahead...Adding to Tacoma's safety features are a driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System and knee airbags, front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags (RSCA), and a new, stronger door structure for enhanced side-impact performance.	Toyota Tacoma 2016 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2016	Safety first – Every Tacoma has standard three-point seatbelts for all seating positions, driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger knee airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags.	August 17, 2015 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2016-toyota-tacoma-debut-aug17/ Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2017	Peace of mind for the journey ahead. Among Tacoma's safety features are a driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System and knee airbags, front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags (RSCA), and a beefy door structure to help enhance side-impact performance.	Toyota Tacoma 2017 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tacoma	2017	Safety Standout... Every Tacoma has driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger knee airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags.	November 08, 2016 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2017-tacoma-welcomes-new-trd-pro-lineup/ Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2018	Driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System Driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, driver and front passenger knee airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags	Toyota Tacoma 2018 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tacoma	2018	Safety Standout – Every Tacoma has driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger knee airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags.	October 25, 2017 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2018-tacoma-ready-adventure-toyota-safety-sense-p-across-lineup/ Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tacoma	2019	Every Tacoma has the driver and front passenger Advanced Airbag System, driver and front passenger knee airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags.	November 02, 2018 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2019-toyota-tacoma-adds-style-capability-with-new-sx-package-and-trd-pro/ Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2012	There's Only One Way To Work: Safety First – You don't take chances on the job site, and you don't have to take chances on the way there either. In four crash tests conducted by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) — front, side, rear and roof strength — Tundra Double Cab earned the top rating. In fact, Tundra was the first full-size pickup truck ever named a Top Safety Pick by the IIHS. And no wonder: Tundra comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags, and driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags — all standard. Now that's what we call a work ethic.	Toyota Tundra 2012 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tundra	2013	There's Only One Way To Work: Safety First – You don't take chances on the job site, and you don't have to take chances on the way there either. In four crash tests conducted by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) — front, side, rear and roof strength — Tundra Double Cab earned the top rating. In fact, Tundra was the first full-size pickup truck ever named a Top Safety Pick by the IIHS. And no wonder: Tundra comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags, and driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags — all standard. Now that's what we call a work ethic.	Toyota Tundra 2013 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2013	Tundra features standard front seat-mounted side airbags, and front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags (RSCA) in all models, along with adjustable headrests for all seating positions. Front seatbelts include pretensioners and force limiters. All models are equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags with an Advanced Airbag System, and driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags.	September 14, 2012 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2013-toyota-tundra-display-navigation-entune/ Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2014	Eight Airbags – Tundra also comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags. And only Tundra has driver/front outboard passenger knee airbags. No other truck has that.	Toyota Tundra 2014 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA

Toyota Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

TOYOTA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Tundra	2015	Eight Airbags – Tundra also comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags. Additionally, driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags are standard equipment.	Toyota Tundra 2015 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2016	Eight Airbags – Tundra also comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags. Additionally, driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags are standard equipment.	Toyota Tundra 2016 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2017	Heavy-duty peace of mind to help carry you through the long haul. Eight Airbags – Tundra also comes equipped with driver and front outboard passenger airbags, side curtain and front seat-mounted side airbags. Additionally, driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags are standard equipment.	Toyota Tundra 2017 Vehicle Brochure Toyota Sales USA
Tundra	2017	The eight standard airbags include driver and front passenger seat-mounted side airbags, front and rear Roll-sensing Side Curtain Airbags, driver and front outboard passenger airbags with an Advanced Airbag System, and the segment’s first standard driver and front outboard passenger knee airbags.	September 08, 2016 Press Release https://pressroom.toyota.com/2017-toyota-tundra-brawny-brainy-comfortable/ Toyota Sales USA

EXHIBIT 9

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Forte	2010	Forte offers a class-leading level of standard safety equipment, with features such as active front headrests, advanced two-stage airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, four-wheel disc brakes with an antilock brake system (ABS), electronic stability control (ESC) and traction control (TCS), and a tire pressure monitoring system (TPMS).	February 11, 2009 Press Release Kia USA
Forte	2011	Forte offers an exceptional level of standard safety equipment, with features such as front active headrests, dual advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, full-length side curtain airbags.	October 11, 2010 Press Release Kia USA
Forte	2012	<p>From six airbags to Electronic Stability Control (ESC), the Forte has a comprehensive list of advanced safety systems. These safety features are standard equipment on every Forte.</p> <p>2011 Top Safety Pick</p> <p>Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags for both front and rear seating positions are managed by an advanced sensor system. Forte wraps all of this — and you — in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.</p> <p>This advanced system monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	Kia Forte 2012 Vehicle Brochure Kia USA

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Forte	2013	Prepare for the unexpected. Forte’s safety systems are designed to help minimize injury when a collision is unavoidable. The front seats feature active headrests and seat-belt pretensioners. Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags for both front and rear seating positions are managed by an advanced sensor system. Forte wraps all of this – and you – in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.	Kia US Forte 2013 Vehicle Brochure Kia USA
Forte	2013	<p><i>2013 Kia Forte And Forte 5-door – Popular Compact Sedan and 5-Door Continue to Offer Style, Comfort and Fuel Efficiency</i></p> <p>Forte sedan and its sibling hatchback return to the Kia vehicle lineup for 2013 with an impressive combination of smart styling, value, technology, safety features and comfort.</p> <p>Plethora of Standard Safety Features – Forte offers an impressive array of standard safety equipment, featuring front active headrests, dual advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, full-length side curtain airbags, side-impact door beams, front and rear crumple zones, four-wheel disc brakes with an Antilock Brake System (ABS), Electronic Brake-force Distribution (EBD) and a Brake Assist System (BAS), Electronic Stability Control.</p>	July 2, 2012 Press Release Kia USA

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Forte Koup	2011	Forte Koup offers the same impressive list of standard safety equipment as the sedan, with features such as front active headrests, dual advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, full-length side curtain airbags...	October 11, 2010 Press Release Kia USA
Forte Koup	2012	<p>From six airbags to Electronic Stability Control (ESC), the Forte has a comprehensive list of advanced safety systems. These safety features are standard equipment on every Forte.</p> <p>Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags for both front and rear seating positions are managed by an advanced sensor system. Forte wraps all of this — and you— in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.</p> <p>This advanced system monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	Kia Forte 2012 Vehicle Brochure Kia USA
Forte Koup	2012	Forte Koup offers the same impressive list of standard safety equipment as its sedan and hatchback siblings, with features such as front active headrests, dual advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, full-length side curtain airbags...	September 26, 2011 Press Release Kia USA

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Forte Koup	2013	Forte Koup offers the same impressive list of standard safety equipment as its sedan and hatchback siblings, with features such as front active headrests, dual advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted and side curtain airbags, full-length side curtain airbags...	July 10, 2012 Press Release Kia USA
Optima	2011	The 2011 Optima is equipped with a high level of standard safety features, as is the rest of the Kia line-up. This includes six airbags (dual advanced front and front-seat mounted side as well as full-length side curtain).	October 11, 2010 Press Release Kia USA
Optima	2012	<p>Advanced Safety Systems – All Optimas have a long list of standard safety features, including six airbags, Electronic Stability Control and Vehicle Stability Management.</p> <p>Prepare for the unexpected. Optima’s safety systems are designed to help minimize injury when a traffic accident is unavoidable. The front seat belts feature height-adjustable anchors and pretensioners. Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags are managed by an advanced sensor system.20 Optima wraps all of this — and you — in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.</p> <p>Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors – This advanced system monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	Kia Optima 2012 Vehicle Brochure Kia USA

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima	2013	<p>NHTSA Five-Star Crash Safety Rating</p> <p>Optima’s safety systems are designed to help minimize injury when a traffic accident is unavoidable. The front seat belts feature height-adjustable anchors and pretensioners. Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags are managed by an advanced sensor system. Optima wraps all of this — and you — in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.</p> <p>This advanced system monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima	2013	<p>The 2013 Optima is equipped with a comprehensive suite of standard safety features. All trims come outfitted with driver and passenger advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags, first and second row side curtain airbags...</p>	<p>June 28, 2012 Press Release</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima	2014	<p>Designed to help protect driver and passengers. Optima is equipped with passive safety systems designed to help minimize injury when certain traffic accidents are unavoidable. An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima	2015	<p>Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors – This advanced system monitors the severity of certain impacts, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly</p> <p>Designed to help protect driver and passengers. Optima is equipped with passive safety systems designed to help minimize injury when certain traffic accidents are unavoidable. An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima	2016	<p>Advanced engineering for your protection – At Kia, the process of improving all aspects of safety is relentless. Year after year, engineering advances and breakthroughs in material design lead Kia’s engineers to produce vehicles with more strategically placed airbags, measurably stronger body construction, and advanced sensors, all to increase your peace of mind.</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System – An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags and is complemented by a driver’s knee airbag.</p> <p>Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors – This advanced system monitors the severity of certain impacts, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly, helping to ensure peace of mind.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima	2017	<p>Advanced engineering for your peace of mind – At Kia, the process of improving all aspects of safety is relentless. As a result, continuous engineering advances and breakthroughs in material design have led to Optima’s exceptionally strong body construction, strategically placed airbags, and advanced sensors, all of which help to increase your protection</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System - An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags and is complemented by a driver’s knee airbag.</p> <p>Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors - This advanced system monitors the severity of certain impacts, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2017 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima	2018	<p>Advanced engineering for your peace of mind – At Kia, the process of improving all aspects of safety is relentless. As a result, continuous engineering advances and breakthroughs in material design have led to Optima’s exceptionally strong body construction, strategically placed airbags, and advanced sensors, all of which help to increase your peace of mind.</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System – An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags and is complemented by a driver’s knee airbag.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2018 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima	2019	<p>At Kia, the priority is always on improving all aspects of safety. Advanced sensor systems, strategically placed airbags, and breakthroughs in material design that have led to stronger body construction are just a few of the ways we never stop working to help increase your protection.</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System – Dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags help protect driver and passengers</p>	<p>Kia 2019 Vehicle Guidebook for Optima, Optima Hybrid, Optima Plug-In Hybrid</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima	2020	<p>At Kia, the priority is always on improving all aspects of safety. Advanced sensor systems, strategically placed airbags, and breakthroughs in materials and design that have led to strong body construction are just a few of the ways we never stop working to increase your protection.</p> <p>Advanced Airbag System – Dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags help protect driver and passengers.</p>	<p>Kia Optima 2020 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima Hybrid	2011	<p>Prepared For The Unexpected – Advanced safety systems are included to help provide you with peace of mind. Features such as front active headrests, seat-belt pretensioners, dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags are engineered to help minimize injury when an accident is unavoidable.</p>	<p>Optima Hybrid 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima Hybrid	2012	<p>Prepare for the unexpected. Optima’s safety systems are designed to help minimize injury when a traffic accident is unavoidable. The front seat belts feature height-adjustable anchors and pretensioners. Dual front airbags, front-seat-mounted side airbags and side curtain airbags are managed by an advanced sensor system. Optima wraps all of this — and you — in a protective, high-strength steel-reinforced body.</p> <p>Airbag & Seat-Belt Sensors This advanced system monitors the severity of an impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	<p>Kia Optima Series 2012 Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima Hybrid	2012	The 2012 Optima Hybrid is equipped with a high level of standard safety features. All trims come outfitted with driver and passenger advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags, first and second row side curtain airbags...	<p>September 26, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima Hybrid	2013	Advanced Safety Features – The 2013 Optima Hybrid is equipped with an impressive array of standard safety equipment. All trims come outfitted with driver and passenger advanced front airbags, front seat-mounted side airbags, full-length side curtain airbags...	<p>March 7, 2013 Press Release</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Optima Hybrid	2014	<p>Optima is equipped with passive safety systems designed to help minimize injury when certain traffic accidents are unavoidable. An advanced airbag system helps protect driver and passengers with dual front, front seat-mounted side, and full-length side curtain airbags.</p> <p>Air Bag & Seat Belt Sensors - This advanced system monitors the severity of certain impacts, the presence of a front passenger and seat belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	<p>Kia Optima Hybrid 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Optima Hybrid	2016	<p>In addition to the Hybrid's design, performance and efficiency, it comes standard with a variety of safety features. Both trims offer standard driver and passenger advanced front airbags, front seat mounted side airbags, front and rear side curtain airbags . . .</p>	<p>November 6, 2015 Press Release</p> <p>Kia USA</p>
Sedona	2011	<p>A segment leader in value and safety features, Sedona offers consumers invaluable protection provided by a wide range of standard safety features, including six standard airbags (dual advanced front and front seat-mounted side air bags, and full-length side curtain air bags for all three seating rows),...</p>	<p>January 27, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
<i>Sedona</i>	<i>2012</i>	Sedona is equipped with a range of technologically advanced passive safety systems. Six airbags placed throughout the cabin are designed to help protect occupants. They include dual front advanced, dual front seat-mounted side and full-length side-curtain airbags. The advanced front airbag system monitors the severity of a frontal impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.	Kia Sedona 2012 Vehicle Brochure Kia USA
Sedona	2012	Sedona offers consumers invaluable protection provided by an extensive list of standard safety features on the 2012 model, including six standard airbags, dual advanced front and front seat-mounted side air bags, full-length side curtain air bags for all three seating rows...	September 26, 2011 Press Release Kia USA

Kia Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Kia			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Sedona	2014	<p>Peace of mind – Advanced active and passive safety systems include electronic Stability Control (eSC), a Brake Assist System (BAS) and an advanced airbag system including full-length side-curtain airbags.</p> <p>Sedona is equipped with a range of passive safety systems. Six airbags placed throughout the cabin are designed to help protect occupants in certain collisions. They include dual front advanced, dual front seat-mounted side, and full-length side-curtain airbags. The advanced front airbag system monitors the severity of a frontal impact, the presence of a front passenger and seat-belt use, and then controls airbag inflation accordingly.</p>	<p>Kia Sedona 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Kia USA</p>

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
Sonata	2011	<p>Six Airbags: Sonata comes standard with six airbags, including the advanced front airbag system that automatically determines if - and with what level of power - the driver and/or passenger front airbags will inflate based on occupant weight and height, as well as impact speed.</p> <p>Key Standard Equipment: Front, front seat side and side curtain airbags</p>	<p>Hyundai Sonata 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>©2010 Hyundai</p> <p>Hyundai USA</p>
Sonata	2011	<p>Sonata comes standard with six airbags—including dual front, front seat-mounted side-impact, and front and rear side curtain airbags—along with active front-seat head restraints.</p>	<p>October 5, 2010 Press Release</p> <p>https://www.hyundai.com/worldwide/en/company/newsroom/2011-hyundai-sonata-earns-top-safety-rating-from-nhtsa-000000207#:~:text=FOUNTAIN%20VALLEY%2C%20Calif.%2C%2010,all%2Dnew%202011%20Hyundai%20Sonata</p> <p>Hyundai USA and Hyundai Korea</p>

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
Sonata	2012	[A]n intelligent airbag system deploys and inflates front airbags in relation to driver/passenger height, weight and impact speed. Safety/Security: 6-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System	Hyundai Sonata 2012 Vehicle Brochure ©2011 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata	2013	An array of modern safety technologies comes standard on Sonata. Six airbags stand poised to protect. [A]n intelligent airbag system deploys and inflates front airbags in relation to driver and passenger weight and impact speed.	Hyundai Sonata 2013 Vehicle Brochure ©2012 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata	2014	6-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System.	Hyundai Sonata 2014 Vehicle Brochure ©2013 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata	2014	Standard 2014 Sonata safety technologies include . . . Advanced airbags, driver and front passenger.	October 9, 2013 Press Release Hyundai USA

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
Sonata	2015	7-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System.	Hyundai Sonata 2015 Vehicle Brochure ©2014 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata	2016	Sonata's safety features not only include seven airbags, but technologies that help drivers avoid accidents in the first place. 7-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System	Hyundai Sonata 2016 Vehicle Brochure ©2015 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata	2017	Key Standard Features: 7-airbag safety system.	Hyundai Sonata 2017 Vehicle Brochure ©2016 Hyundai Hyundai USA

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
Sonata	2018	Includes 7 standard airbags: dual front, side, and curtain as well as a driver's knee airbag. Do we expect other midsize sedans to follow our lead and make more safety innovations standard? For everyone's benefit, we sincerely hope so.	Hyundai Sonata 2018 Vehicle Brochure ©2017 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2011	Key standard equipment: Front, front-seat side and curtain airbags.	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid 2011 Vehicle Brochure ©2010 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2012	Rear crumple zones and reinforced side beams offer added layers of security, while an intelligent airbag system deploys and inflates front airbags in relation to driver/passenger height, weight and impact speed.	Hyundai Sonata 2012 Vehicle Brochure ©2011 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2013	An array of modern safety technologies comes standard on Sonata. Six airbags stand poised to protect. A body that guards. Rear crumple zones and reinforced side beams offer added layers of security, while an intelligent airbag system deploys and	Hyundai Sonata 2013 Vehicle Brochure ©2012 Hyundai

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
		inflates front airbags in relation to driver and passenger weight and impact speed. 6-Airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags & Occupant Classification System	Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2013	Includes the following standard equipment...Front, front seat side and curtain airbags.	February 20, 2013 Press Release Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2014	6-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags & Occupant Classification System.	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid 2014 Vehicle Brochure ©2013 Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2014	5-STAR SAFETY Sonata Hybrid's standard features include six airbags... Its extensive list of safety features helped earn the Sonata model line a 2014 NHTSA 5-Star Overall Safety Rating.	Hyundai Webpage available on March 31, 2014 Available at: https://www.hyundaiusa.com/sonata-hybrid/ (last visited May 18, 2020)

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
			Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2015	6-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags and Occupant Classification System.	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid 2015 Vehicle Brochure ©2014 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2016	Key Standard Features: 7-airbag safety system with advanced dual front airbags	Hyundai Sonata Hybrid 2016 Vehicle Brochure ©2015 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2016	2016 Sonata Hybrid comes standard with seven airbags, including a new driver's knee airbag.	Jan. 12, 2015 Press Release, available at: https://www.hyundai-news.com/en-us/releases/1949 Hyundai USA

Hyundai Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

HYUNDAI			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source
Sonata Hybrid	2017	Key Standard Features: 7-airbag safety system	Hyundai Sonata 2017 Vehicle Brochure ©2016 Hyundai Hyundai USA
Sonata Hybrid	2018	Front, front seat side, curtain and drivers knee airbags	April 19, 2018 Press Release https://www.hyundainews.com/en-us/releases/1949#:~:text=Sonata%20Hybrid%20continues%20to%20visually,improve%20more%20than%2010%20percent Hyundai USA

EXHIBIT 10

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Chrysler 200	2011	All 200 models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat-mounted air bags.	Chrysler 200 2011 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Chrysler 200	2011	<i>The New 2011 Chrysler 200 Delivers an Abundance of Standard Safety and Technology Features</i> New Chrysler 200 delivers consumers many standard safety, security and technology features, including electronic stability control with electronic brake assist and all-speed traction control, active head restraints, front-seat mounted side air bags, all-row side-curtain air bags and Brake Override. Chrysler's twofold approach — passive safety features combined with accident-avoidance features — is the foundation for the real-world safety performance customers will experience. The 200 sedan's standard safety features include: standard advanced multi-stage front passenger air bags, active head restraints, electronic stability control (ESC), front-seat side air bags, side curtain air bags, four-wheel anti-lock brakes (ABS), electronic traction control, child seat anchor system and available Uconnect® Voice Command.	November 15, 2010 Press Release Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Chrysler 200	2012	Air Bags – All 200 models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat-mounted air bags.	Chrysler 200 2012 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Chrysler 200	2013	Air Bags – All 200 models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat mounted air bags.	Chrysler 200 2013 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Chrysler 200	2013	Detroit Takes Your Safety Seriously. Every Chrysler 200 is equipped with standard advanced airbag systems. Front multistage airbags+ deploy with appropriate force based on the severity of the impact. Side-curtain airbags+ provide added protection for all outboard passengers in side-impact collisions or rollover events. And front seat-mounted side airbags provide enhanced side protection for both the driver and front passenger.	Chrysler Webpage available on January 28, 2013 Available at: http://www.chrysler.com/en/2013/200-lx/safety (last visited May 18, 2020) Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Chrysler 200	2014	<p>International Institute For Highway Safety (IIHS) Top Safety Pick.+ The Chrysler 200 Sedan has been awarded a 2013 IIHS Midsize Car Top Safety Pick.+ IIHS safety awards determine crashworthiness — how well a vehicle protects its occupants in a crash.</p> <p>Air Bags – 200 models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental driver and front-passenger seat-mounted air bags and supplemental side-curtain air bags on sedans.</p>	<p>Chrysler 200 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Chrysler 200	2014	<p><i>2014 Chrysler 200 Sedan Delivers Drivers Exceptional Value, Abundant Standard Safety Features and a Sophisticated Design</i></p> <p>Standard equipment includes advanced multistage front driver and passenger air bags, supplemental front-seat mounted air bags, active head restraints, four-wheel anti-lock disc brakes, electronic stability control, electronic traction control, illuminated keyless entry.</p>	<p>September 1, 2013 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Chrysler 200	2015	<p>Safe and Secure – 8 advanced airbags standard</p> <p>The Most Important Thing A Vehicle Can Do For You Is The One Thing It May Never Need To Do.</p> <p>8 Air Bags – The eight standard air bags include front dual-stage air bags with adaptive venting technology, driver and passenger knee air bags, as well as front seat-mounted side-impact air bags. The side air bag inflatable curtains extend over front- and rear-seating rows.</p> <p>Advanced Multistage Air Bags – Advanced multistage low-risk deployment driver and passenger-front air bags incorporate adaptive venting technology. Based on occupant size and the severity of the impact, vents within the air bag cushion are opened to ensure varying levels of air bag inflation.</p>	<p>Chrysler 200 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

<p>Chrysler 200</p>	<p>2015</p>	<p><i>All-New 2015 Chrysler 200 is a Showcase of Advanced Safety and Security Technology</i></p> <p>Advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags: Inflate with force appropriate to the severity of the impact; meet FMVSS 208 advanced air bag requirements for smaller, out-of-position occupants.</p> <p>All-row, full-length side-curtain air bags: Extend protection to all outboard front- and rear-seat passengers. Devices housed in headliner above side windows, each side air bag has its own impact sensor that triggers deployment on the side of the vehicle where impact occurs...</p> <p>Driver's-side knee air bag: Deploys with advanced multistage driver air bag; located below instrument panel, device designed to properly position occupant during impact while offering additional lower leg protection.</p> <p>Occupant restraint controller: Detects impact and determines whether crash is severe enough to trigger air bag deployment and whether primary- or secondary-stage inflation is sufficient. Also detects side impacts and determines whether rail-curtain and side seat-mounted (thorax protection) air bags should deploy.</p>	<p>March 22, 2014 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
<p>Chrysler Sebring</p>	<p>2010</p>	<p>Sebring goes to great lengths to ensure that its safety and security systems are executed with perfect choreography.</p>	<p>Chrysler Sebring 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
		<p>Advanced-multistage driver, front-passenger and supplemental side-curtain air bags provide nearly instantaneous protection to outboard occupants.</p> <p>Sebring earned a Five-Star crash test rating for frontal impact. This rating represents the highest possible vehicle safety honor from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).</p>	Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Chrysler Sebring	2010	<p><i>The 2010 Chrysler Sebring and 2010 Dodge Avenger with Electronic Stability Control Earn Highest Possible Safety Rating from IIHS</i></p> <p>When it comes to safety and security, the 2010 Chrysler Sebring and 2010 Dodge Avenger employ a two-fold approach: passive features, including pre-tensioning seat belt retractors and supplemental side-curtain air bags, are combined with accident-avoidance features, including responsive steering, braking, handling and Electronic Stability Control (ESC).</p> <p>Available safety equipment on the 2010 Chrysler Sebring and Dodge Avenger includes ESC with traction control, Brake Assist and ABS, driver and front-passenger active head restraints, front seat-mounted side airbags and advanced multi-stage front airbags.</p>	<p>Aug 25, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Avenger	2010	<p>Avenger earned a Five-Star front-impact crash test rating. This rating represents the highest possible frontal safety honors from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.</p> <p>All Avenger models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger-seat mounted air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Avenger 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Avenger	2010	<p><i>2010 Avenger Offers Unmistakable Dodge Design in the Mid-Size Sedan Segment</i></p> <p>The 2010 Dodge Avenger combines bold Dodge styling with innovative interior features, high levels of safety and reliability, exhilarating performance and 30-mpg highway fuel efficiency—all at a great value.</p> <p>Class-leading safety features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Standard front-seat-mounted (thorax) air bags -Standard side-curtain air bags -Standard advanced multi-stage front air bags -Driver and front-passenger active head restraints 	<p>September 1, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Avenger	2011	<p>Safety Design: A 2011 Insurance Institute for Highway Safety Top Safety Pick.</p> <p>All Avenger models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger-seat mounted air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Avenger 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Avenger	2011	<p><i>The New 2011 Dodge Avenger Delivers an Abundance of Safety and Technology Features</i></p> <p>New Dodge Avenger gives consumers many safety, security and technology features, including electronic stability control with electronic brake assist and all-speed traction control, active head restraints, side-curtain air bags, supplemental front seat-mounted side air bags and Brake Override.</p>	<p>November 15, 2010 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Avenger	2012	<p>Safety Design: A 2011 Insurance Institute for Highway Safety Top Safety Pick</p> <p>All Avenger models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat mounted air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Avenger 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Avenger	2012	<p><i>America's Most Affordable and Most Powerful Mid-size Sedan</i></p> <p>The Dodge Avenger named Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) "Top Safety Pick" in 2011</p> <p>The Dodge Avenger mid-size sedan, an IIHS "Top Safety Pick" in 2011, provides customers an abundance of standard safety and high-tech features designed to keep drivers connected with their hands on the wheel and eyes on the road. Standard safety features include advanced multi-stage front passenger air bags, front seat side air bags, electronic stability control with electronic traction control, four-wheel anti-lock brakes, supplemental side curtain air bags and LATCH child seat anchor system.</p> <p>The 2012 Dodge Avenger provides more standard content than others in the segment, high-tech features that keep drivers and passengers connected and entertained, uncompromising safety features.</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Avenger	2013	<p>A 2012 Insurance Institute for Highway Safety Top Safety Pick – All Avenger models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat side-mounted air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Avenger 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Avenger	2013	<p><i>America's Most Affordable and Most Powerful Mid-size Sedan – the 2013 Dodge Avenger</i></p> <p>The Dodge Avenger was named an Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) “Top Safety Pick” in 2012, the fourth consecutive year the Avenger has been named a “Top Safety Pick.”</p> <p>The Dodge Avenger mid-size sedan, an IIHS “Top Safety Pick” in 2012 for the fourth consecutive year, provides customers an abundance of standard safety and high-tech features designed to keep drivers connected with their hands on the wheel and eyes on the road. Standard safety features include advanced multi-stage front passenger air bags, front seat side air bags, electronic stability control with electronic traction control, four-wheel anti-lock brakes, supplemental side curtain air bags and LATCH child seat anchor system.</p>	<p>September 1, 2012 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Avenger	2014	<p>A 2013 IIHS Top Safety Pick +</p> <p>Avenger models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and supplemental driver and front-passenger seat side-mounted air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Avenger 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Caliber	2010	<p>Protecting passengers is Caliber’s greatest priority . . . Advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags help provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection. Standard supplemental side-curtain air bags provide side-impact protection.</p>	<p>Dodge Caliber 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Caliber	2010	<p><i>2010 Dodge Caliber — Efficient Five-door Package with All-new Interior</i></p> <p>Combining five-star safety ratings, technology, value, bold styling and an all-new interior design, the 2010 Dodge Caliber stands out in one of the world's most competitive segments.</p> <p>Standard safety features: Side-curtain air bags, Driver and front-passenger multi-stage air bags.</p>	<p>September 1, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Caliber	2011	<p>Safety. Design: Occupant protection comes from standard advanced multistage air bags for the driver and front passenger, a knee blocker air bag for the driver, supplemental side-curtain air bags, and available supplemental front-seat side mounted air bags which help provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection if needed.</p>	<p>Dodge Caliber 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Caliber	2011	<p>Dodge Caliber has a serious side to complement its sporty attitude.</p> <p>For your protection, Caliber is equipped with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Standard advanced multistage airbags for the driver and front passenger -A driver knee blocker airbag -Supplemental side-curtain airbags -Available side-seat airbags 	<p>Dodge Webpage available on February 27, 2011</p> <p>Available at: http://www.dodge.com/en/2011/caliber/safety_security/protection/ (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Caliber	2012	<p>Features:</p> <p>Advanced multistage front air bags supplemental side-curtain air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Caliber 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Caliber	2012	<p><i>2012 Dodge Caliber Offers Outstanding Utility and Value</i></p> <p>It offers consumers value, efficiency and safety coupled with many standard, innovative and useful features, proving that practical doesn't have to be boring.</p> <p>The Caliber SXT is a comfortable, safe vehicle that is fun to drive and stands out from the competition.</p> <p>Standard features include air conditioning, Chill Zone™ beverage cooler, active head restraints, advanced multistage front driver and passenger air bags, supplemental all-row side curtain air bags...</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Nitro	2010	<p>Nitro delivers with eye-opening style and solid performance. It backs up its confident stance with safety features like a Five- Star frontal-and side-impact rating, the government's highest.</p> <p>Advanced multi stage Front air bags. Every Nitro-model helps protect the driver and front passenger with this advanced technology. Featuring the Occupant Classification System (OCS), these air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Dodge Nitro 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Nitro	2010	<p><i>2010 Dodge Nitro ignites Mid-size SUV Segment</i></p> <p>Standard safety and security features include Anti-lock Brake System (ABS), Brake assist, all-speed traction control, Electronic Stability Control (ESC), Electronic-roll Mitigation (ERM), front-row active head restraints and front and side-curtain air bag.</p>	<p>September 1, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Nitro	2011	<p>Air Bags – All Nitro models feature standard advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags and supplemental side-curtain air bags with roll-sensing technology. Also standard: Front seat active head restraints move forward and upward in the event of a rear-end collision to decrease the space between the head restraint and occupant’s head, thereby helping to reduce the chance of injury.</p>	<p>Dodge Nitro 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 1500	2009	<p>It's all about safety and security.</p> <p>Iron-clad safety and security features.</p> <p>Dodge Ram 1500 takes the technology of safety and security to the next level — virtually invisible to the driver and passengers, but right there when you need it. The new chassis features hydro-formed front crush zones, improved side-impact protection, an enhanced Accident Response System, advanced multistage front air bags, and standard supplemental side-curtain air bags.</p> <p>Protection in milliseconds. Dual-action side-curtain air bags are twice the volume of the previous model, cover all side windows — and stay active for five seconds.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 1500 2009 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 1500	2009	<p><i>Press Kit Edmunds' Inside Line Names All-new 2009 Dodge Ram Best Full-size Pickup Truck</i></p> <p>The all-new 2009 Dodge Ram 1500 Regular, Quad and Crew Cab models recently earned Five Star ratings for driver- and front-passenger protection in a frontal crash, the highest ratings in the U.S. government's safety crash test program.</p> <p>Standard safety features include side-curtain air bags.</p>	<p>May 7, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 1500	2010	<p>Iron-Clad Safety And Security Features</p> <p>Ram 1500 meets 2010 with safety and security features that are measured in quality: they're virtually invisible to the driver and passengers but are there when you need them. Advantages like hydro-formed front crush zones, intelligent side-impact protection, an Enhanced Accident Response System, advanced multistage front air bags, and the standard supplemental side-curtain air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 1500 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 1500	2011	<p>Because safety and security in your Ram 1500 is, in every way, comprehensive in scope.</p> <p>Advanced multistage air bags, side-curtain air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 1500 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 1500	2011	<p><i>2011 Ram 1500: Trend-setting Engineering, New Innovations and Two New Models</i></p> <p>Advanced multi-stage driver and front-passenger air bags.</p>	<p>September 14, 2010 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 1500	2012	<p>Advanced Multistage Front air bags. Standard on every Ram 1500 model.</p> <p>Deployed in the event of a frontal impact, these air bags are indispensable assets to help protect front occupants. Crash-sensitive, they self-adjust to the force level needed.</p> <p>Dual-action side-curtain air bags. We definitely take sides when it comes to protection. These curtains are designed to deploy from top downward, providing full coverage of the side windows.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 1500 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 1500	2012	<p><i>Ram 1500 Continues to Offer Innovative Features and Products for Truck Customers</i></p> <p>Safety – On the safety and security front, the Ram 1500 offers more than 30 active and passive safety features, including standard front and rear side-curtain air bags with Enhanced Accident Response System, side seat airbags, knee bolsters, seat-belt pre-tensioners, Anti-lock Brake System, BeltAlert® System, and Electronic Stability Control; and available adjustable pedals, ParkSense® Rear Park Assist and ParkView® Rear Back-up Camera.</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 2500	2010	<p>Superior touch and tech – It’s balanced by technology that includes advanced multistage air bags</p> <p>Air bags – Advanced multistage front and supplemental side-curtain.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 2500/3500 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 2500	2011	Air Bags — Multistage front, Supplemental side-curtain.	Dodge Ram 2500/3500 2011 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Dodge Ram 2500	2012	<i>Ram HD Lineup is More Efficient With New Six-speed Automatic Transmission</i> Ram employs a two-fold approach to safety: passive safety features, including pretensioning and load-limiting seat belt retractors and active safety features, including responsive steering, handling and braking. Advanced multi-stage air bags: Use low-risk deployment air bags for the front passenger.	September 1, 2011 Press Release Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Dodge Ram 3500	2010	Superior touch and tech – It’s balanced by technology that includes advanced multistage air bags Air bags – Advanced multistage front and supplemental side-curtain.	Dodge Ram 2500/3500 2010 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Dodge Ram 3500	2011	Air Bags — Multistage front, Supplemental side-curtain.	Dodge Ram 2500/3500 2011 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 3500	2012	<p><i>Ram HD Lineup is More Efficient With New Six-speed Automatic Transmission</i></p> <p>Ram employs a two-fold approach to safety: passive safety features, including pretensioning and load-limiting seat belt retractors and active safety features, including responsive steering, handling and braking.</p> <p>Advanced multi-stage air bags: Use low-risk deployment air bags for the front passenger.</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 4500	2011	<p>Cab equipment:</p> <p>Driver/front-passenger multistage air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 3500/4500/5500 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 4500	2012	<p>Ram Interior Features:</p> <p>Standard side-curtain air bags on pickups.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 2012 Commercial Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Dodge Ram 5500	2011	<p>Cab equipment:</p> <p>Driver/front-passenger multistage air bags.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 3500/4500/5500 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Dodge Ram 5500	2012	<p>Ram Interior Features:</p> <p>Standard side-curtain air bags on pickups.</p>	<p>Dodge Ram 2012 Commercial Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Fiat 500	2012	<p>Wrapped in the comfort of safety.</p> <p>The FIAT® 500 comes with a sophisticated system of seven air bags to help protect passengers in the event of a collision. In the front seating positions, this includes advanced, multi-stage front air bags, seat-mounted side air bags for added thorax and pelvic protection, and a driver’s knee air bag. In addition, two side-curtain air bags help provide head protection in side impacts for both front and rear seats.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2012	<p><i>New 2012 Fiat 500 – SAFETY</i></p> <p>“At Fiat, safety has always been a priority, and this objective has enabled the Fiat 500 to be recognized by international safety organizations for achieving new levels of crashworthiness for an A-segment car,” said Laura Soave, Head of Fiat Brand North America.</p> <p>Seven standard air bags and reactive head restraints – The new 2012 Fiat 500 features an all-new air bag system to offer unique protection for its passengers to meet all U.S. regulatory requirements. Its seven standard air bags include: driver and front-passenger advanced multi-stage air bags, driver's knee air bag, full-length side-curtain air bags and standard seat-mounted side pelvic-thorax air bags, all to offer enhanced occupant protection to all occupants in the event of a collision.</p>	<p>February 23, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Fiat 500	2013	<p>How do we achieve peace of mind behind the wheel of 500e? Let us count some of the more than 35 standard and available ways. Starting with seven air bags, active head restraints and advanced Electronic Stability Control¹ (ESC) that integrates brake and traction control systems, the list of confidence-building reasons continues.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2013	<p>500 Times Technology, 500 Times Safety</p> <p>A Host Of Safety Features. Seven, To Be Exact</p> <p>Seven airbags on all trim levels: dual stage front airbags, side bags, window bags and knee bag.</p> <p>500 is the only car in its category to offer so much safety.</p> <p>7 airbags for all around protection.</p>	<p>Fiat Webpage available on April 1, 2015</p> <p>Available at: http://www.fiat.com/com/500/technology-safety (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Fiat Group Automobiles S.p.A.</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2014	<p>Halo of security – While the FIAT 500L canopy is designed to afford driver and passengers a more expansive and informed view, its structure and innovative design also build in security features that envelop its precious cargo with a degree of protection that most only imagine for the largest of vehicles. They say there’s safety in numbers, and 500L can count over 40 available safety and security features, just some of which are listed here: seven standard airbags</p> <p>A host of safety and security features starting with seven air bags</p> <p>It’s good to know that an extra dose of horsepower is met with an extra measure of safety and security . . . seven standard airbags</p> <p>7 Air Bags The FIAT® 500 comes with a sophisticated system of seven air bags to help protect passengers in the event of a collision. In the front seating positions, this includes advanced, multistage front air bags, front-seat side air bags for added thorax and pelvic protection and a driver’s knee blocker air bag. In addition, two side-curtain air bags help provide head protection in side impacts for both front and rear passengers.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2015	<p>If there is one thing that FIAT ® is big on, it's safety and security. We place a huge emphasis on developing technologies that create that feeling of security that makes getting behind the wheel as comfortable for our drivers as the seats they sit in. Like: Seven air bags.</p> <p>How do we achieve peace of mind behind the wheel of 500e? Let us count some of the more than 40 standard and available ways. Starting with seven air bags...</p> <p>7 Air Bags The FIAT® 500 comes with a sophisticated system of seven air bags to help protect passengers in the event of a collision. In the front seating positions, this includes advanced, multistage front air bags, front-seat side air bags for added thorax and pelvic protection, and a driver's knee blocker air bag. In addition, two side-curtain air bags help provide head protection in side impacts for both front and rear passengers.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2016	<p>Safety and Security-7 Standard airbags</p> <p>A Comprehensive 7-Air Bag System</p> <p>Taking its cue from the rich and famous who travel with an entourage of formidable bodyguards, the comprehensive FIAT Air Bag System surrounds the driver and passengers with a sophisticated security detail. In the front seating positions, this includes advanced, multistage front air bags, front-seat side air bags for added thorax and pelvic protection, and a driver’s knee blocker air bag. In addition, two side-curtain air bags help provide head protection in side impacts for both front and rear passengers. In all, that’s an extensive system of seven standard air bags on every FIAT vehicle.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>FCA</p>
Fiat 500	2017	<p>60° Air Bags – This is a sophisticated system of seven air bags in all, including two advanced, multistage front air bags, two front-seat side air bags, a driver’s knee blocker air bag and two side-curtain air bags.</p> <p>Confidence-Builders – 500e boasts a steel safety frame, seven air bags, advanced Electronic Stability Control (ESC), hands-free communication, crumple zones, remote keyless entry and more.</p> <p>Be Reassured – Seven standard air bags.</p>	<p>Fiat 500 2017 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>FCA</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Fiat 500	2018	Surroundings designed to reassure – 7 standard airbags 7 Standard Air Bags – Front, rear, side, knee and advanced multistage air bags all work together, providing encompassing protection.	Fiat 500 2018 Vehicle Brochure FCA
Fiat 500	2019	Stress-free zones – 7 Standard Air Bags Front-seat-mounted side, front and rear side, driver knee and advanced multistage front air bags all work together, providing protection.	Fiat 500 2019 Vehicle Brochure FCA
Jeep Compass	2010	Air of confidence – Jeep® Compass received the highest marks — Five Stars — during side crash tests held by the U.S. Department of Transportation’s SaferCar.gov program. This means you can head out with confidence, knowing you’re outfitted with a comprehensive set of safety and security features. The standard air bag system includes supplemental side-curtain air bags for outboard occupants plus advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags.	Jeep Compass 2010 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Jeep Compass	2011	Ready, willing, and all-weather capable: Front-Passenger Air Bags, Side-Curtain Air Bags Air of confidence – The standard air bag system includes supplemental side-curtain air bags for outboard occupants plus advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags. Front-seat active head restraints move forward and upward in the event of a rear-end collision, decreasing the space between the head restraint and occupant’s head, thus helping to reduce the chance of injury.	Jeep Compass 2011 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Compass	2011	<p><i>Sophisticated New Styling, Unsurpassed Capability and Fuel Economy and Loads of Standard Features</i></p> <p>2011 Jeep Compass features more than 30 safety and security features, including standard electronic stability control, electronic roll mitigation, Hill-start Assist and side-curtain air bags that cover all rows.</p>	<p>June 23, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Compass	2012	<p>Peace of mind takes you far. Head out with confidence, knowing that a robust set of more than 30 available safety and security features are in place, keeping watch on the trail and on the road.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Jeep Compass 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Compass	2013	<p>Peace of mind goes far. Head out with confidence, knowing that a robust set of more than 30 available safety and security features are in place, keeping watch on the trail and on the road.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Jeep Compass 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Compass	2014	<p>With more than 30 available safety and security features, it's safe to say Compass has your back.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p> <p>New standard supplemental front seat-mounted side air bags. Each side air bag has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bag on the side where the impact occurs.</p>	<p>Jeep Compass 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Compass	2014	<p>Airbags — for protection from all sides</p> <p>Coming equipped with six advanced, multi-stage driver and front-passenger airbags including new standard supplemental front seat-mounted side airbags . . .</p>	<p>Jeep Webpage available on February 7, 2014</p> <p>Available at: http://www.jeep.com/en/2014/compass/safety-security/ (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Compass	2015	<p>More Than 30 Standard And Available Safety And Security Features Are Engineered To Give You Peace Of Mind</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags: These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p> <p>Standard Supplemental Front-Seat Mounted Side Air Bags: Each side air bag has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bag on the side where the impact occurs.</p>	<p>Jeep Compass 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Compass	2016	<p>Peace of mind will take you far – Supplemental front-seat-mounted side air bags: Each side has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bags on the side where the impact occurs. Standard on all models.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags: Provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard on all models.</p> <p>Advanced multistage driver and front passenger air bags.</p>	<p>Jeep Compass 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>FCA</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Compass	2017	Advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags – Supplemental front and rear side-curtain air bags – Supplemental front seat-mounted side air bag	Jeep Compass 2017 Vehicle Brochure FCA
Jeep Compass	2017	<i>2017 Jeep Compass: An All-new Global Compact SUV Delivering Unsurpassed 4x4 Capability, World-class On-road Driving Dynamics, Advanced Fuel-efficient Powertrains and Premium Styling</i> “Our all-new 2017 Jeep Compass enters an extremely important and growing segment worldwide, and does so with an unmatched list of attributes that includes ... countless advanced technology and safety features, all wrapped in a premium, authentic Jeep design,” said Mike Manley, Head of Jeep Brand – FCA Global.	February 24, 2017 FCA
Jeep Liberty	2010	Safety first – Jeep® Liberty has received the highest marks — Five Stars — during front and side crash tests conducted as part of the U.S. Department of Transportation’s SaferCar.gov program. You can head out with confidence, knowing you’re outfitted with a comprehensive set of safety and security features. The air bag system includes side-curtain and advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags.	Jeep Liberty 2010 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Liberty	2010	<p><i>2010 Jeep® Liberty Gives Owners Personal Freedom and Capability That Only Comes From Jeep</i></p> <p>Standard safety and security features include Supplemental Side-curtain Air Bags, Electronic Stability Control(ESC), Electronic-roll Mitigation (ERM) and Brake Assist, Anti-lock Brake System (ABS), Braketraction Control System (BTCS) and Tire-pressure Monitoring (TPM)</p> <p>Product Chronology: Advanced multi-stage air bags.</p> <p>Advanced multi-stage front driver and passenger air bags with Occupant-classification System (OCS) for front-passenger seat.</p>	<p>September 1, 2009 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Liberty	2011	<p>Liberty’s got your front, your side, and your back. Head out with confidence, knowing that Liberty’s robust set of safety and security systems can give you and your passengers peace of mind on the road and on the trail.</p> <p>Liberty’s air bag system is standard, and includes side-curtain and advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags with an Occupant Classification System (OCS) for added security. Also standard are supplemental side-curtain air bags with roll-sensing technology for the added safety of outboard occupants.</p>	<p>Jeep Liberty 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Liberty	2011	<p><i>2011 Jeep Liberty Remains True to Its Legendary 4x4 Heritage</i></p> <p>Inside, the Jeep Liberty Jet edition boasts a nine-speaker premium audio system; a standard security system that includes supplemental side-curtain air bags.</p> <p>Product Chronology: Advanced multi-stage airbags.</p>	<p>June 23, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Liberty	2012	<p>Head Out With Confidence, Knowing Liberty’s Robust Set Of Safety And Security Systems Can Give You And Your Passengers Peace Of Mind On The Road And On The Trail.</p> <p>Air bag systems. You and your passengers gain all-around security with Liberty’s side-curtain and advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags. Supplemental side-curtain air bags with roll-sensing technology add to the safety of outboard occupants. An Occupant Classification System (OCS) provides additional peace of mind. Standard.</p>	<p>Jeep Liberty 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Liberty	2012	<p><i>2012 Jeep® Liberty Delivers Legendary 4x4 Heritage and Value in Midsize SUV Segment</i></p> <p>Inside, the Jeep Liberty Limited Jet boasts a nine-speaker premium audio system; a standard security system that includes supplemental side-curtain air bags and Park Sense rear park assist . . .</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Patriot	2010	Air of confidence – Jeep® Patriot received the highest marks — Five Stars — during side crash tests performed as part of the U.S. Department of Transportation’s SaferCar.gov program. This means you can head out with confidence, knowing you’re outfitted with a comprehensive set of safety and security features. Patriot’s air bag system includes a front and rear side-curtain and advanced multistage driver and front passenger air bags.	Jeep Patriot 2010 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Jeep Patriot	2010	<i>2010 Jeep Patriot Delivers Best-in-class Capability</i> Jeep® Patriot is a tremendous value, combining the packaging, safety features and interior flexibility of a sport-utility vehicle (SUV) with performance, handling, and 29-mpg highway fuel economy. Excellent 29-mpg highway fuel economy, along with standard Electronic Stability Control (ESC), Brake-traction Control System (BTCS), ABS, side-curtain air bags, Electronic-roll Mitigation (ERM) and Brake Assist.	September 1, 2009 Press Release Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Jeep Patriot	2011	All-around protection: Patriot’s air bag systems include front and rear side-curtain and advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags.	Jeep Patriot 2011 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Patriot	2011	<p><i>Press Kit: 2011 Jeep Patriot: Aggressive New Styling, Segment-leading Capability and Unsurpassed 4x4 Fuel Economy</i></p> <p>Many standard safety features, such as side-curtain air bags and electronic stability program (ESC), add to Jeep Patriot’s tremendous value.</p>	<p>June 23, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Patriot	2012	<p>Advanced multi stage front and side curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.</p> <p>Standard advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags and available supplemental side air bags help protect your most important cargo. These systems all work together to help keep you moving safely forward in all types of weather.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Patriot	2012	<p><i>2012 Jeep Patriot: Best-in-Class 4x4 fuel economy, Segment-leading 4 x 4 Capability and the Most Affordable SUV in America</i></p> <p>2012 Jeep Patriot features more than 30 safety and security features, including standard electronic stability control, electronic roll mitigation, Hill-start Assist and side-curtain air bags that cover all rows.</p> <p>Many standard safety features, such as all-row side-curtain air bags and electronic stability control (ESC), add to Jeep Patriot’s tremendous value.</p>	<p>September 1, 2011 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Patriot	2013	<p>Peace of mind takes you far. Head out with confidence, knowing that a robust set of more than 30 available safety and security features are in place, keeping watch on the trail and on the road.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Patriot	2014	<p>With more than 30 available safety and security features, Patriot has your back.</p> <p>New standard supplemental front seat-mounted side air bags. Each side air bag has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bag on the side where the impact occurs. Advanced multistage front and side-curtain air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p> <p>With more than 30 safety and security features available, it's easy to see why the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) named Patriot a 2013 Top Safety Pick.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Patriot	2014	<p><i>2014 Jeep Patriot: Benchmark Compact-SUV Capability with Improved Ride and Handling, Courtesy of a New Powertrain</i></p> <p>New standard front seat-mounted side air bags give the Jeep Patriot more than 30 safety and security features.</p> <p>Packed with standard features that provide value and convenience for drivers and passengers, the 2014 Jeep Patriot standard features include: front seat-mounted side air bags.</p>	<p>January 14, 2013 Press Release</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Patriot	2015	<p>More than 30 standard and available safety and security features are engineered to give you peace of mind.</p> <p>Standard Supplemental Front-Seat Mounted Side Air Bags - Each side air bag has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bag on the side where the impact occurs.</p> <p>Advanced Multistage Front And Side-Curtain Air Bags – These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Patriot	2016	<p>Engineered to give you peace of mind. Supplemental Front Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags: Each side has its own sensor to autonomously trigger the air bag on the side where an impact might occur. Standard on all models.</p> <p>Advanced Multistage Front And Side-Curtain Air Bags: Provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard on all models.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>FCA</p>
Jeep Patriot	2017	<p>Advanced multistage driver and front-passenger air bags, Supplemental front and rear side-curtain air bags, Supplemental front-seat-mounted side air bags.</p>	<p>Jeep Patriot 2017 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>FCA</p>
Jeep Wrangler	2010	<p>Far reaching safety and security. Highest government crash test rating...Jeep® Wrangler and Wrangler Unlimited received the highest marks — five stars — during driver and front-passenger crash tests held by the U.S. Department of Transportation’s SaferCar.gov program. Advanced multistage front air bags and available seat mounted supplemental side air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection.</p>	<p>Jeep Wrangler 2010 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Wrangler	2011	<p>Wrangler’s got your back, your sides, as well as your front end. Just as Wranglers are purpose-built for fun, they’re also infused with advanced active and passive systems designed to help keep you safe and secure. At the forefront are the standard advanced multistage front air bags. Choose the supplemental front seat-mounted side air bags for additional safety measures that are there when you need them. These deploy from the outboard side of each front seat, enhancing protection for the driver and front passenger in certain impacts.</p> <p>Advanced multistage front air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p>	<p>Jeep Wrangler 2011 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Wrangler	2012	<p>Advanced multistage front air bags. These air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity.</p> <p>Guardian hero. Peace of mind takes you and yours far. The 2012 Jeep® Wrangler is engineered to perform heroically in all driving conditions. You’ll feel the strength of its reinforced structure, sheltered within an environment that serves you well. Go boldly forth, empowered with the inspired confidence a legendary Jeep Wrangler offers.</p>	<p>Jeep Wrangler 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>
Jeep Wrangler	2013	<p>Prepared for nearly anything – Advanced multistage front air bags. These standard air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.</p>	<p>Jeep Wrangler 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)</p>

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Wrangler	2014	Advanced multistage front air bags. These standard air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.	Jeep Wrangler 2014 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Jeep Wrangler	2015	All road leads to peace of mind. Advanced Multistage Front Air Bags – These standard air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.	Jeep Wrangler 2015 Vehicle Brochure Chrysler Group LLC (now known as FCA)
Jeep Wrangler	2016	Courageous. Advanced multistage front air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection. Standard. Supplemental front seat-mounted air bags deploy from the outboard side of each front seat. Available. Peace of mind takes you far. Advanced multistage front air bags: Provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.	Jeep Wrangler 2016 Vehicle Brochure FCA
Jeep Wrangler	2017	Advanced Multistage Front Air Bags – These standard air bags provide nearly instantaneous occupant protection by matching air bag output to crash severity. Standard.	Jeep Wrangler 2017 Vehicle Brochure FCA

FCA			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Jeep Wrangler	2018	Advanced Multistage Front Air Bags – When a crash is detected, these air bags deploy nearly instantaneously, providing occupant protection that’s matched to crash severity. Active vents exhaust air bags at appropriate rates, depending on impact. Standard.	Jeep Wrangler 2018 Vehicle Brochure FCA

EXHIBIT 11

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura RLX	2014	It's also the underpinning of the comprehensive safety systems found in the RLX—including Acura next-generation ACE™ body structure, seven airbags, multiple sensors and monitors to name a few. It's really a thing of beauty.	Acura RLX 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura RLX	2014	<i>All-New 2014 Acura RLX Employs an Array of New Signature Acura Technologies to Deliver a New Level of Performance, Sophistication and Driving Comfort</i> The new RLX reaches higher and farther into the high-end luxury sedan market with an incredible array of advanced safety, driver-assistive, and information and media technologies. Utilizing Acura's next-generation Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ II (ACE™ II) body structure, along with seven airbags (including new driver's knee airbag), the RLX is anticipated to earn top-level safety ratings – a 5-star NCAP Overall Vehicle Score, and IIHS “TOP SAFETY PICK+” rating, including a GOOD rating in the IIHS small overlap frontal collision test.	February 15, 2013 Press Release Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura RLX	2015	<p>It’s also the underpinning of the comprehensive safety systems found in the RLX—including Acura next-generation Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ (ACE™) body structure, seven airbags, multiple sensors and monitors to name a few. It’s really a thing of beauty.</p> <p>The Acura RLX was an IIHS Top Safety Pick Plus for 2014, achieving a “Good” rating on all IIHS tests.</p>	<p>Acura RLX 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura RLX	2016	<p>Good bones provide structure, strength and flexibility...It’s also the underpinning of the comprehensive safety systems found in the RLX—including Acura next-generation Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ (ACE™) body structure, seven airbags, multiple sensors and monitors to name a few. It’s really a thing of beauty.</p> <p>The Acura RLX is an IIHS Top Safety Pick Plus for 2014, achieving a “Good” rating on all IIHS tests.</p>	<p>Acura RLX 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura RLX	2018	<p>Never compromise safety. We always put safety first, so when it comes to helping to protect our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple question: “Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?” It’s our greatest goal to one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the RLX was designed and engineered with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.</p> <p>Advanced Front Airbags (SRS), Front Side Airbags, Side Curtain Airbags, Driver's Knee Airbag.</p>	<p>Acura RLX 2018 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura RLX	2019	<p>Never compromise safety. We always put safety first, so when it comes to helping to protect our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple question: “Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?” It’s our greatest goal to one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the RLX was designed and engineered with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.</p> <p>Advanced Front Airbags (SRS), Front Side Airbags, Side Curtain Airbags, Driver's Knee Airbag.</p>	<p>Acura TLX 2019 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura RLX Hybrid	2015	<p>It’s also the underpinning of the comprehensive safety systems found in the RLX—including Acura next-generation Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ (ACE™) body structure, seven airbags, multiple sensors and monitors to name a few. It’s really a thing of beauty.</p> <p>The Acura RLX was an IIHS Top Safety Pick Plus for 2014, achieving a “Good” rating on all IIHS tests.</p>	<p>Acura RLX 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura RLX Hybrid	2016	<p>Good bones provide structure, strength and flexibility...It’s also the underpinning of the comprehensive safety systems found in the RLX—including Acura next-generation Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ (ACE™) body structure, seven airbags, multiple sensors and monitors to name a few. It’s really a thing of beauty.</p> <p>The Acura RLX is an IIHS Top Safety Pick Plus for 2014, achieving a “Good” rating on all IIHS tests.</p>	<p>Acura RLX Hybrid 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura RLX Hybrid	2018	<p>Never compromise safety. We always put safety first, so when it comes to helping to protect our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple question: “Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?” It’s our greatest goal to one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the RLX was designed and engineered with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.</p> <p>Advanced Front Airbags (SRS), Front Side Airbags, Side Curtain Airbags, Driver's Knee Airbag.</p>	<p>Acura RLX 2018 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura RLX Hybrid	2019	<p>Never compromise safety. We always put safety first, so when it comes to helping to protect our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple question: “Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?” It’s our greatest goal to one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the RLX was designed and engineered with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.</p> <p>Advanced Front Airbags (SRS), Front Side Airbags, Side Curtain Airbags, Driver's Knee Airbag.</p>	<p>Acura TLX 2019 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Acura TL	2012	<p>A sanctuary. And at times, a fortress – Advanced six-airbag system</p> <p>Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.</p>	<p>Acura TL 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura TL	2013	It's among the reasons the TL was recently named a 2012 IIHS Top Safety Pick, receiving the highest possible rating in the new small overlap frontal crash test. Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.	Acura TL 2013 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TL	2014	It's among the reasons the TL was recently named a 2013 IIHS Top Safety Pick, receiving the highest possible rating in the new small overlap frontal crash test. Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.	Acura TL 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TLX	2017	Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS), Front Side Airbags, Side Curtain Airbags, Driver's Knee Airbag.	Acura TLX Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TSX	2012	Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.	Acura TSX 2012 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Acura TSX	2013	Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.	Acura TSX 2013 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TSX	2014	Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS), front side airbags and side curtain airbags.	Acura TSX 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TSX Sportwagon	2012	Front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS) and Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS).	Acura TSX Sportwagon 2012 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TSX Sportwagon	2013	Front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS) and Dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS).	Acura TSX Sportwagon 2013 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Acura TSX Sportwagon	2014	Front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS) Side curtain airbags	Acura TSX Sportwagon 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Accord (2 Door & 4 Door)	2013	Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS) – The dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS) are capable of inflating at different rates depending on the severity of the crash, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.	Honda Accord 2013 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Accord (2 Door)	2013	<p><i>All-new 2013 Honda Accord Coupe Earns Highest Safety Ratings in Midsize Class</i></p> <p>“The Honda comprehensive approach to vehicle safety is validated by these top-level safety ratings,” said Art St. Cyr, vice president of product planning and logistics at American Honda. “The 2013 Honda Accord truly excels in all areas, delivering the best overall safety ratings, while also providing exhilarating performance, outstanding comfort and top-class fuel economy ratings.</p> <p>In addition to the Honda next-generation Advanced Compatibility (ACE II) body structure, passive-safety features offered as standard equipment on the 2013 Accord include dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags, and new SmartVent™ front side airbags, and side curtain airbags. The new SmartVent side airbag construction helps mitigate the risk of injury to occupants that may be positioned in the deployment path of the side airbag.</p> <p>Honda has a long history of leadership in the development and application of advanced technologies and designs to enhance the safety of all road users, including automobile occupants, motorcycle riders, and pedestrians.</p>	<p>August 15, 2013 (Corrected Version Of Feb. 20, 2013) Press Release</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Accord (2 Door & 4 Door)	2014	Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS) – The dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS) are capable of inflating at different rates depending on the severity of the crash, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.	Honda Accord 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Accord (2 Door & 4 Door)	2015	Always thinking about safety –Because, of all the things you need the Accord to do, nothing’s more important than getting you where you need to go safely. And we’re proud to say that the Accord has an impressive history of 5-Star Overall Vehicle Scores from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) 12 as well as TOP SAFETY PICK ratings from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS). Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS) – The dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS) are capable of inflating at different rates depending on the severity of the crash, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.	Honda Accord 2015 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Accord (2 Door)	2015	<i>2015 Accord Coupe Specifications & Features</i> Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags	August 20, 2014 Honda USA
Honda Accord Hybrid	2014	Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS) – The dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS) are capable of inflating at different rates depending on the severity of the crash, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.	Honda Accord Hybrid 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Accord Hybrid	2015	Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS) – The dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS) are capable of inflating at different rates depending on the severity of the crash, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.	Honda Accord Hybrid 2015 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Accord Hybrid	2015	<i>2015 Accord Hybrid Specifications & Features</i> Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS).	August 20, 2014 Press Release Honda USA
Honda Civic	2012	When it comes to safety, we put our differences aside. With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim. SIX AIRBAGS – Every Civic has standard front, front side and side curtain airbags that can help reduce the likelihood of injury in a collision.	Honda Civic 2012 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Civic	2012	<i>2012 Honda Civic Press Kit</i> Safety – Advanced safety systems include Honda-exclusive Advanced Compatibility Engineering™ (ACE)™ body structure for frontal collision energy management and vehicle-to-vehicle crash compatibility, and a long list of standard safety equipment including two-row side curtain airbags, dual-stage, multiple-threshold driver's and front passenger's airbags, driver's and front passenger's side airbags.	April 20, 2011 Press Release Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic	2013	<p>With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim.</p> <p>SIX AIRBAGS – Every 2013 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. The side airbags now include SmartVent™ technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>AUTOMATIC TENSIONING SYSTEM –The front seat belts are equipped with an automatic tensioning system that is designed to tighten the seat belts in a moderate-to-severe frontal impact.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic	2013	<p><i>2013 Honda Civic Sedan Specifications and Features</i></p> <p>Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags</p>	<p>November 29, 2012 Press Release</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic	2014	Six airbags	<p>Honda Civic 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic	2014	<p>Your safety is our priority – Every Honda comes standard with advanced safety features.</p> <p>Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags (SRS)</p> <p>The Civic is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). One or both of these airbags will be deployed only in the event of a sufficient frontal impact. If deployed, these airbags are capable of being inflated at different rates depending on crash severity, seat-belt usage and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and upper-body injuries in frontal crashes.</p>	<p>Honda Webpage available on May 18, 2014</p> <p>Available at: http://automobiles.honda.com/civic-sedan/safety.aspx#srs (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic	2015	<p>Six Airbags – Every 2015 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. Side airbags include SmartVent® technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>Your safety is our priority. When it comes to safety, we never stop improving. The Civic earned the highest possible score of “Good” across all five safety tests from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), making it a 2015 TOP SAFETY PICK.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic	2015	<i>2015 Civic Sedan Specifications & Features</i> Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags	September 16, 2014 Press Release Honda USA
Honda Civic GX	2012	<i>2012 Honda Civic Natural Gas Represents Proven Alternative</i> Standard safety equipment includes VSA that integrates with the new Motion-Adaptive EPS system; dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags; front side airbags with occupant position detection system (OPDS); side curtain airbags; and a 4-channel anti-lock braking system (ABS) with Electronic Brake Distribution (EBD) and Brake Assist.	April 20, 2011 Press Release Honda USA
Honda Civic GX	2013	<i>2013 Honda Civic Natural Gas Specifications and Features</i> Dual-Stage, Multiple-Threshold Front Airbags	November 29, 2012 Press Release Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic GX	2014	<p><i>Honda Accord Hybrid and Civic Natural Gas Named to KBB.com's 10 Best Green Cars of 2014 List</i></p> <p>Accord Hybrid also earned a TOP SAFETY PICK+ rating by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) (when equipped with the available Forward Collision Warning system) and a 5-star Overall Vehicle Score by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).</p>	<p>April 21, 2014 Press Release</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic GX	2015	<p>Six Airbags – Every 2015 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. Side airbags include SmartVent® technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>Your safety is our priority. When it comes to safety, we never stop improving. The Civic earned the highest possible score of “Good” across all five safety tests from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), making it a 2015 TOP SAFETY PICK.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic Hybrid	2012	<p>When it comes to safety, we put our differences aside. With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim.</p> <p>SIX AIRBAGS – Every Civic has standard front, front side and side curtain airbags that can help reduce the likelihood of injury in a collision.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic Hybrid	2013	<p>With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim.</p> <p>SIX AIRBAGS – Every 2013 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. The side airbags now include SmartVent™ technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>AUTOMATIC TENSIONING SYSTEM –The front seat belts are equipped with an automatic tensioning system that is designed to tighten the seat belts in a moderate-to-severe frontal impact.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic Hybrid	2014	Six airbags	<p>Honda Civic 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic Hybrid	2015	<p>Six Airbags – Every 2015 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. Side airbags include SmartVent® technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>Your safety is our priority. When it comes to safety, we never stop improving. The Civic earned the highest possible score of “Good” across all five safety tests from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), making it a 2015 TOP SAFETY PICK.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic Si	2012	<p>When it comes to safety, we put our differences aside. With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim.</p> <p>SIX AIRBAGS – Every Civic has standard front, front side and side curtain airbags that can help reduce the likelihood of injury in a collision.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Civic Si	2013	<p>With its impressive array of standard safety features, every Civic is designed to help protect you and your passengers, no matter what model or trim.</p> <p>The Civic’s safety features are designed to not only help protect you from what lies ahead, but also behind. The front seats, for example, are engineered to have the occupant’s head and torso move together, helping to reduce the likelihood of whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end impact.</p> <p>SIX AIRBAGS – Every 2013 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. The side airbags now include SmartVent™ technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Civic Si	2015	<p>Six Airbags – Every 2015 Civic features front, front side and side curtain airbags with a rollover sensor. Side airbags include SmartVent® technology, which is designed to vent the airbag if it encounters an out-of-position occupant.</p> <p>Your safety is our priority. When it comes to safety, we never stop improving. The Civic earned the highest possible score of “Good” across all five safety tests from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), making it a 2015 TOP SAFETY PICK</p>	<p>Honda Civic 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda CR-V	2012	<p>Serious safety – The most important part of your Leap List is accomplishing it safely. That’s why every safety feature of the new CR-V comes standard. No exceptions. So when you’re out there chasing down everything on your Leap List, know you’ve got Honda’s unwavering commitment to safety all around you.</p> <p>Airbags Abound – The CR-V is equipped with dual -stage, multiple -threshold front airbags, side - curtain airbags with rollover sensor, and front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS). And they all come standard.</p>	<p>Honda CR-V 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda CR-V	2013	<p>Wherever you’re headed in your CR-V, nothing’s more important than arriving there safely. That’s why every safety feature comes standard, no exceptions. And we’re proud to say the CR-V achieved a 5-Star Overall Vehicle Score from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). So when you’re out there chasing down everything you always wanted to do, know you’ve got Honda’s unwavering commitment to safety all around you.</p> <p>Airbags Abound The CR-V is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags, side-curtain airbags with rollover sensor, and front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS). And they all come standard.</p>	<p>Honda CR-V 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda CR-V	2013	<p>Honda is committed to providing safety for everyone—that means crash protection not only for our own drivers and passengers, but also for other vehicle occupants, and injury mitigation for pedestrians. We are dedicated to identifying and implementing advanced designs and features that help enhance the safety of vehicles on the road.</p> <p>The CR-V is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). One or both of these airbags will be deployed only in the event of a sufficient frontal impact. If deployed, these airbags are capable of being inflated at different rates depending on crash severity, seat-belt usage and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seatbelts to help reduce the likelihood of head and upper body injuries in frontal crashes.</p> <p>In the event of a moderate-to-severe side impact, the side airbag inflates to help protect the driver's or front passenger's upper body.</p>	<p>Honda Webpage available on March 26, 2014</p> <p>Available at: http://automobiles.honda.com/cr-v/safety.aspx (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda CR-V	2014	<p>Wherever you're headed in your CR-V, nothing's more important than arriving there safely. That's why safety features come standard, no exceptions. And we're proud to say the CR-V achieved a 5-Star Overall Vehicle Score from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). So when you're out there chasing down everything you always wanted to do, know you've got Honda's unwavering commitment to safety around you.</p> <p>Airbags Abound – The CR-V is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags, side curtain airbags with rollover sensor, and front side airbags with passenger-side Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS). And they all come standard.</p>	<p>Honda CR-V 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda CR-V	2015	<p>Safety features come standard – Honda brings you these advanced safety features on every 2015 CR-V</p> <p>Dual-Stage Front Airbags (SRS) Capable of inflating at different rates depending on crash severity, seat-belt usage and/or other factors.</p> <p>Smartvent® Front Side Airbags – SmartVent front side airbags decrease the likelihood of airbag-related injuries in the event of a moderate-to-severe side impact. They vent before fully inflating if an occupant is in the side airbag deployment path.</p> <p>Side Curtain Airbags With Rollover Sensor – These advanced airbags can reduce the likelihood of injuries in a collision by providing not only side-impact protection, but also protection in the event of a rollover.</p>	<p>Honda CR-V 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda CR-V	2016	<p>Your safety is our priority.</p> <p>Honda brings you these advanced safety features on every 2016 CR-V.</p> <p>Smartvent® Front Side Airbags – In the event of a moderate-to-severe side impact, these airbags are designed to deploy and inflate quickly to maximize potential protection for properly seated occupants.</p>	<p>Honda CR-V 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Fit	2012	<p>Fierce Defender! Front Airbags The Fit is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). One or both of these airbags will be deployed only in the event of a sufficient frontal impact. If deployed, these airbags are capable of being inflated at different rates depending on crash severity, seat-belt usage and other factors.</p> <p>Side Airbags With OPDS – The front passenger’s side airbag features our Occupant Position Detection System (OPDS) to help prevent the side airbag from deploying if its sensors detect a child or small-statured person in the airbag’s path of deployment.</p> <p>Side Curtain Airbags – Help lessen the likelihood of head or neck injuries for outboard occupants in the chance of a sufficient side collision.</p>	<p>Honda Fit 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Fit	2013	<p>Fierce Defender! Six Airbags – Every Fit has standard front, front side, and side curtain airbags that can help reduce the likelihood of injury in a collision.</p>	<p>Honda Fit 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Fit	2015	<p>Dual stage Front Airbags - The front airbags are capable of being inflated at different rates depending on the crash severity, seat belt usage and or other factors.</p>	<p>Honda Fit 2015 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Fit	2016	Equipped with confidence. Six Standard Airbags – The Fit is equipped with a full array of airbags including SmartVent® front side airbags, dual-stage front airbags (SRS) and side curtain airbags with rollover sensor.	Honda Fit 2016 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Fit	2017	Equipped with confidence. Smartvent® Airbags – Front side airbags feature SmartVent technology designed specifically to help protect the driver’s or front passenger’s upper torso in the event of a side-impact collision	Honda Fit 2017 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Fit EV	2013	Six Airbags	Honda Fit EV 2013 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA
Honda Fit EV	2014	Six Airbags	Honda Fit EV 2014 Vehicle Brochure Honda USA

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Ridgeline	2012	<p>We've earned out stripes in safety – With all the work we’ve put into Ridgeline, we’re most proud of our accomplishments in safety. From its reinforced, rigid unit body and six standard airbags to its sophisticated stability systems, the Ridgeline is serious about safety. And no matter which Ridgeline you choose, you’ll be glad to know that each and every safety feature comes standard.</p> <p>Front, front side, and side curtain airbags are all standard.</p>	<p>Honda Ridgeline 2012 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>
Honda Ridgeline	2013	<p>We've earned out stripes in safety...With all the work we’ve put into Ridgeline, we’re most proud of our accomplishments in safety. From its reinforced, rigid unit body and six standard airbags to its sophisticated stability systems, the Ridgeline is serious about safety. And no matter which Ridgeline you choose, you’ll be glad to know that each and every safety feature comes standard.</p> <p>Front, front side and side curtain airbags are all standard.</p>	<p>Honda Ridgeline 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

Honda			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Honda Ridgeline	2014	<p>We've earned our stripes in safety. With all the work we've put into Ridgeline, we're most proud of our accomplishments in safety. From its reinforced, rigid unit body and six standard airbags to its sophisticated stability systems, the Ridgeline is serious about safety. And no matter which Ridgeline you choose, you'll be glad to know that each and every safety feature comes standard.</p> <p>Front, front side, and side curtain airbags are all standard.</p>	<p>Honda Ridgeline 2014 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Honda USA</p>

EXHIBIT 12

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer Series	2013	<p>Our safety goal is simple: continue to improve. Using this approach, we’ve armed Lancer with some of the most advanced active and passive safety equipment in the industry. In fact, for both Lancer and Lancer Sportback, 4WD models excluded, it is rated “Top Safety Pick” with the Insurance Institute For Highway Safety.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag Safety – Lancer’s Supplemental Restraint System consists of seven airbags, including a dual-stage front, a front-seat side, and side-impact curtain airbags. The first compact sedan to offer one, Lancer also features a standard driver’s-side knee airbag. In an accident, it helps cushion the blow and stabilizes the legs and lower body of the driver.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Lancer 2013 Series Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Lancer	2013	<p><i>2013 Mitsubishi Lancer and Outlander Sport models named Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) Top Safety Picks</i></p> <p>For the sixth year in a row, the Mitsubishi Lancer compact sport sedan (including Sportback 5-door) joins the elite group of 2013 model vehicles that meet the Insurance Institute's rigid vehicle crash testing criteria in order to be called an IIHS “Top Safety Pick.”</p>	<p>December 21, 2012 Press Release</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer	2013	<p>The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) awarded Lancer the highest rating for front and rear-impact safety for many reasons. Including the extensive use of high-tension steel in the RISE body for increased rigidity, crumple zones for collision safety, a seven-airbag safety system, and anti-lock brakes with Electronic Brakeforce Distribution to help ensure that drivers stay in control. It all goes to prove that when it comes to keeping drivers and passengers safe, the 2013 Lancer is clearly different for a reason.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag System</p> <p>The Lancer offers standard advanced dual-stage front SRS airbags, driver and passenger side-impact airbags and front and rear side-curtain airbags on all Lancer models. Sensors evaluate the deceleration during an impact, the position of the driver's seat, and the weight on the front passenger's seat to provide the appropriate level of front airbag deployment. A first-in-segment feature, Lancer's standard driver's side knee airbag helps to prevent injury by stabilizing the legs and lower body of the driver in certain frontal collisions.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Webpage available on April 14, 2014</p> <p>Available at: http://www.mitsubishicars.com/MMNA/jsp/lancer/13/safety (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer Series	2014	<p>When it comes to safety, our goal is simple: Continue to improve. In fact, both Lancer and Sportback, 4WD models excluded, are rated a 2013 Top Safety Pick with the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag Safety</p> <p>Lancer’s Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including a dual-stage front, a front-seat side, and side-impact curtain airbags. Lancer also features a standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the driver’s legs and lower body in the event of a collision.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Lancer 2014 Series Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Lancer	2014	<p><i>Mitsubishi Lancer named an Insurance Institute For Highway Safety “Top Safety Pick”</i></p> <p>It is great to see our very popular Mitsubishi Lancer once again named as a “Top Safety Pick” by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, said Don Swearingen, Executive Vice President of MMNA. Lancer is a versatile compact sports sedan that has an exceptional degree of safety, excellent fuel economy, sporty performance and plentiful standard features all at price to fit almost any budget.</p>	<p>July 30, 2014 Press Release</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer Series	2015	<p>Knowledge Is Confidence – When it comes to safety, our goal is simple: Continue to improve. Using this approach, we’ve armed Lancer with a host of advanced safety equipment, including active safety equipment to help you avoid trouble and passive safety equipment should a collision prove unavoidable.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag Safety – Lancer’s Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including dual-stage front, front-seat side, and side curtain airbags. Lancer also features a standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the legs and lower body of the driver in the event of a collision.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Lancer 2015 Series Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Lancer	2015	<p><i>2015 Mitsubishi Lancer, Outlander and Outlander Sport named Insurance Institute for Highway Safety award winners</i></p> <p>Additional standard safety features found on the Mitsubishi Lancer, Outlander and Outlander Sport include seven air bags, Active Stability Control, Traction Control Logic, Tire Pressure Monitoring System, and Anti-lock braking system with Electronic brake-force Distribution and Brake Assist.</p>	<p>December 23, 2014 Press Release</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer	2016	<p>Knowledge Is Confidence – When it comes to safety, our goal is simple: Continue to improve. Using this approach, we’ve armed Lancer with a host of advanced safety equipment, including active safety equipment to help you avoid trouble and passive safety equipment should a collision prove unavoidable.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag Safety – Lancer’s Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including dual-stage front, front-seat side, and side curtain airbags. Lancer also features a standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the legs and lower body of the driver in the event of a collision.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Lancer 2016 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Lancer	2016	<p><i>Mitsubishi Motors announces 2016 Lancer: New design and increased value.</i></p> <p>Known for its commitment to safety, the Mitsubishi Lancer has been named an IIHS “Top Safety Pick” for seven years running in 2015, and continues its record of excellence with a comprehensive list of safety features/technologies in each Lancer model, such as Mitsubishi Motors advanced Reinforced Impact Safety Evolution (RISE) safety body construction system.</p>	<p>September 30, 2015 Press Release</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Lancer	2017	<p>Knowledge Is Confidence – When it comes to safety, our goal is simple: Continue to improve. Using this approach, we’ve armed Lancer with a host of advanced safety equipment, including active safety equipment to help you avoid trouble and passive safety equipment should a collision prove unavoidable.</p> <p>Seven-Airbag Safety – Lancer’s Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) consists of seven airbags, including dual-stage front, front-seat side, and side curtain airbags. Lancer also features a standard driver-side knee airbag, which helps stabilize the legs and lower body of the driver in the event of a collision.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Lancer 2017 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Lancer Sportback	2014	<p>The Power Of Seven – 7 Airbag Safety System Including Driver's Knee Airbag</p> <p>This innovative system features six standard airbags, along with a seventh under the driver's side dash that helps stabilize the driver's lower body and knees during certain types of frontal collisions. This is one Lancer Sportback feature you'll probably never use, but it's nice to know it's there.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Webpage available on June 25, 2014</p> <p>Available at: http://www.mitsubishicars.com/lancer-sportback (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

Mitsubishi Class Vehicle Safety Advertisements

Mitsubishi			
Make	Model Year	Representation re: Class Vehicle Safety	Source and Author
Outlander	2013	<p>Dual Advanced Front Airbags –Dual advanced front airbags with seat position and occupant sensors help protect the driver and front passenger by sensing the severity of the impact, the position of the driver’s seat and the weight of the front passenger’s seat to provide the appropriate level of front airbag deployment. In the event of a crash in which the passenger seat is unoccupied, the passenger airbag will not deploy.</p> <p>Six airbags</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Outlander 2013 Vehicle Brochure</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>
Outlander	2013	<p>Six-Airbag System – Outlander offers standard advanced dual-stage front SRS airbags, driver and passenger side-impact airbags, and front and rear side-curtain airbags on all Outlander models.* Sensors evaluate the deceleration during an impact, the position of the driver's seat and the weight on the front passenger's seat to provide the appropriate level of front airbag deployment.</p>	<p>Mitsubishi Webpage available on February 14, 2013</p> <p>Available at: http://www.mitsubishicars.com/MMNA/jsp/outlander/13/safety (last visited May 18, 2020)</p> <p>Mitsubishi USA</p>

EXHIBIT 13

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon	2012	106	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2012	106	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon	2012	108	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with "ADVANCED AIRBAGS" designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators. A chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2012	109	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2012	478	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Avalon	2013	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2013	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon	2013	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2013	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon	2013	40	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon	2013	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2013	386	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon	2014	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2014	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon	2014	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2014	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon	2014	40	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon	2014	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2014	386	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Avalon	2015	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2015	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon	2015	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2015	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon	2015	40	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon	2015	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2015	387	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Avalon	2016	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2016	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Avalon	2016	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2016	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon	2016	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon	2016	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2016	385	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Avalon	2017	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2017	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Avalon	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon	2017	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon	2017	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2017	387	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Avalon	2018	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon	2018	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon	2018	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon	2018	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon	2018	387	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Avalon Hybrid	2013	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2013	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon Hybrid	2013	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2013	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2013	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2013	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon Hybrid	2014	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2014	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Avalon Hybrid	2014	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2014	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2014	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2014	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon Hybrid	2015	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2015	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon Hybrid	2015	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2015	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2015	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2015	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon Hybrid	2016	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2016	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Avalon Hybrid	2016	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2016	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2016	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2016	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon Hybrid	2017	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Avalon Hybrid	2017	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2017	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2017	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2017	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Avalon Hybrid	2018	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Avalon Hybrid	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Avalon Hybrid	2018	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Avalon Hybrid	2018	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Avalon Hybrid	2018	43	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	For Safety Connect subscribers, if the SRS airbags deploy or in the event of a severe rear-end collision, the system is designed to send an emergency call to the response center, notifying them of the vehicle's location (without needing to push the "SOS" button) and an agent will attempt to speak with the occupants to ascertain the level of emergency and assistance required. If the occupants are unable to communicate, the agent automatically treats the call as an emergency and helps to dispatch the necessary emergency services.
Avalon Hybrid	2018	44	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2011	85	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2011	85	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Corolla	2011	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc.
Corolla	2011	87	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbag system is controlled by the airbag sensor assembly. The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.
Corolla	2011	88	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2011	92	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2011	421	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2012	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2012	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla	2012	88	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2012	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2012	93	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2012	419	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2013	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2013	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla	2013	88	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Corolla	2013	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2013	93	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2013	423	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2014	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2014	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Corolla	2014	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2014	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2014	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2014	491	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2015	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2015	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla	2015	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2015	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2015	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2015	490	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Corolla	2016	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2016	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Corolla	2016	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2016	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2016	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2016	497	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2017	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2017	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2017	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2017	483	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2018	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2018	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Corolla	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2018	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2018	479	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Corolla	2019	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla	2019	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Corolla	2019	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla	2019	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Corolla	2019	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla	2019	479	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops the supply of fuel to the engine.
Corolla Matrix	2011	71	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla Matrix	2011	71	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla Matrix	2011	72	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc.
Corolla Matrix	2011	73	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Corolla Matrix	2011	74	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla Matrix	2011	398	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Corolla Matrix	2012	73	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla Matrix	2012	73	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla Matrix	2012	75	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla Matrix	2012	76	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla Matrix	2012	412	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Corolla Matrix	2013	73	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Corolla Matrix	2013	73	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag and front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Corolla Matrix	2013	75	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Corolla Matrix	2013	76	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Corolla Matrix	2013	396	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Sequoia	2012	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2012	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sequoia	2012	115	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc.
Sequoia	2012	116	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The main SRS airbag system components are shown above. The SRS airbag system is controlled by the airbag sensor assembly. The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.
Sequoia	2012	117	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2012	121	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2012	602	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Sequoia	2013	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2013	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Sequoia	2013	116	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Sequoia	2013	117	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2013	121	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2013	632	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Sequoia	2014	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2014	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Sequoia	2014	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sequoia	2014	115	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2014	119	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2014	560	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Sequoia	2015	111	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2015	111	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Sequoia	2015	113	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Sequoia	2015	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2015	118	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2015	556	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Sequoia	2016	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2016	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Sequoia	2016	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Sequoia	2016	115	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2016	119	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2016	468	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sequoia	2017	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Sequoia	2017	112	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components.
Sequoia	2017	114	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Sequoia	2017	115	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Sequoia	2017	119	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Sequoia	2017	468	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2012	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2012	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.
Tacoma	2012	91	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and right front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc. The main SRS airbag system components are shown above. The SRS airbag system is controlled by the airbag sensor assembly. The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. Bench type front seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tacoma	2012	92	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.
Tacoma	2012	92	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2012	97	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2012	504	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2013	87	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2013	87	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tacoma	2013	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Bench type front seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tacoma	2013	90	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2013	95	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2013	402	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2014	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2014	86	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.
Tacoma	2014	88	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Bench type front seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tacoma	2014	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2014	94	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2014	483	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2015	82	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2015	82	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.
Tacoma	2015	84	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tacoma	2015	85	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2015	89	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2015	475	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2016	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2016	35	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components
Tacoma	2016	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors, etc., shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Tacoma	2016	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2016	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2016	509	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2017	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2017	35	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components
Tacoma	2017	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors, etc., shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Tacoma	2017	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2017	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2017	513	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tacoma	2018	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2018	35	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Tacoma	2018	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors, etc., shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Tacoma	2018	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2018	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS side and curtain shield airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to the impact force produced by an approximately 3300 lb. [1500 kg] vehicle colliding with the vehicle cabin from a direction perpendicular to the vehicle orientation at an approximate speed of 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h]).
Tacoma	2018	565	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tacoma	2019	34	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tacoma	2019	35	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and front passenger from impact with interior components
Tacoma	2019	36	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors, etc., shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants.
Tacoma	2019	37	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tacoma	2019	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tacoma	2019	567	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2012	122	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2012	122	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tundra	2012	124	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag system controls airbag deployment power for the driver and right front passenger. The driver airbag system consists of the driver seat's position sensor etc. The front passenger's airbag system consists of the front passenger occupant classification sensor etc. The main SRS airbag system components are shown above. The SRS airbag system is controlled by the airbag sensor assembly. The airbag sensor assembly consists of a safing sensor and an airbag sensor. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position. In certain types of severe frontal or side impacts, the SRS airbag system triggers the airbag inflators.
Tundra	2012	125	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2012	129	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2012	608	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2013	122	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2013	122	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components.
Tundra	2013	124	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tundra	2013	125	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbag will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12-18 mph [20-30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2013	129	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2013	610	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supplying fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2014	38	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2014	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tundra	2014	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tundra	2014	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2014	46	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2014	463	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2015	38	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2015	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components
Tundra	2015	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tundra	2015	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2015	46	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2015	464	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2016	38	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2016	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Tundra	2016	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tundra	2016	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2016	46	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2016	472	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.
Tundra	2017	38	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags inflate when the vehicle is subjected to certain types of severe impacts that may cause significant injury to the occupants. They work together with the seat belts to help reduce the risk of death or serious injury.
Tundra	2017	39	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	SRS driver airbag/front passenger airbag Can help protect the head and chest of the driver and right front passenger from impact with interior components
Tundra	2017	41	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	Your vehicle is equipped with ADVANCED AIRBAGS designed based on the US motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS208). The airbag sensor assembly (ECU) controls airbag deployment based on information obtained from the sensors etc. shown in the system components diagram above. This information includes crash severity and occupant information. As the airbags deploy, a chemical reaction in the inflators quickly fills the airbags with non-toxic gas to help restrain the motion of the occupants. Front bench type seat: The SRS airbags are designed to protect the driver and right front passenger, and they are not designed to protect an occupant in the front center seating position.
Tundra	2017	42	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS airbags are supplemental devices to be used with the seat belts.
Tundra	2017	46	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	The SRS front airbags will deploy in the event of an impact that exceeds the set threshold level (the level of force corresponding to an approximately 12 - 18 mph [20 - 30 km/h] frontal collision with a fixed wall that does not move or deform).
Tundra	2017	474	Toyota USA and Toyota Japan	To minimize the risk of fuel leakage when the engine stalls or when an airbag inflates upon collision, the fuel pump shut off system stops supply of fuel to the engine.

EXHIBIT 14

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2011	38	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/inflation signal.
Sonata	2011	38	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2011	41	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2011	42	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2011	44	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata	2011	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2011	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2011	50	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata	2011	57	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata	2012	38	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Sonata	2012	38	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2012	41	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2012	42	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2012	44	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata	2012	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2012	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2012	50	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata	2012	57	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata	2013	38	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors send out an electronic deployment/inflation signal.
Sonata	2013	38	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2013	41	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2013	42	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2013	44	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata	2013	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2013	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2013	50	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata	2013	57	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata	2014	38	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors send out an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Sonata	2014	38	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2014	41	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2014	42	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2014	44	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata	2014	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2014	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2014	50	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata	2014	57	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata	2015	47	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2015	47	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2015	47	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, and seat belt usage, the SRS Control Module (SRSCM) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata	2015	51	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine Start/Stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2015	52	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/inflation signal.
Sonata	2015	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2015	54	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver or the front passenger forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2015	56	Hyundai USA	The OCS is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the OCS.
Sonata	2015	63	Hyundai USA	Front air bags and the driver's knee air bag are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the severity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata	2016	46	Hyundai USA	The front air bags are designed to supplement the three-point seat belts. For these air bags to provide protection, the seat belts must be worn at all times when driving.
Sonata	2016	47	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2016	47	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is.
Sonata	2016	49	Hyundai USA	According to the impact severity, and seat belt usage, the SRS control Module (SRSCM [SRS Control Module]) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Sonata	2016	51	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine start/stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2016	52	Hyundai USA	During a frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the front air bags. The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata	2016	52	Hyundai USA	Air bag deployment depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle impacts during a collision.
Sonata	2016	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2016	53	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata	2016	54	Hyundai USA	After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2016	56	Hyundai USA	The OCS is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the OCS.
Sonata	2017	48	Hyundai USA	The front air bags are designed to supplement the three-point seat belts. For these air bags to provide protection, the seat belts must be worn at all times when driving.
Sonata	2017	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2017	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is.
Sonata	2017	49	Hyundai USA	According to the impact severity, and seat belt usage, the SRS control Module (SRSCM) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Sonata	2017	53	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine start/stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2017	54	Hyundai USA	During a frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's deceleration. If the deceleration rate (measured in g-force) is high enough, the control unit will inflate the front air bags. The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata	2017	54	Hyundai USA	Air bag deployment depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle impacts during a collision.
Sonata	2017	54	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2017	55	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata	2017	56	Hyundai USA	After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2017	58	Hyundai USA	The OCS is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The purpose is to help reduce the risk of injury or death from an inflating air bag to certain front passenger seat occupants, such as children, by requiring the air bag to be automatically turned OFF.
Sonata	2018	22	Hyundai USA	Air bags are designed to supplement the seat belt as an additional safety device, but they are not a substitute. Most states require all occupants of a vehicle to wear seat belts.
Sonata	2018	46	Hyundai USA	This vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Air Bag System for the driver's seat and front passenger's seats.
Sonata	2018	47	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2018	47	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata	2018	47	Hyundai USA	The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is. The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Sonata	2018	51	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine Start/Stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2018	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata	2018	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2018	53	Hyundai USA	To help provide protection, the air bags must inflate rapidly. The speed of air bag inflation is a consequence of extremely short time in which to inflate the air bag between the occupant and the vehicle structures before the occupant impacts those structures.
Sonata	2018	53	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata	2018	54	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver or the front passenger forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata	2018	56	Hyundai USA	Occupant Classification System: A detection device located within the front passenger seat cushion. Electronic system to determine whether the passenger air bag systems should be activated or deactivated.
Sonata	2019	22	Hyundai USA	Air bags are designed to supplement the seat belt as an additional safety device, but they are not a substitute. Most states require all occupants of a vehicle to wear seat belts.
Sonata	2019	46	Hyundai USA	This vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Air Bag System for the driver's seat and front passenger's seats.
Sonata	2019	47	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata	2019	47	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is.
Sonata	2019	47	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Sonata	2019	51	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine Start/Stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata	2019	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata	2019	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata	2019	53	Hyundai USA	To help provide protection, the air bags must inflate rapidly. The speed of air bag inflation is a consequence of extremely short time in which to inflate the air bag between the occupant and the vehicle structures before the occupant impacts those structures. This speed of inflation reduces the risk of serious or lifethreatening injuries and is thus a necessary part of air bag design.
Sonata	2019	53	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata	2019	54	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver or the front passenger forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata	2019	56	Hyundai USA	Occupant Classification System: A detection device located within the front passenger seat cushion. Electronic system to determine whether the passenger air bag systems should be activated or deactivated.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	36	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2011	36	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	39	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the engine start/stop button is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	41	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	42	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	48	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	48	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	49	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata Hybrid	2011	56	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	36	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors send out an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	36	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	39	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the engine start/stop button is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	41	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2012	42	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	48	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	48	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	49	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata Hybrid	2012	56	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	36	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	36	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	39	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the engine start/stop button is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	41	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	42	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	48	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	48	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2013	49	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata Hybrid	2013	56	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	38	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	38	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	41	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	42	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	44	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	50	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata Hybrid	2014	57	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	36	Hyundai USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate by the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors sendout an electronic deployment/inflation signal.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2015	36	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	39	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the engine start/stop button is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	41	Hyundai USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	42	Hyundai USA	The occupant classification system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The driver's front air bag is not affected or controlled by the occupant classification system.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	48	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	48	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	49	Hyundai USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation within two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Sonata Hybrid	2015	56	Hyundai USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	48	Hyundai USA	The front air bags are designed to supplement the three-point seat belts. For these air bags to provide protection, the seat belts must be worn at all times when driving.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	49	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	49	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened and how severe the impact is.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	49	Hyundai USA	According to the impact severity, and seat belt usage, the SRS Control Module (SRSCM [SRS Control Module]) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2016	53	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine Start/Stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	54	Hyundai USA	During a frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the front air bags. The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	54	Hyundai USA	Air bag deployment depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle impacts during a collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	54	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	55	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	56	Hyundai USA	After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2016	58	Hyundai USA	The OCS is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The purpose is to help reduce the risk of injury or death from an inflating air bag to certain front passenger seat occupants, such as children, by requiring the air bag to be automatically turned OFF.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	46	Hyundai USA	The front air bags are designed to supplement the three-point seat belts. For these air bags to provide protection, the seat belts must be worn at all times when driving.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	47	Hyundai USA	Your vehicle is equipped with a Advanced Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating positions.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	47	Hyundai USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and front passengers with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened. These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	47	Hyundai USA	According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRS Control Module (SRSCM [SRS Control Module]) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	51	Hyundai USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the Engine Start/Stop button is in the ON position to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	52	Hyundai USA	During a frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the front air bags. The front air bags help protect the driver and front passenger by responding to frontal impacts in which seat belts alone cannot provide adequate restraint.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	52	Hyundai USA	Air bag deployment depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle impacts during a collision.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Sonata Hybrid	2017	52	Hyundai USA	The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	53	Hyundai USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	54	Hyundai USA	After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Sonata Hybrid	2017	56	Hyundai USA	The OCS is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. The purpose is to help reduce the risk of injury or death from an inflating air bag to certain front passenger seat occupants, such as children, by requiring the air bag to be automatically turned OFF.

EXHIBIT 15

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Forte	2010	3 35	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Forte	2010	3 38	Kia USA	The SRSCM [Supplemental Restraint System Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Forte	2010	3 39	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Forte	2010	3 40	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Forte	2010	3 46	Kia USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity.
Forte	2010	3 47	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Forte	2010	3 48	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Forte	2010	3 54	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Forte	2012	3 34	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Forte	2012	3 37	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Forte	2012	3 38	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Forte	2012	3 39	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Forte	2012	3 45	Kia USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity.
Forte	2012	3 45	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions.
Forte	2012	3 46	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Forte	2012	3 51	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2014	3 23	Kia USA	The pretensioner seat belts may be activated together with the air bags upon a severe enough collision.
Optima	2014	3 35	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/inflation signal.
Optima	2014	3 35	Kia USA	Air bag deployment depends on a number of complex factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact and the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle hits in the collision. Though, factors are not limited to those mentioned above. The front air bags will completely inflate and deflate in an instant. It is virtually impossible for you to see the air bags inflate during an accident. It is much more likely that you will simply see the deflated air bags hanging out of their storage compartments after the collision.
Optima	2014	3 38	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Optima	2014	3 39	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2014	3 40	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2014	3 46	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions. However, children are safer if they are restraint in the rear seat. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Optima	2014	3 47	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2014	3 51	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2015	3 37	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima	2015	3 40	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Optima	2015	3 41	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2015	3 42	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima	2015	3 47	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2015	3 48	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions. However, children are safer if they are restraint in the rear seat. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident. Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions. For more detail, see "Occupant detection system" in this section.
Optima	2015	3 49	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2015	3 50	Kia USA	The purpose of the air bag is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt alone.
Optima	2015	3 53	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2016	3 2	Kia USA	Air bags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them.
Optima	2016	3 44	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima	2016	3 49	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2016	3 50	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima	2016	3 59	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position.
Optima	2016	3 59	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2016	3 60	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Optima	2016	3 60	Kia USA	According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRSCM (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Optima	2016	3 60	Kia USA	Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions.
Optima	2016	3 60	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2016	3 65	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2017	3 44	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima	2017	3 49	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2017	3 50	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima	2017	3 58	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position.
Optima	2017	3 59	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Optima	2017	3 59	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Optima	2017	3 59	Kia USA	According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRSCM (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2017	3 59	Kia USA	Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions.
Optima	2017	3 60	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2017	3 65	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2018	3 44	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima	2018	3 49	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2018	3 50	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima	2018	3 58	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position.
Optima	2018	3 59	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Optima	2018	3 59	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Optima	2018	3 59	Kia USA	According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Optima	2018	3 59	Kia USA	Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2018	3 60	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2018	3 65	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima	2019	3 46	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima	2019	3 51	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2019	3 52	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima	2019	3 60	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position.
Optima	2019	3 61	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity.
Optima	2019	3 61	Kia USA	The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts.
Optima	2019	3 61	Kia USA	According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Optima	2019	3 61	Kia USA	Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions.
Optima	2019	3 62	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima	2019	3 67	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2020	3 28	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with driver's and front passenger's pre-tensioner seat belts (retractor pretensioner and EFD (Emergency Fastening Device)). The pre-tensioner seat belts may be activated when a frontal collision is severe enough, together with the air bags.
Optima	2020	3 46	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal. Air bag deployment depends on a number of factors including vehicle speed, angles of impact, and, the density and stiffness of the vehicles or objects which your vehicle hits in the collision. The determining factors are not limited to those mentioned above.
Optima	2020	3 46	Kia USA	In order to help provide protection, the air bags must inflate rapidly. The speed of the air bag inflation is a consequence of extremely short time in which to inflate the air bag between the occupant and the vehicle structures before the occupant impacts those structures. This speed of inflation reduces the risk of serious or life-threatening injuries and is thus a necessary part of the air bag design.
Optima	2020	3 50	Kia USA	When the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] detects a sufficiently severe impact to the front of the vehicle, it will automatically deploy the front air bags.
Optima	2020	3 51	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima	2020	3 52	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not. Only the front passenger front air bag is controlled by the Occupant Detection System.
Optima	2020	3 60	Kia USA	Your vehicle is equipped with an Advanced Supplemental Restraint (Air Bag) System and lap/shoulder belts at both the driver and passenger seating position. The indication of the system's presence are the letters "AIR BAG" located on the air bag pad cover on the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel pad above the glove box.
Optima	2020	3 61	Kia USA	The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The seat belt buckle sensors determine if the driver and front passenger's seat belts are fastened.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima	2020	3 61	Kia USA	These sensors provide the ability to control the SRS deployment based on whether or not the seat belts are fastened, and how severe the impact is. The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate-severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions. However, children are safer if they are restrained in the rear seat. According to the impact severity and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation.
Optima	2020	3 61	Kia USA	Additionally, your vehicle is equipped with an occupant detection system in the front passenger's seat. The occupant detection system detects the presence of a passenger in the front passenger's seat and will turn off the front passenger's air bag under certain conditions.
Optima	2020	3 62	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes. Front air bags are not intended to deploy in collisions in which sufficient protection can be provided by the pre-tensioner seat belt.
Optima	2020	3 67	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 23	Kia USA	The retractor pre-tensiner is a supplemental system of the seat belts. The purpose of the retractor pre-tensioner is to tighten the shoulder belt against the occupant's upper body in certain frontal collisions.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 23	Kia USA	The pretensioner seat belts may be activated together with the air bags upon a severe enough collision.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 35	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 38	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 39	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 40	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 46	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 47	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima Hybrid	2014	3 51	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 35	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 38	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is on to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 39	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 40	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 46	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate- severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions. However, children are safer if they are restraint in the rear seat. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 47	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima Hybrid	2015	3 51	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 35	Kia USA	Generally, air bags are designed to inflate based upon the severity of a collision and its direction. These two factors determine whether the sensors produce an electronic deployment/ inflation signal.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 38	Kia USA	The SRSCM [SRS Control Module] continually monitors all SRS components while the ignition switch is ON to determine if a crash impact is severe enough to require air bag deployment or pre-tensioner seat belt deployment.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 39	Kia USA	A fully inflated air bag, in combination with a properly worn seat belt, slows the driver's or the passenger's forward motion, reducing the risk of head and chest injury. After complete inflation, the air bag immediately starts deflating, enabling the driver to maintain forward visibility and the ability to steer or operate other controls.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 40	Kia USA	The occupant detection system is designed to detect the presence of a properly-seated front passenger and determine if the passenger's front air bag should be enabled (may inflate) or not.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 46	Kia USA	The SRS consists of air bags installed under the pad covers in the center of the steering wheel and the passenger's side front panel above the glove box. The purpose of the SRS is to provide the vehicle's driver and/or the front passenger with additional protection than that offered by the seat belt system alone in case of a frontal impact of sufficient severity. The SRS uses sensors to gather information about the driver's seat position, the driver's and front passenger's seat belt usage and impact severity. The advanced SRS offers the ability to control the air bag inflation with two levels. A first stage level is provided for moderate- severity impacts. A second stage level is provided for more severe impacts. The passenger's front air bag is designed to help reduce the injury of children sitting close to the instrument panel in low speed collisions. However, children are safer if they are restraint in the rear seat. According to the impact severity, seating position and seat belt usage, the SRSCM [SRS Control Module] (SRS Control Module) controls the air bag inflation. Failure to properly wear seat belts can increase the risk or severity of injury in an accident.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 47	Kia USA	Advanced air bags are combined with pre-tensioner seat belts to help provide enhanced occupant protection in frontal crashes.
Optima Hybrid	2016	3 51	Kia USA	Front air bags are designed to inflate in a frontal collision depending on the intensity, speed or angles of impact of the front collision.

EXHIBIT 16

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Chrysler	Sebring	2010	53	FCA US LLC* (Manuals prior to 2014 state "Chrysler Group LLC" which formed in 2009 after bankruptcy, and changed its corporate name to FCA US LLC in 2014)	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Chrysler	Sebring	2010	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position.
Chrysler	Sebring	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Chrysler	Sebring	2010	60	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.
Chrysler	Sebring	2010	61	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Airbags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions.
Chrysler	200	2011	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air bags based upon seat position.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Chrysler	200	2011	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Chrysler	200	2011	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.
Chrysler	200	2011	64	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Chrysler	200	2012	58	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Chrysler	200	2012	59	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Chrysler	200	2012	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Chrysler	200	2012	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact.
Chrysler	200	2013	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Chrysler	200	2013	61	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Chrysler	200	2013	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Chrysler	200	2013	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.
Chrysler	200	2013	68	FCA US LLC	Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Chrysler	200	2014	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Chrysler	200	2014	61	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Chrysler	200	2014	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Chrysler	200	2014	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.
Chrysler	200	2015	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components.
Chrysler	200	2015	64	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Chrysler	200	2015	65	FCA US LLC	The OCS is part of a Federally regulated safety system for this vehicle. It is designed to provide Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag output appropriate to the occupant's seated weight, as determined by the OCS.
Dodge	Avenger	2010	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Avenger	2010	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags. This vehicle may also be equipped with Supplemental Side Airbag Inflatable Curtains (SABIC) to protect the driver, front, and rear passengers sitting next to a window. If the vehicle is equipped with SABIC, they are located above the side windows. The trim covering the side airbags is labeled SRS AIRBAG.
Dodge	Avenger	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Dodge	Avenger	2010	60	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle.
Dodge	Avenger	2010	61	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Airbags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The Advanced Front Airbags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, Advanced Front Airbags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration.
Dodge	Avenger	2011	58	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Avenger	2011	59	FCA US LLC	These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Avenger	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Avenger	2011	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air
Dodge	Avenger	2011	65	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Air Bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The side air bags will not deploy in all side collisions. Side air bag deployment will depend on the severity and type of collision. Because air bag sensors measure vehicle deceleration over time, vehicle speed and damage by themselves are not good indicators of whether or not an air bag should have deployed. Seat belts are necessary for your protection in all accidents, and also are needed to help keep you in position, away from an inflating air bag.
Dodge	Avenger	2012	52	FCA US LLC	The pretensioners are triggered by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). Like the air bags, the pretensioners are single use items. A deployed pretensioner or a deployed air bag must be replaced immediately.
Dodge	Avenger	2012	58	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Avenger	2012	59	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Avenger	2012	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Avenger	2012	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Avenger	2013	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Avenger	2013	62	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Avenger	2013	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Avenger	2013	67	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Avenger	2014	42	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Avenger	2014	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Avenger	2014	61	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Dodge	Avenger	2014	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Dodge	Avenger	2014	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the
Dodge	Caliber	2010	57	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. In addition, the vehicle is equipped with a driver side knee airbag mounted in the instrument panel below the steering column. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Dodge	Caliber	2010	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the advanced front airbags based upon seat position.
Dodge	Caliber	2010	59	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Dodge	Caliber	2010	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Caliber	2010	65	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Airbags and knee airbag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Caliber	2011	59	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Caliber	2011	60	FCA US LLC	These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Caliber	2011	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Caliber	2011	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags and Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Caliber	2011	68	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Caliber	2012	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Caliber	2012	62	FCA US LLC	These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Caliber	2012	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Caliber	2012	68	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags and Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. .
Dodge	Caliber	2012	70	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Nitro	2010	54	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS/ AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Nitro	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Nitro	2010	57	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended. The passenger Advanced Front Airbag may not deploy, even when the driver Advanced Front Airbag has, if the Occupant Classification System (refer to "Occupant Classification System") has determined the passenger seat is empty or is occupied by someone that is classified in the "child" size category. This could be a child, teenager, or even an adult.
Dodge	Nitro	2010	62	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, Supplemental Side Airbag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on each type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Nitro	2010	64	FCA US LLC	The OCS is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. It is designed to turn off the passenger Advanced Front Airbag for an empty seat and for occupants classified in a category other than an adult. This could be a child, teenager, or even an adult.
Dodge	Nitro	2011	58	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS/ AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Nitro	2011	59	FCA US LLC	This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Nitro	2011	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Dodge	Nitro	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. The passenger Advanced Front Air Bag may not deploy, even when the driver Advanced Front Air Bag has, if the Occupant Classification System (refer to "Occupant Classification System") has determined the passenger seat is empty or is occupied by someone that is classified in the child size category. This could be a child, teenager, or even an adult.
Dodge	Nitro	2011	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. .
Dodge	Nitro	2011	65	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] also monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the air bag system whenever the ignition switch is in the START or ON/RUN positions. If the key is in the LOCK position, in the ACC position, or not in the ignition, the air bag system is not on and the air bags will not inflate.
Dodge	Nitro	2011	66	FCA US LLC	The OCS is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. It is designed to turn off the passenger Advanced Front Air Bag for an empty seat and for occupants classified in a category other than an adult. This could be a child, teenager, or even an adult.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Nitro	2011	69	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible based on collision severity and type. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	51	FCA US LLC	The pretensioners are triggered by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). Like the airbags, the pretensioners are single use items. After a collision deploys the airbags and/or pretensioners, a deployed airbag and/or pretensioner must be replaced immediately.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	54	FCA US LLC	These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags. The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the impact sensors at the front of the car. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	58	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Airbags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side airbags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	60	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags— if equipped, Supplemental Side Seat Airbags—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	62	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the bags inflate to their full size. The bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2009	63	FCA US LLC	In front and side impacts, impact sensors aid the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] in determining appropriate response to impact events. Additional sensors in the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU]
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	60	FCA US LLC	Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2010	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	60	FCA US LLC	Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2011	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 1500	2012	57	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2012	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	ram 1500	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags — if equipped, SAB air bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 1500	2012	64	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	60	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2010	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	60	FCA US LLC	Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it
Dodge	Ram 2500	2011	62	FCA US LLC	The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2012	57	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags — if equipped, SAB air bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2012	64	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Dodge	Ram 2500	2012	64	FCA US LLC	The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	60	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2010	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	53	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	55	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	59	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	60	FCA US LLC	Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2011	61	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2012	57	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Ram 3500	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags — if equipped, SAB air bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 3500	2012	64	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2011	49	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger (if equipped) as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2011	50	FCA US LLC	These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags. The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2011	51	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger (if equipped) airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2011	54	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front airbags in a frontal collision is required. Based on impact sensor signals a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 4500	2011	55	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2012	52	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger (if equipped) as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2012	53	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2012	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger (if equipped) air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 4500	2012	57	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
	Ram 4500	2012	59	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel (if equipped). When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2011	49	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger (if equipped) as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2011	50	FCA US LLC	These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags. The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2011	51	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger (if equipped) airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2011	54	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front airbags in a frontal collision is required. Based on impact sensor signals a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Airbags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side or rollover collisions.
	Ram 5500	2011	55	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2012	52	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger (if equipped) as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Dodge	Ram 5500	2012	53	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2012	54	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger (if equipped) air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC). The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2012	57	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Dodge	Ram 5500	2012	59	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel (if equipped). When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2012	39	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. In addition, the vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag mounted in the instrument panel below the steering column. These air bags are certified to the Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Fiat	500	2012	40	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to allow the air bags to have different inflation levels based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Fiat	500	2012	41	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Fiat	500	2012	45	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags and Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Fiat	500	2012	47	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2013	42	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2013	43	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to allow the air bags to have different inflation levels based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Fiat	500	2013	44	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Fiat	500	2013	48	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags and Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Fiat	500	2013	50	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2014	38	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2014	39	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to allow the air bags to have different inflation levels based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation level of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Fiat	500	2014	41	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors.
Fiat	500	2014	45	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags and Supplemental Driver Side Knee Air Bag are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Fiat	500	2014	47	FCA US LLC	Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2015	39	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Fiat	500	2015	41	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2015	42	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Fiat	500	2015	42	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The Advanced Front Air Bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2016	42	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Fiat	500	2016	43	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Fiat	500	2016	44	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Fiat	500	2016	45	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The Advanced Front Air Bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2017	35	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.
Fiat	500	2017	36	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2017	37	FCA US LLC	The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Fiat	500	2017	37	FCA US LLC	Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Front air bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The front air bags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions.
Fiat	500	2017	38	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The front air bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The front air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2017	42	FCA US LLC	The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with electrical Air Bag System Components listed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) • Air Bag Warning Light • Steering Wheel and Column • Instrument Panel • Knee Impact Bolsters • Driver and Front Passenger Air Bags • Supplemental Side Air Bags • Supplemental Knee Air Bags • Front and Side Impact Sensors • Seat Belt Pretensioners • Seat Track Position Sensors • Seat Belt Buckle Switch
Fiat	500	2018	123	FCA US LLC	The air bag system must be ready to protect you in a collision. The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with the electrical Air Bag System Components.
Fiat	500	2018	125	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver front air bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger front air bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words “SRS AIRBAG” or “AIRBAG” are embossed on the air bag covers.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2018	126	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Fiat	500	2018	127	FCA US LLC	Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Front air bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The front air bags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, front air bags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration.
Fiat	500	2018	127	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper passenger side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The front air bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The front air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Fiat	500	2019	135	FCA US LLC	The air bag system must be ready to protect you in a collision. The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with the electrical Air Bag System Components.
Fiat	500	2019	137	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver front air bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger front air bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words “SRS AIRBAG” or “AIRBAG” are embossed on the air bag covers.
Fiat	500	2019	138	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Fiat	500	2019	139	FCA US LLC	Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Front air bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The front air bags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions.
Fiat	500	2019	139	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper passenger side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The front air bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The front air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2010	56	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's front airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's front airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags. The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2010	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the impact sensors at the front of the car. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Jeep	Compass	2010	63	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags, Supplemental Side Seat Airbags—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2010	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, Advanced Front Airbags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration.
Jeep	Compass	2010	65	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Airbag/Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the airbags, it signals the inflator units.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2010	65	FCA US LLC	Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the bags inflate to their full size. The bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2011	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2011	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Compass	2011	66	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Compass	2011	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, Active Head Restraints, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2011	68	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2011	68	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2012	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2012	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Compass	2012	67	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Compass	2012	67	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2012	69	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2012	69	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2013	62	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2013	63	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2013	64-65	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Compass	2013	68	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee impact bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Compass	2013	69	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2013	70	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel.
Jeep	Compass	2013	71	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2013	71	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2014	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2014	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Compass	2014	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Compass	2014	67	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee impact bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Compass	2014	67	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Compass	2014	69	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Compass	2014	69	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2015	63	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Compass	2015	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Jeep	Compass	2015	65	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Jeep	Compass	2015	66	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The Advanced Front Air Bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2015	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Jeep	Compass	2015	65	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Jeep	Compass	2015	66	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2016	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Compass	2016	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Jeep	Compass	2016	63	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Jeep	Compass	2016	64	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The Advanced Front Air Bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Compass	2017	166	FCA US LLC	The air bag system must be ready to protect you in a collision. The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with the electrical Air Bag System Components.
Jeep	Compass	2017	168	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver front air bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger front air bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Compass	2017	168	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Compass	2017	169	FCA US LLC	The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Jeep	Compass	2017	170	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The front air bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The front air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	56	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's front airbag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger's front airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS/AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the impact sensors at the front of the car.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	59	FCA US LLC	The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	61	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Airbags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side airbags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	63	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, side airbags, and front seat belt, as required, depending on each type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Airbags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The Advanced Front Airbags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, Advanced Front Airbags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Liberty	2010	63-64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] also monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the air bag system whenever the ignition switch is in the START or ON/RUN position.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	64	FCA US LLC	The Occupant Classification System (OCS) is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. It is designed to turn off the front passenger airbag for an empty seat and for occupants classified in a category other than that of an adult. This could be a child, teenager, or even a small size adult.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	68	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Airbag/Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the airbags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Liberty	2010	68	FCA US LLC	Different airbag inflation rates are possible based on collision severity and type. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the bags inflate to their full size. The bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	59	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS/AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Liberty	2011	65	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Air Bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	70	FCA US LLC	The Driver And Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Liberty	2011	70	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Liberty	2012	59	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS/ AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Liberty	2012	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Liberty	2012	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Liberty	2012	65	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Liberty	2012	65	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Side Air Bag Inflatable Curtain (SABIC), and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Liberty	2012	70	FCA US LLC	The Driver And Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible based on collision severity and type. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Patriot	2010	56	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the airbag covers. These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags. The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2010	57	FCA US LLC	This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.
Jeep	Patriot	2010	58	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbag system has multistage driver and front passenger airbags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires airbag deployment. The timing of the second stage determines whether the output force is low, medium, or high. If a low output is sufficient to meet the need, the remaining gas in the inflator is expended.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2010	64	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, SABIC airbags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2010	65	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Airbag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Patriot	2011	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2011	66	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, Active Head Restraints, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2011	68	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Patriot	2012	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2012	62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2012	67	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.
Jeep	Patriot	2012	67	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2012	69	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Patriot	2013	61	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Patriot	2013	62	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2013	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Patriot	2013	68	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2013	70	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Patriot	2014	62	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers. NOTE: The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations.
Jeep	Patriot	2014	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2014	64	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Patriot	2014	68	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee impact bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2014	68	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags in a frontal or side collision is required. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, SABIC air bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Patriot	2014	70	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Patriot	2015	62	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Patriot	2015	63	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2015	64	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Jeep	Patriot	2015	65	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2016	60	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2016	61-62	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2016	63	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.
Jeep	Patriot	2016	63	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Patriot	2017	165	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Patriot	2017	171	FCA US LLC	<p>The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with electrical Air Bag System Components listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) • Air Bag Warning Light • Steering Wheel and Column • Instrument Panel • Knee Impact Bolsters • Driver and Front Passenger Air Bags • Supplemental Side Air Bags • Front and Side Impact Sensors • Seat Belt Pretensioners • Seat Track Position Sensors • Seat Belt Buckle Switch
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	50	FCA US LLC	<p>This vehicle has Advanced Front Airbags for both the driver and right front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Airbag is mounted in the steering wheel. The front passenger airbag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The letters SRS are embossed on the airbag covers. NOTE: These airbags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Airbags.</p>
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	51	FCA US LLC	<p>The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Airbags.</p>
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	53	FCA US LLC	<p>The Advanced Front Airbags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the airbag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. Along with the seat belts, Advanced Front Airbags work with the instrument panel knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side airbags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.</p>
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	55	FCA US LLC	<p>The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side airbags is required in a frontal or side collision. Based on the impact sensors signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Airbags, side airbags — if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners — if equipped, as required, depending on each type of impact. Advanced Front Airbags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.</p>

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	56	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Airbags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, Advanced Front Airbags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration.
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	56	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] also monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the airbag system whenever the ignition switch is in the START or ON positions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2010	57	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Airbags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Airbags. Different airbag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the airbags inflate to their full size. The airbags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The airbags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	59	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and right front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The Advanced Front Passenger Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The letters SRS are embossed on the air bag covers. NOTE: These air bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	61	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	63	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags is required in a frontal or side collision. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB)—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	64	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision. Advanced Front Air Bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	65	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Wrangler	2011	66	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	58	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and right front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The Advanced Front Passenger Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The letters SRS are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	59	FCA US LLC	NOTE: The Driver and Front Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to the new Federal regulations for Advanced Air Bags. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	60	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	63	FCA US LLC	Along with seat belts and pretensioners, Advanced Front Air Bags work with the knee bolsters to provide improved protection for the driver and front passenger. Side air bags also work with seat belts to improve occupant protection.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	63	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags is required in a frontal or side collision. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB)—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on several factors, including the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on several factors, including the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Wrangler	2012	65	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2013	64	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and right front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The Advanced Front Passenger Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The letters SRS are embossed on the air bag covers. NOTE: The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations.
Jeep	Wrangler	2013	65	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2013	66	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. This low output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2013	69	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags is required in a frontal or side collision. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB)—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Wrangler	2013	71	FCA US LLC	When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags. Different air bag inflation rates are possible, based on several factors, including the collision type and severity. The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	64	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and right front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the steering wheel. The Advanced Front Passenger Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The letters SRS are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	65	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bags are certified to new Federal regulations. The Advanced Front Air Bags have a multistage inflator design. This allows the air bag to have different rates of inflation that are based on several factors, including the severity and type of collision. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is fastened. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	66	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	69	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] is part of a Federally regulated safety system required for this vehicle.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	70	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] determines if deployment of the front and/or side air bags is required in a frontal or side collision. Based on the impact sensor's signals, a central electronic ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] deploys the Advanced Front Air Bags, Supplemental Seat-Mounted Side Air Bags (SAB)—if equipped, and front seat belt pretensioners, as required, depending on the severity and type of impact. Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts in certain frontal collisions depending on the severity and type of collision.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	70	FCA US LLC	The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] also monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the air bag system whenever the ignition switch is in the START or ON/RUN positions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	71	FCA US LLC	The Driver and Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag Inflator Units are located in the center of the steering wheel and on the right side of the instrument panel. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units.
Jeep	Wrangler	2014	72	FCA US LLC	The steering wheel hub trim cover and the upper right side of the instrument panel separate and fold out of the way as the air bags inflate to their full size. The air bags fully inflate in about 50 to 70 milliseconds. This is about half of the time it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2015	63	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words SRS AIRBAG or AIRBAG are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Wrangler	2015	65	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2015	66	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Because air bag sensors measure vehicle deceleration over time, vehicle speed and damage by themselves are not good indicators of whether or not an air bag should have deployed. Seat belts are necessary for your protection in all collisions, and also are needed to help keep you in position, away from an inflating air bag. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the Advanced Front Air Bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2015	67	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bags fully inflate in less time than it takes to blink your eyes. The air bags then quickly deflate while helping to restrain the driver and front passenger.
Jeep	Wrangler	2016	64	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has Advanced Front Air Bags for both the driver and front passenger as a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger's Advanced Front Air Bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Wrangler	2016	65	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2016	66	FCA US LLC	Advanced Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2017	243	FCA US LLC	Some of the safety features described in this section may be standard equipment on some models, or may be optional equipment on others. If you are not sure, ask your authorized dealer. The air bag system must be ready to protect you in a collision. The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with the electrical Air Bag System Components.
Jeep	Wrangler	2017	244	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver front air bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger front air bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.
Jeep	Wrangler	2017	245	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components. The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions.
Jeep	Wrangler	2017	246	FCA US LLC	The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position.
Jeep	Wrangler	2017	246	FCA US LLC	Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Front air bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The front air bags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, front air bags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration. Because air bag sensors measure vehicle deceleration over time, vehicle speed and damage by themselves are not good indicators of whether or not an air bag should have deployed. Seat belts are necessary for your protection in all collisions, and also are needed to help keep you in position, away from an inflating air bag. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	256	FCA US LLC	Some of the safety features described in this section may be standard equipment on some models, or may be optional equipment on others. If you are not sure, ask an authorized dealer. The air bag system must be ready to protect you in a collision. The Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC) monitors the internal circuits and interconnecting wiring associated with the electrical Air Bag System Components.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	258	FCA US LLC	This vehicle has front air bags and lap/shoulder belts for both the driver and front passenger. The front air bags are a supplement to the seat belt restraint systems. The driver front air bag is mounted in the center of the steering wheel. The passenger front air bag is mounted in the instrument panel, above the glove compartment. The words "SRS AIRBAG" or "AIRBAG" are embossed on the air bag covers.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	258	FCA US LLC	The Advanced Front Air Bag system has multistage driver and front passenger air bags. This system provides output appropriate to the severity and type of collision as determined by the Occupant Restraint Controller (ORC), which may receive information from the front impact sensors (if equipped) or other system components.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	259	FCA US LLC	The first stage inflator is triggered immediately during an impact that requires air bag deployment. A low energy output is used in less severe collisions. A higher energy output is used for more severe collisions. This vehicle may be equipped with a driver and/or front passenger seat belt buckle switch that detects whether the driver or front passenger seat belt is buckled. The seat belt buckle switch may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags. This vehicle may be equipped with driver and/or front passenger seat track position sensors that may adjust the inflation rate of the Advanced Front Air Bags based upon seat position. This vehicle has an Occupant Classification System (“OCS”) in the front passenger seat. The OCS is designed to activate or deactivate the Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag depending on the occupant’s seated weight.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	260	FCA US LLC	Front Air Bags are designed to provide additional protection by supplementing the seat belts. Front air bags are not expected to reduce the risk of injury in rear, side, or rollover collisions. The front air bags will not deploy in all frontal collisions, including some that may produce substantial vehicle damage — for example, some pole collisions, truck underrides, and angle offset collisions. On the other hand, depending on the type and location of impact, front air bags may deploy in crashes with little vehicle front-end damage but that produce a severe initial deceleration. Because air bag sensors measure vehicle deceleration over time, vehicle speed and damage by themselves are not good indicators of whether or not an air bag should have deployed. Seat belts are necessary for your protection in all collisions, and also are needed to help keep you in position, away from an inflating air bag. When the ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] detects a collision requiring the front air bags, it signals the inflator units. A large quantity of non-toxic gas is generated to inflate the front air bags.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	260	FCA US LLC	The OCS is part of a Federally regulated safety system for this vehicle. It is designed to activate or deactivate the Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag depending on the occupant’s seated weight.
Jeep	Wrangler	2018	261	FCA US LLC	The Occupant Classification Module (OCM) is located underneath the front passenger seat. The Sensor is located beneath the passenger seat cushion foam. Any weight on the seat will be sensed by the Sensor. The OCM uses input from the Sensor to determine the front passenger’s most probable classification. The OCM communicates this information to the ORC. The ORC [Occupant Restraint Controller, aka ACU] uses the classification to determine whether it should activate or deactivate the Passenger Advanced Front Air Bag.

EXHIBIT 17

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer	2013	3	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer	2013	22	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer	2013	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2013	35	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer	2013	40	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2013	42	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer	2013	43	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer	2013	48	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer	2014	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2014	22	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer	2014	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer	2014	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer	2014	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer	2014	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer	2015	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2015	21	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer	2015	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer	2015	27-28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer	2016	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer	2016	13	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The pre-tensioner seat belt system will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer	2016	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer	2016	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer	2016	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer	2016	26-27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer	2016	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer	2017	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer	2017	12	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver and front passenger seat belts are equipped with a seat belt pre-tensioner system. In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer	2017	13	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer	2017	21	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer	2017	21	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS side airbags provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact collisions.
Lancer	2017	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed.
Lancer	2017	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer	2017	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer	2017	27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer	2017	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Evolution	2013	3	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Evolution	2013	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Evolution	2013	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Evolution	2013	33	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Evolution	2013	33	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS side airbags provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact collisions.
Lancer Evolution	2013	37	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Evolution	2013	38	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed. When airbags deploy, some smoke is released accompanied by a loud noise. The smoke is not harmful, but do not intentionally inhale the smoke as it may cause temporary irritation to people with respiratory problems.
Lancer Evolution	2013	39	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Evolution	2013	40	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Evolution	2013	41	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The indicator normally comes on when the ignition switch is turned to the "ON" position and goes out a few seconds later. In the following situations, the indicator will stay on to show that the passenger's front airbag is not operational. The passenger's seat weight sensors sense a weight of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg) on the front passenger seat. The front passenger's seat is not occupied. When the weight applied to the front passenger seat is sensed to be approximately 66 pounds (30 kg) or greater, the indicator goes out to show that the passenger's front airbag is operational.
Lancer Evolution	2013	43	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Evolution	2013	45	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Evolution	2013	45	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Evolution	2014	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Evolution	2014	11	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver and front passenger seat belts are equipped with a seat belt pre-tensioner system. In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Evolution	2014	12	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Evolution	2014	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Evolution	2014	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed.
Lancer Evolution	2014	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Evolution	2014	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Evolution	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Evolution	2014	27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Evolution	2014	27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Evolution	2014	33	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) side airbags and curtain airbags are designed to provide additional protection.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Evolution	2015	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Evolution	2015	12	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver and front passenger seat belts are equipped with a seat belt pre-tensioner system. In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Evolution	2015	13	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Evolution	2015	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Evolution	2015	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed.
Lancer Evolution	2015	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Evolution	2015	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Evolution	2015	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Evolution	2015	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Evolution	2015	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Evolution	2015	33	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) side airbags and curtain airbags are designed to provide additional protection.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Ralliart	2013	3	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Ralliart	2013	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The pre-tensioner seat belt system will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Ralliart	2013	35	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system. The SRS driver's knee airbag is designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver's seat belt system. It can reduce the forward movement of the driver's lower legs and provide increased overall body protection in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions.
Lancer Ralliart	2013	42	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Ralliart	2013	43	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Ralliart	2013	46	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Ralliart	2013	48	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact. A typical condition is shown in the illustration to the left. The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy only in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions within the shaded area between the arrows in the illustration to the right. The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform. If the impact to the vehicle's main structure is below this threshold level, the front airbags and driver's knee airbag may not deploy. This threshold level may also be higher if the vehicle hits something that absorbs the impact, either by bending or moving (for example, another stationary vehicle, a pole or a guard rail). The beginning stage of airbag inflation is the most forceful, and can cause serious injuries or death if you are too close to the deploying airbag. Accordingly, it is important that you always wear the available seat belt.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	13	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The pre-tensioner seat belt system will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	22	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	22	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS side airbags (if so equipped) and the curtain airbags (if so equipped) are also designed to supplement the seat belts. The SRS side airbags provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact collisions.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Ralliart	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30kg).
Lancer Ralliart	2014	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Ralliart	2014	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	21	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	27-28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Ralliart	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Ralliart	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Sportback	2013	3	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Sportback	2013	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Sportback	2013	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2013	37	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Sportback	2013	37	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The SRS side airbags provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact collisions.
Lancer Sportback	2013	41	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2013	42	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed. When airbags deploy, some smoke is released accompanied by a loud noise. The smoke is not harmful, but do not intentionally inhale the smoke as it may cause temporary irritation to people with respiratory problems.
Lancer Sportback	2013	43	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Sportback	2013	44	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Sportback	2013	47	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Sportback	2013	49	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Sportback	2013	49	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Sportback	2014	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Lancer Sportback	2014	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags or side airbags and curtain airbags.
Lancer Sportback	2014	15	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The pre-tensioner seat belt system will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2014	23	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Sportback	2014	26	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The airbags will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. When the impact sensors detect a front or side impact sufficient to deploy the airbag(s), the appropriate airbag(s) will be deployed.
Lancer Sportback	2014	27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Sportback	2014	27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Sportback	2014	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Sportback	2014	31	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact. A typical condition is shown in the illustration to the left.
Lancer Sportback	2014	31	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Sportback	2015	14	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2015	21	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Sportback	2015	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Sportback	2015	25	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Sportback	2015	27-28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Sportback	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Lancer Sportback	2015	29	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Lancer Sportback	2016	2	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Lancer Sportback	2016	13	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position. These include all of the items listed above and all related wiring. The pre-tensioner seat belt system will operate only when the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.
Lancer Sportback	2016	20	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system.
Lancer Sportback	2016	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Lancer Sportback	2016	24	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger's airbag off indicator will come on.
Lancer Sportback	2016	26-27	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's airbag and the front passenger's airbag are designed to deploy at the same time. However, the front passenger's airbag does not deploy when the front passenger seat is not occupied or when the weight sensor in the front passenger seat senses a weight on the seat of less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg).
Lancer Sportback	2016	28	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags and driver's knee airbag will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.
Outlander	2013	5	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	For added protection during a severe frontal collision, your vehicle has a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS) with airbags for the driver and passengers. The seats, head restraints, and door locks also are safety equipment, which must be used correctly.
Outlander	2013	48	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver and front passenger seat belts are equipped with a seat belt pre-tensioner system. In a moderate-to-severe frontal or side collision or when a rollover or overturning of the vehicle is detected, the pre-tensioner system operates simultaneously with the deployment of the front airbags, side airbags or curtain airbags.
Outlander	2013	49	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The airbag control unit monitors the readiness of the electronic parts of the system whenever the ignition switch is in the "ON" or "START" position.

Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Outlander	2013	61	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	This vehicle is equipped with a Supplemental Restraint System (SRS), which includes airbags for the driver and passengers. The SRS front airbags are designed to supplement the primary protection of the driver and front passenger seat belt systems by providing those occupants with protection against head and chest injuries in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions. The SRS front airbags, together with sensors at the front of the vehicle and sensors attached to the front seats, form an advanced airbag system. The SRS side airbags and the curtain airbags are also designed to supplement the seat belts. The SRS side airbags provide the driver and front passenger with protection against chest injuries by deploying the bag on the side impacted in moderate to severe side impact collisions.
Outlander	2013	68	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The driver's seat position sensor is attached to the seat rail and provides the airbag control unit with information on the seat's fore-aft position. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the driver's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor.
Outlander	2013	68	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The passenger's seat weight sensors are attached to the seat rails and provide the airbag control unit with information regarding the weight on the front passenger seat. The airbag control unit controls deployment of the passenger's front airbag in accordance with the information it receives from this sensor. The passenger's front airbag will not deploy in an impact when the weight on the seat is sensed to be less than approximately 66 pounds (30 kg). In this case, the passenger airbag off indicator will come on.
Outlander	2013	73	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags are designed to deploy when the vehicle suffers a moderate to severe frontal impact.
Outlander	2013	73	Mitsubishi Motors Corporation	The front airbags are designed to deploy only in certain moderate to severe frontal collisions within the shaded area between the arrows in the illustration to the right. The front airbags will deploy if the impact to the vehicle's main structure is above a specific threshold level. The threshold level is approximately 15 mph (25 km/h) for a frontal collision straight into a solid flat wall that does not bend or deform.

EXHIBIT 18

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX	2014	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Acura	RLX	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	RLX	2015	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX	2015	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Acura	RLX	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	RLX	2016	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX	2016	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Acura	RLX	2016	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX	2016	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2016	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX	2016	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	RLX	2017	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX	2017	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Acura	RLX	2017	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint systems.
Acura	RLX	2017	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2017	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX	2017	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX	2017	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	RLX	2018	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX	2018	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX	2018	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX	2018	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2018	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX	2018	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX	2018	51	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX	2019	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX	2019	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX	2019	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX	2019	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX	2019	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	RLX	2019	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2014	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2015	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2015	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2015	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2016	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2017	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2018	51	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If there is a problem with the driver's seat position sensor, the SRS indicator will come on and the airbag will inflate with full (normal) force, regardless of the driver's seating position.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	RLX Hybrid	2019	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal.
Acura	TL	2012	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes... Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact or side impact...Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off... Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and front seat belt are latched or unlatched....A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front bag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force...[and] Weight sensors that monitor the weight of the front passenger's seat
Acura	TL	2012	28	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TL	2012	32	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The SRS indicator alerts you to a potential problem with your airbag system components.
Acura	TL	2013	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle has a supplemental restraint system with front airbags to help protect the heads and chests of the driver and front seat passenger during a moderate to severe frontal collision
Acura	TL	2013	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes... Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact or side impact...Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off... Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and front seat belt are latched or unlatched....A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front bag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force...[and] Weight sensors that monitor the weight of the front passenger's seat
Acura	TL	2013	28	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TL	2013	32	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The SRS indicator alerts you to a potential problem with your airbag system components.
Acura	TL	2014	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle has a supplemental restraint system with front airbags to help protect the heads and chests of the driver and front seat passenger during a moderate-to-severe frontal collision.
Acura	TL	2014	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes... Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact or side impact...Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off... Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and front seat belt are latched or unlatched....A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front bag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force...[and] Weight sensors that monitor the weight of the front passenger's seat

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TL	2014	28	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TL	2014	32	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The SRS indicator alerts you to a potential problem with your airbag system components.
Acura	TLX	2015	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	TLX	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Acura	TLX	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TLX	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TLX	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	TLX	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TLX	2016	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TLX	2016	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TLX	2016	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TLX	2016	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors.
Acura	TLX	2016	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	TLX	2017	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	TLX	2017	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, driver's knee, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Driver's knee airbag. The knee airbag is stored under the steering column. It is marked SRS AIRBAG. 3. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 4. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 5. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 6. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. The front seat belt tensioners also include the e-pretensioners. 7. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 8. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 9. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 10. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. Safing Sensor 13. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TLX	2017	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TLX	2017	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TLX	2017	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	TLX	2017	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX	2012	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Acura	TSX	2012	34	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed according to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX	2012	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX	2012	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Acura	TSX	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal.
Acura	TSX	2013	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TSX	2013	34	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX	2013	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX	2013	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	TSX	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	TSX	2014	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TSX	2014	34	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX	2014	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX	2014	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	TSX	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS. AIRBAG 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple- threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Acura	TSX Sportswagon	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Accord	2013	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Accord	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w*1. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Accord	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Accord	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Accord	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Accord	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Accord	2014	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Accord	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w*1. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Accord	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Accord	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Accord	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Accord	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Accord	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Accord	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w*1. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Accord	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Accord	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Accord	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Accord	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2014	47	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	18	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	46	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	48	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Accord Hybrid	2015	49	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The Front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w*1. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic 4 door	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic GX	2012	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic GX	2012	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Civic GX	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic GX	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors.
Honda	Civic GX	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic GX	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic GX	2013	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic GX	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic GX	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The Front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic GX	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic GX	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic GX	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The Front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic GX	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic GX	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic GX	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w*1. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic GX	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic GX	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic GX	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic GX	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	12	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2012	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	12	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (w. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2013	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	12	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate. A frontal collision can be either head-on or angled between two vehicles, or when a vehicle crashes into a stationary object, such as a concrete wall.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	14	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. Both side curtain airbags are deployed in a rollover. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the power mode is in ON. 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic Hybrid	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic SI	2012	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic SI	2012	36	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic SI	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic SI	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic SI	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic SI	2012	39	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic SI	2013	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic SI	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic SI	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic SI	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes
Honda	Civic SI	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic SI	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic SI	2014	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic SI	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Civic SI	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic SI	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic SI	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic SI	2014	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Civic SI	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Civic SI	2015	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Civic SI	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Civic SI	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Civic SI	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Civic SI	2015	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	CR-V	2012	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	CR-V	2012	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II). 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off. 13. Safing Sensor 14. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	CR-V	2012	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	CR-V	2012	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	CR-V	2012	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	CR-V	2012	43	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	CR-V	2013	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	CR-V	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II). 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off. 13. Safing Sensor 14. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	CR-V	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	CR-V	2013	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	CR-V	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	CR-V	2013	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	CR-V	2014	8	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	CR-V	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II). 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	CR-V	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	CR-V	2014	40	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	CR-V	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	CR-V	2014	41	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	CR-V	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	CR-V	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II). 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	CR-V	2015	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	CR-V	2015	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	CR-V	2015	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	CR-V	2015	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	CR-V	2016	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	CR-V	2016	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1. Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked SRS AIRBAG. 2. Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked SIDE AIRBAG. 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG. 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and can record information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II). 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side impact. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. Safing Sensor 12. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	CR-V	2016	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	CR-V	2016	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	CR-V	2016	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	CR-V	2016	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2012	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2012	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Fit	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2012	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2012	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit	2013	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2013	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Fit	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2013	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2013	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit	2014	7	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2014	35	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Fit	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2014	37	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2014	38	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit	2015	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2015	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Fit	2015	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2015	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2015	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2015	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2015	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit	2016	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2016	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Fit	2016	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2016	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2016	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2016	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2016	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit	2017	10	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit	2017	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 11. A rollover sensor that detects whether the vehicle is about to roll over.
Honda	Fit	2017	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate-to-severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit	2017	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit	2017	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit	2017	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit	2017	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Fit EV	2013	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit EV	2013	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Fit EV	2013	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.
Honda	Fit EV	2013	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit EV	2013	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	15	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is fitted with airbags to help protect you and your passengers during a moderate-to-severe collision.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	42	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front, front side, and side curtain airbags are deployed According to the direction and severity of impact. The airbag system includes: 1.Two SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG." 2.Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG." 3. Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG." 4. An electronic control unit that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in ON (II) 5. Automatic front seat belt tensioners. The driver's and front passenger's seat belts incorporate sensors that detect whether or not they are fastened. 6. A driver's seat position sensor. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force. 7. Weight sensors in the front passenger's seat. The front passenger's airbag will be turned off if the weight on the seat is 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child). 8. Impact sensors that can detect a moderate-to-severe front or side collision. 9. An indicator on the dashboard that alerts you that the front passenger's front airbag has been turned off. 10. Sensors that can detect if a child or small statured adult is in the deployment path of the front passenger's side airbag. 11. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you to a possible problem with your airbag system or seat belt tensioners. 12. An indicator on the instrument panel that alerts you that the front passenger's side airbag has been turned off.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	The front SRS airbags inflate in a moderate to severe frontal collision to help protect the head and chest of the driver and/or front passenger. SRS (Supplemental Restraint System) indicates that the airbags are designed to supplement seat belts, not replace them. Seat belts are the occupant's primary restraint system.

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Fit EV	2014	44	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/ or other factors. Frontal airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Front airbags are designed to inflate during moderate-to-severe frontal collisions. When the vehicle decelerates suddenly, the sensors send information to the control unit which signals one or both front airbags to inflate.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	While your seat belt restrains your torso, the front airbag provides supplemental protection for your head and chest.
Honda	Fit EV	2014	45	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Although the driver's and front passenger's airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy.
Honda	Ridgeline	2012	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle has a supplemental restraint system (SRS) with front airbags to help protect the heads and chests of the driver and a front seat passenger during a moderate to severe frontal collision (see page 25 for more information on how your front airbags work).
Honda	Ridgeline	2012	24	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes: Two SRS (supplemental restraint system) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG" (see page 25). Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG" (see page 28). Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG" (see page 30). Automatic front seat belt tensioners (see page 22). Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact, side impact, or if your vehicle is about to rollover. Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off (see page 29). Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and the front passenger's seat belt are latched or unlatched (see page 20). A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front airbag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force (see page 27). Weight sensors that monitor the weight on the front passenger's seat. If the weight is about 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child), the passenger's front airbag will be turned off (see page 27). A rollover sensor that can detect if your vehicle is about to roll over and signal the control unit to deploy both side curtain airbags (see page 30). A sophisticated electronic system that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the control unit, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in the ON (II) position.
Honda	Ridgeline	2012	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If you ever have a moderate to severe frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's rapid deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the driver's and front passenger's airbags, at the time and with the force needed. During a frontal crash, your seat belt restrains your lower body and torso, and the front airbag helps protect your head and chest. Although both airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal. Only the driver's airbag can deploy if there is no passenger in the front seat, or if the advanced airbag system has turned the passenger's airbag off (see page 27).
Honda	Ridgeline	2012	26	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Ridgeline	2013	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle has a supplemental restraint system (SRS) with front airbags to help protect the heads and chests of the driver and a front seat passenger during a moderate to severe frontal collision (see page for more information on how your front airbags work).

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Ridgeline	2013	24	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes: Two SRS (supplemental restraint system) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG" (see page 25). Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG" (see page 28). Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG" (see page 30). Automatic front seat belt tensioners (see page 22). Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact, side impact, or if your vehicle is about to rollover. Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off (see page 29). Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and the front passenger's seat belt are latched or unlatched (see page 20). A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front airbag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force (see page 27). Weight sensors that monitor the weight on the front passenger's seat. If the weight is about 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child), the passenger's front airbag will be turned off (see page 27). A rollover sensor that can detect if your vehicle is about to roll over and signal the control unit to deploy both side curtain airbags (see page 30). A sophisticated electronic system that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the control unit, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in the ON (II) position.
Honda	Ridgeline	2013	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If you ever have a moderate to severe frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's rapid deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the driver's and front passenger's airbags, at the time and with the force needed. During a frontal crash, your seat belt restrains your lower body and torso, and the front airbag helps protect your head and chest. Although both airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal. Only the driver's airbag can deploy if there is no passenger in the front seat, or if the advanced airbag system has turned the passenger's airbag off (see page 27).
Honda	Ridgeline	2013	26	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual-stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.
Honda	Ridgeline	2014	9	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle has a supplemental restraint system (SRS) with front airbags to help protect the heads and chests of the driver and a front seat passenger during a moderate to severe frontal collision (see page for more information on how your front airbags work).

Brand	Vehicle	Year	Page	Author	Statement
Honda	Ridgeline	2014	24	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your airbag system includes: Two SRS (supplemental restraint system) front airbags. The driver's airbag is stored in the center of the steering wheel; the front passenger's airbag is stored in the dashboard. Both are marked "SRS AIRBAG" (see page 25). Two side airbags, one for the driver and one for a front passenger. The airbags are stored in the outer edges of the seatbacks. Both are marked "SIDE AIRBAG" (see page 28). Two side curtain airbags, one for each side of the vehicle. The airbags are stored in the ceiling, above the side windows. The front and rear pillars are marked "SIDE CURTAIN AIRBAG" (see page 30). Automatic front seat belt tensioners (see page 22). Sensors that can detect a moderate to severe front impact, side impact, or if your vehicle is about to rollover. Sensors that can detect whether a child is in the passenger's side airbag path and signal the control unit to turn the airbag off (see page 29). Sensors that can detect whether the driver's seat belt and the front passenger's seat belt are latched or unlatched (see page 20). A driver's seat position sensor that monitors the distance of the seat from the front airbag. If the seat is too far forward, the airbag will inflate with less force (see page 27). Weight sensors that monitor the weight on the front passenger's seat. If the weight is about 65 lbs. (29 kg) or less (the weight of an infant or small child), the passenger's front airbag will be turned off (see page 27). A rollover sensor that can detect if your vehicle is about to roll over and signal the control unit to deploy both side curtain airbags (see page 30). A sophisticated electronic system that continually monitors and records information about the sensors, the control unit, the airbag activators, the seat belt tensioners, and driver and front passenger seat belt use when the ignition switch is in the ON (II) position.
Honda	Ridgeline	2014	25	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	If you ever have a moderate to severe frontal collision, sensors will detect the vehicle's rapid deceleration. If the rate of deceleration is high enough, the control unit will inflate the driver's and front passenger's airbags, at the time and with the force needed. During a frontal crash, your seat belt restrains your lower body and torso, and the front airbag helps protect your head and chest. Although both airbags normally inflate within a split second of each other, it is possible for only one airbag to deploy. This can happen if the severity of a collision is at the margin, or threshold, that determines whether or not the airbags will deploy. In such cases, the seat belt will provide sufficient protection, and the supplemental protection offered by the airbag would be minimal. Only the driver's airbag can deploy if there is no passenger in the front seat, or if the advanced airbag system has turned the passenger's airbag off (see page 27).
Honda	Ridgeline	2014	26	Honda USA.; Honda Japan approval	Your vehicle is equipped with dual stage, multiple-threshold front airbags (SRS). During a frontal crash severe enough to cause one or both front airbags to deploy, the airbags can inflate at different rates, depending on the severity of the crash, whether or not the seat belts are latched, and/or other factors. Front airbags are designed to supplement the seat belts to help reduce the likelihood of head and chest injuries in frontal crashes.

EXHIBIT 19

Exhibit 19

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Sigfredo Rubio	AL	Honda	5/4/2015	5/3/2019	<i>Rubio v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings, Corp.</i> , 2:19-cv-11295 (E.D. Mich.)	¶¶ 188-190
James Kneup	AZ	FCA	5/30/2013	5/26/20*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 125-127
Mark Altier	CA	Toyota	4/24/2014	5/6/2019	<i>Altier v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-00846 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 155-157
Kevin Burns	CA	Honda	6/14/2013	7/18/2019	<i>Berry v. TRW ZF Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01403 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 191-193

¹ Plaintiffs with a Date Claims Filed of May 26, 2020, denoted with an “*”, first filed their claims in the Consolidated Class Action Complaint in this MDL (Dkt 278). Although those Plaintiffs subsequently re-filed their claims on October 2, 2020 in the member case entitled *Adams v. ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC*, 4:20-cv-12699 (E.D. MI), the Court’s Order on Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss deemed that compliant “to have been filed on May 26, 2020.” *See, e.g.*, ECF No. 396 at 105, fn. 32.

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Bonnie Dellatorre	CA	Kia	10/14/2013	12/26/2019	<i>Dellatorre v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-02497 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 68-70
Tiffany Ecklor	CA	Mitsubishi	7/5/2013	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 212-214
Michael Hernandez	CA	Hyundai	3/1/2019	4/29/2019	<i>Hernandez v. Hyundai</i> , 8:19-cv-00782 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 65-67
Steve Laveaux	CA	FCA	5/1/2017	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 131-133
Alejandra Renteria	CA	Toyota	8/4/2013	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 158-160
Remigiusz Rundzio	CA	FCA	7/22/2012	5/21/19 - 10/2/2020	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.) / <i>Adams v. ZF Active Safety and Electronics US LLC</i> , 4:20-cv-12699 (E.D. MI)	¶¶ 128-130

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed ¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Gaylynn Sanchez	CA	Mitsubishi	7/31/2015	8/14/2019	<i>Fuller v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings, Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01566 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 215-217
Lore Van Houten	CA	Kia	9/9/2018	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 71-73
Michael Nearing	CO	Mitsubishi	9/23/2013	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 218-220
Paul Huitzil	CT	Honda	10/19/2015	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 194-196
Maximillian Accetta	FL	FCA	8/25/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 137-139
Brian Chaiken	FL	Honda	3/15/2015	4/30/2019	<i>Payne v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 1:19-cv-21681 (S.D. Fla.)	¶¶ 200-202
Samuel Choc	FL	Toyota	10/18/2012	4/30/2019	<i>Payne v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 1:19-cv-21681 (S.D. Fla.)	¶¶ 161-163

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
John Colbert	FL	Kia	5/16/2016	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 77-79
Tatiana Gales	FL	Toyota	7/18/2015	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 164-166
Lawrence Graziano	FL	Kia	4/10/2018	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 80-82
Carl Paul Maurilus	FL	Hyundai	3/19/2017	5/8/2019	<i>Santos v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 0:19-cv-61174 (S.D. Fla.)	¶¶ 74-76
Fredericka McPherson	FL	Honda	12/10/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 197-199
Moises Senti	FL	FCA	4/19/2016	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 134-136
Brian Collins	IL	Kia	7/2/2018	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 86-88

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Amanda Swanson	IL	Kia	10/21/2017	5/6/2019	<i>Altier v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-00846 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 83-85
Kenneth Ogorek	IN	Kia	7/26/2013	12/26/2019	<i>Dellatorre v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-02497 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 89-91
Dylan DeMoranville	MA	Kia	4/14/2017	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 101-103
Joseph Fuller	MD	Hyundai	4/28/2014	8/14/2019	<i>Fuller v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings, Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01566 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 92-94
Tina Fuller	MD	Hyundai	4/29/2014	8/14/2019	<i>Fuller v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings, Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01566 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 95-97
Diana King	MD	Kia	7/17/2013	7/18/2019	<i>Berry v. TRW ZF Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01403 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 98-100
Kinyata Jones	MI	Kia	3/16/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 104-106

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed ¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge	MN	Kia	10/24/2014	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 107-109
Steve Keister	MN	FCA	8/30/2011	5/17/2019	<i>Heilman-Ryan v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 2:19-cv-11464 (E.D. MI)	¶¶ 140-142
Dan Sutterfield	MO	Kia	9/27/2013	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 110-112
Constanza Gonzalez	NC	FCA	2/2/2019	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 146-148
Tonya McNeely	NC	Honda	8/6/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 206-208
Gerson Damens	NJ	Kia	6/30/2015	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 113-115
Gary Samouris	NV	Toyota	7/28/2018	4/26/2019	<i>Samouris v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 2:19-cv-11215 (E.D. Mich.)	¶¶ 167-169

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed ¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Eric Fishon	NY	FCA	5/12/2017	5/6/2019	<i>Altier v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-00846 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 143-145
Ravichandran Namakkal	NY	Honda	5/31/2014	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 203-205
James Dean	OK	FCA	3/15/2015	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 149-151
Larae Angel	PA	Hyundai	5/4/2013	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 116-118
Richard Kintzel	PA	Kia	12/30/2015	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 119-121
Michael Hines	SC	Toyota	10/11/2013	4/30/2019	<i>Payne v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 1:19-cv-21681 (S.D. Fla.)	¶¶ 170-172
Desiree Meyer	SD	FCA	5/14/2012	5/17/2019	<i>Heilman-Ryan v. ZF TRW Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 2:19-cv-11464 (E.D. MI)	¶¶ 152-154

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
Angela Bowens	TX	Honda	5/17/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 209-211
Joy Davis	TX	Toyota	5/5/2014	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 182-184
Brent DeRouen	TX	Toyota	6/7/2016	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 173-175
Evan Green	TX	Toyota	9/15/2015	5/21/2019	<i>Bell v. ZF Friedrichshafen AG</i> , 8:19-cv-00963 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 179-181
Danny Hunt	TX	Toyota	1/1/2018	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 176-178
Burton Reckles	TX	Hyundai	8/16/2012	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 122-124
Dee Roberts	WA	Toyota	9/27/2013	7/18/2019	<i>Berry v. TRW ZF Auto. Holdings Corp.</i> , 8:19-cv-01403 (C.D. Cal.)	¶¶ 185-187

Plaintiff Name	State	Vehicle Make	Date Vehicle Acquired	Date Claims Filed¹	Underlying Case	Plaintiff Paragraph
John Sancomb	WI	Mitsubishi	9/19/2014	5/26/2020*	Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 278)	¶¶ 221-223

EXHIBIT 20

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Customer Name: Mitsubishi

DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Customer Contact: Mikuni Fukutaro
 Vehicle Engineering Development Div. / EE System
 Development Dept
 0564-34-8341 (内線 : 21678)
 fukutaro.mikuni@mitsubishi-motors.com

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped											Total
							2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Marshall	DS84, SD40	230114-101	8635A299	Mitsubishi	Outlander	USA- Assumed					9442	1800						11,242
Marshall	DS84, SD40	230114-102	8635A307	Mitsubishi	Lancer	USA- Assumed					19826	22560	24717	24415				91,518
Marshall	DS84	230114-102	68148029AA	Mitsubishi	Lancer	Japan									21059	8710		29,769
Marshall	DS84, SD40	230114-103	8635A308	Mitsubishi	Lancer	USA- Assumed					622	860						1,482
Marshall	DS84, SD40	230114-104	8635A309	Mitsubishi	Lancer EVO	USA- Assumed					2100	3120	3340	3099				11,659
Marshall	DS84	230114-104	68148029AA	Mitsubishi	Lancer EVO	Japan									20	40		60

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.
 It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

Customer Name: Toyota
 Customer Contact: Tsutomu Kondo
 Group Manager
 1-17 Orbashi Uwaharacho (Hanamoto Technical Center #1 5F.), Toyota, Aichi,
 Japan 470-0341
 +81-50-3184-5755
 tsutomu_kono@mail.toyota.co.jp

“DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE”

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped													
							2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total	
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-101-N1	891700W330	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			4438	62289	46778	30537	114	85	78				144,319	
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-101-N1	5N099655	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE											61	46	0	107
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-102-N2	891700W290	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE/SOUTH AFRICA			568	13369	9444	5582	12	17	1				28,993	
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-102-N2	891700W330	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE/SOUTH AFRICA											13	3	0	16
Peterlee	DS84	222814-103-N3	891700Z400	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			947	12579	9740	8781	29	29	12				32,117	
Peterlee	DS84	222814-103-N3	891700W290	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE											14	8	1	23
Peterlee	DS84	222814-104-N4	891700Z870	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE / SOUTH AFRICA														3,708
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-106-N6	891700W340	TOYOTA	Corolla	Australia			3847	20751	26900	10893	23	21	14					62,449
Peterlee	DS84	222814-107-N7	891700W350	TOYOTA	Corolla	Australia			8070	10772	11	14	23	6						18,896
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-109-NJ	891700Z890	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			172	1094	410	284	3	4						1,967
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222814-110-NK	891700Z880	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			53	181	480	144		1	6					865
Peterlee	DS84	222814-113-N5	891700Z840	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			48	542	254	3	1	3	3					854
Peterlee	DS84	222814-114-N8	891700Z850	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE / SOUTH AFRICA			6	24	60		2							92
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-101-NN	891700Z410	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			7	31237	23309	17851	2127	71	30					74,632
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-101-NN	891700Z400	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE											62	20	6	88
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-102-NO	891700Z440	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE / SOUTH AFRICA			8	6355	8369	3964	2599	14	26					21,335
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-102-NO	891700Z410	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE/SOUTH AFRICA											15	15	7	37
Peterlee	DS84	222906-103-NP	891700Z420	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			5	48041	38375	37707	5710	60	37					129,935
Peterlee	DS84	222906-103-NP	891700Z440	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE											20	20	4	44
Peterlee	DS84	222906-104-NG	891700Z450	TOYOTA	Corolla	SOUTH AFRICA				7699	9627	7904	5433	36	42					30,741
Peterlee	DS84	222906-104-NG	891700Z420	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE/SOUTH AFRICA											14	33	0	47
Peterlee	DS84	222906-105-NR	891700Z430	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE			4240	3468	4439	3195	1	14						15,257
Peterlee	DS84	222906-105-NR	891700Z450	TOYOTA	Corolla	EUROPE											10	8	0	18
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-106-NS	891700W360	TOYOTA	Corolla	Australia			4	10182	10279	12991	3863	13	26					37,358
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	222906-106-NS	891700Z430	TOYOTA	Corolla	Australia											11	0	0	11
Peterlee	DS84	222906-108-NY	891700W370	TOYOTA	Corolla	Australia				5104				1						5,105
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223598-101	89170-061AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			15584	163056	44868									223,508
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223598-101JK	89170-061AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			12006	15360										27,366
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223598-101JS	89170-061AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			16325	29920	2320									44,663
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223598-101MS	89170-061AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA				2734	13879									16,613
Peterlee	DS84	224892-101-1F	891700H110	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed								99	28774	34272				63,145
Peterlee	DS84	224892-101-1F	319505	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed											28504	7918	20	36,442
Peterlee	DS84	224892-102-2F	891700H120	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed							8	7	43					58
Peterlee	DS84	224892-103-3F	891700H150	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed							109	97	53					259
Peterlee	DS84	224892-103-3F	891700H110	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed											0	2	28	30
Peterlee	DS84	224892-104-6F	891700H130	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed						94	350	124401	183151					307,996
Peterlee	DS84	224892-104-6F	891700H150	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed											191920	71930	87	263,937
Peterlee	DS84	224892-105-7F	891700H140	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed						9	65	1537						1,611
Peterlee	DS84	224892-105-7F	891700H130	TOYOTA	Aygo	Rest of world - Assumed											2268	1207	28	3,503
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223598-101S	89170-061AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			36	272	1709	138								2,155
Marshall	DS84	223598-101S	891700Z460	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA											18	90	6	114
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223599-101	89170-173AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			1571	17310	4598									23,479
Marshall	DS84	223599-101S	891700Z470	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA											6	6		12
Anling	DS84	224714-101	89170-941LL	GTMC	Corolla Verso	Assumed - China											60	40	10	110
Anling	DS84	224714-101	89170-0F130	TOYOTA	Corolla Verso	China					6095	13070	10055	54	60					29,334
Marshall	DS84:SD40	223599-101S	89170-173AL	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA			18	34										52
Anling	DS84:SD40	224714-102	89170-0F140	TOYOTA	Corolla Verso	China					5238	3681	1571	30	35					10,555
Anling	DS84	224714-102	89170-941LM	GTMC	Corolla Verso	Assumed - China											32	22	2	56
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226024-101	89170-321AL	TOYOTA	Sequoia	USA/Middle East			5	8021										8,026
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226024-102	89170-321AL	TOYOTA	Sequoia	USA/Middle East				3790										3,790
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226024-102S	89170-321AL	TOYOTA	Sequoia	USA/Middle East				5										5
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226024-103	89170-321AL	TOYOTA	Sequoia	USA/Middle East					11000	16258								27,258
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226024-103S	89170-321AL	TOYOTA	Sequoia	USA/Middle East				9	26									35
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226026-101	89170-0C422	TOYOTA	Tundra	USA				230	1514									1,744
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226026-101S	89170-0C422	TOYOTA	Tundra	USA				4	77									81
Marshall	DS84:SD80	226026-102	89170-0C432	TOYOTA	Tundra	USA				6	20569									20,575

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Table with columns for dealer (e.g., Petерee, Marshall), model (e.g., DS84, MS84), VIN, manufacturer (e.g., TOYOTA), model name (e.g., Avenis), region (e.g., Rest of world - Assumed), and numerical values in multiple columns.

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	236155-103	8917002N3000	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA										13			13
Marshall	DS84	236155-104	8917002N4000	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA										141	80		221
Marshall	DS84	236155-104S	8917002N40	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA										1			1
Marshall	DS84	336286-101	891700468000	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA										5	10	5	20
Marshall	DS84	336286-101	891700468000	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA										2	6693	6752	13,447
Marshall	DS84	336286-101S	8917004680	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA											13	10	23
Marshall	DS84	336286-102	891700469000	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA										8			8
Marshall	DS84	336286-102	891700469000	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA										2	13806	14816	28,624
Marshall	DS84	336286-102BA	891700469000	TOYOTA	Tacoma	Mexico											17521	21720	39,241
Marshall	DS84	336286-102S	8917004690	TOYOTA	Tacoma	USA											17	10	27
Peterlee	DS84	ZK (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	TOYOTA	Unknown	Rest of world - Assumed										0	9913	3052	12,965
Peterlee	DS84	ZL (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	TOYOTA	Unknown	Rest of world - Assumed										0	106600	36018	142,618
Marshall	DS84	337417-101	8917002R3000	TOYOTA	Corolla	USA											290	20	310
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	235662-101-LF	8917002J40	TOYOTA	AURIS	EUROPE						38	43861						43,899
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	235662-102-LG	8917002J50	TOYOTA	AURIS	EUROPE						2	446						446
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	235662-102-LG	8917002J40	TOYOTA	AURIS	EUROPE										440	496	110	1,046
Peterlee	DS84	235662-103-LH	8917002J60	TOYOTA	AURIS	EUROPE										78			78
Peterlee	DS84	235662-103-LH	8917002J50	TOYOTA	AURIS	EUROPE										50	280	30	360
Anling	SD80	334111-102	89170-0DA20	TF TM	Vios EFC	Assumed - China										422	3408	336	4,166

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Customer Name: Honda
 Customer Contact: Nobuhiro Koyota
 Chief Engineer
 4630 Shimotakanezawa, Haga-machi, Haga gun,
 Tochigi, 321-3393 Japan
 +81-28-677-3377 ext. 38846
 nobug_koyota@m.t.rd.honda.co.jp

DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped											
							2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-102	77960-TR0-A012-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				100	329							429
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-102C	77960-TR0-A012-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				46881								46,881
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-102IN	77960-TR0-A012-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				13854								13,854
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-102S	77960-TR0-A012-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				2020								2,020
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-103	77960-TR0-X012-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico			4	7								11
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-103IN	77960-TR0-X012-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico				1290								1,290
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-103S	77960-TR0-X012-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico				40								40
Marshall	DS84	223040-120	77960-TS0-B410-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				12								12
Marshall	DS84	223040-120X	77960-TS0-B410-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				35								35
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-121	77960-TS0-B610-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				11								11
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-121X	77960-TS0-B610-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				28								28
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-123	77960-TR0-K812-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				5								5
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-123IN	77960-TR0-K812-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				126								126
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-123S	77960-TR0-K812-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				15								15
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-124	77960-TR0-K012-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America			5	8								13
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-124IN	77960-TR0-K012-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				125								125
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-126	77960-SYV-A020-M1	Honda	FCX	Rest of world - Assumed						9		10				19
Anting	DS84	223040-127	77960-TK6-A040-M1	Honda	FIT- US	China				264								264
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-128	77960-TX9-A011-M1	Honda	FIT- EV	Rest of world - Assumed					270	495	271					1,036
Anting	SD40	223040-128	77960-TX9-A011-M1	Honda	FIT- EV	Rest of world - Assumed					270	495	495	271				1,531
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-129	77960-TX9-J811-M1	Honda	FIT- EV	Rest of world - Assumed					45	90	5					140
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-124S	77960-TR0-K012-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				30								30
Marshall	DS84	223040-132X	77960-TS0-B413-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				7606	35037	270						42,913
Marshall	DS84	223040-132X	68148014AA	Honda	Unknown	Brazil									165	180		345
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-133X	77960-TS0-B613-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil				3019	12444	105						15,568
Marshall	DS84	223040-133X	68148014AA	Honda	Unknown	Brazil									15	120		135
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-134IN	77960-TR0-K814-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				547	598							1,145
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-134S	77960-TR0-K814-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America					30							30
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-135C	77960-TR0-K014-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				25	34							59
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-136	77960-TR0-H714-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China				23065	59691							82,756
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-137	77960-TR0-H914-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China				3145	2234	6						5,385
Anting	DS84	223040-139	77960-TR0-P414-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed				120	1451							1,571
Anting	DS84	223040-139	77960-TR0-P414-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China					1690	15	30					1,735
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-140	77960-TR0-P614-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China				5	3126	279	35					3,445
Anting	SD40	223040-140	77960-TR0-P614-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed				10	1283	160	160					1,613
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-140	77960-TR0-P614-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed				10	1283	160						1,453
Anting	DS84	223040-143	77960-TR0-F414-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Asia Pacific					4635	1575						6,210
Anting	DS84	223040-143	77960-TR0-F414-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed				4185	35292	5075	100	320				44,972
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-144	77960-TR0-F614-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed				435	816	90						1,341
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-144	77960-TR0-F614-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Asia Pacific					4485	1245						5,730
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-145	77960-TR0-Q014-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed					8390							8,390
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-146	77960-TR0-P814-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China				5	304	3						312
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-148	77960-TK6-A042-M1	Honda	FIT- US	China				1262	5969	13643	489					21,363
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-135IN	77960-TR0-K014-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America				264	335							599
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-149C	77960-TR0-A014-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				61102	133654							194,756
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-148	77960-TK6-A042-M1	Honda	FIT- US	Rest of world - Assumed					48760	56365	1075	720				106,920
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-149IN	77960-TR0-A014-M1	Honda	Civic	USA				37566	146447							184,013
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-149S	77960-TR0-A014-M1	Honda	Civic	USA					1530	4718	3072	3405				12,725

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	223040-149S	Under investigation	Honda	Civic	USA								2641	1231	330	4,202
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-150C	77960-TR0-X014-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		1									1
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-150IN	77960-TR0-X014-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		2990	6120								9,110
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-150S	77960-TR0-X014-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		60	120								180
Anting	SD40	223040-148	77960-TK6-A042-M1	Honda	FIT-US	Rest of world - Assumed		48760	56365	56365	1075						162,565
Marshall	DS84	223040-150S	8917004500	Honda	Civic	USA									47		47
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-152	77960-T3L-A012-M1	Honda	Accord	USA		15313	27494								42,807
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-152S	77960-T3L-A012-M1	Honda	Accord	USA		105	215								320
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-153	77960-T3L-X012-M1	Honda	Accord	Mexico		447	347								794
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-153S	77960-T3L-X012-M1	Honda	Accord	Mexico		50	40								90
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-154	77960-T3L-Y012-M1	Honda	Accord	Middle East		325	918								1,243
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-156	77960-T2A-S712-M1	Honda	Accord	Rest of world - Assumed			13796	930	45						14,771
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-156	77960-T2A-S712-M1	Honda	Accord	Asia Pacific			1710	1440							3,150
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-156	77960-T2A-S712-M1	Honda	Accord	Rest of world - Assumed			13796	13796	930						28,522
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-158	77960-T2A-Z912-M1	Honda	Accord	Rest of world - Assumed			2910	15							2,925
Marshall	DS84; DS84	223040-154S	77960-T3L-Y012-M1	Honda	Accord	Middle East		50									50
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-161C	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA		26412	150742								177,154
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-161IN	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA		13517	191643								205,160
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-161S	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA		513	1219	3814	3209						8,755
Marshall	DS84	223040-161S	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA							1977	1686	215		3,878
Marshall	DS84	223040-162IN	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA		16	5782								5,808
Marshall	DS84	223040-162S	77960-TR0-A212-M1	Honda	Civic	USA		20	40								60
Marshall	DS84	223040-165X	77960-TS0-B420-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil		1693									1,693
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-166X	77960-TS0-B620-M1	Honda	Civic	Brazil		1274									1,274
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-167IN	77960-TR0-K821-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America		16	15								31
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-167S	77960-TR0-K821-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America			35								35
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-159	77960-T2A-Q912-M1	Honda	Accord	Rest of world - Assumed			2205								2,205
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-168IN	77960-TR0-K021-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America		29	578								607
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-160	77960-T2A-B912-M1	Honda	Accord	Rest of world - Assumed			990								990
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-168S	77960-TR0-K021-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America		20	20								40
Marshall	DS84	223040-168S	77960-TR0-K021-M1	Honda	Civic	USA							11				11
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-169C	77960-TR0-X020-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico			35								35
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-169IN	77960-TR0-X020-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		1366	8009								9,375
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-169S	77960-TR0-X020-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		20	40								60
Anting	DS84	223040-170	77960-TR0-F422-M1	Honda	Civic	Rest of world - Assumed			30795	2340	150						33,285
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-171	77960-TR0-F622-M1	Honda	Civic	Rest of world - Assumed			1470								1,470
Anting	DS84	223040-172	77960-TR0Z-P422-M1	Honda	Civic	China			1500	180	30						1,710
Anting	DS84	223040-172	77960-TR0Z-P422-M1	Honda	Civic	Rest of world - Assumed			1785	90							1,875
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-173	77960-TR0-P622-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China			2580	390	10						2,980
Anting	SD40	223040-173	77960-TR0-P622-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed			270	525							795
Anting	SD40	223040-173	77960-TR0-P622-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed			270	270	525						1,065
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-173	77960-TR0-P622-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed			270	525							795
Anting	SD40	223040-173	77960-TR0-P622-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China			2580	390	10						2,980
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-174	77960-TR0-P822-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China			240	60	10						310
Anting	SD40	223040-174	77960-TR0-P822-M1	Honda	CIVIC	China			240	240	60						540
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-175	77960-TR0-H720-M1	Honda	Civic	China		16637	69007	20285	740						106,669
Anting	SD40	223040-175	77960-TR0-H720-M1	Honda	Civic	China		16637	69007	69007	20285						174,936
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-176	77960-TR0-H920-M1	Honda	Civic	China			1130	32	35						1,197
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-177	77960-TR0-Q020-M1	Honda	Civic	Rest of world - Assumed			2895	30	45						2,970
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-178	77960-TR0-T020-M1	Honda	Civic	Rest of world - Assumed		4365	14790	1280							20,435
Anting	DS84	223040-179	77960-T4N-S911-M1	Honda	STREAM	China			2800	420							3,220
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-180	77960-T4N-H911-M1	Honda	STREAM	China			10830	9200	1734						21,764
Anting	SD40	223040-180	77960-T4N-H911-M1	Honda	STREAM	China			10830	10830	9200						30,860
Anting	DS84;SD40	223040-181	77960-T4N-H711-M1	Honda	STREAM	China			20010	55386	47413						122,809
Anting	SD40	223040-181	77960-T4N-H711-M1	Honda	STREAM	China			20010	20010	55386						95,406
Marshall	DS84	223040-169S	77960-TR0-X020-M1	Honda	Civic	USA							31	15			46
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-184IN	77960-TR0-X810-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico		140	921								1,061
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-184S	77960-TR0-X810-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico			30								30
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-185IN	77960-TR3-R010-M1	Honda	Civic	Russia		7	1106								1,113
Marshall	DS84; SD40	223040-185S	77960-TR3-R010-M1	Honda	Civic	Russia			15								15
Marshall	DS84	223040-200X	77960-TS0-L410-M1	Honda	Civic	USA- Assumed		6855	58455	10815							76,125
Marshall	DS84	223040-200X	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	Brazil								150			150

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-115	77960-T0A-Y813-M1	Honda	CRV	Middle East										2279	994													3,273		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-115S	77960-T0A-Y813-M1	Honda	CRV	Middle East										63														63		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-116	77960-T0A-N013-M1	Honda	CRV	South Africa/ Mauritius						14																		14		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-116C	77960-T0A-N013-M1	Honda	CRV	South Africa/ Mauritius						164	116090	105075																221,329		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-116S	77960-T0A-N013-M1	Honda	CRV	South Africa/ Mauritius						120	108	1062																1,320		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-117J	77960-T0A-A013-M1	Honda	CRV	USA						20173	22862	288																43,323		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-122S	77960-T0A-X911-M1	Honda	CRV	Mexico										20	132													152		
Marshall	DS84	225808-122S	77960-T0A-X911-M1	Honda	CRV	USA																				60				60		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-122X	77960-T0A-X911-M1	Honda	CRV	Mexico										5436	13424													18,860		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-123S	77960-T0A-M911-M1	Honda	CRV	Brazil																								22		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-123X	77960-T0A-M911-M1	Honda	CRV	Brazil							482	7307																7,789		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-124	77960-T0A-A110-M1	Honda	CRV	USA											67685	98397												166,082		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-124C	77960-T0A-A110-M1	Honda	CRV	USA											82028	152744												234,772		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-124S	77960-T0A-A110-M1	Honda	CRV	USA											82	4238	4785											9,105		
Marshall	DS84	225808-124S	77960-T0A-A110-M1	Honda	CRV	USA																			4239	2780	880			7,899		
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-124X	77960-T0A-A110-M1	Honda	CRV	USA											5524	18961												24,485		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-125	77960-T0A-Y910-M1	Honda	CRV	Middle East																								553		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-125S	77960-T0A-Y910-M1	Honda	CRV	Middle East																									15	
Marshall	DS84	225808-125S	77960-T0A-Y910-M1	Honda	CRV	USA																						12			12	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-126S	77960-T0A-X010-M1	Honda	CRV	Mexico												5													5	
Marshall	DS84	225808-126S	77960-T0A-X010-M1	Honda	CRV	USA																									90	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	225808-126X	77960-T0A-X010-M1	Honda	CRV	Mexico												11230	17564												28,794	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-127S	77960-T0A-M010-M1	Honda	CRV	Brazil												5													5	
Marshall	DS84	225808-127S	77960-T0A-M010-M1	Honda	CRV	USA																									17	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225808-127X	77960-T0A-M010-M1	Honda	CRV	Brazil																									7,990	
Anting	DS84	225215-118	77960-TY2-A120-M1	Honda	Acura	Rest of world - Assumed										615	4995	1455	21												7,086	
Anting	DS84	225215-119	77960-TY2-L120-M1	Honda	Acura	Rest of world - Assumed										230	2085	585	6												2,906	
Anting	DS84	225215-120	77960-TY2-X112-M1	Honda	Acura	Rest of world - Assumed										60	30														90	
Anting	DS84	225215-121	77960-TY2-H112-M1	Honda	Acura	Rest of world - Assumed										60															60	
Anting	DS84; DS84	225215-129	77960-TL7-A030-M1	Honda	TSX	Rest of world - Assumed												450	236	15											701	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	226852-101	77960-SJC-A211-M1	Honda	REST OF THE WORLD	USA										3722	20487	18512	8905												51,628	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	226852-101S	77960-SJC-A211-M1	Honda	REST OF THE WORLD	USA										30	15	195													240	
Marshall	DS84	226852-101S	8917004500	Honda	AURIS	USA																						233	210	60	503	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	226852-102	77960-SJC-X211-M1	Honda	REST OF THE WORLD	Mexico											382	1176	1134												2,692	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	226852-102S	77960-SJC-X211-M1	Honda	REST OF THE WORLD	Mexico										15	240														255	
Anting	DS84; SD40	225215-130	77960-TL2-A020-M1	Honda	TSX	Rest of world - Assumed																									6,890	
Anting	DS84; SD40	225215-131	77960-TP1-A020-M1	Honda	TSX	Rest of world - Assumed																									30	
Anting	DS84	225215-132	77960-TY3-A111-M1	Honda	Acura- EV	Rest of world - Assumed																									224	
Anting	DS84	225215-133	77960-TY3-L111-M1	Honda	Acura- EV	Rest of world - Assumed																									240	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-108	77960-TZ3-A040-M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA												80	15746	16065											31,891	
Marshall	DS84	232082-108	77960T23 A040M1	Honda	K-car	USA																									20	
Marshall	DS84	232082-108	77960T23 A040M1	Honda	K-car	USA																									16,018	
Marshall	DS84	232082-108S	77960-TZ3-A040-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA																									785	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-109	77960-TZ4-A040-M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA																									39,526	
Marshall	DS84	232082-109	77960T24 A040M1	Honda	Unknown	USA																									22,388	
Marshall	DS84	232082-109S	77960-TZ4-A040-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA																									975	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-110	77960-TZ7-A030-M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA												35	7238	10203											17,476	
Marshall	DS84	232082-110	77960T27 A030M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA																									10,062	
Marshall	DS84	232082-110S	8917002120	Honda	Unknown	USA																									315	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-111	77960-TZ7-R030-M1	Honda	Acura TL	Russia																									9	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-112	77960-TZ4-R040-M1	Honda	Acura TL	Russia																									9	
Marshall	DS84	232082-112	77960T24 R040M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA																										15
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-114	77960-TZ4-H040-M1	Honda	Acura TL	China																									30	
Marshall	DS84	232082-114S	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	USA																									15	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-115	77960-TZ3-X040-M1	Honda	Acura TL	Mexico																									4	
Marshall	DS84	232082-115	77960T23 X040M1	Honda	Acura TL	USA																									355	
Marshall	DS84	232082-115S	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	USA																									19	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-130	77960-T5A-A212-M1	Honda	FIT	USA																									43	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-130J	77960-T5A-A212-M1	Honda	FIT	USA																									35,670	
Marshall	DS84	232082-130J	77960T5A A212M1	Honda	Unknown	Japan																									27,375	
Marshall	DS84	232082-130S	77960-T5A-A212-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA																									900	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-130X	77960-T5A-A212-M1	Honda	FIT	USA																									107,484	

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	232082-130X	77960T5A A210M1	Honda	FIT	Mexico									6233						6,233			
Anting	DS84	232082-104	77960T9A-S812-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed									3180	1190					4,370			
Anting	DS84	232082-104	77960T9A-S812-M1	Honda	City	Asia Pacific									16590	11490					28,080			
Anting	DS84	232082-105	77960T9A-Q812-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed									3780	1830					5,610			
Anting	DS84	232082-106	77960T6G-J811-M1	Honda	K-car	Rest of world - Assumed									25470	125865	9878				161,213			
Anting	DS84	232082-118	77960T5A-F611-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	Rest of world - Assumed									4620	6309					10,929			
Anting	DS84	232082-121	77960T5A-H611-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	China									9691	5253					14,944			
Anting	DS84	232082-127	77960T5A-Q811-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	Rest of world - Assumed									8205	10235					18,440			
Marshall	DS84	232082-136X	77960T5A-X811-M1	Honda	FIT	Mexico									32						32			
Marshall	DS84	232082-136X	77960T5A X810M1	Honda	CIVIC	Mexico														2362	886	3,248		
Marshall	DS84	232082-137S	77960T5A-X411-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA														120	105	30	255	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-133	77960T2A-H712-M1	Honda	Accord	China									39716	290							40,006	
Anting	SD40	232082-133	77960T2A-H712-M1	Honda	Accord	China															39716		39,716	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-134	77960T4-Z611-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									5250	45							5,295	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-134	77960T4-Z611-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Asia Pacific									1861	1290							3,151	
Anting	SD40	232082-134	77960T4-Z611-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									5250	45							5,295	
Anting	SD40	232082-134	77960T4-Z611-M1	Honda	CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									5250	5250							10,500	
Anting	DS84	232082-139	77960T5A-P811-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	Rest of world - Assumed									480	181							661	
Marshall	DS84	232082-137X	77960T5A-X411-M1	Honda	FIT	Mexico									58	50201	36732						86,991	
Marshall	DS84	232082-137X	77960T5A X410M1	Honda	Unknown	Mexico															31968	13897		45,865
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-140C	77960TR0-A222-M1	Honda	Civic	USA									12473	143726	101099						257,298	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-140IN	77960TR0-A222-M1	Honda	Civic	USA									16653	213022	173093						402,768	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-140S	77960TR0-A222-M1	Honda	Civic	USA									244	1935	3733						5,912	
Marshall	DS84	232082-140S	77960TR0-A222-M1	Honda	Civic	USA															7262	2014	1198	10,474
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-141IN	77960TR3-K811-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America									5								5	
Anting	DS84	232082-146	77960T9AA-K412-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed									11970	12813							24,783	
Anting	DS84	232082-148	77960T9A-X811-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed									375	285							660	
Anting	DS84	232082-149	77960T9A-U412-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed									255	60							315	
Anting	DS84	232082-151	77960T9A-T812-M1	Honda	City- AP	Rest of world - Assumed										3225							3,225	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-142IN	77960TR3-K011-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America									6								6	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-143IN	77960TR3-X811-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico									150								150	
Anting	DS84	232082-159	77960T9A-P811-M1	Honda	City- AP	China															2653		2,653	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-143S	77960TR3-X811-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico									5								5	
Marshall	DS84	232082-143S	77960TR3-X811-M1	Honda	Civic	USA																15	15	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-144IN	77960TR3-X011-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico									170	6820	3190						10,180	
Marshall	DS84; SD40	232082-144S	77960TR3-X011-M1	Honda	Civic	Mexico									20								20	
Marshall	DS84	232082-144S	77960TR3-X011-M1	Honda	Civic	USA															55	17	72	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-152	77960T3L-A021-M1	Honda	Accord	USA									11697	16613							28,310	
Marshall	DS84; DS84	232082-152S	77960T3L-A021-M1	Honda	Accord	USA									78								78	
Marshall	DS84	232082-161IN	77960TR3-K611-M1	Honda	Civic	Central/ South America									5	2709	1844						4,558	
Marshall	DS84	232082-161S	77960TR3-K511-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA															30		30	
Marshall	DS84	232082-162X	77960TT4-L411-M1	Honda	Civic	USA- Assumed									8	33004	24780						57,792	
Marshall	DS84	232082-162X	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	Brazil															4920	75	30	5,025
Marshall	DS84	232082-179S	77960T5A-X611-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA															30	30		60
Marshall	DS84	232082-179X	77960T5A-X611-M1	Honda	FIT	Mexico									21	14699	11761						26,481	
Marshall	DS84	232082-179X	77960T5A X610M1	Honda	Unknown	Mexico															4635	891		5,526
Marshall	DS84	232082-206X	77960TDA A210M1	Honda	Unknown	USA															220		220	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-164	77960T4-Q011-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									2865	1860							4,725	
Anting	SD40	232082-164	77960T4-Q011-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed															2865		2,865	
Anting	DS84	232082-165	77960TT2-F411-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									16925	12107							29,032	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-166	77960TT2-F611-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									75								75	
Anting	DS84	232082-167	77960T4-C411-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									90								90	
Anting	DS84	232082-167	77960T4-C411-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Asia Pacific									1830	720							2,550	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-168	77960TT2-Z611-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									60								60	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-169	77960TT0-T011-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									10576	11644							22,220	
Anting	SD40	232082-169	77960TT0-T011-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed															10576		10,576	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-170	77960T6-H710-M1	Honda	Civic	China									35030	27601							62,631	
Anting	SD40	232082-170	77960T6-H710-M1	Honda	Civic	China															35030		35,030	
Anting	DS84;SD40	232082-171	77960T6-H910-M1	Honda	Civic	China									444	8							452	
Anting	SD40	232082-171	77960T6-H910-M1	Honda	Civic	China															444		444	
Anting	DS84	232082-172	77960TS2-P411-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	Rest of world - Assumed									690	495							1,185	
Anting	DS84	232082-172	77960TS2-P411-M1	Honda	AP CIVIC	China									1220	720							1,940	

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	235250-167S	77960-TRY-X810-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA											33		33		
Marshall	DS84	235250-167X	77960TRY X810M1	Honda	Unknown	USA											11		11		
Marshall	DS84	235250-167X	77960TRY X810M1	Honda	Unknown	USA											670	9000	1200	10,870	
Marshall	DS84	235250-174S	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	USA												140		140	
Anting	DS84	235250-109	77960-TD4-N811-M1	Honda	FIT- Shuttle	Rest of world - Assumed											30630			30,630	
Anting	DS84	235250-110	77960-T5A-N830-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	Rest of world - Assumed											621			621	
Anting	DS84	235250-111	77960-T5C-J830-M1	Honda	FIT- Global	Rest of world - Assumed							10307				36285			46,592	
Anting	DS84	235250-119	77960-TY3-J110-M1	Honda	DM	Rest of world - Assumed							360				2550			2,910	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-120	77960-T6L-H712-M1	Honda	2CE China Spirior	China											231	1635		1,866	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-121	77960-T6L-H912-M1	Honda	2CE China Spirior	China											9606	16642		26,248	
Marshall	DS84	235250-174X	77960T5A X420M1	Honda	Unknown	Mexico												11933	1470	13,403	
Marshall	DS84	235250-175S	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	USA												95	19	114	
Marshall	DS84	235250-175X	77960T5A X620M1	Honda	Unknown	Mexico												6218	1890	8,108	
Marshall	DS84	235250-176S	Under investigation	Honda	Unknown	USA													48	48	
Marshall	DS84	235250-176X	77960T5A X820M1	Honda	Unknown	Mexico													2851	420	3,271
Marshall	DS84	235250-197	77960-1DA-4060-M1	Honda	Unknown	USA													16	2	18
Anting	DS84	235250-122	77960-TY2-L130-M1	Honda	Acura RL	Rest of world - Assumed											165	1200		1,365	
Anting	DS84	235250-123	77960-TY2-X120-M1	Honda	Acura RL	Rest of world - Assumed													15	15	
Anting	DS84	235250-124	77960-TY2-H120-M1	Honda	Acura RL	Rest of world - Assumed											15	120		135	
Anting	DS84	235250-125	77960-TY3-L120-M1	Honda	Acura RL	Rest of world - Assumed												405		405	
Anting	DS84	235250-126	77960-TY3-H120-M1	Honda	Acura RL	Rest of world - Assumed												30		30	
Anting	DS84	235250-128	77960-T5A-F010-M1	Honda	FIT- EU petro	Rest of world - Assumed												5995		5,995	
Anting	DS84	235250-129	77960-T5A-E010-M1	Honda	FIT- EU petro	Rest of world - Assumed												5720		5,720	
Anting	DS84	235250-130	77960-T5A-S010-M1	Honda	FIT- EU petro	Rest of world - Assumed												8895		8,895	
Anting	DS84	235250-131	77960-T5A-G010-M1	Honda	FIT- EU petro	Rest of world - Assumed												4315		4,315	
Anting	DS84	235250-132	77960-T5A-J830-M1	Honda	FIT	Rest of world - Assumed												1065		1,065	
Anting	DS84	235250-132	77960-T5A-J830-M1	Honda	FIT	Rest of world - Assumed												10512		10,512	
Anting	DS84	235250-133	77960-T6P-H420-M1	Honda	Crider	China												4367		4,367	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-134	77960-T6P-H620-M1	Honda	Crider	China												17610		17,610	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-135	77960-T6P-H820-M1	Honda	Crider	China												1027		1,027	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-137	77960-T6P-S610-M1	Honda	Crider	China												756		756	
Anting	DS84	235250-141	77960-T9A-T820-M1	Honda	City	Rest of world - Assumed											210	1860		2,070	
Anting	DS84;SD40	235250-143	77960-T2A-S730-M1	Honda	Accord	Asia Pacific												5		5	
Anting	DS84	235250-146	77960-TJA-H110-M1	Honda	City- Liked	China												9135		9,135	
Anting	DS84	235250-148	77960-T9A-F810-M1	Honda	City- Taiwan	Rest of world - Assumed												585		585	
Anting	DS84	235250-149	77960-T9A-F610-M1	Honda	City- Taiwan	Rest of world - Assumed												165		165	

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.

It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Customer Name: HKMC
Customer Contact: SK Choi (HKMC)
 Senior Research Engineer
 772-1, Jangduk-dong, Hwaseang-si, Gyeonggi-do, 445-706
 Korea
 +82-31-368-5621
 kerberos@hyundai.com

 Taewon Park (Mobis)
 +82-31-8021-4260
 twpark@mobis.co.kr

DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Platform	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped												Total			
								2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018				
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-110	95910-30000	HKMC	Optima	YF TF	USA				3863	140128											143,991
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-110S	95910-30000	HKMC	Optima	YF TF	USA				780	702	687	375									2,544
Marshall	DS84	220007-110S	9591005000	HKMC	Optima	YF TF	USA													109			109
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-117	95910-30010	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA				79080	120074											199,154
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-117S	95910-30010	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA					1683	472	445									2,600
Marshall	DS84	220007-118S	95910-30010	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA													209	40	18	267
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-119	95910-4C000	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA				83												83
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-120	95910-30110	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA				106627	145519											252,146
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-120S	95910-30110	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA				480	1030	24										1,534
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-121	95910-4C000	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA				39359	86760											126,116
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-121S	95910-4C000	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA						594	98									692
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-122	95910-30150	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA						69800	137021									206,821
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-122S	95910-30150	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA						51	1480									1,531
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-123	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA						45400	35040									80,440
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-123S	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA							310									310
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-128	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA								48600	68727							115,327
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-128S	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA								198								198
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-129	95910-30150	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA								69759	71722							141,481
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-129S	95910-30150	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA								940								940
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-130	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA									73040	72303						145,343
Marshall	MS84, DS84	220007-130S	95910-4C010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA									1880							1,880
Marshall	DS84	220007-130S	95910-30010	HKMC	Optima	QF	USA										859			55			914
Marshall	DS84	220007-131S	Under investigation	HKMC	Sonata	YF	USA										870			205			1,075
Anting	DS84	224316-101	95910-1X000	HKMC	FORTE	TDC	Rest of world - Assumed				50115	110138	128697	85792	23923	556	354						399,575
Anting	DS84	224316-102	95910-1X100	HKMC	FORTE	TDC	Rest of world - Assumed				200	180											380
Anting	DS84	224316-104	95910-1X500	HKMC	FORTE	TDC F/L	Rest of world - Assumed								11486	78869	18160						106,515
Anting	DS84	224316-106	95910-1X700	HKMC	FORTE	TDC F/L	Rest of world - Assumed								587								587
Peterlee	MS84	226945-101-5U	95910A6100	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech				141	81385	108			36							81,670
Peterlee	MS84	226945-101-5U	8917002B90	HKMC	Ducato EU	GB	Czech										36		204		0		240
Peterlee	MS84	226945-102-8U	95910A6000	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak				249	44190	164										44,603
Peterlee	MS84	226945-103-7U	95910A2100	HKMC	Ceed	JD	Slovak				324	97057	15840										113,221
Peterlee	MS84	226945-105-9U	95910A6200	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak					2485	37993	1332									41,810
Peterlee	MS84	226945-106-1U	95910A6300	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech					5892	86284	396									92,572
Peterlee	MS84	226945-107-2U	95910A2300	HKMC	Ceed	JD	Slovak					109	36360										36,468
Peterlee	MS84	226945-109-4U	959101S500	HKMC	Click/ Getz	HB	Brazil				25	60781	2662										63,468
Peterlee	MS84	226945-110-1K	959101S100	HKMC	Click/ Getz	HB	Brazil						12										12
Limeria	MS84	226945-113	95910-1S000	HKMC	HB20	HB PE	Brazil										108752		118982		20500		248,234
Limeria	MS84	226945-113 (ELMOD00130)	95910-1S000	HKMC	Click/ Getz	HB	Brazil								138985	187831	134120						460,916
Peterlee	MS84	226945-117-UV	95910A2310	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak							55711									55,711
Peterlee	MS84	226945-118-OZ	95910A2320	HKMC	Ceed	JD	Slovak							14811	113708	44698							173,213
Peterlee	MS84:SD40	226945-119-3O	95910A6010	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech						7	123	18000								18,130
Peterlee	MS84:SD40	226945-119-0Q	95910A6010	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech									2556							2,556
Peterlee	MS84:SD40	226945-119-0Q	95910A6010	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech										6156	1260			0		7,416
Peterlee	MS84	226945-120-7O	95910A6110	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak								68	74634							74,702
Peterlee	MS84	226945-120-W0	95910A6111	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak									19008							19,008
Peterlee	MS84	226945-120-W0	95910A6010	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak										57996	4353		72			62,421
Peterlee	MS84	226945-121-NU	95910A6200	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech								18461								18,461
Peterlee	MS84:SD40	226945-121-NU	95910A6200	HKMC	i30	GD	Czech										36	72			0		108
Peterlee	MS84	226945-122-NT	95910A6300	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak									72012							72,012
Peterlee	MS84	226945-122-NT	95910A6200	HKMC	i30/ Ceed	GD/ JD	Czech/ Slovak											144	72		0		216
Limeria	MS84	226945-123	95910-1S500	HKMC	HB20	HB PE	Brazil																71,645
Peterlee	MS84	226945-124-B0	95910A2350	HKMC	Ceed	JD	Slovak									65193							65,193
Peterlee	MS84	226945-124-B0	95910A6300	HKMC	i30	GD/ JD	Slovak										78768	116		34			78,918

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	337570-105K	95910J3400	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea												8		8		
Marshall	DS84	337570-105K	95910J3400	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea													24		24	
Marshall	DS84	337570-106K	95910J3500	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea													24		24	
Marshall	DS84	337570-108K	95910J3500	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea													28	734	762	
Marshall	DS84	337570-107K	95910J3600	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea													9		9	
Marshall	DS84	337570-107K	95910J3600	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea													24		24	
Marshall	DS84	337570-108K	95910J3000	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														50	50	
Marshall	DS84	337570-108K	95910J3000	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														144	1008	1,152
Marshall	DS84	337570-117K	95910J3700	HKMC	Unknown	JS	USA														23		23
Marshall	DS84	337570-118K	95910J3800	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														1		1
Marshall	DS84	337570-118K	95910J3800	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														24		24
Marshall	DS84	337570-119K	95910J3900	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														23		23
Marshall	DS84	337570-119K	95910J3900	HKMC	Unknown	JS	Korea														29	827	856

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS BUSINESS INFORMATION

Customer Name: FCA
 Customer Contact: Kevin Plante
 Lead Product Investigator
 800 Chrysler Drive, Auburn Hills, MI USA 48326
 248-944-0845
 kevin.plante@fcagroup.com

DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped												Total
							2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
Marshall	DS84	217807-115	56054053AD	FCA	Ram	USA		79											79
Marshall	DS84	217807-116	04898155AD	FCA	Ram	USA		37											37
Marshall	DS84	217807-119	56054053AE	FCA	Ram	USA		66											66
Marshall	DS84	217807-120	04898155AE	FCA	Ram	USA		17											17
Marshall	MS84	217807-121	56054053AF	FCA	Ram	USA		182											182
Marshall	MS84	217807-122	04898155AF	FCA	Ram	USA		14											14
Marshall	MS84	217807-123	56064053AG	FCA	Ram	USA		24415											24,415
Marshall	MS84	217807-124	04898155AG	FCA	Ram	USA		603											603
Marshall	MS84	217807-125	56054053AH	FCA	Ram	USA		43695	59975										103,670
Marshall	MS84	217807-125X	56054053AH	FCA	Ram	USA		5	156										161
Marshall	MS84, DS84	217807-125X	56054053AH	FCA	Ram	USA					12	120	120	48					300
Marshall	MS84	217807-126	04898155AH	FCA	Ram	USA		5762	7322										13,084
Marshall	MS84	217807-126X	04898155AH	FCA	Ram	USA			39										39
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-108	56054853AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		9											9
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-111	56054850AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		10											10
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-114	56054856AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		9											9
Marshall	DS84	221639-117	68046100AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		4	5										9
Marshall	DS84	221639-119	56054125AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		4	3										7
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-120	68046102AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		10	2										12
Marshall	DS84	221639-122	68046104AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		3	7										10
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-123	68046105AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		5	22										27
Marshall	DS84	221639-124	68046107AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		3											3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-125	68046106AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		10	3										13
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-127	56054801AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			20										20
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-129	56054803AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			20										20
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-130	56054804AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			12										12
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-131	68056109AB	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		5	7										12
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-133	56054201AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			28										28
Marshall	DS84	221639-134	56054203AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA		4											4
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-135	56054202AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			14										14
Marshall	DS84	221639-136X	56054204AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			3										3
Marshall	DS84	221639-150X	68046104AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			1										1
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-155	56054601AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			9523	12108									21,631
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-155X	56054601AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			13	53	161	893							1,120
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-156	56054603AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			45										45
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-157	56054804AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			39										39
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-159	56054200AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			37										37
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-159X	56054200AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			3										3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-160	56054602AB	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			333	597									930
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-160X	56054602AB	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA						9							9
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-161X	68056803AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			2125			2							2,127
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-162	56054853AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			15576	31455									47,031
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-162X	56054853AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			7	101	327	349	425						1,209
Marshall	DS84	221639-162X	68002396AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA											97		97
Marshall	DS84	221639-163	56054854AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			4										4
Marshall	DS84	221639-163X	56054854AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			3		16	14	12						45
Marshall	DS84	221639-163X	68002396AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA											8		8
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-164	56054850AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			25213	50500		1							75,714
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-164X	56054850AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			31	185	349	440	209						1,214
Marshall	DS84	221639-164X	68002396AA	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA											46		46
Marshall	DS84	221639-165	56054851AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			1800	943									2,743
Marshall	DS84	221639-165X	56054851AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA				19	28								47
Marshall	DS84	221639-166	56054856AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA			3784	7200									10,984

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	221639-166X	56054856AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1	33	36	132	463					670
Marshall	DS84	221639-166X	68002396AA	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA								75			75
Marshall	DS84	221639-167	56054858AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		305	227								532
Marshall	DS84	221639-167X	56054858AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA				2		15					21
Marshall	DS84	221639-168X	56054857AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		6									6
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-169X	56054803AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3									3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-170	56054604AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA			1980	3654							5,634
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-170X	56054804AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		660	562	28							1,248
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-171X	56054201AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		6									6
Marshall	DS84	221639-174X	56054204AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		7									7
Marshall	DS84	221639-175X	68046100AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		2									2
Marshall	DS84	221639-179	68046104AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		15714	31368		2						47,084
Marshall	DS84	221639-179X	68046104AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		27	86	64	68						245
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-180	68046105AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		2511	4313		2						6,826
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-180X	68046105AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		8	14	7	20						49
Marshall	DS84	221639-181	68046107AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1323	4563		2						5,888
Marshall	DS84	221639-181X	68046107AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1	11	25							37
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-182	68046106AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		240	967		2						1,209
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-182X	68046106AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		5			4						9
Marshall	DS84	221639-183	68046108AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3817	4056								7,873
Marshall	DS84	221639-183X	68046108AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		11		16	6	3					36
Marshall	DS84	221639-183X	68046108AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	Mexico								2			2
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-184X	68056109AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		5									5
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-185X	56054200AD	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		227									227
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-186	56054603AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		23388	30956								54,354
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-186X	56054603AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		19	188	351	245	115					916
Marshall	DS84	221639-186X	56054603AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA								100	39	10	149
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-187	68056109AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1100	873		3						2,042
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-187X	68056109AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3	79								32
Marshall	DS84	221639-188	68046100AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		8067	14075		3						22,145
Marshall	DS84	221639-188X	68046100AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		11	61	149							221
Marshall	DS84	221639-189	56054125AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1751	9627		3						11,381
Marshall	DS84	221639-189X	56054125AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA			68	130	56						254
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-190	68056110AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		550	3715		2						4,267
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-190X	68056110AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3	80	33	14						130
Marshall	DS84	221639-191	68056103AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1280	2075								3,335
Marshall	DS84	221639-191X	68056103AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		10		26							36
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-192	56054201AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		11									11
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-193	56054201AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3									3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-194	56054202AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		6									6
Marshall	DS84	221639-195	56054204AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		3									3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-196	56054200AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		14									14
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-197X	68065603AB	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		1473									1,473
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-198	56054201AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		240	1180								1,420
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-198X	56054201AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA				9	8	12					29
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-199	56054202AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		180	2940								3,120
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-199X	56054202AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA			36		22	9					67
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-200	56054203AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		180	3197								3,377
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-200X	56054203AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA			19		4						23
Marshall	DS84	221639-201	56054204AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		540	232								772
Marshall	DS84	221639-201X	56054204AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA			3								3
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-202	56054200AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		7868	45327								53,295
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-202X	56054200AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA		194	1984	104	81	16					2,379
Marshall	DS84	221639-202X	56054200AF	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA								35	16		51
Marshall	DS84	221639-203X	68046104AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA					55						53
Marshall	DS84	221639-203X	8917007360	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA								27			27
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-204X	68046105AE	FCA	200/ Sebring Avenger	USA						16					16
Marshall	DS84	221639-204X	68186647AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA								6			6
Marshall	DS84	221639-204X	68186647AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA									30		30
Marshall	DS84	221639-205X	68046107AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA						21					21
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-206X	68046106AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA					8						8
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-207X	68056109AF	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA					5						5
Marshall	DS84	221639-208X	68046100AF	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA					42						42
Marshall	DS84	221639-209X	56054125AF	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA					66						66
Marshall	DS84; SD40	221639-210X	68056110AF	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA					41						41
Marshall	DS84	221639-210X	68186647AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA								8			8
Marshall	DS84	222834-113	68046003AC	FCA	Wrangler	USA		3									3

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-145X	68069503AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												40
Marshall	DS84	225379-146	56054505AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												1,010
Marshall	DS84	225379-146X	56054505AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												10
Marshall	DS84	225379-147	68068701AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												4,503
Marshall	DS84	225379-147X	68068701AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												12
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-148	56054702AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												625
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-148X	56054702AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												27
Marshall	DS84	225379-149	68068704AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												1,172
Marshall	DS84	225379-149X	68068704AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												30
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-150	68068703AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												212
Marshall	DS84	225379-151	56054705AB	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												387
Marshall	DS84	225379-152	68069501AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												5,939
Marshall	DS84	225379-152X	68069501AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												36
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-153	68069502AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												903
Marshall	DS84; SD40	225379-153X	68069502AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												80
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-105	68073618AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												52
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-109	68073618AC	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												133
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-110	56038920AC	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												8
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-111	56038921AC	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												17
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-112	56038922AC	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												21
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-117	68073618AD	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												56
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-118	68073618AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												863
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-118X	68073618AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												69
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-119	56038921AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												252
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-119X	56038921AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												1
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-120	56038922AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												162
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-120X	56038922AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												5
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-121	50038922AE	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												3
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-122	68073618AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												720
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-122X	68073618AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												94
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-123	56038920AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												90
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-123X	56038920AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												5
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-123X	56038920AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												5
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-124	56038921AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												90
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-124	56038921AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												90
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-124X	56038921AF	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												8
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-126	68139884AA	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												12768
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-127	68139885AA	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												491
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-128	68139886AA	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												570
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-129	68139887AA	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												230
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-131	68139884AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												10430
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-132	68139885AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												579
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-133	68139886AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												1206
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-134	68139887AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												240
Marshall	DS84; SD80	226188-134X	68139887AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												30
Marshall	DS84	227070-119X	6817007360	FCA	Freemont/Journey	USA												135
Marshall	DS84	227070-120X	68186842AC	FCA	Journey- PedPro	Europe												88
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-105	68083603AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												46
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-108	68083603AB	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												269
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-112	68083603AC	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												43
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-113	68083603AD	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												53
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-114	68083603AE	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												18
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-115	68083603AF	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												164
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-116	68083603AG	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												86
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-116X	68083603AG	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												214
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-119	68083603AH	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												158
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-122	68083603AJ	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												158
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-122X	68083603AJ	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												616
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-123	68083603AJ	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												2270
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-123X	68083603AJ	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												11
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-126	68083603AK	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												7340
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-126X	68083603AK	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												16499
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-129	68207821AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												3
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-129X	68207821AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												5960
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-130	56038973AA	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												28
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-131	68160604AA	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												36248
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												11
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												11
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												4340
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-130	56038973AA	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												68891
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-131	68160604AA	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												277
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-131	68160604AA	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												138
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												14
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												117
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												19888
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												39712
Marshall	2x MS84; SD80	227078-133	68210721AA	FCA	Dodge Dart	USA- Assumed												58,718

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Marshall	SD80	227078-224	Under investigation	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed													30			
Marshall	SD80	227078-224	Under investigation	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed											88667		86,667			
Marshall	SD80	227078-224X	Under investigation	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed											162	38	200			
Marshall	SD80	227078-225	Under investigation	FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed											12378		12,378			
Marshall	SD80	227078-225X		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												6	6			
Marshall	SD80	227078-226X	Under investigation	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA												98	5	103		
Marshall	SD80	227078-234		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												193		193		
Marshall	SD80	227078-235		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												16		16		
Marshall	SD80	227078-236		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed												135		135		
Marshall	SD80	227078-237		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed													36795	36,795		
Marshall	SD80	227078-237X		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed													19	19		
Marshall	SD80	227078-238		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed													120	120		
Marshall	SD80	227078-239		FCA	Jeep Cherokee	USA- Assumed													360	360		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	228340-101		FCA	Jeep Liberty	USA- Assumed											26151		26,151			
Marshall	DS84; SD40	228340-101X		FCA	Jeep Liberty	USA- Assumed											9	9	18	36		
Marshall	DS84	228340-102		FCA	Nitro	USA- Assumed											8850		8,850			
Marshall	DS84	228340-102X		FCA	Nitro	USA- Assumed											12	23		35		
Marshall	DS84	228340-103		FCA	Nitro	USA- Assumed											1980			1,980		
Marshall	DS84	228340-103X		FCA	Nitro	USA- Assumed												52	227	279		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	228340-104		FCA	Jeep Liberty	USA- Assumed											2940			2,940		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	228340-104X		FCA	Jeep Liberty	USA- Assumed											1253	28	175	1,456		
Marshall	DS84	228340-104X		FCA	Nitro	USA- Assumed													16	16		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-101		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											15610			15,610		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-102		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											340			340		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-103		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											28169			28,169		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-105		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed											4710			4,710		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-107		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											16736			16,736		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-107X		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											26			26		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-108		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											720	722		1,442		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-108X		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												34		34		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-109		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed											21151			21,151		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-110		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												1		1		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-110X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												5		5		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-111		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed											1800	5970		7,770		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-111X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												3		3		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-112		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												1		1		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-112X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												5		5		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-113		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed											22			22		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-114		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed											7710	40378		48,088		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-114X		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												73		73		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-115		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed											10620	46856		67,276		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-115X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												112		112		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-116		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												1080		1,080		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-116X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												1		1		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-117		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												420		420		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-117X		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												11		11		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-118X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												3		3		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-119		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												750		750		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-119X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												4		4		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-120X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												3		3		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-121		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												15503		15,503		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-121X		FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed												756	23	779		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-122		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												27443		27,443		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-122X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												585	53	638		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-123		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												120		120		
Marshall	DS84; SD40	229267-123X		FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA- Assumed												2		2		
Marshall	DS84	229290-104X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed												22		22		
Marshall	DS84	229290-110		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											2760	6260		9,020		
Marshall	DS84	229290-110X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed												12		12		
Marshall	DS84	229290-110X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed														8		
Marshall	DS84	229290-111		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											149			149		
Marshall	DS84	229290-111X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed												2		2		
Marshall	DS84	229290-112		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											11823	680		12,503		
Marshall	DS84	229290-112X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											10	22	11	43		
Marshall	DS84	229290-113		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											96743	5924		102,667		
Marshall	DS84	229290-113X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed											70	83	30	183		
Marshall	DS84	229290-113X		FCA	Ram	USA- Assumed													50	23	16	89

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	231022-126X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA												33	33				66		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	231022-127	68233021AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												2850					2,850		
Marshall	DS84	231022-127X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA															16		16		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	231022-128	68233022AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												3420					3,420		
Marshall	DS84	231022-128X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA														45			45		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	231022-130	68244991AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												160	2280				3,900		
Marshall	DS84	231022-130X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA														7	13		20		
Marshall	DS84	231022-131X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA															50		50		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	231022-132	04727799AB	FCA	Fiat 500	USA- Assumed												180	7140				8,970		
Marshall	DS84	231022-132X	95910D5000	FCA	Fiat 500	USA														24	32		56		
Peterlee	SD40	233128-114-HO	1389373080	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														97718	110187	0	207,905		
Peterlee	SD80	233128-102-HB	87170-05400	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														100	0	0	100		
Peterlee	SD80	233128-115-HP	1390299080	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														13109	11748	0	24,857		
Peterlee	DS84	233128-116-HO	1390900080	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														736	282	0	1,018		
Peterlee	SD40	233128-117-HR	1390039080	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														68	0	0	68		
Peterlee	SD80	233128-118-HS	1390040080	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														578	93	0	671		
Peterlee	DS84, MS84	233128-123-95	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														0	11	0	11		
Peterlee	SD40	233128-124-YB	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														0	150574	38304	188,878		
Peterlee	SD80	233128-125-YD	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														0	17004	3150	20,154		
Peterlee	DS84	233128-126-YK	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														0	103	63	166		
Peterlee	SD40	233128-127-YL	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU	Unknown														0	154	0	154		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-121	68232708AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA												26398	73205				99,603		
Marshall	DS84	234092-121	68232708AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															4		4		
Marshall	DS84	234092-121X	68232708AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															26		26		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-122	68232708AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA													651	12035			18,546		
Marshall	DS84	234092-122	68232709AC	FCA	Jeep Compass/Patriot	USA															60		60		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-123	68232710AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA													2126	12814			33,940		
Marshall	DS84	234092-123	68232710AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															90		90		
Marshall	DS84	234092-126	68232712AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															150		150		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-126	68232713AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA													4467	137891			182,498		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-127	68232814AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														4342	4865		9,247		
Marshall	MS84, DS84	234092-128	68232715AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														172	1265		3,037		
Marshall	DS84	234092-129	68232718AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														372	4985		8,707		
Marshall	DS84	234092-129	68232718AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															276		276		
Marshall	DS84	234092-131	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															100964		100,964		
Marshall	DS84	234092-131X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA																15	15		
Marshall	DS84	234092-131X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															265	154	29	448	
Marshall	DS84	234092-132	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															1980		1,980		
Marshall	DS84	234092-132X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															104	18	9	131	
Marshall	DS84	234092-133	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															1800		1,800		
Marshall	DS84	234092-133X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															119	35	154		
Marshall	DS84	234092-134	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															30		30		
Marshall	DS84	234092-134X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															8		8		
Marshall	DS84	234092-135	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															210		210		
Marshall	DS84	234092-135X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															6		6		
Marshall	DS84	234092-136	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															139311		139,311		
Marshall	DS84	234092-136X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA																15	15		
Marshall	DS84	234092-136X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															382	167	20	569	
Marshall	DS84	234092-137	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															23		23		
Marshall	DS84	234092-137X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															50	10	60		
Marshall	DS84	234092-138X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															8		8		
Marshall	DS84	234092-139	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															2160		2,160		
Marshall	DS84	234092-139X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															19	11	30		
Marshall	DS84	234092-140X	95910D5000	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															5		5		
Marshall	DS84, SD40	234099-101	68185855AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed													63360	188874			252,034		
Marshall	DS84	234099-101	Under investigation	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															184859	190440	25200	400,499	
Marshall	DS84	234099-101X	8917004650	FCA	Wrangler	USA															521	453	92	1,068	
Marshall	DS84, SD40	234099-102	68185856AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed														1802	4340		6,142		
Marshall	DS84	234099-102	Under investigation	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA															4000	3480	380	7,840	
Marshall	DS84	234099-102X	8917004650	FCA	Wrangler	USA															10	30	40		
Marshall	DS84	234099-103	68185858AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed														4682	13918		18,600		
Marshall	DS84	234099-103	68185858AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed																9280	10940	960	21,180
Marshall	DS84	234099-103X	68185858AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA															416	447	72	935	
Marshall	DS84	234099-104	68185859AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed															1262	3700		4,902	
Marshall	DS84	234099-104	Under investigation	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed															3000	3340	600	6,840	
Marshall	DS84	234099-104X	8917007360	FCA	Wrangler	USA															8	7		15	
Marshall	DS84, SD40	234099-105	68185857AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed															9362	27918		37,280	

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

ENTIRE PAGE CONTAINS PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Marshall	DS84	234099-105	Under investigation	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed												27000	28654	5280	60,934		
Marshall	DS84	234099-105X	8917004650	FCA	Wrangler	USA												126	90		218		
Marshall	DS84	234099-106	68185860AB	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed												3242	8940		12,182		
Marshall	DS84	234099-106	Under investigation	FCA	Wrangler	USA- Assumed													8880	10940	2880	22,700	
Marshall	DS84	234099-106X	8917007360	FCA	Wrangler	USA													23	7	15	45	
Peterlee	SD80	233128-128-YM	Under investigation	FCA	Ducato EU														0	94	63	157	
Marshall	DS84	337138-101	56054200AG	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														25292		25,292	
Marshall	DS84	337138-102	56054125AG	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														5100	4	5,104	
Marshall	DS84	337138-103	56054201AG	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														1212		1,212	
Marshall	DS84	337138-104	56054202AG	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														2460		2,460	
Marshall	DS84	337138-105	56054203AG	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														3060	3	3,063	
Marshall	DS84	337138-106	56054204AG	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														11		11	
Marshall	DS84	337138-107	56054850AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														40401		40,401	
Marshall	DS84	337138-108	56054851AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														4343		4,343	
Marshall	DS84	337138-110	56054853AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														27606		27,606	
Marshall	DS84	337138-111	56054854AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														30	15	45	
Marshall	DS84	337138-113	56054856AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														3953		3,953	
Marshall	DS84	337138-114	56054857AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA																19	19
Marshall	DS84	337138-115	56054858AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														1650	52	1,702	
Marshall	DS84	337138-116	68046100AG	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														9983		9,983	
Marshall	DS84	337138-117	68046104AF	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														16520		16,520	
Marshall	DS84	337138-118	68046105AF	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														3656		3,656	
Marshall	DS84	337138-119	68046106AF	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														960	3	963	
Marshall	DS84	337138-120	68046107AF	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														4770	29	4,799	
Marshall	DS84	337138-121	68046108AE	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														4265	2	4,267	
Marshall	DS84	337138-122	68056103AF	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														510	10	520	
Marshall	DS84	337138-123	68056109AG	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														1602		1,602	
Marshall	DS84	337138-124	68056110AG	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														3384	29	3,413	
Marshall	DS84	337138-125	68148030AD	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														1650		1,650	
Marshall	DS84	337138-126	68148031AD	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														4080	1	4,081	
Marshall	DS84	337138-127	68148032AD	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														4312	17	4,329	
Marshall	DS84	337138-128	68148033AD	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														123	6	129	
Marshall	DS84	337138-129	68148034AD	FCA	Dodge Caliber	USA- Assumed														27648		27,648	
Marshall	DS84	337138-130	68186180AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														179867	4950	184,817	
Marshall	DS84	337138-131	68186181AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														6055		6,055	
Marshall	DS84	337138-132	68186182AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														189623		189,623	
Marshall	DS84	337138-133	68186183AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														30	214	244	
Marshall	DS84	337138-134	68186184AD	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														16000	1950	17,950	
Marshall	DS84	337138-135	68186185AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														330	3	333	
Marshall	DS84	337138-136	68186186AC	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														900	19	919	
Marshall	DS84	337138-137	68186640AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														54205		54,205	
Marshall	DS84	337138-138	68186641AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														12029		12,929	
Marshall	DS84	337138-139	68186642AD	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														36257	3881	40,138	
Marshall	DS84	337138-140	68186643AD	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														39100	4956	44,056	
Marshall	DS84	337138-142	68186645AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														2955		2,955	
Marshall	DS84	337138-143	68186648AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														71703		71,703	
Marshall	DS84	337138-144	68186647AD	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														88320		88,320	
Marshall	DS84	337138-145	68186648AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														14850	16	14,866	
Marshall	DS84	337138-146	68186649AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														6630	9	6,639	
Marshall	DS84	337138-147	68186650AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														2490	12	2,502	
Marshall	DS84	337138-148	68186651AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														153		153	
Marshall	DS84	337138-149	68204438AC	FCA	Compass / Patriot	USA														45085		45,085	
Marshall	DS84	337138-150	56054856AE	FCA	200/ Sebring/ Avenger	USA														4340		4,340	

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

Customer Name: Fiat
 Customer Contact: C. Vitor Teixeira de Oliveira
 Engineering Supervisor
 Betim Address: Av. Contorno, 3455 Betim - MG - Brazil
 + 55 (31) 2123-7488
 carlos.vitor@fcagroup.com

DATA UNDER REVIEW AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Manufacturing Location	ASIC Type	ZF Part Number	Customer Part Number	Customer	Vehicle Nameplate	Vehicle Destination	Quantity Shipped											Total		
							2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		2018	
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	226335-102-OP	51951646	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa				79	5272	112265	156677	121928	446					396,667
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	226335-102-OP	300959655	FIAT	Fiat 500	Europe, Middle East, Africa										243	0	0		243
Peterlee	DS84	226335-104-OQ	51974623	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa						28	57094	147229						204,351
Peterlee	DS84	226335-104-OQ	51951646	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa									40	0	0			40
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	226335-105-OL	52043604	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa								44365						44,365
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	226335-105-OL	51974623	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa										203224	83090	11		286,325
Peterlee	DS84:SD40	226335-106-O8	52043604	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed									0	71842	11			71,853
Peterlee	SD40	226734-102-LX	51887358	FIAT	Panda	Europe, Middle East, Africa					51									51
Peterlee	SD40	226853-101-VX	1368119080	FIAT	Ducato EU	Europe, Middle East, Africa				252	18592									18,844
Peterlee	SD80	226853-102-WY	1368120080	FIAT	Ducato EU	Europe, Middle East, Africa				86	652									738
Marshall	DS84	227070-103	56054172AB	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					46									46
Marshall	DS84	227070-104	56038952AC	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					92									92
Marshall	DS84	227070-105	56054172AC	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					17									17
Marshall	DS84	227070-106	56038952AE	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					35									35
Marshall	DS84	227070-107	56054172AD	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					32									32
Marshall	DS84	227070-107X	56054172AD	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					1									1
Marshall	DS84	227070-108	56038952AF	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					2058									2,058
Marshall	DS84	227070-108X	56038952AF	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					5									5
Marshall	DS84	227070-109	56054172AE	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					1715									1,715
Marshall	DS84	227070-110	56038952AG	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					5220									5,220
Marshall	DS84	227070-112	56054172AF	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					1800									1,800
Marshall	DS84	227070-112X	56054172AF	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					6									6
Marshall	DS84	227070-114	56038952AJ	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					12755	5941								18,696
Marshall	DS84	227070-114X	56038952AJ	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					20	45								65
Marshall	DS84	227070-115	56054172AG	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					2340	1900								4,140
Marshall	DS84	227070-115X	56054172AG	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe					7	24								31
Marshall	DS84	227070-116	56038952AK	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						20619	5520							26,139
Marshall	DS84	227070-116X	56038952AK	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						70	138							209
Marshall	DS84	227070-117	56054172AH	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						5410	420							5,830
Marshall	DS84	227070-117X	56054172AH	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						40								40
Marshall	DS84	227070-118	68207066AA	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe							7707							7,707
Marshall	DS84	227070-118X	68207066AA	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe								3840						3,840
Marshall	DS84	227070-119	56038952AL	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						15444								15,444
Marshall	DS84	227070-120	56054172AI	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe						6359	5231	2273						13,863
Marshall	DS84	227070-120X	56054172AI	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe							107							107
Marshall	DS84	227070-121	68207066AB	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe								16128	8668					24,696
Marshall	DS84	227070-122	68249514AA	FIAT	Journey-PedPro	Europe								2165						2,165
Peterlee	SD40	228744-101-OQ	51920897	FIAT	Pallo	Latin America					118	4013								4,131

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:
 This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence.
 It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

Peterlee	DSB4	233128-110-HK	53375164	FIAT	Ducato EU	USA- North America							4182				4,182
Peterlee	MSB4	233128-110-HK	1398159080	FIAT	Ducato EU	USA- North America								28470	0	0	28,470
Peterlee	DSB4	233128-111-HL	53375163	FIAT	Ducato EU	USA- North America							10				10
Peterlee	SD40	233128-112-HM	1399372080	FIAT	Ducato EU	Turkey							184				184
Peterlee	SD40	233128-112-HM	53375164	FIAT	Ducato EU	Turkey								205	15	0	220
Peterlee	SD80	233128-113-HN	1399373080	FIAT	Ducato EU	Turkey							237				237
Peterlee	SD80	233128-113-HN	1399372080	FIAT	Ducato EU	Turkey								696	15	0	711
Peterlee	DSB4	233128-119-HF	68335041AA	FIAT	Ducato EU	Italy - Assumed								20464	9939	0	30,403
Peterlee	MSB4	233128-120-HG	68335042AA	FIAT	Ducato EU	Italy - Assumed								304	0	0	304
Peterlee	MSB4	233128-121-89	Under investigation	FIAT	Ducato EU	Italy - Assumed								298	0	0	298
Peterlee	MSB4	233128-122-VM	Under investigation	FIAT	Ducato EU	Italy - Assumed								0	9181	570	9,751
Peterlee	MSB4:SD40	235389-101-OT	51986772	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey							3547				3,547
Peterlee	SD40	235389-101-OT	51986772	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey								89	0	0	89
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-102-OU	51986773	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey							159	15528			15,687
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-102-OU	51986772	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey								269	0	0	269
Peterlee	MSB4:SD40	235389-103-OV	51986774	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey							104				104
Peterlee	SD40	235389-104-OM	52040836	FIAT	Tipo	Saudi Arabia							34				34
Peterlee	SD40	235389-104-OM	51986773	FIAT	Tipo	Saudi Arabia								9	0	0	9
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-105-ON	52040837	FIAT	Tipo	Saudi Arabia							26				26
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-105-ON	52040836	FIAT	Tipo	Saudi Arabia								18	0	0	18
Peterlee	SD40	235389-108-OC	52040837	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								302	544	0	846
Peterlee	SD40	235389-108-OD	52040836	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								696	0	0	696
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-110-OG	52040837	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								2121	0	0	2,121
Peterlee	SD40	235389-113-L0	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	6534	0	6,534
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-114-ME	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	2516	0	2,516
Peterlee	SD40	235389-115-MF	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	454	0	454
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-116-MG	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	6171	0	6,171
Peterlee	MSB4	235389-117-MX	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	155	0	155
Peterlee	MSB4	334100-101-OS	52017547	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey							819				819
Peterlee	MSB4	334100-102-OR	52027042	FIAT	Tipo	Turkey							156				156
Peterlee	MSB4	334100-105-8G	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	23590	0	23,590
Peterlee	MSB4	334100-106-7G	Under investigation	FIAT	Tipo	Italy - Assumed								0	17638	0	17,638
Peterlee	MSB4	30 (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	28	0	28
Peterlee	SD40	6E (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	20422	1408	21,830
Peterlee	MSB4	7E (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	12166	2784	14,950
Peterlee	SD40	8E (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	295	377	662
Peterlee	MSB4:SD40	F4 (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	49605	35000	84,605
Peterlee	SD40	L0 (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	1740	0	1,740
Peterlee	MSB4	Z4 (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	8134	10171	18,305
Peterlee	MSB4	ZM (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	7590	4288	11,878
Peterlee	MSB4	ZV (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	326	448	774
Peterlee	MSB4	ZW (Complete number under investigation)	Under investigation	FIAT	Unknown	Italy - Assumed								0	15243	15052	30,295
Marshall	DSB4	337751-101	68312952AA	FIAT	Unknown	Mexico										16	16
Marshall	DSB4	337751-102	68312943AA	FIAT	Unknown	Mexico										3	3
Marshall	DSB4	337751-102	68312943AA	FIAT	Unknown	Mexico									8		8
Marshall	DSB4	337751-104	68385559AA	FIAT	Unknown	Mexico										2	2
Marshall	DSB4	337751-104	68385559AA	FIAT	Unknown	Mexico									5		5

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY:

This document is the property of the ZF Group and is disclosed in confidence. It may not be copied, disclosed to others, or used for manufacturing without the prior consent of the ZF Group.

EXHIBIT 21

1	5/26/2014	ST_INC 000109
2	5/28/2014	ST_INC 000110
3	5/28/2014	ST_INC 000111
4	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000112
5	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000113
6	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000114
7	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000115
8	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000116
9	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000117
10	5/29/2014	ST_INC 000118
11	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000119
12	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000120
13	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000121
14	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000122
15	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000123
16	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000124
17	5/30/2014	ST_INC 000125
18	5/31/2014	ST_INC 000126
19	5/31/2014	ST_INC 000127
20	5/31/2014	ST_INC 000128
21	5/31/2014	ST_INC 000129
22	6/1/2014	ST_INC 000130
23	6/1/2014	ST_INC 000131
24	6/1/2014	ST_INC 000132
25	6/1/2014	ST_INC 000133
26	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000134
27	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000135
28	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000136
29	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000137
30	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000138
31	6/2/2014	ST_INC 000139
32	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000140
33	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000141
34	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000142
35	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000143
36	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000144
37	6/3/2014	ST_INC 000145
38	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000146
39	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000147
40	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000148
41	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000149
42	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000150
43	6/4/2014	ST_INC 000151

44	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000152
45	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000153
46	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000154
47	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000155
48	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000156
49	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000157
50	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000158
51	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000159
52	6/5/2014	ST_INC 000160
53	6/6/2014	ST_INC 000161
54	6/6/2014	ST_INC 000162
55	6/6/2014	ST_INC 000163
56	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000164
57	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000165
58	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000166
59	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000167
60	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000168
61	6/7/2014	ST_INC 000169
62	6/8/2014	ST_INC 000170
63	6/8/2014	ST_INC 000171
64	6/8/2014	ST_INC 000172
65	6/8/2014	ST_INC 000173
66	6/8/2014	ST_INC 000174
67	6/9/2014	ST_INC 000175
68	6/9/2014	ST_INC 000176
69	6/9/2014	ST_INC 000177
70	6/9/2014	ST_INC 000178
71	6/10/2014	ST_INC 000179
72	6/10/2014	ST_INC 000180
73	6/10/2014	ST_INC 000181
74	6/10/2014	ST_INC 000182
75	6/10/2014	ST_INC 000183
76	6/11/2014	ST_INC 000184
77	6/11/2014	ST_INC 000185
78	6/11/2014	ST_INC 000186
79	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000187
80	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000188
81	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000189
82	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000190
83	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000191
84	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000192
85	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000193
86	6/12/2014	ST_INC 000194

87	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000195
88	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000196
89	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000197
90	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000198
91	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000199
92	6/13/2014	ST_INC 000200
93	6/14/2014	ST_INC 000201
94	6/14/2014	ST_INC 000202
95	6/14/2014	ST_INC 000203
96	6/14/2014	ST_INC 000204
97	6/14/2014	ST_INC 000205
98	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000206
99	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000207
100	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000208
101	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000209
102	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000210
103	6/15/2014	ST_INC 000211
104	6/16/2014	ST_INC 000212
105	6/16/2014	ST_INC 000213
106	6/16/2014	ST_INC 000214
107	6/17/2014	ST_INC 000215
108	6/17/2014	ST_INC 000216
109	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000217
110	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000218
111	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000219
112	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000220
113	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000221
114	6/19/2014	ST_INC 000222
115	6/20/2014	ST_INC 000223
116	6/20/2014	ST_INC 000224
117	6/20/2014	ST_INC 000225
118	6/20/2014	ST_INC 000226
119	6/20/2014	ST_INC 000227
120	6/21/2014	ST_INC 000228
121	6/21/2014	ST_INC 000229
122	6/21/2014	ST_INC 000230
123	6/21/2014	ST_INC 000231
124	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000232
125	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000233
126	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000234
127	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000235
128	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000236
129	6/22/2014	ST_INC 000237

130	6/23/2014	ST_INC 000238
131	6/23/2014	ST_INC 000239
132	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000240
133	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000241
134	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000242
135	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000243
136	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000244
137	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000245
138	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000246
139	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000247
140	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000248
141	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000249
142	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000250
143	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000251
144	6/25/2014	ST_INC 000252
145	6/26/2014	ST_INC 000253
146	6/26/2014	ST_INC 000254
147	6/26/2014	ST_INC 000255
148	6/26/2014	ST_INC 000256
149	6/26/2014	ST_INC 000257
150	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000258
151	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000259
152	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000260
153	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000261
154	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000262
155	6/27/2014	ST_INC 000263
156	6/28/2014	ST_INC 000264
157	6/28/2014	ST_INC 000265
158	6/28/2014	ST_INC 000266
159	6/28/2014	ST_INC 000267
160	6/28/2014	ST_INC 000268
161	6/29/2014	ST_INC 000269
162	6/29/2014	ST_INC 000270
163	6/29/2014	ST_INC 000271
164	6/29/2014	ST_INC 000272
165	6/30/2014	ST_INC 000273
166	6/30/2014	ST_INC 000274
167	6/30/2014	ST_INC 000275
168	6/30/2014	ST_INC 000276
169	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000277
170	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000278
171	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000279
172	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000280

173	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000281
174	7/1/2014	ST_INC 000282
175	7/2/2014	ST_INC 000283
176	7/2/2014	ST_INC 000284
177	7/2/2014	ST_INC 000285
178	7/2/2014	ST_INC 000286
179	7/3/2014	ST_INC 000287
180	7/3/2014	ST_INC 000288
181	7/3/2014	ST_INC 000289
182	7/3/2014	ST_INC 000290
183	7/3/2014	ST_INC 000291
184	7/4/2014	ST_INC 000292
185	7/7/2014	ST_INC 000293
186	7/7/2014	ST_INC 000294
187	7/7/2014	ST_INC 000295
188	7/7/2014	ST_INC 000296
189	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000297
190	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000298
191	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000299
192	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000300
193	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000301
194	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000302
195	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000303
196	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000304
197	7/8/2014	ST_INC 000305
198	7/9/2014	ST_INC 000306
199	7/9/2014	ST_INC 000307
200	7/9/2014	ST_INC 000308
201	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000309
202	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000310
203	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000311
204	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000312
205	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000313
206	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000314
207	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000315
208	7/10/2014	ST_INC 000316
209	7/11/2014	ST_INC 000317
210	7/11/2014	ST_INC 000318
211	7/11/2014	ST_INC 000319
212	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000320
213	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000321
214	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000322
215	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000323

216	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000324
217	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000325
218	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000326
219	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000327
220	7/12/2014	ST_INC 000328
221	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000329
222	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000330
223	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000331
224	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000332
225	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000333
226	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000334
227	7/13/2014	ST_INC 000335
228	7/14/2014	ST_INC 000336
229	7/14/2014	ST_INC 000337
230	7/14/2014	ST_INC 000338
231	7/15/2014	ST_INC 000339
232	7/15/2014	ST_INC 000340
233	7/16/2014	ST_INC 000341
234	7/16/2014	ST_INC 000342
235	7/17/2014	ST_INC 000343
236	7/17/2014	ST_INC 000344
237	7/17/2014	ST_INC 000345
238	7/17/2014	ST_INC 000346
239	7/18/2014	ST_INC 000347
240	7/18/2014	ST_INC 000348
241	7/18/2014	ST_INC 000349
242	7/18/2014	ST_INC 000350
243	7/18/2014	ST_INC 000351
244	7/19/2014	ST_INC 000352
245	7/19/2014	ST_INC 000353
246	7/19/2014	ST_INC 000354
247	7/19/2014	ST_INC 000355
248	7/20/2014	ST_INC 000356
249	7/20/2014	ST_INC 000357
250	7/20/2014	ST_INC 000358
251	7/20/2014	ST_INC 000359
252	7/21/2014	ST_INC 000360
253	7/21/2014	ST_INC 000361
254	7/21/2014	ST_INC 000362
255	7/21/2014	ST_INC 000363
256	7/21/2014	ST_INC 000364
257	7/22/2014	ST_INC 000365
258	7/22/2014	ST_INC 000366

259	7/22/2014	ST_INC 000367
260	7/22/2014	ST_INC 000368
261	7/23/2014	ST_INC 000369
262	7/23/2014	ST_INC 000370
263	7/23/2014	ST_INC 000371
264	7/23/2014	ST_INC 000372
265	7/23/2014	ST_INC 000373
266	7/24/2014	ST_INC 000374
267	7/24/2014	ST_INC 000375
268	7/24/2014	ST_INC 000376
269	7/24/2014	ST_INC 000377
270	7/24/2014	ST_INC 000378
271	7/25/2014	ST_INC 000379
272	7/25/2014	ST_INC 000380
273	7/25/2014	ST_INC 000381
274	7/25/2014	ST_INC 000382
275	7/25/2014	ST_INC 000383
276	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000384
277	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000385
278	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000386
279	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000387
280	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000388
281	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000389
282	7/26/2014	ST_INC 000390
283	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000391
284	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000392
285	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000393
286	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000394
287	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000395
288	7/27/2014	ST_INC 000396
289	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000397
290	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000398
291	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000399
292	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000400
293	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000401
294	7/28/2014	ST_INC 000402
295	7/29/2014	ST_INC 000403
296	7/29/2014	ST_INC 000404
297	7/29/2014	ST_INC 000405
298	7/29/2014	ST_INC 000406
299	7/29/2014	ST_INC 000407
300	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000408
301	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000409

302	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000410
303	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000411
304	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000412
305	7/30/2014	ST_INC 000413
306	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000414
307	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000415
308	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000416
309	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000417
310	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000418
311	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000419
312	7/31/2014	ST_INC 000420
313	8/1/2014	ST_INC 000421
314	8/1/2014	ST_INC 000422
315	8/2/2014	ST_INC 000423
316	8/2/2014	ST_INC 000424
317	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000425
318	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000426
319	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000427
320	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000428
321	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000429
322	8/3/2014	ST_INC 000430
323	8/4/2014	ST_INC 000431
324	8/4/2014	ST_INC 000432
325	8/4/2014	ST_INC 000433
326	8/4/2014	ST_INC 000434
327	8/5/2014	ST_INC 000435
328	8/5/2014	ST_INC 000436
329	8/5/2014	ST_INC 000437
330	8/5/2014	ST_INC 000438
331	8/5/2014	ST_INC 000439
332	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000440
333	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000441
334	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000442
335	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000443
336	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000444
337	8/6/2014	ST_INC 000445
338	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000446
339	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000447
340	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000448
341	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000449
342	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000450
343	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000451
344	8/7/2014	ST_INC 000452

345	8/8/2014	ST_INC 000453
346	8/8/2014	ST_INC 000454
347	8/8/2014	ST_INC 000455
348	8/8/2014	ST_INC 000456
349	8/8/2014	ST_INC 000457
350	8/9/2014	ST_INC 000458
351	8/9/2014	ST_INC 000459
352	8/9/2014	ST_INC 000460
353	8/9/2014	ST_INC 000461
354	8/10/2014	ST_INC 000462
355	8/10/2014	ST_INC 000463
356	8/10/2014	ST_INC 000464
357	8/10/2014	ST_INC 000465
358	8/10/2014	ST_INC 000466
359	8/12/2014	ST_INC 000467
360	8/12/2014	ST_INC 000468
361	8/12/2014	ST_INC 000469
362	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000470
363	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000471
364	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000472
365	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000473
366	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000474
367	8/13/2014	ST_INC 000475
368	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000476
369	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000477
370	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000478
371	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000479
372	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000480
373	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000481
374	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000482
375	8/14/2014	ST_INC 000483
376	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000484
377	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000485
378	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000486
379	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000487
380	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000488
381	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000489
382	8/15/2014	ST_INC 000490
383	8/16/2014	ST_INC 000491
384	8/16/2014	ST_INC 000492
385	8/16/2014	ST_INC 000493
386	8/16/2014	ST_INC 000494
387	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000495

388	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000496
389	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000497
390	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000498
391	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000499
392	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000500
393	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000501
394	8/17/2014	ST_INC 000502
395	8/18/2014	ST_INC 000503
396	8/18/2014	ST_INC 000504
397	8/18/2014	ST_INC 000505
398	8/18/2014	ST_INC 000506
399	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000507
400	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000508
401	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000509
402	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000510
403	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000511
404	8/19/2014	ST_INC 000512
405	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000513
406	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000514
407	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000515
408	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000516
409	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000517
410	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000518
411	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000519
412	8/20/2014	ST_INC 000520
413	8/21/2014	ST_INC 000521
414	8/21/2014	ST_INC 000522
415	8/21/2014	ST_INC 000523
416	8/21/2014	ST_INC 000524
417	8/21/2014	ST_INC 000525
418	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000526
419	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000527
420	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000528
421	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000529
422	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000530
423	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000531
424	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000532
425	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000533
426	8/22/2014	ST_INC 000534
427	8/23/2014	ST_INC 000535
428	8/23/2014	ST_INC 000536
429	8/23/2014	ST_INC 000537
430	8/23/2014	ST_INC 000538

431	8/23/2014	ST_INC 000539
432	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000540
433	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000541
434	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000542
435	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000543
436	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000544
437	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000545
438	8/24/2014	ST_INC 000546
439	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000547
440	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000548
441	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000549
442	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000550
443	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000551
444	8/25/2014	ST_INC 000552
445	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000553
446	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000554
447	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000555
448	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000556
449	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000557
450	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000558
451	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000559
452	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000560
453	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000561
454	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000562
455	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000563
456	8/27/2014	ST_INC 000564
457	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000565
458	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000566
459	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000567
460	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000568
461	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000569
462	8/28/2014	ST_INC 000570
463	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000571
464	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000572
465	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000573
466	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000574
467	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000575
468	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000576
469	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000577
470	8/29/2014	ST_INC 000578
471	8/30/2014	ST_INC 000579
472	8/30/2014	ST_INC 000580
473	8/30/2014	ST_INC 000581

474	8/30/2014	ST_INC 000582
475	8/30/2014	ST_INC 000583
476	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000584
477	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000585
478	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000586
479	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000587
480	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000588
481	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000589
482	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000590
483	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000591
484	8/31/2014	ST_INC 000592
485	9/1/2014	ST_INC 000593
486	9/1/2014	ST_INC 000594
487	9/1/2014	ST_INC 000595
488	9/1/2014	ST_INC 000596
489	9/2/2014	ST_INC 000597
490	9/2/2014	ST_INC 000598
491	9/2/2014	ST_INC 000599
492	9/3/2014	ST_INC 000600
493	9/3/2014	ST_INC 000601
494	9/3/2014	ST_INC 000602
495	9/3/2014	ST_INC 000603
496	9/4/2014	ST_INC 000604
497	9/4/2014	ST_INC 000605
498	9/4/2014	ST_INC 000606
499	9/4/2014	ST_INC 000607
500	9/5/2014	ST_INC 000608
501	9/5/2014	ST_INC 000609
502	9/5/2014	ST_INC 000610
503	9/5/2014	ST_INC 000611
504	9/5/2014	ST_INC 000612
505	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000613
506	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000614
507	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000615
508	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000616
509	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000617
510	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000618
511	9/6/2014	ST_INC 000619
512	9/7/2014	ST_INC 000620
513	9/7/2014	ST_INC 000621
514	9/7/2014	ST_INC 000622
515	9/7/2014	ST_INC 000623
516	9/7/2014	ST_INC 000624

517	9/8/2014	ST_INC 000625
518	9/8/2014	ST_INC 000626
519	9/8/2014	ST_INC 000627
520	9/8/2014	ST_INC 000628
521	9/9/2014	ST_INC 000629
522	9/9/2014	ST_INC 000630
523	9/9/2014	ST_INC 000631
524	9/9/2014	ST_INC 000632
525	9/9/2014	ST_INC 000633
526	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000634
527	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000635
528	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000636
529	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000637
530	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000638
531	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000639
532	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000640
533	9/10/2014	ST_INC 000641
534	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000642
535	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000643
536	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000644
537	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000645
538	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000646
539	9/11/2014	ST_INC 000647
540	9/12/2014	ST_INC 000648
541	9/12/2014	ST_INC 000649
542	9/12/2014	ST_INC 000650
543	9/12/2014	ST_INC 000651
544	9/12/2014	ST_INC 000652
545	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000653
546	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000654
547	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000655
548	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000656
549	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000657
550	9/13/2014	ST_INC 000658
551	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000659
552	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000660
553	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000661
554	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000662
555	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000663
556	9/14/2014	ST_INC 000664
557	9/15/2014	ST_INC 000665
558	9/15/2014	ST_INC 000666
559	9/15/2014	ST_INC 000667

560	9/15/2014	ST_INC 000668
561	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000669
562	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000670
563	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000671
564	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000672
565	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000673
566	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000674
567	9/16/2014	ST_INC 000675
568	9/17/2014	ST_INC 000676
569	9/17/2014	ST_INC 000677
570	9/17/2014	ST_INC 000678
571	9/17/2014	ST_INC 000679
572	9/17/2014	ST_INC 000680
573	9/18/2014	ST_INC 000681
574	9/18/2014	ST_INC 000682
575	9/18/2014	ST_INC 000683
576	9/18/2014	ST_INC 000684
577	9/18/2014	ST_INC 000685
578	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000686
579	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000687
580	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000688
581	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000689
582	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000690
583	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000691
584	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000692
585	9/19/2014	ST_INC 000693
586	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000694
587	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000695
588	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000696
589	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000697
590	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000698
591	9/20/2014	ST_INC 000699
592	9/21/2014	ST_INC 000700
593	9/21/2014	ST_INC 000701
594	9/21/2014	ST_INC 000702
595	9/21/2014	ST_INC 000703
596	9/21/2014	ST_INC 000704
597	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000705
598	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000706
599	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000707
600	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000708
601	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000709
602	9/22/2014	ST_INC 000710

603	9/23/2014	ST_INC 000711
604	9/23/2014	ST_INC 000712
605	9/23/2014	ST_INC 000713
606	9/23/2014	ST_INC 000714
607	9/23/2014	ST_INC 000715
608	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000716
609	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000717
610	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000718
611	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000719
612	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000720
613	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000721
614	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000722
615	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000723
616	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000724
617	9/24/2014	ST_INC 000725
618	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000726
619	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000727
620	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000728
621	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000729
622	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000730
623	9/25/2014	ST_INC 000731
624	9/26/2014	ST_INC 000732
625	9/26/2014	ST_INC 000733
626	9/26/2014	ST_INC 000734
627	9/27/2014	ST_INC 000735
628	9/27/2014	ST_INC 000736
629	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000737
630	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000738
631	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000739
632	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000740
633	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000741
634	9/28/2014	ST_INC 000742
635	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000743
636	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000744
637	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000745
638	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000746
639	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000747
640	9/29/2014	ST_INC 000748
641	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000749
642	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000750
643	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000751
644	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000752
645	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000753

646	9/30/2014	ST_INC 000754
647	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000755
648	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000756
649	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000757
650	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000758
651	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000759
652	10/1/2014	ST_INC 000760
653	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000761
654	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000762
655	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000763
656	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000764
657	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000765
658	10/2/2014	ST_INC 000766
659	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000767
660	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000768
661	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000769
662	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000770
663	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000771
664	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000772
665	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000773
666	10/3/2014	ST_INC 000774
667	10/4/2014	ST_INC 000775
668	10/4/2014	ST_INC 000776
669	10/4/2014	ST_INC 000777
670	10/4/2014	ST_INC 000778
671	10/4/2014	ST_INC 000779
672	10/5/2014	ST_INC 000780
673	10/5/2014	ST_INC 000781
674	10/5/2014	ST_INC 000782
675	10/5/2014	ST_INC 000783
676	10/6/2014	ST_INC 000784
677	10/6/2014	ST_INC 000785
678	10/6/2014	ST_INC 000786
679	10/6/2014	ST_INC 000787
680	10/6/2014	ST_INC 000788
681	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000789
682	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000790
683	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000791
684	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000792
685	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000793
686	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000794
687	10/7/2014	ST_INC 000795
688	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000796

689	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000797
690	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000798
691	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000799
692	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000800
693	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000801
694	10/8/2014	ST_INC 000802
695	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000803
696	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000804
697	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000805
698	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000806
699	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000807
700	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000808
701	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000809
702	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000810
703	10/9/2014	ST_INC 000811
704	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000812
705	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000813
706	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000814
707	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000815
708	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000816
709	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000817
710	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000818
711	10/10/2014	ST_INC 000819
712	10/11/2014	ST_INC 000820
713	10/11/2014	ST_INC 000821
714	10/11/2014	ST_INC 000822
715	10/11/2014	ST_INC 000823
716	10/11/2014	ST_INC 000824
717	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000825
718	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000826
719	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000827
720	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000828
721	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000829
722	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000830
723	10/12/2014	ST_INC 000831
724	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000832
725	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000833
726	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000834
727	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000835
728	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000836
729	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000837
730	10/13/2014	ST_INC 000838
731	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000839

732	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000840
733	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000841
734	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000842
735	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000843
736	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000844
737	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000845
738	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000846
739	10/14/2014	ST_INC 000847
740	10/15/2014	ST_INC 000848
741	10/15/2014	ST_INC 000849
742	10/15/2014	ST_INC 000850
743	10/15/2014	ST_INC 000851
744	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000852
745	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000853
746	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000854
747	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000855
748	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000856
749	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000857
750	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000858
751	10/16/2014	ST_INC 000859
752	10/17/2014	ST_INC 000860
753	10/17/2014	ST_INC 000861
754	10/17/2014	ST_INC 000862
755	10/17/2014	ST_INC 000863
756	10/17/2014	ST_INC 000864
757	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000865
758	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000866
759	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000867
760	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000868
761	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000869
762	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000870
763	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000871
764	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000872
765	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000873
766	10/18/2014	ST_INC 000874
767	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000875
768	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000876
769	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000877
770	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000878
771	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000879
772	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000880
773	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000881
774	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000882

775	10/19/2014	ST_INC 000883
776	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000884
777	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000885
778	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000886
779	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000887
780	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000888
781	10/20/2014	ST_INC 000889
782	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000890
783	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000891
784	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000892
785	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000893
786	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000894
787	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000895
788	10/21/2014	ST_INC 000896
789	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000897
790	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000898
791	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000899
792	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000900
793	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000901
794	10/22/2014	ST_INC 000902
795	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000903
796	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000904
797	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000905
798	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000906
799	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000907
800	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000908
801	10/23/2014	ST_INC 000909
802	10/24/2014	ST_INC 000910
803	10/24/2014	ST_INC 000911
804	10/24/2014	ST_INC 000912
805	10/24/2014	ST_INC 000913
806	10/24/2014	ST_INC 000914
807	10/25/2014	ST_INC 000915
808	10/25/2014	ST_INC 000916
809	10/25/2014	ST_INC 000917
810	10/25/2014	ST_INC 000918
811	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000919
812	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000920
813	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000921
814	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000922
815	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000923
816	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000924
817	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000925

818	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000926
819	10/26/2014	ST_INC 000927
820	10/27/2014	ST_INC 000928
821	10/27/2014	ST_INC 000929
822	10/27/2014	ST_INC 000930
823	10/27/2014	ST_INC 000931
824	10/27/2014	ST_INC 000932
825	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000933
826	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000934
827	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000935
828	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000936
829	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000937
830	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000938
831	10/28/2014	ST_INC 000939
832	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000940
833	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000941
834	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000942
835	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000943
836	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000944
837	10/29/2014	ST_INC 000945
838	10/30/2014	ST_INC 000946
839	10/30/2014	ST_INC 000947
840	10/30/2014	ST_INC 000948
841	10/30/2014	ST_INC 000949
842	10/30/2014	ST_INC 000950
843	10/31/2014	ST_INC 000951
844	11/1/2014	ST_INC 000952
845	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000953
846	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000954
847	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000955
848	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000956
849	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000957
850	11/2/2014	ST_INC 000958
851	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000959
852	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000960
853	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000961
854	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000962
855	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000963
856	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000964
857	11/3/2014	ST_INC 000965
858	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000966
859	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000967
860	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000968

861	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000969
862	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000970
863	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000971
864	11/4/2014	ST_INC 000972
865	11/5/2014	ST_INC 000973
866	11/5/2014	ST_INC 000974
867	11/5/2014	ST_INC 000975
868	11/6/2014	ST_INC 000976
869	11/6/2014	ST_INC 000977
870	11/6/2014	ST_INC 000978
871	11/7/2014	ST_INC 000979
872	11/7/2014	ST_INC 000980
873	11/7/2014	ST_INC 000981
874	11/7/2014	ST_INC 000982
875	11/7/2014	ST_INC 000983
876	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000984
877	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000985
878	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000986
879	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000987
880	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000988
881	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000989
882	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000990
883	11/8/2014	ST_INC 000991
884	11/9/2014	ST_INC 000992
885	11/9/2014	ST_INC 000993
886	11/9/2014	ST_INC 000994
887	11/9/2014	ST_INC 000995
888	11/9/2014	ST_INC 000996
889	11/10/2014	ST_INC 000997
890	11/10/2014	ST_INC 000998
891	11/10/2014	ST_INC 000999
892	11/10/2014	ST_INC 001000
893	11/10/2014	ST_INC 001001
894	11/10/2014	ST_INC 001002
895	11/11/2014	ST_INC 001003
896	11/11/2014	ST_INC 001004
897	11/11/2014	ST_INC 001005
898	11/11/2014	ST_INC 001006
899	11/11/2014	ST_INC 001007
900	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001008
901	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001009
902	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001010
903	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001011

904	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001012
905	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001013
906	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001014
907	11/12/2014	ST_INC 001015
908	11/13/2014	ST_INC 001016
909	11/13/2014	ST_INC 001017
910	11/13/2014	ST_INC 001018
911	11/14/2014	ST_INC 001019
912	11/14/2014	ST_INC 001020
913	11/14/2014	ST_INC 001021
914	11/14/2014	ST_INC 001022
915	11/14/2014	ST_INC 001023
916	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001024
917	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001025
918	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001026
919	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001027
920	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001028
921	11/15/2014	ST_INC 001029
922	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001030
923	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001031
924	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001032
925	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001033
926	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001034
927	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001035
928	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001036
929	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001037
930	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001038
931	11/16/2014	ST_INC 001039
932	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001040
933	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001041
934	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001042
935	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001043
936	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001044
937	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001045
938	11/17/2014	ST_INC 001046
939	11/19/2014	ST_INC 001047
940	11/19/2014	ST_INC 001048
941	11/19/2014	ST_INC 001049
942	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001050
943	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001051
944	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001052
945	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001053
946	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001054

947	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001055
948	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001056
949	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001057
950	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001058
951	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001059
952	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001060
953	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001061
954	11/20/2014	ST_INC 001062
955	11/21/2014	ST_INC 001063
956	11/21/2014	ST_INC 001064
957	11/21/2014	ST_INC 001065
958	11/21/2014	ST_INC 001066
959	11/21/2014	ST_INC 001067
960	11/22/2014	ST_INC 001068
961	11/22/2014	ST_INC 001069
962	11/22/2014	ST_INC 001070
963	11/22/2014	ST_INC 001071
964	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001072
965	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001073
966	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001074
967	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001075
968	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001076
969	11/23/2014	ST_INC 001077
970	11/24/2014	ST_INC 001078
971	11/24/2014	ST_INC 001079
972	11/24/2014	ST_INC 001080
973	11/24/2014	ST_INC 001081
974	11/24/2014	ST_INC 001082
975	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001083
976	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001084
977	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001085
978	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001086
979	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001087
980	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001088
981	11/25/2014	ST_INC 001089
982	11/26/2014	ST_INC 001090
983	11/26/2014	ST_INC 001091
984	11/26/2014	ST_INC 001092
985	11/26/2014	ST_INC 001093
986	11/26/2014	ST_INC 001094
987	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001095
988	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001096
989	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001097

990	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001098
991	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001099
992	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001100
993	11/27/2014	ST_INC 001101
994	11/28/2014	ST_INC 001102
995	11/30/2014	ST_INC 001103
996	11/30/2014	ST_INC 001104
997	11/30/2014	ST_INC 001105
998	11/30/2014	ST_INC 001106
999	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001107
1000	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001108
1001	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001109
1002	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001110
1003	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001111
1004	12/1/2014	ST_INC 001112
1005	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001113
1006	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001114
1007	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001115
1008	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001116
1009	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001117
1010	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001118
1011	12/2/2014	ST_INC 001119
1012	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001120
1013	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001121
1014	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001122
1015	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001123
1016	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001124
1017	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001125
1018	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001126
1019	12/3/2014	ST_INC 001127
1020	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001128
1021	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001129
1022	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001130
1023	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001131
1024	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001132
1025	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001133
1026	12/4/2014	ST_INC 001134
1027	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001135
1028	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001136
1029	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001137
1030	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001138
1031	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001139
1032	12/5/2014	ST_INC 001140

1033	12/6/2014	ST_INC 001141
1034	12/6/2014	ST_INC 001142
1035	12/6/2014	ST_INC 001143
1036	12/6/2014	ST_INC 001144
1037	12/6/2014	ST_INC 001145
1038	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001146
1039	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001147
1040	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001148
1041	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001149
1042	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001150
1043	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001151
1044	12/7/2014	ST_INC 001152
1045	12/8/2014	ST_INC 001153
1046	12/8/2014	ST_INC 001154
1047	12/8/2014	ST_INC 001155
1048	12/8/2014	ST_INC 001156
1049	12/8/2014	ST_INC 001157
1050	12/9/2014	ST_INC 001158
1051	12/9/2014	ST_INC 001159
1052	12/9/2014	ST_INC 001160
1053	12/9/2014	ST_INC 001161
1054	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001162
1055	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001163
1056	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001164
1057	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001165
1058	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001166
1059	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001167
1060	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001168
1061	12/10/2014	ST_INC 001169
1062	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001170
1063	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001171
1064	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001172
1065	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001173
1066	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001174
1067	12/11/2014	ST_INC 001175
1068	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001176
1069	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001177
1070	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001178
1071	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001179
1072	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001180
1073	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001181
1074	12/12/2014	ST_INC 001182
1075	12/13/2014	ST_INC 001183

1076	12/13/2014	ST_INC 001184
1077	12/13/2014	ST_INC 001185
1078	12/13/2014	ST_INC 001186
1079	12/13/2014	ST_INC 001187
1080	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001188
1081	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001189
1082	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001190
1083	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001191
1084	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001192
1085	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001193
1086	12/14/2014	ST_INC 001194
1087	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001195
1088	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001196
1089	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001197
1090	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001198
1091	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001199
1092	12/15/2014	ST_INC 001200
1093	12/16/2014	ST_INC 001201
1094	12/16/2014	ST_INC 001202
1095	12/16/2014	ST_INC 001203
1096	12/17/2014	ST_INC 001204
1097	12/17/2014	ST_INC 001205
1098	12/17/2014	ST_INC 001206
1099	12/17/2014	ST_INC 001207
1100	12/17/2014	ST_INC 001208
1101	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001209
1102	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001210
1103	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001211
1104	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001212
1105	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001213
1106	12/18/2014	ST_INC 001214
1107	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001215
1108	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001216
1109	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001217
1110	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001218
1111	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001219
1112	12/19/2014	ST_INC 001220
1113	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001221
1114	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001222
1115	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001223
1116	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001224
1117	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001225
1118	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001226

1119	12/20/2014	ST_INC 001227
1120	12/21/2014	ST_INC 001228
1121	12/21/2014	ST_INC 001229
1122	12/21/2014	ST_INC 001230
1123	12/21/2014	ST_INC 001231
1124	12/21/2014	ST_INC 001232
1125	12/22/2014	ST_INC 001233
1126	12/22/2014	ST_INC 001234
1127	12/22/2014	ST_INC 001235
1128	12/22/2014	ST_INC 001236
1129	12/22/2014	ST_INC 001237
1130	12/23/2014	ST_INC 001238
1131	12/23/2014	ST_INC 001239
1132	12/24/2014	ST_INC 001240
1133	12/27/2014	ST_INC 001241
1134	12/27/2014	ST_INC 001242
1135	12/27/2014	ST_INC 001243
1136	12/27/2014	ST_INC 001244
1137	12/27/2014	ST_INC 001245
1138	12/28/2014	ST_INC 001246
1139	12/28/2014	ST_INC 001247
1140	12/28/2014	ST_INC 001248
1141	8/4/2016	ST_INC 001249
1142	8/6/2016	ST_INC 001250
1143	8/17/2016	ST_INC 001251
1144	8/13/2016	ST_INC 001252
1145	10/27/2016	ST_INC 001253
1146	10/27/2016	ST_INC 001253
1147	8/4/2016	ST_INC 001254
1148	8/4/2016	ST_INC 001255
1149	8/4/2016	ST_INC 001256
1150	8/6/2016	ST_INC 001257
1151	10/5/2016	ST_INC 001258
1152	10/5/2016	ST_INC 001258
1153	8/6/2016	ST_INC 001259
1154	8/16/2016	ST_INC 001260
1155	8/21/2016	ST_INC 001261
1156	8/22/2016	ST_INC 001262
1157	9/2/2016	ST_INC 001263
1158	10/15/2016	ST_INC 001264
1159	10/15/2016	ST_INC 001264
1160	8/5/2016	ST_INC 001265
1161	8/13/2016	ST_INC 001266

1162	8/17/2016	ST_INC 001267
1163	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001268
1164	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001269
1165	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001270
1166	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001271
1167	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001272
1168	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001273
1169	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001274
1170	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001275
1171	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001276
1172	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001277
1173	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001278
1174	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001279
1175	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001280
1176	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001281
1177	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001282
1178	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001283
1179	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001284
1180	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001285
1181	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001286
1182	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001287
1183	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001288
1184	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001289
1185	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001290
1186	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001291
1187	1/6/2015	ST_INC 001292
1188	1/7/2015	ST_INC 001293
1189	1/7/2015	ST_INC 001294
1190	1/7/2015	ST_INC 001295
1191	1/7/2015	ST_INC 001296
1192	1/7/2015	ST_INC 001297
1193	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001298
1194	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001299
1195	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001300
1196	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001301
1197	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001302
1198	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001303
1199	1/8/2015	ST_INC 001304
1200	1/9/2015	ST_INC 001305
1201	1/9/2015	ST_INC 001306
1202	1/9/2015	ST_INC 001307
1203	1/10/2015	ST_INC 001308
1204	1/10/2015	ST_INC 001309

1205	1/10/2015	ST_INC 001310
1206	1/10/2015	ST_INC 001311
1207	1/10/2015	ST_INC 001312
1208	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001313
1209	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001314
1210	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001315
1211	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001316
1212	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001317
1213	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001318
1214	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001319
1215	1/11/2015	ST_INC 001320
1216	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001321
1217	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001322
1218	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001323
1219	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001324
1220	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001325
1221	1/12/2015	ST_INC 001326
1222	1/13/2015	ST_INC 001327
1223	1/13/2015	ST_INC 001328
1224	1/13/2015	ST_INC 001329
1225	1/13/2015	ST_INC 001330
1226	1/13/2015	ST_INC 001331
1227	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001332
1228	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001333
1229	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001334
1230	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001335
1231	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001336
1232	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001337
1233	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001338
1234	1/14/2015	ST_INC 001339
1235	1/15/2015	ST_INC 001340
1236	1/15/2015	ST_INC 001341
1237	1/15/2015	ST_INC 001342
1238	1/15/2015	ST_INC 001343
1239	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001344
1240	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001345
1241	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001346
1242	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001347
1243	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001348
1244	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001349
1245	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001350
1246	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001351
1247	1/16/2015	ST_INC 001352

1248	1/17/2015	ST_INC 001353
1249	1/17/2015	ST_INC 001354
1250	1/17/2015	ST_INC 001355
1251	1/17/2015	ST_INC 001356
1252	1/17/2015	ST_INC 001357
1253	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001358
1254	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001359
1255	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001360
1256	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001361
1257	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001362
1258	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001363
1259	1/18/2015	ST_INC 001364
1260	1/19/2015	ST_INC 001365
1261	1/19/2015	ST_INC 001366
1262	1/19/2015	ST_INC 001367
1263	1/19/2015	ST_INC 001368
1264	1/19/2015	ST_INC 001369
1265	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001370
1266	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001371
1267	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001372
1268	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001373
1269	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001374
1270	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001375
1271	1/20/2015	ST_INC 001376
1272	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001377
1273	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001378
1274	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001379
1275	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001380
1276	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001381
1277	1/21/2015	ST_INC 001382
1278	1/22/2015	ST_INC 001383
1279	1/22/2015	ST_INC 001384
1280	1/22/2015	ST_INC 001385
1281	1/22/2015	ST_INC 001386
1282	1/22/2015	ST_INC 001387
1283	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001388
1284	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001390
1285	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001392
1286	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001394
1287	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001396
1288	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001398
1289	1/23/2015	ST_INC 001400
1290	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001402

1291	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001403
1292	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001404
1293	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001405
1294	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001406
1295	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001407
1296	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001408
1297	1/24/2015	ST_INC 001409
1298	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001410
1299	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001411
1300	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001412
1301	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001413
1302	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001414
1303	1/25/2015	ST_INC 001415
1304	1/26/2015	ST_INC 001416
1305	1/26/2015	ST_INC 001417
1306	1/26/2015	ST_INC 001418
1307	1/26/2015	ST_INC 001419
1308	1/26/2015	ST_INC 001420
1309	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001421
1310	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001422
1311	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001423
1312	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001424
1313	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001425
1314	1/27/2015	ST_INC 001426
1315	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001427
1316	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001428
1317	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001429
1318	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001430
1319	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001431
1320	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001432
1321	1/28/2015	ST_INC 001433
1322	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001434
1323	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001435
1324	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001436
1325	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001437
1326	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001438
1327	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001439
1328	1/29/2015	ST_INC 001440
1329	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001441
1330	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001442
1331	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001443
1332	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001444
1333	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001445

1334	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001446
1335	1/30/2015	ST_INC 001447
1336	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001448
1337	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001449
1338	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001450
1339	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001451
1340	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001452
1341	1/31/2015	ST_INC 001453
1342	2/1/2015	ST_INC 001454
1343	2/1/2015	ST_INC 001455
1344	2/1/2015	ST_INC 001456
1345	2/1/2015	ST_INC 001457
1346	2/1/2015	ST_INC 001458
1347	2/2/2015	ST_INC 001459
1348	2/2/2015	ST_INC 001460
1349	2/2/2015	ST_INC 001461
1350	2/2/2015	ST_INC 001462
1351	2/3/2015	ST_INC 001463
1352	2/3/2015	ST_INC 001464
1353	2/3/2015	ST_INC 001465
1354	2/3/2015	ST_INC 001466
1355	2/3/2015	ST_INC 001467
1356	2/4/2015	ST_INC 001468
1357	2/4/2015	ST_INC 001469
1358	2/4/2015	ST_INC 001470
1359	2/4/2015	ST_INC 001471
1360	2/4/2015	ST_INC 001472
1361	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001473
1362	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001474
1363	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001475
1364	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001476
1365	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001477
1366	2/5/2015	ST_INC 001478
1367	2/6/2015	ST_INC 001479
1368	2/6/2015	ST_INC 001480
1369	2/6/2015	ST_INC 001481
1370	2/6/2015	ST_INC 001482
1371	2/7/2015	ST_INC 001483
1372	2/7/2015	ST_INC 001484
1373	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001485
1374	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001486
1375	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001487
1376	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001488

1377	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001489
1378	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001490
1379	2/8/2015	ST_INC 001491
1380	2/9/2015	ST_INC 001492
1381	2/9/2015	ST_INC 001493
1382	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001494
1383	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001495
1384	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001496
1385	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001497
1386	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001498
1387	2/10/2015	ST_INC 001499
1388	2/11/2015	ST_INC 001500
1389	2/11/2015	ST_INC 001501
1390	2/11/2015	ST_INC 001502
1391	2/11/2015	ST_INC 001503
1392	2/11/2015	ST_INC 001504
1393	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001505
1394	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001506
1395	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001507
1396	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001508
1397	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001509
1398	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001510
1399	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001511
1400	2/12/2015	ST_INC 001512
1401	2/13/2015	ST_INC 001513
1402	2/13/2015	ST_INC 001514
1403	2/13/2015	ST_INC 001515
1404	2/13/2015	ST_INC 001516
1405	2/13/2015	ST_INC 001517
1406	2/14/2015	ST_INC 001518
1407	2/14/2015	ST_INC 001519
1408	2/14/2015	ST_INC 001520
1409	2/14/2015	ST_INC 001521
1410	2/14/2015	ST_INC 001522
1411	2/15/2015	ST_INC 001523
1412	2/15/2015	ST_INC 001524
1413	2/15/2015	ST_INC 001525
1414	2/15/2015	ST_INC 001526
1415	2/16/2015	ST_INC 001527
1416	2/16/2015	ST_INC 001528
1417	2/16/2015	ST_INC 001529
1418	2/16/2015	ST_INC 001530
1419	2/16/2015	ST_INC 001531

1420	2/17/2015	ST_INC 001532
1421	2/17/2015	ST_INC 001533
1422	2/17/2015	ST_INC 001534
1423	2/17/2015	ST_INC 001535
1424	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001536
1425	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001537
1426	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001538
1427	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001539
1428	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001540
1429	2/18/2015	ST_INC 001541
1430	2/19/2015	ST_INC 001542
1431	2/19/2015	ST_INC 001543
1432	2/19/2015	ST_INC 001544
1433	2/19/2015	ST_INC 001545
1434	2/19/2015	ST_INC 001546
1435	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001547
1436	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001548
1437	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001549
1438	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001550
1439	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001551
1440	2/20/2015	ST_INC 001552
1441	2/21/2015	ST_INC 001553
1442	2/21/2015	ST_INC 001554
1443	2/21/2015	ST_INC 001555
1444	2/21/2015	ST_INC 001556
1445	2/21/2015	ST_INC 001557
1446	2/22/2015	ST_INC 001558
1447	2/22/2015	ST_INC 001559
1448	2/22/2015	ST_INC 001560
1449	2/22/2015	ST_INC 001561
1450	2/22/2015	ST_INC 001562
1451	2/23/2015	ST_INC 001563
1452	2/23/2015	ST_INC 001564
1453	2/24/2015	ST_INC 001565
1454	2/24/2015	ST_INC 001566
1455	2/24/2015	ST_INC 001567
1456	2/25/2015	ST_INC 001568
1457	2/25/2015	ST_INC 001569
1458	2/25/2015	ST_INC 001570
1459	2/25/2015	ST_INC 001571
1460	2/25/2015	ST_INC 001572
1461	2/26/2015	ST_INC 001573
1462	2/26/2015	ST_INC 001574

1463	2/26/2015	ST_INC 001575
1464	2/26/2015	ST_INC 001576
1465	2/27/2015	ST_INC 001577
1466	2/27/2015	ST_INC 001578
1467	2/27/2015	ST_INC 001579
1468	2/27/2015	ST_INC 001580
1469	2/28/2015	ST_INC 001581
1470	2/28/2015	ST_INC 001582
1471	2/28/2015	ST_INC 001583
1472	2/28/2015	ST_INC 001584
1473	2/28/2015	ST_INC 001585
1474	3/1/2015	ST_INC 001586
1475	3/1/2015	ST_INC 001587
1476	3/1/2015	ST_INC 001588
1477	3/1/2015	ST_INC 001589
1478	3/2/2015	ST_INC 001590
1479	3/2/2015	ST_INC 001591
1480	3/2/2015	ST_INC 001592
1481	3/2/2015	ST_INC 001593
1482	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001594
1483	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001595
1484	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001596
1485	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001597
1486	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001598
1487	3/3/2015	ST_INC 001599
1488	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001600
1489	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001601
1490	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001602
1491	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001603
1492	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001604
1493	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001605
1494	3/4/2015	ST_INC 001606
1495	3/5/2015	ST_INC 001607
1496	3/5/2015	ST_INC 001608
1497	3/5/2015	ST_INC 001609
1498	3/6/2015	ST_INC 001610
1499	3/7/2015	ST_INC 001611
1500	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001612
1501	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001613
1502	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001614
1503	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001615
1504	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001616
1505	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001617

1506	3/8/2015	ST_INC 001618
1507	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001619
1508	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001620
1509	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001621
1510	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001622
1511	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001623
1512	3/9/2015	ST_INC 001624
1513	3/10/2015	ST_INC 001625
1514	3/10/2015	ST_INC 001626
1515	3/10/2015	ST_INC 001627
1516	3/10/2015	ST_INC 001628
1517	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001629
1518	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001630
1519	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001631
1520	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001632
1521	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001633
1522	3/11/2015	ST_INC 001634
1523	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001635
1524	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001636
1525	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001637
1526	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001638
1527	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001639
1528	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001640
1529	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001641
1530	3/12/2015	ST_INC 001642
1531	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001643
1532	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001644
1533	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001645
1534	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001646
1535	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001647
1536	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001648
1537	3/13/2015	ST_INC 001649
1538	3/14/2015	ST_INC 001650
1539	3/14/2015	ST_INC 001651
1540	3/14/2015	ST_INC 001652
1541	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001653
1542	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001654
1543	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001655
1544	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001656
1545	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001657
1546	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001658
1547	3/15/2015	ST_INC 001659
1548	3/16/2015	ST_INC 001660

1549	3/16/2015	ST_INC 001661
1550	3/16/2015	ST_INC 001662
1551	3/16/2015	ST_INC 001663
1552	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001664
1553	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001665
1554	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001666
1555	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001667
1556	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001668
1557	3/17/2015	ST_INC 001669
1558	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001670
1559	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001671
1560	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001672
1561	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001673
1562	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001674
1563	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001675
1564	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001676
1565	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001677
1566	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001678
1567	3/18/2015	ST_INC 001679
1568	3/19/2015	ST_INC 001680
1569	3/19/2015	ST_INC 001681
1570	3/19/2015	ST_INC 001682
1571	3/19/2015	ST_INC 001683
1572	3/19/2015	ST_INC 001684
1573	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001685
1574	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001686
1575	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001687
1576	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001688
1577	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001689
1578	3/20/2015	ST_INC 001690
1579	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001691
1580	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001692
1581	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001693
1582	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001694
1583	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001695
1584	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001696
1585	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001697
1586	3/21/2015	ST_INC 001698
1587	3/22/2015	ST_INC 001699
1588	3/22/2015	ST_INC 001700
1589	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001701
1590	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001702
1591	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001703

1592	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001704
1593	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001705
1594	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001706
1595	3/23/2015	ST_INC 001707
1596	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001708
1597	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001709
1598	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001710
1599	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001711
1600	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001712
1601	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001713
1602	3/24/2015	ST_INC 001714
1603	3/25/2015	ST_INC 001715
1604	3/25/2015	ST_INC 001716
1605	3/25/2015	ST_INC 001717
1606	3/25/2015	ST_INC 001718
1607	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001719
1608	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001720
1609	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001721
1610	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001722
1611	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001723
1612	3/26/2015	ST_INC 001724
1613	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001725
1614	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001726
1615	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001727
1616	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001728
1617	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001729
1618	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001730
1619	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001731
1620	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001732
1621	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001733
1622	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001734
1623	3/27/2015	ST_INC 001735
1624	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001736
1625	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001737
1626	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001738
1627	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001739
1628	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001740
1629	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001741
1630	3/28/2015	ST_INC 001742
1631	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001743
1632	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001744
1633	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001745
1634	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001746

1635	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001747
1636	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001748
1637	3/29/2015	ST_INC 001749
1638	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001750
1639	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001751
1640	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001752
1641	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001753
1642	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001754
1643	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001755
1644	3/30/2015	ST_INC 001756
1645	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001757
1646	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001758
1647	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001759
1648	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001760
1649	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001761
1650	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001762
1651	3/31/2015	ST_INC 001763
1652	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001764
1653	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001765
1654	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001766
1655	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001767
1656	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001768
1657	4/1/2015	ST_INC 001769
1658	4/3/2015	ST_INC 001770
1659	4/3/2015	ST_INC 001771
1660	4/4/2015	ST_INC 001772
1661	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001773
1662	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001774
1663	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001775
1664	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001776
1665	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001777
1666	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001778
1667	4/5/2015	ST_INC 001779
1668	4/6/2015	ST_INC 001780
1669	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001781
1670	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001782
1671	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001783
1672	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001784
1673	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001785
1674	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001786
1675	4/7/2015	ST_INC 001787
1676	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001788
1677	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001789

1678	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001790
1679	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001791
1680	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001792
1681	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001793
1682	4/8/2015	ST_INC 001794
1683	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001795
1684	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001796
1685	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001797
1686	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001798
1687	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001799
1688	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001800
1689	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001801
1690	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001802
1691	4/9/2015	ST_INC 001803
1692	4/10/2015	ST_INC 001804
1693	4/10/2015	ST_INC 001805
1694	4/10/2015	ST_INC 001806
1695	4/10/2015	ST_INC 001807
1696	4/10/2015	ST_INC 001808
1697	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001809
1698	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001810
1699	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001811
1700	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001812
1701	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001813
1702	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001814
1703	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001815
1704	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001816
1705	4/11/2015	ST_INC 001817
1706	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001818
1707	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001819
1708	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001820
1709	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001821
1710	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001822
1711	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001823
1712	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001824
1713	4/12/2015	ST_INC 001825
1714	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001826
1715	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001827
1716	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001828
1717	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001829
1718	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001830
1719	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001831
1720	4/13/2015	ST_INC 001832

1721	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001833
1722	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001834
1723	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001835
1724	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001836
1725	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001837
1726	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001838
1727	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001839
1728	4/14/2015	ST_INC 001840
1729	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001841
1730	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001842
1731	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001843
1732	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001844
1733	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001845
1734	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001846
1735	4/15/2015	ST_INC 001847
1736	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001848
1737	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001849
1738	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001850
1739	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001851
1740	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001852
1741	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001853
1742	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001854
1743	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001855
1744	4/16/2015	ST_INC 001856
1745	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001857
1746	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001858
1747	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001859
1748	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001860
1749	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001861
1750	4/17/2015	ST_INC 001862
1751	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001863
1752	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001864
1753	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001865
1754	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001866
1755	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001867
1756	4/18/2015	ST_INC 001868
1757	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001869
1758	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001870
1759	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001871
1760	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001872
1761	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001873
1762	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001874
1763	4/19/2015	ST_INC 001875

1764	4/20/2015	ST_INC 001876
1765	4/20/2015	ST_INC 001877
1766	4/20/2015	ST_INC 001878
1767	4/20/2015	ST_INC 001879
1768	4/20/2015	ST_INC 001880
1769	4/21/2015	ST_INC 001881
1770	4/21/2015	ST_INC 001882
1771	4/21/2015	ST_INC 001883
1772	4/21/2015	ST_INC 001884
1773	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001885
1774	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001886
1775	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001887
1776	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001888
1777	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001889
1778	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001890
1779	4/22/2015	ST_INC 001891
1780	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001892
1781	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001893
1782	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001894
1783	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001895
1784	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001896
1785	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001897
1786	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001898
1787	4/23/2015	ST_INC 001899
1788	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001900
1789	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001901
1790	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001902
1791	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001903
1792	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001904
1793	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001905
1794	4/24/2015	ST_INC 001906
1795	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001907
1796	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001908
1797	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001909
1798	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001910
1799	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001911
1800	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001912
1801	4/25/2015	ST_INC 001913
1802	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001914
1803	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001915
1804	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001916
1805	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001917
1806	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001918

1807	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001919
1808	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001920
1809	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001921
1810	4/26/2015	ST_INC 001922
1811	4/27/2015	ST_INC 001923
1812	4/27/2015	ST_INC 001924
1813	4/27/2015	ST_INC 001925
1814	4/27/2015	ST_INC 001926
1815	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001927
1816	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001928
1817	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001929
1818	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001930
1819	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001931
1820	4/28/2015	ST_INC 001932
1821	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001933
1822	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001934
1823	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001935
1824	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001936
1825	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001937
1826	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001938
1827	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001939
1828	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001940
1829	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001941
1830	4/29/2015	ST_INC 001942
1831	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001943
1832	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001944
1833	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001945
1834	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001946
1835	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001947
1836	4/30/2015	ST_INC 001948
1837	5/1/2015	ST_INC 001949
1838	5/1/2015	ST_INC 001950
1839	5/1/2015	ST_INC 001951
1840	5/1/2015	ST_INC 001952
1841	5/1/2015	ST_INC 001953
1842	5/2/2015	ST_INC 001954
1843	5/2/2015	ST_INC 001955
1844	5/2/2015	ST_INC 001956
1845	5/2/2015	ST_INC 001957
1846	5/3/2015	ST_INC 001958
1847	5/3/2015	ST_INC 001959
1848	5/3/2015	ST_INC 001960
1849	5/3/2015	ST_INC 001961

1850	5/4/2015	ST_INC 001962
1851	5/4/2015	ST_INC 001963
1852	5/4/2015	ST_INC 001964
1853	5/4/2015	ST_INC 001965
1854	5/4/2015	ST_INC 001966
1855	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001967
1856	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001968
1857	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001969
1858	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001970
1859	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001971
1860	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001972
1861	5/5/2015	ST_INC 001973
1862	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001974
1863	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001975
1864	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001976
1865	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001977
1866	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001978
1867	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001979
1868	5/6/2015	ST_INC 001980
1869	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001981
1870	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001982
1871	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001983
1872	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001984
1873	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001985
1874	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001986
1875	5/7/2015	ST_INC 001987
1876	5/8/2015	ST_INC 001988
1877	5/8/2015	ST_INC 001989
1878	5/8/2015	ST_INC 001990
1879	5/8/2015	ST_INC 001991
1880	5/8/2015	ST_INC 001992
1881	5/9/2015	ST_INC 001993
1882	5/9/2015	ST_INC 001994
1883	5/9/2015	ST_INC 001995
1884	5/9/2015	ST_INC 001996
1885	5/10/2015	ST_INC 001997
1886	5/10/2015	ST_INC 001998
1887	5/10/2015	ST_INC 001999
1888	5/10/2015	ST_INC 002000
1889	5/10/2015	ST_INC 002001
1890	5/10/2015	ST_INC 002002
1891	5/10/2015	ST_INC 002003
1892	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002004

1893	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002005
1894	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002006
1895	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002007
1896	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002008
1897	5/11/2015	ST_INC 002009
1898	5/12/2015	ST_INC 002010
1899	5/12/2015	ST_INC 002011
1900	5/12/2015	ST_INC 002012
1901	5/12/2015	ST_INC 002013
1902	5/12/2015	ST_INC 002014
1903	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002015
1904	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002016
1905	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002017
1906	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002018
1907	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002019
1908	5/13/2015	ST_INC 002020
1909	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002021
1910	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002022
1911	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002023
1912	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002024
1913	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002025
1914	5/14/2015	ST_INC 002026
1915	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002027
1916	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002028
1917	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002029
1918	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002030
1919	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002031
1920	5/15/2015	ST_INC 002032
1921	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002033
1922	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002034
1923	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002035
1924	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002036
1925	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002037
1926	5/16/2015	ST_INC 002038
1927	5/17/2015	ST_INC 002039
1928	5/17/2015	ST_INC 002040
1929	5/17/2015	ST_INC 002041
1930	5/17/2015	ST_INC 002042
1931	5/17/2015	ST_INC 002043
1932	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002044
1933	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002045
1934	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002046
1935	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002047

1936	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002048
1937	5/18/2015	ST_INC 002049
1938	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002050
1939	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002051
1940	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002052
1941	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002053
1942	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002054
1943	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002055
1944	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002056
1945	5/19/2015	ST_INC 002057
1946	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002058
1947	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002059
1948	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002060
1949	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002061
1950	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002062
1951	5/20/2015	ST_INC 002063
1952	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002064
1953	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002065
1954	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002066
1955	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002067
1956	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002068
1957	5/21/2015	ST_INC 002069
1958	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002070
1959	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002071
1960	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002072
1961	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002073
1962	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002074
1963	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002075
1964	5/22/2015	ST_INC 002076
1965	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002077
1966	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002078
1967	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002079
1968	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002080
1969	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002081
1970	5/23/2015	ST_INC 002082
1971	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002083
1972	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002084
1973	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002085
1974	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002086
1975	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002087
1976	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002088
1977	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002089
1978	5/24/2015	ST_INC 002090

1979	5/25/2015	ST_INC 002091
1980	5/26/2015	ST_INC 002092
1981	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002093
1982	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002094
1983	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002095
1984	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002096
1985	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002097
1986	5/27/2015	ST_INC 002098
1987	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002099
1988	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002100
1989	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002101
1990	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002102
1991	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002103
1992	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002104
1993	5/28/2015	ST_INC 002105
1994	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002106
1995	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002107
1996	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002108
1997	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002109
1998	5/9/2015	ST_INC 002110
1999	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002111
2000	5/29/2015	ST_INC 002112
2001	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002113
2002	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002114
2003	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002115
2004	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002116
2005	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002117
2006	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002118
2007	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002119
2008	5/30/2015	ST_INC 002120
2009	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002121
2010	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002122
2011	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002123
2012	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002124
2013	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002125
2014	5/31/2015	ST_INC 002126
2015	6/1/2015	ST_INC 002127
2016	6/1/2015	ST_INC 002128
2017	6/1/2015	ST_INC 002129
2018	6/1/2015	ST_INC 002130
2019	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002131
2020	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002132
2021	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002133

2022	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002134
2023	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002135
2024	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002136
2025	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002137
2026	6/2/2015	ST_INC 002138
2027	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002139
2028	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002140
2029	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002141
2030	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002142
2031	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002143
2032	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002144
2033	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002145
2034	6/3/2015	ST_INC 002146
2035	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002147
2036	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002148
2037	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002149
2038	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002150
2039	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002151
2040	6/4/2015	ST_INC 002152
2041	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002153
2042	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002154
2043	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002155
2044	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002156
2045	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002157
2046	6/5/2015	ST_INC 002158
2047	6/6/2015	ST_INC 002159
2048	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002160
2049	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002161
2050	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002162
2051	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002163
2052	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002164
2053	6/7/2015	ST_INC 002165
2054	6/8/2015	ST_INC 002166
2055	6/8/2015	ST_INC 002167
2056	6/8/2015	ST_INC 002168
2057	6/8/2015	ST_INC 002169
2058	6/8/2015	ST_INC 002170
2059	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002171
2060	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002172
2061	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002173
2062	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002174
2063	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002175
2064	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002176

2065	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002177
2066	6/9/2015	ST_INC 002178
2067	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002179
2068	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002180
2069	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002181
2070	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002182
2071	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002183
2072	6/10/2015	ST_INC 002184
2073	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002185
2074	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002186
2075	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002187
2076	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002188
2077	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002189
2078	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002190
2079	6/11/2015	ST_INC 002191
2080	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002192
2081	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002193
2082	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002194
2083	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002195
2084	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002196
2085	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002197
2086	6/12/2015	ST_INC 002198
2087	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002199
2088	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002200
2089	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002201
2090	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002202
2091	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002203
2092	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002204
2093	6/13/2015	ST_INC 002205
2094	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002206
2095	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002207
2096	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002208
2097	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002209
2098	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002210
2099	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002211
2100	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002212
2101	6/14/2015	ST_INC 002213
2102	6/15/2015	ST_INC 002214
2103	6/15/2015	ST_INC 002215
2104	6/15/2015	ST_INC 002216
2105	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002217
2106	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002218
2107	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002219

2108	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002220
2109	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002221
2110	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002222
2111	6/16/2015	ST_INC 002223
2112	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002224
2113	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002225
2114	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002226
2115	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002227
2116	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002228
2117	6/17/2015	ST_INC 002229
2118	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002230
2119	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002231
2120	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002232
2121	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002233
2122	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002234
2123	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002235
2124	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002236
2125	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002237
2126	6/18/2015	ST_INC 002238
2127	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002239
2128	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002240
2129	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002241
2130	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002242
2131	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002243
2132	6/19/2015	ST_INC 002244
2133	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002245
2134	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002246
2135	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002247
2136	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002248
2137	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002249
2138	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002250
2139	6/20/2015	ST_INC 002251
2140	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002252
2141	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002253
2142	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002254
2143	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002255
2144	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002256
2145	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002257
2146	6/21/2015	ST_INC 002258
2147	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002259
2148	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002260
2149	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002261
2150	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002262

2151	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002263
2152	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002264
2153	6/22/2015	ST_INC 002265
2154	6/23/2015	ST_INC 002266
2155	6/23/2015	ST_INC 002267
2156	6/23/2015	ST_INC 002268
2157	6/23/2015	ST_INC 002269
2158	6/23/2015	ST_INC 002270
2159	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002271
2160	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002272
2161	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002273
2162	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002274
2163	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002275
2164	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002276
2165	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002277
2166	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002278
2167	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002279
2168	6/24/2015	ST_INC 002280
2169	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002281
2170	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002282
2171	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002283
2172	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002284
2173	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002285
2174	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002286
2175	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002287
2176	6/25/2015	ST_INC 002288
2177	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002289
2178	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002290
2179	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002291
2180	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002292
2181	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002293
2182	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002294
2183	6/26/2015	ST_INC 002295
2184	6/27/2015	ST_INC 002296
2185	6/27/2015	ST_INC 002297
2186	6/27/2015	ST_INC 002298
2187	6/27/2015	ST_INC 002299
2188	6/28/2015	ST_INC 002300
2189	6/28/2015	ST_INC 002301
2190	6/28/2015	ST_INC 002302
2191	6/28/2015	ST_INC 002303
2192	6/29/2015	ST_INC 002304
2193	6/29/2015	ST_INC 002305

2194	6/30/2015	ST_INC 002306
2195	6/30/2015	ST_INC 002307
2196	6/30/2015	ST_INC 002308
2197	7/1/2015	ST_INC 002309
2198	7/1/2015	ST_INC 002310
2199	7/2/2015	ST_INC 002311
2200	7/2/2015	ST_INC 002312
2201	7/4/2015	ST_INC 002313
2202	7/5/2015	ST_INC 002314
2203	7/5/2015	ST_INC 002315
2204	7/5/2015	ST_INC 002316
2205	7/6/2015	ST_INC 002317
2206	7/6/2015	ST_INC 002318
2207	7/6/2015	ST_INC 002319
2208	7/6/2015	ST_INC 002320
2209	7/6/2015	ST_INC 002321
2210	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002322
2211	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002323
2212	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002324
2213	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002325
2214	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002326
2215	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002327
2216	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002328
2217	7/7/2015	ST_INC 002329
2218	7/8/2015	ST_INC 002330
2219	7/8/2015	ST_INC 002331
2220	7/8/2015	ST_INC 002332
2221	7/8/2015	ST_INC 002333
2222	7/9/2015	ST_INC 002334
2223	7/9/2015	ST_INC 002335
2224	7/9/2015	ST_INC 002336
2225	7/9/2015	ST_INC 002337
2226	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002338
2227	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002339
2228	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002340
2229	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002341
2230	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002342
2231	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002343
2232	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002344
2233	7/10/2015	ST_INC 002345
2234	7/11/2015	ST_INC 002346
2235	7/11/2015	ST_INC 002347
2236	7/11/2015	ST_INC 002348

2237	7/11/2015	ST_INC 002349
2238	7/11/2015	ST_INC 002350
2239	7/12/2015	ST_INC 002351
2240	7/12/2015	ST_INC 002352
2241	7/12/2015	ST_INC 002353
2242	7/12/2015	ST_INC 002354
2243	7/12/2015	ST_INC 002355
2244	7/13/2015	ST_INC 002356
2245	7/13/2015	ST_INC 002357
2246	7/13/2015	ST_INC 002358
2247	7/13/2015	ST_INC 002359
2248	7/13/2015	ST_INC 002360
2249	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002361
2250	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002362
2251	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002363
2252	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002364
2253	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002365
2254	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002366
2255	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002367
2256	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002368
2257	7/14/2015	ST_INC 002369
2258	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002370
2259	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002371
2260	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002372
2261	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002373
2262	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002374
2263	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002375
2264	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002376
2265	7/15/2015	ST_INC 002377
2266	7/16/2015	ST_INC 002378
2267	7/16/2015	ST_INC 002379
2268	7/16/2015	ST_INC 002380
2269	7/16/2015	ST_INC 002381
2270	7/16/2015	ST_INC 002382
2271	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002383
2272	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002384
2273	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002385
2274	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002386
2275	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002387
2276	7/17/2015	ST_INC 002388
2277	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002389
2278	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002390
2279	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002391

2280	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002392
2281	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002393
2282	7/18/2015	ST_INC 002394
2283	7/19/2015	ST_INC 002395
2284	7/19/2015	ST_INC 002396
2285	7/19/2015	ST_INC 002397
2286	7/19/2015	ST_INC 002398
2287	7/19/2015	ST_INC 002399
2288	7/20/2015	ST_INC 002400
2289	7/20/2015	ST_INC 002401
2290	7/20/2015	ST_INC 002402
2291	7/20/2015	ST_INC 002403
2292	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002404
2293	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002405
2294	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002406
2295	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002407
2296	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002408
2297	7/21/2015	ST_INC 002409
2298	7/22/2015	ST_INC 002410
2299	7/22/2015	ST_INC 002411
2300	7/22/2015	ST_INC 002412
2301	7/22/2015	ST_INC 002413
2302	7/22/2015	ST_INC 002414
2303	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002415
2304	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002416
2305	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002417
2306	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002418
2307	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002419
2308	7/23/2015	ST_INC 002420
2309	7/24/2015	ST_INC 002421
2310	7/24/2015	ST_INC 002422
2311	7/24/2015	ST_INC 002423
2312	7/24/2015	ST_INC 002424
2313	7/24/2015	ST_INC 002425
2314	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002426
2315	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002427
2316	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002428
2317	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002429
2318	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002430
2319	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002431
2320	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002432
2321	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002433
2322	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002434

2323	7/25/2015	ST_INC 002435
2324	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002436
2325	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002437
2326	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002438
2327	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002439
2328	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002440
2329	7/26/2015	ST_INC 002441
2330	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002442
2331	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002443
2332	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002444
2333	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002445
2334	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002446
2335	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002447
2336	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002448
2337	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002449
2338	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002450
2339	7/27/2015	ST_INC 002451
2340	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002452
2341	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002453
2342	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002454
2343	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002455
2344	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002456
2345	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002457
2346	7/29/2015	ST_INC 002458
2347	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002459
2348	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002460
2349	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002461
2350	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002462
2351	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002463
2352	7/30/2015	ST_INC 002464
2353	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002465
2354	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002466
2355	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002467
2356	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002468
2357	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002469
2358	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002470
2359	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002471
2360	7/31/2015	ST_INC 002472
2361	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002473
2362	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002474
2363	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002475
2364	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002476
2365	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002477

2366	8/1/2015	ST_INC 002478
2367	8/2/2015	ST_INC 002479
2368	8/2/2015	ST_INC 002480
2369	8/2/2015	ST_INC 002481
2370	8/3/2015	ST_INC 002482
2371	8/3/2015	ST_INC 002483
2372	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002484
2373	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002485
2374	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002486
2375	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002487
2376	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002488
2377	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002489
2378	8/5/2015	ST_INC 002490
2379	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002491
2380	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002492
2381	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002493
2382	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002494
2383	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002495
2384	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002496
2385	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002497
2386	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002498
2387	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002499
2388	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002500
2389	8/6/2015	ST_INC 002501
2390	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002502
2391	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002503
2392	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002504
2393	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002505
2394	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002506
2395	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002507
2396	8/11/2015	ST_INC 002508
2397	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002509
2398	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002510
2399	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002511
2400	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002512
2401	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002513
2402	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002514
2403	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002515
2404	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002516
2405	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002517
2406	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002518
2407	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002519
2408	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002520

2409	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002521
2410	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002522
2411	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002523
2412	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002524
2413	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002525
2414	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002526
2415	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002527
2416	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002528
2417	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002529
2418	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002530
2419	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002531
2420	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002532
2421	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002533
2422	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002534
2423	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002535
2424	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002536
2425	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002537
2426	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002538
2427	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002539
2428	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002540
2429	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002541
2430	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002542
2431	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002543
2432	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002544
2433	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002545
2434	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002546
2435	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002547
2436	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002548
2437	00/00/0000	ST_INC 002552
2438	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002549
2439	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002550
2440	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002551
2441	8/12/2015	ST_INC 002553
2442	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002554
2443	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002555
2444	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002556
2445	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002557
2446	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002558
2447	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002559
2448	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002560
2449	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002561
2450	8/13/2015	ST_INC 002562
2451	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002563

2452	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002564
2453	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002565
2454	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002566
2455	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002567
2456	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002568
2457	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002569
2458	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002570
2459	8/14/2015	ST_INC 002571
2460	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002572
2461	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002573
2462	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002574
2463	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002575
2464	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002576
2465	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002577
2466	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002578
2467	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002579
2468	8/15/2015	ST_INC 002580
2469	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002581
2470	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002582
2471	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002583
2472	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002584
2473	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002585
2474	8/16/2015	ST_INC 002586
2475	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002587
2476	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002588
2477	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002589
2478	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002590
2479	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002591
2480	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002592
2481	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002593
2482	8/17/2015	ST_INC 002594
2483	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002595
2484	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002596
2485	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002597
2486	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002598
2487	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002599
2488	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002600
2489	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002601
2490	8/18/2015	ST_INC 002602
2491	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002603
2492	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002604
2493	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002605
2494	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002606

2495	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002607
2496	8/19/2015	ST_INC 002608
2497	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002609
2498	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002610
2499	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002611
2500	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002612
2501	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002613
2502	8/20/2015	ST_INC 002614
2503	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002615
2504	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002616
2505	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002617
2506	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002618
2507	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002619
2508	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002620
2509	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002621
2510	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002622
2511	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002623
2512	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002624
2513	8/21/2015	ST_INC 002625
2514	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002626
2515	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002627
2516	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002628
2517	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002629
2518	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002630
2519	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002631
2520	8/22/2015	ST_INC 002632
2521	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002633
2522	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002634
2523	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002635
2524	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002636
2525	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002637
2526	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002638
2527	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002639
2528	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002640
2529	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002641
2530	8/23/2015	ST_INC 002642
2531	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002643
2532	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002644
2533	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002645
2534	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002646
2535	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002647
2536	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002648
2537	8/24/2015	ST_INC 002649

2538	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002650
2539	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002651
2540	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002652
2541	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002653
2542	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002654
2543	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002655
2544	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002656
2545	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002657
2546	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002658
2547	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002659
2548	8/25/2015	ST_INC 002660
2549	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002661
2550	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002662
2551	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002663
2552	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002664
2553	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002665
2554	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002666
2555	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002667
2556	8/26/2015	ST_INC 002668
2557	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002669
2558	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002670
2559	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002671
2560	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002672
2561	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002673
2562	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002674
2563	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002675
2564	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002676
2565	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002677
2566	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002678
2567	8/27/2015	ST_INC 002679
2568	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002680
2569	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002681
2570	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002682
2571	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002683
2572	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002684
2573	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002685
2574	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002686
2575	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002687
2576	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002688
2577	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002689
2578	8/28/2015	ST_INC 002690
2579	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002691
2580	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002692

2581	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002693
2582	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002694
2583	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002695
2584	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002696
2585	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002697
2586	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002698
2587	8/29/2015	ST_INC 002699
2588	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002700
2589	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002701
2590	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002702
2591	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002703
2592	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002704
2593	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002705
2594	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002706
2595	8/30/2015	ST_INC 002707
2596	8/31/2015	ST_INC 002708
2597	8/31/2015	ST_INC 002709
2598	8/31/2015	ST_INC 002710
2599	8/31/2015	ST_INC 002711
2600	8/31/2015	ST_INC 002712
2601	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002713
2602	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002714
2603	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002715
2604	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002716
2605	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002717
2606	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002718
2607	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002719
2608	9/1/2015	ST_INC 002720
2609	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002721
2610	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002722
2611	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002723
2612	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002724
2613	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002725
2614	9/2/2015	ST_INC 002726
2615	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002727
2616	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002728
2617	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002729
2618	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002730
2619	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002731
2620	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002732
2621	9/3/2015	ST_INC 002733
2622	9/4/2015	ST_INC 002734
2623	9/4/2015	ST_INC 002735

2624	9/4/2015	ST_INC 002736
2625	9/4/2015	ST_INC 002737
2626	9/4/2015	ST_INC 002738
2627	9/5/2015	ST_INC 002739
2628	9/5/2015	ST_INC 002740
2629	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002741
2630	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002742
2631	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002743
2632	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002744
2633	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002745
2634	9/6/2015	ST_INC 002746
2635	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002747
2636	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002748
2637	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002749
2638	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002750
2639	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002751
2640	9/7/2015	ST_INC 002752
2641	9/8/2015	ST_INC 002753
2642	9/9/2015	ST_INC 002754
2643	9/9/2015	ST_INC 002755
2644	9/9/2015	ST_INC 002756
2645	9/9/2015	ST_INC 002757
2646	9/9/2015	ST_INC 002758
2647	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002759
2648	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002760
2649	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002761
2650	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002762
2651	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002763
2652	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002764
2653	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002765
2654	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002766
2655	9/10/2015	ST_INC 002767
2656	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002768
2657	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002769
2658	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002770
2659	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002771
2660	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002772
2661	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002773
2662	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002774
2663	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002775
2664	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002776
2665	9/11/2015	ST_INC 002777
2666	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002778

2667	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002779
2668	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002780
2669	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002781
2670	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002782
2671	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002783
2672	9/12/2015	ST_INC 002784
2673	9/13/2015	ST_INC 002785
2674	9/13/2015	ST_INC 002786
2675	9/13/2015	ST_INC 002787
2676	9/13/2015	ST_INC 002788
2677	9/13/2015	ST_INC 002789
2678	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002790
2679	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002791
2680	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002792
2681	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002793
2682	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002794
2683	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002795
2684	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002796
2685	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002797
2686	9/14/2015	ST_INC 002798
2687	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002799
2688	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002800
2689	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002801
2690	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002802
2691	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002803
2692	9/15/2015	ST_INC 002804
2693	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002805
2694	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002806
2695	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002807
2696	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002808
2697	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002809
2698	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002810
2699	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002811
2700	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002812
2701	9/16/2015	ST_INC 002813
2702	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002814
2703	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002815
2704	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002816
2705	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002817
2706	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002818
2707	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002819
2708	9/17/2015	ST_INC 002820
2709	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002821

2710	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002822
2711	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002823
2712	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002824
2713	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002825
2714	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002826
2715	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002827
2716	9/18/2015	ST_INC 002828
2717	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002829
2718	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002830
2719	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002831
2720	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002832
2721	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002833
2722	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002834
2723	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002835
2724	9/19/2015	ST_INC 002836
2725	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002837
2726	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002838
2727	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002839
2728	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002840
2729	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002841
2730	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002842
2731	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002843
2732	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002844
2733	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002845
2734	9/20/2015	ST_INC 002846
2735	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002847
2736	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002848
2737	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002849
2738	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002850
2739	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002851
2740	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002852
2741	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002853
2742	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002854
2743	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002855
2744	9/21/2015	ST_INC 002856
2745	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002857
2746	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002858
2747	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002859
2748	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002860
2749	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002861
2750	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002862
2751	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002863
2752	9/22/2015	ST_INC 002864

2753	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002865
2754	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002866
2755	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002867
2756	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002868
2757	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002869
2758	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002870
2759	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002871
2760	9/23/2015	ST_INC 002872
2761	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002873
2762	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002874
2763	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002875
2764	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002876
2765	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002877
2766	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002878
2767	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002879
2768	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002880
2769	9/24/2015	ST_INC 002881
2770	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002882
2771	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002883
2772	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002884
2773	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002885
2774	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002886
2775	9/25/2015	ST_INC 002887
2776	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002888
2777	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002889
2778	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002890
2779	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002891
2780	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002892
2781	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002893
2782	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002894
2783	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002895
2784	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002896
2785	9/26/2015	ST_INC 002897
2786	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002898
2787	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002899
2788	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002900
2789	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002901
2790	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002902
2791	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002903
2792	9/27/2015	ST_INC 002904
2793	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002905
2794	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002906
2795	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002907

2796	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002908
2797	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002909
2798	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002910
2799	9/28/2015	ST_INC 002911
2800	9/29/2015	ST_INC 002912
2801	9/29/2015	ST_INC 002913
2802	9/29/2015	ST_INC 002914
2803	9/29/2015	ST_INC 002915
2804	9/29/2015	ST_INC 002916
2805	9/30/2015	ST_INC 002917
2806	9/30/2015	ST_INC 002918
2807	9/30/2015	ST_INC 002919
2808	9/30/2015	ST_INC 002920
2809	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002921
2810	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002922
2811	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002923
2812	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002924
2813	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002925
2814	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002926
2815	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002927
2816	10/1/2015	ST_INC 002928
2817	10/2/2015	ST_INC 002929
2818	10/2/2015	ST_INC 002930
2819	10/2/2015	ST_INC 002931
2820	10/2/2015	ST_INC 002932
2821	10/2/2015	ST_INC 002933
2822	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002934
2823	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002935
2824	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002936
2825	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002937
2826	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002938
2827	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002939
2828	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002940
2829	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002941
2830	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002942
2831	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002943
2832	10/4/2015	ST_INC 002944
2833	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002945
2834	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002946
2835	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002947
2836	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002948
2837	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002949
2838	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002950

2839	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002951
2840	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002952
2841	10/5/2015	ST_INC 002953
2842	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002954
2843	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002955
2844	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002956
2845	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002957
2846	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002958
2847	10/6/2015	ST_INC 002959
2848	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002960
2849	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002961
2850	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002962
2851	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002963
2852	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002964
2853	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002965
2854	10/7/2015	ST_INC 002966
2855	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002967
2856	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002968
2857	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002969
2858	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002970
2859	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002971
2860	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002972
2861	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002973
2862	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002974
2863	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002975
2864	10/8/2015	ST_INC 002976
2865	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002977
2866	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002978
2867	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002979
2868	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002980
2869	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002981
2870	10/9/2015	ST_INC 002982
2871	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002983
2872	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002984
2873	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002985
2874	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002986
2875	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002987
2876	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002988
2877	10/10/2015	ST_INC 002989
2878	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002990
2879	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002991
2880	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002992
2881	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002993

2882	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002994
2883	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002995
2884	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002996
2885	10/11/2015	ST_INC 002997
2886	12/11/2015	ST_INC 002998
2887	10/12/2015	ST_INC 002999
2888	10/12/2015	ST_INC 003000
2889	10/12/2015	ST_INC 003001
2890	10/12/2015	ST_INC 003002
2891	10/12/2015	ST_INC 003003
2892	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003004
2893	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003005
2894	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003006
2895	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003007
2896	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003008
2897	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003009
2898	10/13/2015	ST_INC 003010
2899	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003011
2900	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003012
2901	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003013
2902	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003014
2903	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003015
2904	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003016
2905	10/14/2015	ST_INC 003017
2906	10/15/2015	ST_INC 003018
2907	10/15/2015	ST_INC 003019
2908	10/15/2015	ST_INC 003020
2909	10/15/2015	ST_INC 003021
2910	10/15/2015	ST_INC 003022
2911	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003023
2912	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003024
2913	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003025
2914	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003026
2915	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003027
2916	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003028
2917	10/16/2015	ST_INC 003029
2918	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003030
2919	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003031
2920	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003032
2921	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003033
2922	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003034
2923	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003035
2924	10/17/2015	ST_INC 003036

2925	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003037
2926	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003038
2927	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003039
2928	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003040
2929	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003041
2930	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003042
2931	10/18/2015	ST_INC 003043
2932	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003044
2933	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003045
2934	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003046
2935	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003047
2936	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003048
2937	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003049
2938	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003050
2939	10/19/2015	ST_INC 003051
2940	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003052
2941	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003053
2942	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003054
2943	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003055
2944	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003056
2945	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003057
2946	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003058
2947	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003059
2948	10/20/2015	ST_INC 003060
2949	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003061
2950	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003062
2951	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003063
2952	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003064
2953	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003065
2954	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003066
2955	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003067
2956	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003068
2957	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003069
2958	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003070
2959	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003071
2960	10/21/2015	ST_INC 003072
2961	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003073
2962	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003074
2963	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003075
2964	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003076
2965	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003077
2966	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003078
2967	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003079

2968	10/22/2015	ST_INC 003080
2969	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003081
2970	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003082
2971	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003083
2972	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003084
2973	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003085
2974	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003086
2975	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003087
2976	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003088
2977	10/23/2015	ST_INC 003089
2978	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003090
2979	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003091
2980	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003092
2981	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003093
2982	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003094
2983	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003095
2984	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003096
2985	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003097
2986	10/24/2015	ST_INC 003098
2987	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003099
2988	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003100
2989	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003101
2990	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003102
2991	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003103
2992	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003104
2993	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003105
2994	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003106
2995	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003107
2996	10/25/2015	ST_INC 003108
2997	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003109
2998	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003110
2999	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003111
3000	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003112
3001	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003113
3002	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003114
3003	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003115
3004	10/26/2015	ST_INC 003116
3005	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003117
3006	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003118
3007	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003119
3008	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003120
3009	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003121
3010	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003122

3011	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003123
3012	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003124
3013	10/27/2015	ST_INC 003125
3014	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003126
3015	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003127
3016	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003128
3017	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003129
3018	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003130
3019	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003131
3020	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003132
3021	10/28/2015	ST_INC 003133
3022	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003134
3023	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003135
3024	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003136
3025	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003137
3026	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003138
3027	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003139
3028	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003140
3029	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003141
3030	10/29/2015	ST_INC 003142
3031	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003143
3032	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003144
3033	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003145
3034	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003146
3035	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003147
3036	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003148
3037	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003149
3038	10/30/2015	ST_INC 003150
3039	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003151
3040	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003152
3041	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003153
3042	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003154
3043	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003155
3044	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003156
3045	10/31/2015	ST_INC 003157
3046	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003158
3047	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003159
3048	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003160
3049	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003161
3050	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003162
3051	11/1/2015	ST_INC 003163
3052	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003164
3053	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003165

3054	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003166
3055	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003167
3056	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003168
3057	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003169
3058	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003170
3059	11/2/2015	ST_INC 003171
3060	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003172
3061	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003173
3062	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003174
3063	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003175
3064	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003176
3065	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003177
3066	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003178
3067	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003179
3068	11/3/2015	ST_INC 003180
3069	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003181
3070	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003182
3071	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003183
3072	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003184
3073	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003185
3074	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003186
3075	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003187
3076	11/4/2015	ST_INC 003188
3077	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003189
3078	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003190
3079	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003191
3080	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003192
3081	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003193
3082	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003194
3083	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003195
3084	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003196
3085	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003197
3086	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003198
3087	11/5/2015	ST_INC 003199
3088	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003200
3089	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003201
3090	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003202
3091	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003203
3092	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003204
3093	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003205
3094	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003206
3095	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003207
3096	11/6/2015	ST_INC 003208

3097	11/7/2015	ST_INC 003209
3098	11/7/2015	ST_INC 003210
3099	11/7/2015	ST_INC 003211
3100	11/7/2015	ST_INC 003212
3101	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003213
3102	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003214
3103	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003215
3104	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003216
3105	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003217
3106	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003218
3107	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003219
3108	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003220
3109	11/8/2015	ST_INC 003221
3110	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003222
3111	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003223
3112	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003224
3113	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003225
3114	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003226
3115	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003227
3116	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003228
3117	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003229
3118	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003230
3119	11/9/2015	ST_INC 003231
3120	11/10/2015	ST_INC 003232
3121	11/10/2015	ST_INC 003233
3122	11/10/2015	ST_INC 003234
3123	11/10/2015	ST_INC 003235
3124	11/10/2015	ST_INC 003236
3125	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003237
3126	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003238
3127	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003239
3128	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003240
3129	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003241
3130	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003242
3131	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003243
3132	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003244
3133	11/11/2015	ST_INC 003245
3134	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003246
3135	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003247
3136	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003248
3137	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003249
3138	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003250
3139	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003251

3140	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003252
3141	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003253
3142	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003254
3143	11/12/2015	ST_INC 003255
3144	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003256
3145	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003257
3146	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003258
3147	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003259
3148	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003260
3149	11/13/2015	ST_INC 003261
3150	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003262
3151	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003263
3152	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003264
3153	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003265
3154	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003266
3155	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003267
3156	11/14/2015	ST_INC 003268
3157	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003269
3158	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003270
3159	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003271
3160	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003272
3161	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003273
3162	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003274
3163	11/15/2015	ST_INC 003275
3164	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003276
3165	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003277
3166	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003278
3167	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003279
3168	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003280
3169	11/16/2015	ST_INC 003281
3170	11/17/2015	ST_INC 003282
3171	11/17/2015	ST_INC 003283
3172	11/17/2015	ST_INC 003284
3173	11/17/2015	ST_INC 003285
3174	11/17/2015	ST_INC 003286
3175	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003287
3176	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003288
3177	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003289
3178	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003290
3179	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003291
3180	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003292
3181	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003293
3182	11/18/2015	ST_INC 003294

3183	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003295
3184	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003296
3185	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003297
3186	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003298
3187	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003299
3188	11/19/2015	ST_INC 003300
3189	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003301
3190	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003302
3191	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003303
3192	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003304
3193	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003305
3194	11/20/2015	ST_INC 003306
3195	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003307
3196	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003308
3197	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003309
3198	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003310
3199	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003311
3200	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003312
3201	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003313
3202	11/21/2015	ST_INC 003314
3203	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003315
3204	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003316
3205	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003317
3206	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003318
3207	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003319
3208	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003320
3209	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003321
3210	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003322
3211	11/22/2015	ST_INC 003323
3212	11/23/2015	ST_INC 003324
3213	11/23/2015	ST_INC 003325
3214	11/23/2015	ST_INC 003326
3215	11/23/2015	ST_INC 003327
3216	11/24/2015	ST_INC 003328
3217	11/24/2015	ST_INC 003329
3218	11/24/2015	ST_INC 003330
3219	11/24/2015	ST_INC 003331
3220	11/24/2015	ST_INC 003332
3221	11/25/2015	ST_INC 003333
3222	11/25/2015	ST_INC 003334
3223	11/25/2015	ST_INC 003335
3224	11/25/2015	ST_INC 003336
3225	11/25/2015	ST_INC 003337

3226	11/26/2015	ST_INC 003338
3227	11/26/2015	ST_INC 003339
3228	11/26/2015	ST_INC 003340
3229	11/26/2015	ST_INC 003341
3230	11/27/2015	ST_INC 003342
3231	11/28/2015	ST_INC 003343
3232	11/28/2015	ST_INC 003344
3233	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003345
3234	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003346
3235	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003347
3236	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003348
3237	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003349
3238	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003350
3239	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003351
3240	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003352
3241	11/29/2015	ST_INC 003353
3242	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003354
3243	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003355
3244	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003356
3245	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003357
3246	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003358
3247	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003359
3248	11/30/2015	ST_INC 003360
3249	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003361
3250	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003362
3251	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003363
3252	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003364
3253	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003365
3254	12/1/2015	ST_INC 003366
3255	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003367
3256	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003368
3257	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003369
3258	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003370
3259	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003371
3260	12/2/2015	ST_INC 003372
3261	12/3/2015	ST_INC 003373
3262	12/3/2015	ST_INC 003374
3263	12/3/2015	ST_INC 003375
3264	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003376
3265	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003377
3266	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003378
3267	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003379
3268	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003380

3269	12/4/2015	ST_INC 003381
3270	12/5/2015	ST_INC 003382
3271	12/5/2015	ST_INC 003383
3272	12/5/2015	ST_INC 003384
3273	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003385
3274	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003386
3275	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003387
3276	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003388
3277	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003389
3278	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003390
3279	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003391
3280	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003392
3281	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003393
3282	12/6/2015	ST_INC 003394
3283	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003395
3284	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003396
3285	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003397
3286	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003398
3287	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003399
3288	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003400
3289	12/7/2015	ST_INC 003401
3290	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003402
3291	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003403
3292	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003404
3293	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003405
3294	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003406
3295	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003407
3296	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003408
3297	12/8/2015	ST_INC 003409
3298	12/9/2015	ST_INC 003410
3299	12/9/2015	ST_INC 003411
3300	12/9/2015	ST_INC 003412
3301	12/9/2015	ST_INC 003413
3302	12/9/2015	ST_INC 003414
3303	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003415
3304	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003416
3305	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003417
3306	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003418
3307	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003419
3308	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003420
3309	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003421
3310	12/10/2015	ST_INC 003422
3311	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003423

3312	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003424
3313	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003425
3314	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003426
3315	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003427
3316	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003428
3317	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003429
3318	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003430
3319	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003431
3320	12/11/2015	ST_INC 003432
3321	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003433
3322	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003434
3323	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003435
3324	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003436
3325	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003437
3326	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003438
3327	12/12/2015	ST_INC 003439
3328	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003440
3329	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003441
3330	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003442
3331	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003443
3332	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003444
3333	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003445
3334	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003446
3335	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003447
3336	12/13/2015	ST_INC 003448
3337	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003449
3338	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003450
3339	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003451
3340	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003452
3341	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003453
3342	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003454
3343	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003455
3344	12/14/2015	ST_INC 003456
3345	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003457
3346	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003458
3347	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003459
3348	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003460
3349	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003461
3350	12/15/2015	ST_INC 003462
3351	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003463
3352	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003464
3353	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003465
3354	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003466

3355	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003467
3356	12/16/2015	ST_INC 003468
3357	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003469
3358	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003470
3359	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003471
3360	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003472
3361	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003473
3362	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003474
3363	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003475
3364	12/17/2015	ST_INC 003476
3365	12/18/2015	ST_INC 003477
3366	12/18/2015	ST_INC 003478
3367	12/18/2015	ST_INC 003479
3368	12/18/2015	ST_INC 003480
3369	12/18/2015	ST_INC 003481
3370	12/19/2015	ST_INC 003482
3371	12/19/2015	ST_INC 003483
3372	12/19/2015	ST_INC 003484
3373	12/19/2015	ST_INC 003485
3374	12/19/2015	ST_INC 003486
3375	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003487
3376	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003488
3377	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003489
3378	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003490
3379	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003491
3380	12/20/2015	ST_INC 003492
3381	12/21/2015	ST_INC 003493
3382	12/21/2015	ST_INC 003494
3383	12/21/2015	ST_INC 003495
3384	12/21/2015	ST_INC 003496
3385	12/23/2015	ST_INC 003497
3386	12/29/2015	ST_INC 003498
3387	12/30/2015	ST_INC 003499
3388	12/30/2015	ST_INC 003500
3389	12/30/2015	ST_INC 003501
3390	12/30/2015	ST_INC 003502
3391	12/30/2015	ST_INC 003503
3392	12/31/2015	ST_INC 003504
3393	12/31/2015	ST_INC 003505
3394	12/31/2015	ST_INC 003506
3395	12/31/2015	ST_INC 003507
3396	1/1/2016	ST_INC 003508
3397	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003509

3398	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003510
3399	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003511
3400	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003512
3401	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003513
3402	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003514
3403	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003515
3404	1/3/2016	ST_INC 003516
3405	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003517
3406	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003518
3407	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003519
3408	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003520
3409	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003521
3410	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003522
3411	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003523
3412	1/4/2016	ST_INC 003524
3413	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003525
3414	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003526
3415	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003527
3416	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003528
3417	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003529
3418	1/5/2016	ST_INC 003530
3419	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003531
3420	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003532
3421	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003533
3422	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003534
3423	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003535
3424	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003536
3425	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003537
3426	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003538
3427	1/6/2016	ST_INC 003539
3428	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003540
3429	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003541
3430	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003542
3431	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003543
3432	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003544
3433	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003545
3434	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003546
3435	1/7/2016	ST_INC 003547
3436	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003548
3437	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003549
3438	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003550
3439	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003551
3440	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003552

3441	1/8/2016	ST_INC 003553
3442	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003554
3443	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003555
3444	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003556
3445	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003557
3446	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003558
3447	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003559
3448	1/9/2016	ST_INC 003560
3449	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003561
3450	1/10/2015	ST_INC 003562
3451	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003563
3452	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003564
3453	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003565
3454	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003566
3455	1/10/2016	ST_INC 003567
3456	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003568
3457	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003569
3458	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003570
3459	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003571
3460	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003572
3461	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003573
3462	1/11/2016	ST_INC 003574
3463	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003575
3464	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003576
3465	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003577
3466	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003578
3467	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003579
3468	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003580
3469	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003581
3470	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003582
3471	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003583
3472	1/12/2016	ST_INC 003584
3473	1/13/2016	ST_INC 003585
3474	1/13/2016	ST_INC 003586
3475	1/13/2016	ST_INC 003587
3476	1/13/2016	ST_INC 003588
3477	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003589
3478	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003590
3479	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003591
3480	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003592
3481	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003593
3482	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003594
3483	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003595

3484	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003596
3485	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003597
3486	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003598
3487	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003599
3488	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003600
3489	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003601
3490	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003602
3491	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003603
3492	1/16/2016	ST_INC 003604
3493	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003605
3494	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003606
3495	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003607
3496	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003608
3497	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003609
3498	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003610
3499	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003611
3500	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003612
3501	1/17/2016	ST_INC 003613
3502	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003614
3503	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003615
3504	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003616
3505	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003617
3506	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003618
3507	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003619
3508	1/18/2016	ST_INC 003620
3509	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003621
3510	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003622
3511	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003623
3512	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003624
3513	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003625
3514	1/19/2016	ST_INC 003626
3515	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003627
3516	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003628
3517	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003629
3518	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003630
3519	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003631
3520	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003632
3521	1/20/2016	ST_INC 003633
3522	1/21/2016	ST_INC 003634
3523	1/21/2016	ST_INC 003635
3524	1/21/2016	ST_INC 003636
3525	1/21/2016	ST_INC 003637
3526	1/22/2016	ST_INC 003638

3527	1/22/2016	ST_INC 003639
3528	1/22/2016	ST_INC 003640
3529	1/22/2016	ST_INC 003641
3530	1/22/2016	ST_INC 003642
3531	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003643
3532	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003644
3533	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003645
3534	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003646
3535	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003647
3536	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003648
3537	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003649
3538	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003650
3539	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003651
3540	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003652
3541	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003653
3542	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003654
3543	1/24/2016	ST_INC 003655
3544	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003656
3545	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003657
3546	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003658
3547	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003659
3548	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003660
3549	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003661
3550	1/25/2016	ST_INC 003662
3551	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003663
3552	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003664
3553	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003665
3554	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003666
3555	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003667
3556	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003668
3557	1/26/2016	ST_INC 003669
3558	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003670
3559	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003671
3560	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003672
3561	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003673
3562	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003674
3563	1/27/2016	ST_INC 003675
3564	1/28/2016	ST_INC 003676
3565	1/28/2016	ST_INC 003677
3566	1/28/2016	ST_INC 003678
3567	1/28/2016	ST_INC 003679
3568	1/28/2016	ST_INC 003680
3569	1/29/2016	ST_INC 003681

3570	1/30/2016	ST_INC 003682
3571	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003683
3572	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003684
3573	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003685
3574	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003686
3575	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003687
3576	1/31/2016	ST_INC 003688
3577	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003689
3578	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003690
3579	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003691
3580	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003692
3581	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003693
3582	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003694
3583	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003695
3584	2/1/2016	ST_INC 003696
3585	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003697
3586	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003698
3587	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003699
3588	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003700
3589	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003701
3590	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003702
3591	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003703
3592	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003704
3593	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003705
3594	2/2/2016	ST_INC 003706
3595	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003707
3596	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003708
3597	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003709
3598	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003710
3599	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003711
3600	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003712
3601	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003713
3602	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003714
3603	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003715
3604	2/3/2016	ST_INC 003716
3605	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003717
3606	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003718
3607	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003719
3608	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003720
3609	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003721
3610	2/4/2016	ST_INC 003722
3611	2/5/2016	ST_INC 003723
3612	2/5/2016	ST_INC 003724

3613	2/5/2016	ST_INC 003725
3614	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003726
3615	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003727
3616	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003728
3617	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003729
3618	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003730
3619	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003731
3620	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003732
3621	2/6/2016	ST_INC 003733
3622	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003734
3623	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003735
3624	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003736
3625	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003737
3626	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003738
3627	2/7/2016	ST_INC 003739
3628	2/8/2016	ST_INC 003740
3629	2/8/2016	ST_INC 003741
3630	2/8/2016	ST_INC 003742
3631	2/8/2016	ST_INC 003743
3632	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003744
3633	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003745
3634	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003746
3635	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003747
3636	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003748
3637	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003749
3638	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003750
3639	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003751
3640	2/9/2016	ST_INC 003752
3641	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003753
3642	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003754
3643	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003755
3644	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003756
3645	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003757
3646	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003758
3647	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003759
3648	2/10/2016	ST_INC 003760
3649	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003761
3650	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003762
3651	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003763
3652	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003764
3653	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003765
3654	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003766
3655	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003767

3656	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003768
3657	2/11/2016	ST_INC 003769
3658	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003770
3659	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003771
3660	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003772
3661	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003773
3662	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003774
3663	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003775
3664	2/12/2016	ST_INC 003776
3665	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003777
3666	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003778
3667	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003779
3668	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003780
3669	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003781
3670	2/13/2016	ST_INC 003782
3671	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003783
3672	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003784
3673	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003785
3674	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003786
3675	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003787
3676	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003788
3677	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003789
3678	2/14/2016	ST_INC 003790
3679	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003791
3680	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003792
3681	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003793
3682	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003794
3683	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003795
3684	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003796
3685	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003797
3686	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003798
3687	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003799
3688	2/15/2016	ST_INC 003800
3689	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003801
3690	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003802
3691	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003803
3692	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003804
3693	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003805
3694	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003806
3695	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003807
3696	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003808
3697	2/16/2016	ST_INC 003809
3698	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003810

3699	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003811
3700	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003812
3701	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003813
3702	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003814
3703	2/17/2016	ST_INC 003815
3704	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003816
3705	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003817
3706	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003818
3707	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003819
3708	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003820
3709	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003821
3710	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003822
3711	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003823
3712	2/18/2016	ST_INC 003824
3713	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003825
3714	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003826
3715	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003827
3716	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003828
3717	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003829
3718	2/19/2016	ST_INC 003830
3719	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003831
3720	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003832
3721	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003833
3722	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003834
3723	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003835
3724	2/20/2016	ST_INC 003836
3725	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003837
3726	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003838
3727	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003839
3728	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003840
3729	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003841
3730	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003842
3731	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003843
3732	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003844
3733	2/21/2016	ST_INC 003845
3734	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003846
3735	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003847
3736	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003848
3737	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003849
3738	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003850
3739	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003851
3740	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003852
3741	2/22/2016	ST_INC 003853

3742	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003854
3743	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003855
3744	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003856
3745	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003857
3746	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003858
3747	2/23/2016	ST_INC 003859
3748	2/24/2016	ST_INC 003860
3749	2/24/2016	ST_INC 003861
3750	2/24/2016	ST_INC 003862
3751	2/24/2016	ST_INC 003863
3752	2/24/2016	ST_INC 003864
3753	2/27/2016	ST_INC 003865
3754	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003866
3755	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003867
3756	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003868
3757	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003869
3758	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003870
3759	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003871
3760	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003872
3761	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003873
3762	2/28/2016	ST_INC 003874
3763	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003875
3764	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003876
3765	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003877
3766	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003878
3767	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003879
3768	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003880
3769	2/29/2016	ST_INC 003881
3770	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003882
3771	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003883
3772	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003884
3773	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003885
3774	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003886
3775	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003887
3776	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003888
3777	3/1/2016	ST_INC 003889
3778	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003890
3779	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003891
3780	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003892
3781	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003893
3782	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003894
3783	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003895
3784	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003896

3785	3/2/2016	ST_INC 003897
3786	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003898
3787	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003899
3788	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003900
3789	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003901
3790	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003902
3791	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003903
3792	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003904
3793	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003905
3794	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003906
3795	3/3/2016	ST_INC 003907
3796	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003908
3797	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003909
3798	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003910
3799	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003911
3800	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003912
3801	3/4/2016	ST_INC 003913
3802	3/5/2016	ST_INC 003914
3803	3/5/2016	ST_INC 003915
3804	3/5/2016	ST_INC 003916
3805	3/5/2016	ST_INC 003917
3806	3/5/2016	ST_INC 003918
3807	3/6/2016	ST_INC 003919
3808	3/6/2016	ST_INC 003920
3809	3/6/2016	ST_INC 003921
3810	3/6/2016	ST_INC 003922
3811	3/6/2016	ST_INC 003923
3812	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003924
3813	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003925
3814	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003926
3815	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003927
3816	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003928
3817	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003929
3818	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003930
3819	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003931
3820	3/7/2016	ST_INC 003932
3821	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003933
3822	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003934
3823	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003935
3824	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003936
3825	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003937
3826	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003938
3827	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003939

3828	3/8/2016	ST_INC 003940
3829	3/9/2016	ST_INC 003941
3830	3/9/2016	ST_INC 003942
3831	3/9/2016	ST_INC 003943
3832	3/9/2016	ST_INC 003944
3833	3/9/2016	ST_INC 003945
3834	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003946
3835	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003947
3836	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003948
3837	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003949
3838	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003950
3839	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003951
3840	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003952
3841	3/10/2016	ST_INC 003953
3842	3/11/2016	ST_INC 003954
3843	3/11/2016	ST_INC 003955
3844	3/11/2016	ST_INC 003956
3845	3/11/2016	ST_INC 003957
3846	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003958
3847	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003959
3848	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003960
3849	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003961
3850	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003962
3851	3/12/2016	ST_INC 003963
3852	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003964
3853	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003965
3854	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003966
3855	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003967
3856	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003968
3857	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003969
3858	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003970
3859	3/13/2016	ST_INC 003971
3860	3/14/2016	ST_INC 003972
3861	3/14/2016	ST_INC 003973
3862	3/14/2016	ST_INC 003974
3863	3/14/2016	ST_INC 003975
3864	3/14/2016	ST_INC 003976
3865	3/15/2016	ST_INC 003977
3866	3/15/2016	ST_INC 003978
3867	3/15/2016	ST_INC 003979
3868	3/15/2016	ST_INC 003980
3869	3/15/2016	ST_INC 003981
3870	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003982

3871	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003983
3872	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003984
3873	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003985
3874	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003986
3875	3/16/2016	ST_INC 003987
3876	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003988
3877	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003989
3878	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003990
3879	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003991
3880	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003992
3881	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003993
3882	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003994
3883	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003995
3884	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003996
3885	3/17/2016	ST_INC 003997
3886	3/18/2016	ST_INC 003998
3887	3/18/2016	ST_INC 003999
3888	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004000
3889	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004001
3890	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004002
3891	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004003
3892	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004004
3893	3/19/2016	ST_INC 004005
3894	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004006
3895	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004007
3896	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004008
3897	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004009
3898	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004010
3899	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004011
3900	3/20/2016	ST_INC 004012
3901	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004013
3902	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004014
3903	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004015
3904	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004016
3905	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004017
3906	3/21/2016	ST_INC 004018
3907	3/22/2016	ST_INC 004019
3908	3/22/2016	ST_INC 004020
3909	3/22/2016	ST_INC 004021
3910	3/22/2016	ST_INC 004022
3911	3/22/2016	ST_INC 004023
3912	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004024
3913	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004025

3914	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004026
3915	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004027
3916	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004028
3917	3/23/2016	ST_INC 004029
3918	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004030
3919	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004031
3920	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004032
3921	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004033
3922	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004034
3923	3/24/2016	ST_INC 004035
3924	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004036
3925	3/25/2016	ST_INC 004037
3926	3/25/2016	ST_INC 004038
3927	3/25/2016	ST_INC 004039
3928	3/25/2016	ST_INC 004040
3929	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004041
3930	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004042
3931	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004043
3932	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004044
3933	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004045
3934	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004046
3935	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004047
3936	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004048
3937	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004049
3938	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004050
3939	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004051
3940	3/26/2016	ST_INC 004052
3941	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004053
3942	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004054
3943	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004055
3944	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004056
3945	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004057
3946	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004058
3947	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004059
3948	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004060
3949	3/30/2016	ST_INC 004061
3950	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004062
3951	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004063
3952	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004064
3953	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004065
3954	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004066
3955	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004067
3956	3/31/2016	ST_INC 004068

3957	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004069
3958	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004070
3959	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004071
3960	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004072
3961	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004073
3962	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004074
3963	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004075
3964	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004076
3965	4/1/2016	ST_INC 004077
3966	4/2/2016	ST_INC 004078
3967	4/2/2016	ST_INC 004079
3968	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004080
3969	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004081
3970	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004082
3971	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004083
3972	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004084
3973	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004085
3974	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004086
3975	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004087
3976	4/3/2016	ST_INC 004088
3977	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004089
3978	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004090
3979	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004091
3980	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004092
3981	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004093
3982	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004094
3983	4/4/2016	ST_INC 004095
3984	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004096
3985	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004097
3986	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004098
3987	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004099
3988	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004100
3989	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004101
3990	4/5/2016	ST_INC 004102
3991	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004103
3992	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004104
3993	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004105
3994	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004106
3995	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004107
3996	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004108
3997	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004109
3998	4/6/2016	ST_INC 004110
3999	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004111

4000	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004112
4001	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004113
4002	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004114
4003	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004115
4004	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004116
4005	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004117
4006	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004118
4007	4/7/2016	ST_INC 004119
4008	4/8/2016	ST_INC 004120
4009	4/8/2016	ST_INC 004121
4010	4/8/2016	ST_INC 004122
4011	4/8/2016	ST_INC 004123
4012	4/8/2016	ST_INC 004124
4013	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004125
4014	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004126
4015	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004127
4016	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004128
4017	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004129
4018	4/9/2016	ST_INC 004130
4019	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004131
4020	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004132
4021	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004133
4022	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004134
4023	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004135
4024	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004136
4025	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004137
4026	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004138
4027	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004139
4028	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004140
4029	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004141
4030	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004142
4031	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004143
4032	4/11/2016	ST_INC 004144
4033	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004145
4034	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004146
4035	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004147
4036	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004148
4037	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004149
4038	4/12/2016	ST_INC 004150
4039	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004151
4040	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004152
4041	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004153
4042	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004154

4043	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004155
4044	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004156
4045	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004157
4046	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004158
4047	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004159
4048	4/13/2016	ST_INC 004160
4049	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004161
4050	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004162
4051	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004163
4052	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004164
4053	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004165
4054	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004166
4055	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004167
4056	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004168
4057	4/14/2016	ST_INC 004169
4058	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004170
4059	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004171
4060	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004172
4061	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004173
4062	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004174
4063	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004175
4064	4/15/2016	ST_INC 004176
4065	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004177
4066	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004178
4067	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004179
4068	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004180
4069	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004181
4070	4/16/2016	ST_INC 004182
4071	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004183
4072	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004184
4073	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004185
4074	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004186
4075	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004187
4076	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004188
4077	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004189
4078	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004190
4079	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004191
4080	4/17/2016	ST_INC 004192
4081	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004193
4082	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004194
4083	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004195
4084	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004196
4085	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004197

4086	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004198
4087	4/18/2016	ST_INC 004199
4088	4/19/2016	ST_INC 004200
4089	4/19/2016	ST_INC 004201
4090	4/19/2016	ST_INC 004202
4091	4/19/2016	ST_INC 004203
4092	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004204
4093	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004205
4094	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004206
4095	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004207
4096	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004208
4097	4/20/2016	ST_INC 004209
4098	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004210
4099	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004211
4100	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004212
4101	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004213
4102	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004214
4103	4/21/2016	ST_INC 004215
4104	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004216
4105	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004217
4106	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004218
4107	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004219
4108	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004220
4109	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004221
4110	4/22/2016	ST_INC 004222
4111	4/23/2016	ST_INC 004223
4112	4/23/2016	ST_INC 004224
4113	4/23/2016	ST_INC 004225
4114	4/23/2016	ST_INC 004226
4115	4/24/2016	ST_INC 004227
4116	4/24/2016	ST_INC 004228
4117	4/24/2016	ST_INC 004229
4118	4/24/2016	ST_INC 004230
4119	4/24/2016	ST_INC 004231
4120	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004232
4121	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004233
4122	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004234
4123	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004235
4124	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004236
4125	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004237
4126	4/25/2016	ST_INC 004238
4127	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004239
4128	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004240

4129	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004241
4130	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004242
4131	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004243
4132	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004244
4133	4/26/2016	ST_INC 004245
4134	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004246
4135	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004247
4136	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004248
4137	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004249
4138	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004250
4139	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004251
4140	4/27/2016	ST_INC 004252
4141	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004253
4142	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004254
4143	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004255
4144	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004256
4145	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004257
4146	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004258
4147	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004259
4148	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004260
4149	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004261
4150	4/28/2016	ST_INC 004262
4151	4/29/2016	ST_INC 004263
4152	4/29/2016	ST_INC 004264
4153	4/30/2016	ST_INC 004265
4154	4/30/2016	ST_INC 004266
4155	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004267
4156	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004268
4157	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004269
4158	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004270
4159	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004271
4160	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004272
4161	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004273
4162	5/1/2016	ST_INC 004274
4163	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004275
4164	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004276
4165	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004277
4166	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004278
4167	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004279
4168	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004280
4169	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004281
4170	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004282
4171	5/2/2018	ST_INC 004283

4172	5/2/2018	ST_INC 004283
4173	5/2/2016	ST_INC 004284
4174	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004285
4175	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004286
4176	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004287
4177	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004288
4178	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004289
4179	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004290
4180	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004291
4181	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004292
4182	5/3/2016	ST_INC 004293
4183	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004294
4184	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004295
4185	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004296
4186	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004297
4187	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004298
4188	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004299
4189	5/4/2016	ST_INC 004300
4190	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004301
4191	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004302
4192	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004303
4193	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004304
4194	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004305
4195	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004306
4196	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004307
4197	5/5/2016	ST_INC 004308
4198	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004309
4199	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004310
4200	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004311
4201	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004312
4202	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004313
4203	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004314
4204	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004315
4205	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004316
4206	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004317
4207	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004318
4208	5/6/2016	ST_INC 004319
4209	5/7/2016	ST_INC 004320
4210	5/7/2016	ST_INC 004321
4211	5/7/2016	ST_INC 004322
4212	5/7/2016	ST_INC 004323
4213	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004324
4214	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004325

4215	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004326
4216	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004327
4217	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004328
4218	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004329
4219	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004330
4220	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004331
4221	5/8/2016	ST_INC 004332
4222	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004333
4223	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004334
4224	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004335
4225	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004336
4226	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004337
4227	5/9/2016	ST_INC 004338
4228	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004339
4229	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004340
4230	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004341
4231	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004342
4232	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004343
4233	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004344
4234	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004345
4235	5/10/2016	ST_INC 004346
4236	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004347
4237	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004348
4238	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004349
4239	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004350
4240	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004351
4241	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004352
4242	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004353
4243	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004354
4244	5/11/2016	ST_INC 004355
4245	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004356
4246	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004357
4247	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004358
4248	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004359
4249	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004360
4250	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004361
4251	5/12/2016	ST_INC 004362
4252	5/13/2016	ST_INC 004363
4253	5/13/2016	ST_INC 004364
4254	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004365
4255	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004366
4256	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004367
4257	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004368

4258	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004369
4259	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004370
4260	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004371
4261	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004372
4262	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004373
4263	5/14/2016	ST_INC 004374
4264	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004375
4265	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004376
4266	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004377
4267	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004378
4268	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004379
4269	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004380
4270	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004381
4271	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004382
4272	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004383
4273	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004384
4274	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004385
4275	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004386
4276	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004387
4277	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004388
4278	5/16/2016	ST_INC 004389
4279	5/17/2016	ST_INC 004390
4280	5/17/2016	ST_INC 004391
4281	5/17/2016	ST_INC 004392
4282	5/17/2016	ST_INC 004393
4283	5/18/2016	ST_INC 004394
4284	5/18/2016	ST_INC 004395
4285	5/18/2016	ST_INC 004396
4286	5/18/2016	ST_INC 004397
4287	5/18/2016	ST_INC 004398
4288	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004399
4289	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004400
4290	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004401
4291	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004402
4292	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004403
4293	5/19/2016	ST_INC 004404
4294	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004405
4295	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004406
4296	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004407
4297	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004408
4298	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004409
4299	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004410
4300	5/20/2016	ST_INC 004411

4301	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004412
4302	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004413
4303	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004414
4304	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004415
4305	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004416
4306	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004417
4307	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004418
4308	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004419
4309	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004420
4310	5/21/2016	ST_INC 004421
4311	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004422
4312	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004423
4313	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004424
4314	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004425
4315	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004426
4316	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004427
4317	5/22/2016	ST_INC 004428
4318	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004429
4319	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004430
4320	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004431
4321	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004432
4322	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004433
4323	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004434
4324	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004435
4325	5/23/2016	ST_INC 004436
4326	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004437
4327	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004438
4328	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004439
4329	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004440
4330	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004441
4331	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004442
4332	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004443
4333	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004444
4334	5/24/2016	ST_INC 004445
4335	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004446
4336	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004447
4337	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004448
4338	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004449
4339	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004450
4340	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004451
4341	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004452
4342	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004453
4343	5/25/2016	ST_INC 004454

4344	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004455
4345	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004456
4346	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004457
4347	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004458
4348	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004459
4349	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004460
4350	5/26/2016	ST_INC 004461
4351	5/27/2016	ST_INC 004462
4352	5/27/2016	ST_INC 004463
4353	5/28/2016	ST_INC 004464
4354	5/28/2016	ST_INC 004465
4355	5/28/2016	ST_INC 004466
4356	5/28/2016	ST_INC 004467
4357	5/28/2016	ST_INC 004468
4358	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004469
4359	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004470
4360	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004471
4361	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004472
4362	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004473
4363	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004474
4364	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004475
4365	5/29/2016	ST_INC 004476
4366	6/1/2016	ST_INC 004477
4367	6/1/2016	ST_INC 004478
4368	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004479
4369	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004480
4370	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004481
4371	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004482
4372	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004483
4373	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004484
4374	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004485
4375	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004486
4376	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004487
4377	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004488
4378	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004489
4379	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004490
4380	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004491
4381	6/3/2016	ST_INC 004492
4382	6/4/2016	ST_INC 004493
4383	6/4/2016	ST_INC 004494
4384	6/4/2016	ST_INC 004495
4385	6/5/2016	ST_INC 004496
4386	6/5/2016	ST_INC 004497

4387	6/6/2016	ST_INC 004498
4388	6/6/2016	ST_INC 004499
4389	6/7/2016	ST_INC 004500
4390	6/7/2016	ST_INC 004501
4391	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004502
4392	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004503
4393	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004504
4394	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004505
4395	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004506
4396	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004507
4397	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004508
4398	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004509
4399	6/8/2016	ST_INC 004510
4400	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004511
4401	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004512
4402	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004513
4403	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004514
4404	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004515
4405	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004516
4406	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004517
4407	6/9/2016	ST_INC 004518
4408	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004519
4409	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004520
4410	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004521
4411	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004522
4412	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004523
4413	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004524
4414	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004525
4415	6/10/2016	ST_INC 004526
4416	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004527
4417	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004528
4418	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004529
4419	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004530
4420	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004531
4421	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004532
4422	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004533
4423	6/11/2016	ST_INC 004534
4424	6/12/2016	ST_INC 004535
4425	6/12/2016	ST_INC 004536
4426	6/12/2016	ST_INC 004537
4427	6/13/2016	ST_INC 004538
4428	6/13/2016	ST_INC 004539
4429	6/13/2016	ST_INC 004540

4430	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004541
4431	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004542
4432	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004543
4433	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004544
4434	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004545
4435	6/14/2016	ST_INC 004546
4436	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004547
4437	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004548
4438	5/15/2016	ST_INC 004549
4439	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004550
4440	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004551
4441	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004552
4442	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004553
4443	6/15/2016	ST_INC 004554
4444	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004555
4445	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004556
4446	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004557
4447	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004558
4448	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004559
4449	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004560
4450	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004561
4451	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004562
4452	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004563
4453	6/16/2016	ST_INC 004564
4454	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004565
4455	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004566
4456	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004567
4457	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004568
4458	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004569
4459	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004570
4460	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004571
4461	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004572
4462	6/17/2016	ST_INC 004573
4463	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004574
4464	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004575
4465	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004576
4466	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004577
4467	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004578
4468	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004579
4469	6/18/2016	ST_INC 004580
4470	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004581
4471	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004582
4472	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004583

4473	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004584
4474	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004585
4475	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004586
4476	6/19/2016	ST_INC 004587
4477	6/20/2016	ST_INC 004588
4478	6/20/2016	ST_INC 004589
4479	6/20/2016	ST_INC 004590
4480	6/20/2016	ST_INC 004591
4481	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004592
4482	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004593
4483	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004594
4484	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004595
4485	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004596
4486	6/21/2016	ST_INC 004597
4487	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004598
4488	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004599
4489	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004600
4490	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004601
4491	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004602
4492	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004603
4493	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004604
4494	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004605
4495	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004606
4496	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004607
4497	6/22/2016	ST_INC 004608
4498	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004609
4499	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004610
4500	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004611
4501	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004612
4502	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004613
4503	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004614
4504	6/23/2016	ST_INC 004615
4505	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004616
4506	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004617
4507	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004618
4508	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004619
4509	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004620
4510	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004621
4511	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004622
4512	6/24/2016	ST_INC 004623
4513	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004624
4514	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004625
4515	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004626

4516	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004627
4517	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004628
4518	6/25/2016	ST_INC 004629
4519	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004630
4520	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004631
4521	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004632
4522	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004633
4523	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004634
4524	6/26/2016	ST_INC 004635
4525	6/27/2016	ST_INC 004636
4526	6/27/2016	ST_INC 004637
4527	6/27/2016	ST_INC 004638
4528	6/27/2016	ST_INC 004639
4529	6/27/2016	ST_INC 004640
4530	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004641
4531	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004642
4532	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004643
4533	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004644
4534	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004645
4535	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004646
4536	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004647
4537	6/28/2016	ST_INC 004648
4538	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004649
4539	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004650
4540	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004651
4541	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004652
4542	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004653
4543	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004654
4544	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004655
4545	6/29/2016	ST_INC 004656
4546	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004657
4547	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004658
4548	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004659
4549	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004660
4550	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004661
4551	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004662
4552	6/30/2016	ST_INC 004663
4553	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004664
4554	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004665
4555	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004666
4556	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004667
4557	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004668
4558	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004669

4559	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004670
4560	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004671
4561	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004672
4562	7/1/2016	ST_INC 004673
4563	7/2/2016	ST_INC 004674
4564	7/2/2016	ST_INC 004675
4565	7/6/2016	ST_INC 004676
4566	7/6/2016	ST_INC 004677
4567	7/6/2016	ST_INC 004678
4568	7/6/2016	ST_INC 004679
4569	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004680
4570	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004681
4571	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004682
4572	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004683
4573	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004684
4574	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004685
4575	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004686
4576	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004687
4577	7/7/2016	ST_INC 004688
4578	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004689
4579	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004690
4580	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004691
4581	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004692
4582	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004693
4583	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004694
4584	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004695
4585	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004696
4586	7/8/2016	ST_INC 004697
4587	7/9/2016	ST_INC 004698
4588	7/9/2016	ST_INC 004699
4589	7/9/2016	ST_INC 004700
4590	7/9/2016	ST_INC 004701
4591	7/9/2016	ST_INC 004702
4592	7/10/2016	ST_INC 004703
4593	7/10/2016	ST_INC 004704
4594	7/10/2016	ST_INC 004705
4595	7/10/2016	ST_INC 004706
4596	7/10/2016	ST_INC 004707
4597	7/11/2016	ST_INC 004708
4598	7/11/2016	ST_INC 004709
4599	7/11/2016	ST_INC 004710
4600	7/11/2016	ST_INC 004711
4601	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004712

4602	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004713
4603	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004714
4604	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004715
4605	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004716
4606	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004717
4607	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004718
4608	7/12/2016	ST_INC 004719
4609	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004720
4610	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004721
4611	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004722
4612	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004723
4613	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004724
4614	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004725
4615	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004726
4616	7/13/2016	ST_INC 004727
4617	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004728
4618	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004729
4619	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004730
4620	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004731
4621	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004732
4622	7/14/2016	ST_INC 004733
4623	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004734
4624	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004735
4625	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004736
4626	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004737
4627	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004738
4628	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004739
4629	7/15/2016	ST_INC 004740
4630	7/16/2016	ST_INC 004741
4631	7/16/2016	ST_INC 004742
4632	7/17/2016	ST_INC 004743
4633	7/17/2016	ST_INC 004744
4634	7/17/2016	ST_INC 004745
4635	7/17/2016	ST_INC 004746
4636	7/18/2016	ST_INC 004747
4637	7/18/2016	ST_INC 004748
4638	7/18/2016	ST_INC 004749
4639	7/18/2016	ST_INC 004750
4640	7/18/2016	ST_INC 004751
4641	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004752
4642	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004753
4643	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004754
4644	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004755

4645	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004756
4646	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004757
4647	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004758
4648	7/19/2016	ST_INC 004759
4649	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004760
4650	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004761
4651	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004762
4652	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004763
4653	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004764
4654	7/20/2016	ST_INC 004765
4655	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004766
4656	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004767
4657	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004768
4658	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004769
4659	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004770
4660	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004771
4661	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004772
4662	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004773
4663	7/21/2016	ST_INC 004774
4664	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004775
4665	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004776
4666	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004777
4667	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004778
4668	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004779
4669	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004780
4670	7/22/2016	ST_INC 004781
4671	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004782
4672	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004783
4673	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004784
4674	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004785
4675	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004786
4676	7/23/2016	ST_INC 004787
4677	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004788
4678	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004789
4679	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004790
4680	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004791
4681	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004792
4682	7/24/2016	ST_INC 004793
4683	7/25/2016	ST_INC 004794
4684	7/25/2016	ST_INC 004795
4685	7/25/2016	ST_INC 004796
4686	7/25/2016	ST_INC 004797
4687	7/25/2016	ST_INC 004798

4688	7/26/2016	ST_INC 004799
4689	7/26/2016	ST_INC 004800
4690	7/26/2016	ST_INC 004801
4691	7/26/2016	ST_INC 004802
4692	7/27/2016	ST_INC 004803
4693	7/27/2016	ST_INC 004804
4694	7/27/2016	ST_INC 004805
4695	7/27/2016	ST_INC 004806
4696	7/27/2016	ST_INC 004807
4697	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004808
4698	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004809
4699	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004810
4700	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004811
4701	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004812
4702	7/28/2016	ST_INC 004813
4703	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004814
4704	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004815
4705	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004816
4706	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004817
4707	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004818
4708	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004819
4709	7/29/2016	ST_INC 004820
4710	7/30/2016	ST_INC 004821
4711	7/30/2016	ST_INC 004822
4712	7/30/2016	ST_INC 004823
4713	7/31/2016	ST_INC 004824
4714	7/31/2016	ST_INC 004825
4715	7/31/2016	ST_INC 004826
4716	7/31/2016	ST_INC 004827
4717	7/31/2016	ST_INC 004828
4718	8/1/2016	ST_INC 004829
4719	8/1/2016	ST_INC 004830
4720	8/1/2016	ST_INC 004831
4721	8/2/2016	ST_INC 004832
4722	8/2/2016	ST_INC 004833
4723	8/2/2016	ST_INC 004834
4724	8/2/2016	ST_INC 004835
4725	8/2/2016	ST_INC 004836
4726	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004837
4727	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004838
4728	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004839
4729	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004840
4730	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004841

4731	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004842
4732	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004843
4733	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004844
4734	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004845
4735	8/3/2016	ST_INC 004846
4736	8/4/2016	ST_INC 004847
4737	8/4/2016	ST_INC 004848
4738	8/4/2016	ST_INC 004849
4739	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004850
4740	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004851
4741	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004852
4742	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004853
4743	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004854
4744	8/5/2016	ST_INC 004855
4745	8/6/2016	ST_INC 004856
4746	8/7/2016	ST_INC 004857
4747	8/7/2016	ST_INC 004858
4748	8/7/2016	ST_INC 004859
4749	8/8/2016	ST_INC 004860
4750	8/8/2016	ST_INC 004861
4751	8/8/2016	ST_INC 004862
4752	8/8/2016	ST_INC 004863
4753	8/8/2016	ST_INC 004864
4754	8/9/2016	ST_INC 004865
4755	8/9/2016	ST_INC 004866
4756	8/9/2016	ST_INC 004867
4757	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004868
4758	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004869
4759	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004870
4760	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004871
4761	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004872
4762	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004873
4763	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004874
4764	8/10/2016	ST_INC 004875
4765	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004876
4766	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004877
4767	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004878
4768	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004879
4769	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004880
4770	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004881
4771	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004882
4772	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004883
4773	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004884

4774	8/11/2016	ST_INC 004885
4775	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004886
4776	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004887
4777	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004888
4778	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004889
4779	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004890
4780	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004891
4781	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004892
4782	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004893
4783	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004894
4784	8/12/2016	ST_INC 004895
4785	8/13/2016	ST_INC 004896
4786	8/13/2016	ST_INC 004897
4787	8/13/2016	ST_INC 004898
4788	8/13/2016	ST_INC 004899
4789	8/13/2016	ST_INC 004900
4790	8/14/2016	ST_INC 004901
4791	8/14/2016	ST_INC 004902
4792	8/14/2016	ST_INC 004903
4793	8/14/2016	ST_INC 004904
4794	8/14/2016	ST_INC 004905
4795	8/15/2016	ST_INC 004906
4796	8/15/2016	ST_INC 004907
4797	8/15/2016	ST_INC 004908
4798	8/15/2016	ST_INC 004909
4799	8/16/2016	ST_INC 004910
4800	8/16/2016	ST_INC 004911
4801	8/16/2016	ST_INC 004912
4802	8/16/2016	ST_INC 004913
4803	8/17/2016	ST_INC 004914
4804	8/17/2016	ST_INC 004915
4805	8/17/2016	ST_INC 004916
4806	8/17/2016	ST_INC 004917
4807	8/17/2016	ST_INC 004918
4808	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004919
4809	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004920
4810	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004921
4811	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004922
4812	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004923
4813	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004924
4814	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004925
4815	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004926
4816	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004927

4817	8/18/2016	ST_INC 004928
4818	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004929
4819	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004930
4820	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004931
4821	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004932
4822	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004933
4823	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004934
4824	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004935
4825	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004936
4826	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004937
4827	8/19/2016	ST_INC 004938
4828	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004939
4829	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004940
4830	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004941
4831	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004942
4832	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004943
4833	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004944
4834	8/20/2016	ST_INC 004945
4835	8/21/2016	ST_INC 004946
4836	8/21/2016	ST_INC 004947
4837	8/21/2016	ST_INC 004948
4838	8/21/2016	ST_INC 004949
4839	8/22/2016	ST_INC 004950
4840	8/22/2016	ST_INC 004951
4841	8/22/2016	ST_INC 004952
4842	8/22/2016	ST_INC 004953
4843	8/23/2016	ST_INC 004954
4844	8/23/2016	ST_INC 004955
4845	8/23/2016	ST_INC 004956
4846	8/23/2016	ST_INC 004957
4847	8/23/2016	ST_INC 004958
4848	8/24/2016	ST_INC 004959
4849	8/24/2016	ST_INC 004960
4850	8/24/2016	ST_INC 004961
4851	8/24/2016	ST_INC 004962
4852	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004963
4853	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004964
4854	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004965
4855	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004966
4856	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004967
4857	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004968
4858	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004969
4859	8/25/2016	ST_INC 004970

4860	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004971
4861	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004972
4862	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004973
4863	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004974
4864	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004975
4865	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004976
4866	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004977
4867	8/26/2016	ST_INC 004978
4868	8/27/2016	ST_INC 004979
4869	8/27/2016	ST_INC 004980
4870	8/27/2016	ST_INC 004981
4871	8/27/2016	ST_INC 004982
4872	8/27/2016	ST_INC 004983
4873	8/28/2016	ST_INC 004984
4874	8/28/2016	ST_INC 004985
4875	8/28/2016	ST_INC 004986
4876	8/28/2016	ST_INC 004987
4877	8/28/2016	ST_INC 004988
4878	8/29/2016	ST_INC 004989
4879	8/29/2016	ST_INC 004990
4880	8/29/2016	ST_INC 004991
4881	8/29/2016	ST_INC 004992
4882	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004993
4883	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004994
4884	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004995
4885	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004996
4886	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004997
4887	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004998
4888	8/30/2016	ST_INC 004999
4889	8/30/2016	ST_INC 005000
4890	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005001
4891	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005002
4892	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005003
4893	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005004
4894	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005005
4895	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005006
4896	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005007
4897	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005008
4898	8/31/2016	ST_INC 005009
4899	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005010
4900	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005011
4901	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005012
4902	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005013

4903	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005014
4904	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005015
4905	9/1/2016	ST_INC 005016
4906	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005017
4907	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005018
4908	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005019
4909	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005020
4910	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005021
4911	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005022
4912	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005023
4913	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005024
4914	9/2/2016	ST_INC 005025
4915	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005026
4916	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005027
4917	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005028
4918	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005029
4919	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005030
4920	9/3/2016	ST_INC 005031
4921	9/4/2016	ST_INC 005032
4922	9/4/2016	ST_INC 005033
4923	9/4/2016	ST_INC 005034
4924	9/4/2016	ST_INC 005035
4925	9/7/2016	ST_INC 005036
4926	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005037
4927	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005038
4928	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005039
4929	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005040
4930	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005041
4931	9/8/2016	ST_INC 005042
4932	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005043
4933	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005044
4934	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005045
4935	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005046
4936	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005047
4937	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005048
4938	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005049
4939	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005050
4940	9/9/2016	ST_INC 005051
4941	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005052
4942	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005053
4943	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005054
4944	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005055
4945	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005056

4946	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005057
4947	9/10/2016	ST_INC 005058
4948	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005059
4949	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005060
4950	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005061
4951	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005062
4952	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005063
4953	9/11/2016	ST_INC 005064
4954	9/12/2016	ST_INC 005065
4955	9/12/2016	ST_INC 005066
4956	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005067
4957	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005068
4958	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005069
4959	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005070
4960	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005071
4961	9/13/2016	ST_INC 005072
4962	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005073
4963	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005074
4964	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005075
4965	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005076
4966	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005077
4967	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005078
4968	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005079
4969	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005080
4970	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005081
4971	9/14/2016	ST_INC 005082
4972	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005083
4973	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005084
4974	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005085
4975	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005086
4976	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005087
4977	9/15/2016	ST_INC 005088
4978	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005089
4979	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005090
4980	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005091
4981	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005092
4982	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005093
4983	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005094
4984	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005095
4985	9/16/2016	ST_INC 005096
4986	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005097
4987	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005098
4988	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005099

4989	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005100
4990	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005101
4991	9/17/2016	ST_INC 005102
4992	9/18/2016	ST_INC 005103
4993	9/18/2016	ST_INC 005104
4994	9/18/2016	ST_INC 005105
4995	9/18/2016	ST_INC 005106
4996	9/19/2016	ST_INC 005107
4997	9/19/2016	ST_INC 005108
4998	9/19/2016	ST_INC 005109
4999	9/19/2016	ST_INC 005110
5000	9/20/2016	ST_INC 005111
5001	9/20/2016	ST_INC 005112
5002	9/20/2016	ST_INC 005113
5003	9/20/2016	ST_INC 005114
5004	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005115
5005	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005116
5006	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005117
5007	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005118
5008	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005119
5009	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005120
5010	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005121
5011	9/21/2016	ST_INC 005122
5012	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005123
5013	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005124
5014	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005125
5015	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005126
5016	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005127
5017	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005128
5018	9/22/2016	ST_INC 005129
5019	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005130
5020	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005131
5021	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005132
5022	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005133
5023	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005134
5024	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005135
5025	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005136
5026	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005137
5027	9/23/2016	ST_INC 005138
5028	9/24/2016	ST_INC 005139
5029	9/24/2016	ST_INC 005140
5030	9/24/2016	ST_INC 005141
5031	9/24/2016	ST_INC 005142

5032	9/24/2016	ST_INC 005143
5033	9/25/2016	ST_INC 005144
5034	9/25/2016	ST_INC 005145
5035	9/25/2016	ST_INC 005146
5036	9/26/2016	ST_INC 005147
5037	9/26/2016	ST_INC 005148
5038	9/26/2016	ST_INC 005149
5039	9/27/2016	ST_INC 005150
5040	9/27/2016	ST_INC 005151
5041	9/27/2016	ST_INC 005152
5042	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005153
5043	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005154
5044	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005155
5045	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005156
5046	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005157
5047	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005158
5048	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005159
5049	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005160
5050	9/28/2016	ST_INC 005161
5051	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005162
5052	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005163
5053	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005164
5054	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005165
5055	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005166
5056	9/29/2016	ST_INC 005167
5057	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005168
5058	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005169
5059	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005170
5060	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005171
5061	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005172
5062	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005173
5063	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005174
5064	9/30/2016	ST_INC 005175
5065	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005176
5066	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005177
5067	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005178
5068	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005179
5069	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005180
5070	10/1/2016	ST_INC 005181
5071	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005182
5072	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005183
5073	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005184
5074	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005185

5075	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005186
5076	10/2/2016	ST_INC 005187
5077	10/3/2016	ST_INC 005188
5078	10/3/2016	ST_INC 005189
5079	10/3/2016	ST_INC 005190
5080	10/3/2016	ST_INC 005191
5081	10/4/2016	ST_INC 005192
5082	10/4/2016	ST_INC 005193
5083	10/4/2016	ST_INC 005194
5084	10/4/2016	ST_INC 005195
5085	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005196
5086	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005197
5087	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005198
5088	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005199
5089	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005200
5090	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005201
5091	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005202
5092	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005203
5093	10/5/2016	ST_INC 005204
5094	10/6/2016	ST_INC 005205
5095	10/6/2016	ST_INC 005206
5096	10/6/2016	ST_INC 005207
5097	10/6/2016	ST_INC 005208
5098	10/6/2016	ST_INC 005209
5099	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005210
5100	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005211
5101	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005212
5102	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005213
5103	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005214
5104	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005215
5105	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005216
5106	10/7/2016	ST_INC 005217
5107	10/8/2016	ST_INC 005218
5108	10/8/2016	ST_INC 005219
5109	10/8/2016	ST_INC 005220
5110	10/8/2016	ST_INC 005221
5111	10/8/2016	ST_INC 005222
5112	10/9/2016	ST_INC 005223
5113	10/9/2016	ST_INC 005224
5114	10/9/2016	ST_INC 005225
5115	10/9/2016	ST_INC 005226
5116	10/10/2016	ST_INC 005227
5117	10/10/2016	ST_INC 005228

5118	10/10/2016	ST_INC 005229
5119	10/10/2016	ST_INC 005230
5120	10/11/2016	ST_INC 005231
5121	10/11/2016	ST_INC 005232
5122	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005233
5123	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005234
5124	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005235
5125	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005236
5126	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005237
5127	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005238
5128	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005239
5129	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005240
5130	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005241
5131	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005242
5132	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005243
5133	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005244
5134	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005245
5135	10/12/2016	ST_INC 005246
5136	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005247
5137	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005248
5138	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005249
5139	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005250
5140	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005251
5141	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005252
5142	10/13/2016	ST_INC 005253
5143	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005254
5144	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005255
5145	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005256
5146	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005257
5147	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005258
5148	10/14/2016	ST_INC 005259
5149	10/15/2016	ST_INC 005260
5150	10/15/2016	ST_INC 005261
5151	10/15/2016	ST_INC 005262
5152	10/15/2016	ST_INC 005263
5153	10/15/2016	ST_INC 005264
5154	10/16/2016	ST_INC 005265
5155	10/17/2016	ST_INC 005266
5156	10/17/2016	ST_INC 005267
5157	10/17/2016	ST_INC 005268
5158	10/17/2016	ST_INC 005269
5159	10/17/2016	ST_INC 005270
5160	10/18/2016	ST_INC 005271

5161	10/18/2016	ST_INC 005272
5162	10/18/2016	ST_INC 005273
5163	10/18/2016	ST_INC 005274
5164	10/18/2016	ST_INC 005275
5165	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005276
5166	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005277
5167	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005278
5168	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005279
5169	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005280
5170	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005281
5171	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005282
5172	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005283
5173	10/19/2016	ST_INC 005284
5174	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005285
5175	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005286
5176	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005287
5177	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005288
5178	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005289
5179	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005290
5180	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005291
5181	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005292
5182	10/20/2016	ST_INC 005293
5183	10/21/2016	ST_INC 005294
5184	10/21/2016	ST_INC 005295
5185	10/21/2016	ST_INC 005296
5186	10/21/2016	ST_INC 005297
5187	10/22/2016	ST_INC 005298
5188	10/22/2016	ST_INC 005299
5189	10/22/2016	ST_INC 005300
5190	10/22/2016	ST_INC 005301
5191	10/22/2016	ST_INC 005302
5192	10/23/2016	ST_INC 005303
5193	10/23/2016	ST_INC 005304
5194	10/23/2016	ST_INC 005305
5195	10/23/2016	ST_INC 005306
5196	10/24/2016	ST_INC 005307
5197	10/24/2016	ST_INC 005308
5198	10/24/2016	ST_INC 005309
5199	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005310
5200	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005311
5201	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005312
5202	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005313
5203	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005314

5204	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005315
5205	10/25/2016	ST_INC 005316
5206	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005317
5207	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005318
5208	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005319
5209	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005320
5210	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005321
5211	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005322
5212	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005323
5213	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005324
5214	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005325
5215	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005326
5216	10/26/2016	ST_INC 005327
5217	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005328
5218	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005329
5219	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005330
5220	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005331
5221	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005332
5222	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005333
5223	10/27/2016	ST_INC 005334
5224	10/28/2016	ST_INC 005335
5225	10/29/2016	ST_INC 005336
5226	10/29/2016	ST_INC 005337
5227	10/29/2016	ST_INC 005338
5228	10/29/2016	ST_INC 005339
5229	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005340
5230	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005341
5231	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005342
5232	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005343
5233	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005344
5234	10/30/2016	ST_INC 005345
5235	10/31/2016	ST_INC 005346
5236	10/31/2016	ST_INC 005347
5237	10/31/2016	ST_INC 005348
5238	10/31/2016	ST_INC 005349
5239	10/31/2016	ST_INC 005350
5240	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005351
5241	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005352
5242	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005353
5243	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005354
5244	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005355
5245	11/1/2016	ST_INC 005356
5246	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005357

5247	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005358
5248	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005359
5249	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005360
5250	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005361
5251	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005362
5252	11/2/2016	ST_INC 005363
5253	11/3/2016	ST_INC 005364
5254	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005365
5255	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005366
5256	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005367
5257	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005368
5258	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005369
5259	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005370
5260	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005371
5261	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005372
5262	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005373
5263	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005374
5264	11/4/2016	ST_INC 005375
5265	11/5/2016	ST_INC 005376
5266	11/5/2016	ST_INC 005377
5267	11/5/2016	ST_INC 005378
5268	11/5/2016	ST_INC 005379
5269	11/5/2016	ST_INC 005380
5270	11/6/2016	ST_INC 005381
5271	11/6/2016	ST_INC 005382
5272	11/6/2016	ST_INC 005383
5273	11/6/2016	ST_INC 005384
5274	11/7/2016	ST_INC 005385
5275	11/7/2016	ST_INC 005386
5276	11/7/2016	ST_INC 005387
5277	11/7/2016	ST_INC 005388
5278	11/8/2016	ST_INC 005389
5279	11/8/2016	ST_INC 005390
5280	11/8/2016	ST_INC 005391
5281	11/8/2016	ST_INC 005392
5282	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005393
5283	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005394
5284	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005395
5285	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005396
5286	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005397
5287	11/9/2016	ST_INC 005398
5288	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005399
5289	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005400

5290	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005401
5291	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005402
5292	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005403
5293	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005404
5294	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005405
5295	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005406
5296	11/10/2016	ST_INC 005407
5297	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005408
5298	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005409
5299	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005410
5300	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005411
5301	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005412
5302	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005413
5303	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005414
5304	11/11/2016	ST_INC 005415
5305	11/12/2016	ST_INC 005416
5306	11/12/2016	ST_INC 005417
5307	11/12/2016	ST_INC 005418
5308	11/12/2016	ST_INC 005419
5309	11/12/2016	ST_INC 005420
5310	11/13/2016	ST_INC 005421
5311	11/13/2016	ST_INC 005422
5312	11/13/2016	ST_INC 005423
5313	11/15/2016	ST_INC 005424
5314	11/15/2016	ST_INC 005425
5315	11/15/2016	ST_INC 005426
5316	11/15/2016	ST_INC 005427
5317	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005428
5318	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005429
5319	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005430
5320	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005431
5321	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005432
5322	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005433
5323	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005434
5324	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005435
5325	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005436
5326	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005437
5327	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005438
5328	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005439
5329	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005440
5330	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005441
5331	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005442
5332	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005443

5333	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005444
5334	11/16/2016	ST_INC 005445
5335	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005446
5336	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005447
5337	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005448
5338	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005449
5339	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005450
5340	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005451
5341	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005452
5342	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005453
5343	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005454
5344	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005455
5345	11/17/2016	ST_INC 005456
5346	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005457
5347	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005458
5348	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005459
5349	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005460
5350	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005461
5351	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005462
5352	11/18/2016	ST_INC 005463
5353	11/19/2016	ST_INC 005464
5354	11/19/2016	ST_INC 005465
5355	11/19/2016	ST_INC 005466
5356	11/20/2016	ST_INC 005467
5357	11/20/2016	ST_INC 005468
5358	11/22/2016	ST_INC 005469
5359	11/22/2016	ST_INC 005470
5360	11/22/2016	ST_INC 005471
5361	11/22/2016	ST_INC 005472
5362	11/22/2016	ST_INC 005473
5363	11/27/2016	ST_INC 005474
5364	11/27/2016	ST_INC 005475
5365	11/27/2016	ST_INC 005476
5366	11/27/2016	ST_INC 005477
5367	11/28/2016	ST_INC 005478
5368	11/28/2016	ST_INC 005479
5369	11/28/2016	ST_INC 005480
5370	11/28/2016	ST_INC 005481
5371	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005482
5372	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005483
5373	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005484
5374	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005485
5375	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005486

5376	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005487
5377	11/29/2016	ST_INC 005488
5378	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005489
5379	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005490
5380	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005491
5381	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005492
5382	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005493
5383	11/30/2016	ST_INC 005494
5384	12/1/2016	ST_INC 005495
5385	12/1/2016	ST_INC 005496
5386	12/1/2016	ST_INC 005497
5387	12/1/2016	ST_INC 005499
5388	12/2/2016	ST_INC 005500
5389	12/2/2016	ST_INC 005501
5390	12/2/2016	ST_INC 005502
5391	12/2/2016	ST_INC 005503
5392	12/2/2016	ST_INC 005504
5393	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005505
5394	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005506
5395	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005507
5396	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005508
5397	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005509
5398	12/3/2016	ST_INC 005510
5399	12/4/2016	ST_INC 005511
5400	12/4/2016	ST_INC 005512
5401	12/4/2016	ST_INC 005513
5402	12/4/2016	ST_INC 005514
5403	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005515
5404	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005516
5405	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005517
5406	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005518
5407	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005519
5408	12/5/2016	ST_INC 005520
5409	12/6/2016	ST_INC 005521
5410	12/6/2016	ST_INC 005522
5411	12/6/2016	ST_INC 005523
5412	12/6/2016	ST_INC 005524
5413	12/6/2016	ST_INC 005525
5414	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005526
5415	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005527
5416	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005528
5417	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005529
5418	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005530

5419	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005531
5420	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005532
5421	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005533
5422	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005534
5423	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005535
5424	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005536
5425	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005537
5426	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005538
5427	12/28/2016	ST_INC 005539
5428	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005540
5429	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005541
5430	12/8/2016	ST_INC 005542
5431	12/9/2016	ST_INC 005543
5432	12/9/2016	ST_INC 005544
5433	12/9/2016	ST_INC 005545
5434	12/9/2016	ST_INC 005546
5435	12/9/2016	ST_INC 005547
5436	12/10/2016	ST_INC 005548
5437	12/10/2016	ST_INC 005549
5438	12/10/2016	ST_INC 005550
5439	12/10/2016	ST_INC 005551
5440	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005552
5441	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005553
5442	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005554
5443	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005555
5444	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005556
5445	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005557
5446	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005558
5447	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005559
5448	12/11/2016	ST_INC 005560
5449	12/12/2016	ST_INC 005561
5450	12/12/2016	ST_INC 005562
5451	12/13/2016	ST_INC 005563
5452	12/13/2016	ST_INC 005564
5453	12/13/2016	ST_INC 005565
5454	12/13/2016	ST_INC 005566
5455	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005567
5456	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005568
5457	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005569
5458	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005570
5459	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005571
5460	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005572
5461	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005573

5462	12/14/2016	ST_INC 005574
5463	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005575
5464	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005576
5465	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005577
5466	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005578
5467	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005579
5468	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005580
5469	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005581
5470	12/15/2016	ST_INC 005582
5471	12/16/2016	ST_INC 005583
5472	12/16/2016	ST_INC 005584
5473	12/16/2016	ST_INC 005585
5474	12/16/2016	ST_INC 005586
5475	12/17/2016	ST_INC 005587
5476	12/17/2016	ST_INC 005588
5477	12/17/2016	ST_INC 005589
5478	12/17/2016	ST_INC 005590
5479	12/18/2016	ST_INC 005591
5480	12/18/2016	ST_INC 005592
5481	12/20/2016	ST_INC 005593
5482	12/20/2016	ST_INC 005594
5483	12/22/2016	ST_INC 005595
5484	12/22/2016	ST_INC 005596
5485	12/23/2016	ST_INC 005597
5486	12/23/2016	ST_INC 005598
5487	1/3/2017	ST_INC 005599
5488	1/3/2017	ST_INC 005600
5489	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005601
5490	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005602
5491	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005603
5492	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005604
5493	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005605
5494	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005606
5495	1/4/2017	ST_INC 005607
5496	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005608
5497	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005609
5498	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005610
5499	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005611
5500	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005612
5501	1/5/2017	ST_INC 005613
5502	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005614
5503	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005615
5504	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005616

5505	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005617
5506	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005618
5507	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005619
5508	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005620
5509	1/6/2017	ST_INC 005621
5510	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005622
5511	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005623
5512	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005624
5513	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005625
5514	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005626
5515	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005627
5516	1/7/2017	ST_INC 005628
5517	1/8/2017	ST_INC 005629
5518	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005630
5519	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005631
5520	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005632
5521	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005633
5522	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005634
5523	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005635
5524	1/10/2017	ST_INC 005636
5525	1/11/2017	ST_INC 005637
5526	1/11/2017	ST_INC 005638
5527	1/11/2017	ST_INC 005639
5528	1/11/2017	ST_INC 005640
5529	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005641
5530	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005642
5531	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005643
5532	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005644
5533	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005645
5534	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005646
5535	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005647
5536	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005648
5537	1/12/2017	ST_INC 005649
5538	1/13/2017	ST_INC 005650
5539	1/13/2017	ST_INC 005651
5540	1/13/2017	ST_INC 005652
5541	1/13/2017	ST_INC 005653
5542	1/13/2017	ST_INC 005654
5543	1/14/2017	ST_INC 005655
5544	1/14/2017	ST_INC 005656
5545	1/14/2017	ST_INC 005657
5546	1/14/2017	ST_INC 005658
5547	1/15/2017	ST_INC 005659

5548	1/15/2017	ST_INC 005660
5549	1/16/2017	ST_INC 005661
5550	1/16/2017	ST_INC 005662
5551	1/17/2017	ST_INC 005663
5552	1/17/2017	ST_INC 005664
5553	1/17/2017	ST_INC 005665
5554	1/17/2017	ST_INC 005666
5555	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005667
5556	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005668
5557	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005669
5558	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005670
5559	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005671
5560	1/18/2017	ST_INC 005672
5561	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005673
5562	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005674
5563	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005675
5564	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005676
5565	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005677
5566	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005678
5567	1/19/2017	ST_INC 005679
5568	1/20/2017	ST_INC 005680
5569	1/20/2017	ST_INC 005681
5570	1/20/2017	ST_INC 005682
5571	1/21/2017	ST_INC 005683
5572	1/21/2017	ST_INC 005684
5573	1/21/2017	ST_INC 005685
5574	1/21/2017	ST_INC 005686
5575	1/21/2017	ST_INC 005687
5576	1/22/2017	ST_INC 005688
5577	1/23/2017	ST_INC 005689
5578	1/23/2017	ST_INC 005690
5579	1/23/2017	ST_INC 005691
5580	1/23/2017	ST_INC 005692
5581	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005693
5582	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005694
5583	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005695
5584	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005696
5585	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005697
5586	1/24/2017	ST_INC 005698
5587	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005699
5588	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005700
5589	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005701
5590	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005702

5591	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005703
5592	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005704
5593	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005705
5594	1/25/2017	ST_INC 005706
5595	1/26/2017	ST_INC 005707
5596	1/26/2017	ST_INC 005708
5597	1/26/2017	ST_INC 005709
5598	1/26/2017	ST_INC 005710
5599	1/27/2017	ST_INC 005711
5600	1/27/2017	ST_INC 005712
5601	1/27/2017	ST_INC 005713
5602	1/28/2017	ST_INC 005714
5603	1/28/2017	ST_INC 005715
5604	1/28/2017	ST_INC 005716
5605	1/28/2017	ST_INC 005717
5606	1/29/2017	ST_INC 005718
5607	1/29/2017	ST_INC 005719
5608	1/29/2017	ST_INC 005720
5609	1/30/2017	ST_INC 005721
5610	1/30/2017	ST_INC 005722
5611	1/31/2017	ST_INC 005723
5612	1/31/2017	ST_INC 005724
5613	1/31/2017	ST_INC 005725
5614	1/31/2017	ST_INC 005726
5615	1/31/2017	ST_INC 005727
5616	2/1/2017	ST_INC 005728
5617	2/1/2017	ST_INC 005729
5618	2/1/2017	ST_INC 005730
5619	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005731
5620	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005732
5621	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005733
5622	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005734
5623	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005735
5624	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005736
5625	2/2/2017	ST_INC 005737
5626	2/3/2017	ST_INC 005738
5627	2/3/2017	ST_INC 005739
5628	2/4/2017	ST_INC 005740
5629	2/4/2017	ST_INC 005741
5630	2/4/2017	ST_INC 005742
5631	2/4/2017	ST_INC 005743
5632	2/4/2017	ST_INC 005744
5633	2/5/2017	ST_INC 005745

5634	2/5/2017	ST_INC 005746
5635	2/6/2017	ST_INC 005747
5636	2/6/2017	ST_INC 005748
5637	2/6/2017	ST_INC 005749
5638	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005750
5639	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005751
5640	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005752
5641	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005753
5642	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005754
5643	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005755
5644	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005756
5645	2/7/2017	ST_INC 005757
5646	2/8/2017	ST_INC 005758
5647	2/8/2017	ST_INC 005759
5648	2/8/2017	ST_INC 005760
5649	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005761
5650	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005762
5651	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005763
5652	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005764
5653	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005765
5654	2/9/2017	ST_INC 005766
5655	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005767
5656	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005768
5657	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005769
5658	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005770
5659	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005771
5660	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005772
5661	2/10/2017	ST_INC 005773
5662	2/11/2017	ST_INC 005774
5663	2/11/2017	ST_INC 005775
5664	2/11/2017	ST_INC 005776
5665	2/11/2017	ST_INC 005777
5666	2/12/2017	ST_INC 005778
5667	2/13/2017	ST_INC 005779
5668	2/13/2017	ST_INC 005780
5669	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005781
5670	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005782
5671	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005783
5672	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005784
5673	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005785
5674	2/14/2017	ST_INC 005786
5675	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005787
5676	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005788

5677	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005789
5678	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005790
5679	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005791
5680	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005792
5681	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005793
5682	2/15/2017	ST_INC 005794
5683	2/16/2017	ST_INC 005795
5684	2/16/2017	ST_INC 005796
5685	2/17/2017	ST_INC 005797
5686	2/17/2017	ST_INC 005798
5687	2/17/2017	ST_INC 005799
5688	2/17/2017	ST_INC 005800
5689	2/17/2017	ST_INC 005801
5690	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005802
5691	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005803
5692	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005804
5693	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005805
5694	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005806
5695	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005807
5696	2/18/2017	ST_INC 005808
5697	2/19/2017	ST_INC 005809
5698	2/20/2017	ST_INC 005810
5699	2/20/2017	ST_INC 005811
5700	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005812
5701	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005813
5702	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005814
5703	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005815
5704	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005816
5705	2/21/2017	ST_INC 005817
5706	2/22/2017	ST_INC 005818
5707	2/22/2017	ST_INC 005819
5708	2/22/2017	ST_INC 005820
5709	2/22/2017	ST_INC 005821
5710	2/22/2017	ST_INC 005822
5711	2/23/2017	ST_INC 005823
5712	2/23/2017	ST_INC 005824
5713	2/23/2017	ST_INC 005825
5714	2/23/2017	ST_INC 005826
5715	2/23/2017	ST_INC 005827
5716	2/24/2017	ST_INC 005828
5717	2/24/2017	ST_INC 005829
5718	2/24/2017	ST_INC 005830
5719	2/24/2017	ST_INC 005831

5720	2/24/2017	ST_INC 005832
5721	2/25/2017	ST_INC 005833
5722	2/25/2017	ST_INC 005834
5723	2/25/2017	ST_INC 005835
5724	2/25/2017	ST_INC 005836
5725	2/25/2017	ST_INC 005837
5726	2/26/2017	ST_INC 005838
5727	2/26/2017	ST_INC 005839
5728	2/26/2017	ST_INC 005840
5729	2/26/2017	ST_INC 005841
5730	2/26/2017	ST_INC 005842
5731	2/27/2017	ST_INC 005843
5732	2/27/2017	ST_INC 005844
5733	2/27/2017	ST_INC 005845
5734	2/27/2017	ST_INC 005846
5735	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005847
5736	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005848
5737	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005849
5738	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005850
5739	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005851
5740	2/28/2017	ST_INC 005852
5741	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005853
5742	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005854
5743	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005855
5744	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005856
5745	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005857
5746	3/1/2017	ST_INC 005858
5747	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005859
5748	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005860
5749	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005861
5750	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005862
5751	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005863
5752	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005864
5753	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005865
5754	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005866
5755	3/2/2017	ST_INC 005867
5756	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005868
5757	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005869
5758	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005870
5759	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005871
5760	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005872
5761	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005873
5762	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005874

5763	3/3/2017	ST_INC 005875
5764	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005876
5765	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005877
5766	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005878
5767	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005879
5768	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005880
5769	3/4/2017	ST_INC 005881
5770	3/5/2017	ST_INC 005882
5771	3/5/2017	ST_INC 005883
5772	3/6/2017	ST_INC 005884
5773	3/6/2017	ST_INC 005885
5774	3/6/2017	ST_INC 005886
5775	3/6/2017	ST_INC 005887
5776	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005888
5777	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005889
5778	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005890
5779	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005891
5780	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005892
5781	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005893
5782	3/7/2017	ST_INC 005894
5783	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005895
5784	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005896
5785	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005897
5786	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005898
5787	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005899
5788	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005900
5789	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005901
5790	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005902
5791	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005903
5792	3/8/2017	ST_INC 005904
5793	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005905
5794	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005906
5795	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005907
5796	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005908
5797	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005909
5798	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005910
5799	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005911
5800	3/9/2017	ST_INC 005912
5801	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005913
5802	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005914
5803	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005915
5804	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005916
5805	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005917

5806	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005918
5807	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005919
5808	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005920
5809	3/10/2017	ST_INC 005921
5810	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005922
5811	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005923
5812	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005924
5813	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005925
5814	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005926
5815	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005927
5816	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005928
5817	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005929
5818	3/11/2017	ST_INC 005930
5819	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005931
5820	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005932
5821	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005933
5822	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005934
5823	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005935
5824	3/12/2017	ST_INC 005936
5825	3/13/2017	ST_INC 005937
5826	3/13/2017	ST_INC 005938
5827	3/13/2017	ST_INC 005939
5828	3/13/2017	ST_INC 005940
5829	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005941
5830	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005942
5831	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005943
5832	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005944
5833	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005945
5834	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005946
5835	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005947
5836	3/14/2017	ST_INC 005948
5837	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005949
5838	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005950
5839	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005951
5840	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005952
5841	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005953
5842	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005954
5843	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005955
5844	3/15/2017	ST_INC 005956
5845	3/16/2017	ST_INC 005957
5846	3/16/2017	ST_INC 005958
5847	3/16/2017	ST_INC 005959
5848	3/16/2017	ST_INC 005960

5849	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005961
5850	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005962
5851	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005963
5852	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005964
5853	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005965
5854	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005966
5855	3/17/2017	ST_INC 005967
5856	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005968
5857	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005969
5858	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005970
5859	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005971
5860	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005972
5861	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005973
5862	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005974
5863	3/18/2017	ST_INC 005975
5864	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005976
5865	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005977
5866	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005978
5867	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005979
5868	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005980
5869	3/19/2017	ST_INC 005981
5870	3/20/2017	ST_INC 005982
5871	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005983
5872	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005984
5873	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005985
5874	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005986
5875	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005987
5876	3/21/2017	ST_INC 005988
5877	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005989
5878	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005990
5879	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005991
5880	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005992
5881	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005993
5882	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005994
5883	3/22/2017	ST_INC 005995
5884	3/23/2017	ST_INC 005996
5885	3/23/2017	ST_INC 005997
5886	3/23/2017	ST_INC 005998
5887	3/23/2017	ST_INC 005999
5888	3/23/2017	ST_INC 006000
5889	3/23/2017	ST_INC 006001
5890	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006002
5891	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006003

5892	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006004
5893	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006005
5894	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006006
5895	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006007
5896	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006008
5897	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006009
5898	3/24/2017	ST_INC 006010
5899	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006011
5900	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006012
5901	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006013
5902	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006014
5903	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006015
5904	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006016
5905	3/25/2017	ST_INC 006017
5906	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006018
5907	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006019
5908	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006020
5909	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006021
5910	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006022
5911	3/26/2017	ST_INC 006023
5912	3/27/2017	ST_INC 006024
5913	3/27/2017	ST_INC 006025
5914	3/27/2017	ST_INC 006026
5915	3/27/2017	ST_INC 006027
5916	3/28/2017	ST_INC 006028
5917	3/28/2017	ST_INC 006029
5918	3/28/2017	ST_INC 006030
5919	3/28/2017	ST_INC 006031
5920	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006032
5921	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006033
5922	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006034
5923	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006035
5924	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006036
5925	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006037
5926	3/29/2017	ST_INC 006038
5927	3/30/2017	ST_INC 006039
5928	3/30/2017	ST_INC 006040
5929	3/30/2017	ST_INC 006041
5930	3/30/2017	ST_INC 006042
5931	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006043
5932	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006044
5933	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006045
5934	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006046

5935	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006047
5936	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006048
5937	3/31/2017	ST_INC 006049
5938	4/1/2017	ST_INC 006050
5939	4/1/2017	ST_INC 006051
5940	4/1/2017	ST_INC 006052
5941	4/1/2017	ST_INC 006053
5942	4/1/2017	ST_INC 006054
5943	4/2/2017	ST_INC 006055
5944	4/2/2017	ST_INC 006056
5945	4/2/2017	ST_INC 006057
5946	4/2/2017	ST_INC 006058
5947	4/2/2017	ST_INC 006059
5948	4/3/2017	ST_INC 006060
5949	4/3/2017	ST_INC 006061
5950	4/3/2017	ST_INC 006062
5951	4/3/2017	ST_INC 006063
5952	4/4/2017	ST_INC 006064
5953	4/4/2017	ST_INC 006065
5954	4/4/2017	ST_INC 006066
5955	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006067
5956	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006068
5957	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006069
5958	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006070
5959	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006071
5960	4/5/2017	ST_INC 006072
5961	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006073
5962	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006074
5963	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006075
5964	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006076
5965	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006077
5966	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006078
5967	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006079
5968	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006080
5969	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006081
5970	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006082
5971	4/6/2017	ST_INC 006083
5972	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006084
5973	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006085
5974	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006086
5975	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006087
5976	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006088
5977	4/7/2017	ST_INC 006089

5978	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006090
5979	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006091
5980	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006092
5981	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006093
5982	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006094
5983	4/8/2017	ST_INC 006095
5984	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006096
5985	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006097
5986	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006098
5987	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006099
5988	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006100
5989	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006101
5990	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006102
5991	4/9/2017	ST_INC 006103
5992	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006104
5993	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006105
5994	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006106
5995	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006107
5996	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006108
5997	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006109
5998	4/10/2017	ST_INC 006110
5999	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006111
6000	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006112
6001	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006113
6002	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006114
6003	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006115
6004	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006116
6005	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006117
6006	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006118
6007	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006119
6008	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006120
6009	4/11/2017	ST_INC 006121
6010	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006122
6011	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006123
6012	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006124
6013	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006125
6014	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006126
6015	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006127
6016	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006128
6017	4/12/2017	ST_INC 006129
6018	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006130
6019	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006131
6020	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006132

6021	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006133
6022	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006134
6023	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006135
6024	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006136
6025	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006137
6026	4/13/2017	ST_INC 006138
6027	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006139
6028	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006140
6029	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006141
6030	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006142
6031	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006143
6032	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006144
6033	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006145
6034	4/14/2017	ST_INC 006146
6035	4/15/2017	ST_INC 006147
6036	4/15/2017	ST_INC 006148
6037	4/15/2017	ST_INC 006149
6038	4/15/2017	ST_INC 006150
6039	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006151
6040	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006152
6041	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006153
6042	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006154
6043	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006155
6044	4/16/2017	ST_INC 006156
6045	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006157
6046	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006158
6047	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006159
6048	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006160
6049	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006161
6050	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006162
6051	4/19/2017	ST_INC 006163
6052	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006164
6053	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006165
6054	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006166
6055	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006167
6056	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006168
6057	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006169
6058	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006170
6059	4/20/2017	ST_INC 006171
6060	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006172
6061	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006173
6062	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006174
6063	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006175

6064	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006176
6065	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006177
6066	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006178
6067	4/21/2017	ST_INC 006179
6068	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006180
6069	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006181
6070	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006182
6071	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006183
6072	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006184
6073	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006185
6074	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006186
6075	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006187
6076	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006188
6077	4/22/2017	ST_INC 006189
6078	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006190
6079	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006191
6080	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006192
6081	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006193
6082	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006194
6083	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006195
6084	4/23/2017	ST_INC 006196
6085	4/24/2017	ST_INC 006197
6086	4/24/2017	ST_INC 006198
6087	4/25/2017	ST_INC 006199
6088	4/25/2017	ST_INC 006200
6089	4/25/2017	ST_INC 006201
6090	4/26/2017	ST_INC 006202
6091	4/26/2017	ST_INC 006203
6092	4/26/2017	ST_INC 006204
6093	4/27/2017	ST_INC 006205
6094	4/27/2017	ST_INC 006206
6095	4/27/2017	ST_INC 006207
6096	4/27/2017	ST_INC 006208
6097	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006209
6098	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006210
6099	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006211
6100	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006212
6101	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006213
6102	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006214
6103	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006215
6104	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006216
6105	4/28/2017	ST_INC 006217
6106	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006218

6107	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006219
6108	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006220
6109	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006221
6110	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006222
6111	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006223
6112	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006224
6113	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006225
6114	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006226
6115	4/29/2017	ST_INC 006227
6116	4/30/2017	ST_INC 006228
6117	4/30/2017	ST_INC 006229
6118	4/30/2017	ST_INC 006230
6119	4/30/2017	ST_INC 006231
6120	5/1/2017	ST_INC 006232
6121	5/1/2017	ST_INC 006233
6122	5/1/2017	ST_INC 006234
6123	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006235
6124	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006236
6125	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006237
6126	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006238
6127	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006239
6128	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006240
6129	5/2/2017	ST_INC 006241
6130	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006242
6131	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006243
6132	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006244
6133	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006245
6134	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006246
6135	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006247
6136	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006248
6137	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006249
6138	5/3/2017	ST_INC 006250
6139	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006251
6140	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006252
6141	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006253
6142	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006254
6143	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006255
6144	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006256
6145	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006257
6146	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006258
6147	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006259
6148	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006260
6149	5/4/2017	ST_INC 006261

6150	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006262
6151	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006263
6152	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006264
6153	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006265
6154	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006266
6155	5/5/2017	ST_INC 006267
6156	5/6/2017	ST_INC 006268
6157	5/6/2017	ST_INC 006269
6158	5/7/2017	ST_INC 006270
6159	5/7/2017	ST_INC 006271
6160	5/7/2017	ST_INC 006272
6161	5/7/2017	ST_INC 006273
6162	5/7/2017	ST_INC 006274
6163	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006275
6164	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006276
6165	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006277
6166	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006278
6167	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006279
6168	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006280
6169	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006281
6170	5/9/2017	ST_INC 006282
6171	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006283
6172	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006284
6173	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006285
6174	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006286
6175	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006287
6176	5/10/2017	ST_INC 006288
6177	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006289
6178	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006290
6179	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006291
6180	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006292
6181	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006293
6182	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006294
6183	5/11/2017	ST_INC 006295
6184	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006296
6185	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006297
6186	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006298
6187	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006299
6188	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006300
6189	5/12/2017	ST_INC 006301
6190	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006302
6191	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006303
6192	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006304

6193	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006305
6194	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006306
6195	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006307
6196	5/13/2017	ST_INC 006308
6197	5/15/2017	ST_INC 006309
6198	5/15/2017	ST_INC 006310
6199	5/15/2017	ST_INC 006311
6200	5/15/2017	ST_INC 006312
6201	5/16/2017	ST_INC 006313
6202	5/16/2017	ST_INC 006314
6203	5/16/2017	ST_INC 006315
6204	5/16/2017	ST_INC 006316
6205	5/16/2017	ST_INC 006317
6206	5/17/2017	ST_INC 006318
6207	5/17/2017	ST_INC 006319
6208	5/17/2017	ST_INC 006320
6209	5/17/2017	ST_INC 006321
6210	5/17/2017	ST_INC 006322
6211	5/18/2017	ST_INC 006323
6212	5/18/2017	ST_INC 006324
6213	5/18/2017	ST_INC 006325
6214	5/19/2017	ST_INC 006326
6215	5/19/2017	ST_INC 006327
6216	5/19/2017	ST_INC 006328
6217	5/19/2017	ST_INC 006329
6218	5/20/2017	ST_INC 006330
6219	5/20/2017	ST_INC 006331
6220	5/20/2017	ST_INC 006332
6221	5/21/2017	ST_INC 006333
6222	5/23/2017	ST_INC 006334
6223	5/23/2017	ST_INC 006335
6224	5/23/2017	ST_INC 006336
6225	5/24/2017	ST_INC 006337
6226	5/24/2017	ST_INC 006338
6227	5/24/2017	ST_INC 006339
6228	5/25/2017	ST_INC 006340
6229	5/25/2017	ST_INC 006341
6230	5/25/2017	ST_INC 006342
6231	5/25/2017	ST_INC 006343
6232	5/26/2017	ST_INC 006344
6233	5/26/2017	ST_INC 006345
6234	5/26/2017	ST_INC 006346
6235	5/26/2017	ST_INC 006347

6236	5/26/2017	ST_INC 006348
6237	5/27/2017	ST_INC 006349
6238	5/28/2017	ST_INC 006350
6239	5/29/2017	ST_INC 006351
6240	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006352
6241	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006353
6242	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006354
6243	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006355
6244	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006356
6245	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006357
6246	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006358
6247	5/31/2017	ST_INC 006359
6248	6/1/2017	ST_INC 006360
6249	6/1/2017	ST_INC 006361
6250	6/1/2017	ST_INC 006362
6251	6/1/2017	ST_INC 006363
6252	6/2/2017	ST_INC 006364
6253	6/2/2017	ST_INC 006365
6254	6/2/2017	ST_INC 006366
6255	6/3/2017	ST_INC 006367
6256	6/3/2017	ST_INC 006368
6257	6/4/2017	ST_INC 006369
6258	6/4/2017	ST_INC 006370
6259	6/4/2017	ST_INC 006371
6260	6/5/2017	ST_INC 006372
6261	6/5/2017	ST_INC 006373
6262	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006374
6263	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006375
6264	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006376
6265	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006377
6266	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006378
6267	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006379
6268	6/6/2017	ST_INC 006380
6269	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006381
6270	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006382
6271	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006383
6272	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006384
6273	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006385
6274	6/7/2017	ST_INC 006386
6275	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006387
6276	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006388
6277	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006389
6278	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006390

6279	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006391
6280	6/8/2017	ST_INC 006392
6281	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006393
6282	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006394
6283	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006395
6284	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006396
6285	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006397
6286	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006398
6287	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006399
6288	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006400
6289	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006401
6290	6/9/2017	ST_INC 006402
6291	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006403
6292	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006404
6293	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006405
6294	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006406
6295	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006407
6296	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006408
6297	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006409
6298	6/10/2017	ST_INC 006410
6299	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006411
6300	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006412
6301	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006413
6302	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006414
6303	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006415
6304	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006416
6305	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006417
6306	6/11/2017	ST_INC 006418
6307	6/12/2017	ST_INC 006419
6308	6/12/2017	ST_INC 006420
6309	6/12/2017	ST_INC 006421
6310	6/12/2017	ST_INC 006422
6311	6/12/2017	ST_INC 006423
6312	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006424
6313	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006425
6314	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006426
6315	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006427
6316	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006428
6317	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006429
6318	6/13/2017	ST_INC 006430
6319	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006431
6320	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006432
6321	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006433

6322	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006434
6323	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006435
6324	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006436
6325	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006437
6326	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006438
6327	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006439
6328	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006440
6329	6/14/2017	ST_INC 006441
6330	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006442
6331	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006443
6332	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006444
6333	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006445
6334	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006446
6335	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006447
6336	6/15/2017	ST_INC 006448
6337	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006449
6338	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006450
6339	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006451
6340	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006452
6341	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006453
6342	6/16/2017	ST_INC 006454
6343	6/17/2017	ST_INC 006455
6344	6/17/2017	ST_INC 006456
6345	6/17/2017	ST_INC 006457
6346	6/17/2017	ST_INC 006458
6347	6/17/2017	ST_INC 006459
6348	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006460
6349	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006461
6350	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006462
6351	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006463
6352	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006464
6353	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006465
6354	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006466
6355	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006467
6356	6/18/2017	ST_INC 006468
6357	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006469
6358	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006470
6359	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006471
6360	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006472
6361	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006473
6362	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006474
6363	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006475
6364	6/19/2017	ST_INC 006476

6365	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006477
6366	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006478
6367	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006479
6368	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006480
6369	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006481
6370	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006482
6371	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006483
6372	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006484
6373	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006485
6374	6/20/2017	ST_INC 006486
6375	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006487
6376	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006488
6377	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006489
6378	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006490
6379	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006491
6380	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006492
6381	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006493
6382	6/21/2017	ST_INC 006494
6383	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006495
6384	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006496
6385	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006497
6386	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006498
6387	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006499
6388	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006500
6389	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006501
6390	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006502
6391	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006503
6392	6/22/2017	ST_INC 006504
6393	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006505
6394	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006506
6395	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006507
6396	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006508
6397	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006509
6398	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006510
6399	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006511
6400	6/23/2017	ST_INC 006512
6401	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006513
6402	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006514
6403	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006515
6404	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006516
6405	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006517
6406	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006518
6407	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006519

6408	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006520
6409	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006521
6410	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006522
6411	6/24/2017	ST_INC 006523
6412	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006524
6413	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006525
6414	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006526
6415	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006527
6416	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006528
6417	6/25/2017	ST_INC 006529
6418	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006530
6419	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006531
6420	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006532
6421	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006533
6422	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006534
6423	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006535
6424	6/26/2017	ST_INC 006536
6425	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006537
6426	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006538
6427	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006539
6428	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006540
6429	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006541
6430	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006542
6431	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006543
6432	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006544
6433	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006545
6434	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006546
6435	6/27/2017	ST_INC 006547
6436	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006548
6437	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006549
6438	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006550
6439	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006551
6440	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006552
6441	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006553
6442	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006554
6443	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006555
6444	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006556
6445	6/28/2017	ST_INC 006557
6446	6/29/2017	ST_INC 006558
6447	6/29/2017	ST_INC 006559
6448	6/29/2017	ST_INC 006560
6449	6/29/2017	ST_INC 006561
6450	6/29/2017	ST_INC 006562

6451	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006563
6452	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006564
6453	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006565
6454	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006566
6455	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006567
6456	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006568
6457	6/30/2017	ST_INC 006569
6458	7/2/2017	ST_INC 006570
6459	7/2/2017	ST_INC 006571
6460	7/2/2017	ST_INC 006572
6461	7/2/2017	ST_INC 006573
6462	7/2/2017	ST_INC 006574
6463	7/3/2017	ST_INC 006575
6464	7/3/2017	ST_INC 006576
6465	7/3/2017	ST_INC 006577
6466	7/4/2017	ST_INC 006578
6467	7/4/2017	ST_INC 006579
6468	7/4/2017	ST_INC 006580
6469	7/5/2017	ST_INC 006581
6470	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006582
6471	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006583
6472	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006584
6473	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006585
6474	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006586
6475	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006587
6476	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006588
6477	7/6/2017	ST_INC 006589
6478	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006590
6479	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006591
6480	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006592
6481	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006593
6482	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006594
6483	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006595
6484	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006596
6485	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006597
6486	7/7/2017	ST_INC 006598
6487	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006599
6488	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006600
6489	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006601
6490	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006602
6491	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006603
6492	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006604
6493	7/8/2017	ST_INC 006605

6494	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006606
6495	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006607
6496	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006608
6497	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006609
6498	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006610
6499	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006611
6500	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006612
6501	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006613
6502	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006614
6503	7/9/2017	ST_INC 006615
6504	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006616
6505	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006617
6506	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006618
6507	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006619
6508	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006620
6509	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006621
6510	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006622
6511	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006623
6512	7/10/2017	ST_INC 006624
6513	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006625
6514	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006626
6515	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006627
6516	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006628
6517	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006629
6518	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006630
6519	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006631
6520	7/11/2017	ST_INC 006632
6521	7/12/2017	ST_INC 006633
6522	7/12/2017	ST_INC 006634
6523	7/12/2017	ST_INC 006635
6524	7/12/2017	ST_INC 006636
6525	7/12/2017	ST_INC 006637
6526	7/13/2017	ST_INC 006638
6527	7/13/2017	ST_INC 006639
6528	7/14/2017	ST_INC 006640
6529	7/15/2017	ST_INC 006641
6530	7/15/2017	ST_INC 006642
6531	7/15/2017	ST_INC 006643
6532	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006644
6533	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006645
6534	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006646
6535	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006647
6536	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006648

6537	7/16/2017	ST_INC 006649
6538	7/17/2017	ST_INC 006650
6539	7/17/2017	ST_INC 006651
6540	7/18/2017	ST_INC 006652
6541	7/18/2017	ST_INC 006653
6542	7/18/2017	ST_INC 006654
6543	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006655
6544	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006656
6545	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006657
6546	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006658
6547	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006659
6548	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006660
6549	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006661
6550	7/19/2017	ST_INC 006662
6551	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006663
6552	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006664
6553	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006665
6554	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006666
6555	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006667
6556	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006668
6557	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006669
6558	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006670
6559	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006671
6560	7/20/2017	ST_INC 006672
6561	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006673
6562	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006674
6563	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006675
6564	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006676
6565	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006677
6566	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006678
6567	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006679
6568	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006680
6569	7/21/2017	ST_INC 006681
6570	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006682
6571	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006683
6572	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006684
6573	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006685
6574	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006686
6575	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006687
6576	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006688
6577	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006689
6578	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006690
6579	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006691

6580	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006692
6581	7/22/2017	ST_INC 006693
6582	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006694
6583	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006695
6584	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006696
6585	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006697
6586	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006698
6587	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006699
6588	7/23/2017	ST_INC 006700
6589	7/24/2017	ST_INC 006701
6590	7/24/2017	ST_INC 006702
6591	7/24/2017	ST_INC 006703
6592	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006704
6593	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006705
6594	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006706
6595	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006707
6596	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006708
6597	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006709
6598	7/25/2017	ST_INC 006710
6599	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006711
6600	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006712
6601	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006713
6602	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006714
6603	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006715
6604	7/26/2017	ST_INC 006716
6605	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006717
6606	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006718
6607	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006719
6608	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006720
6609	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006721
6610	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006722
6611	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006723
6612	7/27/2017	ST_INC 006724
6613	7/28/2017	ST_INC 006725
6614	7/28/2017	ST_INC 006726
6615	7/28/2017	ST_INC 006727
6616	7/28/2017	ST_INC 006728
6617	7/28/2017	ST_INC 006729
6618	7/29/2017	ST_INC 006730
6619	7/29/2017	ST_INC 006731
6620	7/29/2017	ST_INC 006732
6621	7/29/2017	ST_INC 006733
6622	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006734

6623	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006735
6624	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006736
6625	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006737
6626	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006738
6627	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006739
6628	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006740
6629	7/30/2017	ST_INC 006741
6630	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006742
6631	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006743
6632	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006744
6633	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006745
6634	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006746
6635	7/31/2017	ST_INC 006747
6636	8/1/2017	ST_INC 006748
6637	8/1/2017	ST_INC 006749
6638	8/1/2017	ST_INC 006750
6639	8/1/2017	ST_INC 006751
6640	8/1/2017	ST_INC 006752
6641	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006753
6642	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006754
6643	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006755
6644	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006756
6645	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006757
6646	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006758
6647	8/2/2017	ST_INC 006759
6648	8/3/2017	ST_INC 006760
6649	8/3/2017	ST_INC 006761
6650	8/3/2017	ST_INC 006762
6651	8/3/2017	ST_INC 006763
6652	8/3/2017	ST_INC 006764
6653	8/4/2017	ST_INC 006765
6654	8/4/2017	ST_INC 006766
6655	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006767
6656	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006768
6657	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006769
6658	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006770
6659	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006771
6660	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006772
6661	8/5/2017	ST_INC 006773
6662	8/6/2017	ST_INC 006774
6663	8/6/2017	ST_INC 006775
6664	8/7/2017	ST_INC 006776
6665	8/7/2017	ST_INC 006777

6666	8/7/2017	ST_INC 006778
6667	8/8/2017	ST_INC 006779
6668	8/8/2017	ST_INC 006780
6669	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006781
6670	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006782
6671	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006783
6672	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006784
6673	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006785
6674	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006786
6675	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006787
6676	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006788
6677	8/9/2017	ST_INC 006789
6678	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006790
6679	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006791
6680	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006792
6681	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006793
6682	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006794
6683	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006795
6684	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006796
6685	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006797
6686	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006798
6687	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006799
6688	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006800
6689	8/10/2017	ST_INC 006801
6690	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006802
6691	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006803
6692	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006804
6693	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006805
6694	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006806
6695	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006807
6696	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006808
6697	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006809
6698	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006810
6699	8/11/2017	ST_INC 006811
6700	8/12/2017	ST_INC 006812
6701	8/12/2017	ST_INC 006813
6702	8/12/2017	ST_INC 006814
6703	8/12/2017	ST_INC 006815
6704	8/12/2017	ST_INC 006816
6705	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006817
6706	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006818
6707	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006819
6708	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006820

6709	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006821
6710	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006822
6711	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006823
6712	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006824
6713	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006825
6714	8/13/2017	ST_INC 006826
6715	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006827
6716	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006828
6717	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006829
6718	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006830
6719	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006831
6720	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006832
6721	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006833
6722	8/14/2017	ST_INC 006834
6723	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006835
6724	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006836
6725	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006837
6726	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006838
6727	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006839
6728	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006840
6729	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006841
6730	8/15/2017	ST_INC 006842
6731	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006843
6732	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006844
6733	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006845
6734	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006846
6735	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006847
6736	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006848
6737	8/16/2017	ST_INC 006849
6738	8/17/2017	ST_INC 006850
6739	8/18/2017	ST_INC 006851
6740	8/18/2017	ST_INC 006852
6741	8/18/2017	ST_INC 006853
6742	8/18/2017	ST_INC 006854
6743	8/19/2017	ST_INC 006855
6744	8/19/2017	ST_INC 006856
6745	8/19/2017	ST_INC 006857
6746	8/19/2017	ST_INC 006858
6747	8/19/2017	ST_INC 006859
6748	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006860
6749	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006861
6750	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006862
6751	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006863

6752	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006864
6753	8/20/2017	ST_INC 006865
6754	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006866
6755	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006867
6756	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006868
6757	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006869
6758	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006870
6759	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006871
6760	8/21/2017	ST_INC 006872
6761	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006873
6762	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006874
6763	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006875
6764	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006876
6765	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006877
6766	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006878
6767	8/22/2017	ST_INC 006879
6768	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006880
6769	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006881
6770	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006882
6771	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006883
6772	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006884
6773	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006885
6774	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006886
6775	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006887
6776	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006888
6777	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006889
6778	8/23/2017	ST_INC 006890
6779	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006891
6780	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006892
6781	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006893
6782	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006894
6783	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006895
6784	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006896
6785	8/24/2017	ST_INC 006897
6786	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006898
6787	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006899
6788	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006900
6789	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006901
6790	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006902
6791	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006903
6792	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006904
6793	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006905
6794	8/25/2017	ST_INC 006906

6795	8/26/2017	ST_INC 006907
6796	8/26/2017	ST_INC 006908
6797	8/26/2017	ST_INC 006909
6798	8/26/2017	ST_INC 006910
6799	8/26/2017	ST_INC 006911
6800	8/27/2017	ST_INC 006912
6801	8/27/2017	ST_INC 006913
6802	8/27/2017	ST_INC 006914
6803	8/27/2017	ST_INC 006915
6804	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006916
6805	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006917
6806	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006918
6807	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006919
6808	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006920
6809	8/28/2017	ST_INC 006921
6810	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006922
6811	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006923
6812	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006924
6813	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006925
6814	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006926
6815	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006927
6816	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006928
6817	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006929
6818	8/29/2017	ST_INC 006930
6819	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006931
6820	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006932
6821	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006933
6822	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006934
6823	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006935
6824	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006936
6825	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006937
6826	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006938
6827	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006939
6828	8/30/2017	ST_INC 006940
6829	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006941
6830	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006942
6831	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006943
6832	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006944
6833	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006945
6834	8/31/2017	ST_INC 006946
6835	9/1/2017	ST_INC 006947
6836	9/1/2017	ST_INC 006948
6837	9/1/2017	ST_INC 006949

6838	9/1/2017	ST_INC 006950
6839	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006951
6840	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006952
6841	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006953
6842	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006954
6843	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006955
6844	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006956
6845	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006957
6846	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006958
6847	9/2/2017	ST_INC 006959
6848	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006960
6849	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006961
6850	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006962
6851	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006963
6852	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006964
6853	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006965
6854	9/3/2017	ST_INC 006966
6855	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006967
6856	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006968
6857	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006969
6858	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006970
6859	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006971
6860	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006972
6861	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006973
6862	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006974
6863	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006975
6864	9/6/2017	ST_INC 006976
6865	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006977
6866	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006978
6867	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006979
6868	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006980
6869	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006981
6870	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006982
6871	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006983
6872	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006984
6873	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006985
6874	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006986
6875	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006987
6876	9/7/2017	ST_INC 006988
6877	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006989
6878	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006990
6879	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006991
6880	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006992

6881	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006993
6882	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006994
6883	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006995
6884	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006996
6885	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006997
6886	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006998
6887	9/8/2017	ST_INC 006999
6888	9/8/2017	ST_INC 007000
6889	9/9/2017	ST_INC 007001
6890	9/9/2017	ST_INC 007002
6891	9/9/2017	ST_INC 007003
6892	9/9/2017	ST_INC 007004
6893	9/9/2017	ST_INC 007005
6894	9/10/2017	ST_INC 007006
6895	9/10/2017	ST_INC 007007
6896	9/10/2017	ST_INC 007008
6897	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007009
6898	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007010
6899	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007011
6900	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007012
6901	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007013
6902	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007014
6903	9/11/2017	ST_INC 007015
6904	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007016
6905	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007017
6906	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007018
6907	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007019
6908	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007020
6909	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007021
6910	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007022
6911	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007023
6912	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007024
6913	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007025
6914	9/12/2017	ST_INC 007026
6915	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007027
6916	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007028
6917	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007029
6918	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007030
6919	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007031
6920	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007032
6921	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007033
6922	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007034
6923	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007035

6924	9/13/2017	ST_INC 007036
6925	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007037
6926	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007038
6927	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007039
6928	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007040
6929	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007041
6930	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007042
6931	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007043
6932	9/14/2017	ST_INC 007044
6933	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007045
6934	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007046
6935	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007047
6936	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007048
6937	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007049
6938	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007050
6939	9/15/2017	ST_INC 007051
6940	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007052
6941	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007053
6942	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007054
6943	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007055
6944	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007056
6945	9/16/2017	ST_INC 007057
6946	9/17/2017	ST_INC 007058
6947	9/17/2017	ST_INC 007059
6948	9/17/2017	ST_INC 007060
6949	9/17/2017	ST_INC 007061
6950	9/18/2017	ST_INC 007062
6951	9/18/2017	ST_INC 007063
6952	9/18/2017	ST_INC 007064
6953	9/18/2017	ST_INC 007065
6954	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007066
6955	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007067
6956	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007068
6957	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007069
6958	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007070
6959	9/19/2017	ST_INC 007071
6960	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007072
6961	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007073
6962	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007074
6963	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007075
6964	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007076
6965	9/20/2017	ST_INC 007077
6966	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007078

6967	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007079
6968	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007080
6969	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007081
6970	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007082
6971	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007083
6972	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007084
6973	9/21/2017	ST_INC 007085
6974	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007086
6975	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007087
6976	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007088
6977	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007089
6978	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007090
6979	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007091
6980	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007092
6981	9/22/2017	ST_INC 007093
6982	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007094
6983	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007095
6984	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007096
6985	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007097
6986	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007098
6987	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007099
6988	9/23/2017	ST_INC 007100
6989	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007101
6990	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007102
6991	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007103
6992	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007104
6993	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007105
6994	9/24/2017	ST_INC 007106
6995	9/25/2017	ST_INC 007107
6996	9/25/2017	ST_INC 007108
6997	9/25/2017	ST_INC 007109
6998	9/25/2017	ST_INC 007110
6999	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007111
7000	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007112
7001	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007113
7002	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007114
7003	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007115
7004	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007116
7005	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007117
7006	9/26/2017	ST_INC 007118
7007	9/27/2017	ST_INC 007119
7008	9/27/2017	ST_INC 007120
7009	9/27/2017	ST_INC 007121

7010	9/27/2017	ST_INC 007122
7011	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007123
7012	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007124
7013	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007125
7014	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007126
7015	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007127
7016	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007128
7017	9/28/2017	ST_INC 007129
7018	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007130
7019	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007131
7020	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007132
7021	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007133
7022	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007134
7023	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007135
7024	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007136
7025	9/29/2017	ST_INC 007137
7026	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007138
7027	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007139
7028	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007140
7029	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007141
7030	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007142
7031	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007143
7032	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007144
7033	9/30/2017	ST_INC 007145
7034	10/1/2017	ST_INC 007146
7035	10/1/2017	ST_INC 007147
7036	10/2/2017	ST_INC 007148
7037	10/2/2017	ST_INC 007149
7038	10/2/2017	ST_INC 007150
7039	10/2/2017	ST_INC 007151
7040	10/2/2017	ST_INC 007152
7041	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007153
7042	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007154
7043	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007155
7044	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007156
7045	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007157
7046	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007158
7047	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007159
7048	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007160
7049	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007161
7050	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007162
7051	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007163
7052	10/3/2017	ST_INC 007164

7053	10/4/2017	ST_INC 007165
7054	10/4/2017	ST_INC 007166
7055	10/4/2017	ST_INC 007167
7056	10/4/2017	ST_INC 007168
7057	10/4/2017	ST_INC 007169
7058	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007170
7059	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007171
7060	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007172
7061	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007173
7062	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007174
7063	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007175
7064	10/5/2017	ST_INC 007176
7065	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007177
7066	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007178
7067	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007179
7068	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007180
7069	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007181
7070	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007182
7071	10/6/2017	ST_INC 007183
7072	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007184
7073	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007185
7074	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007186
7075	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007187
7076	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007188
7077	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007189
7078	10/7/2017	ST_INC 007190
7079	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007191
7080	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007192
7081	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007193
7082	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007194
7083	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007195
7084	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007196
7085	10/8/2017	ST_INC 007197
7086	10/9/2017	ST_INC 007198
7087	10/9/2017	ST_INC 007199
7088	10/9/2017	ST_INC 007200
7089	10/9/2017	ST_INC 007201
7090	10/9/2017	ST_INC 007202
7091	10/10/2017	ST_INC 007203
7092	10/10/2017	ST_INC 007204
7093	10/10/2017	ST_INC 007205
7094	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007206
7095	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007207

7096	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007208
7097	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007209
7098	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007210
7099	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007211
7100	10/11/2017	ST_INC 007212
7101	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007213
7102	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007214
7103	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007215
7104	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007216
7105	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007217
7106	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007218
7107	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007219
7108	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007220
7109	10/12/2017	ST_INC 007221
7110	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007222
7111	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007223
7112	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007224
7113	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007225
7114	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007226
7115	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007227
7116	10/13/2017	ST_INC 007228
7117	10/14/2017	ST_INC 007229
7118	10/14/2017	ST_INC 007230
7119	10/14/2017	ST_INC 007231
7120	10/14/2017	ST_INC 007232
7121	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007233
7122	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007234
7123	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007235
7124	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007236
7125	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007237
7126	10/15/2017	ST_INC 007238
7127	10/16/2017	ST_INC 007239
7128	10/16/2017	ST_INC 007240
7129	10/16/2017	ST_INC 007241
7130	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007242
7131	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007243
7132	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007244
7133	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007245
7134	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007246
7135	10/17/2017	ST_INC 007247
7136	10/18/2017	ST_INC 007248
7137	10/18/2017	ST_INC 007249
7138	10/18/2017	ST_INC 007250

7139	10/18/2017	ST_INC 007251
7140	10/18/2017	ST_INC 007252
7141	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007253
7142	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007254
7143	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007255
7144	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007256
7145	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007257
7146	10/19/2017	ST_INC 007258
7147	10/20/2017	ST_INC 007259
7148	10/20/2017	ST_INC 007260
7149	10/20/2017	ST_INC 007261
7150	10/20/2017	ST_INC 007262
7151	10/21/2017	ST_INC 007263
7152	10/21/2017	ST_INC 007264
7153	10/21/2017	ST_INC 007265
7154	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007266
7155	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007267
7156	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007268
7157	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007269
7158	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007270
7159	10/24/2017	ST_INC 007271
7160	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007272
7161	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007273
7162	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007274
7163	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007275
7164	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007276
7165	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007277
7166	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007278
7167	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007279
7168	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007280
7169	10/25/2017	ST_INC 007281
7170	10/26/2017	ST_INC 007282
7171	10/26/2017	ST_INC 007283
7172	10/26/2017	ST_INC 007284
7173	10/26/2017	ST_INC 007285
7174	10/26/2017	ST_INC 007286
7175	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007287
7176	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007288
7177	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007289
7178	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007290
7179	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007291
7180	10/27/2017	ST_INC 007292
7181	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007293

7182	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007294
7183	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007295
7184	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007296
7185	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007297
7186	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007298
7187	10/28/2017	ST_INC 007299
7188	10/29/2017	ST_INC 007300
7189	10/29/2017	ST_INC 007301
7190	10/29/2017	ST_INC 007302
7191	10/29/2017	ST_INC 007303
7192	10/29/2017	ST_INC 007304
7193	10/30/2017	ST_INC 007305
7194	10/30/2017	ST_INC 007306
7195	10/30/2017	ST_INC 007307
7196	10/30/2017	ST_INC 007308
7197	10/30/2017	ST_INC 007309
7198	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007310
7199	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007311
7200	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007312
7201	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007313
7202	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007314
7203	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007315
7204	10/31/2017	ST_INC 007316
7205	11/1/2017	ST_INC 007317
7206	11/1/2017	ST_INC 007318
7207	11/1/2017	ST_INC 007319
7208	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007320
7209	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007321
7210	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007322
7211	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007323
7212	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007324
7213	11/2/2017	ST_INC 007325
7214	11/3/2017	ST_INC 007326
7215	11/3/2017	ST_INC 007327
7216	11/3/2017	ST_INC 007328
7217	11/3/2017	ST_INC 007329
7218	11/3/2017	ST_INC 007330
7219	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007331
7220	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007332
7221	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007333
7222	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007334
7223	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007335
7224	11/4/2017	ST_INC 007336

7225	11/5/2017	ST_INC 007337
7226	11/5/2017	ST_INC 007338
7227	11/6/2017	ST_INC 007339
7228	11/6/2017	ST_INC 007340
7229	11/6/2017	ST_INC 007341
7230	11/6/2017	ST_INC 007342
7231	11/7/2017	ST_INC 007343
7232	11/7/2017	ST_INC 007344
7233	11/7/2017	ST_INC 007345
7234	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007346
7235	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007347
7236	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007348
7237	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007349
7238	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007350
7239	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007351
7240	11/8/2017	ST_INC 007353
7241	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007354
7242	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007355
7243	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007356
7244	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007357
7245	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007358
7246	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007359
7247	11/9/2017	ST_INC 007360
7248	11/10/2017	ST_INC 007361
7249	11/10/2017	ST_INC 007362
7250	11/10/2017	ST_INC 007363
7251	11/10/2017	ST_INC 007364
7252	11/10/2017	ST_INC 007365
7253	11/11/2017	ST_INC 007366
7254	11/11/2017	ST_INC 007367
7255	11/11/2017	ST_INC 007368
7256	11/11/2017	ST_INC 007369
7257	11/11/2017	ST_INC 007370
7258	11/13/2017	ST_INC 007371
7259	11/13/2017	ST_INC 007372
7260	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007373
7261	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007374
7262	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007375
7263	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007376
7264	11/13/2017	ST_INC 007377
7265	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007378
7266	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007379
7267	11/14/2017	ST_INC 007380

7268	11/15/2017	ST_INC 007381
7269	11/15/2017	ST_INC 007382
7270	11/15/2017	ST_INC 007383
7271	11/15/2017	ST_INC 007384
7272	11/15/2017	ST_INC 007385
7273	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007386
7274	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007387
7275	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007388
7276	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007389
7277	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007390
7278	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007391
7279	11/16/2017	ST_INC 007392
7280	11/17/2017	ST_INC 007393
7281	11/17/2017	ST_INC 007394
7282	11/17/2017	ST_INC 007395
7283	11/18/2017	ST_INC 007396
7284	11/18/2017	ST_INC 007397
7285	11/18/2017	ST_INC 007398
7286	11/19/2017	ST_INC 007399
7287	11/19/2017	ST_INC 007400
7288	11/19/2017	ST_INC 007401
7289	11/20/2017	ST_INC 007402
7290	11/20/2017	ST_INC 007403
7291	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007404
7292	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007405
7293	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007406
7294	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007407
7295	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007408
7296	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007409
7297	11/21/2017	ST_INC 007410
7298	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007411
7299	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007412
7300	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007413
7301	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007414
7302	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007415
7303	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007416
7304	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007417
7305	11/22/2017	ST_INC 007418
7306	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007419
7307	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007420
7308	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007421
7309	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007422
7310	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007423

7311	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007424
7312	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007425
7313	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007426
7314	11/23/2017	ST_INC 007427
7315	11/24/2017	ST_INC 007428
7316	11/26/2017	ST_INC 007429
7317	11/26/2017	ST_INC 007430
7318	11/26/2017	ST_INC 007431
7319	11/27/2017	ST_INC 007432
7320	11/27/2017	ST_INC 007433
7321	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007434
7322	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007435
7323	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007436
7324	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007437
7325	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007438
7326	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007439
7327	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007440
7328	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007441
7329	11/28/2017	ST_INC 007442
7330	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007443
7331	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007444
7332	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007445
7333	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007446
7334	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007447
7335	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007448
7336	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007449
7337	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007450
7338	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007451
7339	11/29/2017	ST_INC 007452
7340	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007453
7341	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007454
7342	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007455
7343	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007456
7344	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007457
7345	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007458
7346	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007459
7347	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007460
7348	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007461
7349	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007462
7350	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007463
7351	11/30/2017	ST_INC 007464
7352	12/1/2017	ST_INC 007465
7353	12/1/2017	ST_INC 007466

7354	12/1/2017	ST_INC 007467
7355	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007468
7356	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007469
7357	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007470
7358	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007471
7359	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007472
7360	12/2/2017	ST_INC 007473
7361	12/3/2017	ST_INC 007474
7362	12/3/2017	ST_INC 007475
7363	12/3/2017	ST_INC 007476
7364	12/3/2017	ST_INC 007477
7365	12/4/2017	ST_INC 007478
7366	12/4/2017	ST_INC 007479
7367	12/4/2017	ST_INC 007480
7368	12/4/2017	ST_INC 007481
7369	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007482
7370	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007483
7371	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007484
7372	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007485
7373	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007486
7374	12/5/2017	ST_INC 007487
7375	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007488
7376	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007489
7377	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007490
7378	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007491
7379	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007492
7380	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007493
7381	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007494
7382	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007495
7383	12/6/2017	ST_INC 007496
7384	12/7/2017	ST_INC 007497
7385	12/7/2017	ST_INC 007498
7386	12/7/2017	ST_INC 007499
7387	12/7/2017	ST_INC 007500
7388	12/7/2017	ST_INC 007501
7389	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007502
7390	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007503
7391	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007504
7392	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007505
7393	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007506
7394	12/8/2017	ST_INC 007507
7395	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007508
7396	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007509

7397	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007510
7398	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007511
7399	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007512
7400	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007513
7401	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007514
7402	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007515
7403	12/9/2017	ST_INC 007516
7404	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007517
7405	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007518
7406	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007519
7407	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007520
7408	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007521
7409	12/10/2017	ST_INC 007522
7410	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007523
7411	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007524
7412	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007525
7413	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007526
7414	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007527
7415	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007528
7416	12/11/2017	ST_INC 007529
7417	12/12/2017	ST_INC 007530
7418	12/12/2017	ST_INC 007531
7419	12/12/2017	ST_INC 007532
7420	12/12/2017	ST_INC 007533
7421	12/12/2017	ST_INC 007534
7422	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007535
7423	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007536
7424	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007537
7425	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007538
7426	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007539
7427	12/13/2017	ST_INC 007540
7428	12/14/2017	ST_INC 007541
7429	12/14/2017	ST_INC 007542
7430	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007543
7431	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007544
7432	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007545
7433	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007546
7434	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007547
7435	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007548
7436	12/15/2017	ST_INC 007549
7437	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007550
7438	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007551
7439	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007552

7440	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007553
7441	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007554
7442	12/16/2017	ST_INC 007555
7443	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007556
7444	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007557
7445	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007558
7446	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007559
7447	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007560
7448	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007561
7449	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007562
7450	12/17/2017	ST_INC 007563
7451	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007564
7452	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007565
7453	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007566
7454	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007567
7455	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007568
7456	12/18/2017	ST_INC 007569
7457	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007570
7458	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007571
7459	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007572
7460	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007573
7461	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007574
7462	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007575
7463	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007576
7464	12/19/2017	ST_INC 007577
7465	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007578
7466	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007579
7467	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007580
7468	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007581
7469	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007582
7470	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007583
7471	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007584
7472	12/20/2017	ST_INC 007585
7473	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007586
7474	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007587
7475	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007588
7476	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007589
7477	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007590
7478	12/21/2017	ST_INC 007591
7479	12/22/2017	ST_INC 007592
7480	12/22/2017	ST_INC 007593
7481	12/23/2017	ST_INC 007594
7482	12/23/2017	ST_INC 007595

7483	12/24/2017	ST_INC 007596
7484	12/24/2017	ST_INC 007597
7485	12/24/2017	ST_INC 007598
7486	12/24/2017	ST_INC 007599
7487	12/24/2017	ST_INC 007600
7488	12/25/2017	ST_INC 007601
7489	12/25/2017	ST_INC 007602
7490	12/27/2017	ST_INC 007603
7491	12/27/2017	ST_INC 007604
7492	12/28/2017	ST_INC 007605
7493	12/28/2017	ST_INC 007606
7494	12/28/2017	ST_INC 007607
7495	12/28/2017	ST_INC 007608
7496	12/29/2017	ST_INC 007609
7497	12/29/2017	ST_INC 007610
7498	12/29/2017	ST_INC 007611
7499	1/2/2018	ST_INC 007612
7500	1/3/2018	ST_INC 007613
7501	1/3/2018	ST_INC 007614
7502	1/3/2018	ST_INC 007615
7503	1/3/2018	ST_INC 007616
7504	1/3/2018	ST_INC 007617
7505	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007618
7506	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007619
7507	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007620
7508	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007621
7509	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007622
7510	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007623
7511	1/4/2018	ST_INC 007624
7512	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007625
7513	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007626
7514	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007627
7515	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007628
7516	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007629
7517	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007630
7518	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007631
7519	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007632
7520	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007633
7521	1/6/2018	ST_INC 007634
7522	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007635
7523	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007636
7524	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007637
7525	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007638

7526	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007639
7527	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007640
7528	1/7/2018	ST_INC 007641
7529	1/8/2018	ST_INC 007642
7530	1/8/2018	ST_INC 007643
7531	1/9/2018	ST_INC 007644
7532	1/9/2018	ST_INC 007645
7533	1/10/2018	ST_INC 007646
7534	1/10/2018	ST_INC 007647
7535	1/10/2018	ST_INC 007648
7536	1/10/2018	ST_INC 007649
7537	1/11/2018	ST_INC 007650
7538	1/11/2018	ST_INC 007651
7539	1/11/2018	ST_INC 007652
7540	1/11/2018	ST_INC 007653
7541	1/11/2018	ST_INC 007654
7542	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007655
7543	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007656
7544	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007657
7545	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007658
7546	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007659
7547	1/12/2018	ST_INC 007660
7548	1/13/2018	ST_INC 007661
7549	1/13/2018	ST_INC 007662
7550	1/13/2018	ST_INC 007663
7551	1/13/2018	ST_INC 007664
7552	1/14/2018	ST_INC 007665
7553	1/14/2018	ST_INC 007666
7554	1/15/2018	ST_INC 007667
7555	1/15/2018	ST_INC 007668
7556	1/16/2018	ST_INC 007669
7557	1/16/2018	ST_INC 007670
7558	1/16/2018	ST_INC 007671
7559	1/16/2018	ST_INC 007672
7560	1/16/2018	ST_INC 007673
7561	1/17/2018	ST_INC 007674
7562	1/17/2018	ST_INC 007675
7563	1/17/2018	ST_INC 007676
7564	1/17/2018	ST_INC 007677
7565	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007678
7566	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007679
7567	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007680
7568	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007681

7569	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007682
7570	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007683
7571	1/18/2018	ST_INC 007684
7572	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007685
7573	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007686
7574	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007687
7575	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007688
7576	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007689
7577	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007690
7578	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007691
7579	1/19/2018	ST_INC 007692
7580	1/20/2018	ST_INC 007693
7581	1/20/2018	ST_INC 007694
7582	1/20/2018	ST_INC 007695
7583	1/20/2018	ST_INC 007696
7584	1/21/2018	ST_INC 007697
7585	1/21/2018	ST_INC 007698
7586	1/21/2018	ST_INC 007699
7587	1/22/2018	ST_INC 007700
7588	1/22/2018	ST_INC 007701
7589	1/22/2018	ST_INC 007702
7590	1/22/2018	ST_INC 007703
7591	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007704
7592	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007705
7593	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007706
7594	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007707
7595	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007708
7596	1/23/2018	ST_INC 007709
7597	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007710
7598	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007711
7599	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007712
7600	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007713
7601	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007714
7602	1/24/2018	ST_INC 007715
7603	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007716
7604	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007717
7605	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007718
7606	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007719
7607	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007720
7608	1/25/2018	ST_INC 007721
7609	1/26/2018	ST_INC 007722
7610	1/26/2018	ST_INC 007723
7611	1/26/2018	ST_INC 007724

7612	1/26/2018	ST_INC 007725
7613	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007726
7614	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007727
7615	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007728
7616	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007729
7617	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007730
7618	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007731
7619	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007732
7620	1/27/2018	ST_INC 007733
7621	1/28/2018	ST_INC 007734
7622	1/28/2018	ST_INC 007735
7623	1/28/2018	ST_INC 007736
7624	1/28/2018	ST_INC 007737
7625	1/29/2018	ST_INC 007738
7626	1/29/2018	ST_INC 007739
7627	1/29/2018	ST_INC 007740
7628	1/29/2018	ST_INC 007741
7629	1/30/2018	ST_INC 007742
7630	1/30/2018	ST_INC 007743
7631	1/30/2018	ST_INC 007744
7632	1/30/2018	ST_INC 007745
7633	1/30/2018	ST_INC 007746
7634	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007747
7635	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007748
7636	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007749
7637	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007750
7638	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007751
7639	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007752
7640	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007753
7641	1/31/2018	ST_INC 007754
7642	2/1/2018	ST_INC 007755
7643	2/1/2018	ST_INC 007756
7644	2/1/2018	ST_INC 007757
7645	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007758
7646	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007759
7647	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007760
7648	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007761
7649	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007762
7650	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007763
7651	2/2/2018	ST_INC 007764
7652	2/3/2018	ST_INC 007765
7653	2/3/2018	ST_INC 007766
7654	2/3/2018	ST_INC 007767

7655	2/3/2018	ST_INC 007768
7656	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007769
7657	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007770
7658	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007771
7659	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007772
7660	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007773
7661	2/4/2018	ST_INC 007774
7662	2/5/2018	ST_INC 007775
7663	2/6/2018	ST_INC 007776
7664	2/6/2018	ST_INC 007777
7665	2/6/2018	ST_INC 007778
7666	2/6/2018	ST_INC 007779
7667	2/7/2018	ST_INC 007780
7668	2/7/2018	ST_INC 007781
7669	2/7/2018	ST_INC 007782
7670	2/7/2018	ST_INC 007783
7671	2/7/2018	ST_INC 007784
7672	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007785
7673	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007786
7674	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007787
7675	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007788
7676	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007789
7677	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007790
7678	2/8/2018	ST_INC 007791
7679	2/9/2018	ST_INC 007792
7680	2/9/2018	ST_INC 007793
7681	2/9/2018	ST_INC 007794
7682	2/9/2018	ST_INC 007795
7683	2/9/2018	ST_INC 007796
7684	2/10/2018	ST_INC 007797
7685	2/10/2018	ST_INC 007798
7686	2/11/2018	ST_INC 007799
7687	2/11/2018	ST_INC 007800
7688	2/12/2018	ST_INC 007801
7689	2/12/2018	ST_INC 007802
7690	2/12/2018	ST_INC 007803
7691	2/12/2018	ST_INC 007804
7692	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007805
7693	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007806
7694	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007807
7695	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007808
7696	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007809
7697	2/13/2018	ST_INC 007810

7698	2/14/2018	ST_INC 007811
7699	2/14/2018	ST_INC 007812
7700	2/14/2018	ST_INC 007813
7701	2/14/2018	ST_INC 007814
7702	2/14/2018	ST_INC 007815
7703	2/15/2018	ST_INC 007816
7704	2/15/2018	ST_INC 007817
7705	2/15/2018	ST_INC 007818
7706	2/15/2018	ST_INC 007819
7707	2/15/2018	ST_INC 007820
7708	2/16/2018	ST_INC 007821
7709	2/16/2018	ST_INC 007822
7710	2/16/2018	ST_INC 007823
7711	2/16/2018	ST_INC 007824
7712	2/17/2018	ST_INC 007825
7713	2/17/2018	ST_INC 007826
7714	2/17/2018	ST_INC 007827
7715	2/17/2018	ST_INC 007828
7716	2/18/2018	ST_INC 007829
7717	2/18/2018	ST_INC 007830
7718	2/18/2018	ST_INC 007831
7719	2/18/2018	ST_INC 007832
7720	2/18/2018	ST_INC 007833
7721	2/19/2018	ST_INC 007834
7722	2/19/2018	ST_INC 007835
7723	2/19/2018	ST_INC 007836
7724	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007837
7725	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007838
7726	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007839
7727	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007840
7728	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007841
7729	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007842
7730	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007843
7731	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007844
7732	2/20/2018	ST_INC 007845
7733	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007846
7734	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007847
7735	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007848
7736	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007849
7737	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007850
7738	2/21/2018	ST_INC 007851
7739	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007852
7740	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007853

7741	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007854
7742	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007855
7743	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007856
7744	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007857
7745	2/22/2018	ST_INC 007858
7746	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007859
7747	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007860
7748	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007861
7749	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007862
7750	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007863
7751	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007864
7752	2/23/2018	ST_INC 007865
7753	2/24/2018	ST_INC 007866
7754	2/24/2018	ST_INC 007867
7755	2/24/2018	ST_INC 007868
7756	2/24/2018	ST_INC 007869
7757	2/25/2018	ST_INC 007870
7758	2/25/2018	ST_INC 007871
7759	2/25/2018	ST_INC 007872
7760	2/26/2018	ST_INC 007873
7761	2/27/2018	ST_INC 007874
7762	2/27/2018	ST_INC 007875
7763	2/27/2018	ST_INC 007876
7764	2/27/2018	ST_INC 007877
7765	2/27/2018	ST_INC 007878
7766	2/28/2018	ST_INC 007879
7767	2/28/2018	ST_INC 007880
7768	2/28/2018	ST_INC 007881
7769	2/28/2018	ST_INC 007882
7770	2/28/2018	ST_INC 007883
7771	3/1/2018	ST_INC 007884
7772	3/1/2018	ST_INC 007885
7773	3/1/2018	ST_INC 007886
7774	3/1/2018	ST_INC 007887
7775	3/1/2018	ST_INC 007888
7776	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007889
7777	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007890
7778	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007891
7779	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007892
7780	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007893
7781	3/2/2018	ST_INC 007894
7782	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007895
7783	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007896

7784	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007897
7785	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007898
7786	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007899
7787	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007900
7788	3/3/2018	ST_INC 007901
7789	3/4/2018	ST_INC 007902
7790	3/4/2018	ST_INC 007903
7791	3/4/2018	ST_INC 007904
7792	3/4/2018	ST_INC 007905
7793	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007906
7794	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007907
7795	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007908
7796	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007909
7797	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007910
7798	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007911
7799	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007912
7800	3/5/2018	ST_INC 007913
7801	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007914
7802	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007915
7803	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007916
7804	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007917
7805	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007918
7806	3/6/2018	ST_INC 007919
7807	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007920
7808	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007921
7809	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007922
7810	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007923
7811	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007924
7812	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007925
7813	3/7/2018	ST_INC 007926
7814	3/8/2018	ST_INC 007927
7815	3/8/2018	ST_INC 007928
7816	3/8/2018	ST_INC 007929
7817	3/8/2018	ST_INC 007930
7818	3/8/2018	ST_INC 007931
7819	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007932
7820	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007933
7821	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007934
7822	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007935
7823	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007936
7824	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007937
7825	3/9/2018	ST_INC 007938
7826	3/10/2018	ST_INC 007939

7827	3/10/2018	ST_INC 007940
7828	3/10/2018	ST_INC 007941
7829	3/11/2018	ST_INC 007942
7830	3/11/2018	ST_INC 007943
7831	3/12/2018	ST_INC 007944
7832	3/12/2018	ST_INC 007945
7833	3/12/2018	ST_INC 007946
7834	3/12/2018	ST_INC 007947
7835	3/13/2018	ST_INC 007948
7836	3/13/2018	ST_INC 007949
7837	3/13/2018	ST_INC 007950
7838	3/13/2018	ST_INC 007951
7839	3/14/2018	ST_INC 007952
7840	3/14/2018	ST_INC 007953
7841	3/14/2018	ST_INC 007954
7842	3/14/2018	ST_INC 007955
7843	3/14/2018	ST_INC 007956
7844	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007957
7845	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007958
7846	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007959
7847	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007960
7848	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007961
7849	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007962
7850	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007963
7851	3/15/2018	ST_INC 007964
7852	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007965
7853	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007966
7854	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007967
7855	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007968
7856	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007969
7857	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007970
7858	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007971
7859	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007972
7860	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007973
7861	3/16/2018	ST_INC 007974
7862	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007975
7863	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007976
7864	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007977
7865	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007978
7866	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007979
7867	3/17/2018	ST_INC 007980
7868	3/18/2018	ST_INC 007981
7869	3/18/2018	ST_INC 007982

7870	3/18/2018	ST_INC 007983
7871	3/18/2018	ST_INC 007984
7872	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007985
7873	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007986
7874	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007987
7875	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007988
7876	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007989
7877	3/19/2018	ST_INC 007990
7878	3/20/2018	ST_INC 007991
7879	3/20/2018	ST_INC 007992
7880	3/20/2018	ST_INC 007993
7881	3/20/2018	ST_INC 007994
7882	3/21/2018	ST_INC 007995
7883	3/21/2018	ST_INC 007996
7884	3/21/2018	ST_INC 007997
7885	3/21/2018	ST_INC 007998
7886	3/21/2018	ST_INC 007999
7887	3/21/2018	ST_INC 008000
7888	3/21/2018	ST_INC 008001
7889	3/21/2018	ST_INC 008002
7890	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008003
7891	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008004
7892	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008005
7893	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008006
7894	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008007
7895	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008008
7896	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008009
7897	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008010
7898	3/22/2018	ST_INC 008011
7899	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008012
7900	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008013
7901	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008014
7902	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008015
7903	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008016
7904	3/23/2018	ST_INC 008017
7905	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008018
7906	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008019
7907	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008020
7908	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008021
7909	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008022
7910	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008023
7911	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008024
7912	3/24/2018	ST_INC 008025

7913	3/25/2018	ST_INC 008026
7914	3/25/2018	ST_INC 008027
7915	3/26/2018	ST_INC 008028
7916	3/26/2018	ST_INC 008029
7917	3/26/2018	ST_INC 008030
7918	3/26/2018	ST_INC 008031
7919	3/27/2018	ST_INC 008032
7920	3/27/2018	ST_INC 008033
7921	3/27/2018	ST_INC 008034
7922	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008035
7923	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008036
7924	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008037
7925	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008038
7926	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008039
7927	3/28/2018	ST_INC 008040
7928	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008041
7929	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008042
7930	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008043
7931	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008044
7932	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008045
7933	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008046
7934	3/29/2018	ST_INC 008047
7935	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008048
7936	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008049
7937	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008050
7938	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008051
7939	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008052
7940	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008053
7941	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008054
7942	3/30/2018	ST_INC 008055
7943	3/31/2018	ST_INC 008056
7944	3/31/2018	ST_INC 008057
7945	4/4/2018	ST_INC 008058
7946	4/4/2018	ST_INC 008059
7947	4/4/2018	ST_INC 008060
7948	4/4/2018	ST_INC 008061
7949	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008062
7950	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008063
7951	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008064
7952	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008065
7953	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008066
7954	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008067
7955	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008068

7956	4/5/2018	ST_INC 008069
7957	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008070
7958	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008071
7959	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008072
7960	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008073
7961	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008074
7962	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008075
7963	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008076
7964	4/6/2018	ST_INC 008077
7965	4/7/2018	ST_INC 008078
7966	4/7/2018	ST_INC 008079
7967	4/7/2018	ST_INC 008080
7968	4/7/2018	ST_INC 008081
7969	4/8/2018	ST_INC 008082
7970	4/8/2018	ST_INC 008083
7971	4/8/2018	ST_INC 008084
7972	4/8/2018	ST_INC 008085
7973	4/8/2018	ST_INC 008086
7974	4/9/2018	ST_INC 008087
7975	4/9/2018	ST_INC 008088
7976	4/9/2018	ST_INC 008089
7977	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008090
7978	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008091
7979	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008092
7980	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008093
7981	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008094
7982	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008095
7983	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008096
7984	4/10/2018	ST_INC 008097
7985	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008098
7986	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008099
7987	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008100
7988	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008101
7989	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008102
7990	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008103
7991	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008104
7992	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008105
7993	4/11/2018	ST_INC 008106
7994	4/12/2018	ST_INC 008107
7995	4/12/2018	ST_INC 008108
7996	4/12/2018	ST_INC 008109
7997	4/12/2018	ST_INC 008110
7998	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008111

7999	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008112
8000	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008113
8001	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008114
8002	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008115
8003	4/13/2018	ST_INC 008116
8004	4/14/2018	ST_INC 008117
8005	4/14/2018	ST_INC 008118
8006	4/14/2018	ST_INC 008119
8007	4/15/2018	ST_INC 008120
8008	4/15/2018	ST_INC 008121
8009	4/15/2018	ST_INC 008122
8010	4/16/2018	ST_INC 008123
8011	4/16/2018	ST_INC 008124
8012	4/16/2018	ST_INC 008125
8013	4/16/2018	ST_INC 008126
8014	4/16/2018	ST_INC 008127
8015	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008128
8016	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008129
8017	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008130
8018	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008131
8019	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008132
8020	4/17/2018	ST_INC 008133
8021	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008134
8022	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008135
8023	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008136
8024	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008137
8025	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008138
8026	4/18/2018	ST_INC 008139
8027	4/19/2018	ST_INC 008140
8028	4/19/2018	ST_INC 008141
8029	4/19/2018	ST_INC 008142
8030	4/19/2018	ST_INC 008143
8031	4/19/2018	ST_INC 008144
8032	4/20/2018	ST_INC 008145
8033	4/20/2018	ST_INC 008146
8034	4/20/2018	ST_INC 008147
8035	4/20/2018	ST_INC 008148
8036	4/20/2018	ST_INC 008149
8037	4/21/2018	ST_INC 008150
8038	4/21/2018	ST_INC 008151
8039	4/22/2018	ST_INC 008152
8040	4/22/2018	ST_INC 008153
8041	4/22/2018	ST_INC 008154

8042	4/22/2018	ST_INC 008155
8043	4/22/2018	ST_INC 008156
8044	4/23/2018	ST_INC 008157
8045	4/23/2018	ST_INC 008158
8046	4/23/2018	ST_INC 008159
8047	4/23/2018	ST_INC 008160
8048	4/24/2018	ST_INC 008161
8049	4/24/2018	ST_INC 008162
8050	4/24/2018	ST_INC 008163
8051	4/24/2018	ST_INC 008164
8052	4/25/2018	ST_INC 008165
8053	4/25/2018	ST_INC 008166
8054	4/25/2018	ST_INC 008167
8055	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008168
8056	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008169
8057	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008170
8058	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008171
8059	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008172
8060	4/26/2018	ST_INC 008173
8061	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008174
8062	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008175
8063	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008176
8064	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008177
8065	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008178
8066	4/27/2018	ST_INC 008179
8067	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008180
8068	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008181
8069	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008182
8070	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008183
8071	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008184
8072	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008185
8073	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008186
8074	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008187
8075	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008188
8076	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008189
8077	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008190
8078	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008191
8079	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008192
8080	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008193
8081	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008194
8082	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008195
8083	5/1/2018	ST_INC 008196
8084	5/2/2018	ST_INC 008197

8085	5/2/2018	ST_INC 008198
8086	5/2/2018	ST_INC 008199
8087	5/2/2018	ST_INC 008200
8088	5/2/2018	ST_INC 008201
8089	5/4/2018	ST_INC 008202
8090	5/4/2018	ST_INC 008203
8091	5/4/2018	ST_INC 008204
8092	5/4/2018	ST_INC 008205
8093	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008206
8094	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008207
8095	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008208
8096	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008209
8097	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008210
8098	5/5/2018	ST_INC 008211
8099	5/6/2018	ST_INC 008212
8100	5/6/2018	ST_INC 008213
8101	5/6/2018	ST_INC 008214
8102	5/6/2018	ST_INC 008215
8103	5/7/2018	ST_INC 008216
8104	5/8/2018	ST_INC 008217
8105	5/8/2018	ST_INC 008218
8106	5/8/2018	ST_INC 008219
8107	5/9/2018	ST_INC 008220
8108	5/9/2018	ST_INC 008221
8109	5/10/2018	ST_INC 008222
8110	5/10/2018	ST_INC 008223
8111	5/10/2018	ST_INC 008224
8112	5/10/2018	ST_INC 008225
8113	5/11/2018	ST_INC 008226
8114	5/11/2018	ST_INC 008227
8115	5/11/2018	ST_INC 008228
8116	5/11/2018	ST_INC 008229
8117	5/12/2018	ST_INC 008230
8118	5/12/2018	ST_INC 008231
8119	5/12/2018	ST_INC 008232
8120	5/12/2018	ST_INC 008233
8121	5/13/2018	ST_INC 008234
8122	5/13/2018	ST_INC 008235
8123	5/13/2018	ST_INC 008236
8124	5/14/2018	ST_INC 008237
8125	5/14/2018	ST_INC 008238
8126	5/14/2018	ST_INC 008239
8127	5/15/2018	ST_INC 008240

8128	5/15/2018	ST_INC 008241
8129	5/15/2018	ST_INC 008242
8130	5/15/2018	ST_INC 008243
8131	5/15/2018	ST_INC 008244
8132	5/16/2018	ST_INC 008245
8133	5/16/2018	ST_INC 008246
8134	5/16/2018	ST_INC 008247
8135	5/16/2018	ST_INC 008248
8136	5/17/2018	ST_INC 008249
8137	5/17/2018	ST_INC 008250
8138	5/17/2018	ST_INC 008251
8139	5/17/2018	ST_INC 008252
8140	5/17/2018	ST_INC 008253
8141	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008254
8142	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008255
8143	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008256
8144	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008257
8145	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008258
8146	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008259
8147	5/18/2018	ST_INC 008260
8148	5/19/2018	ST_INC 008261
8149	5/19/2018	ST_INC 008262
8150	5/19/2018	ST_INC 008263
8151	5/19/2018	ST_INC 008264
8152	5/20/2018	ST_INC 008265
8153	5/20/2018	ST_INC 008266
8154	5/21/2018	ST_INC 008267
8155	5/21/2018	ST_INC 008268
8156	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008269
8157	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008270
8158	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008271
8159	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008272
8160	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008273
8161	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008274
8162	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008275
8163	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008276
8164	5/22/2018	ST_INC 008277
8165	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008278
8166	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008279
8167	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008280
8168	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008281
8169	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008282
8170	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008283

8171	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008284
8172	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008285
8173	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008286
8174	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008287
8175	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008288
8176	5/23/2018	ST_INC 008289
8177	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008290
8178	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008291
8179	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008292
8180	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008293
8181	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008294
8182	5/24/2018	ST_INC 008295
8183	5/25/2018	ST_INC 008296
8184	5/25/2018	ST_INC 008297
8185	5/25/2018	ST_INC 008298
8186	5/25/2018	ST_INC 008299
8187	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008300
8188	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008301
8189	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008302
8190	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008303
8191	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008304
8192	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008305
8193	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008306
8194	5/26/2018	ST_INC 008307
8195	5/27/2018	ST_INC 008308
8196	5/27/2018	ST_INC 008309
8197	5/27/2018	ST_INC 008310
8198	5/28/2018	ST_INC 008311
8199	5/28/2018	ST_INC 008312
8200	5/29/2018	ST_INC 008313
8201	5/29/2018	ST_INC 008314
8202	5/29/2018	ST_INC 008315
8203	5/29/2018	ST_INC 008316
8204	5/30/2018	ST_INC 008317
8205	5/30/2018	ST_INC 008318
8206	5/30/2018	ST_INC 008319
8207	5/30/2018	ST_INC 008320
8208	5/30/2018	ST_INC 008321
8209	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008322
8210	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008323
8211	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008324
8212	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008325
8213	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008326

8214	5/31/2018	ST_INC 008327
8215	6/1/2018	ST_INC 008328
8216	6/1/2018	ST_INC 008329
8217	6/1/2018	ST_INC 008330
8218	6/1/2018	ST_INC 008331
8219	6/2/2018	ST_INC 008332
8220	6/2/2018	ST_INC 008333
8221	6/2/2018	ST_INC 008334
8222	6/2/2018	ST_INC 008335
8223	6/2/2018	ST_INC 008336
8224	6/3/2018	ST_INC 008337
8225	6/3/2018	ST_INC 008338
8226	6/3/2018	ST_INC 008339
8227	6/3/2018	ST_INC 008340
8228	6/3/2018	ST_INC 008341
8229	6/4/2018	ST_INC 008342
8230	6/4/2018	ST_INC 008343
8231	6/4/2018	ST_INC 008344
8232	6/4/2018	ST_INC 008345
8233	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008346
8234	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008347
8235	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008348
8236	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008349
8237	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008350
8238	6/5/2018	ST_INC 008351
8239	6/6/2018	ST_INC 008352
8240	6/6/2018	ST_INC 008353
8241	6/6/2018	ST_INC 008354
8242	6/6/2018	ST_INC 008355
8243	6/6/2018	ST_INC 008356
8244	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008357
8245	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008358
8246	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008359
8247	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008360
8248	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008361
8249	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008362
8250	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008363
8251	6/7/2018	ST_INC 008364
8252	6/8/2018	ST_INC 008365
8253	6/9/2018	ST_INC 008366
8254	6/8/2018	ST_INC 008367
8255	6/9/2018	ST_INC 008368
8256	6/9/2018	ST_INC 008369

8257	6/9/2018	ST_INC 008370
8258	6/10/2018	ST_INC 008371
8259	6/10/2018	ST_INC 008372
8260	6/11/2018	ST_INC 008373
8261	6/11/2018	ST_INC 008374
8262	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008375
8263	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008376
8264	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008377
8265	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008378
8266	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008379
8267	6/12/2018	ST_INC 008380
8268	6/13/2018	ST_INC 008381
8269	6/13/2018	ST_INC 008382
8270	6/13/2018	ST_INC 008383
8271	6/13/2018	ST_INC 008384
8272	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008385
8273	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008386
8274	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008387
8275	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008388
8276	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008389
8277	6/14/2018	ST_INC 008390
8278	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008391
8279	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008392
8280	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008393
8281	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008394
8282	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008395
8283	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008396
8284	6/15/2018	ST_INC 008397
8285	6/16/2018	ST_INC 008398
8286	6/16/2018	ST_INC 008399
8287	6/16/2018	ST_INC 008400
8288	6/17/2018	ST_INC 008401
8289	6/17/2018	ST_INC 008402
8290	6/17/2018	ST_INC 008403
8291	6/17/2018	ST_INC 008404
8292	6/17/2018	ST_INC 008405
8293	6/18/2018	ST_INC 008406
8294	6/18/2018	ST_INC 008407
8295	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008408
8296	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008409
8297	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008410
8298	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008411
8299	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008412

8300	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008413
8301	6/19/2018	ST_INC 008414
8302	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008415
8303	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008416
8304	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008418
8305	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008419
8306	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008420
8307	6/20/2018	ST_INC 008421
8308	6/21/2018	ST_INC 008422
8309	6/21/2018	ST_INC 008423
8310	6/21/2018	ST_INC 008424
8311	6/22/2018	ST_INC 008425
8312	6/22/2018	ST_INC 008426
8313	6/22/2018	ST_INC 008427
8314	6/22/2018	ST_INC 008428
8315	6/22/2018	ST_INC 008429
8316	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008430
8317	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008431
8318	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008432
8319	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008433
8320	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008434
8321	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008435
8322	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008436
8323	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008437
8324	6/23/2018	ST_INC 008438
8325	6/24/2018	ST_INC 008439
8326	6/24/2018	ST_INC 008440
8327	6/24/2018	ST_INC 008441
8328	6/25/2018	ST_INC 008442
8329	6/25/2018	ST_INC 008443
8330	6/25/2018	ST_INC 008444
8331	6/25/2018	ST_INC 008445
8332	6/26/2018	ST_INC 008446
8333	6/26/2018	ST_INC 008447
8334	6/26/2018	ST_INC 008448
8335	6/26/2018	ST_INC 008449
8336	6/26/2018	ST_INC 008450
8337	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008451
8338	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008452
8339	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008453
8340	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008454
8341	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008455
8342	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008456

8343	6/27/2018	ST_INC 008457
8344	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008458
8345	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008459
8346	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008460
8347	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008461
8348	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008462
8349	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008463
8350	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008464
8351	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008465
8352	6/28/2018	ST_INC 008466
8353	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008467
8354	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008468
8355	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008469
8356	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008470
8357	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008471
8358	6/29/2018	ST_INC 008472
8359	6/30/2018	ST_INC 008473
8360	6/30/2018	ST_INC 008474
8361	6/30/2018	ST_INC 008475
8362	7/1/2018	ST_INC 008476
8363	7/1/2018	ST_INC 008477
8364	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008478
8365	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008479
8366	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008480
8367	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008481
8368	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008482
8369	7/2/2018	ST_INC 008483
8370	7/3/2018	ST_INC 008484
8371	7/3/2018	ST_INC 008485
8372	7/3/2018	ST_INC 008486
8373	7/3/2018	ST_INC 008487
8374	7/3/2018	ST_INC 008488
8375	7/4/2018	ST_INC 008489
8376	7/4/2018	ST_INC 008490
8377	7/4/2018	ST_INC 008491
8378	7/4/2018	ST_INC 008492
8379	7/4/2018	ST_INC 008493
8380	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008494
8381	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008496
8382	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008497
8383	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008498
8384	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008499
8385	7/5/2018	ST_INC 008500

8386	7/6/2018	ST_INC 008501
8387	7/6/2018	ST_INC 008502
8388	7/6/2018	ST_INC 008503
8389	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008504
8390	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008505
8391	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008506
8392	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008507
8393	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008508
8394	7/7/2018	ST_INC 008509
8395	7/8/2018	ST_INC 008510
8396	7/8/2018	ST_INC 008511
8397	7/8/2018	ST_INC 008512
8398	7/8/2018	ST_INC 008513
8399	7/9/2018	ST_INC 008514
8400	7/9/2018	ST_INC 008515
8401	7/9/2018	ST_INC 008516
8402	7/10/2018	ST_INC 008517
8403	7/10/2018	ST_INC 008518
8404	7/10/2018	ST_INC 008519
8405	7/10/2018	ST_INC 008520
8406	7/10/2018	ST_INC 008521
8407	7/11/2018	ST_INC 008522
8408	7/11/2018	ST_INC 008523
8409	7/11/2018	ST_INC 008524
8410	7/11/2018	ST_INC 008525
8411	7/11/2018	ST_INC 008526
8412	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008527
8413	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008528
8414	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008529
8415	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008530
8416	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008531
8417	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008532
8418	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008533
8419	7/12/2018	ST_INC 008534
8420	7/13/2018	ST_INC 008535
8421	7/13/2018	ST_INC 008536
8422	7/13/2018	ST_INC 008537
8423	7/13/2018	ST_INC 008538
8424	7/14/2018	ST_INC 008539
8425	7/14/2018	ST_INC 008540
8426	7/14/2018	ST_INC 008541
8427	7/14/2018	ST_INC 008542
8428	7/14/2018	ST_INC 008543

8429	7/15/2018	ST_INC 008544
8430	7/15/2018	ST_INC 008545
8431	7/17/2018	ST_INC 008546
8432	7/17/2018	ST_INC 008547
8433	7/17/2018	ST_INC 008548
8434	7/17/2018	ST_INC 008549
8435	7/17/2018	ST_INC 008550
8436	7/18/2018	ST_INC 008551
8437	7/18/2018	ST_INC 008552
8438	7/18/2018	ST_INC 008553
8439	7/18/2018	ST_INC 008554
8440	7/18/2018	ST_INC 008555
8441	7/19/2018	ST_INC 008556
8442	7/19/2018	ST_INC 008557
8443	7/20/2018	ST_INC 008558
8444	7/21/2018	ST_INC 008559
8445	7/22/2018	ST_INC 008560
8446	7/24/2018	ST_INC 008561
8447	7/24/2018	ST_INC 008562
8448	7/24/2018	ST_INC 008563
8449	7/25/2018	ST_INC 008564
8450	7/25/2018	ST_INC 008565
8451	7/25/2018	ST_INC 008566
8452	7/25/2018	ST_INC 008567
8453	7/26/2018	ST_INC 008568
8454	7/26/2018	ST_INC 008569
8455	7/26/2018	ST_INC 008570
8456	7/27/2018	ST_INC 008571
8457	7/27/2018	ST_INC 008572
8458	7/27/2018	ST_INC 008573
8459	7/28/2018	ST_INC 008574
8460	7/28/2018	ST_INC 008575
8461	7/28/2018	ST_INC 008576
8462	7/28/2018	ST_INC 008577
8463	7/30/2018	ST_INC 008578
8464	7/31/2018	ST_INC 008579
8465	8/1/2018	ST_INC 008580
8466	8/1/2018	ST_INC 008581
8467	8/2/2018	ST_INC 008582
8468	8/2/2018	ST_INC 008583
8469	8/3/2018	ST_INC 008584
8470	8/3/2018	ST_INC 008585
8471	8/3/2018	ST_INC 008586

8472	8/4/2018	ST_INC 008587
8473	8/4/2018	ST_INC 008588
8474	8/6/2018	ST_INC 008589
8475	8/7/2018	ST_INC 008590
8476	8/7/2018	ST_INC 008591
8477	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008592
8478	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008593
8479	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008594
8480	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008595
8481	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008596
8482	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008597
8483	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008598
8484	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008599
8485	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008600
8486	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008601
8487	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008602
8488	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008603
8489	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008604
8490	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008605
8491	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008606
8492	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008607
8493	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008608
8494	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008609
8495	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008610
8496	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008611
8497	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008612
8498	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008613
8499	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008614
8500	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008615
8501	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008616
8502	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008617
8503	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008618
8504	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008619
8505	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008620
8506	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008621
8507	8/8/2018	ST_INC 008622
8508	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008623
8509	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008624
8510	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008625
8511	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008626
8512	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008627
8513	8/9/2018	ST_INC 008628
8514	8/11/2018	ST_INC 008629

8515	8/12/2018	ST_INC 008630
8516	8/12/2018	ST_INC 008631
8517	8/13/2018	ST_INC 008632
8518	8/14/2018	ST_INC 008633
8519	8/14/2018	ST_INC 008634
8520	8/15/2018	ST_INC 008635
8521	8/16/2018	ST_INC 008636
8522	8/16/2018	ST_INC 008637
8523	8/16/2018	ST_INC 008638
8524	8/16/2018	ST_INC 008639
8525	8/18/2018	ST_INC 008640
8526	8/18/2018	ST_INC 008641
8527	8/18/2018	ST_INC 008642
8528	8/19/2018	ST_INC 008643
8529	8/19/2018	ST_INC 008644
8530	8/21/2018	ST_INC 008645
8531	8/22/2018	ST_INC 008646
8532	8/23/2018	ST_INC 008647
8533	8/23/2018	ST_INC 008648
8534	8/23/2018	ST_INC 008649
8535	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008650
8536	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008651
8537	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008652
8538	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008653
8539	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008654
8540	8/24/2018	ST_INC 008655
8541	8/25/2018	ST_INC 008656
8542	8/25/2018	ST_INC 008657
8543	8/25/2018	ST_INC 008658
8544	8/25/2018	ST_INC 008659
8545	8/26/2018	ST_INC 008660
8546	8/27/2018	ST_INC 008661
8547	8/27/2018	ST_INC 008662
8548	8/28/2018	ST_INC 008663
8549	8/28/2018	ST_INC 008664
8550	8/28/2018	ST_INC 008665
8551	8/28/2018	ST_INC 008666
8552	8/29/2018	ST_INC 008667
8553	8/29/2018	ST_INC 008668
8554	8/29/2018	ST_INC 008669
8555	8/29/2018	ST_INC 008670
8556	8/29/2018	ST_INC 008671
8557	8/30/2018	ST_INC 008672

8558	8/30/2018	ST_INC 008673
8559	8/30/2018	ST_INC 008674
8560	8/30/2018	ST_INC 008675
8561	8/31/2018	ST_INC 008676
8562	8/31/2018	ST_INC 008677
8563	8/31/2018	ST_INC 008678
8564	9/1/2018	ST_INC 008679
8565	9/1/2018	ST_INC 008680
8566	9/2/2018	ST_INC 008681
8567	9/2/2018	ST_INC 008682
8568	9/2/2018	ST_INC 008683
8569	9/2/2018	ST_INC 008684
8570	9/2/2018	ST_INC 008685
8571	9/3/2018	ST_INC 008686
8572	9/3/2018	ST_INC 008687
8573	9/3/2018	ST_INC 008688
8574	9/4/2018	ST_INC 008689
8575	9/5/2018	ST_INC 008690
8576	9/5/2018	ST_INC 008691
8577	9/5/2018	ST_INC 008692
8578	9/5/2018	ST_INC 008693
8579	9/6/2018	ST_INC 008694
8580	9/6/2018	ST_INC 008695
8581	9/6/2018	ST_INC 008696
8582	9/6/2018	ST_INC 008697
8583	9/7/2018	ST_INC 008698
8584	9/7/2018	ST_INC 008699
8585	9/7/2018	ST_INC 008700
8586	9/7/2018	ST_INC 008701
8587	9/7/2018	ST_INC 008702
8588	9/8/2018	ST_INC 008703
8589	9/8/2018	ST_INC 008704
8590	9/9/2018	ST_INC 008705
8591	9/9/2018	ST_INC 008706
8592	9/9/2018	ST_INC 008707
8593	9/10/2018	ST_INC 008708
8594	9/10/2018	ST_INC 008709
8595	9/11/2018	ST_INC 008710
8596	9/11/2018	ST_INC 008711
8597	9/11/2018	ST_INC 008712
8598	9/12/2018	ST_INC 008713
8599	9/12/2018	ST_INC 008714
8600	9/13/2018	ST_INC 008715

8601	9/13/2018	ST_INC 008716
8602	9/13/2018	ST_INC 008717
8603	9/13/2018	ST_INC 008718
8604	9/14/2018	ST_INC 008719
8605	9/14/2018	ST_INC 008720
8606	9/14/2018	ST_INC 008721
8607	9/14/2018	ST_INC 008722
8608	9/14/2018	ST_INC 008723
8609	9/15/2018	ST_INC 008724
8610	9/15/2018	ST_INC 008725
8611	9/16/2018	ST_INC 008726
8612	9/16/2018	ST_INC 008727
8613	9/17/2018	ST_INC 008728
8614	9/17/2018	ST_INC 008729
8615	9/17/2018	ST_INC 008730
8616	9/18/2018	ST_INC 008731
8617	9/19/2018	ST_INC 008732
8618	9/19/2018	ST_INC 008733
8619	9/19/2018	ST_INC 008734
8620	9/19/2018	ST_INC 008735
8621	9/20/2018	ST_INC 008736
8622	9/20/2018	ST_INC 008737
8623	9/20/2018	ST_INC 008738
8624	9/20/2018	ST_INC 008739
8625	9/20/2018	ST_INC 008740
8626	9/21/2018	ST_INC 008741
8627	9/21/2018	ST_INC 008742
8628	9/21/2018	ST_INC 008743
8629	9/21/2018	ST_INC 008744
8630	9/21/2018	ST_INC 008745
8631	9/22/2018	ST_INC 008746
8632	9/22/2018	ST_INC 008747
8633	9/22/2018	ST_INC 008748
8634	9/22/2018	ST_INC 008749
8635	9/23/2018	ST_INC 008750
8636	9/23/2018	ST_INC 008751
8637	9/23/2018	ST_INC 008752
8638	9/24/2018	ST_INC 008753
8639	9/24/2018	ST_INC 008754
8640	9/24/2018	ST_INC 008755
8641	9/25/2018	ST_INC 008756
8642	9/25/2018	ST_INC 008757
8643	9/26/2018	ST_INC 008758

8644	9/26/2018	ST_INC 008759
8645	9/26/2018	ST_INC 008760
8646	9/26/2018	ST_INC 008761
8647	9/27/2018	ST_INC 008762
8648	9/27/2018	ST_INC 008763
8649	9/27/2018	ST_INC 008764
8650	9/27/2018	ST_INC 008765
8651	9/28/2018	ST_INC 008766
8652	9/28/2018	ST_INC 008767
8653	9/28/2018	ST_INC 008768
8654	9/28/2018	ST_INC 008769
8655	9/28/2018	ST_INC 008770
8656	9/29/2018	ST_INC 008771
8657	9/30/2018	ST_INC 008772
8658	9/30/2018	ST_INC 008773
8659	10/1/2018	ST_INC 008774
8660	10/1/2018	ST_INC 008775
8661	10/1/2018	ST_INC 008776
8662	10/2/2018	ST_INC 008777
8663	10/2/2018	ST_INC 008778
8664	10/2/2018	ST_INC 008779
8665	10/2/2018	ST_INC 008780
8666	10/3/2018	ST_INC 008781
8667	10/3/2018	ST_INC 008782
8668	10/3/2018	ST_INC 008783
8669	10/4/2018	ST_INC 008784
8670	10/4/2018	ST_INC 008785
8671	10/4/2018	ST_INC 008786
8672	10/5/2018	ST_INC 008787
8673	10/5/2018	ST_INC 008788
8674	10/6/2018	ST_INC 008789
8675	10/6/2018	ST_INC 008790
8676	10/6/2018	ST_INC 008791
8677	10/6/2018	ST_INC 008792
8678	10/7/2018	ST_INC 008793
8679	10/7/2018	ST_INC 008794
8680	10/8/2018	ST_INC 008795
8681	10/9/2018	ST_INC 008796
8682	10/9/2018	ST_INC 008797
8683	10/9/2018	ST_INC 008798
8684	10/9/2018	ST_INC 008799
8685	10/10/2018	ST_INC 008801
8686	10/10/2018	ST_INC 008802

8687	10/10/2018	ST_INC 008803
8688	10/10/2018	ST_INC 008804
8689	10/11/2018	ST_INC 008805
8690	10/11/2018	ST_INC 008806
8691	10/11/2018	ST_INC 008807
8692	10/11/2018	ST_INC 008808
8693	10/12/2018	ST_INC 008809
8694	10/12/2018	ST_INC 008810
8695	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008811
8696	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008812
8697	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008813
8698	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008814
8699	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008815
8700	10/13/2018	ST_INC 008816
8701	10/14/2018	ST_INC 008817
8702	10/14/2018	ST_INC 008818
8703	10/14/2018	ST_INC 008819
8704	10/14/2018	ST_INC 008820
8705	10/16/2018	ST_INC 008821
8706	10/16/2018	ST_INC 008822
8707	10/16/2018	ST_INC 008823
8708	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008824
8709	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008825
8710	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008826
8711	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008827
8712	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008828
8713	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008829
8714	10/17/2018	ST_INC 008830
8715	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008831
8716	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008832
8717	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008833
8718	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008834
8719	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008835
8720	10/18/2018	ST_INC 008836
8721	10/19/2018	ST_INC 008837
8722	10/19/2018	ST_INC 008838
8723	10/19/2018	ST_INC 008839
8724	10/19/2018	ST_INC 008840
8725	10/19/2018	ST_INC 008841
8726	10/20/2018	ST_INC 008842
8727	10/20/2018	ST_INC 008843
8728	10/20/2018	ST_INC 008844
8729	10/20/2018	ST_INC 008845

8730	10/20/2018	ST_INC 008846
8731	10/21/2018	ST_INC 008847
8732	10/22/2018	ST_INC 008848
8733	10/22/2018	ST_INC 008849
8734	10/23/2018	ST_INC 008850
8735	10/23/2018	ST_INC 008851
8736	10/23/2018	ST_INC 008852
8737	10/23/2018	ST_INC 008853
8738	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008854
8739	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008855
8740	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008856
8741	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008857
8742	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008858
8743	10/24/2018	ST_INC 008859
8744	10/25/2018	ST_INC 008860
8745	10/25/2018	ST_INC 008861
8746	10/26/2018	ST_INC 008862
8747	10/26/2018	ST_INC 008863
8748	10/26/2018	ST_INC 008864
8749	10/27/2018	ST_INC 008865
8750	10/27/2018	ST_INC 008866
8751	10/27/2018	ST_INC 008867
8752	10/27/2018	ST_INC 008868
8753	10/28/2018	ST_INC 008869
8754	10/28/2018	ST_INC 008870
8755	10/28/2018	ST_INC 008871
8756	10/29/2018	ST_INC 008872
8757	10/29/2018	ST_INC 008873
8758	10/29/2018	ST_INC 008874
8759	10/29/2018	ST_INC 008875
8760	10/30/2018	ST_INC 008876
8761	10/30/2018	ST_INC 008877
8762	10/30/2018	ST_INC 008878
8763	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008879
8764	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008880
8765	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008881
8766	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008882
8767	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008883
8768	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008884
8769	10/31/2018	ST_INC 008885
8770	11/1/2018	ST_INC 008886
8771	11/1/2018	ST_INC 008887
8772	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008888

8773	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008889
8774	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008890
8775	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008891
8776	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008892
8777	11/2/2018	ST_INC 008893
8778	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008894
8779	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008895
8780	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008896
8781	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008897
8782	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008898
8783	11/3/2018	ST_INC 008899
8784	11/4/2018	ST_INC 008900
8785	11/5/2018	ST_INC 008901
8786	11/5/2018	ST_INC 008902
8787	11/5/2018	ST_INC 008903
8788	11/6/2018	ST_INC 008904
8789	11/6/2018	ST_INC 008905
8790	11/6/2018	ST_INC 008906
8791	11/6/2018	ST_INC 008907
8792	11/6/2018	ST_INC 008908
8793	11/7/2018	ST_INC 008909
8794	11/7/2018	ST_INC 008910
8795	11/7/2018	ST_INC 008911
8796	11/7/2018	ST_INC 008912
8797	11/7/2018	ST_INC 008913
8798	11/8/2018	ST_INC 008914
8799	11/8/2018	ST_INC 008915
8800	11/8/2018	ST_INC 008916
8801	11/8/2018	ST_INC 008917
8802	11/8/2018	ST_INC 008918
8803	11/9/2018	ST_INC 008919
8804	11/9/2018	ST_INC 008920
8805	11/10/2018	ST_INC 008921
8806	11/10/2018	ST_INC 008922
8807	11/11/2018	ST_INC 008923
8808	11/12/2018	ST_INC 008924
8809	11/13/2018	ST_INC 008925
8810	11/13/2018	ST_INC 008926
8811	11/14/2018	ST_INC 008927
8812	11/14/2018	ST_INC 008928
8813	11/14/2018	ST_INC 008929
8814	11/14/2018	ST_INC 008930
8815	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008931

8816	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008932
8817	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008933
8818	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008934
8819	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008935
8820	11/15/2018	ST_INC 008936
8821	11/16/2018	ST_INC 008937
8822	11/16/2018	ST_INC 008938
8823	11/16/2018	ST_INC 008939
8824	11/16/2018	ST_INC 008940
8825	11/16/2018	ST_INC 008941
8826	11/17/2018	ST_INC 008942
8827	11/17/2018	ST_INC 008943
8828	11/17/2018	ST_INC 008944
8829	11/17/2018	ST_INC 008945
8830	11/17/2018	ST_INC 008946
8831	11/18/2018	ST_INC 008947
8832	11/18/2018	ST_INC 008948
8833	11/18/2018	ST_INC 008949
8834	11/19/2018	ST_INC 008950
8835	11/20/2018	ST_INC 008951
8836	11/20/2018	ST_INC 008952
8837	11/20/2018	ST_INC 008953
8838	11/20/2018	ST_INC 008954
8839	11/20/2018	ST_INC 008955
8840	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008956
8841	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008957
8842	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008958
8843	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008959
8844	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008960
8845	11/21/2018	ST_INC 008961
8846	11/22/2018	ST_INC 008962
8847	11/22/2018	ST_INC 008963
8848	11/22/2018	ST_INC 008964
8849	11/22/2018	ST_INC 008965
8850	11/24/2018	ST_INC 008966
8851	11/24/2018	ST_INC 008967
8852	11/26/2018	ST_INC 008968
8853	11/26/2018	ST_INC 008969
8854	11/26/2018	ST_INC 008970
8855	11/26/2018	ST_INC 008971
8856	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008972
8857	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008973
8858	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008974

8859	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008975
8860	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008976
8861	11/27/2018	ST_INC 008977
8862	11/28/2018	ST_INC 008978
8863	11/28/2018	ST_INC 008979
8864	11/28/2018	ST_INC 008980
8865	11/29/2018	ST_INC 008981
8866	11/29/2018	ST_INC 008982
8867	11/29/2018	ST_INC 008983
8868	11/29/2018	ST_INC 008984
8869	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008985
8870	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008986
8871	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008987
8872	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008988
8873	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008989
8874	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008990
8875	11/30/2018	ST_INC 008991
8876	12/1/2018	ST_INC 008992
8877	12/1/2018	ST_INC 008993
8878	12/1/2018	ST_INC 008994
8879	12/2/2018	ST_INC 008995
8880	12/3/2018	ST_INC 008996
8881	12/3/2018	ST_INC 008997
8882	12/3/2018	ST_INC 008998
8883	12/4/2018	ST_INC 008999
8884	12/4/2018	ST_INC 009000
8885	12/4/2018	ST_INC 009001
8886	12/5/2018	ST_INC 009002
8887	12/5/2018	ST_INC 009003
8888	12/5/2018	ST_INC 009004
8889	12/6/2018	ST_INC 009005
8890	12/6/2018	ST_INC 009006
8891	12/6/2018	ST_INC 009007
8892	12/6/2018	ST_INC 009008
8893	12/6/2018	ST_INC 009009
8894	12/7/2018	ST_INC 009010
8895	12/7/2018	ST_INC 009011
8896	12/7/2018	ST_INC 009012
8897	12/7/2018	ST_INC 009013
8898	12/8/2018	ST_INC 009014
8899	12/8/2018	ST_INC 009015
8900	12/8/2018	ST_INC 009016
8901	12/8/2018	ST_INC 009017

8902	12/9/2018	ST_INC 009018
8903	12/9/2018	ST_INC 009019
8904	12/9/2018	ST_INC 009020
8905	12/10/2018	ST_INC 009021
8906	12/10/2018	ST_INC 009022
8907	12/12/2018	ST_INC 009023
8908	12/12/2018	ST_INC 009024
8909	12/12/2018	ST_INC 009025
8910	12/12/2018	ST_INC 009026
8911	12/12/2018	ST_INC 009027
8912	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009028
8913	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009029
8914	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009030
8915	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009031
8916	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009032
8917	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009033
8918	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009034
8919	12/13/2018	ST_INC 009035
8920	12/14/2018	ST_INC 009036
8921	12/14/2018	ST_INC 009037
8922	12/14/2018	ST_INC 009038
8923	12/14/2018	ST_INC 009039
8924	12/15/2018	ST_INC 009040
8925	12/16/2018	ST_INC 009041
8926	12/16/2018	ST_INC 009042
8927	12/17/2018	ST_INC 009043
8928	12/17/2018	ST_INC 009044
8929	12/18/2018	ST_INC 009045
8930	12/18/2018	ST_INC 009046
8931	12/18/2018	ST_INC 009047
8932	12/18/2018	ST_INC 009048
8933	12/18/2018	ST_INC 009049
8934	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009050
8935	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009051
8936	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009052
8937	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009053
8938	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009054
8939	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009055
8940	12/19/2018	ST_INC 009056
8941	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009057
8942	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009058
8943	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009059
8944	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009060

8945	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009061
8946	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009062
8947	12/20/2018	ST_INC 009063
8948	12/21/2018	ST_INC 009064
8949	12/21/2018	ST_INC 009065
8950	12/21/2018	ST_INC 009066
8951	12/28/2018	ST_INC 009067
8952	12/22/2018	ST_INC 009068
8953	12/22/2018	ST_INC 009069
8954	12/22/2018	ST_INC 009070
8955	12/22/2018	ST_INC 009071
8956	12/24/2018	ST_INC 009072
8957	12/24/2018	ST_INC 009073
8958	1/2/2019	ST_INC 009074
8959	1/3/2019	ST_INC 009075
8960	1/3/2019	ST_INC 009076
8961	1/3/2019	ST_INC 009077
8962	1/3/2019	ST_INC 009078
8963	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009079
8964	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009080
8965	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009081
8966	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009082
8967	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009083
8968	1/4/2019	ST_INC 009084
8969	1/5/2019	ST_INC 009085
8970	1/6/2019	ST_INC 009086
8971	1/7/2019	ST_INC 009087
8972	1/7/2019	ST_INC 009088
8973	1/7/2019	ST_INC 009089
8974	1/8/2019	ST_INC 009090
8975	1/8/2019	ST_INC 009091
8976	1/8/2019	ST_INC 009092
8977	1/9/2019	ST_INC 009093
8978	1/9/2019	ST_INC 009094
8979	1/9/2019	ST_INC 009095
8980	1/9/2019	ST_INC 009096
8981	1/9/2019	ST_INC 009097
8982	1/10/2019	ST_INC 009098
8983	1/10/2019	ST_INC 009099
8984	1/10/2019	ST_INC 009100
8985	1/10/2019	ST_INC 009101
8986	1/10/2019	ST_INC 009102
8987	1/11/2019	ST_INC 009103

8988	1/11/2019	ST_INC 009104
8989	1/11/2019	ST_INC 009105
8990	1/11/2019	ST_INC 009106
8991	1/11/2019	ST_INC 009107
8992	1/12/2019	ST_INC 009108
8993	1/12/2019	ST_INC 009109
8994	1/12/2019	ST_INC 009110
8995	1/12/2019	ST_INC 009111
8996	1/13/2019	ST_INC 009112
8997	1/13/2019	ST_INC 009113
8998	1/14/2019	ST_INC 009114
8999	1/14/2019	ST_INC 009115
9000	1/14/2019	ST_INC 009116
9001	1/15/2019	ST_INC 009117
9002	1/15/2019	ST_INC 009118
9003	1/15/2019	ST_INC 009119
9004	1/16/2019	ST_INC 009120
9005	1/16/2019	ST_INC 009121
9006	1/16/2019	ST_INC 009122
9007	1/16/2019	ST_INC 009123
9008	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009124
9009	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009125
9010	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009126
9011	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009127
9012	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009128
9013	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009129
9014	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009130
9015	1/28/2019	ST_INC 009131
9016	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009132
9017	1/18/2019	ST_INC 009133
9018	1/19/2019	ST_INC 009134
9019	1/19/2019	ST_INC 009135
9020	1/19/2019	ST_INC 009136
9021	1/19/2019	ST_INC 009137
9022	1/19/2019	ST_INC 009138
9023	1/20/2019	ST_INC 009139
9024	1/20/2019	ST_INC 009140
9025	1/20/2019	ST_INC 009141
9026	1/20/2019	ST_INC 009142
9027	1/20/2019	ST_INC 009143
9028	1/22/2019	ST_INC 009144
9029	1/22/2019	ST_INC 009145
9030	1/22/2019	ST_INC 009146

9031	1/22/2019	ST_INC 009147
9032	1/22/2019	ST_INC 009148
9033	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009149
9034	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009150
9035	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009151
9036	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009152
9037	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009153
9038	1/23/2019	ST_INC 009154
9039	1/24/2019	ST_INC 009155
9040	1/24/2019	ST_INC 009156
9041	1/24/2019	ST_INC 009157
9042	1/24/2019	ST_INC 009158
9043	1/25/2019	ST_INC 009159
9044	1/25/2019	ST_INC 009160
9045	1/26/2019	ST_INC 009161
9046	1/26/2019	ST_INC 009162
9047	1/26/2019	ST_INC 009163
9048	1/26/2019	ST_INC 009164
9049	1/26/2019	ST_INC 009165
9050	1/27/2019	ST_INC 009166
9051	1/27/2019	ST_INC 009167
9052	1/28/2019	ST_INC 009168
9053	1/28/2019	ST_INC 009169
9054	1/28/2019	ST_INC 009170
9055	1/29/2019	ST_INC 009171
9056	1/29/2019	ST_INC 009172
9057	1/30/2019	ST_INC 009173
9058	1/30/2019	ST_INC 009174
9059	1/30/2019	ST_INC 009175
9060	1/30/2019	ST_INC 009176
9061	1/31/2019	ST_INC 009177
9062	1/31/2019	ST_INC 009178
9063	1/31/2019	ST_INC 009179
9064	1/31/2019	ST_INC 009180
9065	1/31/2019	ST_INC 009181
9066	2/1/2019	ST_INC 009182
9067	2/1/2019	ST_INC 009183
9068	2/1/2019	ST_INC 009184
9069	2/1/2019	ST_INC 009185
9070	2/2/2019	ST_INC 009186
9071	2/4/2019	ST_INC 009187
9072	2/4/2019	ST_INC 009188
9073	2/5/2019	ST_INC 009189

9074	2/5/2019	ST_INC 009190
9075	2/5/2019	ST_INC 009191
9076	2/5/2019	ST_INC 009192
9077	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009193
9078	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009194
9079	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009195
9080	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009196
9081	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009197
9082	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009198
9083	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009199
9084	2/6/2019	ST_INC 009200
9085	2/7/2019	ST_INC 009201
9086	2/7/2019	ST_INC 009202
9087	2/7/2019	ST_INC 009203
9088	2/8/2019	ST_INC 009204
9089	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009205
9090	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009206
9091	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009207
9092	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009208
9093	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009209
9094	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009210
9095	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009211
9096	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009212
9097	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009213
9098	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009214
9099	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009215
9100	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009216
9101	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009217
9102	2/9/2019	ST_INC 009218
9103	2/12/2019	ST_INC 009219
9104	2/12/2019	ST_INC 009220
9105	2/14/2019	ST_INC 009221
9106	2/15/2019	ST_INC 009222
9107	2/15/2019	ST_INC 009223
9108	2/16/2019	ST_INC 009224
9109	2/16/2019	ST_INC 009225
9110	2/16/2019	ST_INC 009226
9111	2/16/2019	ST_INC 009227
9112	2/16/2019	ST_INC 009228
9113	2/19/2019	ST_INC 009229
9114	2/19/2019	ST_INC 009230
9115	2/19/2019	ST_INC 009231
9116	2/20/2019	ST_INC 009232

9117	2/20/2019	ST_INC 009233
9118	2/20/2019	ST_INC 009234
9119	2/20/2019	ST_INC 009235
9120	2/21/2019	ST_INC 009236
9121	2/21/2019	ST_INC 009237
9122	2/21/2019	ST_INC 009238
9123	2/22/2019	ST_INC 009239
9124	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009240
9125	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009241
9126	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009242
9127	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009243
9128	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009244
9129	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009245
9130	2/23/2019	ST_INC 009246
9131	2/25/2019	ST_INC 009247
9132	2/26/2019	ST_INC 009248
9133	2/26/2019	ST_INC 009249
9134	2/26/2019	ST_INC 009250
9135	2/27/2019	ST_INC 009251
9136	2/27/2019	ST_INC 009252
9137	2/27/2019	ST_INC 009253
9138	2/27/2019	ST_INC 009254
9139	2/27/2019	ST_INC 009255
9140	2/28/2019	ST_INC 009256
9141	2/28/2019	ST_INC 009257
9142	2/28/2019	ST_INC 009258
9143	2/28/2019	ST_INC 009259
9144	3/2/2019	ST_INC 009260
9145	3/4/2019	ST_INC 009261
9146	3/5/2019	ST_INC 009262
9147	3/5/2019	ST_INC 009263
9148	3/5/2019	ST_INC 009264
9149	3/6/2019	ST_INC 009265
9150	3/6/2019	ST_INC 009266
9151	3/6/2019	ST_INC 009267
9152	3/6/2019	ST_INC 009268
9153	3/6/2019	ST_INC 009269
9154	3/7/2019	ST_INC 009270
9155	3/7/2019	ST_INC 009271
9156	3/7/2019	ST_INC 009272
9157	3/7/2019	ST_INC 009273
9158	3/8/2019	ST_INC 009274
9159	3/8/2019	ST_INC 009275

9160	3/9/2019	ST_INC 009276
9161	3/12/2019	ST_INC 009277
9162	3/12/2019	ST_INC 009278
9163	3/12/2019	ST_INC 009279
9164	3/12/2019	ST_INC 009280
9165	3/12/2019	ST_INC 009281
9166	3/13/2019	ST_INC 009282
9167	3/13/2019	ST_INC 009283
9168	3/13/2019	ST_INC 009284
9169	3/14/2019	ST_INC 009285
9170	3/14/2019	ST_INC 009286
9171	3/14/2019	ST_INC 009287
9172	3/15/2019	ST_INC 009288
9173	3/17/2019	ST_INC 009289
9174	3/17/2019	ST_INC 009290
9175	3/19/2019	ST_INC 009291
9176	3/20/2019	ST_INC 009292
9177	3/20/2019	ST_INC 009293
9178	3/20/2019	ST_INC 009294
9179	3/21/2019	ST_INC 009295
9180	3/21/2019	ST_INC 009296
9181	3/21/2019	ST_INC 009297
9182	3/21/2019	ST_INC 009298
9183	3/21/2019	ST_INC 009299
9184	3/22/2019	ST_INC 009300
9185	3/22/2019	ST_INC 009301
9186	3/22/2019	ST_INC 009302
9187	3/23/2019	ST_INC 009303
9188	3/23/2019	ST_INC 009304
9189	3/24/2019	ST_INC 009305
9190	3/25/2019	ST_INC 009306
9191	3/26/2019	ST_INC 009307
9192	3/26/2019	ST_INC 009308
9193	3/26/2019	ST_INC 009309
9194	3/26/2019	ST_INC 009310
9195	3/27/2019	ST_INC 009311
9196	3/27/2019	ST_INC 009312
9197	3/28/2019	ST_INC 009313
9198	3/28/2019	ST_INC 009314
9199	3/28/2019	ST_INC 009315
9200	3/28/2019	ST_INC 009316
9201	3/29/2019	ST_INC 009317
9202	4/1/2019	ST_INC 009318

9203	4/1/2019	ST_INC 009319
9204	4/2/2019	ST_INC 009320
9205	4/3/2019	ST_INC 009321
9206	4/3/2019	ST_INC 009322
9207	4/3/2019	ST_INC 009323
9208	4/3/2019	ST_INC 009324
9209	4/4/2019	ST_INC 009325
9210	4/4/2019	ST_INC 009326
9211	4/4/2019	ST_INC 009327
9212	4/4/2019	ST_INC 009328
9213	4/5/2019	ST_INC 009329
9214	4/5/2019	ST_INC 009330
9215	4/6/2019	ST_INC 009331
9216	4/7/2019	ST_INC 009332
9217	4/8/2019	ST_INC 009333
9218	4/8/2019	ST_INC 009334
9219	4/9/2019	ST_INC 009335
9220	4/9/2019	ST_INC 009336
9221	4/9/2019	ST_INC 009337
9222	4/10/2019	ST_INC 009338
9223	4/10/2019	ST_INC 009339
9224	4/13/2019	ST_INC 009340
9225	4/13/2019	ST_INC 009341
9226	4/14/2019	ST_INC 009342
9227	4/14/2019	ST_INC 009343
9228	4/14/2019	ST_INC 009344
9229	4/15/2019	ST_INC 009345
9230	4/15/2019	ST_INC 009346
9231	4/16/2019	ST_INC 009347
9232	4/16/2019	ST_INC 009348
9233	4/17/2019	ST_INC 009349
9234	4/18/2019	ST_INC 009350
9235	4/18/2019	ST_INC 009351
9236	4/18/2019	ST_INC 009352
9237	4/19/2019	ST_INC 009353
9238	4/19/2019	ST_INC 009354
9239	4/19/2019	ST_INC 009355
9240	4/19/2019	ST_INC 009356
9241	4/19/2019	ST_INC 009357
9242	4/20/2019	ST_INC 009358
9243	4/21/2019	ST_INC 009359
9244	4/21/2019	ST_INC 009360
9245	4/23/2019	ST_INC 009361

9246	4/23/2019	ST_INC 009362
9247	4/24/2019	ST_INC 009363
9248	4/24/2019	ST_INC 009364
9249	4/24/2019	ST_INC 009365
9250	4/24/2019	ST_INC 009366
9251	4/24/2019	ST_INC 009367
9252	4/25/2019	ST_INC 009368
9253	4/25/2019	ST_INC 009369
9254	4/25/2019	ST_INC 009370
9255	4/26/2019	ST_INC 009371
9256	4/26/2019	ST_INC 009372
9257	4/27/2019	ST_INC 009373
9258	4/27/2019	ST_INC 009374
9259	4/28/2019	ST_INC 009375
9260	4/29/2019	ST_INC 009376
9261	4/30/2019	ST_INC 009377
9262	4/30/2019	ST_INC 009378
9263	4/30/2019	ST_INC 009379
9264	4/30/2019	ST_INC 009380
9265	5/2/2019	ST_INC 009381
9266	5/3/2019	ST_INC 009382
9267	5/3/2019	ST_INC 009383
9268	5/4/2019	ST_INC 009384
9269	5/4/2019	ST_INC 009385
9270	5/4/2019	ST_INC 009386
9271	5/4/2019	ST_INC 009387
9272	5/6/2019	ST_INC 009388
9273	5/7/2019	ST_INC 009389
9274	5/7/2019	ST_INC 009390
9275	5/7/2019	ST_INC 009391
9276	5/7/2019	ST_INC 009392
9277	5/8/2019	ST_INC 009393
9278	5/8/2019	ST_INC 009394
9279	5/9/2019	ST_INC 009395
9280	5/9/2019	ST_INC 009396
9281	5/10/2019	ST_INC 009397
9282	5/10/2019	ST_INC 009398
9283	5/11/2019	ST_INC 009399
9284	5/12/2019	ST_INC 009400
9285	5/13/2019	ST_INC 009401
9286	5/13/2019	ST_INC 009402
9287	5/14/2019	ST_INC 009403
9288	5/14/2019	ST_INC 009404

9289	5/14/2019	ST_INC 009405
9290	5/15/2019	ST_INC 009406
9291	5/15/2019	ST_INC 009407
9292	5/16/2019	ST_INC 009408
9293	5/16/2019	ST_INC 009409
9294	5/16/2019	ST_INC 009410
9295	5/16/2019	ST_INC 009411
9296	5/17/2019	ST_INC 009412
9297	5/17/2019	ST_INC 009413
9298	5/17/2019	ST_INC 009414
9299	5/18/2019	ST_INC 009415
9300	5/18/2019	ST_INC 009416
9301	5/21/2019	ST_INC 009417
9302	5/21/2019	ST_INC 009418
9303	5/21/2019	ST_INC 009419
9304	5/22/2019	ST_INC 009420
9305	5/22/2019	ST_INC 009421
9306	5/22/2019	ST_INC 009422
9307	5/22/2019	ST_INC 009423
9308	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009424
9309	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009425
9310	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009426
9311	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009427
9312	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009428
9313	5/23/2019	ST_INC 009429
9314	5/24/2019	ST_INC 009430
9315	5/24/2019	ST_INC 009431
9316	5/25/2019	ST_INC 009432
9317	5/26/2019	ST_INC 009433
9318	5/28/2019	ST_INC 009434
9319	5/29/2019	ST_INC 009435
9320	5/29/2019	ST_INC 009436
9321	5/29/2019	ST_INC 009437
9322	5/29/2019	ST_INC 009438
9323	5/29/2019	ST_INC 009439
9324	5/30/2019	ST_INC 009440
9325	5/30/2019	ST_INC 009441
9326	5/30/2019	ST_INC 009442
9327	5/31/2019	ST_INC 009443
9328	5/31/2019	ST_INC 009444
9329	5/31/2019	ST_INC 009445
9330	5/31/2019	ST_INC 009446
9331	6/1/2019	ST_INC 009447

9332	6/2/2019	ST_INC 009448
9333	6/4/2019	ST_INC 009449
9334	6/4/2019	ST_INC 009450
9335	6/4/2019	ST_INC 009451
9336	6/4/2019	ST_INC 009452
9337	6/4/2019	ST_INC 009453
9338	6/5/2019	ST_INC 009454
9339	6/5/2019	ST_INC 009455
9340	6/5/2019	ST_INC 009456
9341	6/5/2019	ST_INC 009457
9342	6/8/2019	ST_INC 009458
9343	6/8/2019	ST_INC 009459
9344	6/8/2019	ST_INC 009460
9345	6/8/2019	ST_INC 009461
9346	6/8/2019	ST_INC 009462
9347	6/10/2019	ST_INC 009463
9348	6/11/2019	ST_INC 009464
9349	6/11/2019	ST_INC 009465
9350	6/12/2019	ST_INC 009466
9351	6/12/2019	ST_INC 009467
9352	6/12/2019	ST_INC 009468
9353	6/13/2019	ST_INC 009469
9354	6/13/2019	ST_INC 009470
9355	6/13/2019	ST_INC 009471
9356	6/14/2019	ST_INC 009472
9357	6/14/2019	ST_INC 009473
9358	6/14/2019	ST_INC 009474
9359	6/15/2019	ST_INC 009475
9360	6/15/2019	ST_INC 009476
9361	6/15/2019	ST_INC 009477
9362	6/16/2019	ST_INC 009478
9363	6/16/2019	ST_INC 009479
9364	6/16/2019	ST_INC 009480
9365	6/17/2019	ST_INC 009481
9366	6/17/2019	ST_INC 009482
9367	6/18/2019	ST_INC 009483
9368	6/18/2019	ST_INC 009484
9369	6/19/2019	ST_INC 009485
9370	6/20/2019	ST_INC 009486
9371	6/21/2019	ST_INC 009487
9372	6/22/2019	ST_INC 009488
9373	6/22/2019	ST_INC 009489
9374	6/24/2019	ST_INC 009490

9375	6/25/2019	ST_INC 009491
9376	6/25/2019	ST_INC 009492
9377	6/25/2019	ST_INC 009493
9378	6/25/2019	ST_INC 009494
9379	6/27/2019	ST_INC 009495
9380	6/27/2019	ST_INC 009496
9381	6/27/2019	ST_INC 009497
9382	6/28/2019	ST_INC 009498
9383	6/28/2019	ST_INC 009499
9384	7/3/2019	ST_INC 009500
9385	7/3/2019	ST_INC 009501
9386	7/3/2019	ST_INC 009502
9387	7/4/2019	ST_INC 009503
9388	7/4/2019	ST_INC 009504
9389	7/7/2019	ST_INC 009505
9390	7/7/2019	ST_INC 009506
9391	7/7/2019	ST_INC 009507
9392	7/8/2019	ST_INC 009508
9393	7/8/2019	ST_INC 009509
9394	7/9/2019	ST_INC 009510
9395	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009511
9396	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009512
9397	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009513
9398	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009514
9399	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009515
9400	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009516
9401	7/10/2019	ST_INC 009517
9402	7/11/2019	ST_INC 009518
9403	7/11/2019	ST_INC 009519
9404	7/12/2019	ST_INC 009520
9405	7/13/2019	ST_INC 009521
9406	7/13/2019	ST_INC 009522
9407	7/14/2019	ST_INC 009523
9408	7/14/2019	ST_INC 009524
9409	7/15/2019	ST_INC 009525
9410	7/15/2019	ST_INC 009526
9411	7/16/2019	ST_INC 009527
9412	7/17/2019	ST_INC 009528
9413	7/17/2019	ST_INC 009529
9414	7/17/2019	ST_INC 009530
9415	7/18/2019	ST_INC 009531
9416	7/18/2019	ST_INC 009532
9417	7/18/2019	ST_INC 009533

9418	7/19/2019	ST_INC 009534
9419	7/19/2019	ST_INC 009535
9420	7/20/2019	ST_INC 009536
9421	7/20/2019	ST_INC 009537
9422	7/22/2019	ST_INC 009538
9423	7/23/2019	ST_INC 009539
9424	7/23/2019	ST_INC 009540
9425	7/23/2019	ST_INC 009541
9426	7/24/2019	ST_INC 009542
9427	7/24/2019	ST_INC 009543
9428	7/24/2019	ST_INC 009544
9429	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009545
9430	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009546
9431	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009547
9432	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009548
9433	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009549
9434	7/25/2019	ST_INC 009550
9435	7/26/2019	ST_INC 009551
9436	7/27/2019	ST_INC 009552
9437	7/30/2019	ST_INC 009553
9438	7/30/2019	ST_INC 009554
9439	7/30/2019	ST_INC 009555
9440	7/30/2019	ST_INC 009556
9441	7/31/2019	ST_INC 009557
9442	7/31/2019	ST_INC 009558
9443	7/31/2019	ST_INC 009559
9444	8/2/2019	ST_INC 009560
9445	8/2/2019	ST_INC 009561
9446	8/2/2019	ST_INC 009562
9447	8/3/2019	ST_INC 009563
9448	8/5/2019	ST_INC 009564
9449	8/6/2019	ST_INC 009565
9450	8/6/2019	ST_INC 009566
9451	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009567
9452	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009568
9453	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009569
9454	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009570
9455	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009571
9456	8/7/2019	ST_INC 009572
9457	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009573
9458	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009574
9459	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009575
9460	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009576

9461	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009577
9462	8/8/2019	ST_INC 009578
9463	8/10/2019	ST_INC 009579
9464	8/12/2019	ST_INC 009580
9465	8/13/2019	ST_INC 009581
9466	8/13/2019	ST_INC 009582
9467	8/14/2019	ST_INC 009583
9468	8/14/2019	ST_INC 009584
9469	8/14/2019	ST_INC 009585
9470	8/15/2019	ST_INC 009586
9471	8/15/2019	ST_INC 009587
9472	8/15/2019	ST_INC 009588
9473	8/16/2019	ST_INC 009589
9474	8/16/2019	ST_INC 009590
9475	8/16/2019	ST_INC 009591
9476	8/16/2019	ST_INC 009592
9477	8/18/2019	ST_INC 009593
9478	8/20/2019	ST_INC 009594
9479	8/20/2019	ST_INC 009595
9480	8/20/2019	ST_INC 009596
9481	8/21/2019	ST_INC 009597
9482	8/21/2019	ST_INC 009598
9483	8/22/2019	ST_INC 009599
9484	8/22/2019	ST_INC 009600
9485	8/22/2019	ST_INC 009601
9486	8/23/2019	ST_INC 009602
9487	8/24/2019	ST_INC 009603
9488	8/26/2019	ST_INC 009604
9489	8/26/2019	ST_INC 009605
9490	8/27/2019	ST_INC 009606
9491	8/27/2019	ST_INC 009607
9492	8/28/2019	ST_INC 009608
9493	8/28/2019	ST_INC 009609
9494	8/29/2019	ST_INC 009610
9495	8/29/2019	ST_INC 009611
9496	8/29/2019	ST_INC 009612
9497	8/29/2019	ST_INC 009613
9498	8/29/2019	ST_INC 009614
9499	8/30/2019	ST_INC 009615
9500	8/30/2019	ST_INC 009616
9501	9/1/2019	ST_INC 009617
9502	9/1/2019	ST_INC 009618
9503	9/4/2019	ST_INC 009619

9504	9/4/2019	ST_INC 009620
9505	9/4/2019	ST_INC 009621
9506	9/6/2019	ST_INC 009622
9507	9/6/2019	ST_INC 009623
9508	9/7/2019	ST_INC 009624
9509	9/8/2019	ST_INC 009625
9510	9/9/2019	ST_INC 009626
9511	9/10/2019	ST_INC 009627
9512	9/10/2019	ST_INC 009628
9513	9/11/2019	ST_INC 009629
9514	9/11/2019	ST_INC 009630
9515	9/12/2019	ST_INC 009631
9516	9/12/2019	ST_INC 009632
9517	9/12/2019	ST_INC 009633
9518	9/12/2019	ST_INC 009634
9519	9/13/2019	ST_INC 009635
9520	9/13/2019	ST_INC 009636
9521	9/13/2019	ST_INC 009637
9522	9/17/2019	ST_INC 009638
9523	9/17/2019	ST_INC 009639
9524	9/17/2019	ST_INC 009640
9525	9/17/2019	ST_INC 009641
9526	9/18/2019	ST_INC 009642
9527	9/19/2019	ST_INC 009643
9528	9/19/2019	ST_INC 009644
9529	9/20/2019	ST_INC 009645
9530	9/20/2019	ST_INC 009646
9531	9/20/2019	ST_INC 009647
9532	9/21/2019	ST_INC 009648
9533	9/21/2019	ST_INC 009649
9534	9/22/2019	ST_INC 009650
9535	9/23/2019	ST_INC 009651
9536	9/24/2019	ST_INC 009652
9537	9/24/2019	ST_INC 009653
9538	9/24/2019	ST_INC 009654
9539	9/26/2019	ST_INC 009655
9540	9/30/2019	ST_INC 009656
9541	10/1/2019	ST_INC 009657
9542	10/1/2019	ST_INC 009658
9543	10/2/2019	ST_INC 009659
9544	10/7/2019	ST_INC 009660
9545	10/7/2019	ST_INC 009661
9546	10/18/2019	ST_INC 009662

9547	10/8/2019	ST_INC 009663
9548	10/9/2019	ST_INC 009664
9549	10/10/2019	ST_INC 009665
9550	10/10/2019	ST_INC 009666
9551	10/11/2019	ST_INC 009667
9552	10/11/2019	ST_INC 009668
9553	10/11/2019	ST_INC 009669
9554	10/15/2019	ST_INC 009670
9555	10/15/2019	ST_INC 009671
9556	10/16/2019	ST_INC 009672
9557	10/16/2019	ST_INC 009673
9558	10/16/2019	ST_INC 009674
9559	10/17/2019	ST_INC 009675
9560	10/17/2019	ST_INC 009676
9561	10/17/2019	ST_INC 009677
9562	10/18/2019	ST_INC 009678
9563	10/19/2019	ST_INC 009679
9564	10/19/2019	ST_INC 009680
9565	10/19/2019	ST_INC 009681
9566	10/22/2019	ST_INC 009682
9567	10/23/2019	ST_INC 009683
9568	10/24/2019	ST_INC 009684
9569	10/24/2019	ST_INC 009685
9570	10/25/2019	ST_INC 009686
9571	10/26/2019	ST_INC 009687
9572	10/27/2019	ST_INC 009688
9573	10/27/2019	ST_INC 009689
9574	10/28/2019	ST_INC 009690
9575	10/29/2019	ST_INC 009691
9576	10/31/2019	ST_INC 009692
9577	10/31/2019	ST_INC 009693
9578	11/1/2019	ST_INC 009694
9579	11/1/2019	ST_INC 009695
9580	11/1/2019	ST_INC 009696
9581	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009697
9582	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009698
9583	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009699
9584	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009700
9585	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009701
9586	11/2/2019	ST_INC 009702
9587	11/3/2019	ST_INC 009703
9588	11/3/2019	ST_INC 009704
9589	11/4/2019	ST_INC 009705

9590	11/5/2019	ST_INC 009706
9591	11/5/2019	ST_INC 009707
9592	11/6/2019	ST_INC 009708
9593	11/6/2019	ST_INC 009709
9594	11/6/2019	ST_INC 009710
9595	11/7/2019	ST_INC 009711
9596	11/7/2019	ST_INC 009712
9597	11/7/2019	ST_INC 009713
9598	11/8/2019	ST_INC 009714
9599	11/8/2019	ST_INC 009715
9600	11/9/2019	ST_INC 009716
9601	11/9/2019	ST_INC 009717
9602	11/11/2019	ST_INC 009718
9603	11/12/2019	ST_INC 009719
9604	11/13/2019	ST_INC 009720
9605	11/13/2019	ST_INC 009721
9606	11/13/2019	ST_INC 009722
9607	11/13/2019	ST_INC 009723
9608	11/14/2019	ST_INC 009724
9609	11/14/2019	ST_INC 009725
9610	11/14/2019	ST_INC 009726
9611	11/15/2019	ST_INC 009727
9612	11/15/2019	ST_INC 009728
9613	11/16/2019	ST_INC 009729
9614	11/16/2019	ST_INC 009730
9615	11/17/2019	ST_INC 009731
9616	11/19/2019	ST_INC 009732
9617	11/19/2019	ST_INC 009733
9618	11/20/2019	ST_INC 009734
9619	11/20/2019	ST_INC 009735
9620	11/21/2019	ST_INC 009736
9621	11/21/2019	ST_INC 009737
9622	11/21/2019	ST_INC 009738
9623	11/21/2019	ST_INC 009739
9624	11/22/2019	ST_INC 009740
9625	11/22/2019	ST_INC 009741
9626	11/22/2019	ST_INC 009742
9627	11/23/2019	ST_INC 009743
9628	11/23/2019	ST_INC 009744
9629	11/24/2019	ST_INC 009745
9630	11/24/2019	ST_INC 009746
9631	11/25/2019	ST_INC 009747
9632	11/26/2019	ST_INC 009748

9633	11/27/2019	ST_INC 009749
9634	11/27/2019	ST_INC 009750
9635	11/27/2019	ST_INC 009751
9636	11/27/2019	ST_INC 009752
9637	11/27/2019	ST_INC 009753
9638	11/28/2019	ST_INC 009754
9639	11/28/2019	ST_INC 009755
9640	11/28/2019	ST_INC 009756
9641	11/28/2019	ST_INC 009757
9642	12/2/2019	ST_INC 009758
9643	12/3/2019	ST_INC 009759
9644	12/3/2019	ST_INC 009760
9645	12/3/2019	ST_INC 009761
9646	12/4/2019	ST_INC 009762
9647	12/4/2019	ST_INC 009763
9648	12/4/2019	ST_INC 009764
9649	12/4/2019	ST_INC 009765
9650	12/4/2019	ST_INC 009766
9651	12/5/2019	ST_INC 009767
9652	12/5/2019	ST_INC 009768
9653	12/5/2019	ST_INC 009769
9654	12/6/2019	ST_INC 009770
9655	12/6/2019	ST_INC 009771
9656	12/6/2019	ST_INC 009772
9657	12/6/2019	ST_INC 009773
9658	12/7/2019	ST_INC 009774
9659	12/8/2019	ST_INC 009775
9660	12/9/2019	ST_INC 009776
9661	12/9/2019	ST_INC 009777
9662	12/10/2019	ST_INC 009778
9663	12/11/2019	ST_INC 009779
9664	12/11/2019	ST_INC 009780
9665	12/11/2019	ST_INC 009781
9666	12/11/2019	ST_INC 009782
9667	12/11/2019	ST_INC 009783
9668	12/12/2019	ST_INC 009784
9704	12/29/2019	ST_INC 009820

9669	12/12/2019	ST_INC 009785
9670	12/13/2019	ST_INC 009786
9671	12/13/2019	ST_INC 009787
9672	12/13/2019	ST_INC 009788
9673	12/13/2019	ST_INC 009789
9674	12/14/2019	ST_INC 009790
9675	12/14/2019	ST_INC 009791
9676	12/16/2019	ST_INC 009792
9677	12/17/2019	ST_INC 009793
9678	12/17/2019	ST_INC 009794
9679	12/17/2019	ST_INC 009795
9680	12/17/2019	ST_INC 009796
9681	12/18/2019	ST_INC 009797
9682	12/18/2019	ST_INC 009798
9683	12/18/2019	ST_INC 009799
9684	12/18/2019	ST_INC 009800
9685	12/18/2019	ST_INC 009801
9686	12/19/2019	ST_INC 009802
9687	12/19/2019	ST_INC 009803
9688	12/19/2019	ST_INC 009804
9689	12/19/2019	ST_INC 009805
9690	12/20/2019	ST_INC 009806
9691	12/20/2019	ST_INC 009807
9692	12/22/2019	ST_INC 009808
9693	12/22/2019	ST_INC 009809
9694	12/22/2019	ST_INC 009810
9695	12/23/2019	ST_INC 009811
9696	12/23/2019	ST_INC 009812
9697	12/24/2019	ST_INC 009813
9698	12/24/2019	ST_INC 009814
9699	12/24/2019	ST_INC 009815
9700	12/25/2019	ST_INC 009816
9701	12/28/2019	ST_INC 009817
9702	12/28/2019	ST_INC 009818
9703	12/29/2019	ST_INC 009819